LEVEL (2)

MRC TECHNICAL SUMMARY REPORT # 2087

INTEGRATION OF INTERVAL FUNCTIONS

Ole Caprani, Kaj Madsen
and L. B. Rall

AD A089644

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin—Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

June 1980

(Received May 5, 1930)

DTIC
ELECTE
SEP 2 9 1980
S
D

A

Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

INTEGRATION OF INTERVAL FUNCTIONS

Ole Caprani[*], Kaj Madsen[**] and L. B. Rall[*,**,***]

Technical Summary Report # 2087

June 1980

ABSTRACT

An interval function Y assigns an interval $Y(x) = [\underline{y}(x), \overline{y}(x)]$ in the extended real number system to each x in its interval $X = [a,b]$ of definition. The integral of Y over $[a,b]$ is taken to be the interval $\int_a^b Y(x)\,dx = [\int_a^b \underline{y}(x)\,dx$, $\underline{\int_a^b} \overline{y}(x)\,dx]$, where $\int_a^b \underline{y}(x)\,dx$ is the lower Darboux integral of the lower endpoint function $\underline{y}$, and $\overline{\int_a^b} \overline{y}(x)\,dx$ is the upper Darboux integral of the upper endpoint function $\overline{y}$. As these Darboux integrals always exist in the extended real number system, it follows that all interval functions are integrable, no matter how nasty the endpoint functions $\underline{y}, \overline{y}$ are. The interval integral defined in this way includes the interval integral of R. E. Moore as the special case that $\underline{y}, \overline{y}$ are continuous, and hence Riemann integrable.

In addition to a construction of the interval integral in a form suitable for numerical approximation, some of its basic properties and other implications and applications of its definition are presented. The theory of interval integration given here supplies a previously lacking mathematical foundation for the numerical solution of integral equations by interval methods.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 65R20, 65G10, 65D30, 45L10, 45L05, 45G10, 28B20, 26A42

Key words and phrases: Interval analysis, interval functions, interval integration, interval integral equations, numerical solution of integral equations, error estimation

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Solving physical problems with the aid of digital computers often involves performing hundreds or thousands of inexact arithmetic operations on inaccurate data. A study of the effect of these errors on the final result to determine if it is useful (or even meaningful) is often required, and may be tedious. By the methods of interval analysis, the computation may be carried out automatically on intervals which contain the data to yield intervals in which any exactly computed result would lie. It can also be argued that the intervals calculated are actually the solutions to certain problems; for example, the intervals of deflection of a structure corresponding to intervals of loading forces may give a better picture of its stability and safety than knowing its exact configuration under a specific selection of forces.

Whether one interprets the obtained interval to contain the answer or be the answer, it is important to be able to compute interval solutions efficiently, with as little extraneous expansion as possible. However, the subject of interval analysis is still in the early stages of its development. Algebraic operations on intervals are well understood, and can be expressed in terms of the endpoints of the intervals involved. In the case of transformations of interval functions Y (Y(x) is an interval for each x), this simple situation may not hold, except in special cases. In particular, the lack of a theory of integration of interval functions has hampered the development of interval methods for the solution of integral equations, which constitute an important class of mathematical models of real situations. This report provides such a theory by defining integrals of interval functions to be an interval with the limits of integrals of step-functions respectively less and greater than the integrand as endpoints. As these lower and upper Darboux integrals of basic integration theory always exist, it follows that all interval functions are integrable. The simplicity of this theory is due to the fact that intervals, rather than real numbers only, are accepted as values of integrals, even if the integrand is a real function (called a degenerate interval function in this context). Progress in mathematics is often made in this way, by introducing a new object as a solution; for example, imaginary numbers as square roots of negative numbers. Some useful properties of the interval integral defined in this report are derived, and its potential usefulness in connection with the numerical solution of integral equations is indicated.

Ole Caprani[*], Kaj Madsen[**] and L. B. Rall[*,**,***]

1. **Intervals in the extended real number system.** In ordinary interval analysis [5],[6], the term <u>interval</u> refers to <u>closed</u> intervals of real numbers,

$$(1.1) \qquad X = [a,b] = \{x \mid a \leq x \leq b\},$$

with finite <u>endpoints</u> a, b. The <u>width</u>

$$(1.2) \qquad w(X) = w([a,b]) = b - a$$

of an interval with real endpoints is consequently finite. To develop the theory of integration of interval functions given below, it is convenient to use the <u>ex-</u><u>tended</u> real number system, which includes the values $\pm \infty$ [3]. Thus, in addition to <u>finite</u> intervals of the form (1.1) with a, b finite, there will be <u>infinite</u> intervals in the system of one of the following types:

(i) <u>semi-infinite</u> intervals

$$(1.3) \qquad S_a = [a, +\infty], \quad S^b = [-\infty, b], \quad a, b \text{ finite;}$$

(ii) the <u>real line</u>

$$(1.4) \qquad R = [-\infty, +\infty],$$

and (iii) the <u>indegenerate</u> intervals

$$(1.5) \qquad S^{-\infty} = [-\infty, -\infty], \quad S_{+\infty} = [+\infty, +\infty].$$

(In what follows, "$+\infty$" will often be written simply as "∞".)

All the infinite intervals will be defined to be of infinite width, that is,

* Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen
** Institute for Numerical Analysis, Technical University of Denmark
*** Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison. Sponsored in part by the United States Army under Contract Nos. DAAG29-75-C-0024 and DAAG29-80-C-0041 and the Danish Natural Science Research Council Grant No. 511-15849.

$$(1.6) \qquad w(S_a) = w(S^b) = w(R) = w(S^{-\infty}) = w(S_{+\infty}) = +\infty$$

in the extended real number system. This definition is consistent with the type of limiting process used to define "improper" integrals, that is,

$$(1.7) \qquad S_a = \lim_{b \to \infty} [a,b] = [a,\infty], \quad S_\infty = \lim_{a \to \infty} [a,\infty] = [\infty,\infty],$$

and hence

$$(1.8) \qquad w(S_\infty) = \lim_{a \to \infty} w(S_a) = \lim_{a \to \infty} (\infty) = \infty,$$

so that it is reasonable in this sense to assign infinite widths to the indegenerate intervals $S^{-\infty}$ and S_∞.

In what follows, a closed interval in the extended real number system will be called simply an _interval_.

2. _Interval arithmetic._ Interval arithmetic ([5],[6]) as defined for finite interval may also be performed in the system of intervals in the extended real number system defined in §1 if suitable rules are adopted for computing with the values $\pm\infty$. In essence, these "rules" are a shorthand notation for the results of the types of limiting processes to be encountered in the theory of integration presented below. McShane ([3], p. 21) gives the following rules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{(i)} && -\infty < a < \infty \quad \text{for every real number } a; \\
&\text{(ii)} && \infty \cdot a = a \cdot \infty = \infty \quad \text{if } 0 < a \le \infty; \\
&\text{(iii)} && \infty \cdot a = a \cdot \infty = -\infty \quad \text{if } -\infty \le a < 0; \\
&\text{(iv)} && (-\infty) \cdot a = a \cdot (-\infty) = -\infty \quad \text{if } 0 < a \le \infty; \\
(2.1)\quad &\text{(v)} && (-\infty) \cdot a = a \cdot (-\infty) = \infty \quad \text{if } -\infty \le a < 0; \\
&\text{(vi)} && \frac{a}{\infty} = \frac{a}{(-\infty)} = 0 \quad \text{if } a \text{ is real}; \\
&\text{(vii)} && \infty + a = a + \infty = \infty \quad \text{if } a > -\infty; \\
&\text{(viii)} && -\infty + a = a + (-\infty) = -\infty \quad \text{if } a < \infty; \\
&\text{(ix)} && \infty \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot \infty = (-\infty) \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot (-\infty) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, rule (2.1)(ix) takes care of the "indeterminant form '$0 \cdot \infty$'" which can arise if one of the factors in a multiplication is an infinite interval. The product of two intervals will be <u>defined</u> to be

$$(2.2) \qquad [a,b] \cdot [c,d] = [\min\{ac,ad,bc,bd\},\max\{ac,ad,bc,bd\}]$$

in the extended real number system. In ordinary interval arithmetic ([5], p. 9), (2.2) is a consequence of the definition $[a,b] \cdot [c,d] = \{z \mid z = x \cdot y, x \in [a,b], y \in [c,d]\}$ of multiplication of intervals. In the extended real number system, however, one has $\{z \mid z = x \cdot y, x \in [-1,1], y \in [\infty,\infty]\} = \{-\infty, 0, \infty\}$ by (2.1)(ii)(iii)(ix), and the result is not an interval. Use of the <u>rule</u> (2.2) gives $[-1,1] \cdot [\infty,\infty] = [-\infty,\infty]$, which circumvents this problem.

As in ordinary interval arithmetic, division by intervals containing 0 will not be defined. The <u>reciprocal</u> of an interval,

$$(2.3) \qquad [c,d]^{-1} = [1/d , 1/c], \quad 0 \notin [c,d],$$

is defined for all zero-free intervals, with rule (2.1)(vi) used if $[c,d]$ is an infinite interval. One has

$$(2.4) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_a^{-1} = [a,\infty]^{-1} = [0 , 1/a], \ a > 0; \quad S_\infty^{-1} = [\infty,\infty]^{-1} = [0,0]; \\ (S^b)^{-1} = [-\infty,b]^{-1} = [1/b , 0], \ b < 0; \quad (S^{-\infty})^{-1} = [-\infty,-\infty]^{-1} = [0,0]. \end{array} \right.$$

The indeterminant form "∞/∞" will thus not occur in the interval arithmetic under discussion, as division is defined by

$$(2.5) \qquad [a,b]/[c,d] = [a,b] \cdot [c,d]^{-1}, \quad 0 \notin [c,d],$$

and $[c,d]^{-1}$, if it exists, will have only finite endpoints by (2.3) and (2.4).

The indeterminant form "$\infty - \infty$" can appear in addition or subtraction according to the usual rules ([5], pp. 8-9),

$$(2.6) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [a,b] + [c,d] = [a + c, b + d], \\[2mm] [a,b] - [c,d] = [a - d, b - c], \end{array} \right.$$

but only if at least one of the terms is an indegenerate interval. Thus, an additional rule to augment the list (2.1) is needed, which is

$$(2.7)(x) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [a,\infty] + [-\infty,-\infty] = [a,\infty] - [\infty,\infty] = [-\infty,\infty] \\[2mm] [-\infty,b] + [\infty,\infty] = [-\infty,b] - [-\infty,-\infty] = [-\infty,\infty], \end{array} \right.$$

where a,b may be finite or infinite. Thus, rule (2.7)(x) assigns the value $+\infty$ to $\infty - \infty$ as an _upper_ endpoint of an interval, and $-\infty$ as a _lower_ endpoint.

Thus, the total collection of rules for interval arithmetic in the system of intervals defined over the extended real numbers consists of (2.1)(i)-(ix), (2.7)(x), (2.2), (2.3), (2.5), and (2.6). The interval arithmetic constructed in this way contains ordinary interval arithmetic on finite intervals ([5],[6]) in the sense that it gives the same results for finite intervals. The operations on infinite intervals are defined in such a way as to be convenient in the sequel for the construction of a theory of integration of interval functions. Other extensions of interval arithmetic to infinite intervals are possible, but will not be considered here.

3. _Interval functions._ Y is said to be an _interval function_ of x on [a,b] if it assigns a nonempty interval

$$(3.1) \qquad Y(x) = [\underline{y}(x), \overline{y}(x)] = \{y \mid \underline{y}(x) \le y \le \overline{y}(x)\}$$

to each $x \in [a,b]$. The (extended) real-valued functions $\underline{y}$, $\overline{y}$ are called the _endpoints_, or _boundary functions_ of Y, and the notation

$$(3.2) \qquad Y = [\underline{y}, \overline{y}]$$

will be used, as well as the alternative notation

$$(3.3) \qquad Y(x) = [\underline{y}, \overline{y}](x)$$

for the interval (3.1).

The interval function Y can also be identified with its graph, which is the set of points

$$(3.4) \qquad Y = [a,b] \times Y(x) = \{(x,y) \mid x \in [a,b], \; y \in Y(x)\}$$

in the xy-plane. Geometrically, the graph (3.4) extends from the "lines" x = a on the left to x = b on the right, and from the "curves" defined by $y = \underline{y}(x)$ below to $y = \overline{y}(x)$ above (recall that extended real values are permitted).

In the context of interval functions, a real-valued (or extended real valued) function f is considered to be the degenerate interval function

$$(3.5) \qquad f = [f, f].$$

In the extended real number system, numbers $c \le d$ exist such that the graph (3.4) of Y is contained in the rectangle R = [a,b]×[c,d] = $\{(x,y) \mid x \in [a,b], \; y \in$ [c,d]} in the xy-plane, that is,

$$(3.6) \qquad Y \subset [a,b] \times [c,d] = R.$$

The set of all rectangles R for which (3.6) holds will be denoted by R(Y) or by $R_{[a,b]}(Y)$ if it is desired to specify the interval of definition [a,b] of Y.

If [a,b] is a finite interval, then Y is said to be finitely defined. If (3.6) holds with c,d finite, then Y is called a bounded interval function. A bounded and finitely defined interval function is said to be finite; the graph of a finite interval function is obviously contained in a finite rectangle R with area $w([a,b]) \cdot w([c,d]) = (b - a) \cdot (d - c)$.

Definition 3.1. For

$$(3.7) \qquad c = \inf_{x \in [a,b]} \{\underline{y}(x)\}, \quad d = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} \{\overline{y}(x)\},$$

the <u>interval</u>

$$(3.8) \qquad \nabla Y_{[a,b]} = [c,d]$$

is called the <u>vertical extent</u> of the interval function Y on [a,b]. If the interval of definition of Y is understood, then $\nabla Y_{[a,b]}$ may be abbreviated as ∇Y. The rectangle

$$(3.9) \qquad R(\nabla Y) = R_{[a,b]}(\nabla Y) = [a,b] \times \nabla Y_{[a,b]}$$

is the "smallest" containing the graph of Y. One has

$$(3.10) \qquad R(\nabla Y) = \bigcap_{R \in R(Y)} R \; ;$$

that is, $R(\nabla Y)$ is the intersection of all rectangles (3.6) which contain the graph of Y.

Vertical extent of an interval function, as defined above, has the important property of being inclusion monotone with respect to the interval of definition of the interval function and inclusion of interval functions; $Y \subset Z$ means that the graph of Z contains the graph of Y considered to be point-sets in the xy-plane. More precisely, (3.7) and the definition (3.8) of vertical extent lead directly to the following result:

Lemma 3.1. If I, J are intervals on the x-axis with $I \subset J$, then

$$(3.11) \qquad \nabla Y_I \subset \nabla Y_J;$$

if Y, Z are interval functions on $X = [a,b]$ such that $Y \subset Z$, then

$$(3.12) \qquad \nabla Y_{[a,b]} \subset \nabla Z_{[a,b]} .$$

4. <u>Vertical measure and Darboux sums</u>. Definition 4.1. The <u>interval</u>

$$(4.1) \qquad W_{[a,b]}(Y) = w([a,b]) \cdot \nabla Y_{[a,b]}$$

is called the <u>vertical measure</u> of the interval function Y on [a,b]. Note that this quantity is interval-valued, and specifies the interval of definition of the interval function Y on which its vertical extent ∇Y is obtained.

(The goal in this paper is to construct a theory of Riemann-type integrals of interval functions. The horizontal measure

$$(4.2) \qquad H_{[a,b]}(Y) = [a,b] \cdot w(\nabla Y_{[a,b]})$$

of Y on [a,b] may be useful in a Lebesgue-type integration theory, but this will not be pursued further here.)

Remark 4.1. Vertical measure is inclusion monotone with respect to inclusion of interval functions: If $Y \subset Z$, then $W_{[a,b]}(Y) \subset W_{[a,b]}(Z)$. Horizontal measure is inclusion monotone with respect to the interval of definition: If $I \subset J$, then $H_I(Y) \subset H_J(Y)$.

Proof: The assertions of Remark 4.1 follow immediately from Lemma 3.1.

As usual, a set of points $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that

$$(4.3) \qquad a = x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_{n-1} \leq x_n = b$$

defines a partition

$$(4.4) \qquad \Delta_n = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$$

of the interval $X = [a,b]$, where

$$(4.5) \qquad X_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i], \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,n.$$

Obviously,

$$(4.6) \qquad X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_i, \quad w(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w(X_i).$$

Definition 4.2. The interval

$$(4.7) \qquad \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w(X_i) \cdot \nabla Y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(Y)$$

is called the Darboux sum of the interval function Y corresponding to the partition Δ_n of $X = [a,b]$, where $\nabla Y_i = \nabla Y_{X_i} = \nabla Y_{[x_{i-1},x_i]}$ has been written for brevity. For

(4.8) $$\nabla Y_i = [c_i, d_i],$$

one has

(4.9) $$c_i = \inf_{x \in X_i} \{\underline{y}(x)\}, \quad d_i = \sup_{x \in X_i} \{\overline{y}(x)\},$$

and

(4.10) $$\Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y = [\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot w(X_i), \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \cdot w(X_i)];$$

the endpoints of $\Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y$ are thus respectively the <u>lower Riemann sum</u> of the function $\underline{y}$ and the <u>upper Riemann sum</u> of the function $\overline{y}$ corresponding to the partition Δ_n of X [7].

The upper and lower limits of the interval (4.10) may also be interpreted as (elementary) integrals of <u>step-functions</u> ([3], p. 54),

(4.11) $$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot w(X_i) = \int_a^{'b} \underline{s}(x) dx = \int_X' \underline{s}(x) dx,$$

and

(4.12) $$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \cdot w(X_i) = \int_a^{'b} \overline{s}(x) dx = \int_X' \overline{s}(x) dx.$$

In (4.11), the step function $\underline{s}(x)$ will have the values

(4.13) $$\underline{s}(x) = c_i = \inf_{x \in X_i} \{\underline{y}(x)\}, \quad x_{i-1} < x < x_i,$$

in all nondegenerate intervals X_i of the partition Δ_n. At each of the <u>partition points</u> x_i listed in (4.3), there will be a finite number of intervals X_{i-j_i}, $X_{i-j_i+1}, \ldots, X_i, X_{i+1}, \ldots, X_{i+k_i}$ which contain x_i. Define

(4.14) $$\underline{s}(x_i) = \min\{c_j \mid x_i \in X_j\}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n.$$

Similarly,

(4.15) $$\overline{s}(x) = d_i = \sup_{x \in X_i} \{\overline{y}(x)\}, \quad x_{i-1} < x < x_i,$$

in nondegenerate intervals X_i of the partition Δ_n, and

$$(4.16) \qquad \bar{s}(x_i) = \max\{d_j \mid x_i \in X_j\}, \quad i = 0,1,\ldots,n,$$

at the partition points x_0, x_1, ..., x_n. It follows that

$$(4.17) \qquad \underline{s}(x) \leq \underline{y}(x) \leq \bar{y}(x) \leq \bar{s}(x), \quad a \leq x \leq b.$$

The properties of integrals of step-functions are well-documented ([3], pp. 54-57), for example, if s_1 and s_2 are step-functions on an interval X, and k is a finite constant, then

$$\text{(a)} \quad \int_X' k \cdot s_1(x)\,dx = k \cdot \int_X' s_1(x)\,dx;$$

(4.18)

$$\text{(b)} \quad \int_X'(s_1(x) + s_2(x))\,dx = \int_X' s_1(x)\,dx + \int_X' s_2(x)\,dx;$$

$$\text{(c)} \quad \text{if } s_1(x) \leq s_2(x) \text{ for all } x \in X, \text{ then}$$

$$\int_X' s_1(x)\,dx \leq \int_X' s_2(x)\,dx.$$

Furthermore, if $s(x)$ is a step-function on X, then for each partition Δ_m of X,

$$(4.19) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{X_j}' s(x)\,dx = \int_X' s(x)\,dx.$$

The integral of a step function is also invariant under translation ([3], p. 57).

The above results may be used to prove corresponding assertions about the Darboux sums (4.7), taking into account the differences between real and interval arithmetic.

Theorem 4.1. If Y, Z are interval functions on $X = [a,b]$ and k is a constant, then

(a) $\quad \Sigma_{\Delta_n} k \cdot Y = k \cdot \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y;$

(b) $\quad \Sigma_{\Delta_n} (Y + Z) \subset \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y + \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Z;$

(4.20)

(c) if $Y \subset Z$ on X, then

$$\Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y \subset \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Z \quad \text{(inclusion monotonicity)}.$$

Proof: For finite k, (4.20)(a) follows directly from (4.18)(a); rule (2.7) (x) allows one to drop the restriction of k to finite values. For $Y = [\underline{y}, \overline{y}]$, $Z = [\underline{z}, \overline{z}]$, the inequalities

(a) $\quad \inf_{X_i}\{\underline{y} + \underline{z}\} \geq \inf_{X_i}\{\underline{y}\} + \inf_{X_i}\{\underline{z}\},$

(4.21)

(b) $\quad \sup_{X_i}\{\overline{y} + \overline{z}\} \leq \sup_{X_i}\{\overline{y}\} + \sup_{X_i}\{\overline{z}\},$

on the intervals X_i, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n$, ([3], p. 25) give

(4.22) $\qquad \nabla(Y + Z)_{X_i} \subset \nabla Y_{X_i} + \nabla Z_{X_i},$

from which

(4.23) $\qquad W_{X_i}(Y + Z) \subset W_{X_i}(Y) + W_{X_i}(Z),$

$i = 1,2,\ldots,n$, and (4.20)(b) follows. Finally, the inclusion monotonicity of the vertical measure W (see Remark 4.1) with respect to inclusion of interval functions gives (4.20)(c). QED.

An analog of (4.19) is also available immediately. For $m = 2$, suppose that $a \leq p \leq b$, and that

(4.24) $\qquad \Delta_{n_1}^{(1)} = (X_{11}, X_{12}, \ldots, X_{1n_1})$

is a partition of $X_1 = [a,p]$, similarly,

(4.25) $\qquad \Delta_{n_2}^{(2)} = (X_{21}, X_{22}, \ldots, X_{2n_2})$

is a partition of $X_2 = [p,b]$. For $n = n_1 + n_2$, it follows that

(4.26)
$$\Delta_n = (X_{11}, X_{12}, \ldots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \ldots, X_{2n_2})$$

will be a partition of $X = [a,b]$, and

(4.27)
$$\Sigma_{\Delta_{n_1}^{(1)}} Y + \Sigma_{\Delta_{n_2}^{(2)}} Y = \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y.$$

This may be extended by induction to any positive integer $m > 2$.

A type of mean-value (or mean interval-value) theorem holds for the Darboux sums (4.7).

Theorem 4.2. If $X = [a,b]$ is a finite, nondegenerate interval, then an interval $\overline{Y}(\Delta_n) \subset \nabla Y_X$ exists for each partition Δ_n of X such that

(4.28)
$$\Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y = w(X) \cdot \overline{Y}(\Delta_n).$$

Proof: By (4.7) and (4.20)(a),

(4.29)
$$\frac{1}{w(X)} \cdot \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{w(X_i)}{w(X)}\right) \cdot \nabla Y_i = [r, s],$$

say, where for $\alpha_i = w(X_i)/w(X)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$,

(4.30)
$$r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i c_i, \quad s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i d_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1.$$

Thus,

(4.31)
$$\begin{cases} c = \min_{(i)}\{c_i\} \leq r \leq \max_{(i)}\{c_i\}, \\[2mm] \min_{(i)}\{d_i\} \leq s \leq \max_{(i)}\{d_i\} = d, \end{cases}$$

and hence $[r,s] \subset \nabla Y_X$. Thus, by (4.29), (4.28) holds with $\overline{Y}(\Delta_n) = [r,s]$. QED.

5. <u>Step-functions and Riemann sums</u>. For each positive integer n, let S_n denote the set of all step-functions s_n on X having $n + 1$ partition points x_0, x_1, $\ldots$, disposed according to (4.3). Furthermore, let

-11-

(5.1)
$$\begin{cases} \underline{S}_n(Y) = \{\underline{s}_n \mid \underline{s}_n \in S_n, \ \underline{s}_n(x) \le \underline{y}(x), \ a \le x \le b\}, \\ \\ \overline{S}_n(Y) = \{\overline{s}_n \mid \overline{s}_n \in S_n, \ \overline{s}_n(x) \ge \overline{y}(x), \ a \le x \le b\}. \end{cases}$$

The sets $\underline{S}_n(Y)$, $\overline{S}_n(Y)$ are nonempty, as $\underline{\underline{s}}_n \equiv -\infty$ belongs to $\underline{S}_n(Y)$, and $\overline{\overline{s}}_n \equiv +\infty$ to $\overline{S}_n(Y)$. By (5.1) and (4.18)(c), for each $\underline{s}_n \in \underline{S}_n(Y)$, $\overline{s}_n \in \overline{S}_n(Y)$, one has

(5.2)
$$\int_a^{'b} \underline{s}_n(x)\,dx \le \int_a^{'\overline{b}} \overline{s}_n(x)\,dx,$$

and consequently

(5.3)
$$\overline{c}_n = \sup_{\underline{s}_n \in \underline{S}_n} \{\int_a^{'b} \underline{s}_n(x)\,dx\} \le \inf_{\overline{s}_n \in \overline{S}_n} \{\int_a^{'\overline{b}} \overline{s}_n(x)\,dx\} = \underline{d}_n.$$

Definition 5.1. For each positive integer n, let $\mathcal{D}_n$ denote the set of partitions (4.4). The interval

(5.4)
$$\Sigma_n Y = \bigcap_{\Delta_n \in \mathcal{D}_n} \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y = [\overline{c}_n, \underline{d}_n]$$

is called the Riemann sum of order n of the interval function Y over $[a,b]$.

Lemma 5.1. The interval $\Sigma_n Y$ is nonempty; furthermore, if $m > n$, then

(5.5)
$$\Sigma_m Y \subset \Sigma_n Y.$$

Proof: The assertion of the nonemptiness of the interval (5.4) is simply a restatement of (5.3). Denoting the set of Darboux sums (4.7) by S_n, if $m > n$, then

(5.6)
$$S_n \subset S_m,$$

as if $\Sigma_{\Delta_n} \subset S_n$, then one may take the partition Δ_m defined by

(5.7)
$$a = x_0 \le x_1 \le \cdots \le x_n = x_{n+1} = \cdots = x_m = b,$$

for which $\Sigma_{\Delta_m} Y = \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y$, and thus $\Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y \in S_m$ for $m > n$. The inclusion (5.5) then follows from (5.6) by the definition (5.4). QED.

-12-

The properties of Darboux sums listed in Theorem 4.1 survive the intersection (5.4) and thus become properties of Riemann sums, giving immediately the following result.

Theorem 5.1. If Y, Z are interval functions on $X = [a,b]$ and k is a constant, then

(5.8)

(a) $\sum_n k \cdot Y = k \cdot \sum_n Y$;

(b) $\sum_n (Y + Z) \subset \sum_n Y + \sum_n Z$;

(c) if $Y \subset Z$ on X, then

$\sum_n Y \subset \sum_n Z$ (inclusion monotonicity).

The additivity of Riemann sums with respect to the intervals over which they are defined will now be investigated. In order to be definite, the notation

(5.1)
$$\sum_n Y_{[a,b]} = \sum_n Y_X$$

will be used to indicate the <u>interval</u> <u>of</u> <u>summation</u> $X = [a,b]$. Suppose that $a \leq p \leq b$ and $X_1 = [a,p]$, $X_2 = [p,b]$. The following results apply to the expression of the sum of an interval function Y over X in terms of its sums over X_1 and X_2.

Lemma 5.2. If $X = [a,b]$ is finite and nondegenerate, then

(5.10)
$$W_{[a,p]}(Y) + W_{[p,b]}(Y) \subset W_{[a,b]}(Y),$$

that is,

(5.11)
$$w([a,p]) \cdot \nabla Y_{[a,p]} + w([p,b]) \cdot \nabla Y_{[p,b]} \subset w([a,b]) \cdot \nabla Y_{[a,b]}.$$

Proof: Let $\nabla Y_{[a,p]} = [c_1, d_1]$, $\nabla Y_{[p,b]} = [c_2, d_2]$, $\nabla Y_{[a,b]} = [c, d]$. Then, by definition of vertical extent,

(5.12)
$$c = \min\{c_1, c_2\}, \quad d = \max\{d_1, d_2\}.$$

-13-

For $\alpha = w([a,p])/w([a,b])$, one has $1 \geq \alpha \geq 0$ and $w([p,b])/w([a,b]) = 1 - \alpha \geq 0$. Thus,

$$(5.13) \qquad w([a,p]) \cdot \nabla Y_{[a,p]} + w([p,b]) \cdot \nabla Y_{[p,b]} =$$

$$= w([a,b]) \cdot (\alpha c_1 + (1-\alpha)c_2 , \alpha d_1 + (1-\alpha)d_2),$$

and, as

$$(5.14) \qquad c \leq \alpha c_1 + (1 - \alpha)c_2 \leq \alpha d_1 + (1 - \alpha)d_2 \leq d,$$

by (5.12), (5.11) follows. QED.

Theorem 5.2. If $X = [a,b]$ is finite and nondegenerate, then for each positive integer $n \geq 2$,

$$(5.15) \qquad \Sigma_n Y_{[a,b]} \subset \bigcap_{n_1 + n_2 = n} \{\Sigma_{n_1} Y_{[a,p]} + \Sigma_{n_2} Y_{[p,b]}\} \subset \Sigma_{n-1} Y_{[a,b]}.$$

Proof: The set S_n of Darboux sums (4.7) may be decomposed into two disjoint subsets for each positive integer $n \geq 2$: The set S_n^p of sums corresponding to partitions Δ_n^p which have p as a partition point, the set of which will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}_n^p$, and the complement of S_n^p relative to S_n, $S_n^{p'} = S_n \setminus S_n^p$, that is, the set of all Darboux sums corresponding to partitions Δ_n for which p is not a partition point. As $S_n^p \subset S_n$, one has

$$(5.16) \qquad \Sigma_n Y_{[a,b]} \subset \bigcap_{\Delta_n^p \in \mathcal{D}_n^p} \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y_{[a,b]}.$$

By (4.27), for $\Sigma_{\Delta_n^p} Y \in S_n^p$, one can write

$$(5.17) \qquad \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y_{[a,b]} = \Sigma_{\Delta_{n_1}} Y_{[a,p]} + \Sigma_{\Delta_{n_2}} Y_{[p,b]},$$

where $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Consequently, as

(5.18)
$$\bigcap_{\Delta_n^p \in \mathcal{D}_n^p} \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y[a,b] = \bigcap_{n_1+n_2=n} \{\Sigma_{n_1} Y[a,p] + \Sigma_{n_2} Y[p,b]\},$$

the first inclusion of (5.15) follows.

Now, consider a partition Δ_{n-1} of $X = [a,b]$ for $n \geq 2$, and let Δ_n^p denote the partition of X obtained by adding the point p to the set $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$. Either $p = x_i$ for some i, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, in which case

(5.19)
$$\Sigma_{\Delta_{n-1}} Y[a,b] = \Sigma_{\Delta_n^p} Y[a,b] = \Sigma_{\Delta_{n_1}} Y[a,p] + \Sigma_{\Delta_{n_2}} Y[p,b],$$

$n_1 + n_2 = n$, or a nondegenerate interval $X_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i] \subset \Delta_{n-1}$ exists such that $x_{i-1} < p < x_i$. As

(5.20)
$$w([x_{i-1}, p]) \cdot \nabla Y_{[x_{i-1}, p]} + w([p, x_i]) \cdot \nabla Y_{[p, x_i]} \subset w([x_{i-1}, x_i]) \cdot \nabla Y_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}$$

by Lemma 5.2, one has

(5.21)
$$\Sigma_{\Delta_n^p} Y[a,b] \subset \Sigma_{\Delta_{n-1}} Y[a,b]$$

in this case. Thus, as $\{\Sigma_{\Delta_n^p} Y[a,b]\} = S_n^p$,

(5.22)
$$\bigcap_{\Delta_n^p \in \mathcal{D}_n^p} \Sigma_{\Delta_n^p} Y[a,b] \subset \bigcap_{\Delta_{n-1} \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}} \Sigma_{\Delta_{n-1}} Y[a,b] = \Sigma_{n-1} Y[a,b]$$

by (5.20) and (5.21), and the second inclusion in (5.15) now follows from (5.18). QED.

A mean interval-value theorem also holds for Riemann sums.

Theorem 5.3. If $X = [a,b]$ is finite and nondegenerate, then for each positive integer n, an interval $\overline{Y}_n \subset \nabla Y_X$ exists such that

(5.23)
$$\Sigma_n Y = w(X) \cdot \overline{Y}_n.$$

Proof: As before, let $\mathcal{D}_n$ denote the set of all partitions Δ_n for each positive integer n. Then, by (4.28),

$$(5.24) \qquad \Sigma_n Y = \bigcap_{\Delta_n \in \mathcal{D}_n} \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y = w(X) \cdot \bigcap_{\Delta_n \in \mathcal{D}_n} \overline{Y}(\Delta_n),$$

so that (5.23) holds with

$$(5.25) \qquad \overline{Y}_n = \bigcap_{\Delta_n \in \mathcal{D}_n} \overline{Y}(\Delta_n) \subset \nabla Y_X,$$

as each $\overline{Y}(\Delta_n) \subset \nabla Y_X$. QED.

6. Interval integrals.

Definition 6.1 (The Interval Integral). If Y is an interval function defined on $X = [a,b]$, then the _interval integral of_ Y _over_ [a,b] is defined to be the interval

$$(6.1) \qquad \int_a^b Y(x)\,dx = \int_X Y(x)\,dx = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_n Y.$$

As usual, Y is said to be _integrable_ over X if its interval integral (6.1) is defined.

Remark 6.1. By Lemma 5.1, the interval integral (6.1) is a nonempty closed interval, as it is the intersection of a (countable) collection of nested closed intervals.

Remark 6.2. An equivalent definition of the interval integral (6.1) is

$$(6.2) \qquad \int_X Y(x)\,dx = [\underline{\int}_X \underline{y}(x)\,dx , \; \overline{\int}_X \overline{y}(x)\,dx],$$

where, for the sets of step-functions

$$(6.3) \qquad \underline{s} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{s}_n, \quad \overline{s} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{s}_n,$$

the _lower Darboux integral_ of $\underline{y}$ over $X = [a,b]$ is defined to be ([31], p. 57),

$$(6.4) \qquad \underline{\int}_X \underline{y}(x)\,dx = \sup_{\underline{s} \in \underline{S}} \{\int_X \underline{s}(x)\,dx\},$$

and similarly, the _upper Darboux integral_ of $\overline{y}$ over $X = [a,b]$ is

-16-

(6.5)
$$\overline{\int}_X \overline{y}(x)\,dx = \frac{\inf}{s \in \overline{S}}\{\int '_X \overline{s}(x)\,dx\}.$$

The set $\underline{S}$ defined in (6.3) is the set of all step-functions bounded above by $\underline{y}$; similarly, $\overline{S}$ is the set of all step-functions which are greater than or equal to $\overline{y}$ at each point of X. As these sets are non-empty (recall the step-functions $\underline{s} \equiv -\infty$ and $\overline{s} \equiv +\infty$), the Darboux integrals (6.4) and (6.5) always exist, no matter how nasty the functions $\underline{y}$, $\overline{y}$ are from the standpoint of ordinary integration theory. This observation furnishes the following result.

Theorem 6.1 (Theory of Interval Integration). If Y is an interval function defined on X = [a,b], then its interval integral (6.1) over [a,b] exists.

In other words, all interval functions are integrable (in the sense of interval integration). The simplicity of this theory is due to the fact that intervals are accepted as values of integrals, including the case that the integrand is degenerate (i.e., a single real function). The requirement that the integral of a real function be a real number rather than a possibly nondegenerate interval leads to numerous difficulties and correspondingly rich theories of integration (as elucidated in [3], for example), which constitute some of the most important chapters of real analysis. By the introduction of interval values for integrals, these difficulties are resolved, and the operation of integration is extended to all functions, interval or real. This is analogous to the way that the introduction of complex numbers extends the operation of root extraction to all numbers, complex or real. However, just as complex analysis does not supercede real analysis, it is to be expected that interval analysis will develop as a complementary, rather than a competitive, discipline to real analysis.

Some implications of the definitions of the interval integral given above, and some basic properties of interval integrals will now be investigated.

Remark 6.3. If $\underline{y}$, $\overline{y}$ are Riemann integrable on [a,b], then

(6.6)
$$\int_a^b Y(x)\,dx = [(R)\int_a^b \underline{y}(x)\,dx , (R)\int_a^b \overline{y}(x)\,dx],$$

-17-

in terms of the Riemann integrals of the lower and upper endpoint functions.

This follows from (6.2) and the definition of a Riemann integrable function ([3], p. 57) as one with equal upper and lower Darboux integrals; it Riemann integral is taken to be this common value, so that if y is a Riemann integrable function on X = [a,b], then its Riemann integral is

$$(6.7) \qquad (R)\int_a^b y(x)\,dx = \underline{\int}_X y(x)\,dx = \overline{\int}_X y(x)\,dx.$$

Remark 6.4. In case $\underline{y}$, $\overline{y}$ are continuous on [a,b], then the construction of the interval integral of Y may be simplified by taking only the <u>equidistant</u> <u>partitions</u> $\overline{\Delta}_n$ defined by the points

$$(6.8) \qquad x_k = a + \frac{k}{n}\cdot(b - a), \quad k = 0,1,\dots,n,$$

for each positive integer n, so that $w(X_k) = \frac{1}{n}$, and hence by (4.20)(a),

$$(6.9) \qquad \Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y = \frac{1}{n}\cdot\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla Y_i.$$

Here, the formation of the Riemann sums $\Sigma_n Y$ can be skipped, and the interval integral is given by

$$(6.10) \qquad \int_a^b Y(x)\,dx = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \Sigma_{\overline{\Delta}_n} Y = [(R)\int_a^b \underline{y}(x)\,dx , (R)\int_a^b \overline{y}(x)\,dx],$$

([3], pp. 58-59), as continuous functions are Riemann integrable.

The interval integral (6.10) is the one proposed by R. E. Moore for continuous interval functions ([5], Chapter 8; [6], pp. 50-56), as the endpoint functions of a continuous interval function are necessarily continuous ([5], p. 18; [6], p. 33). Of course, even in the case $\underline{y}$, $\overline{y}$ are continuous, one may be able to find a partition Δ_n of [a,b] other than $\overline{\Delta}_n$ such that $\Sigma_{\Delta_n} Y$ is properly contained in the Darboux sum (6.9), and hence provides a "more accurate" approximation to the interval integral than given by use of the equidistant partition. Some additional remarks about the numerical approximation of interval integrals will be made later.

Some basic properties of interval integrals come directly from the properties

of the corresponding Riemann sums (5.4) which hold under the intersections in (6.1). Thus, from Theorem 5.1, one has the following result.

Theorem 6.2. If Y, Z are interval functions on X = [a,b] and k is a constant, then

(a) $\int_a^b k \cdot Y(x) dx = k \cdot \int_a^b Y(x) dx;$

(b) $\int_a^b (Y(x) + Z(x)) dx \subset \int_a^b Y(x) dx + \int_a^b Z(x) dx;$

(6.11)

(c) if $Y \subset Z$ on X, then

$\int_a^b Y(x) dx \subset \int_a^b Z(x) dx$ (inclusion monotonicity).

By taking intersections over all positive integers n of the expressions in (5.15), one gets immediately:

Theorem 6.3. If Y is an interval function defined on a finite, nondegenerate interval X = [a,b], and p is such that $a \leq p \leq b$, then

(6.12) $\int_a^p Y(x) dx + \int_p^b Y(x) dx = \int_a^b Y(x) dx.$

Similarly, Theorem 5.3 furnishes the following mean interval-value theorem for interval integrals.

Theorem 6.4. If Y is defined on a finite, nondegenerate interval X = [a,b], then an interval $\overline{Y} \subset \nabla Y_X$ exists such that

(6.13) $\int_a^b Y(x) dx = w([a,b]) \cdot \overline{Y}.$

Proof: Taking intersections of both sides of (5.23) over all positive integers n gives (6.13) with

(6.14) $\overline{Y} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{Y}_n \subset \nabla Y_X.$

QED.

Theorem 6.4 is useful in connection with properties of indefinite integrals.

Definition 6.2. The interval function

(6.15) $$I_a Y(x) = \int_a^x Y(t)dt$$

is called the _indefinite_ _integral_ of the interval function Y over [a , x] for
x ≥ a. ($I^b Y(x)$ is similarly defined over [x , b] for x ≤ b.)

Theorem 6.5. If Y is a bounded interval function on [a,b], then $I_a Y(x)$ is
a continuous interval function at any p ∈ [a,b].

Proof: Suppose that $\nabla Y_{[a,b]} = [c,d]$, and take, as usual,

(6.16) $$|\nabla Y_{[a,b]}| = \max\{|c|,|d|\},$$

which is finite by hypothesis. For a < p < b, a ≤ x < p, $\overline{Y}_{[x,p]} \subset \nabla Y_{[a,b]}$ ex-
ists such that

(6.17) $$\int_a^p Y(x)dx = \int_a^x Y(t)dt + w([x,p]) \cdot \overline{Y}_{[x,p]}$$

by Theorems 6.3 and 6.4; likewise, an interval $\overline{Y}_{[p,x]} \subset \nabla Y_{[a,b]}$ exists such that

(6.18) $$\int_a^x Y(t)dt = \int_a^p Y(x)dx + w([x,p]) \cdot \overline{Y}_{[p,x]}$$

for p < x ≤ b. Given any ε > 0, for $\delta = \varepsilon/|\nabla Y_{[a,b]}|$, the endpoints of $I_a Y(p)$
thus differ from the endpoints of $I_a Y(x)$ by less than ε for |x - p| < δ. Conti-
nuity of $I_a Y(x)$ from the right at x = a and from the left at x = b is obtained
from (6.18) and (6.17), respectively, as $I_a Y(a)$ = 0 by Theorem 6.4. QED.

Indefinite integrals also exhibit a type of differentiability if the limits

(6.19) $$I'_- Y(x) = \lim_{p\uparrow x} \frac{1}{w([p , x])} \cdot I_p Y(x) = \lim_{p\uparrow x} \overline{Y}_{[p,x]}$$

and

(6.20) $$I'_+ Y(x) = \lim_{q\downarrow x} \frac{1}{w([x , q])} \cdot I^q Y(x) = \lim_{q\downarrow x} \overline{Y}_{[x,q]}$$

exist and are equal, where $\overline{Y}_{[p,x]}$ and $\overline{Y}_{[x,q]}$ are the intervals defined in Theorem
6.4, and x lies interior to the interval of definition [a,b] of Y. (One-sided
derivatives at x = a and x = b are defined by (6.20) and (6.19), respectively.)

Definition 6.3. If the limits $I'_- Y(x)$ and $I'_+ Y(x)$ exist and are equal, then

-20-

$$(6.21) \qquad I'_x Y = I'_- Y(x) = I'_+ Y(x)$$

is called the **derivative** of the indefinite integral of Y at x.

The following theorem gives a condition under which the derivative of an indefinite interval integral is equal to its integrand.

Theorem 6.6. If Y is a continuous interval function on [a,b], then its indefinite integral is differentiable, and

$$(6.22) \qquad \begin{aligned} I'_x Y &= Y(x), \quad a < x < b, \\ I'_+ Y(a) &= Y(a), \quad I'_- Y(b) = Y(b). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let, for example, $\overline{Y}_{[p,x]} = [\underline{z}(p), \overline{z}(p)]$ for $p < x$. Considering the upper endpoint function $\overline{y}$ of Y as an interval function, it follows from (4.31), (5.25), and (6.14) that $\overline{z} \in \overline{y}_{[p,x]}$. As $\overline{y}$ is continuous if Y is a continuous interval function,

$$(6.23) \qquad \lim_{p \uparrow x} \nabla \overline{y}_{[p,x]} = \overline{y}(x) = \lim_{p \uparrow x} \overline{z}(p).$$

Similarly, $\lim\limits_{p \uparrow x} \underline{z}(p) = \underline{y}(x)$, so that $I'_- Y(x)$ exists, and

$$(6.24) \qquad I'_- Y(x) = Y(x), \quad a < x \le b.$$

In the same way, one has

$$(6.25) \qquad I'_+ Y(x) = Y(x), \quad a \le x < b,$$

which establishes (6.22). QED.

7. **Relationships between interval, Riemann, and Lebesgue integrals of real functions.** Ordinarily, no distinction will be made between a real function y and the corresponding **degenerate** interval function [y] = [y,y] having equal upper and lower endpoint functions. It is convenient, however, to distinguish between possible integrals of y over an interval X = [a,b]. The notation

$$(7.1) \qquad \int_a^b y(x)dx, \quad (L)\int_a^b y(x)dx, \quad (R)\int_a^b y(x)dx,$$

will be used to denote respectively the interval integral of y as a degenerate interval function (which integral always exists), the Lebesgue integral of y if y is Lebesgue integrable over [a,b], and finally, the Riemann integral of y if

it exists.

Remark 7.1. The integral of a degenerate interval function y is a degenerate interval, that is,

(7.2)
$$\int_a^b y(x)dx = [r, r],$$

if and only if the real function y is Riemann integrable over [a,b], so that

(7.3)
$$r = (R)\int_a^b y(x)dx.$$

This follows directly from Remark 6.3 and the definition (6.7) of the Riemann integral.

Thus, one ordinarily expects an interval integration, even of a single function, to result in a nondegenerate interval. For example, if χ_ρ is the characteristic function of the rationals, that is,

(7.4)
$$\chi_\rho(x) = 1 \text{ for } x \text{ rational,}$$
$$\chi_\rho(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \text{ irrational,}$$

then

(7.5)
$$\int_0^1 \chi_\rho(x)dx = [0, 1],$$

as is well-known. On the other hand, some nondegenerate interval functions have degenerate interval integrals. Consider the function Y defined by

(7.6)
$$Y(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{3}, \\ [0,1], & x = \frac{1}{3}, \\ 1, & \frac{1}{3} < x < \frac{2}{3}, \\ [1,2], & x = \frac{2}{3}, \\ 2, & \frac{2}{3} < x \le 1, \end{cases}$$

i.e., Y is an _interval_ _step-function_, which includes the "risers" as well as the "treads". For this function,

(7.7)
$$\int_0^1 Y(x)dx = [1, 1],$$

as the lower and upper boundary functions of Y have equal (Riemann) integrals.

Any interval function Y may be interpreted, of course, as a __set__ of functions, that is,

(7.8) $$Y = \{y \mid \underline{y}(x) \le y(x) \le \overline{y}(x), \ a \le x \le b\}.$$

If Y is degenerate, then the set (7.8) consists of only the single function $y = \underline{y} = \overline{y}$. Otherwise, Y will contain a number of functions, among which there may be subsets with certain distinguishing properties (continuity, differentiability, monotonicity, etc.). For the discussion of integration, the following subsets of functions will be singled out for special mention.

Definition 7.1. If Y is an interval function on [a,b], then the set of Lebesgue (Riemann) integrable functions $y \in Y$ will be called the __Lebesgue__ (__Riemann__) __core__ of Y, and will be denoted by $C_L(Y)$ $(C_R(Y))$.

One has $C_R(Y) \subset C_L(Y)$ always, but these sets may, of course, be empty. For example, if M is a subset of [0,1] which is not measurable in the sense of Lebesgue, then its characteristic function χ_M is a degenerate interval function with an empty Lebesgue (and hence Riemann) core. The characteristic function χ_ρ of the rationals considered earlier (see (7.4)) provides an example of a degenerate interval function with an empty Riemann core, but a nonempty Lebesgue core (the function χ_ρ itself).

Definition 7.2. The __value__ $v(C_L(Y))$ $(v(C_R(Y)))$ of the Lebesgue (Riemann) core of Y on [a,b] is defined by

(7.9)
$$\begin{cases} v(C_L(Y)) = \{r \mid r = (L)\int_a^b y(x)dx, \ y \in C_L(Y)\}, \\ v(C_R(Y)) = \{r \mid r = (R)\int_a^b y(x)dx, \ y \in C_R(Y)\}, \end{cases}$$

respectively, provided that the indicated cores of Y are nonempty.

Each set $v(C_L(Y))$ and $v(C_R(Y))$, when nonempty, are __convex__, that is, if one contains values r_1, r_2, with $r_1 \le r_2$, then it contains the entire interval $[r_1, r_2]$. This is because if y_1 has integral r_1 and y_2 has integral r_2, then the functions $y_\theta = y_1 + \theta(y_2 - y_1)$ are all integrable for $0 \le \theta \le 1$, and have

-23-

integrals equal to $r_0 = r_1 + \theta(r_2 - r_1)$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, which is just another expression for the interval $[r_1, r_2]$. As a matter of fact, the theory of Lebesgue integration [3] leads to the conclusion that

(7.10)
$$I_L(Y) = v(C_L(Y)),$$

if it exists, is a closed interval, which will be called the Lebesgue subinterval of the interval integral (6.1) of Y over [a,b]. The set $v(C_R(Y))$, on the other hand, is not necessarily closed. This is considered to be a defect of Riemann integration, and led to the construction of the theory of Lebesgue integration. However, as $v(C_R(Y))$ is convex, then its closure,

(7.11)
$$I_R(Y) = \overline{v(C_R(Y))}$$

is a closed interval which, if it exists, will be called the Riemann subinterval of the interval integral of Y over [a,b].

The purpose of the introduction of the intervals (7.10) and (7.11) is to provide some quantitative information about the Lebesgue and Riemann cores of an interval function Y which measures its "integrability" in a certain fashion. In the metric topology for intervals ([5], [6]), the distance between intervals [a,b] and [c,d] is defined to be

(7.12)
$$d([a,b],[c,d]) = \max\{|a - c|, |b - d|\}.$$

(In the extended real number system, rule (2.7)(x) is used to resolve any indeterminant forms entering into (7.12).)

Definition 7.3. For

(7.13)
$$I(Y) = \int_a^b Y(x)\,dx,$$

if the Riemann core $C_R(Y)$ of Y is nonempty, then

(7.14)
$$\rho(Y) = d(I_R(Y), I(Y))$$

is called the Riemann gap of the interval function Y on [a,b]; similarly, if $C_L(Y)$ is nonempty, then

(7.15)
$$\lambda(Y) = d(I_L(Y), I(Y))$$

is called the <u>Lebesgue gap</u> of Y on [a,b].

Remark 7.2. One has

(7.16) $$\lambda(Y) \le \rho(Y)$$

in case both numbers are defined.

This follows from the inclusion $C_R(Y) \subset C_L(Y)$. If only one of the numbers $\lambda(Y)$, $\rho(Y)$ is defined, it will be $\lambda(Y)$ by the same token. For the example (7.4) of the degenerate interval function χ_ρ, one has $\lambda(\chi_\rho) = 1$, and $\rho(\chi_\rho)$ is not defined.

Theorem 7.1. If the endpoint functions $\underline{y}$, $\overline{y}$ are Riemann integrable over [a,b], then $\lambda(Y) = 0$; if $\lambda(Y) = 0$, then $\underline{y}$, $\overline{y}$ are Lebesgue integrable, and

(7.17) $$\int_a^b Y(x)\,dx = [(L)\int_a^b \underline{y}(x)\,dx,\ (L)\int_a^b \overline{y}(x)\,dx].$$

Proof: By Remark 6.3, the Riemann integrability of $\underline{y}$, $\overline{y}$ means that $\rho(Y) = 0$, hence $\lambda(Y) = 0$ by (7.16). Conversely, if $\lambda(Y) = 0$, then the integral $I(Y)$ is finite, and bounded sequences $\{\underline{y}_n\}$, $\{\overline{y}_n\} \subset Y$ of Lebesgue integrable functions may be found which converge to $\underline{y}$ and $\overline{y}$, respectively. It follows ([3], p. 81) that $\underline{y}$ and $\overline{y}$ are Lebesgue integrable on [a,b], and, as

(7.18) $$\lim_{n \to \infty} (L)\int_a^b \underline{y}_n(x)\,dx = \int_{-X}\underline{y}(x)\,dx,$$

one has that

(7.19) $$\int_{-X}\underline{y}(x)\,dx = (L)\int_a^b \underline{y}(x)\,dx,$$

and similarly for $\overline{y}$, whence (7.17). QED.

Remark 7.3. If $\underline{y}$ and $\overline{y}$ are Lebesgue integrable on [a,b], then

(7.20) $$\lambda = \max\{(L)\int_a^b \underline{y}(x)\,dx - \int_{-X}\underline{y}(x)\,dx,\ \overline{\int}_X \overline{y}(x)\,dx - (L)\int_a^b \overline{y}(x)\,dx\}.$$

This is true because $\underline{y}$ is the "smallest" Lebesgue integrable function contained in the interval function Y, and $\overline{y}$ the "largest" in the sense that for each function $y \in C_L(Y)$, one has $\underline{y}(x) \le y(x) \le \overline{y}(x)$, $a \le x \le b$. Thus,

(7.21) $$v(C_L(Y)) = [(L)\int_a^b \underline{y}(x)\,dx,\ (L)\int_a^b \overline{y}(x)\,dx],$$

from which (7.20) follows by (7.12).

8. __Improper integrals__. In ordinary integration theory, an integral

(8.1) $$r = \int_a^b y(x)\,dx$$

is said to be __improper__ if the interval of integration [a,b] is infinite, or if its integrand is unbounded on $X = [a,b]$ in the sense that given any $M > 0$, there exists a nondegenerate subinterval X_M of X such that $|y(x)| \geq M$ for $x \in X_M$. Supposing that y is unbounded at $x = a$, that is, $X_M = [a,\alpha]$, $\alpha = \alpha(M) > a$, and integrable (in the sense of Riemann) on $[\alpha,b]$, one defines the __improper Riemann integral__ of y over [a,b] to be

(8.2) $$(IR)\int_a^b y(x)\,dx = \lim_{\alpha \downarrow a}\ (R)\int_\alpha^b y(x)\,dx,$$

provided this limit exists (in the extended real number system; infinite values will be accepted here for improper integrals). Similarly, if y is Riemann integrable over [a,b] for $b > a$ finite, then

(8.3) $$(IR)\int_a^\infty y(x)\,dx = \lim_{b \to \infty}\ (R)\int_a^b y(x)\,dx$$

by definition, again if the indicated limit exists.

The definition of interval integrals given in §6 yields values of certain improper Riemann integrals if the integrand y is interpreted to be the degenerate interval function $[y,y]$, for example,

(a) $$\int_0^1 x^{-1/3}\,dx = [\tfrac{3}{2},\infty],$$

(8.4)   (b) $$\int_0^1 x^{-1}\,dx = [\infty,\infty],$$

(c) $$\int_0^\infty (-e^{-x})\,dx = [-\infty,-1].$$

In the above, the value of the improper Riemann integral appears as the finite

endpoint in each of the intervals (8.4)(a) and (8.4)(c). The indegenerate interval (8.4)(b) indicates correctly that the corresponding improper Riemann integral is <u>divergent</u>.

Definition 8.1. An interval integral (6.1) is said to be <u>infinite</u> if its value is one of the indegenerate intervals $[-\infty, -\infty]$ or $[\infty, \infty]$, <u>indeterminant</u> if it is equal to $R = [-\infty, \infty]$, or <u>improper</u> if its value is a semi-infinite interval $[a, \infty]$ or $[-\infty, b]$; otherwise, it is said to be <u>finite</u>.

The relationship between improper interval and Riemann integrals will now be considered for the cases (8.2) and (8.3), as illustrated by (8.4)(a) and (8.4)(c), respectively.

Suppose that $y(x)$ is unbounded above at $x = a$. Thus, every Darboux sum (4.7) will contain a term of the form (after elimination of nondistinct partition points, if necessary),

$$(8.5) \qquad w(X_1) \cdot \nabla y_1 = [w(X_1) \cdot c_1, \infty],$$

where $X_1 = [a, x_1]$, and

$$(8.6) \qquad c_1 = \inf_{x \in X_1} \{y(x)\}.$$

The interval integral of y will hence either be improper or infinite. The following theorem is illustrated by (8.4)(a).

Theorem 8.1. Suppose that y is Riemann integrable over $[\alpha, b]$ for $a < \alpha \leq b$, and the indefinite interval integral $I_a y(\alpha)$ satisfies

$$(8.7) \qquad \lim_{\alpha \downarrow a} I_a y(\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \downarrow a} \int_a^\alpha y(x) dx = [0, \infty];$$

then, the improper Riemann integral (8.2) of y over $[a,b]$ exists, and

$$(8.8) \qquad \int_a^b y(x) dx = [(IR) \int_a^b y(x) dx, \infty].$$

Proof: One has

$$(8.9) \qquad \int_a^b y(x) dx = \int_a^\alpha y(x) dx + \int_\alpha^b y(x) dx,$$

by Theorem 6.3, and, by Remark 6.3,

$$(8.10) \qquad \int_{\alpha}^{b} y(x)\,dx = [(R)\int_{\alpha}^{b} y(x)\,dx , (R)\int_{\alpha}^{b} y(x)\,dx] = (R)\int_{\alpha}^{b} y(x)\,dx,$$

as degenerate intervals may be identified with the corresponding real numbers. Taking the limit as $\alpha \downarrow a$ of both sides of (8.9) gives (8.8). QED.

In the case of integration over an infinite interval, say $[a , \infty]$, suppose, for example, that y is negative, but that $y(x)\uparrow 0$ as $x \to \infty$, as in (8.4)(c). Then, each Darboux sum (4.7) will correspond to a partition Δ_n with x_{n-1} finite, $x_n = +\infty$, and as

$$(8.11) \qquad \nabla y_n = [c , 0],$$

where $c = \inf_{x \in X_n} \{y(x)\} < 0$, $w(X_n) = w([x_{n-1} , \infty]) = \infty$, then each will contain a term equal to

$$(8.12) \qquad w(X_n) \cdot \nabla y_n = [-\infty , 0],$$

by rules (2.1)(iii) and (2.1)(ix). The situation illustrated by the example (8.4)(c) is a case of the following result.

Theorem 8.2. Suppose that y is Riemann integrable over the finite interval $[a,b]$ for each $b > a$, and the indefinite interval integral $I^\infty y(b)$ satisfies

$$(8.13) \qquad \lim_{b \to \infty} I^\infty y(b) = [-\infty , 0];$$

then, the improper Riemann integral (8.3) of y over $[a , \infty]$ exists, and

$$(8.14) \qquad \int_{a}^{\infty} y(x)\,dx = [-\infty , (IR)\int_{a}^{\infty} y(x)\,dx].$$

Proof: This follows exactly in the same way as Theorem 8.1 by writing

$$(8.15) \qquad \int_{a}^{\infty} y(x)\,dx = \int_{a}^{b} y(x)\,dx + \int_{b}^{\infty} y(x)\,dx,$$

and noting that

$$(8.16) \qquad \int_{a}^{b} y(x)\,dx = (R)\int_{a}^{b} y(x)\,dx$$

as a degenerate interval. QED.

Other cases of improper interval and Riemann integrals may be treated in

a similar fashion.

9. <u>Computational implications of the theory</u>. One purpose of the theory of integration of interval functions developed above is to provide a theoretical framework for the investigation of the numerical solution of linear and nonlinear integral equations such as

$$(9.1) \qquad u(x) = (R)\int_a^b g(x,t,u(x),u(t))dt$$

by interval methods. One approach along these lines is to reformulate (9.1) as an interval equation,

$$(9.2) \qquad U = T(U),$$

for an interval function U which contains the desired solution u of the integral equation (9.1). Under certain conditions, the operator T will be a contraction mapping ([1], [2]), and the iteration process

$$(9.3) \qquad U_{n+1} = T(U_n), \quad n = 0,1,2,\ldots$$

will converge to give a solution of (9.2). To implement this for the integral equation (9.1), one forms the interval functions $G_n = [\underline{g}_n, \overline{g}_n]$, $n = 0,1,2,\ldots$, where

$$\underline{g}_n(x,t) = \inf\{g(x,t,U_n(x),U_n(t))\},$$

$$(9.4)$$

$$\overline{g}_n(x,t) = \sup\{g(x,t,U_n(x),U_n(t))\},$$

and then (9.3) becomes

$$(9.5) \qquad U_{n+1}(x) = \int_a^b G_n(x,t,U_n(x),U_n(t))dt$$

in terms of interval integration. Of course, if $\underline{g}_n(x,t)$ and $\overline{g}_n(x,t)$ are Riemann integrable in t, then the endpoint functions $\underline{u}_{n+1}, \overline{u}_{n+1}$ of U_{n+1} are obtained by Riemann integration. From a numerical standpoint, in this case approximations $u^*_{n+1} \leq \underline{u}_{n+1}, u^{**}_{n+1} \geq \overline{u}_{n+1}$ may be obtained to prescribed accuracy by any one of a number of methods, including the use of Darboux sums as defined in §4 [7], with higher-order accuracy being obtainable from integration of Taylor polynomial approximations to the endpoint functions, or by other rules of numerical integration

([4], [5], [6], [9]), provided, of course, that the endpoint functions are smooth enough.

A particularly simple case occurs if g is <u>monotone</u> in the sense that

$$\underline{g}_n(x,t) = g(x,t,\underline{u}_n(x),\underline{u}_n(t)),$$

(9.6)

$$\overline{g}_n(x,t) = g(x,t,\overline{u}_n(x),\overline{u}_n(t)),$$

that is, the endpoint functions of U_n transform into the endpoint functions of G_n, and furthermore, g transforms Riemann integrable functions into Riemann integrable functions. Here, the iteration (9.5) can be carried out using only the endpoint functions if one starts with an interval $U_0 = [\underline{u}_0, \overline{u}_0]$ which has Riemann integrable endpoint functions. An example of this approach to the solution of a nonlinear integral equation was given by Rall [7], in which step-functions were used as endpoint functions (and T was approximated by a numerical operator S such that $T \subset S$). In many cases, continuous solutions u are sought for integral equations (9.1), which gives rise to the following concept.

Definition 9.1. The <u>continuous core</u> $C_C(U)$ of an interval function U on [a,b] is defined to be the set of continuous functions y contained in U, that is

(9.7) $C_C(U) = \{y \mid y \in U \cap C[a,b]\}.$

Evidently, $C_C(U) \subset C_R(U)$, the Riemann core of U defined earlier.

If g is a continuous function of its arguments, and the interval operator T is such that the continuous function v defined by

(9.8) $$v(x) = (R)\int_a^b g(x,t,u(x),u(t))dt$$

belongs to T(U) for $u \in C_C(U)$, then it follows that each continuous solution u of (9.1) will belong to $C_C(U)$ if U is a fixed interval of T, that is, if (9.2) holds. Thus, it is tempting to try to compute the sequence (9.3) using only $C_C(U_n)$, where U_0 is taken to have continuous endpoint functions. However, in general, the functions $\underline{g}_1(x,t)$ and $\overline{g}_1(x,t)$ obtained from (9.4) will be only <u>semicontinuous</u> if U_0 is replaced by $C_C(U_0)$, and these so-called L- and U-functions may not even be Riemann integrable [3]. The theory of interval integration

-30-

developed in this paper resolves this difficulty by allowing computation with the interval functions U_n directly, regardless of the character of their endpoint functions.

Remark 9.1. If $u \in C_C(U_0)$ is a solution of (9.1), then for the sequence (9.3) constructed by the operations (9.4) and the interval integration (9.5), it follows from the condition (9.8) for continuous g that

$$(9.9) \qquad u \in C_C(U_n), \quad n = 0,1,2,\ldots;$$

furthermore, for

$$(9.10) \qquad U = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n,$$

one has $u \in C_C(U)$.

Remark 9.2. In the favorable case that $U_{n+1} \subset U_n$, $n = 0,1,2,\ldots$, and

$$(9.11) \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{[a,b]} \{w(U_n(x))\} = 0,$$

one has that $U = [u, u] = u$ defined by (9.10) satisfies the integral equation (9.1), as a degenerate interval integral of a degenerate interval function is necessarily a Riemann integral; furthermore, one has error bounds of the form

$$(9.12) \qquad \underline{u}_n(x) \leq u(x) \leq \overline{u}(x), \quad a \leq x \leq b,$$

for $n = 0,1,2,\ldots$.

Further applications of interval integration to the solution of integral equations will be investigated in subsequent papers.

References

1. Ole Caprani and Kaj Madsen, Contraction mappings in interval analysis, BIT 15 (1975), 362-366.

2. Ole Caprani and Kaj Madsen, Mean value forms in interval analysis, Computing (to appear)

3. E. J. McShane, Integration, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1944.

4. R. E. Moore, The automatic analysis and control of error in digital computation based on the use of interval numbers, [8], pp. 61-130.

5. R. E. Moore, Interval Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1966.

6. R. E. Moore, Methods and Applications of Interval Analysis, SIAM Publications, Philadelphia, Pa., 1979.

7. L. B. Rall, Numerical integration and the solution of integral equations by the use of Riemann sums, SIAM Review 7 (1965), 55-64.

8. L. B. Rall (Ed.), Error in Digital Computation, Volume 1, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1965.

9. L. B. Rall, Applications of software for automatic differentiation in numerical computation, Computing (to appear)

OC/KM/LBR/jvs

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER #2087	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) INTEGRATION OF INTERVAL FUNCTIONS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report - no specific reporting period
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Ole Caprani, Kaj Madsen and L. B. Rall		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAG29-80-C-0041 DAAG29-75-C-0024 Grant No. 511-15849
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of 610 Walnut Street Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Work Unit #1-Applied Analysis Work Unit #3-Numerical Analy-sis & Computer Science
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709		12. REPORT DATE June 1980
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 32
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Interval analysis, interval functions, interval integration, interval integral equations, numerical solution of integral equations, error estimation

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

An interval function Y assigns an interval $Y(x) = [\underline{y}(x), \overline{y}(x)]$ in the extended real number system to each x in its interval $X = [a,b]$ of definition. The integral of Y over $[a,b]$ is taken to be the interval $\int_a^b Y(x)dx = [\underline{\int}_a^b \underline{y}(x)dx, \overline{\int}_a^b \overline{y}(x)dx]$, where $\underline{\int}_a^b \underline{y}(x)dx$ is the lower Darboux integral of the lower endpoint

ABSTRACT (continued)

function $\underline{y}$, and $\int_a^{\overline{b}} \overline{y}(x)\,dx$ is the upper Darboux integral of the upper endpoint
function $\overline{y}$. As these Darboux integrals always exist in the extended real number system, it follows that all interval functions are integrable, no matter how nasty the endpoint functions $\underline{y}$, $\overline{y}$ are. The interval integral defined in this way includes the interval integral of R. E. Moore as the special case that $\underline{y}$, $\overline{y}$ are continuous, and hence Riemann integrable.

   In addition to a construction of the interval integral in a form suitable for numerical approximation, some of its basic properties and other implications and applications of its definition are presented. The theory of interval integration given here supplies a previously lacking mathematical foundation for the numerical solution of integral equations by interval methods.