



SEP 2 3 1980

B

22 005

RADIATION EFFECTS ON THE MICROWAVE PROPERTIES OF GaAs MESFETs

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

Jose M. Borrego Ronald J. Gutmann Sanjay B. Moghe Kevin G. Rochford

RADC-TR-80-171 Final Technical Report

June 1980

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

80

9

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Air Force Systems Command Griffiss Air Force Base, New York 13441

This report has been reviewed by the MADC Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

RADC-TR-80-171 has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

APPROVED:

Henry M Reaugelis

HENRY M. DEANGELIS Project Engineer

APPROVED:

Clarence D. Jumer

CLARENCE D. TURNER, Acting Director Solid State Sciences Division

FOR THE COMMANDER:

John S.

JOHN P. HUSS Acting Chief, Plans Office

If your address has changed or if you wish to be removed from the RADC mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organize tion, please notify RADC (ESR), Hanscom AFB MA 01731. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

THE REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL OF A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERT

Do not return this copy. Retain or destroy.

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER RADC D+A089410 Final Zechnical Report. BADIATION EFFECTS ON THE MICROWAVE PROPERTIES OF GAAs MESFETS 6 1 Apr 78 - 30 Jun 79 . PERFORMING OTG. REPORT NUMBER AUTHORS Jose M. Borrego Sanjay B./Moghe F19628-78-C-ØØ9 0 15 Ronald J. Gutmann Kevin G./Rochford PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 0. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute 61102F Electrical and Systems Engineering Department 23060327 Troy NY 12181 11 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Deputy for Electronic Technology (RADC/ESR) June 380 NUMBER OF PAGES Hanscom AFB MA 01731 71 A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: Henry M. DeAngelis (RADC/ESR) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) **GaAs MESFET** Schottky Gate 10 to the 12th power (10 to the 15th power Newtron Radiation Effects Gamma Radiation Effects Microwave Amplifier Noise STRACT (Continue on reverse side il necessary and identify by block number) The effect of fast neutron and gamma irradiation on the electrical characteristics of low noise microwave GaAs MESFET amplifiers has been evaluated. The microwave amplifiers were realized on alumina microstrip using commercial devices mounted in a common source configuration. The changes in the noise figure and amplifier gain at S- and X-band were determined for neutron fluences between 3×10^{42} to 1×10^{45} n/em² and for a gamma dose of 2x107 rads (Si). Continued DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) LID to the 7th power

r10 to the 15th power (10 to the 14th power Agen UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered) Item 20 (Cont'd) The noise figure starts to be affected at 1×10^{14} n/om and a large deterioration is observed at 1×10^{14} n/om. The increase in noise figure is related to the decrease in transconductance and increase in the parasitic source resistance. The decrease in the amplifier gain at the high neutron fluence is due to a deterioration of the transconductance, source resistance and change in the gate to source and gate to drain capacitances. The low frequency noise increases with neutron radiation but not with γ -radiation. The low frequency noise appears not to be correlated with the microwave noise. Gamma irradiation increases the noise figure at a dose of $2x10^7$ rads (Si) without affecting the signal charac-teristics of the device. It appears that γ -radiation produces levels which vespond at microwave frequencies. TGAU41 -10 to the 7th power ainma UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIP PAGE(When Data Entered)

and the second second second second second

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Tage
LIS	OF FIGURES	iv
LIS	OF TABLES	vii
FOR	NORD	viii
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	.1 Introduction	1 2
2.	MPLIFIERS TESTED AND MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES	4
	2.1 Device Tested	4 9
	2.3 Microwave Measurements	11
	2.4 Radiation Testing	15
3.	ESULTS AND DISCUSSION	19
		19
	1.2 Low Neutron Fluence Results	19
	3.3 Changes in Low Frequency Characteristics at High Neutron	
	Neutron Fluences	22
	3.4 Changes in Microwave Noise and Gain at High Neutron	
	Fluences	26
	$3.5 \gamma-\text{Radiation Results} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots $	51
4.	CONCLUSIONS	57
5.	TRITOGRAPHY	59

ACCESSION	for
NTIS	White Section 🛋
DDC	Buff Section 🔲
UNANNOUN	ICED 🗖
JUSTI-ICAT	ION
BY	
DIZ (KIBU)	IN/AVAILABILITY LOURS
Dist. A	AIL. and / or SPECIAL
P	

Daga

AIR FORCE (1) AUGUST 7, 1980--106 1

LIST OF FIGURES

			Page
Fig.	2.1	Chip Mounting Disc	5
Fig.	2.2	Microstrip Device-Testing Structure	5
Fig.	2.3	GaAs FET Chip on Disc Showing Bonding Wires (chip dimensions 0.025" x 0.011" x 0.005")	6
Fig.	2.4	S-Band Amplifier with Tuning Discs	8
Fig.	2.5	X-Band Amplifier with Tuning Discs and Protective Cap over Chip	8
Fig.	2.6	Schematic of Low-frequency Test Set-up	10
Fig.	2.7	Schematic Diagram of S-Band Amplifier Noise and Gain Measurement Set-up	12
Fig.	2.8	X-Band Amplifier (Gain and Noise Figure) and Device (Scattering Parameter) Measurement Set-up	14
Fig.	2.9	Schematic Diagram of S-Band Scattering Parameter Measure- ment Set-up	16
Fig.	3.1	Change in the Drain Current vs. Drain Voltage DC Characteristics at Low Neutron Fluences	20
Fig.	3.2	Change in the Low Frequency Equivalent Noise Input Voltage	21
Fig.	3.3	Drain Current vs. Drain Voltage DC Characteristics after High Neutron Fluences	23
Fig.	3.4	Drain Current vs. Drain Voltage DC Characteristics after High Neutron Fluences	24
Fig.	3.5	Drain Current vs. Drain Voltage DC Characteristics after High Neutron Fluences	25
Fig.	3.6	1 MHz Transconductance vs. Gate Voltage Characteristics after High Neutron Fluence	27
Fig.	3.7	1 MHz Transconductance vs. Gate Voltage Characteristics after High Neutron Fluence	28
Fig.	3.8	1 MHz Transconductance vs. Gate Voltage Characteristics after High Neutron Fluence	29

1

ŀ

and the state of the

LIST OF FIGURES cont.

Fig.	3.9	Low Frequency Equivalent Noise Input Voltage as a Function of Frequency after High Neutron Fluence	30
Fig.	3.10	Low Frequency Equivalent Noise Input Voltage as a Function of Frequency after High Neutron Fluence	31
Fig.	3.11	Low Frequency Equivalent Noise Input Voltage as a Function of Frequency after High Neutron Fluence	32
Fig.	3.12	Change in the Noise Figure vs. Gate Voltage Charac- teristics at 3 GHz after High Neutron Fluence	33
Fig.	3.13	Change in the Noise Figure vs. Gate Voltage Charac- teristics at 3 GHz after High Neutron Fluence	34
Fig.	3.14	Change in the Noise Figure vs. Gate Voltage Charac- teristics at 3 GHz after High Neutron Fluence	35
Fig.	3.15	Change in the Amplifier Gain vs. Gate Voltage Charac- teristics at 3 GHz after High Neutron Fluence	36
Fig.	3.16	Change in the Amplifier Gain vs. Gate Voltage Charac- teristics at 3 GHz after High Neutron Fluence	37
Fig.	3.17	Change in the Amplifier Gain vs. Gate Voltage Charac- teristics at 3 GHz after High Neutron Fluence	38
Fig.	3.18	Noise Figure vs. Gate Voltage at X-Band after High Neutron Fluence	40
Fig.	3.19	Noise Figure vs. Gate Voltage at X-Band after High Neutron Fluence	41
Fig.	3.20	Noise Figure vs. Gate Voltage at X-Band after High Neutron Fluence	42
Fig.	3.21	Amplifier Gain vs. Gate Voltage at X-Band after High Neutron Fluence	43
Fig.	3.22	Amplifier Gain vs. Gate Voltage at X-Band after High Neutron Fluence	44
Fig.	3.23	Amplifier Gain vs. Gate Voltage at X-Band after High Neutron Fluence	45
Fig.	3.24	Drain to Source Resistance as a Function of Normalized Gate Voltage	49

LIST OF FIGURES cont.

And the state of the second designed and the second s

1

Fig.	3.25	Measured and after 1x10 ¹⁵	Predicted n/cm ²	Noise Figure vs. Gate Voltage	50
Fig.	3.26	Measured and after 1x10 ¹⁵	Predicted n/cm ²	Noise Figure vs. Gate Voltage	52
Fig.	3.27	Measured and after 1x10 ¹⁵	Predicted n/cm ²	Noise Figure vs. Gate Voltage	53
Fig.	3.28	γ-Irradiated at 3 GHz	Amplifier	Characteristics vs. Gate Voltage	54
Fig.	3.29	γ-Irradiated at 10 GHz .	Amplifier	Characteristics vs. Gate Voltage	55

Page

vi

LIST OF TABLES

ſ

Į.

		Page
Table 2.1	Radiation Summary	18
Table 3.1	Amplifier Characteristics Before and After Neutron Irradiation with Pre-Irradiation Minimum Noise Figure Bias	47

20 A.

PREFACE

Under RADC, Deputy for Electronic Technology, Contract No. F19628-78-C-0097, the Electrical and Systems Engineering Department of Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute carried out a study to determine the effect of neutron irradiation on the noise and gain characteristics of GaAs MESFET microwave amplifiers. This report presents the results obtained during this research program.

The authors wish to acknowledge the help given by Messrs. M. L. Deane and L. F. Lowe during the irradiations at Hanscom AFB. The effort and time spent by Dr. P. J. Drevinsky whil⁻ carrying out the neutron dosimetry is gratefully acknowledged. Special thanks are given to our contract monitor, Mr. H. M. DeAngelis, for the many technical discussions, suggestions and continued interest throughout the program. The diligence of Ms. Marita A. Jadlos while typing the reports and communications during the program are gratefully acknowledged.

EVALUATION

This report is the final report of this contract. It covers research on the effects of radiation on the microwave properties of commercially available low noise GaAs MESFET devices during the period of 1 April 1978 to 30 June 1979. The effects of fast neutron and gamma irradiation on the signal and noise properties of microwave amplifiers implemented from these devices have been evaluated. The increase in noise figure has been related to the neutron-induced degradation in transconductance and an increase in the source resistance of the devices. The work performed under this contract provides data which is needed in assessing the use of low noise MESFET amplifiers in radiation-hardened systems.

Project Engineer

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Among the several microwave FET devices, the GaAs Schottky gate FET or MESFET is considered to be the most successful device for low noise and power amplification above 4 GHz. The reasons for this are the high value of low field mobility of GaAs and that the two critical dimensions of the FET, gate length and channel thickness, can be accurately controlled on high quality GaAs epitaxial layers which can now be grown on semiinsulating GaAs. Above 4 GHz, GaAs MESFETs have better efficiency as power amplifiers and lower noise figures as low noise amplifiers than bipolar transistors. Furthermore, the FETs do not exhibit secondary breakdown, are self-ballasting and have inherently higher input impedance.

The GaAs MESFET is not only capable of low-noise amplification and high efficiency power amplification and generation, but can be used for high speed logic as well as other microwave functions such as oscillators and mixers. In fact, there is a trend toward monolithic integration for digital, analog and hybrid applications. The monolithic approach is attractive because GaAs MESFETs fabricated on the same active layer can be used as switches, logic gates with active loads, amplifiers, oscillators and mixers, and the devices can be supported, isolated and interconnected with low parasitic capacitances on the semi-insulating substrate. It can be stated that the GaAs MESFET will have a large impact in the design of the microwave communication systems and high speed logic circuit within the next five to ten years.

The GaAs MESFET is less susceptible to radiation than other semiconductor devices since it is a majority carrier device, unlike the bipolar

transistor, and without any functional insulating layers like in MOS devices. However, recent experimental results⁽¹⁾ indicate that MESFET parameters such as transconductance, source resistance and output resistance do change with irradiation. Since the values of these parameters determine the noise and gain of MESFET amplifiers at microwave frequencies, a detailed documentation of radiation effects on the noise and signal characteristics of MESFET amplifiers is clearly needed before it can be used as a low noise amplifier in radiation hardened systems. In this report, we document the results of a research program carried out to investigate the effect of radiation on the signal and noise characteristics of low noise microwave GaAs MESFET amplifiers.

1.2 Program Outline

The program focused not only in the evaluation of the change on the microwave noise and signal characteristics of S- and X-band MESFET amplifiers but also on the change in the important MESFET parameters with radiation. Device parameters measured were microwave scattering parameters at S- and X-band, static I-V characteristics, 1 MHz small signal equivalent circuit parameters and low frequency noise between 1 KHz to 1.5 MHz. The evaluation of those parameters or characteristics was considered to be desirable in order to determine the causes of any change in the noise characteristics of the amplifiers tested. In Chapter 2 we describe the devices tested, the way in which the amplifiers were realized using commercial MESFET chips, the details of the microwave and low frequency measurement set ups and the radiation facilities and procedures used. Chapter 3 contains the radiation results and documents the changes in the microwave noise and signal characteristics of the

MESFET amplifiers tested as well as our interpretation of the causes of radiation induced changes observed. The last chapter summarizes the results of the program.

2. AMPLIFIERS TESTED AND MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

2.1 Device Tested

Commercially available devices from three manufacturers, Hewlett Packard, Plessey and Nippon Electric were used for implementing the microwave amplifiers. The devices used were the HFET-1000 from Hewlett-Packard, the GAT-5 from Plessey and the NEC-244 from Nippon Electric. All of the above are low noise devices with one micron gate length, (except the GAT-5 which has a 0.8 µm gate length) useful for small signal amplification up to X-band frequencies.

Using the above devices, microwave amplifiers were realized on alumina microstrip with the devices mounted in a common source configuration. The devices were obtained in chip form and mounted on a disc which was epoxyed to the microstrip. This "chip on a disc" mounting technique reduces the parasitic lead inductance between source bonding pad and microstrip ground which can deterioriate amplifier performance.² Figures 2.1 and 2.2 are schematics of the disc and of the microstrip line on which the disc is mounted. The disc on which the GaAs FET chip is bonded consists of a bottom disc 0.180" in diameter which has a post 0.050" in diameter and 0.017" high. The chip is mounted on the post using either insulating or silver conducting epoxy. The disc is bonded to the microstrip using conducting epoxy so that it makes contact to the ground plane. Connection between the device bonding pads and the microstrip were made by thermocompression bonding 1 mil gold wire. Figure 2.3 is a photograph of a "chip-on-the-disc" with the device connected on the common source configuration. In order to protect the chip and its bonding wires during the testing and irradiations a plastic cap, cemented to the alumina substrate,







Fig. 2.2 Microstrip Device-Testing Structure



source

gate

ţ

drain



was placed on top of the device without affecting its electrical performance.

Using the above mounting technique S- and X-band amplifiers were implemented. Tuning of the input (gate) and output (drain) for mimimum noise figure was accomplished by positioning discs along the 50 Ω input and output microstrip lines.³ The tuning discs behave, in the first approximation, as lumped capacitors which placed at the proper distance from the device ports allowed tuning for minimum noise figure. The length of the alumina substrate was chosen to be 2" so that at least half of a wavelength is available at both the input and output ports for placing the matching discs at S-band. The tuning discs were either epoxyed to the microstrip making a semipermanent assembly or they could be positioned on the microstrip by means of a precision jig during microwave testing. The advantage of using the above mounting configuration is that it allows measurement of the scattering parameters of the device with the tuning discs removed. Once those measurements have been carried out, the tuning discs are properly placed over the microstrip and an amplifier is obtained (the discs can be cemented to the alumina to have a semipermanent assembly). The gain and noise characteristics of the amplifier can then be measured. If necessary, the discs can be removed to measure changes in the scattering parameters of the device after any of the irradiations. Figures 2.4 and 2.5 are photographs of an S- and X-band amplifiers respectively. The pictures show that the discs used for tuning at X-band are smaller than the ones used at S-band. Using commercial devices such as HFET-1000 from Hewlett-Packard GAT-5 from Plessey and NEC-244 from Nippon Electric, amplifiers with 14 dB gain and noise figure (NF) of 2.1 dB at



Fig. 2.4 S-Band Amplifier with Tuning Discs



Fig. 2.5 X-Band Amplifier with Tuning Discs and Protective Cap over Chip

3 GHz and 8 dB gain and 2.9 NF at 9 GHz were realized. Typical bandwidths were 200 MHz for a change of 0.3 dB in NF with a corresponding change of \pm 2 dB in the amplifier gain.

2.2 Low Frequency Measurements

Low frequency testing consisted of the measurement of the DC characteristics drain current I_D vs. drain voltage V_D as a function of gate voltage V_G , of the 1 MHz voltage gain and of the low frequency noise between 1 KHz and 1.5 MHz. Figure 2.6 shows a schematic diagram used for the DC and 1 MHz characterization of the GaAs MESFET. The set-up consisted of a Princeton Applied Research Model 410 C-V plotter, a special purpose ramp generator for sweeping the drain voltage, an RF voltmeter with DC analog output and the MESFET mounted in the same jig used in the microwave measurements described previously. By operating the above set-up in conjunction with an X-Y recorder, real time plots of drain current vs. drain voltage, 1 MHz voltage gain vs. drain voltage could be produced for several values of gate to source voltages. The data was recorded on 11" x 15" graph sheets which allowed for good resolution in reducing the data.

The drive terminal of the PAR-410 C-V plotter supplied the gate-tosource DC bias voltage as well as the 1 MHz small signal voltage (15 mV rms) for the voltage gain measurements. The measurement circuit was designed to prevent any instabilities with output loads R_L ranging from 10 ohms to open circuited conditions. This was achieved by mounting the several components (low inductance resistors and capacitors) in 50 ohm coaxial connectors and placing a 300 pF capacitor between drain and source. The output of the ramp generator had a filter which, in combination





64.1.1

with the 300 pF capacitor, prevented any loading of the drain terminals at 1 MHz.

Low frequency noise measurements were carried out in the same set up except that the C-V plotter was substituted by a filtered battery to provide gate bias and a low noise 50 Ω resistor, in series with a by pass capacitor, was used for terminating the gate to source terminals. The value of the load resistor R_L used was of the order of 500 Ω so that the low frequency voltage gain of the MESFET amplifier was of the order of 10 at zero gate bias. During the measurements the voltage of the ramp generator was kept constant at 3 volts so that the devices were in saturation. In the above set up the RF voltmeter served as a low noise wide band amplifier with a gain of 100. The voltmeter was followed by an HP-310A wave analyzer which measured the noise voltage. The above set up was also automated and if operated in conjunction with an X-Y recorder real time plots of noise voltage vs. frequency could be recorded for several values of gate voltage.

2.3 Microwave Measurements

Microwave measurements emphasized amplifier noise and gain measurements at both S- and X-bands. In a few cases the microwave scattering parameters of the devices were measured by removing the tuning discs of the amplifier, which had been epoxyed to the microstrip. In those cases any additional amplifier measurements were carried out by positioning the tuning discs, on the input and output lines, with a special microstrip jig.

Figure 2.7 is a schematic diagram of the S-band amplifier noise and gain measurement set up. The set up has the capability of amplifier noise



ŕ

A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER



A DE TRACTOR DE TRACTOR

in the second

12

......

Calman Hickory

and gain measurement as a function of frequency and as a function of gate bias. The key components of the set up are: a broad (1-12.4 GHz) solid state noise source (Ailtech Mod. 7616), an S-band mixer (Ailtech Model 13505), a 30 MHz IF amplifier with a 3 MHz noise bandwidth (Ailtech Model 13639) and a Precision Noise Figure Indicator (Ailtech Model 7512C). The above set up measures the noise figure by the Y-factor method with an accuracy and reproducibility better than 0.1 dB. The minimum noise figure that can be measured is determined by the noise of the mixer - IF amplifier combination (second stage contribution) and the gain of the amplifier being evaluated. The noise figure of the second stage (mixer plus IF amplifier) is 6.8, 6.6 and 11.6 dB at 2, 3 and 3.8 GHz. For amplifiers with a 15 dB of gain, the noise contributed by the second stage is 0.5, 0.46 and 1.5 dB at the above specified frequencies. This indicates that noise figures as low as 0.1 to 0.5 dB can be measured in amplifiers with a gain of 15 dB in the S-band frequency range.

The same noise measurement components, except for an X-band mixer, Ailtech Model 13546, instead of an X-band mixer were used for measuring noise at X-band. Figure 2.8 is a schematic diagram of the X-band amplifier noise and gain measurement setup, which was also used for device scattering parameter evaluation. Three 4-port transfer switches were used so that these measurements could be performed with no critical connections having to be changed. As shown the setup is used for noise figure measurements. With both S_1 and S_2 switched, a gain measurement is possible, the gain reference being taken by switching S_3 . The second stage noise figure (from 10 dB coupler at device under test output "back") is 8 to 10 dB from



X-Band Amplifier (Gain and Noise Figure) and Device (Scattering Parameter) Measurement Setup 2.8 Fig.

9 to 11 GHz, which results in a significant noise contribution with the lower gain X-band amplifiers. However the measurement reproducibility, a key factor in the program, was not adversely affected.

Figure 2.9 shows the microwave bridge used for scattering parameter measurements at S-band. The bridge is used in a conventional manner to obtain the 4 scattering parameters. Precision 7 mm connectors were used in the critical test components and the 7 mm to microstrip launchers were the only adapters used in the circuitry which could affect measurement precision. The phase shifter utilized was a Narda Model 3752 and the attenuators were a Weinnchel Model 953 and an Alfred Model El03. With this test set up a gain and phase accuracy of ± 0.3 dB and $\pm 2.0^{\circ}$ was achieved.

2.4 Radiation Testing

The amplifiers were exposed to neutron and γ -radiation. Two different neutron sources were used. One of them was the Van de Graaff accelerator of the Solid State Sciences Division RADC/ES at Hanscom Air Force Base, MA which produced a 5.5 MeV monoenergetic neutron beam. With this neutron source the devices were exposed to total fluences in the range of 3×10^{12} , 8×10^{12} and 2×10^{13} n/cm² as determined by sulfur pellet dosimetry. The second neutron source used was the water moderated Biomedical Research Reactor at Brookhaven National Laboratory which has a fission type spectrum. The neutron fluences with this source were 1×10^{14} , 4×10^{14} and 1×10^{15} n/cm².

The γ -irradiations were carried out at the Co⁶⁰ source facility at Hanscom AFB. In this case the devices were exposed to a total dose of $2x10^7$ rads (Si). No other doses were used since from the previous program ¹ we had determined that all the device signal characteristics



State Ba ٠,٨

i da

.

Sec. in

were very insensitive to γ -radiation up to 8×10^7 rads (Si). During all the irradiations, both neutron and gamma, the devices were unbiased and their temperature was below 30° C. Amplifier characterization was carried out before and after each irradiation. Usually the measurements were carried out one or two days after the amplifier had been irradiated, except during the first two irradiations of the program. During those irradiation low frequency characterization and S-band noise measurements were done one or two hours after device irradiation. No X-band measurements were done in this case.

Table 2.1 is a summary of the six irradiations performed in the seven amplifiers tested during the program. The first irradiation was done mainly with the purpose of testing our instrumentation. The second irradiation was designed for determining changes in amplifier characteristics at low neutron fluences $(10^{12} \text{ to } 10^{13} \text{ n/cm}^2)$ using the monoenergetic neutron beam of the Van de Graaff accelerator. Irradiations 3, 4 and 5 were done at the Brookhaven facility which provided a higher neutron fluence in a shorter time. The last irradiation, No. 6, was used to determine the effect of γ -dose in amplifier characteristics. It was done on amplifiers which had been irradiated at a low neutron fluence because their characteristics had not changed by any appreciable amount. The changes observed during the irradiations were so consistent that it was not necessary to test more than the seven amplifiers shown.

17

. .

* Table 2.1 - Radiation Summary

تخافف والمعنيات وأستناقل

تعاشية فسنتشف فللك

ومعاولاتهم والملاح وتعاولا والمناجع والمراجع والمتعاول

	H	lanscom AFB	(n/cm ²)		Broc	okhaven (n/c	.m ²)	Hanscom AFB
Device	Irrad. 1	[Irrad. 2		Irrad. 3	Irrad. 4	Irrad. 5	Irrad. 6
NEC-244-31	3×10 ¹²	8.3×10 ¹²	1.9×10 ¹³	ł	1×10 ¹⁴	4x10 ¹⁴	1x10 ¹⁵	8
GAT-5-48	I	2.1x10 ¹²	6.5x10 ¹²	1x10 ¹³	1×10 ¹⁴	4×10 ¹⁴	1x10 ¹⁵	ı
HFET-1000-44	I	6.3x10 ¹²	1.5×10 ¹³	J	1×10 ¹⁴	4×10 ¹⁴	1x10 ¹⁵	ı
GAT-5-43	ł	2.1x10 ¹²	6.5x10 ¹²	1×10 ¹³	I	1	J	2x10 ⁷ rads(S1)
NEC-244-35	I	2.5x10 ¹²	6.1×10 ¹²	1	I	1	١	2x10 ⁷ rads(S1)
NEC-244-32	1	2.5×10 ¹²	6.1×10 ¹²	1	I	1	I	$4.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ n/cm}^2$
NEC-244-49	I	ł	ı	1	I	1	6x10 ¹⁴	r

* Neutron fluences are total at each facility.

of the local data and the second s

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Introduction:

In this chapter we summarize the radiation results and present our interpretation of the causes of the radiation induced changes in amplifier signal characteristics. The results are presented in the same order as the one in which they were obtained during the program; first the low neutron fluence irradiation results, then the results obtained with the high neutron fluence irradiation and last the γ -dose irradiation results.

3.2 Low Neutron Fluence Results:

As shown in the Radiation Summary given in Table 2.1 page 18, irradiation #2 was carried out for determining the effect of low neutron fluence on amplifier signal characteristics and it was done using the 5.5 MeV neutron source at Hanscom AFB. For fluences below 1×10^{13} n/cm², the change in any of the low frequency characteristics was less than 2% or 3% and no change was observed on the microwave amplifier noise or gain. This was consistently observed in all the 6 amplifiers tested. Measurable changes in some of the low frequency characteristics were observed in device NEC-244-32 which was irradiated to a fluence of 4.5×10^{13} n/cm² at the end of the program (Irradiation #6). Fig. 3.1 shows the DC I_D vs. V_D characteristics before and after irradiation. The saturated drain current decreased approximately 5mA for gate biases close to zero. No change was observed in the transconductance g_m nor in the microwave noise figure although a change was observed in the low frequency noise. Fig. 3.2 shows the low frequency equivalent input noise voltage in the frequency range 1 kHz - 1.5 MHz at zero gate bias before and after irradiation. The decrease in the saturated drain current and the increase in the low frequency noise indicate that some



20

a second seco



trap levels have been introduced which produce some compensation in the channel and which increase the low frequency noise. These traps apparently do not respond at microwave frequencies since the microwave noise figure was not increased.

3.3 Changes in Low Frequency Characteristics at High Neutron Fluences:

Figs. 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 show the DC I_D vs. V_D characteristics of the three devices NEC-244-31, GAT-5-48 and HFET-1000-44 which were irradiated at the Brookhaven facility to total neutron fluences of 1×10^{14} , 4×10^{14} and 1×10^{15} n/cm². The characteristics for the first dose are not given because the change in the saturated drain current at zero bias was of the order of 1 to 2 mA for the three devices. Notice that the changes in saturated drain current are very similar in all the devices being highest for the GAT-5-48 which had the highest pre-irradiation drain current. For fluence above 10^{14} n/cm² there is appreciable degradation in the saturated drain current and a decrease in the magnitude of the gate pinch-off voltage as determined by plotting $\sqrt{T_D}$ versus gate voltage and extrapolating to zero current. For example for NEC-244-31 the pinch-off voltage decreased to 1.2 volts after 1×10^{15} n/cm² from an initial value of 1.5 volts before irradiation.

It is interesting to compare the change in saturated drain current shown in Fig. 3.1 for the NEC-244-32 with the one shown in Fig. 3.3 for the NEC-244-31 since each was irradiated with different neutron spectrum. Assuming that percent changes in the saturated drain current at zero bias are proportional to the damage introduced, it is found that 5.5 MeV neutrons at the Hanscom facility are 5 to 8 times more effective in producing damage than the neutrons at Brookhaven. This number is reasonable since the radiation damage equivalent of 5.5 Me V in silicon is around 2.





k


Figures 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8 show the low frequency (1 MHz) transconductance g_m before and after irradiation to $1 \times 10^{15} n/cm^2$ for the three devices. Notice that at zero gate bias the change in this parameter is not as large as the one observed in the drain current. The change in the transconductance occurs at reverse bias which is consistent with the fact that the pinch-off voltage has decreased. The large change observed in the saturated drain current and the smaller change observed in the zero gate bias transconductance are due to the non-uniform doping of the active channel as explained previously.¹

Figs. 3.9, 3.10 and 3.11 show the change in low frequency equivalent input noise voltages between 1 KHz - 1.5 MHz at reverse gate bias. The data is presented for a single neutron fluence. Similar results were obtained at other neutron fluences.⁴ The noise power spectrum has approximately 1/f frequency dependence and the noise voltage increases by a factor of 2 to 3. This increase in noise voltage is appreciable and indicates that the deep levels introduced produce noise above the noise due to the deep levels present before irradiation. The increase in noise voltage at zero gate bias was less than at reverse bias indicating that the noise increases with the size of the depletion layer in the active layer of the device.

3.4 Changes in Microwave Noise and Gain at High Neutron Fluences:

Figs. 3.12 to 3.17 show the noise figure and transducer power gain as a function of reverse gate bias at a frequency of 3 GHz (to insure reproducibility in the data, the tuning discs of these three S-band amplifiers were epoxyed to the microstrip after positioning for minimum noise figure at 3 GHz before irradiation). Notice that there is a monotonic increase in the minimum noise figure with neutron fluence and that this minimum shifts to a lower value of gate bias. This shift is caused by the decrease in pinch-off voltage as

26





















nidə





A CONTRACT OF A CO

observed in the DC I_D vs. V_D characteristics discussed in Sec. 3.3. It should be pointed out that the increase in the minimum noise figure is of the order of 0.7 dB at 1×10^{15} n/cm², however if the gate bias voltage remains the same as before irradiation then the increase in noise figure is of the order of 1.3 dB.

The degradation in the amplifier gain is appreciable at a fluence of $1 \times 10^{15} n/cm^2$. Below this fluence the change is caused by the slight change in the transconductance and parasite series resistance. At a fluence of $1 \times 10^{15} n/cm^2$ there is a large change in the gain. This is caused by deterioration of the transconductance, increase of the parasitic series resistance and possibly a change in the reactive elements such as gate to source capacitance. At these fluences compensation starts to take place in the part of the active layer which is doped approximately to 10^{17} per cm³ since the neutron carrier revomal in GaAs is of the order of 10 cm^{-1} . The shape of the dependence of noise figure and amplifier gain with frequency was almost invariant with neutron fluence. As the neutron fluence was increased there was a monotonic deterioration of amplifier performance without changing its bandwidth except at the highest fluence.

Figs. 3.18 to 3.23 show the noise figure and transducer power gain for the same devices at X-band. At this frequency optimum tuning was not achieved in the HFET-1000-44 because the S-band discs were fixed. The noise figure at X-band is higher than at S-band because of its dependence upon frequency and the amplifier gain is lower because at these frequency parasitic elements such as source resistance, source inductance and gate to drain capacitance start to dominate the gain characteristics. At X-band frequencies, slight changes in any of these parameters affect the gain to









and the second second





a larger extent than they do at S-band. We believe that the large deterioration that is observed on the gain at 1×10^{15} n/cm², compared to the one observed at 4×10^{14} n/cm², is because of changes in the gate to source and gate to drain capacitances. As mentioned previously, compensation should start to take place at this high fluence. A summary of the changes observed in these devices is presented in Table 3.1.

A theory for the noise of GaAs MESFETs has been developed by Pucel et.al.⁵ taking into account diffusion noise of the electrons moving at saturated velocity from source to drain. In this theory the minimum noise figure F is given by:

$$F = 1 + \frac{\omega c_{gs}}{s_m} \sqrt{k_g (k_r + g_m r_s)}$$
 (3.1)

where ω is the frequency of operation, C_{gs} is the gate to source capacitance, g_m is the transconductance, r_s is any parasitic series resistance in the gate-source terminals and k_g and k_r are parameters related to noise correlation between drain and gate. For microwave FETs the value of k_r is an order of magnitude less than $g_m r_s$, except close to pinch-off, so that Eq. (3.1) can be simplified to:

$$F = 1 + \omega C_{gs} \sqrt{k_g r_s/g_m}$$
(3.2)

The value of k_g depends upon the device dimensions and upon the drain current but in the first approximation it can be considered gate bias voltage dependent. If that is the case, it is possible to relate the noise figure F_R after irradiation to the noise figure F_o before irradiation in terms of the values of g_m and r_g before and after irradiation at each gate bias voltage. That is from Eq. (3.2) it follows that:

Amplifier Characteristics Before and After Neutron Irradiation with Pre-Irradiation Minimum Noise Figure Bias. Table 3.1

		S Ba	pu	X B	and
Device	Characteristic	Before Irradiation	10 ¹⁵ n/cm ²	Before Irradiation	10 ¹⁵ n/cm ²
NEC 244-31	Noise Figure (dB)	2.3	3.5	3.3	5.7
	Gain	14.5	11.0	9.1	<3.0 dB
HFET 1000-44	Noise Figure (dB)	2.7	4.1	4.6*	5.6
	Gain (dB)	14.0	11.0	4.5*	3.1
GAT 5-48	Noise Figure (dB)	2.4	3.8	4.2	5.8
	Gain (dB)	14.0	12.1	5.6	2.5

* Optimum pre-irradiation tuning not possible with fixed S band disc.

$$\frac{F_{R}^{-1}}{F_{o}^{-1}} = \frac{(\sqrt{r_{g}/g_{m}})_{R}}{(\sqrt{r_{g}/g_{m}})_{o}}$$
(3.3)

assuming that the gate to source capacitance does not change with irradiation. This is not a bad assumption at fluences below 10^{15} n/cm² where carrier removal is small compared to the background doping of the active layer. For fluences at and above 10^{15} n/cm^2 that assumption may not be valid but any change in capacitance will be neglected. In order to check if Eq. (3.3) applies, we measured the source to drain resistance R_{ds} from the linear part of the $I_{\rm D}$ vs. $V_{\rm D}$ characteristics and plotted it as a function of normalized gate bias. The plot is shown in Fig. 3.24 for the NEC-244-31 and the extrapolation gives the value of the parasitic source to drain resistance $r_a + r_d$ which can be split equally between source r_s and drain resistance r_d . We also measured the transconductance g_m at 1 MHz as a function of gate bias after irradiation and it is shown in Fig. 3.6. Using the results of Figs. 3.6 and 3.24 and the noise figure measured before irradiation, we have calculated the noise figure using Eq. (3.3) and the results for the NEC device are shown in Fig. 3.25. There is good agreement between theory and experiment except close to pinch-off, indicating that the degradation in microwave noise of the GaAs MESFET due to neutron radiation is caused by a decrease in the transconductance and an increase in the parasitic source resistance. Similar calculations were carried out for GAT-5-48 and HFET-1000-44 and the results are shown in Figs. 3.26 and 3.27. The agreement for these devices is not as good as the one obtained for the NEC-244-31.

Close to pinch-off the noise figure increases faster with gate bias than the one predicted by Eq. 3.3. We believe this is because close to pinch-off the term $g_m r_s$ is smaller than k_r in Eq. (3.1). If this is the



FIG. 3.24 - DRAIN TO SOURCE RESISTANCE AS A FUNCTION OF NORMALIZED GATE VOLTAGE.



case, then Eq. (3.1) changes to:

$$F = 1 + \frac{\omega C_{gs}}{g_m} \sqrt{k k_r}$$
(3.4)

Using the above equation instead to Eq. (3.2), we can relate the noise figures before and after irradiation and we obtain:

$$\frac{F_{R}^{-1}}{F_{o}^{-1}} = \frac{(g_{m})_{o}}{(g_{m})_{R}}$$
(3.5)

which has a stronger dependence upon g_m than Eq. (3.3) does. We have used the above equation for gate voltages close to pinch-off and plotted the calculated results in Figs. 3.26 and 3.27 for devices GAT-5-48 and HFET-1000-44. The figures show that indeed the increase in noise figure close to pinch-off is better described by Eq. (3.5) than by Eq. (3.3).

The above results indicate that the degradation in microwave noise due to neutron irradiation in GaAs MESFETs is due mainly to a decrease in the transconductance and an increase in the parasitic series resistance. For gate voltages close to zero bias the degradation appears to follow Eq. (3.3) and close to pinch-off follows Eq. (3.5). Although at the neutron fluences tested the amount of traps introduced is appreciable as indicated by low frequency noise measurements, these traps do not seem to affect the microwave noise.

3.5 Y-Radiation Results:

Figs. 3.28 and 3.29 show the noise figure and transducer power gain at 3 and 9 GHz respectively for a Plessey GAT-5 device before and after 2×10^7 rads (Si). This amplifier was optimally tuned for minimum noise figure at 3 GHz and the discs epoxyed to the microstrip. The noise figure before γ -irradiation appears to be slightly high because it was taken after the device had been irradiated to 1×10^{13} n/cm². The data shows that the gain is



And the state of the second second

1000

È





ļ



unaffected by Y-irradiation up to that dose but the noise figure increased by 0.4 dB at 3 GHz and by 0.6 dB at 9 GHz. This increase in noise figure takes place before there is any appreciable change in the small signal parameters of the device as determined from microwave measurements and by 1 MHz small signal parameters measurements. At that dose the DC characteristics and the small signal parameters change less than 5% from their pre-irradiation value.

The increase in noise figure in this case cannot be explained in the same way as it was explained for the neutron radiation case. The increase in noise figure may be caused by traps due to radiation damage. This is quite possible since at dose levels of 2×10^7 rads (Si), γ -radiation must certainly produce displacement damage. In order to check for any additional traps, the low frequency noise was measured before and after γ -irradiation. No appreciable change was observed as it was found in the case of neutron radiation. We can only conclude that the concentration of traps introduced by γ -irradiation which affect low frequency noise must be less than the concentration present before irradiation.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this program we evaluated the change in microwave noise and gai of GaAs MESFET S- and X-band amplifiers with neutron and γ -radiation. source amplifiers were realized in alumina microstrip using commercial vices from Nippon Electric, Plessey and Hewlett-Packard. The amplifier were exposed to neutron radiation with two different radiation sources. of them was the Van der Graaf accelerator at Hanscom AFB which produced 5.5 MeV monoenergetic neutron beam. With this neutron source no degrac tion was observed in the microwave characteristics of the amplifiers up the maximum fluence used of $4.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ n/cm}^2$. The other neutron source u was the water moderated BMR reactor at Brookhaven National Laboratory. slight degradation in the noise figure at $1 \times 10^{14} \text{ n/cm}^2$ and a large dete ation of noise figure and amplifier gain at $1 \times 10^{15} \text{ n/cm}^2$ were observed this neutron source.

The degradation in noise figure was correlated to the decrease in transconductance g_m and increase in source resistance r_s . For gate bis voltages close to zero, the noise figure appears to degrade as $\sqrt{r_s/g_m}$ for gate bias voltages close to pinch-off as $1/g_m$. The degradation in amplifier gain was caused not only by the decrease in g_m and increase to but also by a change in the gate to source and gate to drain capacitan. This takes place at fluences of $1 \times 10^{15} \text{ n/cm}^2$ when carrier removal star to change that part of the active layer which is heavily doped ($\gtrsim 10^{17}$ No correlation was found between the changes in low frequency noise (1 1.5 MHz) with the changes in noise figure.

Gamma-irradiation was found to increase the noise figure at a dos 2×10^7 rads (Si) without changing the signal characteristics of the dev the low frequency noise. This indicates that the change in microwave

could be due to additional traps introduced by γ -radiation and which respond to microwave frequencies. Since the low frequency noise was not affected, the trap concentration introduced appears to be less than the relevant trap concentration already present before irradiation.

5. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. J. M. Borrego et.al., IEEE Trans. on Nuclear Science NS-25, 1436, (1978).
- 2. N. A. Slaymaker et.al., IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Technique <u>MTT-24</u>, 329, (1976).
- 3. H. E. G. Luxton, Proc. 4th European Microwave Conference (Montreaux 1974), pp. 92-96.
- 4. S. B. Moghe et.al., Electronics Letters <u>14</u>, 637, (1978).
- 5. R. A. Pucel et.al., Adv. in Electronic and Electron Physics <u>38</u>, New York Academic Press, 1975, pp. 195-265.

MISSION of

XXXXX

Rome Air Development Center

RADC plans and executes research, development, test and selected acquisition programs in support of Command, Control Communications and Intelligence $(C^{3}I)$ activities. Technical and engineering support within areas of technical competence is provided to ESD Program Offices (POs) and other ESD elements. The principal technical mission areas are communications, electromagnetic guidance and control, surveillance of ground and aerospace objects, intelligence data collection and handling, information system technology, ionospheric propagation, solid state sciences, microwave physics and electronic reliability, maintainability and compatibility.