



MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

LINCOLN LABORATORY





TECHNICAL NOTE (1980-27 10 APR TN-1980-27 Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. (16 2629! 15) F19628-80-C-0002 [15] EFI (9)-R-8p-70 LEXINGTON MASSACHUSETTS

Abstract

The relative variation in the pattern function for a 32 element, multibeam EHF satellite phased array has been incorporated into the equation for downlink margin. The corrected margin is used to determine allowable rain attenuation (dB) and predict link availability based on Crane's 8 region world wide rain rate distribution and climate model. Link availability contours are computed for the cases of a 31 beam and 37 beam phased array. Four equispaced geostationary satellites are required for either configuration in order to provide 0.99 (or greater) link availability to all points within the 10° elevation angle field of view for the nominal value of downlink margin and a frequency of 20.7 GHz.

Acces NILS DDO IAS

CONTENTS

î

	Abst	ract	iii
	List	of Illustrations	v
	List	of Tables	viii
Ι.	INTR	ODUC'T LON	1
II.	BACK	GROUND	3
	Α.	31 and 37 Beam Downlink Multiple Beam Antenna Configurations	3
	В.	System Margin Computation	3
[[].	Comp	UTED RESULTS	8
(۷.	CONC	LUS CONS	45
Ackno	wledgm	ent	48
Refer	ences		49
Appen	dix A:	Computation of Effective Beam Spacing Parameter	
		System C'nfiguration	50
Append	dix B:	32 Element Phased Array Structure	53

ív

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure No.

1.	Current System Downlink Beam Coverage (32 Elements; 31 Beams)	4
2.	Prior System Downlink Beam Coverage (32 Elements; 37 Beams)	5
3.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 13.6 dB Margin; 110 ⁰ W Subpoint	9
4.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 13.6 dB Margin; 20°W Subpoint	10
5.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 13.6 dB Margin; 70 ⁰ E Subpoint	11
б.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 13.6 dB Margin; 160 ⁰ E Subpoint	12
7.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 10.6 dB Margin; 110 ⁰ W Subpoint	13
8.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 10.6 dB Margin; 20 ⁰ W Subpoint	14
9.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 10.6 dB Margin; 70 ⁰ E Subpoint	15
10.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 10.6 dB Margin; 160 ⁰ E Subpoint	16
11.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 16.6 dB Margin; 110 ⁰ W Subpoint	17
12.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 16.6 dB Margin; 20 ⁰ W Subpoint	18
13.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 16.6 dB Margin; 70 ⁰ E Subpoint	19
14.	Availability Contours for Uniform Pattern; 16.6 dB Margin; 160 ⁰ E Subpoint	20
15.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern;	21

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (cont'd)

Figure No.

16.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 13.6 dB Margin; 20 ⁰ W Subpoint	22
17.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 13.6 dB Margin; 70 ⁰ E Subpoint	23
18.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 13.6 dB Margin; 160 ⁰ E Subpoint	24
19.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 10.6 dB Margin; 110 ⁰ W Subpoint	25
20.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 10.6 dB Margin; 20 ⁰ W Subpoint	26
21.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 10.6 dB Margin; 70 ⁰ E Subpoint	27
22.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 10.6 dB Margin; 160 ⁰ E Subpoint	28
23.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 16.6 dB Margin; 110°W Subpoint	29
24.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 16.6 dB Margin; 20 ⁰ W Subpoint	30
25.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 16.6 dB Margin; 70 ⁰ E Subpoint	31
26.	Availability Contours for 31 Beam PA Pattern; 16.6 dB Margin; 160 ⁰ E Subpoint	32
27.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 13.0 dB Margin; 110 ⁰ W Subpoint	33
28.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 13.0 dB Margin; 20 ⁰ W Subpoint	34
29.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 13.0 dB Margin; 70 ⁰ E Subpoint	35
30.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 13.0 dB Margin: 160 ⁰ E Subpoint	36

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (cont'd)

Figure No.

31.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 10.0 dB Margin; 110 ⁰ W Subpoint	37
32.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 10.0 dB Margin; 20 ⁰ W Subpoint	38
33.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 10.0 dB Margin; 70 ⁰ E Subpoint	39
34.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 10.0 dB Margin; 160 ⁰ E Subpoint	40
35.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 16.0 dB Margin; 110 ⁰ W Subpoint	41
36.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 16.0 dB Margin; 20 ⁰ W Subpoint	42
37.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 16.0 dB Margin; 70 ⁰ E Subpoint	43
38.	Availability Contours for 37 Beam PA Pattern; 16.0 dB Margin; 160 ⁰ E Subpoint	44

vii

3.64

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.

1.	Nominal Downlink Phased Array System Margin	7
2.	Number of Satellites Required for Maximum Earth Coverage from Geostationary Orbit	46

viii

I. INTRODUCTION

A numerical model for computing predicted values of system availability for geostationary satellites operating in EHF or SHF bands has been generated and documented¹. That model utilizes Crane's 8 region rain rate distribution and climate model^{2,3} to determine an allowable value of rain attenuation corresponding to threshold operation from the relation given in Eqn. (1). For any visible point on the Earth's surface, this long term value of allowable attenuation is used to predict percent of time (of year) for which that value of attenuation is exceeded and, hence, availability.

$$L_{rain}(dB) = M(dB) - L_{slant range}(dB) - L_{absorption}(dB)$$
(1)

where

The model described in Reference 1 made no provisions for variations in antenna pattern coverage over the Earth field of view, i.e., a uniform (isotropic) gain value was assumed.

The current system design contains a 32 element phased array structure for the downlink antenna. The phase shifters will be programmed to produce a pattern of multiple beams. Current design calls for this pattern to be implemented with 31 beams, the totality of which covers the entire Earth field of view. Prior designs called for the implementation using 37 beams. In either case, the downlink array gain pattern varies less than 5 dB between the maximum value found at the subpoint and the minimum value found at several points on the Earth limb. The system availability contour plots generated in

this report incorporate this gain variation for the downlink phased array in determining allowable rain attenuation for threshold operation per Eqn. (2).

$$L_{rain} = M(dB) - L_{slant range}(dB) - L_{absorbtion}(dB) + \Delta G_{PA}(dB)$$
(2)

where

ΔG_{PA} = gain degradation variation (dB) of the downlink phased array pattern for any point in the Earth field of view

The computed results given in Section III of this report show moderate reductions in the computed values of system availability in concurrence with the related reduction of allowable rain attenuation per Eqn. (2).

II. BACKGROUND

A. 31 and 37 Beam Downlink Multiple Beam Antenna Configurations

The current system description contains a 32 element downlink phased array structure. Since the selection of the number of beams used to cover the Earth field of view has no direct relationship to the choice of the number of elements in the phased array, it is reasonable to allow and even expect the number of beams to vary during the period of detailed delineation of user requirements. In fact, the most recent description shows the downlink phased array as providing 31 beams vs the previously defined 37 beam distribution covering the Earth field of view.

Fig. 1 shows the distribution of the 31 beam configuration over the Earth view projection plane. The smaller circles represent individual beams and are plotted so that all the interior beams just touch one another. As such, the circles do not represent any specific value of relative gain. The 37 beam configuration of the prior baseline is shown in Fig. 2 again using idealized circular beam cross-sections to depict the individual beams. The current 31 beam configuration is derivable from the prior baseline 37 beam configuration by deleting the six vertex beams, enlarging the area of coverage for each individual beam 3.40° vs 2.98° to beam osculation points, and slightly (0.6°) pulling the centers of the six outermost pairs of beams toward the center of the Earth field of view. The latter modification has the effect of reducing the beam spacing parameter for the outermost 12 beams (3.1732° vs 3.40° for the remainder of the beams). The calculations performed to compute this effective beam spacing parameter for the six pairs of peripheral beams are reproduced in Appendix A. A review of the highlights of the phased array structure is given in Appendix B.

B. System Margin Computation

The system availability contours provided in Reference 1 were computed for 3 parametric values of link margin (6, 12, and 18 dB, respectively). The plots generated for those values were intended for use in scoping system availability as a function of basic system design variables. The current Beam spacing = 3.4 deg. except for outermost pairs which have an effective beam spacing of 3.1732 deg. due to being pulled in by 0.6 deg. toward center of FOV.



Fig. 1. Current system downlink beam coverage (32 elements; 31 beams)

Beam spacing = 2.98 deg. for all beams.





system configuration, however, has called out specific values for the downlink parameters (e.g., center frequency of 20.7 GHz and nominal system margin of 13.6 dB for the current baseline and 13.0 dB for the prior baseline (37 beam) array). The derivation of nominal system margin has been reviewed in Table I.

The required value of P_R/N_0 (59.2 dB) represents the nominal data loading case of 52 vocoded voice (VV) users of 2400 bps each with 2 frequency hops per user per data frame (or an equivalent number of moderate bandwidth channels). An increase or reduction by 3 dB from this nominal downlink margin requirement represents an approximate corresponding increase or decrease by a factor of 2 in the user data rates. Current system budgets allow for this (and greater) variation in data rates.

۰.

		TABLE	I		
NOMINAL	DOWNLINK	PHASED	ARRAY	SYSTEM	MARGIN

32 Element PA (Transmit) Gain	(+33.0 dBi for 37 beam array)
	\+33.6 dBi for 31 beam array ∫
Hardware/Circuit Loss	- 0.4 dB
Phase Shifter Quantization loss	- 0.2 dB
Transmit Power (19.2 W)	+12.8 dBw
Net Satellite EIRP	(+45.2 dBw for 37 beam array)
	{+45.8 dBw for 31 beam array}
Path Loss	-209.8 dB (at subpoint)
Terminal Receive Gain	+39.4 dBi
Receive Feedline Loss	- 1.0 d8
Radome Loss	- 1.0 dB
Pointing Loss	- 0.4 dB
Net Receive Power (P _R)	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} -127.0 \text{ dBw for 31 beam array} \\ 127.6 \text{ dBw for 31 beam array} \end{array}\right\}$
Eff Notes Torr (N) = 0000	(-127.6 dBW for 37 beam array)
Err. Noise temp. $(N_0) = 800^{\circ}K$	-199.8 dBW
Available P _R /N _O	72.8 dB
Required P _R /N _O	59.2 dB
Downlink Margin	(+13.6 dB for 31 beam array)
	+13.0 dB for 37 beam array

III. COMPUTED RESULTS

All availability computations are done for the frequency of 20.7 GHz, the center frequency of the currently defined downlink band. Geostationary orbit and a set of four subpoints $(110^{\circ}W, 20^{\circ}W, 70^{\circ}E, 160^{\circ}E)$ are assumed. Three margin levels (10.6 dB, 13.6 dB, and 16.6 dB) are used for two of the three downlink array pattern functions (uniform isotropic coverage and 31 beam coverage pattern). The margin levels (10 dB and 16 dB) are used for the 37 beam coverage pattern. Figs. 3-38 provide plots of the system availability contour levels on a Mercator grid of the Earth within the field of view.

The order of the computed availability contour plots is as follows. The l2 cases for the uniform pattern coverage are given in Figs. 3-14, first for the baseline 13.6 dB link margin (Figs. 3-6), then for the 10.6 dB cases (Figs. 7-10), and the 16.6 dB cases (Figs. 11-14). Within each group of four plots, the subpoints are ordered from west to east starting at -110° (W) through $+160^{\circ}$ (E) in constant 90° increments. Using the same ordering procedure as described above, the l2 cases for the current system baseline 31 beam downlink phased array are given in Figs. 15-26 and those for the prior baseline 37 beam phased array (using the corresponding values of link margin) are given in Figs. 27-38.











Fig. 5. Availability contours for uniform pattern; 13.6 dB margin; 70°E subpoint





Kander and State and State and State and State and State and State



Fig. 7. Availability contours for uniform pattern; 10.6 dB margin; 110°W subpoint



Fig. 8. Availability contours for uniform pattern; 10.6 dB margin; 20°W subpoint



Fig. 9. Availability contours for uniform pattern; 10.6 dB margin; 70°E subpoint







Fig. 11. Availability contours for uniform pattern; 16.6 dB margin; 110°W subpoint



Fig. 12. Availability contours for uniform pattern; 16.6 dB margin; 20°W subpoint



Fig. 13. Availability contours for uniform pattern; 16.6 dB margin; 70°E subpoint















Fig. 17. Availability contours for 31 beam PA pattern; 13.6 dB margin; 70°E subpoint



.













































.....

















Fig. 33. Availability contours for 37 beam PA pattern; 10.0 dB margin; 70°E subpoint





















IV. CONCLUSIONS

Introducing the downlink phased array pattern factor into the link margin equation for computing system availability results in moderate reductions in availability referred to the idealized uniform gain case. Not surprisingly, the contours for the 31 beam phased array are not significantly different from the contours for the 37 beam structure which uses smaller beam spacing and has a better pattern at the Earth limb (-4.5 dB vs -4.9 dB at worst case points)^{*}.

Ultimately, the architecture of any advanced satellite communications system must address the number of satellites needed to provide maximum Earth For the geostationary orbit cases studied in this report, this coverage. number can be extracted from the availability contour plots given in Figs. 3-38 by determining the maximum longitudinal width coverage for a given availability at each subpoint. Table II summarizes that minimum number of satellites required as a function of the value of long-term system availability for each of the 3 values of system margin assumed. Note that since all satellite subpoints are for geostationary orbits, the "maximum Earth coverage" for which Table II is derived does not include either polar area. Non-geostationary orbits are always required to achieve polar coverage. Full equatorial coverage with a long-term system availability of 0.99 or greater at a baseline link margin of 13.6 dB cannot be achieved with 3 satellites using either a 31 beam downlink phased array of a 37 beam phased array. However, 3 dB of added margin allows 3 satellites to provide full longitudinal coverage with either phased array configuration.

A trend of latitudinally oriented clusters of availability contours (i.e., rapid variation in availability with change in latitude) can be seen for most of the subpoints used and for all three pattern functions used. In general,

[&]quot;Note that the difference in horn spacing for the arrays almost exactly compensates for this difference in the relative patterns with the result that the gains at Earth limb are almost the same, whereas the subpoint gains differ by 0.6 dB per Table I.

			TABLE	ΙĽ			
NUMBER	OF	SATELLITES	REQUIRED	FOR	MAXIMUM	EARTH	COVERAGE
		FROM	GEOSTATIO)NAR'	Y ORBIT*		

LONG TERM System Availability	31 BEAM	37 BEAM
.9995	Not Achievable	Not Achievable
.999	Not Achievable	Not Achievable
.990	4/4/3	4/4/3
.970	3/3/3	3/3/3

*Entries XX/XX/XX correspond to 10.6, 13.6, and 16.6 dB for system margin for the 31 beam configuration and 10.0, 13.0, and 16.0 dB margin for the 37 beam configuration.

this trend appears to correspond with Crane's climate region borders which tend to also be latitudinally oriented.

For the cases using the 31 and 37 beam phased array pattern functions, there are several instances where the availability contours located near the subpoint tend to follow (circular) beam contour levels. This was found to occur only for higher levels of availability and only in the subpoint region. There would not appear to be any deleterious effects from this phenomenon.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Dr. Andre R. Dion for supplying the subroutine BIMHOP used to compute the phased array pattern.

REFERENCES

l. L. M. Schwab, "MILSATCOM System Availability Predictor Model," Technical Note 1980-15, Lincoln Laboratory M.I.T. (21 February 1980).

2. R. K. Crane, "Definition of Rain Rate Climate Regions," ERT Document No. P-3898 (February 1979).

3. "Rain Attenuation Prediction" CCIR SPM Document No. P/105; (1978).

4. A. R. Dion, "Minimum Directive Gain of Hopped-Beam Antennas", Technical Note 1979-33, Lincoln Laboratory M.I.T. (11 June 1979). DDC AD-A076495

APPENDIX A

COMPUTATION OF EFFECTIVE BEAM SPACING PARAMETER FOR PERIPHERAL BEAMS OF THE 31 BEAM CURRENT SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

The center of the (M,N) beam of the original 37 beam phased array pattern is given by

ELCNTR = (-M+MBIM/2+0.5)*BIMSP4*.866

AZCNTR = -(-N+NEND/2+0.5)*BIMSPA

where

MBIM = number of rows of beams (#7) NEND = NBIM (M) NBIM = 4,5,6,7,6,5,4 for M=1,2,...,7, respectively BIMSPA = beam spacing parameter (#2.98⁰)

For example, the (1,2) beam has center coordinates given by

ELCNTR = 7.742° AZCNTR = -1.49°

The current 31 beam phased array configuration has a larger beam spacing parameter (BIMSPA= 3.40°) due to the smaller number of beams covering the same Earth field of view. Using this new value of BIMSPA to compute the new center coordinates of the (1,2) beam (before moving the outermost elements inward by 0.6°)

 $ELCNTR' = 8.8332^{\circ}$

AZCNTR' =
$$-1.70^{\circ}$$

These Cartesian coordinates are transformed to the equivalent cylindrical coordinate frame

ELCNTR' =
$$\alpha' \sin\beta' = 8.8332^{\circ}$$

AZCNTR' = $\alpha' \cos\beta' = -1.70^{\circ}$

which yields

$$\alpha' = 8.9953^{\circ}$$

 $\beta' = 100.894^{\circ}$

Pulling the center of the (1,2) beam in toward the center of the field of view is accomplished by

$$\alpha = (\alpha' - 0.6^{\circ}) = 8.3953^{\circ}$$

which yields the corrected beam center coordinates of

 $ELCNTR(1,2) = \alpha \sin\beta' = 8.2440^{\circ}$

 $AZCNTR(1,2) = acos\beta' = -1.5866^{\circ}$

Finally, the effective value of the beam spacing parameter for the (1,2) beam (and the other 11 outermost beams) is given by

BIMSPA =
$$\frac{8.2440^{\circ}}{(3)(.866)}$$
 = 3.1732°

This result can be verified on a different edge beam, e.g., the (3,6) beam

yielding

$$\alpha = (ELCNTR^{2} + AZCNTR^{2})^{1/2} = 8.3953^{\circ}$$

$$\beta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{ELCNTR}{AZCNTR}\right) = 19.1061^{\circ}$$

which agrees with the scaled drawing given in Fig. 1.

/019 >

APPENDIX B 32 ELEMENT PHASED ARRAY STRUCTURE

The downlink phased array is designed to be fabricated from 32 identical square aperture horns symmetrically positioned in six rows and containing (4,6,6,6,6,4) elements per row, respectively. For the current 31 beam baseline, the horn spacing is 1.5 inches vs 1.4 inches for the prior 37 beam configuration. The efficiency of a square waveguide horn operating in the TE₁₀ mode is approximately 81%. The element pattern and array factors are respectively given by

$$G_{e}(\theta) \simeq [2J_{l}(v_{l})/v_{l}]^{2}$$

and

$$G_a(\psi) \simeq [2J_1(v_2)/v_2]^2$$

where

 θ = angle measured from the array axis ψ = angle measured from the beam axis $v_1 = 2\pi d \sin \theta / \lambda$ d = aperture width (inches) = element spacing λ = operating wavelength (inches) $v_2 = \pi \sqrt{N} d \sin \psi / \lambda$ N = number of elements (=32)

Greater detail on the directive gain analysis of this type of square horn element phased array can be found in Reference 4.

CURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (#her	Data Enteredj	
REPORT DOCUMEN	TATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
ESD-TR-80-79 70	<u>AD-H088669</u>	
- TITLE (and Subtrile)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Effect of Downlink Phased Arr	ay Patterns	Technical Note
on system Avanability contou	1 Treaterions	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
		Technical Note 1980-27
7. AUTHOR(N)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S)
Yi-Lee C. Lo and Leonard M.	Schwab	F19628-80-C-0002 -
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AD	DRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Lincoln Laboratory, M.I.T.		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Program Element Nos. 63431F
P.O. Box 73 Lexington, MA 02173	\langle	and 33126K
		Project No. 2029
U. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRES		12. REPORT DATE
Air Force Systems Command, USAF Andrews AFB	Defense Communications Agency 8th Street & So, Courthouse Road	
Washington, DC 20331	Arlington, VA 22204	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (i)	f different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Electronic Systems Division		Unclassified
Hanscom AFB Bedford, MA 01731		15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
		<u> </u>
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract	ensered in Block 20, if differens from Report	e)
B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
None		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece	ssary and identify by block number)	
system ava	ilability downlink phased	array patterns
70. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if neces	ssary and identify by block number)	
The relative variation in th phased array has been incorpor margin is used to determine all on Crane's 8 region world wide contours are computed for the o geostationary satellites are req link availability to all points wi of downlink margin and a freque	the pattern function for a 32 eleme ated into the equation for downli lowable rain attenuation (dB) and rain rate distribution and climar cases of a 31 beam and 37 beam juired for either configuration in thin the 10° elevation angle field ency of 20.7 GHz.	ent, multibeam EHF satellite nk margin. The corrected predict link availability based te model. Link availability phased array. Four equispaced order to provide 0.99 (or greater) of view for the nominal value
FAB.		

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

