

NRL Memorandum Report 4309

Beam Nonuniformity Effects on Laser Ablatively Accelerated Targets

S.P. OBENSCHAIN, R.H. LEMBERG, AND B.H. RIPIN

Laser Plasma Branch Plasma Fhysics Division

August 20, 1980





NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY Washington, D.C.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

80

8

19

039

FILL CUTL

BEFORE C I REPORT NUMBER I REPORT NUMBER I RECIPIENT'S C NRL Memorandum Report 309 AD -A088056 I TITLE (and Subtitue) I BEAM NONUNIFORMITY EFFECTS ON LASER ABLATIVELY ACCELERATED TARGETS I AUTHOR(e) I S.P. Obenschain, R.H. Lemmberg, and B.H. Ripin I S.P. Obenschain, R.H. Lemmberg, and B.H. Ripin I PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS I PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS I PROGRAM EL	OMPLETING FOR ATALOG NUMBER RT & PERIOD COVI It on a continui
NRL Memorandum Report 309 AD -A088056 4. TITLE (and Sublitie) 5. TYPE OF REPORT TO SUBJECT ON LASER 1 BEAM NONUNIFORMITY EFFECTS ON LASER -ABLATIVELY ACCELERATED TARGETS 6. PERFORMING ON 7. AUTHOR(*) 8. CONTRACT OR 1 S.P. Obenschain, R.H. Leamberg, and B.H. Ripin 2. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM EL	RT & PERIOD COVI It on a continui
 4. TITLE (and Sublitte) 5. TYPE OF REPORTING ON LASER ABLA'TIVELY ACCELERATED TARGETS 7. AUTHOR(*) 8. CONTRACT OR 8. CONTRACT OR 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM EL 	RT & PERIOD COVI rt on a continui
BEAM NONUNIFORMITY EFFECTS ON LASER = ABLATIVELY ACCELERATED TARGETS . 7. AUTHOR(*) S.P. Obenschain, R.H. Lelimberg, and B.H. Ripin 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM EL	rt on a continui
7. AUTHOR(*) 8. CONTRACT OR S.P. Obenschain, R.H. /Lellmberg, and B.H. /Ripin 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM EL	n. Rg. Report Numb
S.P. Obenschain, R.H./Lenmberg, and B.H./Ripin	GRANT NUMBER(+)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM EL	
	MENT. PROJECT. T
Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375 67-0859-A	-0
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	108g /
U.S. Department of Energy	AGES
Washington, D.C. 20305	
14. MONITORING AGENGY NAME & ADDRESS(It different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CL	ASS. (of this report)
UNCLA	SSIFIED
15a. DECLASSIFI SCHEDULE	CATION/DOWNGRAD
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)	······································
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ECTE
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Submitted to the Applied Physics Letters.	ECTE 19 1980
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Submitted to the Applied Physics Letters. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block number)	ECTE 1 9 1980
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES D Submitted to the Applied Physics Letters. SEL 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Laser-fusion Symmetry Symmetry	ECTE 1 9 1980 B

•

BEAM NONUNIFORMITY EFFECTS ON LASER ABLATIVELY ACCELERATED TARGETS

Laser pellet fusion involves imploding pellets through reaction to laser induced ablation of the pellet surface. The complicated problem of efficiently coupling the laser energy to the pellet and producing the proper conditions for a fusion burn have led in some cases to opposing requirements. Experiments and theory indicate that the most efficient coupling of laser energy to an imploding pellet should occur at the lower range of practical irradiances $(10^{13} - 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2)$.^{1,2} This low irradiance requires pellet designs³ where thin pellet walls (thickness ΔR) are accelerated over relatively long distances (R) towards an implosion at the center: $(R/\Delta R \ge 10)$. There are severe requirements on the uniformity of the pellet walls and on the ablation pressure ($\Delta P/P \leq 1$ %) for such designs if one is to achieve high pellet gains.^{3,4} An important question is what is the relation between nonuniformities in the incident laser beam, $\Delta I/I$, and nonuniformities in the ablation pressure, $\Delta P/P$, for various perturbation wavelengths? As discussed later, lateral energy flow can smooth the effects of beam nonuniformities and relax the requirements on the laser.

Some of the problems of laser ablative acceleration of pellet walls are being addressed by a series of experiments at NRL wherein planar thinfoil targets are ablatively accelerated by a multi-nanosecond Nd-glass laser beam. 5,6 We will discuss the results of a novel doppler-shift diagnostic technique which gives time resolved velocity profiles of the accelerating target. This diagnostic has proven useful in exploring the laser beam requirements for uniform ablative acceleration.

Figure 1 shows the experimental arrangement. The 3-nsec FWHM main laser beam (1.05 µm) is focused onto a planar foil target. The rear

Manus.ript submitted June 12, 1980

1

target surface is illuminated at normal incidence by a 400-psec duration 0.527 μ m optical probing beam and the reflected probe light is focused onto the entrance slit of a stigmatic spectrograph. By measuring the doppler shift ($\Delta\lambda = 2\nu\lambda/c$) of the probe light as a function of position across the accelerated target, one obtains the target velocity profile v(x). Details on techniques for conducting these measurements are given elsewhere.⁷

Figure 2(a) gives the velocity profile obtained from the doppler shift measurements at a time near the peak of the main laser pulse for the case of a 9 µm thick carbon target and a peak laser irradiance of about 5×10^{12} W/cm². The measured incident laser profile across the target is given for comparison. Under these conditions, the doppler shifts indicate that a relatively uniform laser beam results in a target being relatively uniformly accelerated. Lateral energy flow apparently causes the accelerated target region to be somewhat larger than the incident laser profile.

Before considering other results, we shall briefly discuss whether the doppler shift technique accurately measures the motion of the target mass. There are a number of possibilities. The probe light may be reflected from the solid accelerated target surface or, if a plasma is present, it can be reflected at the critical layer for the probing wavelength ($n_e = 4 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) which corresponds to about 1% of solid density. For the former case, the doppler results clearly represent the target motion. The relatively thin targets employed should preclude significant shock phenomena because the transit time of a sound wave through the targets is shorter than the laser pulse rise time. For the second case, where a plasma is present, there are potential complications which will be addressed. For example the probe may be reflected from the critical layer of a plasma expanding outward at

1

a higher velocity than the denser target material. In addition, plasma expanding through the reflecting layer can yield an additional blue shift due to a changing plasma density profile. For these effects to be significant, the rear surface of the target must be hot. Measurements of emission from the rear of the targets employed indicate peak temperatures of less than 10 eV which occur weil after (a few nanoseconds) the measurements presented here.⁸ At these low temperatures, the probe would be nearly totally absorbed after passing through only a few wavelengths of near critical density plasma.⁹ The presence of significant reflection for the times and targets employed places an upper bound of approximately 1 µm on the plasma density scalelength. This scalelength is small compared to the target motion (>10 μ m) during its acceleration. Therefore if the probe is reflecting from a critical layer, the plasma density scalelength is short enough for the measurements to reflect the actual target motion.

For our experimental conditions the doppler shift measurements should accurately give the velocity structure of the accelerated target and thus provide an indication of the corresponding ablation pressure profile. We have used the doppler technique to investigate the effects of nonuniformities in the main laser beam on target acceleration. For the results presented in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), the incident laser spatial profile was intentionally distorted by placing progressively wider strips of opaque material in the main laser beam at the lens. The measured laser profile at the target plane and the computed laser profile, assuming no amplitude or phase modulations in the undisturbed beam, are given for comparison. For the Section Section focused laser nonuniformities of the amplitude and scalelength shown, the nonuniformities are clearly imprinted on the accelerating target velocity profile.

DISTRIBUTION/AYAILABILITY CODES ALL and / or SPECI

Dist.

Before interpreting these results, we will briefly discuss what to expect. Figure 3 illustrates the interaction of the laser with an ablatively accelerated target. The distance from the ablation layer (where the pressure is applied to the target) to the absorption (critical) layer is given by L. For the case where the scalelength of laser nonuniformities (D) is much shorter than this separation distance (D<<L), one expects the lateral energy flow to smooth the effects of laser beam nonuniformity. In the opposite extreme (D>>L) one expects the nonuniformities to be transmitted to the target ablation region. One dimensional theory¹⁰ and experiments¹¹ indicate that the ablation pressure is a power function of laser intensity with P \propto Iⁿ where $n \approx 3/4$. Using this, one obtains for the case of long scalelength (D>>L) laser intensity nonuniformities (Δ I):

$$\frac{P + \Delta P}{P} \approx \left(\frac{1 + \Delta I}{I}\right)^{3/4}$$

The ablation pressure nonuniformities will be reflected in the target acceleration and velocity (v) profiles provided the nonuniformity scalelength is larger than the target thickness. One obtains the relations dv/dt^{α} P and $\Delta v/v = \Delta P/P$, provided the ablated target mass is much smaller than the initial target mass, a condition satisfied for the experiments discussed here. Note that this simplified analysis does not consider the possible effects of Rayleigh-Taylor instability which can cause nonuniformities to grow even with a highly uniform laser and target combination. The classical Rayleigh-Taylor exponentiation time for the accelerations (5×10^{14} cm/sec²) and nonuniformity scalelengths ($\gtrsim 100$ m) encountered in the experiments reported here is long enough (>2 nsec) to preclude it from being a dominating effect.

For the experimental conditions of Fig. 2, side-on shadowgraphy with the 527 nm probe indicates that the distance from ablation to critical layer is less than 150 μ m (L < 150 μ m). Computations predict that the separation distance for the irradiances employed should be approximately 100 μ m.¹² In Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), 4-to-1 and 6-to-1 beam nonuniformities over a scalelength of 100 μ m yielded 1.6-to-1 and 2-to-1 velocity nonuniformities in the accelerated targets. In the absence of lateral transport, Eq. (1) predicts significantly larger velocity nonuniformities of 3-to-1 and 4-to-1 respectively. This indicates there is some, but far from complete smoothing of the effects of beam nonuniformity due to lateral energy flow. This is a result one would expect in this middle range D \approx L regime. Similar results have been reported earlier using interferometry and shadowgraphy.¹ However the doppler shift technique has allowed greater sensitivity and more quantitative evaluations of the effects of beam nonuniformities.

Laser fusion implosions of the type discussed earlier require very symmetric implosions of material in order to obtain the required densities and temperatures. Our results indicate that, at a wavelength of 1.05 μ m, and an irradiance (5 x 10¹² W/cm²) near the lower bounds at which laser fusion implosions appear practical, nonuniformities in the incident laser beam with scalelengths greater than 100 μ m result in nonuniformities of the ablatively accelerated mass. The implied laser beam uniformity requirements for illuminating a fusion pellet perhaps several millimeters in diameter are very severe. It is however likely that the separation between the absorption and ablation regions will be longer at higher irradiances due to the higher temperatures and increased ablation rates of material. This should cause increased smoothing of laser beam nonuniformities. At irradiances much above 10¹⁴ W/cm², it is expected

5

that the benefits of increased smoothing must be balanced against well known problems, including poor absorption, preheat and plasma instabilities that can occur at high irradiances.⁶ Further experiments at NRL will investigate the parametric dependencies of smoothing in the regime between 10^{13} and 10^{14} W/cm².

Acknowledgements

We thank our colleagues at NRL for discussions and suggestions concerning these experiments, particularly S.E. Bodner, R. Decoste, J. Grun E.A. McLean, and J.A. Stamper. We acknowledge the excellent technical assistance of M. Fink, L. Seymour, N. Nocerino and E. Turbyfill. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy.

References

- 1. B.H. Ripin, R.R. Whitlock, F.C. Young, S.P. Obenschain, E.A. McLean, and R. Decoste, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>43</u>, 350 (1979).
- J.P. Anthes, M.A. Palmer, M.A. Gusinow and M.K. Matzen, Appl. Phys. Lett. <u>34</u>, 841 (1979).
- Yu. V. Afanasev, N.G. Basov, P.P. Volosevich, E.G. Gamallii, O.N. Krokhin, S.P. Kurdyumov, E.I. Levanov, V.B. Rozanov, A.A. Samarskii, and A.N. Tikhonov; ZHETF Pis Red 21, 150 (1975).
- 4. John H. Nuckolls, R.O. Bangerter, J.D. Lindl, W.C. Mead, and Y.L. Pann, European Conference on Laser Interaction with Matter, 1977 (unpublished).
- 5. R. Decoste, S.E. Bodner, B.H. Ripin, S.P. Obenschain, and C.M. Armstrong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 1673 (1979).
- B.H. Ripin, R. Decoste, S.P. Obenschain, S.E. Bodner, E.A. McLean, F.C. Young, R.R. Whitlock, C.M. Armstrong, J. Grun, J.A. Stamper, S.H. Gold, D.J. Nagel, R.H. Lehmberg, and J.M. McMahon, Phys. Fluids (accepted for publication).
- 7. S.P. Obenschain, E.A. McLean and S.H. Gold, submitted to Rev. Sci. Inst.
- 8. E.A. McLean, S.H. Gold, J.A. Stamper, S.P. Obenschain, B.H. Ripin and S.E. Bodner, APS Bull. 24, 1075 (1979).
- 9. J. Dawson, P. Kaw and B. Green, Phys. Fluids 12, 875 (1969).
- 10. R.E. Kidder, Nucl. Fusion 8, 3 (1968).
- B. Arad, S. Eliezer, S. Jackel, A. Krumbein, H.M. Loebenstein,
 D. Salzman, A. Zigler, H. Zmora, and S. Zweigenbaum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 326, (1980), Also J. Grun et al. APS Bull. <u>24</u>, 1075 (1979).
- 12. F.S. Felber, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 84 (1977). Also K. Matzen, private communication, J. Boris and P. Moffa, private communication.





A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACTOR

1

peak of the main laser heam. The focused laser intensity profile measured at the target plane of an equivalent lens is given by the open circles, while the computed laser profile is given by the dotted lines. Case (a) corresponds to an unperturbed main laser beam, whereas in cases (b) and Fig. 2 - Doppler shift determined velocity profiles (vertical bars) for ablatively accelerated carbon targets at times near (within 300 psec) the (c) 1 and 1.5 cm strips of opaque material were placed across the 10 cm diameter laser beam at the lens.



Fig. 3 – Illustration of the smoothing of a laser beam nonuniformity of scalelength D due to lateral energy flow in the blowoff plasma of an irradiated target.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

USDOE (50 copies) P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37830 National Technical Information Service (24 copies) U.S. Department of Commerce Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory 5285 Port Royal Road Los Alamos, NM 87545 Springfield, VA 22161 Attn: Dr. R. Godwin Dr. S. Gitomer NRL, Code 2628 (35 copies) Dr. J. Kindel NRL. Code 4730 (100 copies) University of Röchester Rochester, NY 14627 NRL, Code 4700 (25 copies) Laboratory for Laser Energetics Attn: Dr. J. Soures USDOE (6 copies) Dr. W. Seka Division of Laser Fusion Washington, C. 20545 Attn: Dr. G. Canavan **KMS** Fusion 3941 Research Park Drive Dr. R. Schriever P.O. Box 1567 Dr. S. Kahalas Ann Arbor, MI 48106 Dr. T. Godlove Attn: Dr. F. Mayer Dr. D. Sewell Dr. L. Killion Institut fur Plasmaphysik 8046 Garching Lawrence Livermore Laboratory Bei Munchen P.O. Box 808 West Germany Livermore, CA 94551 Attn: Dr. R. Sigel Attn: Dr. D. Attwood, L481 Dr. W. Kruer, L545 National Research Council Dr. J. Lindl, L32 **Division of Physics** Dr. C. Max, L545 100 Susser Drive Dr. A. Glass Ottawa K1A-OR6, Canada Dr. L. Coleman Attn: Dr. J. Alcock Dr. J. Nuckolls Dr. W. Mead University of Quebec Dr. N. Ceglio **INRS** Energie Dr. R. Kidder Case Postale 1020 Varennes, Quebec INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION Attn: Dr. T. Johnston Dr. R. Decoste Code 4790 Dr. D. Colombant Dr. W. Manheimer Rutherford Laboratory Chilton, Didcot Department of Physics and Astronomy 0xon 0X110QX University of Maryland England College Park, MD 20740 Attn: Dr. M. Key Attn: Dr. H. Griem Dr. T. Raven

10

See Star

Sandia Laboratory Albuquerque, NM Attn: Dr. K. Matzen Dr. J. Anthes Dr. R. Palmer Institute for Laser Engineering Osaka University Suita Osaka, 565 Japan Attn: Dr. C. Yamanaka Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics Academia Sinica Shanghai, PRC Attn: Prof. Gan Fu-xi Prof. Yu Wen-yan Prof. Xu Zhi-zhan Prof. Deng Xi-ming Prof. Tan Wei-han Mr. Pan Cheng-min Soreq Nuclear Center Yavne, Israel Attn: Dr. A. Krumbein INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION Code 4040 J. Boris J. Gardener J. Orens Dr. James Lunney Dept. of Pure and Applied Physics Queens University Belfast, N. Ireland Defense Technical Information Center **Cameron Station** 5010 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314