	AD-A083 809		WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER F/6 12/1 COMPUTER CALCULATION OF NECHANISMS INVOLVING INTERMITTENT MOTIOETC(U) DEC 79 B NOBLE, H S HUNG DAA629-75-C-0024 MRC-TSR-2026 NL										
		1 or Martine 306(22	,								ļ		
.													
				END DATE INVER 6 - 80 DTIC									
- 													
							1						





Mathematics Research Center University of Wisconsin-Madison 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53706

79 Dec

(Received May 31, 1979)

DAAG29-75-C- 2024

Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

B

U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709

C

116

nit

· • • • • • •

80 4 9

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

COMPUTER CALCULATION OF MECHANISMS INVOLVING INTERMITTENT MOTIONS

B. Noble and H. S. Hung

Technical Summary Report #2026 December 1979

ABSTRACT

This paper deals with a simple computational approach to the analysis of dynamical systems involving intermittent motion in which the velocities involved can be discontinuous due to impulsive forces, impact, mass capture, and mass release. The sequence of these events may not be known ahead of time, and may in fact be one of the things we wish the computer to determine.

The dynamical equations are formulated using a logical function method due to P. Ehle. The resulting system of ordinary differential equations with discontinuous coefficients is integrated using a standard computer code in regions where the coefficients are continuous. When discontinuities occur, jump conditions across the discontinuity are used to express the new velocities in terms of the old, and the ordinary differential equation solver is simply restarted with new initial conditions.

To illustrate the simplicity of the approach, the method is applied to a dynamical system of ten masses considered by Ehle. The computer code and numerical results are included.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 65L05, 70.34, 70.65

Key Words: Mechanical systems, Intermittent motion, Heaviside step-functions, Logical functions, Jump conditions, Equations of motion, Dynamical analysis, Computer program

Work Unit Number 3 (Applications of Mathematics)

بمحكولة ومعديس بالاطنية الرتناري

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-75-C-0024.

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Apart from the work by Ed Haug and his students at the University of Iowa, surprisingly few references seem to exist on the computer calculation of complicated mechanical systems involving intermittent motion, particularly when the sequence of events is not known beforehand. P. Ehle has formulated such problems using a "logical function" approach involving Heaviside step functions and their derivatives. He then smooths out the discontinuities so that the resulting ordinary differential equations can be integrated directly by a standard computer code. We avoid the somewhat arbitrary choice of smoothing parameters, the calculation of the smoothing functions in the transition regions, and the step-size adjustment through the transition regions, by dealing with the discontinuities directly by using jump conditions across the discontinuities. A computer code is included for an example considered by Ehle, to illustrate the simplicity of the method.

ACCESSION For NTIG GRAAI DDC TAB Uncanapunced J. dification_ By_ Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or special Dist

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the authors of this report.

COMPUTER CALCULATION OF MECHANISMS INVOLVING INTERMITTENT MOTIONS

B. Noble and H. S. Hung

1. Introduction.

There seem to be rather few published articles dealing with computational methods for the analysis of dynamical systems involving intermittent motion in which the velocities involved can be discontinuous due to impulsive forces, impact, mass capture, and mass release. Bickford [1] uses analytic and graphical methods to design such mechanisms, but he does not use computer simulation. The book by Levy and Wilkinson [7] deals with the computer analysis of dynamical systems, including situations in which masses come into contact with elastic 'stops'.

During the last few years extensive work has been carried out by Professor Ed Haug and his students at the University of Iowa in connection with the computer calculation of complicated mechanical systems with intermittent motion. In the earlier work (see, for instance, [4], [5] and [6]) it is assumed that the order of the sequence of events is known a priori. In a complex mechanism, the sequence of events may be highly design dependent, and it may be one of the things that we wish the computer program to discover. P. Ehle [2] has introduced a "logical function" method consisting of two distinct steps to deal with this latter type of situation:

<u>Step 1</u>. The discontinuities are represented in the equations of motion by Heaviside step functions and their derivatives. The arguments of these logical functions can involve space, velocity, or time, whichever is physically appropriate. The motion is represented by one single set of equations over the entire interval of time under consideration.

<u>Step 2</u>. The discontinuities are smoothed out by an ingenious but somewhat arbitrary procedure. The resulting system of ordinary differential equations involves continuous coefficients so that it can be integrated directly by standard computer codes.

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-75-C-0024.

The present paper adopts the logical function approach in Step 1, but, instead of Step 2, deals directly with the resulting system of ordinary differential equations involving discontinuous functions. In regions where the coefficients are smooth, the equations are integrated using a standard computer software code for solving systems of ordinary differential equations. When discontinuities occur, the jump conditions across the discontinuities are used to express the new velocities in terms of the old, and the ordinary differential equation solver is simply started with new initial conditions. The method is easy to implement and avoids the somewhat arbitrary choice of smoothing parameters, the calculation of the smoothing functions in the transition regions, and the step-size adjustment through the transition regions that are required in Ehle's approach.

A simple example is discussed in Section 2 to illustrate the essential points of our approach.

In Section 3 we apply our method to the complicated example considered by Ehle in Chapter 4 of his thesis [2]. The results confirm that our method is easily implemented. In order to facilitate a comparison with Ehle's treatment, we use his notation, and the computer runs are carried out using his numerical parameters, with minor changes noted later. In order to make the present paper self-contained (and also to save the reader the labor of extracting the relevant information from Ehle's thesis), we define in complete detail in Appendices B and C the symbols used in Section 3 below. Our computer code is given in Appendix D.

Most of Ehle's thesis is devoted to sensitivity analysis for the complicated mechanism in Section 3 below. A sensitivity analysis using the method in this paper would be the next natural step in the present work.

-2-

2. A Simple Example.

Consider the idealized situation in Figure 1 which will illustrate most of the points required for the analysis of the complicated mechanism in Section 3. Motion is in the x-direction only. The mass A, position x = x(t), is attached to a massless spring with spring constant k_A . The unstressed length of the spring is x_0 . When the mass A reaches position $x = x_1$ (for the first time only), an impulsive force of magnitude F acts on it. The mass B is initially at rest. When mass A reaches mass B (at $x = x_2$), the two masses lock together, and move as one. The equations of motion are as follows.

$$m_A \ddot{x} = k_A (x - x_0) + F\delta(t - t_1), \qquad 0 \le x \le x_2,$$
 (2.1)

where t_1 denotes the (unknown) instant when mass A reaches x_1 .



Figure 1. A Simple System

The effect of the impulsive force $F\delta(t - t_1)$ is to produce a jump F/m_A in velocity at $t = t_1$, the position x(t) changing continuously in t at $t = t_1$. This can be seen by integrating (2.1) between $t_1 - \Delta$ and $t_1 + \Delta$, then letting $\Delta + 0$, which gives

$$m_{A} \dot{x}(t_{1} + 0) - m_{A} \dot{x}(t_{1} - 0) = F$$
 (2.2)

where we use an obvious notation. A double integration shows similarly that

$$x(t_1 + 0) = x(t_1 - 0)$$
 (2.3)

For $x_2 \leq x < x_3$, we have

$$(\mathbf{m}_{A} + \mathbf{m}_{B})\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{k}_{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{0})$$
 (2.4)

From conservation of momentum as the mass m_A reaches $x = x_2$ and picks up $m_B^{,}$ we see that

$$m_{A}\dot{x}(t_{2} - 0) = (m_{A} + m_{B})\dot{x}(t_{2} + 0)$$
, (2.5)

and again the displacement is continuous, $x(t_2 - 0) = x(t_2 + 0)$.

For $x \ge x_3$, we have

$$(\mathbf{m}_{A} + \mathbf{m}_{B})\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{k}_{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{0}) + \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{3})$$
 (2.6)

Subsequently (2.4) holds whenever $x < x_3$ and (2.6) whenever $x \ge x_3$.

Following Ehle, we use the Heaviside step-function to write the above three equations as one single equation. We define, for any u,

$$H(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & u < 0, \\ 1, & u \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

We also require the following step-function. Suppose that u is some time-dependent quantity such that u < 0 for $0 \le t < T$ and that $u \ge 0$ for the first time at $t = T + \epsilon$ (ϵ arbitrarily small). We then define

$$H_{1}(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le t < T, \\ 1, & t \ge T, \end{cases}$$

i.e. for $t \ge T$, $H_1(u)$ is always 1 regardless of the size of u.

In terms of these logical step-functions we can rewrite equations (2.1), (2.4), (2.6) as:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{ [m_A + H_1 (x - x_2)m_B] \dot{x} \} = k_A (x - x_0) + F\delta(t - t_1) + H(x - x_3)k(x - x_3) \} .$$
(2.7)

Here t_1 is the time when $x = x_1$ for the first time.

As discussed in connection with (2.2), (2.3), the effect of the impulsive form $F\delta(t - t_1)$ is to produce a discontinuity in velocity at $t = t_1$. The effect of the term involving $H_1(x - x_2)$ can be seen by integrating (2.7) between $t = t_2 - \Delta$ and $t = t_2 + \Delta$, where the mass is at $x = x_2$ at time $t = t_2$, then letting $\Delta \rightarrow 0$. This gives precisely (2.5). The right-hand side of (2.7) is a continuous function of x, t as x passes through x_3 .

Our procedure for solving (2.7) numerically is to use a standard computer code for numerical integration of a system of ordinary differential equations in time intervals in which the mass is not changing and the impulsive force at $t = t_1$ is not acting.

The numerical integration is started with initial conditions x(0) = 0 and $\dot{x}(0) = 0$. We check at each step whether $x \ge x_1$. Whenever this condition is satisfied for the first time, we take the impulsive force F into account by restarting the numerical integration with new initial conditions given by (2.2), (2.3). After this point we need not check further whether $x \ge x_1$. (The impulsive force occurs only once.)

To take into account the mass change at $x = x_2$, we similarly check at each step of the numerical integration whether $x \ge x_2$. When this occurs for the first time, we restart the numerical integration with new initial conditions given by (2.5). After this point, masses A and B are locked, $H_1(x - x_2)$ is always 1, and it is no longer necessary to check whether $x \ge x_2$.

Finally, the term $H(x - x_3)k(x - x_3)$ is a continuous function of x and does not require restarting the program with new initial conditions. The term $k(x - x_3)$ is simply added on the right of the equation when $x > x_3$. The additional term is a continuous function of x which is handled directly by the program, i.e., it is not

-5-

necessary to restart the differential equation integration as for the other two discontinuities.

In the above example we have assumed that $x_1 > x_2$, i.e., the impulsive force acts before mass B is captured by mass A. If the computer program is arranged so that the conditions $x \ge x_1^{2n}$, $x \ge x_2^{2n}$ are both checked at each time step starting at t = 0, and the program is restarted with appropriate initial conditions depending on which condition is satisfied first, then the program will work whether $x_1 < x_2$ or $x_1 > x_2$. This illustrates one of the main points of the method, that <u>it is not</u> <u>necessary to know the sequence of events ahead of time</u>.

Note that the above example discussed the treatment of only some of the possible discontinuous situations due to impulsive forces, impact, mass capture and mass release. For the standard treatment of such discontinuities one could refer to [8], for instance.

3. Computer Calculation of A Complicated Mechanical System.

(a) Description of the mechanism. We shall compute by our method the motion of the mechanism considered by Ehle in Chapter 4 of his thesis [2]. Schematic diagrams are given in Figure 2 which refers to time t = 0, and Figure 3 which refers to a later time. Masses 1 - 10 move parallel to the x-axis as indicated; in addition, mass 8 can rotate about an axis parallel to the x-direction, this rotation being controlled by a pin C moving in a slot AB (a cam motion) as shown.

There are only seven equations of motion since at any one time there are only seven independent moving bodies. Bodies 3,...,7 are simply the masses m_3, \ldots, m_7 in Figure 2. Masses 8, 9, 10 are attached to either mass 1 or mass 2 at any given instant of time. We shall use the terminology 'body 1' ('body 2', respectively) to refer to the appropriate combination of m_1 , m_8 , m_9 , m_{10} (m_2 , m_8 , m_9 , m_{10} , respectively) moving as single bodies at a given instant of time. The exact distribution of m_8 , m_9 , m_{10} between bodies 1 and 2 is controlled by the positions and velocities of bodies 1 and 2 (see equations (3.1)). At time t = 0 body 2 has mass m_2 , and in Ehle's notation, body 1 has mass $m_1 + 20(m_9 + m_{10})$. In view of the subsequent motion it is convenient to say that at time t = 0, body 1 consists of a mass M_1 to which m_8 , m_9 , m_{10} are attached, i.e., we introduce a new symbol M_1 such that the mass of body 1 at time t = 0 is $M_1 + m_8 + m_9 + m_{10}$ (i.e.,

$M_1 = m_1 + 19(m_9 + m_{10}) - m_8).$

The position of body i in the x-direction is measured by a co-ordinate x_i such that $x_i = i$ at t = 0. This co-ordinate system is chosen to ensure that no x_i is ever negative (see [2], p. 66 for more details). The velocity of body i is denoted by \dot{x}_i .

Two types of spring-damper pairs are involved in the mechanical system under consideration. Using Ehle's notation, let FIJ denote the force exerted by springdamper pair J on body I. In one type, the spring-damper pair is connected to bodies I and J and FIJ is proportional to the extension or compression of the



-8-



فترقوها تحاضط مدينا المناتج متقاتمين المقد فيتعاقبها فالتجهد متازكتهم والأغتار وأميانا المرارع متلتك



3

-9-

spring plus a damper force proportional to the velocity difference between the ends (FMOUNT, F16, F17, F24, F45, F56). In the other type, the force is proportional to the compression of the spring plus the damper force. When the distance between the bodies is less than the static or free length of the spring, but when the separation of the bodies exceeds the static length of the spring, the spring loses contact with one of the bodies and FIJ = 0 (F12BAR, F12BB, F23, F23BAR, F27).

At time t = 0, body 2 is in its extreme right position (see Figure 2); also F16, F56, F45, F24, F12BB and F17 are in compression, and the corresponding forces balance. The pin P is pulled to release body 7; the spring force F27 is inactive since the spring-damper pair attached to the right side of body 7 is some distance from body 2. The spring force F17 is active; the spring-damper pair between bodies 1 and 7 pushes body 1 to the left and body 7 to the right. Since the mass of body 7 is much less than the mass of body 1, the velocity of body 7 is much greater than that of body 1. Bodies 2, 4, 5, 6 also move due to spring forces. Body 3 is centered in its slot in body 2, and does not move initially.

When the stiff spring on the right side of body 7 strikes body 2, the impulsive forces F_1 , F_2 (as shown in Figure 3) act on bodies 1, 2 respectively. At the same time, the mass of body 1 is decreased by m_{10} .

From this point onwards we shall not attempt to des ribe the motion in words, because it is in fact clearer and simpler to quote the equations used by Ehle in [2] to describe the motion (see (3.1) below).

The objective here is to predict the time histories of the displacements, velocities, and forces associated with each independent rigid body that occurs in one cycle of motion of the mechanism, the end of the cycle being determined when the right spring on mass 2 strikes mass 1.

(b) Equations of motion for the mechanism. We simply quote the following first order differential equations of motion used by Ehle in his thesis [2]:

$$\frac{d}{dt} [EMB(I) \times Y(I)] = NF(I) , I = 1,...,7$$
(3.1)
$$\frac{d}{dt} Y(I + 7) = Y(I)$$

with initial conditions:

$$\begin{cases} Y(I) = 0 \\ , & I = 1,...,7 \\ Y(I + 7) = I \end{cases}$$

In equations (3.1),

(1) Y(I), Y(I + 7) are the velocity and position respectively of body I.

$$\begin{cases} Y(I) = \dot{x}(I) \\ Y(I + 7) = x(I) \end{cases}, \quad I = 1, \dots, 7.$$

(2) EMB(I) is the mass of body I:

 $\begin{cases} EMB(1) \approx EM(1) + 20 \times (EM(9) + EM(10)) - ELG(1) \times (EM(9) + EM(10)) \\ + (1 - ELG(7)) \times EM(7) - ELG(2) \times EM(10) + ELG(3) \\ \times (EM(8) + EM(9) + EM(10)) - ELG(4) \times (EM(8) + EM(9)) , \\ EMB(2) \approx EM(2) + ELG(9) \times EM(8) + ELG(10) \times EM(9) \\ + (ELG(11) - ELG(3)) \times (EM(9) + EM(10)) , \\ EMB(1) \approx EM(1) \text{ for } I = 3, ..., 7 , \end{cases}$

where EM(I) is simply the mass I with numerical values as follows: EM(I) = .1925, EM(2) = .0182, EM(3) = .00696, EM(4) = EM(5) = EM(6) = .001383, EM(7) = .002121, EM(8) = .004037, EM(9) = EM(10) = .0004037. (There is a misprint in Ehle's thesis, where EM(2) is given as 0.182.) (Note that we use EM(I) and EMB(I) to distinguish mass I and mass of body I; Ehle use only EM(I) in his thesis to denote mass I. Otherwise we use Ehle's notation.)

(3) NF(I) is the net force on body I:

 $NF(1) = F16 + FMOUNT + ELG(7) \times F17 + ELG(8) \times F12BAR$ $+ ELG(16) \times F12BB - ELG(5) \times FGAS + ELG(6) \times FCAM$ $NF(2) = F24 + ELG(13) \times F23 + ELG(14) \times F23BAR + ELG(15) \times F27$ $+ ELG(8) \times F21BAR + ELG(16) \times F21BB$ $- ELG(5) \times (0.9 \times FGAS) - ELG(12) \times FCAM$ $NF(3) = ELG(13) \times F32 + ELG(14) \times F32BAR$ NF(4) = F42 + F45NF(5) = F54 + F56NF(6) = F65 + F61 $NF(7) = ELG(7) \times F71 + ELG(15) \times F72$

where

FGAS is the impulsive force on body 1, shown as F_1 in Figure 3, FCAM is the axial cam force acting between bodies 1 and 2, FIJ is the force on body I due to the spring-damper pair attached to body J

(similarly for FMOUNT, F12BAR, F12BB and F23BAR).

All these forces are described and explained in detail in Appendix B. Note that FGAS and FCAM are not taken into account in exactly the way in which they appear in NF(1) and NF(2) of equations (3.1); they are dealt with by the special but simple method discussed below and as shown in the program in Appendix D.

(4) The ELG(I) appearing in the expressions for EMB(I) and NF(I) are what Ehle called "logical function groups" which are used to switch masses and forces in and out; they are algebraic combinations of Heaviside step-functions EL(I). The definitions of ELG(I) and EL(I) are tabulated and described in detail in Appendix C.

Note that the fourteen equations in (3.1) are for bodies 1-7 only; bodies 8, 9 and 10 do not have separate equations because they do not have separate degrees of freedom - their positions, as mentioned by Ehle, are determined by the positions of bodies 1 and 2. Note also that in Ehle's thesis [2] two equations, in addition to those in (3.1), involving logical step functions and their derivatives, must be

-12-

introduced to properly account for two locked-on logical functions of time. For details see [2], p. 74. We avoid this by the use of IF-statements in the computer program.

(c) <u>Numerical solution of the equations of motion</u>. For the numerical solution of the system of equations of motion (3.1), the EPISODE package [3], a variable step and variable order ODE solver, is used. This algorithm will select automatically the appropriate step-size to meet a given criterion. Because of the requirement of this algorithm, the system of equations (3.1) is put into the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} Y = F(I), \qquad I = 1,...,14$$
(3.2)

where

$$\begin{cases} F(I) = NF(I)/EMB(I) \\ F(I + 7) = Y(I) \end{cases}, \qquad I = 1,...,7.$$

These equations will be processed as they stand when FCAM is not active, i.e., when ELG(6) = ELG(12) = 0. Our method of dealing with FCAM is somewhat different from that used by Ehle. FCAM has the form (see Appendix B, where the values of A, B are given):

$$FCAM = A \frac{d}{dt} [Y(2) - Y(1)] + B$$
,

so that when FCAM is active, i.e., when ELG(6) = ELG(12) = 1, the first two equations in (3.2) have to be solved simultaneously for dY(1)/dt and dY(2)/dt, leading to:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} Y(1) = F(1) \\ \frac{d}{dt} Y(2) = F(2) \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

where

.

$$F(1) = (A22 \times B1 - A12 \times B2)/D$$

 $F(2) = (A11 \times B2 - A21 \times B1)/D$

with

$$D = Al1 \times A22 - A21 \times Al2 ,$$

$$Al1 = EMB(1) + A, Al2 = -A, Bl = NF(1) + B ,$$

$$A21 = -A, A22 = EMB(2) + A, B2 = NF(2) - B .$$

Equations (3.3) replace the first two equations of (3.2) when FCAM is active.

Our procedure is to use EPISODE to solve (3.2) in time intervals in which the mass is not changing, and the impulsive forces ($F_1 = FGAS$, and $F_2 = 0.9 \times FGAS$) are not acting. But whenever a mass changes or the impulsive forces occur, the jump conditions across the discontinuities (deduced as for the simple example in Section 2) are used to express the new velocities in terms of the old and the ODE solver is simply restarted with new initial conditions.

We integrate (3.2) for one cycle of motion with the EPISODE options:

- The variable step, variable order implicit Adams method in combination with the functional (fixed point) iteration method,
- (2) Relative error control,
- (3) Relative error of 1 in 10^{-5} .

These are indicated by MF = 10, IERROR = 3 and EPS = 1.0D-5, respectively in the program in Appendix D.

The essence of our numerical procedure can be found in the flow chart in Figure 4. (Refinements like the impulsive and cam forces are easily added.) Note that we check whether the masses change, and print out results, at steps of Δt in time, where Δt is chosen by the programmer. During any one of these steps, the differential equation solver automatically adjusts the step-size that it has to use to obtain the required accuracy, and these step-sizes may be considerably less than Δt .

The entire computer program (apart from EPISODE) is given in Appendix D. (d) <u>Discussion of numerical results</u>. Figures 5-10 below show the graphs presented by Ehle in [2] for motion of the mechanism described above, together with the graphs obtained by the method of this paper (dotted lines). We have used exactly the same equations and constants as Ehle with one exception, namely the force terms F23 = -F32and F23BAR = -F32BAR, as discussed in the next paragraph and in Appendix B.

With one exception, the results are in general agreement, including the sequence of events and the chattering of the spring 27 with body 2. The exception is the motion

-14-



-15-





-16-







-17-

















-20-





-21-

of body 3, the springs attached to which are in intermittent contact with body 2. The value 60 of the damping constant in F23 and F23BAR used by Ehle produced a highly damped motion of body 3 in our program. Our results given in Figure 7 were obtained using a damping constant of 2.

The sequence of events 15 shown in detail in Figure 11, which describes 32 intermittent contacts at times t_1, \ldots, t_{32} . These times are tabulated in Figure 12 below. The criteria involved at each of these times can be found in the definitions of the corresponding logical groups which are described in detail in Appendix C.





Right spring-damper on body 2 is in contact with body 1. Right spring-damper on body 7 is in contact with body 2.

Left spring-damper on body 3 is in contact with body 2. Right spring-damper on body 3 is in contact with body 2. Mass of body 2 is first increased by m₉ and is later decreased by m₉.

Mass of body 2 is increased by me at end of unlocking and is decreased by m₈ at start of locking.

Left spring-damper on body 2 is in contact with body 1. Left spring-damper on body 7 is pushing body 1 to the left.

Cam force between bodies 2 and 8 is active.

Mass of body 1 is first decreased and then increased by $(m_B + m_9)$.

Mass of body 1 is increased by $(m_8 + m_9 + m_{10})$.

Mass of body 1 is decreased by m_{10} when impulsive forces F_1 and F_2 are activated.

Mass of body 1 is decreased by $(m_9 + m_{10})$.

-23-

i		t _i
0	0.0000	(Initia) time)
1	0.0126	
2	0.0127	
3	0.0128	
4	0.0130	
5	0.0146	
6	0.0156	
7	0.0161	
8	0.0173	
9	0.0187	
10	0.0203	
11	0.0221	
12	0.0227	
13	0.0237	
14	0.0262	
15	0.0278	
16	0.0308	
17	0.0316	
18	0.0326	
19	0.0338	
20	0.0344	
21	0.0345	
22	0.0353	
23	0.0364	
24	0.0365	
25	0.0425	
26	0.0429	
27	0.0441	
28	0,0515	
29	0,0533	
30	0.0583	
31	0,0625	
32	0.0629	(End of a cycle of motion)

Figure 12. Times of the Logical Events

4. Summary and Concluding Remarks.

A straightforward method has been presented for the dynamic analysis of module or involving intermittent motion.

A REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE

- 1. By using the Heaviside step functions, complicated logic associated with discontinuities in the equations of motion is incorporated systematically into the problem formulation, following the methods introduced by Ehle, but differing trees them in detail.
- Jump conditions are required to get across the discontinuities but these are easily implemented in the program.
- 3. No a priori knowledge of the order of logical events is required.
- Our method is easy to program. The program itself is simple; most of the program is just computing the forces and switching Heaviside step functions 'on' or 'otf'.
- 5. The validity of the method has been demonstrated for a complicated and realistic lo-mass mechanical system, the solution involving 32 intermittent contacts (Sev-Figure 11).
- 6. Computational efficiency of the method is good. We integrate the system of equations of motion by using EPISODE (the variable step and variable order ODE solver) with 5-place accuracy and relative error control from t = 0 to t = 0.063 which is one cycle of motion of the mechanism, the cpu time on a UNIVAC 1110 computer is 36 seconds. (Note that our version of EPISODE uses double precision. Single precision should suffice, which would reduce the time required.)
- 7. Stability of the numerical solution does not seem to be a problem.
- 8. The point of the present paper is that we have adopted the logical function approach of Ehle for dealing with discontinuous motion, but we have dealt with discontinuities directly via jump conditions, instead of smoothing out the discontinuities as done by Ehle [2]. A table of comparison between Ehle's approach and ours is presented in Appendix A.

-25-

REFERENCES

- J. H. Bickford, "Mechanisms for intermittent motion", Industrial Press, Inc., New York, NY, 1972.
- [2] P. Ehle, "Dynamic analysis and design sensitivity analysis of mechanisms with intermittent motion", Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, 1978.
- [3] A. C. Hindmarsh and G. D. Byrne, "EPISODE: An experimental package for integration of systems of ordinary differential equations", Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, Rept. UCID-30112 (1975).
- [4] R.-C. Huang, "Optimal design of mechanical systems with intermittent motion", Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, 1976.
- R.-C. Huang and E. J. Haug, "A distribution theoretic approach to the sensitivity analysis of mechanisms with intermittent motion", Technical Report No. 42, Material Division, College of Engineering, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, 1978.
- [6] R.-C. Huang, E. J. Haug and J. G. Andrews, "Sensitivity analysis and optimal design of a mechanical system with intermittent motion", ASME Journal of Mechanical Design 100 (1978), pp. 492-498.
- [7] S. Levy and J. P. D. Wilkinson, "The Component Element Method in Dynamics", McGraw Hill International Book Co., 1976.
- [8] A. Seireg, "Mechanical systems analysis", Scranton, PA., International Textbook Co., 1969.

-26-

APPENDIX A

TABLE OF COMPARISON BETWEEN EHLE'S APPROACH AND OUR APPROACH

Ehle's Approach

Our Approach

- Use Heaviside step and Dirac delta functions systematically in the formulation of equations of motion.
- Discontinuities are smoothed out by using "logical functions^{*}" (i.e., smooth approximations to Heaviside step functions and their derivatives).
- Locked-on logical functions are dealt with via additional ordinary differential equations.
- To put equations of motion into standard form required by the ODE solver, Dirac delta functions would appear.
- 5. To deal with the logical functions, the transition zone width ϵ is chosen arbitrarily; extra time steps must be taken through the transition zone to calculate the logical functions which involves additional step-size adjustment.

We follow Ehle in this respect, but write equations in such a way that we use only Heaviside step functions (no Dirac delta functions) except for the impulsive force.

Discontinuities are dealt with directly by using straightforward jump conditions.

Locked-on logical functions are dealt with via simple computer logic.

This does not occur in our method.

Dealing with the Heaviside step functions is straightforward -- we simply switch them 'on' or 'off' at each time step.

-27-

6. Not so efficient computationally. Efficient computationally.

7. Program seems complicated. Program simple (see Appendix D).

Note on Ehle's smoothed Heaviside function:

ومناحمة الانتقاع والزيد فالتعم ورجانات الالالا فالمعرفين والمعاديني والمعادية والمعادية

If u is the argument, the smooth representation EL used by Ehle in [2] for the Heaviside step function in the equations of motion is:

$$EL = H(u) = \frac{\frac{1}{2} (|u|^3 + u^3)}{|u|^3 + [|u - \epsilon|^3 - (u - \epsilon)^3]}$$

where & is the precise width of the transition zone. Note that the representation EL is asymmetrical about $u = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon$. Symmetry is desirable, and this is easily accomplished by multiplying the square bracket in the denominator of EL by a factor of 0.5.

APPENDIX B

DEFINITIONS OF FORCE TERMS IN EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The forces FGAS and FCAM presented in the equations of motion (3.1) are defined as follows:

FGAS = $1.2 \times \delta(t - t)$, where t is the time at which the impulsive forces F,

and F_2 shown in Figure 3 are initiated.

FCAM = $A \times \frac{d}{dt} [Y(2) - Y(1)] + B$, where $A = GAMLOC^2 \times ENRT \times ELP^2$, $B = -GAMLOC^2 \times ENRT \times ELP \times ELPP \times (Y(2) - Y(1))^2$,

with

$$GAMLOC = 0.3927,$$

$$ENRT = 10.5 \times 10^{-7} + ELG(1) \times 10^{-7},$$

$$ELP = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{EPSLOC}\right) SIN\left(\frac{\pi}{EPSLOC} \left[Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.99167\right]\right),$$

$$ELPP = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{EPSLOC}\right)^{2} COS\left(\frac{\pi}{EPSLOC} \left[Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.99167\right]\right),$$

$$EPSLOC = 0.075.$$

(For details see Appendix D in [2].)

We next tabulate the various spring and damper forces. The equation of motion of a single mass is simply $m\ddot{x} + c\dot{x} + kx = f$. The damping constants c for the mechanism considered are less than the critical damping value, i.e., $c^2 < 4km$. FMOUNT = -300 × (Y(8) - 1) - 9.53 × Y(1)

Force from spring-damper pair acting between ground and left hand side of body I. $F12BAR = -10000 \times (Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.66667) - 0.13 \times (Y(1) - Y(2))$

Force on body 1 from spring-damper pair attached to the left side of body 2. F12BB = $-20000 \times (Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.99967648) - 25 \times (Y(1) - Y(2))$

Force on body 1 from spring-damper pair attached to the right side of body 2. F16 = -76.8 × (Y(8) - Y(13) + 5.08425) - (0.0217 × SQRT(2 × EM(5) × 76.8)) × (Y(1) - Y(0)) Force on body 1 from spring-damper pair between bodies 1 and 6.

-29-

 $F_{17} = -15 \times (Y(8) - Y(14) + 6.5) - (0.98 \times SQRT(2 \times EM(7) \times 15)) \times (Y(1) - Y(7))$

Force on body 1 from spring-damper pair between bodies 1 and 7.

 $F23 = -20000 \times (Y(9) - Y(10) + 1.016667) - 2 \times (Y(2) - Y(3))$

Force on body 2 from spring-damper pair attached to right side of body 3.

 $F23BAR = -20000 \times (Y(9) - Y(10) + 0.98333) - 2 \times (Y(2) - Y(3))$

Force on body 2 from spring-damper pair attached to left side of body 3.

 $F24 = -76.8 \times (Y(9) - Y(11) + 1.91575) - (0.0217 \times SQRT(2 \times EM(5) \times 76.8)) \times (Y(2) - Y(4))$

Force on body 2 from spring-damper pair between bodies 2 and 4.

 $F27 = -20000 \times (Y(9) - Y(14) + 5.16667) - 36 \times (Y(2) - Y(7))$

Force on body 2 from spring-damper pair attached to right side of body 7.

 $F45 = -76.8 \times (Y(11) - Y(12) + 0.91575) - (0.0217 \times SQRT(2 \times EM(5) \times 76.8)) \times (Y(4) - Y(5))$

Force on body 4 from spring-damper pair between bodies 4 and 5.

 $F56 = -76.8 \times (Y(12) - Y(13) + 0.91575) - (0.0217 \times SQRT(2 \times EM(5) \times 76.8)) \times (Y(5) - Y(6))$ Force on body 5 from spring-damper pair between bodies 5 and 6.

F21BAR = -F12BAR

F21BB = -F12BB

F32 = -F23

F32BAR = -F23BAR

742 = -F24

F54 = -F45

F61 = -F16

F65 = -F56

F71 = -F17

F72 = -F27

(Note that in F23 and F23BAR we use a damper constant of 2 instead of 60 used by Ehle. Also Ehle uses, instead of the above F23BAR,

 $F23BAR = 20000 \times (Y(9) - Y(10) - 0.98333) + 60 \times (Y(2) - Y(3)).)$

-30-

APPENDIX C

DEFINITIONS OF LOGICAL GROUPS AND LOGICAL STEP FUNCTIONS

IN EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The logical groups ELG(I) that appear in equations (3.1) are expressed in terms of logical step functions EL(I) and are interpreted in terms of physical events as follows:

```
ELG(1) = EL(1) \times EL(4) \times (1 - EL(15))
```

Mass of body 1 is decreased by EM(9) + EM(10).

ELG(2) = EL(2)

Mass of body 1 is decreased by EM(10).

```
ELG(3) = EL(3) \times ELG(1)
```

Mass of body 1 is increased by EM(8) + EM(9) + EM(10).

 $ELG(4) = EL(19) \times EL(20)$

Mass of body 1 is first decreased and then increased by EM(8) + EM(9).

ELG(5) = ELG(2)

 $ELG(6) = EL(7) \times EL(8) - EL(9) \times EL(10) + EL(11) \times EL(12) - EL(13) \times EL(14)$

Cam force between bodies 2 and 8 is active.

```
ELG(7) = 1 - ELG(1)
```

Left spring-damper on body 7 is pushing body 1 to the left.

ELG(8) = EL(18)

Left spring-damper on body 2 is in contact with body 1.

ELG(9) = EL(19)

Mass of body 2 is increased by EM(8) at end of unlocking and is decreased by EM(8) at start of locking.

 $ELG(10) = EL(20) \times (EL(19) - EL(21))$

Mass of body 2 is first increased by EM(9) and is later decreased by EM(9). ELG(11) = ELG(1)

Mass of body 2 is increased by EM(9) + EM(10).

ELG(12) = ELG(6)

```
ELG(13) = EL(22)
```

Right spring-damper on body 3 is in contact with body 2.

ELG(14) = EL(23)

Left spring-damper on body 3 is in contact with body 2.

 $ELG(15) = EL(24) \times ELG(7)$

Right spring-damper on body 7 is in contact with body 2.

ELG(16) = EL(15)

Right spring-damper on body 2 is in contact with body 1.

The logical step-functions that form the logical groups, their arguments, and their physical event associations are given below. Note that

 $\Delta(\Upsilon(\mathbf{I}) ~-~ \Upsilon(\mathbf{J})) ~\equiv~ (\Upsilon(\mathbf{I}) ~-~ \Upsilon(\mathbf{J})) \big|_{t} ~-~ (\Upsilon(\mathbf{I}) ~-~ \Upsilon(\mathbf{J})) \big|_{t=0} ~.$

EL(1) = H(Y(9) - Y(8) - 0.7292)

Mass of body 1 is decreased by EM(9) + EM(10) when $\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 3.25^{\circ}$. EL(2) = H(Y(14) - Y(9) - 5.16667)

Mass of body 1 is decreased by EM(10) when impulsive forces F_1 and F_2 are activated where $\Delta(Y(14) - Y(9)) = 2^n$.

EL(3) = H(Y(9) - Y(8) - 0.91667)

Body 8 contacts body 1 for start of locking when $\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 1^{\circ}$.

EL(4) = H(Y(2) - Y(1))

Body 8 contacts body 1 for start of locking when Y(2) - Y(1) > 0.

EL(7) = EL(3)

EL(8) = EL(4)

EL(9) = H(Y(9) - Y(8) - 0.99167)

Locking stops when $\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 0.1^{H}$:

EL(10) = H(Y(2) - Y(1)) = EL(8)

Locking stops when Y(2) - Y(1) > 0.

Unlocking begins when $\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 0.1^{\circ}$. EL(12) = H(Y(1) - Y(2)) = 1 - EL(8)Unlocking begins when Y(2) - Y(1) < 0. EL(13) = H(Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.91667) = 1 - EL(3)Unlocking ends when $\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 1^{\circ}$. EL(14) = H(Y(1) - Y(2)) = EL(12)Unlocking ends when Y(2) - Y(1) < 0. EL(15) = H(Y(9) - Y(8) - 0.99899)Right spring on body 2 is in contact with body 1 when $\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) > 0$. EL(18) = H(Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.66667)Left spring on body 2 begins contact with body 1 when $\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 4^{\circ}$. EL(19) = H(Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.91667) = EL(13)Mass of body 2 is increased by EM(8) + EM(9) + EM(10) when $\Delta(Y(8) - Y(9)) = 1^{\circ}$.

EL(11) = H(Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.99167) = 1 - EL(9)

EL(20) = H(Y(1) - Y(2))

Body 9 remains attached to body 1 until Y(2) - Y(1) < 0.

EL(21) = H(Y(8) - Y(9) + 0.79167)

Body 8 is decreased by EM(9) when $\Delta(Y(9) - Y(8)) = 2.5^{\circ}$.

EL(22) = H(Y(10) - Y(9) - 1.01667)

Right spring-damper on body 3 contacts body 2 when $\Delta(Y(9) - Y(10)) = 0.2^{\circ}$.

EL(23) = H(Y(9) - Y(10) + 0.98333)

Left spring-damper on body 3 contacts body 2 when $\Delta(Y(9) - Y(10)) = 0.2^{\circ}$.

EL(24) = H(Y(14) - Y(9) - 5.16667) = EL(2)

Right spring-damper on body 7 contacts body 2 when $\Delta(Y(14) - Y(9)) = 2^{n}$.

APPENDIX D
COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE CALCULATION OF THE TEN-MASS MECHANICAL SYSTEM
C & PROGRAM FOR THE DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF AN INTERMITTENT MOTION MECHANISM
r (1) 11 15 A TEH-MASS SYSTEM
C (2) THE ORDER OF THE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS IS NOT KNOWN A PRIDRI
T PLICIT ODURIE PRECISION(A+H,0-Z)
INTERFR EL FLC
D1#F#STDE V0(14),EL(24),ELG(16),EM(10),EM8(10),DLDEM8(10)
CONTROL ELGERELCECTOR
$C = M SSFS E^{(T)}$
Data F*/0,192500,0.018200,0.0069600,3+0.00138300.0.00212100,

C THITTAL VELOCITIES (VO(I), IS1,7) AND INITIAL DISPLACEMENTS
DATA Y0/7+0.000.1.000.2.000.3.000.4.000.5.000.6.000.7.000/
C. INITIAL RELATIVE VELOCITIES AND RELATIVE DISPLACEMENTS OF INTERESTS
DATA DX2X1, DX3X2, DX7X2, DV7V1, DX6X1, X1M1/6+0, 000/
C INTITAL VALUES FOR LOGICAL FUNCTION GROUPS ELG(I):
CATA FLE ZERO, 1, DRU, 1Z C. TATTISE VALUE FOR KELZ, A COUNTER TO COUNT THE NUMBER OF TIMES
C FL (2) SWITCHES ON:
DATA KEL2/0/
C TOTAL TIME ALLOWED, TTL, AND TIME STEP FOR PRINTING DUTPUT, TSTEP:
PATA TILITSTEPTO, 1000,0,0000000 P VALUES DE H. TO, TOUT, EPS, TERROR, ME FOR THE DRIVER SUBPOUTINE DRIVE OF
C EPISODE, THE D.D.E. SOLVER USED IN THIS PROGRAM
PETA 11, TO, TOUT, EPS, IERROR, MF/14, 2+0,000, 1,00-5, 3, 10/
E PRINT HEADING AND DUTPUT RESULTS AT INITIAL TIME AETTERS, 2011 TO, DY2X1, DY3Y2, DY7Y2, DYAY1, XINI
201 FOF 4AT(1H1, 19x, 'T', 10x, '10(x2-x1-1)', 4x, '100(x3-x2-1)', 5x, '10(x7-x
+2-5)',5x,'0,1(V7-V1)',6X,'10(X6-X1-5)',6X,'10(X1-1)',//15X,D10,S,
*6110.5)
E LUMPOTE MASS DE HOUT IS EMPLISS AT INITIAL TIME E runtingemutings obsetemusis
00 11 182.7
EMP(T)#EM(T)
11 CONTINUE
C STORF MASS OF RODIES 1 AND 2, AND RESET THE MASS CHANGE
10 DO 12 1st.2
1 PEMR(1) #EMR(1)
12 CONTINUE
MALTERSO
ENCENTION AND HO FIR SUBRUUTING DRIVE
H1#1.09+10
T INCREASE THE TIME STEP FOR NEXT DUTPUT AND CHECK TOTAL TIME
30 TOUTATSTEP
1
POP FORMAT(// TOTAL TIME ALLOWED EXCEEDS / //)
STOP
-34

- ---

THIS PAGE 1.5 DEST QUALITY PRACELOARLE

C CALL SUBBOUTISE DRIVE OF EPISODE TO SOLVE THE EQUATIONS OF HOTION
P OF THE FORM DYZDTEF(Y,T); ARGUMENTS OF DRIVE ARE:
P PATHE NUMBED OF FOUNTIES AND TALERS FOR AND AN AN AND FORM
C THE THE INITIAL VALUE OF TAND IS USED FOR INFUT ONLY ON THE FIRST
C TALL, IN MUTPHY, TO IS THE DUTPUT VALUE OF T
I HE SILF SILF & HV IS USED UN INFUT FUR THE SILF SILE IU BE
C YOA A VECTOD OF LENCTH AL SOD THE DEFINION LALL
C THE A VELOW IN LENGTH WE DE T
FPS- YHE ()CAL FRANCE PARAMETER
C IFRADE THE FERRE CONTROL INTICATOR
F ME THE METHOD FLAG
P TODEY- AN INTEGER FLAG USED FOR INPUT AND DUTPUT
13 CALL DRIVE (N. TO, HO, YO, TOUT, EPS, IERROR, MF, INDEX)
C EXIT IF FRANK
IF (TADEX.EQ.0) GO TO 14
WRITE(6,203) INDEX
203 FORMAT(//' ERROR RETURN WITH INDEX=",13//)
STOP
C SHITCH 1011 OR 10FF1 OF LOGICAL FUNCTIONS EL(I) ACCORDING TO CRITERIA
14 PX21=Y0(9)+Y0(8)
0V21=Y0(2)=Y0(1)
·····································
~ X72 X YO (] 4] - YG (9)
7 ((1) =) 15 - Contanue
16 TE(D21=0.91667D0.GF.0.0D0) EL(3)=1
1F(0)21-GF(0)000 FL(4)=1
FI (7) #FI (3)
EL (A) = EL (4)
1F(0x21-0,9916700,GE.0,000) EL(9)=1
EL(10)#FL(8)
EL(11)=1+FL(9)
EL(12)=1=EL(A)
£[(13)4]-E[(3)
EL(14)=EL(12)
IF(0x21=0,99899D0,GE.0.0D0) EL(15)=1
1F(=0x21+0.6666700.GE,0.000) EL(16)=1
FL(19)#EL(13)
TF(=DV21.GE.0.0D0) EL(20)=1
1+ (-0×21+0.7916700.6F.0.000) EL(21)81
LP (DX 52 A1, 0166700, GE, 0, 000) EL (22)#1
17 (MUX340, 9833507, 62, 0, 000) EL(23)81
TITESTELLES
L FREUN END OF A LIGUE OF RUILON OF THE RECHANISH Televisi visi a or elevis ne in en to an
P POMPTITE AND DITTEL DEGITE TE DEGITE TE DE LO LE
-9°-
-32-

a la desta de la desta des

and the second second

HIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACFICABLE MANN COPY FURNISHED TO BDC

5X7X2=(Y0(14)-Y0(9)-5,000)+10,000	
DV7V1=(Y0(7)=Y0(1))+0,100	
DX6X1=(Y0(13)=Y0(8)=5,000)+10,000	
x1m1=(v?(8)=1.0D0)+10.0D0	
WHITE(6,204) TO, DX2X1, DX3X2, DX7X2, DV7V1, DX6X1, X1H1	
204 FORMATCI AFND OF A CYCLER', D8, 3, 6016, 5)	
5100 5100	
COMPOSE LOGICAL PONCSION GROUPS ELG(I)	
E (C (S) 36) C (2	
FLG(4)#FL(7)+FL(A)=FL(9)+FL(10)+FL(11)+FL(12)=FL(13)+FL(10	17
FIG(7)=1=FIG(1)	
$ELG(9) \cong EL(19)$	
FLG(10)=EL(20)+(FL(19)-FL(21))	
ELG(11)=FLG(1)	
ELG(12)=FLG(6)	
ELG(13)=EL(22)	
FLG(14)=FL(23)	
ELG(15) = FL(24) = ELG(7)	
FLG(16)=EL(15)	
COMPUTE THE MASS OF BODIES 1 AND 2	
<pre>ten(1)aten(1)+20.000#(ten(4)+ten(10))=tb(1)#(ten(4)+ten(10)) tio(1)</pre>	:
**EM(8)*EM(0))	
FMR(2)=FM(2)+FIG(9)+FM(8)+FIG(10)+FM(9)+(FIG(1))+FIG(3))+(FM(9)
**FM(10))	
C SPECIAL TREATMENT FOR THE IMPULSIVE FORCE FGAS & IF KEL2=1 COM	PUTE
C NEW INITIAL VALUES THROUGH JUMP CONDITIONS AND LOCK ON ELG(2)	}
C OTHERWISE, CONTINUE COMPUTATION	
IF (KEL2, NE, 1) GO TO 50	
YO(1)=(OLDEMB(1)+YO(1)=1,200)/EMB(1)	
Y0(2)=(DLDEMB(2)+Y0(2)=0.9D0+1.2D0)/EMB(2)	
ELG(2)=1	
MALTER#1	
GO TO 70	
C CHECK MASS CHANGE OF BODIES 1 AND 21 IF VES, COMPUTE NEW INIT	AL
r values through jump cunultions; utherwise, cuntinge	
30 00 20 181;2 18/0408/2000/05/02/1111/25/1/00-151/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/	
"ALICADJ Vo(t)=BI DEMB(Y)=VO(T)/EMB(T)	
20 CONTINUE	
C COMPUTE AND OUTPUT RESULTS	
70 DX2X1=(Y0(4)=Y0(8)=1.000)+10.000	
DX3X2=(Y0(10)-Y0(9)-1,0D0)+100,0D0	
DX7X2=(Y0(14)=Y0(9)=5,0D0)+10,000	
DV7V1=(Y0(7)=Y0(1))+0,100	
DX6X1=(Y0(13)+Y0(8)=5,0D0)+10,0D0	
X1M1=(Yn(8)=1,000)=10,000	
TRAMALTER FOLIN CO TO 60	
th fut fike the 11 of in by	
WRITE(6,205) TO,DX2X1,DX3X2,DX7X2,DV7V1,DX6X1,X1M1	
WRITE(6,205) TO,DX2X1,DX3X2,DX7X2,DV7V1,DX6X1,X1M1	
WRITE(6,205) TO,DX2X1,DX3X2,DX7X2,DV7V1,DX6X1,X1M1	

Ī,

	205 FORMAT(15X,010.3,6016.5)
	G(1 TA 30
	60 WRITE(6,206) T0,DX2X1,DX3X2,DX7X2,DV7V1,DX6X1,X1M1
	216 FORMAT(1 +MASS CHANGE+ ',D10,3,6016,5)
C .	CONDUCTOR DEFENS TO ALLER ON BRIDE TO AND TO ADDRESS AND TO ALLER TO ALLER
r	SUBMUTUTINE DEFENSION IS CALLED BY DRIVE TO COMPUTE YOUTER (T, T) OF LENGTH
r	N PIM GIVEN VALUES UP I AND V OF LENGTH N
	Implicit Double Precision(Ash, 0-2)
	THE NATURE TENJATUDI (N) DIMENETAN ELIALEI (201.6) ELECTIAL EMILAL EMPLIAL DIDEMPLIAL
	CONNOL EL EL CASTELCASTELCIOSTATIOSTENEIOSTULVEMPLIOS
~	CHARTER CLARED AN THE EVERY THE ANALYTANE BE MANTANE"
ι	CUPPUTE FUPUTES IN THE FIRST / ENGLAPED STORAGE
	- 120845410000,0004(1(0)-1(1)40,0000/00)-0,13004(1)-1(2)
	FILTH-FLUDUR, UUUN (TUD) WITTATAU, YYYDI OMOUUJWED, UUUN (TUJ) WITZ) FILTH-FL ADDALV/ALAVITIA (AAASDAL-/A ADTADADADT/D ADAEM/FLUTA
	* 1010,000 * (10-10) * 10 * 10 * 00 * 200 / = (0,021/00*030*((2,000*E*(3)*/0,
	<pre>* [1 = - 1] *********************************</pre>
	F 3 KAP2-20000 DD04/V/0100/10100/260000/25100/2510/
	$F_{24=76}$, $B_{10} + (y + y) = y + (1) $
	*Abol) * (*(2) = *(a))
	F77=20000.000+(V(9)+V(14)+5.1666700)=36.000+(V(7)-V(7))
	F45=+76_AC0+(V(11)-V(12)+0-9157500)-(0-021700+DS0R1(2-000+FM(5)+76
	+ AD01)+(V(4)-V(5))
	F563-76_PD04(V(12)-V(13)+0_9157500)-(0_021700+05084(2_000+F4(5)+76
	+.8F01)+(Y(5)+Y(6))
	F21HARS-F12HAR
	F32==F23
	FSPRARE+F23RAR
	* 422=F24
	f 542-f 45
	F61==F16
	F658-F56
	F71=F17
	F72=F77
-	COMPUTE THE RIGHT SIDES OF THE FIRST Y EQUATIONS OF MOTION
	F(1)#(F16+FMOUNT+ELG(7)+F17+ELG(8)+F12BAR+ELG(16)+F12RB)/EMR(1)
	F(7)=(F24+ELG(13)+F23+ELG(14)+F23RAR+ELG(15)+F27+ELG(A)+F21BAR+ELG
	*(16)*F21HB)/ENH(2)
	F(3)#(FLG(13)+F32+ELG(14)+F32RAR)/EMB(3)
	F(4)=(F42+F45)/EMA(4)
	F (5) # (F54+F56)/ENN(5)
	F(A)=(F65+F61)/EMR(6)
-	F(7)=(ELG(7)+F71+ELG(15)+F72)/EMB(7)
C	SPECIAL TREATMENT FUN FCAM THE CAN FORCE
	IF (FLG(6) . EG. 0) GO TO 30
	PI=3.141592653D0
	- 37-

FPSLCC#P.075D0	
C1=PT/FPSLCC	
Px1x2=Y(R)=Y(q)+P,9916700	
ELPE0_5D0+C1+05IN(C1+0X1X2)	
FLPPH0,500+C1+C1+DC05(C1+DX1X2)	
GAML0C#0.3927n0	
ENPT=10,50-7+FLG(1)+1,00-7	
ABGAMLOČOGAMLOCEENRTOĖLPOELP	
RamGAML9C#GAML9C4ENRT#FLP#FLPP#(Y(2)=Y(1))##2	
A11#EMR(1)+A	
A128-A	
A21=-A	
A22#FMB(2)+4	
81#F(1)*EMR(1)*P	
B2#F(2)+EMB(2)-B	
51A+12A=42A+11A+0	
F(1)=(A22+B1=A12+B2)/D	
F(2)=(A11+82=A21+F1)/D	
C COMPUTE THE RIGHT SIVES OF THE LAST 7 EQUATIONS OF MOTION	
30 DO 10 I=1,7	
JU CUNTINUE • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
C STORE THE RIGHT SIDES OF THE EQUALITING OF MULTUM IN VELIUM THUS	1
	-
PETIDA	
FND	
C THIS DUMMY VERSION OF PEDERV IS REQUIRED BY THE ODE SOLVER EPI	SODE
SUBRAILTINE PENERV(N,Y,Y,PD,NO)	
RETURN	
-	
END	
END	- -
END	
	
END	
END	
E Nn	
BN/HSH/Set	

- 141 -

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)						
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM					
T. REPORT NUMBER 2026 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	D. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER					
4. TITLE (and Subtitio)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED					
COMPUTER CALCULATION OF MECHANISMS INVOLVING	reporting period					
INTERMITTENT MOTIONS	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER					
7. AUTHOR(a)	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)					
B. Noble and H. S. Hung	DAAG29-75-C-0024					
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS					
Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin	Work Unit #3 - Applications					
Madison Wisconsin 53706	of Mathematics					
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE					
U. S. Army Research Office	December 1979					
P.O. BOX 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 27709	13. NUMBER OF PAGES					
14. MONITORING IGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(It different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)					
	UNCLASSIFIED					
	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
16. DISTR BUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	L					
Approved for public releases distribution unlimited						
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)						
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe	r)					
Mechanical systems Equations of	motion					
Intermittent motion Dynamical analysis						
Logical functions Computer program						
Jump conditions						
10. ANATRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)						
"This paper deals with a simple computational approach to the analysis of						
dynamical systems involving intermittent motion in	dynamical systems involving intermittent motion in which the velocities involved					
can be discontinuous due to impulsive forces, impact, mass capture, and mass						
release. The sequence of these events may not be known ahead of time, and may						
in fact be one of the things we wish the computer to determine. \neg						
DD + JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 18 OBBOLETE UNCLASSIFIED - next page						
	ASHFICATION OF THIS PASE (Then Bala Enforce					

2Q. ABSTRACT - Cont'd.

The dynamical equations are formulated using a logical function method due to P. Ehle. The resulting system of ordinary differential equations with discontinuous coefficients is integrated using a standard computer code in regions where the coefficients are continuous. When discontinuities occur, jump conditions across the discontinuity are used to express the new velocities in terms of the old, and the ordinary differential equation solver is simply restarted with new initial conditions.

To illustrate the simplicity of the approach, the method is applied to a dynamical system of ten masses considered by Ehle. The computer code and numerical results are included.

