





DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.



PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the <u>Recommended</u> <u>Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams</u>, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Department of the Army, Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314.

The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon visual observations and review of available data. Detailed investigations and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, material testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the inspection is intended to identify any need for such studies which should be performed by the owner.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of the dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external factors which are evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the spillway design flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The spillway design flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

The assessment of the conditions and recommendations was made by the consulting engineer in accordance with generally and currently accepted engineering principles and practices.

i

PACW31-80-C-0022

Ë,

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

......

National Dan Inspection Program. Bear Rock Number : David (NDJ I.D. Number PA-0443, DER I.D. Number 11-3) Onio River Essen, Bear Pork Run, Cambris County Former/Vania. Phise I Insportion Reportal PHASE I REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM Lawrence D. Andersen

NAME OF DAM: Bear Rock No. 2 Dam STATE LOCATED: Pennsylvania COUNTY LOCATED: Cambria STREAM: Bear Rock Run SIZE CLASSIFICATION: Intermediate HAZARD CLASSIFICATION: High OWNER: Highland Sewer and Water Authority DATE OF INSPECTION: November 13 and December 28, 1979

ASSESSMENT: Based on the evaluation of the existing conditions, the condition of Bear Rock No. 2 Dam is considered to be unsafe/nonemergency.due to the seriously inadequate spillway capacity.

The structural condition of the embankment is considered to be good.

The crest and downstream face of the dam were found to be covered with brush and trees which require clearing. No signs of structural distress were observed.

The operational condition of the blow-off valve was not observed. It is therefore recommended that the operational condition of the blow-off valve should be immediately assessed and necessary maintenance performed if required. The flow through the outlet pipes is controlled by valves located downstream of the dam which cause the pipes to be under pressure through the embankment. In view of this condition, concern exists as to the effect of a rupture of these pipes on the embankment stability. Therefore, means for providing upstream control should be developed.

The spillway capacity was evaluated according to the recommended procedure and was found to pass 30 percent of the probable maximum flood (PMF) without overtopping the embankment. This capacity is less than the recommended spillway capacity of full PMF according to the size and hazard classification of the dam. Furthermore, because the spillway capacity is less than 50 percent of the PMF and it was found that failure of the dam due to overtopping would cause failure of Bear Rock No. 1 Dam downstream and the combined discharge would significantly increase the downstream hazard of loss of life compared to that which would exist just before failure, the spillway is considered to be seriously inadequate.

The following recommendations should be implemented immediately or on a continuing basis:

11/12 1

- 1. The owner should immediately retain a professional engineer to conduct additional studies to more accurately ascertain the spillway capacity and the nature and extent of improvements required to provide adequate spillway capacity.
- The operational condition of the blow-off valve should be evaluated and necessary maintenance performed. A means for providing upstream control to the outlet pipes should be developed.
- 3. Brush and trees on the crest and downstream slope of the dam should be cleared.
- 4. Around-the-clock surveillance should be provided during unusually heavy runoff and a formal warning system developed to alert the downstream residents in the event of emergencies. It is also recommended that the owner take necessary measures to improve the accessibility of the site during high flows.
- 5. The dam and appurtenant structures should be inspected regularly and necessary maintenance should be performed.

AREGISTER 3 PROFESSIONAL Lawrence D. Andersen ENGINEER No. 17458-E NNSY HILLING THE Accessist of tor NTIL U . 1 D1.0 T13 Unit in a stad Jug By Dist 1 Avoi .71 Dist 31:0 11

Lawrence D. Andersen, P.E. Vice President

<u>March 5, 1980</u> Date

Approved by:

JAMES W. PECK Colonel, Corps of Engineers District Engineer

1980

iii

BEAR ROCK NO. 2 DAM NDI T.D. PA-541 NOVEMBER 13, 1979



Upstream Face



Downstream Face

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Description of Project	1
1.3 Pertinent Data	2
SECTION 2 - DESIGN DATA	4
2.1 Design	4
2.2 Construction	5
2.3 Operation	5
2.4 Other Investigations 2.5 Evaluation	5
	,
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	7
3.1 Findings	7
3.2 Evaluation	8
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL FEATURES	9
4.1 Procedure	9
4.2 Maintenance of the Dam	9
4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities	9
4.4 Warning System	9
4.5 Evaluation	9
SECTION 5 - HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY	10
5.1 Evaluation of Features	10
SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	12
6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability	12
SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS/PROPOSED	
REMEDIAL MEASURES	14
7.1 Dam Assessment	14
7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures	14

•

影会

1914 A.

ار خود الم

~ ~

. 500

d

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

5

APPENDIX A - CHECKLIST, VISUAL INSPECTION, PHASE I APPENDIX B - CHECKLIST, ENGINEERING DATA, DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC, PHASE I APPENDIX C - PHOTOGRAPHS APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS ANALYSES APPENDIX E - PLATES APPENDIX F - REGIONAL GEOLOGY

WAR 28. 42 10

A CONTRACT DIA

PHASE I REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM BEAR ROCK NO. 2 DAM NDI I.D. PA-441 DER I.D. 11-3

> SECTION 1 PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. <u>Authority</u>. The inspection was performed pursuant to the authority granted by The National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, to the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to conduct inspections of dams throughout the United States.

b. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this inspection is to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Dam and Appurtenances. Bear Rock No. 2 Dam consists of an earth embankment approximately 760 feet long with a maximum height of about 42 feet from the downstream toe and a crest width of about 6 feet. The crest and upstream and downstream faces of the dam are covered with riprap. The flood discharge facilities of the dam consist of a rectangular stone masonry overflow spillway located on the left abutment (looking downstream). The spillway is 33 feet wide and about 4 feet deep at the control section and uniformly coverges into the spillway discharge channel. The spillway discharge channel is a stone masonry chute, which terminates at a plunge pool. A dike along the right side of the spillway discharge channel is provided to prevent flow towards the toe of the dam. The outlet facilities consist of a 16-inch cast-iron blow-off pipe and a 12-inch cast-iron supply pipe. Flows through these pipes are controlled by values located in the downstream value chamber. The 16-inch blow-off pipe constitutes the emergency drawdown facility for the reservoir.

b. Location. The dam is located on Bear Rock Run, a tributary of Little Conemaugh River, immediately upstream of Bear Rock No. 1 Dam, about two miles east of Lilly in Washington Township, Cambria County, Pennsylvania. Plate 1 illustrates the location of the dam.

c. <u>Size Classification</u>. Intermediate (based on 42-foot height and 130 acre-feet maximum storage capacity). d. <u>Hazard Classification</u>. The dam is classified to be in the high hazard category. Bear Rock Run flows through the town of Lilly approximately 2-1/2 miles downstream from the dam. It is estimated that failure of the dam would result in the failure of the downstream dam and combined discharge would cause large loss of life and property damage in the town of Lilly.

e. <u>Ownership</u>. Highland Sewer and Water Authority (address: Mr. Charles MacDonald, Manager, 400 Luray Avenue, Johnstown, Pennsylvania 15904).

f. Purpose of Dam. Water supply.

g. Design and Construction History. The dam was designed and constructed by Pennsylvania Railroad Company in 1904.

h. Normal Operating Procedure. The reservoir is normally maintained at Elevation 2400, the level of the uncontrolled spillway. When the lake is at or above the spillway level, inflow is discharged through the uncontrolled spillway.

1.3 Pertinent Data

8.	Dra	inage	Area

1.4 square miles

2404.4 (measured

2404.5 (as designed)

low spot)

2404.4

2400

2370+

2350+

2362+

Unknown

b. Discharge at Dam Site (cfs)

Maximum known flood at dam siteUnknownOutlet conduit at maximum pool20Gated spillway capacity at maximum poolNot applicableUngated spillway capacity at maximum pool858Total spillway capacity at maximum pool858

c. Elevation (USGS Datum) (feet)

Top of dam

Maximum pool Normal pool Upstream invert outlet works Downstream invert outlet works Maximum tailwater Toe of dam

d. Reservoir Length (feet)

Normal pool level900Maximum pool level950 (estimated)

e.	Storage (acre-feet)	
	Normal pool level	92
	Mercinum pool lovel	130
	Maximum poor rever	130
f.	Reservoir Surface (acres)	
	Normal pool level	7.4
	Maximum pool level	9.7 <u>+</u>
g.	Dam	
	Туре	Earth
	Length	760 feet
	Height	42 feet
	Top width	6 feet
	Side slopes	Downstream:
		2H:1V; Upstream:
		2H: 1V
	Zoning	No
	Impervious core	Yes
	Cutoff	Yes
	Grout curtain	No
h.	Regulating Outlet	
	Туре	16-inch cast-
		iron pipe
	Length	250+ feet
	Closure	Gate valves
	Access	Downstream valve
		chamber
	Regulating facilities	Gate valve
i.	Spillway	
	Туре	Rectangular stone
	· ·	masonry channel
	Length	33 feet (perpen-
		dicular to flow)
	Crest elevation	2400
	Upstream channel	Lake
	Downstream channel	Rectangular stone
		masonry channel

. . .

ŝ

1

ž

SECTION 2 DESIGN DATA

2.1 Design

a. <u>Data Available</u>. The available information was provided by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Environmental Resources (PennDER). The information includes correspondence, state inspection reports, and design drawings.

(1) <u>Hydrology and Hydraulics</u>. No design information is available. A state report entitled, <u>Report Upon the Application of</u> <u>the Summit Water Supply Company</u>, dated May 10, 1937, gives the design capacity of the spillway used for the 1937 enlargement of the spillway.

(2) <u>Embankment</u>. Available information consists of limited design drawings.

(3) <u>Appurtement Structures</u>. The available information consists of limited design drawings.

b. Design Features

(1) <u>Embankment</u>. Plate 2 shows the plan of the embankment and the reservoir. As shown in Plate 3, the embankment consists of compacted clay beneath the upstream and downstream slopes and a central puddle clay core wall. The dimensions of the puddle clay core wall are shown to be 12 feet at the crest level of the dam, increasing to a width of 16 feet at the original ground surface, and reducing to a 14-foot width at the bottom of the cutoff trench. As shown in the valley cross section in Plate 3, the puddle clay core wall was extended 20 to 30 feet below the original ground surface and into the abutments beyond the limits of the embankment and the spillway.

As designed, the embankment slopes were 2 horizontal to 1 vertical on both the upstream and downstream faces. The design provided an 18-inch-thick layer of riprap on both faces and the crest of the dam for erosion protection.

(2) <u>Appurtement Structures</u>. The appurtement structures of the dam consist of an uncontrolled overflow spillway located near the left abutment and outlet works at the center of the dam.

The plan and a typical cross section of the spillway are shown in Plates 2 and 4, respectively.

4

A LAND DO NO.

As shown in Plate 3, the outlet facilities consist of a 16-inch cast-iron blow-off pipe and a 12-inch supply line. The pipes are shown to enter the embankment, passing through a 3-foot-thick masonry wall. In the upstream portion of the dam, the pipes are located through the fill, but enter a cut trench at about the midpoint of the embankment, and then emerge from the toe of the dam at a level about 2 to 3 feet below the original ground surface. Design drawings indicate that the design provided no provisions to prevent leakage along the pipes, such as cutoff collars, other than the masonry wall located on the upstream end of the pipe.

c. Design Data

(1) <u>Hydrology and Hydraulics</u>. The 1937 state report indicates that the spillway improvements undertaken at that time were based on a spillway design capacity of 1100 cfs.

(2) <u>Embankment</u>. Other than limited design drawings, no engineering data are available on the design of the embankment.

(3) <u>Appurtenant Structures</u>. No design calculations are available for the appurtenant structures.

2.2 <u>Construction</u>. Very limited information is available on the construction of the dam. A 1914 state report indicates that the embankment material was placed in thin layers, wetted, and rolled with a horse roller.

Other than the placement of one foot of additional fill on the dam creat for the purpose of increasing the spillway capacity in 1937, no other post-construction changes are reported.

2.3 Operation. No operating records have been kept for the dam.

2.4 Other Investigations. None reported.

2.5 Evaluation

a. <u>Availability</u>. The available information was provided by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Environmental Resources.

b. Adequacy

(1) <u>Hydrology and Hydraulics</u>. The available information consists of the design discharge capacity of the spillway. This information is not considered to be sufficient to assess the adequacy of the spillway.

(2) Embankment. The dam was apparently constructed according to the design drawings. In view of the age of the dam, completed in 1904, the design approach and construction techniques are not likely to be in conformance with currently accepted engineering practices. The design lacks such considerations as embankment slope stability, seepage analyses, and other quantitative data to aid in the assessment of the adequacy of the design. However, the design includes such components as a core wall and a cutoff wall extending to impervious foundation material and slope protection.

(3) <u>Appurtenant Structures</u>. Review of the spillway design drawings indicates that no significant design deficiencies exist that would affect the overall performance of these structures. As for the outlet works, the available information indicates that the design incorporated no special provisions, such as cutoff collars, to control seepage along these pipes, which raises some concern relative to the adequacy of the design to prevent seepage along these pipes. However, no seepage has been reported along these pipes in the past and none was observed at this time, indicating that backfilling around the pipes was adequate to prevent seepage along these pipes.

SECTION 3 VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. <u>General</u>. The on-site inspection of Bear Rock No. 2 Dam consisted of:

- 1. Visual inspection of the embankment, abutments, and embankment toe.
- 2. Visual examination of the spillway structures.
- 3. Evaluation of downstream area hazard potential.

The specific observations are illustrated in Plate 5.

b. <u>Embankment</u>. The general inspection of the embankment consisted of searching for indications of structural distress, such as cracks, subsidence, bulging, wet areas, seeps and boils, and observing general maintenance conditions, vegetative cover, erosion, and other surficial features.

The embankment was found to be in good condition. Bulges observed in the downstream slope riprap appear to be due to surficial effects, and therefore are not considered to be significant. A wet area observed along the toe of the dam near the right abutment is also considered to be insignificant relative to the overall performance of the embankment. The crest and downstream faces of the dam were covered with trees and brush up to 10 feet high which require clearing.

The top of the dam was surveyed relative to the spillway crest level and was found to be at or slightly above the design crest elevation, assuming the design crest level to be 4.5 feet above the spillway crest elevation. The crest of the dam is illustrated in Plate 6. Several measurements taken along the downstream slope indicated the slope is reasonably within the design slope of 2 horizontal to 1 vertical.

c. Appurtenant Structures. The spillway structures were examined for deterioration or other signs of distress and obstructions that would limit flow. The spillway structures were found to be in good condition. For the outlet structures, the only visible portion was the downstream end of the blow-off pipe. The operational condition of the blow-off valve was not observed.

d. <u>Reservoir Area</u>. A map review indicates that the watershed is predominantly covered by woodlands. A review of the regional geology (Appendix F) indicates that the shorelines of the reservoir are not likely to be susceptible to massive landslides, which would affect the storage volume of the reservoir.

e. <u>Downstream Channel</u>. Discharge from the dam flows into the reservoir of Bear Rock No. 1 Dam, which in turn discharges into Bear Rock Run. Bear Rock Run flows through an uninhabited valley for about two miles where it enters residential areas of the town of Lilly. It is estimated that in excess of 20 houses are located within the potential flood plain of Bear Rock Run in the event of a dam failure. Further description of the downstream conditions is included in Section 1.2d.

3.2 <u>Evaluation</u>. The condition of the embankment and spillway structures is considered to be good. The condition of the outlet facilities could not be assessed.

SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL FEATURES

4.1 <u>Procedure</u>. There are no formal operating procedures for the dam. The reservoir is normally maintained at the uncontrolled spillway crest level with excess inflow discharging over the spillway.

4.2 <u>Maintenance of the Dam</u>. The maintenance of the embankment is considered to be poor. The crest and downstream face of the dam are covered with trees and brush up to 10 feet high.

4.3 <u>Maintenance of Operating Facilities</u>. The only visible portions of the outlet facilities were the downstream end of the 16-inch cast-iron blow-off pipe. The operational condition of the blow-off valve was not observed.

4.4 <u>Warning System</u>. No formal warning system exists for the dam. The dam is accessible via a two-mile jeep trail which is in poor condition. Bear Rock Run crosses the jeep trail at two locations. It is estimated that during severe weather conditions, the trail will not be passable. Telephone communication facilities are available via residences located about one mile downstream from the dam.

4.5 <u>Evaluation</u>. The maintenance of the dam is considered to be poor. It is recommended that the brush and trees on the downstream face of the dam be cleared and that the operational condition of the blow-off valve should be evaluated. It is also recommended that the owner take necessary measures to improve the accessibility of the dam site.

SECTION 5 HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY

5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. <u>Design Data</u>. Bear Rock No. 2 Dam has a watershed area of 1.4 square miles and impounds a reservoir with a surface area of 7.4 acres at normal pool level. The flood discharge facilities for the dam consist of a 33-foot-wide rectangular channel located on the left abutment. The capacity of the spillway was determined to be 858 cfs.

b. <u>Experience Data</u>. As previously stated, Bear Rock No. 2 Dam is classified to be an intermediate dam in the high hazard category. Under the recommended criteria for evaluating spillway discharge capacity, such impoundments are required to pass full PMF.

The PMF inflow hydrograph for the reservoir was determined utilizing the Dam Safety Version of the HEC-1 computer program developed by the Hydrologic Engineering Center of the U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers. Data used for the computer analysis are presented in Appendix D. The inflow hydrographs were found to have peak flows of 2822 cfs and 1411 cfs for full and 50 percent of the PMF, respectively. Computer input and a summary of computer output are also included in Appendix D.

c. <u>Visual Observations</u>. On the date of inspection, no conditions were observed that would indicate that the capacity of the spillway would be significantly reduced in the event of a flood.

d. <u>Overtopping Potential</u>. Various percentages of the PMF inflow hydrograph were routed through the reservoir and it was found that the spillway can pass 30 percent of the PMF without overtopping the low spot on the embankment. For 50 percent PMF, a low spot on the crest would be overtopped for a duration of 4.3 hours with a maximum depth of 0.55 foot. For full PMF, the overtopping duration would be 8.7 hours with a maximum depth of overtopping of 1.1 feet.

e. <u>Spillway Adequacy</u>. Since the spillway cannot pass the recommended spillway design flood of full PMF without overtopping the embankment, the spillway is classified to be inadequate according to the recommended criteria. A breach analysis was conducted to determine if the spillway is seriously inadequate; that is, if dam failure resulting from overtopping would significantly increase loss of life and property damage from that which would exist just before

overtopping failure. The results of the dam break analysis and the valley cross sections used for flood routing are included in Appendix D. It was found that failure of Bear Rock No. 2 Dam would in turn cause the failure of Bear Rock No. 1 Dam downstream. Therefore, for evaluating flood stages downstream of Bear Rock No. 1 Dam, breach discharges from both dams were considered.

Review of the flood stages in the potential damage area before and after failure indicates that flood stages would be raised by about 2 feet due to a dam failure, which is considered to be a significant increase in damage potential. Therefore, the spillway is classified to be seriously inadequate.

Construction of the second second

 \bigcirc

SECTION 6 STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. Visual Observations

(1) <u>Embankment</u>. As discussed in Section 3, the field observations did not reveal any signs of distress that would significantly affect the performance of the embankment.

(2) <u>Appurtemant Structures</u>. The structural performance of the spillway structures is considered to be satisfactory. Because no portion of the outlet works except the downstream end of the blowoff pipe was visible, no conclusions were reached as to the structural adequacy of the outlet facilities. Flow through the outlet pipes is controlled by valves located on the downstream side; thus the pipes are always under pressure through the embankment. In view of this condition and since no design information is available to assess the structural adequacy of the outlet facilities, it is considered advisable that the structural adequacy of the outlet pipe be evaluated and a means for placing an upstream control on these pipes be developed.

b. Design and Construction Data

(1) Embankment. The dam was constructed in 1904 when limited understanding of geotechnical behavior of earth structures existed. Consequently, available design and construction information does not provide any quantitative data to aid in the assessment of stability. Since the embankment design lacks a positive internal drainage system, some concern exists as to the location of the phreatic surface through the embankment as it affects the stability of the embankment. However, at this time, no signs were observed that would indicate the phreatic surface is intersecting the downstream slope of the dam. As previously noted, the dam appears to have been constructed adequately and has performed satisfactorily since its construction. Therefore, based on visual observations, the static stability of the dam is considered to be adequate.

(2) <u>Appurtemant Structures</u>. Other than limited design drawings, no design and construction data are available for the appurtemant structures.

c. <u>Operating Records</u>. The structural stability of the dam is not considered to be affected by the operational features of the dam. d. <u>Post-Construction Changes</u>. In 1937, one foot of additional fill was placed on the dam crest to increase the spillway capacity.

e. <u>Seismic Stability</u>. The dam is located in Seismic Zone 1, and based on visual observations, the static stability of the dam appears to be adequate. Therefore, based on the recommended criteria for evaluation of seismic stability of dams, the structure is presumed to present no hazards from earthquakes.

13

والمراجعة والمحالية

SECTION 7 ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS/PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. <u>Assessment</u>. The visual observations indicate that the embankment of Bear Rock No. 2 Dam is in good condition. However, in view of the seriously inadequate spillway capacity, the condition of the dam is assessed to be unsafe/nonemergency.

The spillway capacity was evaluated according to the recommended criteria and was found to pass 30 percent of the PMF without overtopping the embankment. This capacity is less than the recommended spillway capacity of full PMF according to the size and hazard classification for the dam. Further, because the spillway capacity is less than 50 percent of the PMF and it was found that failure of the dam would significantly increase the downstream damage potential, the spillway is classified to be seriously inadequate.

b. <u>Adequacy of Information</u>. The available information, in conjunction with the visual observations, is considered to be sufficient to make the following recommendations.

c. <u>Urgency</u>. The following recommendations should be implemented immediately or on a continuing basis.

d. <u>Necessity for Additional Data</u>. In view of the seriously inadequate spillway capacity, the owner should immediately initiate additional studies to more accurately ascertain the spillway capacity and the extent of improvements required to provide adequate spillway capacity.

7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures. It is recommended that:

- 1. The owner should immediately retain a professional engineer to conduct additional studies to more accurately ascertain the spillway capacity and the nature and extent of improvements required to provide adequate spillway capacity.
- 2. The operational condition of the blow-off valve should be evaluated and necessary maintenance performed. A means for providing upstream control to the outlet pipes should be developed.

3. Brush and trees on the crest and downstream slope of the dam should be cleared.

、 */*

- 4. Around-the-clock surveillance should be provided during unusually heavy runoff and a formal warning system developed to alert the downstream residents in the event of emergencies. It is also recommended that the owner take necessary measures to improve the accessibility of the site during high flows.
- 5. The dam and appurtenant structures should be inspected regularly and necessary maintenance should be performed.

APPENDIX A

1

CHECKLIST VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I

APPEN CHECK VISUAL IN FLASI FE OF DAM Bear Rock No. 2 COUNTY Can FF OF DAM Earth Contry Can FF OF DAM Earth November 13, 1979 WEATHER C TE(S) INSPECTION AT TIME OF INSPECTION 2400 M.S DL ELEVATION AT TIME OF INSPECTION 2500 M.S DL ELEVAT
--

Page Al of 9

With the states.

......

	-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS					
EMBANICMENT	OBSERVATIONS	None	None	None	No perceivable misalignments. See Plate 6 for dam crest profile.	None
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	SURFACE CRACKS	UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKTING AT OR BEYOND THE TOE	SLOUCHIMC OR EROSION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTHENT SLOPES	VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT OF THE CREST	RIPRAP FAILURES

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I

ļ

ومنافع ومعادية والمتعالية والمتعالية

 Page A2 of 9

12

363

Ê

	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS					
EMBANKMENT	OBSERVATIONS	No signs of distress.	A minor wet area along the toe near the right abutment. No associated seepage.	None	None	
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	JUNCTION OF EMBANICHENT AND ABUTHENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM	ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER	DRAINS	

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE 1

ş

100

1.5 - Containe

Page A3 of 9

Charles and Barner St.

and the second

ø
jo
ş
ę.
ă

_					
REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS					Operational condition of the outlet pipe valve should be evaluated and necessary maintenance performed.
ORSERVATIONS	The outlet pipe is a 16-inch cast-iron pipe. Only the downstream end of the pipe is visible.	Submerged	None	An earth channel.	Operation of the outlet pipe was not observed.
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN OUTLET CONDUIT	INTAKE STRUCTURE	OUTLET STRUCTURE	OUTLET CHANNEL.	DAFRGENCY GATE

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I OUTLET WORKS

Sector Contraction

ţ

	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS					
VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I UNGATED SPIILLMAY	OBSERVATIONS	In good condition.	Submerged. Appears to be free of debris.	A rectangular masonry channel. In good condition.	None	
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	CONCRETE WEIR	APPROACH CHANNEL	DISCHARGE CHANNEL	BRIDGE AND PIERS	

1

Same and a strength

And the second sec

į

,

Page A5 of 9

REMARKS OF RECOMMENDATIONS					
OBSERVATIONS	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	CONCRETE SILL	APPROACH CHANNEL	DISCHARGE CHANNEL	BRIDGE PIERS	GATES AND OFERATION EQUIPHENT

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I GATED SPILLWAY

Strong .

Same Stelling

and the second second

4

1

.

Page A6 of 9

1.2

5. 18 A. 18

.....

ł

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
MONUMENTAT ION/SURVEYS	No Je	-
OBSERVATION WELLS	None	
WEIRS	None	
P1 EZOMET ERS	None	
OTHER	None	

Page A7 of 9

. When the states

-

5

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I INSTRUMENTATION

1

A second s

ş

					 a de la companya de l
	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS				
RESERVOIR	OBSERVATIONS	Gentle to moderately steep. No significant shoreline erosion was noted.	Unknom	None	
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	Sadols	SED INENTATION	UPSTREAM RESERVOIRS	

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I

Page A8 of 9

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I DOMNSTREAM CHANNEL

10.02

1.10

Same Sugar

and the second . 、 *.*

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECONDIENDATIONS
CONDITION (OBSTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)	No apparent obstructions immediately downstream from the dam that would affect the discharge capacity of the spillway.	
S34075	No features pertinent to the safety of the dam.	
APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF HOMES AND POPULATION	The town of Lilly is located approximately 2-1/2 miles downstream from the dam. More than 20 homes are located in the potential flood plain. Population: approximately 200.	

Page A9 of 9

12

.....

N 191.00

ĥ

APPENDIX B

1

-

and a she she was been been a

CHECKLIST ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC PHASE I

> 4-40.00 1.44 15 2004
The dam was designed and constructed by Pennsylvania Railroad Company in 1904. Limited design drawings are available in the state files. REMARKS See Plate 1. See Plate 3. See Plate 3. OUTLETS - PLAN - DETAILS - CONSTRAINTS - DISCHARGE RATINGS TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM RECIONAL VICINITY MAP CONSTRUCTION HISTORY AS-BUILT DRAWINGS Hali

APPENDIX B

CHECKLIST ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I

NAME OF DAM BEAT ROCK No. 2

1

-

ID/ NDI I.D. PA-441 DER I.D. 11-3

Page B1 of 5

CHECKLIST ENCINFERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I

The State of the Art

ITEN	REMARKS
RAINFALL/RESERVOIR RECORDS	Not available
DESIGN REPORTS	Not available
CEOLOCY REPORTS	Not available
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS HYDROLOCY & HYDRAULICS Dam Stability SEEPACE STUDIES	Not available
MATERIALS INVESTICATIONS Boring Records Laboratory Field	Not available

Page B2 of 5

32

ł

CHECKLIST FNCINFFRING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPENATION PHASE I

1

17.04	REVARKS
POST CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	None reported
BORROW SOURCES	Unknown
MONITORING SYSTEMS	None
MODIFICATIONS	In 1937, the crest of the dam was reshaped (see Flate 4).
HICH POOL RECORDS	Nrt recorded

Page B3 of 5

CHECKLIST ENCINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I

ItBK	RPAARS
POST CONSTRUCTION ENCINEERING STUDLES AND REPORTS	None reported
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAN Description Reports	None reported
MAINTENANCE OPERATION RECORDS	Not maintained
SPILLMAY PLAN Sections Details	See Plate 3.
OPERATING EQUIPHENT PLANS AND DETAILS	Not available

Page B4 of 5

1 de

- 34

CHECKLIST ENGINEERING DATA HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC

DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS: 1.4 square miles ELEVATION, TOP OF NORMAL POOL AND STORAGE CAPACITY: 2400 (92 acre-feet) ELEVATION, TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL AND STORAGE CAPACITY: 2404.4 (130 acre-feet) ELEVATION, MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL: 2404.5 (design dam crest level) ELEVATION, TOP OF DAM: 2404.4 (measured low spot) SPILLWAY: a. Elevation 2400 b. Type Concrete overflow c. Width 33 feet d. Length Not applicable e. Location Spillover Adjacent to spillway f. Number and Type of Gates None OUTLET WORKS: a. Type 16-inch cast-iron pipe b. Location Center of embankment c. Entrance Inverts 2370+ d. Exit Inverts 2350± e. Emergency Drawdown Facilities 16-inch pipe HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES: a. Type None b. Location None c. Records None MAXIMUM NONDAMAGING DISCHARGE: 850± cfs (spillway capacity)

Page B5 of 5

APPENDIX C PHOTOGRAPHS

.....

10000

Chairman and

5

.....

49 Y. M

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS BEAR ROCK NO. 2 DAM NDI I.D. PA-441 NOVEMBER 13, 1979

PHOTOGRAPH NO.

200

للمستغنسة باللال

تبرك فكفيط معرانية ومنبار كرمهم كالأركين

and the second secon

and a second second

DESCRIPTION

- Ula Maria

ANTER LANCE

1	Crest (looking west).
2	Spillway crest and approach channel.
3	Spillway crest and discharge channel.
4	Spillway plunge pool.
5	Blow-off pipe (16-inch diameter) and valve chamber.
6	Bear Rock No. 1 Dam (0.1 mile downstream).



Photograph No. 1 Crest (looking west).



Photograph No. 2 Spillway crest and approach channel.



Photograph No. 3 Spillway crest and discharge channel.



Photograph No. 4 Spiilway plunge pool.



Photograph No. 5 Blow-off pipe (16-inch diameter) and valve chamber.



Photograph No. 6 Bear Rock No. 1 Dam (0.1 mile downstream).

The second s

APPENDIX D Hydrology and hydraulics analyses

يرغ الأحريب

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT

A Review

والمتعادية والمتحد والمتحدة والمتحاط والمتحافظ والمتحافظ والمعادية والمستحد والمستحد والمعادية

HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS DATA BASE

NAME OF DAM: Bear Rock No. 2 Dam (NDI I.D. PA-441)

CTATION		2		4	
51411UN		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·
Station Description	Reservoir	Dam	Bear Rock No. 1 Reservoir	Bear Rock No. 1 Dam	Downstream Routing(6)
Drainage Area (aquare miles)	1.42	-	0.55	-	•
Cumulative Drainage Area (square miles)	1.42	1.42	1.97	1.97	-
Adjustment of PHF for Drainage Area (%)	Zone 7		Zone 7		
6 Hours	102	-	102	-	-
12 Hours	120	-	120	-	-
24 Hours	130	-	130	-	-
48 Hours	140	-	140	-	-
72 Hours	-	-	-	-	-
Snyder Hydrograph Parameters					
Zone ⁽³⁾	24	-	24	-	-
$c_{p}/c_{t}^{(4)}$	0.45/1.60	-	0.45/1.60	-	-
L (miles) ⁽⁵⁾	2.3	-	1.4	-	-
L _{ca} (miles) ⁽⁵⁾	0.9	-	0.8	-	-
$t_p = C_t (L \cdot L_{ca})^{0.3}$ (hours)	2.0	-	1.66	-	-
Spillway Data					
Crest Length (ft)	-	33.2	-	53.0	-
Freeboard (ft)	-	4.0	-	4.0	-
Discharge Coefficient	-	2.8	-	3.1	-
Exponent	-	1.5	-	1.5	-

PROBABLE MAXIMUM PRECIPITATION (PMP) = ______ INCHES/24 HOURS⁽¹⁾

(1) Hydrometeorological Report 33 (Figure 1), U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, 1956.

(2) Hydrometeorological Report 33 (Figure 2), U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, 1956.

(3) Hydrological zone defined by Corps of ingineers, Baltimore District, for determining Snyder's Coefficients (C_p and C_t).
 (4) Snyder's Coefficients.

(5) L = Length of longest water course from outlet to basin divide.
 L _ Length of water course from outlet to point opposite the centroid of drainage area.
 (6) See Pages D8 through D18.

		STORAGE VS. ELEVAIL		
ELEVATION	AH, FEET	AREA (ACRES) ⁽¹⁾	AVOLUME (ACRE-FEET) (2)	STORAGE (ACRE-FEET)
2420.0	15.5	17.5	207.8	333.8
2404.5		9.7 ⁽⁴⁾		130.5
2400.0	4.3	7.4	38,4	92.1
Reservoir Bottom	37.5	-	92,1 ⁽³⁾	0

STORAGE VS. ELEVATION

(1) Planimetered from USGS maps.

(2) $\Delta \text{Volume} = \Delta H/3 (A_1 + A_2 + \sqrt{A_1 A_2}).$ (3) From PennDER files.

(4) Linearly interpolated.

PAGE D1 of 13

PAGE D2 of 13

PLAN 2 - OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS AND DOWNSTREAM ROUTING

COMPUTER INPUT: PLAN 1 - DAM BREAK ANALYSIS AND DOWNSTREAM ROUTING

13

2120.0 2220.0 υ OF SWYDER INFLOW HYDRUGRAPH IO BEAR PGCK '2 RESERVOIR(UPPER) 1.42 1.42 r.co81 PROJECT NO. 79-543-07 SYVDER !!!!!! НҮРВ GRAPH_FLOOD ROUTING AND DAM OVERTOPPING ANALYSES Hear Rock No.4 Dam/cambria county.ndi-1.D.Pa.439 Project No.79-for 2.15,4.15,5.5,5.75,817,917,915,8ND 1(UX PMF 1 0 0 0 CMANNEL ROUTING USING MODIFIED PLUS: REACH 1-2(MILE 0.04 TO 0.28) CHANNEL ROUTING USING MODIFIED PLUS: REACM 2-3(MILE 0.28 TO 0.70) 1 1 143.0 143.0 ROUTING FLOW INROUGH BEAR ROCK NO.1 DAP (LOWER)(NDI-1.D.PA.439) ROUTING FLOW THROUGH BEAR ROCK NO.2 DAP (NDI-I.D.PA.441)(UPPER) 0.045 2120.0 2139.0 2218.00.045094 63.0 2130.0 125.0 2121.0 127.0 2120.C 2220.C .03 2220.0 2239.0 1267.00.078914 2230.0 125.0 2221.0 127.0 2230.0 295.9 2239.0 760.0 2405.0 • • -2344.0 -2400.0 566.0 2348.4 2344.0 2348.1 680.0 2404.9 2404.5 140 1.00 2410.0 550.0 2404.8 2400.0 (. . .) 13.0 2400.0 1.5 560.0 460.0 2348.3 338.3 2420.0 1.5 760.0 457.0 2404.7 120 0.S <u>د.</u> 0.73 0.5 146.5 2360.0 0.045 63.0 220.0 3.1 1.5 410.0 2348.2 2320.0 7**.**50 130.5 2404.5 2.80 1.50 2.80 2.80 2404.6 2352.5 2362.5 50.9 102 2.0 2348.0 CALCULATION ۲ ۲ ۲ 0.F28 2239.C 2221.0 P.C2A 2139.0 2344.0 2344.0 58.0 58.0 3.07 2.10.0 2.348.1 2348.1 92.1 2400.0 53.2 3.08 3.08 3.08 3.08 3.08 20 3.33 23.7 AN SAFETY VENSION JULY 1975 LAST MOLIFICATION 17 JAN " ***************************** 0.045 145.0 \$\$2323.0 \$\$2344.0 \$02348.0 0.0 0.045 58 100.0 <u>ع</u>ر ا [];- · · 2.C. 0.0 0.0 SV2349.0 \$B 140.0 30.0 \$62362.5 9.694521 \$02404.4 JU 50.0 s 4. 404 ZA 4 0 4 7 77 9 h ī × 2 ł ŝ 2 5 DAY SAFFEY VERSICN 32 3 \$ 533 19 \$0 35 36 37 5 3 \$ 2 5 22 7 : 2 * 3

COMPUTER INPUT: CONTINUED PAGE D3 of 13

U. 245 1940.6 1954.0 2547.CU.U36652 104.U 1952.0 157.0 1952.0 300.0 1941.0 302.0 1940.0 323.0 1941.0 420.U 1959.0 125.0 1861.0 443.0 2023.0 CHAMMEL ROUTING USING MODIFIED PLUS: REACH 3-4(MILE U.7C TO 1.24) CHANNEL ROUTING USING MODIFIED PLUS: REACH 5-6(MILE 1.73 TO 2.80) 1 1 \$6\$5.40.014160 1845.0 125.0 1860.0 1879.0 27159.3 2851.00.020584 425.6 2621.6 427.9 2020.1 545.6 2039.6 -1879.0 102.0 625.0 2.045 2020.0 2 21.05 2030.0 495.0 2030.0 1865.0 1861.0 1872.0 n. (26 100.0 475.0 0.523 1870.7 1872.0 0.(29 1959 1947.0 76 1.135 C.129 77 0.1 2039.0 77 445.0 2029.0 X. Υ1 1 Υ5 υ.C26 Υ7 Γ.U Υ7 518.0 Y6 0.126 Y7 425.J K 99 -5 ž ٢ ÷ 1 ¥

2121. 227.0 2130.9 245.0 2139.0

77 145.

FEAK FLIW AND SICRARE (EVD OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-GATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTAT. Flows IV CHILE FEE SECOND (CUMIC METERS PER SECOND) Area in Square Miles (Square Kilometers)

'n

1

4 ł

MO]]] 83340	5747104	4 11 4	PLAN	111 L	2 ' 11AA '12'	RATIUS AFP Rati - 3 	LIED TO FI Ratic 4	.045 Patic 5	RATIC 6 1.00
MYDRUGRAPH		1.42	ٽ ج ٿ	847. 23.97)(247. 23.97)(1129. 31.96) (1129. 31.96) (1411. 39.96)(1411. 30.96)(1975. 55.94)(1975. 55.44)(2540. 71.92)(2540. 71.92)(2822. 79.91)(2822. 79.91)(
R0016.0-7.)	~	1 . 42 3 . 6 t)	, ۲ ۲	°27. 23.42)(827. 23.42)(5606. 158.75) (1124. 31.81) (5662. 160.33)(14(7. 39.85)(5692. 161.18)(1974. 55.89)(5576. 157.91)(2539. 71.89)(5670. 16C.55)(2821. 79.88)(
ROUTED TO	۳ ۲	1.42 3.68)	ٽ _۲ ۲	825. 23.37)(825. 23.37)(6605. 187.04)(112L. 31.71)(6668. 188.82)(1405. 39.78)(6692. 189.50)(3968. 112.36)(6408. 187.11)(4061. 114.99)(6651. 188.33)(4111. 116.42)(
ROUTED TJ	~	1.42 3.69)	۲ ۳ ۳	R26. 23.38)(23.38)(23.38)(6510. 194.35)(1126. 31.71)(6592. 186.67)(14[4. 39.77)(6624. 137.57)(3666. 1[3.81)(6521. 184.66)(3755. 1C6.32)(6583. 186.42)(38C8. 107.84)(
ROUTED TO	ۍ ۲	1.42 3.68)	- ~ ~ Č	₽26. 23.38)(826. 23.39)(6054. 171.42)(1126. 31.77)(6156. 174.32)(1464. 39.77)(620C. 175.57)(3563. 170.89)(6074. 171.98)(3711. 105.09)(6163. 174.52)(3767. 176.68)(
ROUTED TO	ې	1.42 3.68)	÷ ۲ ۰ ۲	825. 23.36)(23.36)(23.36)(612U. 173.31)(1118. 31.67)(6227. 176.33) (1464. 39.77) (6275. 177.68)(3551. 110.56)(6146. 174.033(3677. 104.113(6196. 175.44) (3732. 165.69) (
ROUTED TO	~~~	1.42 3.68)	÷ ۲۰۲	d25. 23.36)(23.36)(23.36)(615C. 174.14)(1119. 31.68)(6264. 177.37)(1464. 39.76)(6315. 178.81)(3369. 55.47)(6176. 174.88)(3473. 98.63)(6244. 176.82)(3541. 10.22)(
ROUTED TO	*	1.42 3.68)	÷~~	R23. 23.29)(723. 23.29)(5069. 143.555)(1114. 31.54)(5186. 146.84) (1460. 39.65) (5246. 148.56)(3173. 99.86)(\$105. 144.56)(3312. 93.78)(5160. 146.12)(3373. 95.50)(

PAGE D4 of 13

÷.

× 2- 4 - 20-

FLOOD ROUTING SUMMARY

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY AMALYSIS

ţ

A STATE OF A

-

RATIU MAXIMUM MAXIMUM <thmaximum< th=""> <thmaximum< th=""> <thmax< th=""><th></th><th>ELEVATION Storage Outflow</th><th>1M1T1AL 2400</th><th>V ALHE .00 0. 0.</th><th>SPILLWAY CRE9 2400.00 92. 0.</th><th>51 TOP 24</th><th>05 DAN 04.40 130. 858.</th><th></th></thmax<></thmaximum<></thmaximum<>		ELEVATION Storage Outflow	1M1T1AL 2400	V ALHE .00 0. 0.	SPILLWAY CRE9 2400.00 92. 0.	51 TOP 24	05 DAN 04.40 130. 858.	
31 2414.29 0.00 129. 527. 0.00 42.47 50 2414.55 15 151. 7424. 25 41.21 50 2414.55 15 151. 7490. 25 41.21 71 241.45 151. 7490. 25 41.21 71 241.452 131. 7402. 25 41.21 71 241.452 131. 7402. 25 41.21 71 241.452 131. 7402. 24.460 39.04 71 240.460 24.04.00 24.04.00 24.04.60 24.04.60 710 870.400 24.00.00 24.04.60 24.460 24.460 710 870.400 24.04.60 24.460 24.460 24.460 710 870.400 24.04.60 24.46.40 24.46.40 24.46.40 710 870.400 24.04.60 24.46.60 24.46.40 24.46.40 710 870.400 24.46.60 24.46.60 24.46.60 24.46.60 24.46.60 740.7 <td< th=""><th>ATIU Of Pmf</th><th>MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV</th><th>MAX 1MUM DE PTH OVER DAM</th><th>MAXIMUM Sturage Ac-ft</th><th>MAX IM UM OUT FL ON C FS</th><th>DURATION Over top Hours</th><th>TIRE OF Max outflow Hours</th><th>TIME OF Fallure Mours</th></td<>	ATIU Of Pmf	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV	MAX 1MUM DE PTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM Sturage Ac-ft	MAX IM UM OUT FL ON C FS	DURATION Over top Hours	TIRE OF Max outflow Hours	TIME OF Fallure Mours
70 2464.55 15 131 7424 25 41.21 70 2464.55 16 131 7490 25 41.21 71 2464.55 13 131 7402 25 41.21 71 2464.55 13 7402 25 41.21 71 2464.55 13 7405 24 39.04 71 7405 137 7405 24 39.04 71 7405 2404.60 2404.60 2404.40 700 5108AGE 92 92 5404.40 810 8110 2404.00 2404.40 7486. 910 92 0 0 2404.40 92 0 0 2404.60 2404.60 810 0 0 2404.60 2404.60 92 0 0 129 92 92 0 0 0 2404.60 92 0 0 0 1407 92 0 0 0 129 92 0 0 129 0 92 0 129 0 129 92 2404.29 0 0	1	941.4.24	0,06	129.	627.	0.00	42.17	0.00
70 24/4.56 16 131 7490 25 40.71 71 24/4.65 27 132 131 7400 25 40.71 71 24/4.65 27 132 132 7402 24 40.71 71 24/4.65 27 132 132 7402 24 59.21 71 24/4.65 27 132 7405 24 39.21 7405 24/0.00 24/0.00 24/0.00 24/0.00 24/0.00 24/0.00 810466 01160 24/0.00 24/0.00 24/0.00 24/0.00 24/0.00 810466 01160 24/0.00 22/0 92 1300 1300 01160 01160 02 92 92 1300 1300 01160 01160 01160 01160 01160 01160 1407 01160 01160 01160 01160 01160 01160 1407 42.17 016 RESERVOIR 0167 129 129 129 120 129		2464.55		131.	7424.	. 25	11.21	41.00
77 24,4.69 27 132 7517 41 46.04 91 24,4.69 27 131 7402 24 39.04 100 24,6.69 27 131 7402 24 39.04 1100 24,0.00 27 132 7486 41 39.04 1100 24,00.00 24,00.00 24,00.00 24,00.00 24,04.00 1100 1111 24,00.00 24,00.00 24,00.00 24,00.00 1100 1111 24,00.00 24,00.00 24,00.00 24,04.00 1100 1111 24,00.00 24,00.00 24,00.00 24,04.00 1100 1111 24,00.00 24,00.00 24,04.00 24,04.00 1100 1111 110 1111 110 1111 1100 1111 110 1111 110 1111 1100 1111 110 1111 110 1111 1100 1111 110 1111 110 1111 1100 129 129 129 120 120 1100 129 130 1407 413 41.67 1110 1407 1407 <t< td=""><td></td><td>2616.56</td><td>.16</td><td>131.</td><td>7490.</td><td>. 25</td><td>12.04</td><td>40.50</td></t<>		2616.56	.16	131.	7490.	. 25	12.04	40.50
91 2454.52 13 131 7402 24 39.21 1.00 2454.69 27 132 132 7406 24 39.04 1.01 2454.69 27 132 132 7466 24 39.04 1.01 2400.00 2400.00 2404.40 2404.40 39.04 1.01 1.01 2400.00 2404.40 2404.40 1.01 1.01 2400.00 2404.40 130 1.01 0.0110 2400.00 2404.40 130 1.01 0.0110 0.01 0.0 2404.40 1.01 0.0111.00 2400.00 2404.40 130 1.01 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 130 130 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.0111.00 0.010 42.17 0.0111.00 0.010 124.17 0.010 42.17 0.0111.00 0.01 124.13 0.010 42.17 0.01 0.01 124.14 0.010 42.17 0.01		24 4.61	.2.	132.	7517.	. 41	40-04	39.83
	ŏ	24.4.52	. 12	131.	7402.	.24	39.21	39.00
INTTAL VALUE SPILLWAY CREST TOP OF DAM ELFVATION Z400.00 Z400.00 Z404.40 STORAGE 92. 7404.40 STORAGE 92. 730. OF RESERVOIR 0.0 OF RESERVOIR 0.00 MAXIMUM MAXIMUM MAXIMUM OF RESERVOIR 0.00 OF RESERVOIR 0.00 OF RESERVOIR 0.00 A.S.ELEV 0.00 129. A.S.ELEV 0.00 129. A.S.ELEV 0.00 42.17 A.S.ELEV 0.00 42.18 A.S.ELEV <td>00</td> <td>2404.00</td> <td>42.</td> <td>132.</td> <td>7486.</td> <td>17.</td> <td>39.04</td> <td>38.63</td>	00	2404.00	42.	132.	7486.	17.	39.04	38.63
Ratio Maximum Maximum Maximum Maximum Maximum Maximum Maximum Maximum Define DF DF <thdf< th=""> DF DF <</thdf<>		ELFVATION Storage Outflow	2400 2400	VALUE .00 92. 0.	SP1LLWAY CHE 24.00.00 92. 0.	51 10P 2	0f DAM 404.40 130. 658.	
.30 2404.29 9.00 129. 827. 0.00 42.17 .40 2404.77 .37 134. 1124. 3.00 41.83 .40 2404.77 .37 134. 1124. 3.00 41.83 .50 2404.77 .55 134. 1124. 3.00 41.83 .70 2405.18 .78 1402. 4.33 41.67 .70 2405.37 .07 142. 2539. 7.83 .70 2405.37 .07 142. 2539. 41.67	RATIO 0f Pmf	MAXIMUM Reservoir M. S. Elev	MAX IMUM DEPTH Over dam	MAXIMUM Storage AC-FI	MAK IMUM Outflow CfS	DURATION Over top Hours	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW Hours	TIME O Failur Hours
40 24.24.77 37 134 1124 3.00 41.83 50 24.54.95 .55 136 1407 4.33 41.67 70 24.51.8 .78 1401 1974 6.33 41.67 70 24.53.37 .97 142 2539 7.85 41.67 70 24.55.37 .97 142 2539 7.85 41.67		2464.29	0.00	129.	827.	0.00	42.17	0.00
50 2434.95 55 136. 1407. 4.33 41.67 70 2405.37 .78 140. 1974. 0.33 41.67 70 2405.37 .97 142. 2539. 7.83 41.67 70 2405.37 .97 142. 2539. 7.83 41.67	37	24. 4. 42	.37	134.	1124.	3.00	41.83	0.00
70 24.5,18 78 140. 1974. 6.33 41.67 VC 24.5,37 .97 142. 2539. 7.83 41.67 VC 24.5,57 .97 142. 2539. 7.83 41.67		26.26.95	.55	136.	1407.	4.33	41.67	00.0
y(24:5.37 97 142. 2539. 7.83 41.67 y(24:5.37 97 142. 2539. 7.83 41.67		24.75.18	. 78	140.	1974.	6.33	41.67	0.00
		26.5.27	20	142.	2539.	7.83	41.67	00.0
	1.00	24.05.45	1.05	143.	2821.	8.67	41.67	00-00

PAGE D5 of 13

CONTRACTOR OF

. Line

PLAN 1 - DAM BREACH ANALYSIS SUMMARY (BEAR ROCK NO. 2 DAM BREACHED) PLAN 2 - OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS SUMMARY (BEAR ROCK NO. 1 DAM OVERTOPPED)

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

1

F. A '3		FLEVATION 5134466 30176136	14171AL 7444	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	SPILLWAY CKE 2364.50 33.	51 10F	06 DAM 348.00 51. 1438.	
	5.471.0 0.5 1.4	MAXIMUF RESERVOIR V.S.FLEV	NAXIMUM Depth Uver dam	4AXIMU# Sturage AC-FI	PAX INUT OUT FLOW CFS	DURATION Over top Hours	TIME OF Max Outflow Hours	TIME O Failur Hours
		2346.75 2349.45 2349.45 2349.45 2349.63 2349.63 2349.63	0 F F F F - 4 4 4 4 4 0 4 9 7 8 4 4 0 4 9 7 8 7 8 0 4 9 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 9 4 9 4 9	825. 7461. 7581. 7581. 7483. 7483.	0.00 .33 .33 .33 .33 .33 .33	42.53 44.14 40.91 42.25 19.61 19.25	0.00 4.17 67 67 67 67 67 68 60 68 60 68
PL AN	2	FLEVATION Storage Outflou	1N171AL 2344	VALUE .00 30.	SPILLWAY CRE 2344.00 30.	51 10P 2	06 DAN 548.00 51. 1438.	
	4 A T I O 0 F P M F	MAX14UM RESERVO1R N.S.ELEV	4 4X 14U4 0 6 6 1 H	4 A X 1 4 U 4 S 1 0 R 4 G E A C - F 1	RAX IRUM OUT FLOW CFS	DURATION Over top Hours	TIME OF Max outflow Hours	TIME O Failur Hours
	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	2446.76 2347.38 2347.94 2348.21 2348.21 2348.22	0.00 0.00 0.00 2.24 7.4	44 48 53 53 53 53 53 54 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	825. 1120. 1405. 4787. 4787. 4787.	00000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000	42.53 42.00 41.85 40.55 40.55	0.00 0.00 0.00 40.33
			Ē	LAN 1 RAXINUN	STATION MAKINUM	4 11AE		
			84710 81 61 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81	fL04.CfS 826. 6510. 6592. 6624. 6583.	STAGE / T 221-9 2225-2 2225-2 2225-2 2225-2 2225-2 2225-2 2225-2 2225-2	201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201		

PAGE D6 of 13

DAM BREACH ANALYSIS (BEAR ROCK NO. 1 DAM BREACHED) AND DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL ROUTING PLAN 1 - BEAR ROCK NO. 2 DAM BREACHED PLAN 2 - BEAR ROCK NO. 2 DAM OVERTOPPED

ş

PLAN	2	STATION	4
	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	TINE
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE,FT	HOURS
.30	826.	2221.9	42.35
.47	1120.	2272.5	41.83
	7444	2224 0	41. CO
.70	3755.	2224.0	40.50
1.00	3868.	2224.1	40.35
••		5 7 A 7 10 N	5
PLAN	r r	3141204	-
	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM Stace.et	TIME
RATIO	1100,115	31446211	
.30	826.	2122.2	42.33
.40	6054.	2123.7	40.83
-51	6200.	2125.8	40.17
.90	6374.	2125.7	39.33
1.00	6163.	2125.7	39.17
Di A	N 7	STATION	5
			1186
PAT 10	HAXIMUM FLOW.CES	STAGE_FT	HOURS
			43 83
-30	876.	2122.5	42.06
_4U _50	1404.	2122.9	42.00
.79	3563.	2124.5	41.17
.90	3711.	2124.5	40.67
1.00	3767.	2124.0	40.30
PLA	N 1	STATION	6
			TINF
RATIO	FLOW, CF	S STAGE,FT	HOURS
.30	825	2022.5	42.33
.40	6120	. 2025.7	41.50
.50	6227	2025.8	41.00
.70	6273	2025.7	39.50
1.00	6196	2025./	39.33
PL	AN 2	STAT ION	6
		an <u>phá ví 1</u> měl 1 Mě	TIME
RATIO	FLOW, CF	S STAGE,FT	HOURS
.30	825	. 2022.5	42.33
.40	1118	. 2022.8	42.01
-5.7	7414	- 2024-6	41.17
,ru ,gn	3677	2.24.7	41.67
1.40	57 52	. 2124.7	40.50

DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL ROUTING PAGE D7 of 13

ins The

PLAN	1 5	TATION 4	
	MAKEMUM	NAXIMUM	TERE
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	STAGE,ET	HOUKS
		1462.3	42.56
. 30	827.	1945.6	41.50
.47	6150.	4072 8	41.04
.50	6264 .	4045 6	40.33
.7"	6515.	1941.0	39.56
, 93	6176.	1941.1	39.33
1,00	4244.	1440.0	
PLA	N 7 <	TATION	7
			* 1 8 6
	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM	1171
RATIO	FLUW, CES	STAGE,FT	HOUKS
20	825.	1942.3	42.50
.30	4149	1942.7	42.17
4's	4456	1943.0	42.00
.50	1129.	1944.4	41.17
.70	3107.	1944.4	40.67
96	25/1	1944.5	40.50
1.00	3741.		
PLI	LN 1	STATION	8
			TIME
	MAXIMUM	TA CE TI	HOURS
RATIO	FLOW, CFS	21406.11	
	823.	1862.5	42.67
.30	. 0402	1865.2	41.67
.40	5184	1865.2	41,17
- 5'3	5266.	1865.3	40.50
.70	5105	1865.2	39.67
1.00	5140.	1 865.2	39.50
PL	AN 2	STATION	8
	RAXIMUR	MAXIMUM	TIME
01110	FLOW.CFS	STAGE,FT	HOURS
KAIIV			
10	823.	1862-5	42.67
. 50	1114	1862.9	42.33
. 4 J 2 A	1400	1863.2	42.17
.70	3173	1864.3	41.33
	3312	1864.4	40.83
	1171	1864.4	40.67
00.1		-	

DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL ROUTING PAGE D8 of 13

. Whether participant

and the second second



What has been







D10 of 13

in the

وراج ورفاقه ومراري







-

* ALL ELEVATIONS & DISTANCES ARE APPROXIMATE D 1/ of 13





SECTION AT STATION 7 (NO SCALE)

D12 of 13





P 13 OF 13

APPENDIX E Plates

And a second second second



A TENE









1

2012/01/02

ل رمینودی











and the second secon

APPENDIX F REGIONAL GEOLOGY

4

5

1

the substitute of a state.
APPENDIX F

REGIONAL GEOLOGY

Bear Rock Nos. 1 and 2 dams are located in the eastern portion of Cambria County. The dams are located in the Allegheny Mountains section of the Appalachian Plateau Physiographic Province, an area characterized by parallel ridge and valley sequences controlled by the relatively gentle folding of the strata. The fold axis trends north-northeast and the strata dip in the area of the dams approximately 500 feet per mile to the northwest. Approximately one mile east of the reservoirs is the Allegheny Front, which separates the more gentle geologic folding to the west from the tight folding and faulting east of the front. In general, the discontinuities trend north-northeast and northwest.

The strata underlying the dams and reservoir consist of the Upper Pottsville Group and the Allegheny Group (Pennsylvanian Age). The Pottsville Group consists of two massive sandstone beds, shales, and one thin coal seam (the Mercer bed). The higher portion of the Pottsville Group consists of the Upper Conoquenessing Sandstone, a thick-bedded micaceous sandstone which is generally resistant to weathering. The thickness ranges from 15 to 25 feet. Below the sandstone is approximately 15 to 40 feet of thin-bedded shale with interbedded sandstone. These strata weather easily. Below the shale is the Lower Conoquenessing Sandstone, which is approximately 50 feet thick and is similar to the upper sandstone.

The strata overlying the Pottsville Group are the Allegheny Group, consisting of sandstone, shale, and at least seven coal seams. The group is approximately 250 feet thick. The strata from the base up consist of the Brookville coal seam, the Clarion Sandstone, the Clarion coal, and a thick sandstone below the Lower Kittanning coal bed, which is approximately 75 feet above the Brookville coal bed. The middle portion of the Allegheny Group consists of the Lower, Middle, and Upper Kittanning coal seams, and the Lower and Upper Worthington sandstones. One limestone bed is present below the Upper Kittanning coal seam. The upper portion of the group consists of the Lower and Upper Freeport coal seams and the Freeport and Rutlen sandstone beds. The overlying Conemaugh Group consist predominantly of shale and claystone with thin sandstone, limestone, and coal seams.

There is no minable coal beneath the dams and reservoirs. The strip mines on the slopes west and northeast of the reservoirs are probably the Lower Kittanning coal beds. The slopes above the reservoirs are relatively gentle, in general greater than 5 to 1, and probably consist predominantly of sandstone. Therefore, there should be no danger of large slides.





		11
		1
		L
		L
		0
		1
		10
		L
		L
		Ľ
		81
		1
		ŧ
		Ł
		11
		Ł
		ы
		L
		Ľ
		Ł
		Ľ
		L
		Ш
		11
		Ш
		Į.
		1.
		U
		11
		11
		13
		Ш
		Ш
		Ш
		Ш
		н
		L
		L
		Ш
		U
		E
		Е
		£.
		1
		1
		£.
		1

₫ -AL

Ń 4

ŝ

Ŕ

DRAWING NUMBER

0

6 70

APPROVED CHECKED

67 12-31 ü 4

DRAWN

LEGEND

Conemaugh Formation

Concernaugn & ormanican Cyclic scourses of red and gray shales and autotanes with thin timmelenes and costs; massive Mahaning Sanddanes com-monly present at base: A mes Limestone present in middle of sections; Brush Creek Limestone in lower part of section.

Pottsville Group

Light gray to white, coacse grained sand-stones and conglomerates with some sume able coult; includes Sharp Moustain, Schnylkill, and Tumbling Run Forme-

Allegheny Group

Cyclic expenses of anotations, shale, lime-atons and coal numerous commercial coals: limentones the tests we salward; Van-port Limentone in ower part of section, includes Freeport, Kitanning, and Therms Tomostons

Clinton Group

Control Group Prediaminantly Rose Hill Formation-Reidian purple in greenish group, this to workium bediest, Jossifirenus schale with interlanguing "ir in squidelowen" and local group, constituences, dowe the Rose Hill is bound to white guartistic madatome (Recerco interbedded upward with durk grup shale (Rochester).

Marine beds Drt-

5

Pc

Marine 1991 Gray to olive between shales, graywackes, and sandstones, custans, "Otemung" beds and "Potone bede unduding Burket, Brathes, Harret, and Tenmmers Kock; Tully Limestone at buse

Protonic Group, Protonic constitution, and massive cross-bedden components and sandstone with some share rectacts to the Appalichian Patent Burgoon, Scienango, Guistona, Cussevago, Corey, and Knapp Forma-tions, includes part of "Onengo" of M.L. Fuller in Polter and Tioga counties.



Oriskany Formation

Pocono Group

Write to brain the to course grained, prefly calcurents, locally congloweratic, fossiliteness anothene (Kidgley) at the top; dark gray, chesty limestone with some subschedded shales and sandsiones below (Schereer)

Tuscarora Formation White to aray, medium to thick bedded, fine prained, quartistic sondatone, con-glumeratic in part.

Marcellus Formation

Black, finale, carbonaceous shale with thick, brown sandstone (Turkey Ridge) in parts of central Prinsylvania.

Onondaga Formation

Ononazza r ormation Greenish biue, ikus bedded shule and dark biue to black, medium bedded timestine with shale produminant in must places; includes Schimzeruse Limestone and Need-more Shule in central Pennspleanin and Buttermik Fulla Limestone and Ecopus Shale in ensternmont Pennspleanin; in Lehigh Gup urea includes Polimerton Sandstone and Howmanstown Chert.

Wills Creek Formation

Greenish gray, this bedded, fissile shale with lucal limestone and sandstone zones, contains red shale and suitstone in the lower part.

Bloomsburg Formation

Red, this and thick bedded shale and silt-stone with local units of mondstone and this impure limestone, some green shale in places.



Dme

McKenzie Formation

previse round bedded shale inter-bedded with gray, thin bedded, fossiljer-ous limentone, shale predominant at the base; intraformational breeven in the lower part. Absent in Harrisburg quad-rangle ond by the east



Keyser Formation

Dark gray, highly fossiliferous, thick bed-ded, crystalline to nodular limestone, passes into Manlius, Rondout, and Decker Formations in the enst.



Dck

Tonoloway Formation

Gray, highly laminated, thin bedded, argillaceous limestone: passes into Homardville and Pozono Island beds in the cast

Catskill Formation

Ł

Chiefly red to brownink shales and sand-stones, includes gray and greenish sand-stone tongues named Elk Mountain, Honesdale, Shohola, and Delaware River in the cost.

the second states and

GEOLOGY MAP LEGEND

DAPPOLONIA

REFERENCE: GEOLOGIC MAP OF PENNSYLVANIA PREPARED By commonwealth of Penna. Dept. of Internal Affairs, dated 1960, scale 14 4 Miles

Sile of AL ANT