





97Q

C

OUL FILE COPY

DTIC

ELECTE

APR 2 8 1980

B

CLEMSON UNIVERSITY Clemson, South Carolina



DISTRIBUTION STATE 4 NT A Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

80 4 24 001



ESTIMATION OF MULTINOMIAL PROBABILITIES By

KHURSHEED ALAM CLEMSON UNIVERSITY

REPORT N102 Technical Report #294 November, 1978

Research Supported in part by

THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH 047-202 Task NR 042-271 Contract N00014-75-C-0451

1

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited



ESTIMATION OF MULTINOMIAL PROBABILTIES

Khursheed Alam* Clemson University

ABSTRACT

This paper deals with the estimation of the parameters (cell probabilities) of a multinomial distribution. The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) is known to be minimax and admissible with respect to a quadratic loss function. It is shown that the MLE is inadmissible with respect to a non-quadratic loss function. For the parameters of m multinomial distributions being estimated simultaneously and the loss being quadratic, an estimator is given which is shown to have smaller risk than the MLE for all but a small subset of the parameter space, when m is large.

Key words: Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Admissible Minimax Estimators.

AMS Classification: 62C15

*The author's work was supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-75-C-0451.

1. Introduction and main results. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be distributed according to a multinomial distribution M(x, p, n)with k cells, where $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k)'$, $0 \le p_i \le 1$ (i = 1, ..., k), $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i = n$. For estimating p we consider below two loss functions, given by

(1.1)
$$L(s, p) = n \sum_{i=1}^{k} (s_i - p_i)^2$$

(1.2)
$$L^{*}(\hat{g}, p) = n \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\hat{g}_{i} - p_{i})^{2} / p_{i}$$

where $\hat{o}_i = \hat{o}_i(x)$ and $\hat{o}_i = (\hat{o}_1, \dots, \hat{o}_k)$ denotes an estimator of p. Let $\hat{j}^\circ = x/n$ denote the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) whose risk is given by

(1.3)

$$R(\hat{\varsigma}^{\circ}, p) = E L(\hat{\varsigma}^{\circ}, p)$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{2}$$
(1.4)

$$R(\hat{\varsigma}^{\circ}, p) = E L^{*}(\hat{\varsigma}^{\circ}, p)$$

= k - 1.

First we consider the loss (1.2). The covariance matrix of x is given by $\frac{7}{2} = (\sigma_{ij})$, where $\sigma_{ij} = -np_ip_j$, $i \neq j$ and $\sigma_{ii} = np_i(1-p_i)$. Clearly, $\frac{7}{2}$ is a singular matrix. A generalized inverse of $\frac{7}{2}$ is given by a diagonal matrix $\frac{7}{2}$ whose ith diagonal element is equal to $(np_i)^{-1}$, as it can be verified that $\frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2} = \frac{7}{2}$. Hence, the loss function (1.2) represents the Mahalanobis distance function $n(3-p)^{+} = (3-p)^{+}$. It is also seen that Pearson's chi-square test statistic used for testing goodness of fit, represents the loss due to the MLE.

Olkin and Sobel (1978) have shown that the maximum likelihood estimator $\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ is admissible for estimating p with respect to the loss function (1.2), among all estimators $\frac{1}{2}$ for which

$$(1.5) \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}k \\ \frac{1}{2}i=1 \end{array}$$

Since the risk of δ° is constant, as given by (1.4), the MLE is also minimax among those estimators. If the condition (1.5) is removed then δ° is inadmissible. This is shown, as follows, by finding an estimator δ^* which dominates δ° .

The Dirichlet distribution is a conjugate prior distribution for the parameter of a multinomial distribution. Suppose that pis distributed a' priori according to the Dirichlet distribution D(p, y), given by the density function

(1.6)
$$f(p, y) = \frac{\Gamma(ky)}{(\Gamma(y))^{k}} (p_1, \dots, p_k)^{-1}, \quad 0 < y \le 1.$$

A Bayes estimator of p with respect to (1.6) and the loss function (1.2) is \pm , given by

(1.7)
$$i_{i}^{\star} = \begin{cases} \frac{y + x_{i}^{-1}}{n + k_{i}^{-1}}, & x_{i} \ge 1 \\ 0, & x_{i} = 0. \end{cases}$$

By direct computation we obtain the risk of \mathbb{S}^{\star} , given by

(1.8)
$$R^{\star}(\tilde{s}^{\star}, p) = n(n+kv-1)^{-2} [(v-1)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1-(1-p_{i})^{n}}{p_{i}} + 2nk(v-1) + n(n+k-1)] - 2n(n+kv-1)^{-1} [(v-1) \sum_{i=1}^{k} (1-(1-p_{i})^{n}) + n] + n.$$

For v = 1, (1.8) reduces to

$$R^*(5^*, p) = \frac{n(k-1)}{n+k-1}$$

< R*(§°,p).

For v < 1 we have from (1.8)

(1.9)
$$R^{\star}(\delta^{\star}, p) \leq n^{2} (n+kv-1)^{-2} [k(v-1)^{2} + 2k(v-1) + (n+k-1)]$$
$$- 2vn^{2} (n+kv-1)^{-1} + n$$
$$= n^{2} (n+kv-1)^{-2} (kv^{2}+n-1) - 2vn^{2} (n+kv-1)^{-1} + n.$$

The quantity on the right hand side of the equality in (1.9) is equal to $\frac{n(k-1)}{n+k-1}$ for v = 1. Therefore, $\mathbb{R}^*(\frac{1}{2}^*,p) \leq \mathbb{R}^*(\frac{1}{2}^\circ,p)$ for $1 - v \leq v \leq 1$, where v is a positive number depending on the values of n and k. Thus $\frac{1}{2}^*$ dominates $\frac{1}{2}^\circ$ for certain values of v. Note that $\frac{1}{2}^*$ does not satisfy the condition (1.5). Note also that $\frac{1}{2}^*$ is admissible, being a Bayes estimator.

(3)

Next, we consider the quadratic loss given by (1.1). Johnson (1971) and Alam (1978) have shown that the maximum likelihood estimator is admissible with respect to the quadratic loss. Steinhaus (1957) and Trybula (1958) have obtained minimax estimators for the more general loss function of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i (\delta_i - p_i)^2$, where c_i are constants and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_i = 1$. It should be observed that and estimator δ which does not satisfy the condition (1.5) is inadmissible with respect to the loss function given by (1.1), since the projection of δ on the hyperplane $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i = 1$ gives an estimator satisfying (1.5) for which the loss is smaller.

We consider below the problem of estimating simultaneously the parameters of $m \ge 2$ multinomial populations. Let τ_1, \ldots, τ_m denote the m populations, and let (p_{i1}, \ldots, p_{ik}) denote the vector of cell probabilities associated with τ_i , where $\sum_{j=1}^k p_{ij} = 1$. A sample of n observations is taken from each population. Let x_{ij} denote the sample frequency associated with the jth cell of τ_i . The loss is given by $n\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^k (\delta_{ij} - p_{ij})^2$, equal to n times the sum of squared errors, where δ_{ij} denotes an estimate of p_{ij} , depending on the entire set of observations, even though the set of observations from τ_i alone seems to be relevant. A sort of empirical Bayes estimator for the given problem is obtained, as follows.

Without confusing with the notation used above, we shall denote below the MLE and a Bayes estimator for the problem of simultaneous estimation by j° and j^{\star} , respectively, and let $p = (p_{11}, \dots, p_{mk})$. Let $y = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{ij}^2$, $q_i = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{ij}^2$ and q = $\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i$. The MLE is given by $\int_{ij}^{\circ} = x_{ij}/n$ and its risk is given by

(1.10)
$$R(\delta^{\circ},p) = q.$$

A Bayes estimator with respect to the Dirichlet prior (1.6) is given by

(1.11)
$$\delta_{ij}^{\star} = (x_{ij}^{\star} + v) / (n + k v)$$

and by direct computation its risk is given by

(1.12)
$$R(\delta^*, p) = n(n+kv)^{-2}[(n-v^2k^2)q + v^2mk(k-1)].$$

A value of γ minimizing (1.12) is given by

(1.13)
$$\hat{v} = q(m(k-1)-kq)^{-1}$$

for which

$$R(\mathfrak{z}^*,\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{n\mathfrak{q}}{n+k\mathfrak{z}} < R(\mathfrak{z}^\circ,\mathfrak{p}).$$

「日本のない」

Since 0 is unknown, the above inequality suggests that we might use 1 for 0. But 0 is also unknown, since q is unknown. But an estimate of q is given by $(mn^2-y)/n(n-1)$ since its expected value is equal to q. Substituting the estimate for q in (1.13) we get after simplification

(1.14)
$$\hat{v} = \frac{mn^2 - y}{ky - mn(n+k-1)}$$
.

Since the value of \hat{y} given by (1.14) is negative for certain values of y, we make a minor modification and finally come up with a value of $y = \lambda$, say, given by

$$\lambda = \frac{mn^2 - y}{ky - mn^2}$$

The empirical Bayes estimator is obtained from δ^* by substituting λ for ν in (1.11). We shall denote it by δ^{**} .

It is shown below in Section 2 that $R(\frac{1}{2}**, p) < R(\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}, p)$ for all values of p for which

(1.16)
$$q \ge 2n^4/(n-1)^2 m^5$$
, $m \ge n^{2/(1-5)}$

where $0 < 3 \le \frac{1}{5}$. That is, 5^{**} has smaller risk than 5° for sufficiently large values of m, except for a set of values of p approaching the null set as $m \to \infty$. Johnson (1971) has shown that there is no "Stein effect", that is, there is no estimator which dominates the MLE for a given value of m. This is essentially for the reason, as Johnson points out, that the risk of the MLE is small near the boundary of the parameter space, given by q = 0. A numerical comparison of the risk of 5^{**} and 5° is given in Section 3.

The above results are summarized in the following theorems.

<u>Theorem 1.1</u>. The MLE is admissible with respect to (1.2) among all estimators satisfying the condition (1.5) but inadmissible among all estimators and is dominated by $\frac{1}{2}$ *, given by (1.7).

<u>Theorem 1.2</u>. $R(\frac{1}{2}^{**}, p) < R(\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}, p)$ for all values of p for which (1.16) holds, where $\frac{1}{2}^{**}$ is given by (1.11) with the value of y given by (1.15).

2. <u>Proof of Theorem 1.2</u>. First we give a preliminary result which will be used in the sequel. Let

(2.1)
$$z = (1+k\lambda)^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{ky-mn^2}{(k-1)mn^2}$$

We have

$$E(z) = 1 - (\frac{n-1}{n})(\frac{k}{k-1}) \frac{q}{m}$$
.

Note that $\frac{q}{m} \leq \frac{k-1}{k}$. As

$$\operatorname{Var} \left(\begin{bmatrix} k & x_{ij}^{2} \\ j=1 & x_{ij}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \right) = E\left(\begin{bmatrix} k & x_{ij}^{2} \\ j=1 & x_{ij}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{2} - \left(E\left[\begin{bmatrix} k & x_{ij}^{2} \\ j=1 & x_{ij}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{2} \right)$$
$$\leq n^{2} E\left(\begin{bmatrix} k & x_{ij}^{2} \\ j=1 & x_{ij}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \right) - \left(E\left[\begin{bmatrix} k & x_{ij}^{2} \\ j=1 & x_{ij}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{2} \right)$$
$$\leq n^{2} \left(n^{2} - E\left[\begin{bmatrix} k & x_{ij}^{2} \\ j=1 & x_{ij}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{2} \right)$$
$$= n^{3} (n-1)q_{1}$$

÷

we have

(2.2)
$$\operatorname{Var}(z) \leq \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^2 \frac{q}{m^2}$$

The risk of ${}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}^{\star\star}$ is given after simplification by

$$(2.3) \qquad n^{-1}R(\xi^{**}, p) = E[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (z_{ij}^{**} - p_{ij})^{2} \\ = E[y+2mn\lambda + mk\lambda^{2})(n+k\lambda)^{-2} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{ij}x_{ij} \\ (n+k\lambda)^{-1} - 2m\lambda(n+k\lambda)^{-1} + m - q] \\ \leq E(y+2mn\lambda + mk\lambda^{2})(n+k\lambda)^{-2} - 2(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n}{-j=1} p_{ij}Ex_{ij}) \\ E(n+k\lambda)^{-1} - 2m E[\lambda](n+k\lambda)^{-1} + m - q] \\ = E[(y+2mn\lambda + mk\lambda^{2})(n+k\lambda)^{-2} - 2n(m-q)(n+k\lambda)^{-1} \\ -2m\lambda(n+k\lambda)^{-1} + m - q] \\ = E[y-mk\lambda^{2})(n+k\lambda)^{-2} - 2n(m-q)(n+k\lambda)^{-1} + m - q] \\ = E[y-mk\lambda^{2})(n+k\lambda)^{-2} - 2n(m-q)(n+k\lambda)^{-1} + m - q] \\ = E[mn(\frac{n}{n-1})(\frac{k-1}{k})(\frac{2n}{1+(n-1)z} - \frac{z^{2}}{(1+(n-1)z)^{2}}) \\ + (q-m(\frac{k-1}{k}))(\frac{2nz}{1+(n-1)z} - 1) \\ = -E[mn(\frac{n}{n-1})(\frac{k-1}{k})(\frac{z^{2}}{1+(n-1)z} - 1)] \\ = -E[mn(\frac{n}{n-1})(\frac{z^{2}}{1+(n-1)z} - 1)] \\ = -E[mn(\frac{n}{n-1})(\frac{z^{2}}{1+(n-1)z} - 1)] \\ =$$

21

$$+ m(\frac{k-1}{k})(1 + (\frac{n}{n-1})^{2}) - q(1 + \frac{n}{n-1})$$

$$= 2mn(\frac{n}{n-1})(\frac{k-1}{k}) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{xF(x)dx}{(1+(n-1)x)^{3}}$$

$$+ m((\frac{n}{n-1})^{2}(\frac{k-1}{k}) + 2n(\frac{k-1}{k} - \frac{q}{m}) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F(x)dx}{1+(n-1)x} - \frac{q}{n-1}$$

where F denotes the cummulative distribution function of z. The inequality in (2.3) is derived from the fact that $Ei_{j=1}^{k} P_{ij}x_{ij} \{ \frac{r_{k}}{l_{j=1}} x_{ij}^{2} \}$ is nondecreasing in $\frac{r_{k}}{l_{j=1}} x_{ij}^{2}$. This is shown by proving it for k = 2 by direct computation of the expected value, and recursively for k > 2. The last step in (2.3) is derived through integration by parts. From (1.10) and (2.3) we get

(2.4)
$$(mn)^{-1} (R(5^{**}, p) - R(5^{\circ}, p)) \le 2 (\frac{n}{n-1}) (\frac{k-1}{k}) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{xF(x) dx}{(1+(n-1)x)^3}$$

+
$$\left(\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right) + 2n\left(\frac{k-1}{k} - \frac{q}{m}\right)\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F(x) dx}{(1+(n-1)x)^{2}} - \frac{q}{m}\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$$

$$\leq \left[2\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right) + \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right) + 2n\left(\frac{k-1}{k} - \frac{q}{m}\right)\right] \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F(x) dx}{\left(1 + (n-1)x\right)^{2}}$$

$$-\frac{q}{m}(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n-1})$$

$$= n \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right) \left[\frac{2n-1}{n-1} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)\frac{q}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)\frac{q}{m}\right]$$
$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{F(x) dx}{\left(1 + (n-1)x\right)^2} - \frac{q}{m} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n-1}\right).$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{n}$. We have

(2.5)
$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{F(x) dx}{(1+(n-1)x)^{2}} = \int_{0}^{E(z)-\varepsilon} + \int_{E(z)-\varepsilon}^{E(z)} + \int_{E(z)}^{1} \frac{F(x) dx}{(1+(n-1)x)^{2}} \cdot$$

The first integral on the right hand side of (2.5) is majorized by

(2.6)
$$F(E(z)-\varepsilon) \leq \frac{Var(z)}{\varepsilon^2}$$
 by Chebychev's inequality $\leq (\frac{n-1}{n})(\frac{k}{k-1})^2 \frac{q}{m^2 \varepsilon^2}$ by (2.2).

The second integral is majorized by

(2.7)
$$(1+(n-1)(E(z)-z)^{-2} = \frac{z}{n^2}(1-\frac{n-1}{n})^2(\frac{k}{k-1})\frac{q}{m} - (\frac{n-1}{n})z)^{-2}.$$

The third integral is majorized by

(2.3)
$$\int_{E(z)}^{1} (1 + (n-1)x)^{-2} dx = \frac{1-E(z)}{1+(n-1)E(z)}$$

$$= \frac{q}{mn} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \frac{q}{m}\right)^{-1}$$

4

Using (2.5) through (2.8) in (2.4) we get

$$(2.9) \qquad (mn)^{-1} \left(R\left(\frac{5}{2}^{**}, \frac{p}{2} \right) - R\left(\frac{5}{2}^{\circ}, \frac{p}{2} \right) \right) \leq n\left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) \left(\frac{k-1}{k} \right) \left[\left(\frac{2n-1}{n-1} \right) \right. \\ \left. - 2\left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right) \left(\frac{k}{k-1} \right) \frac{q}{m} \right) \left(\frac{q}{m^2 \epsilon^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right) \left(\frac{k}{k-1} \right)^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{n^2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2 \right) \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{k}{k-1} \right) \frac{q}{m} - \frac{n-1}{n} \epsilon \right)^{-2} \right) - \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{k-1} \right)^2 \left(\frac{q}{mn} \right)^2 \\ \left. \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{k-1} \right) \frac{q}{m} \right)^{-1} \right] \right] \\ \leq n \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) \left(\frac{k-1}{k} \right) \left[\frac{2n-1}{n-1} \left(\left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right) \left(\frac{k}{k-1} \right)^2 \left(\frac{q}{m^2 \epsilon^2} + \epsilon \right) \right) \\ \left. - \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{k-1} \right)^2 \left(\frac{q}{mn} \right)^2 \right]$$

since $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{n}$ and $(\frac{k}{k-1})\frac{q}{m} \leq 1$.

Let Q denote the quantity inside the square bracket on the right side of the second inequality in (2.9). Suppose that

(2.10)
$$\frac{q}{m} > \gamma m^{-\delta}$$

where $\eta = \frac{2n^4}{(n-1)^2}$ and $0 < \beta \leq \frac{1}{5}$. Putting $\beta = m^2$ in Q we get

$$Q \leq \frac{2n-1}{n-1} \quad m^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} - \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{n^3} - \frac{2n-1}{n-1}\right) \left(\frac{q}{m^{1+\beta}}\right)$$
$$\leq m^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \left(\frac{2n-1}{n-1} - n\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{n^3} - \frac{2n-1}{n-1}\right)\right)$$
$$\leq 0 \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Therefore

4

2

$$R(\delta^{**},p) - R(\delta^{\circ},p) < 0$$

for all values of p, satisfying the inequality (1.16).

3. <u>Numerical comparison of the risk of δ^{**} and δ° . By Theorem 2.2 if m is large then $R(\delta^{*}, p) < R(\delta^{\circ}, p)$ for all but a small subset of the values of p near the boundary of the parameter space, given by q = 0. In many practical situations requiring simultaneous estimation of the parameters of several multinomial populations the value of q is à priori bounded away from zero so that the inequality holds for moderately large values of m. Therefore, δ^{**} should be ordinarily preferred to δ° . Let</u>

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{z}^{\circ},\mathfrak{z}^{\star}) = \frac{R(\mathfrak{z}^{\circ},\mathfrak{p}) - R(\mathfrak{z}^{\star},\mathfrak{p})}{R(\mathfrak{z}^{\circ},\mathfrak{p})}$$

denote the relative saving in the risk of 5^{**} . The following table gives for illustration 8 sets of values of $c(5^{\circ}, 5^{*})$, computed by Monte Carlo method for m = 10, n = 10, 20 and k = 2,3,4 with the values of p being chosen randomly. It is seen from the table that there is considerable saving in the risk due to 5^{**} .

				n = 10				
k = 2	.193	.128	.193	.170	.045	.166	.686	.008
3	.257	.187	.243	.236	.176	.390	.203	.271
4	.298	.210	.251	.211	.119	.168	.481	.313
				n = 20				
2	.000	.099	.232	.042	017	.083	041	002
3	.132	.024	.031	.144	.056	.263	.013	.114
4	.165	.215	.180	.118	.069	.205	.372	.212

TABLE I - Values of $p(\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}, \frac{1}{2}^{**})$

(14)

References

- Alam, K. (1978). Estimation of multinomial probabilities. <u>Ann.</u> <u>Statist</u>. (to appear).
- Olkin, J. and Sobel, M. (1978). Admissible and minimax estimation for the multinomial distribution and for k independent binomial distributions. <u>Ann. Statist</u>. (to appear).
- Johnson, B. Mck. (1971). On admissible estimators for certain fixed sample binomial populations. <u>Ann. Math. Statist</u>. 92, 1579-1587.
- Steinhaus, H. (1957). The problem of estimation. Ann. Math. Statist. 28, 633-648.
- Trybula, S. (1958). Some problems of simultaneous minimax estimation. <u>Ann. Math. Statist</u>. 29, 245-253.

and the second second

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 12. GOVT ACCESSION NO	
N102 AD A083534	
TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
Estimation of Multinomial Probabilities	
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	Technical Report #294
AU THOR(s)	4. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)
Khursheed Alam	N00014-75-C-0451
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Clemson University	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Dept. of Mathematical Sciences	047-20.2 NR $042-271$
Clemson, South Carolina 29631	AR GIZ-271
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Office of Naval Research	November, 1978
Code 436 434	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Arlington, Va. 22217	14
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(It different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
	JOREDGEL
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	cea.
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different fro	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different fro	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different fro	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, il different fro supplementary notes	w Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY MORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number,	va Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, il different fro supplementary notes	n Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse ende if necessary and identify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad	va Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse ende if necessary and identify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad	m Report) missible Minimax Estimators.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and (dentify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad	m Report) missible Minimax Estimators. parameters (cell proba-
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse ende if necessary and identify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse ende if necessary and identify by block number) This paper deals with the estimation of the	m Report) missible Minimax Estimators. parameters (cell proba- ximum likelihood estimator
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse ende if necessary and (dentify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse ende if necessary and (dentify by block number) This gaper deals with the estimation of the bilities) of a multinomial distribution. The ma 	m Report) missible Minimax Estimators. parameters (cell proba- ximum likelihood estimator respect to a quadratic
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different in Block 20, if different in Block 20, if different in Supplementary notes SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY MORDS (Continue on reverse ends if necessary and (dentify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse ends if necessary and identify by block number, This paper deals with the estimation of the bilities) of a multinomial distribution. The ma (MLE) is known to be minimax and admissible with loss function. It is shown that the MLE is admin non-quadratic loss function. For the parameters 	m Report) missible Minimax Estimators. parameters (cell proba- ximum likelihood estimator respect to a quadratic ssible with respect to a of m multinomial distri-
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY MORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and (dentify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and (dentify by block number, This paper deals with the estimation of the bilities) of a multinomial distribution. The ma (MLZ) is known to be minimax and admissible with loss function. It is shown that the MLE is admi non-quadratic loss function. For the parameters butions being estimated simultaneously and the l	m Report) missible Minimax Estimators. parameters (cell proba- ximum likelihood estimator respect to a quadratic ssible with respect to a of m multinomial distri- oss being quadratic, an
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number, This paper ieals with the estimation of the bilities) of a multinomial distribution. The ma (MLE) is known to be minimax and admissible with loss function. It is shown that the MLE is admi non-quadratic loss function. For the parameters butions being estimated simultaneously and the I estimator is given which is shown to have smalle	m Report) missible Minimax Estimators. parameters (cell proba- ximum likelihood estimator respect to a quadratic ssible with respect to a of m multinomial distri- oss being quadratic, an r risk than the MLE for
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse ends if necessary and identify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse ends if necessary and identify by block number, This paper deals with the estimation of the bilities) of a multinomial distribution. The ma (MLE) is known to be minimax and admissible with loss function. It is shown that the MLE is admi non-quadratic loss function. For the parameters butions being estimated simultaneously and the 1 estimator is given which is shown to have smalle all but a small subset of the carameter space, w	m Report) missible Minimax Estimators. parameters (cell proba- ximum likelihood estimator respect to a quadratic ssible with respect to a of m multinomial distri- oss being quadratic, an r risk than the MLE for
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide 11 necessary and identify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide 11 necessary and identify by block number, Multinomial Distribution; Maximum Likelihood; Ad ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide 11 necessary and identify by block number, This paper deals with the estimation of the bilities) of a multinomial distribution. The ma (MLE) is known to be minimax and admissible with loss function. It is shown that the MLE is admi non-quadratic loss function. For the parameters butions being estimated simultaneously and the 1 estimator is given which is shown to have smalle all but a small subset of the carameter space, w	missible Minimax Estimators parameters (cell proba- ximum likelihood estimator respect to a quadratic ssible with respect to a of m multinomial distri- oss being quadratic, an r risk than the MLE for