

DNA EMP AWARENESS COURSE NOTES 09781

Supplement to Third Edition

IIT Research Institute 10 West 35th Street Chicago, Illinois 60616

31 July 1978

50

00

4

3

AD A 0 8

Topical Report for Period January 1978-July 1978

CONTRACT No. DNA 001-78-C-0004

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.



300640

DNA 2772T

4159 361

THIS WORK SPONSORED BY THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY UNDER RDT&E RMSS CODE X323075469 Q75QAXEC09201 H2590D.

79 12 20 015 Prepared for Director DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY Washington, D. C. 20305

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return to sender.

{ {

1

PLEASE NOTIFY THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY, ATTN: STTI, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20305, IF YOUR ADDRESS IS INCORRECT, IF YOU WISH TO BE DELETED FROM THE DISTRIBUTION LIST, OR IF THE ADDRESSEE IS NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY YOUR ORGANIZATION.

REPORT DOCUMEN	REPORT DOCIMENTATION PACE	
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESS	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
DNA 2772T	AD-AOS	3485
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
DNA EMP AWARENESS COURSE NOTES Supplement to Third Edition		Topical Report for Period
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
		A CONTRACT OF CRANT NUMBER
_		CONTRACT ON GRANT NUMBER(S)
I. N. Mindel		DNA 001-78-C-0004
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND IIT Research Institute	ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
10 West 35th Street		Subtask
Chicago, Illinois 60616	/	Q75QAXEC092-01
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADD	RESS	12. REPORT DATE 31 JULY 1978
Defense Nuclear Agency		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Washington, D.C. 20305		36
IN MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRES	5(11 different from Controlling C	Diffee) 15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report)
		154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Repo Approved for public releas 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstra	e; distribution un	limited.
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Repo Approved for public releas 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstra	ri) e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, il dille	limited.
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Repo Approved for public releas 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstra	rt) e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, if dille	limited.
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Repo Approved for public releas 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstra 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	e; distribution un	limited.
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Repo Approved for public releas 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstra 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work sponsored by the X323075469 Q75QAXEC09201 H	rt) e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, if dille Defense Nuclear A 2590D.	limited. prent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code
 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Repo Approved for public releas) 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstraction) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work sponsored by the X323075469 Q75QAXEC09201 H; 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no Electromagnetic Pulse 	e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, il dille Defense Nuclear A 2590D.	limited. erent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code number) n Practices
Approved for public release Approved for public release TO DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstraction Supplementary notes This work sponsored by the X323075469 Q75QAXEC09201 H Supplement concernence side if not Electromagnetic Pulse EMP Environment	e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, il dille Defense Nuclear A 2590D. EMP Desig EMP Testi	limited. prent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code number) n Practices ng
Approved for public release Approved for public release 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstra 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work sponsored by the X323075469 Q75QAXEC09201 Hi 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no Electromagnetic Pulse EMP Environment EMP Systems Degradation Mode EMP Interaction and coupling	e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, il dille Defense Nuclear A 2590D. EMP Desig EMP Testi des EMP Syste ng	limited. erent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code number) n Practices ng m Hardening
 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if ne ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if ne ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if ne Continue on reverse side if ne 	e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, il dille Defense Nuclear A 2590D. Accessary and identify by block EMP Desig EMP Testi des EMP System ng	limited. prent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code number) n Practices ng m Hardening
 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report Approved for public release 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work sponsored by the X323075469 Q75QAXEC09201 His 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if ne Electromagnetic Pulse EMP Environment EMP Systems Degradation Mode EMP Interaction and coupling ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if ne These notes are primarily 	e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, if diffe Defense Nuclear A 2590D. Cessary and identify by block EMP Desig EMP Testi des EMP System ng cessary and identify by block n intended as a guide	limited. prent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code number) n Practices ng m Hardening number) e for the individual attending
Approved for public release Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstra DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstra Supplementary notes This work sponsored by the X323075469 Q75QAXEC09201 H Server work sponsored by the Electromagnetic Pulse EMP Environment EMP Systems Degradation Mode EMP Interaction and coupling ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if ne These notes are primarily the DNA EMP Awareness Course to the EMP systems Course	e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, il dille Defense Nuclear A 2590D. Accessary and identify by block EMP Desig EMP Testi des EMP System ng cessary end identify by block of intended as a guid se. The text servior	limited. prent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code number) n Practices ng m Hardening number) e for the individual attending es as an engineering introductio
Approved for public releas: Approved for public releas: DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract DISTRIBUTION STATEME	e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, il dille Defense Nuclear A 2590D. Accessary and identify by block EMP Desig EMP Testi des EMP System ng cessary and identify by block n intended as a guid se. The text serve problem and provid- nardening problem.	limited. prent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code number) n Practices ng m Hardening umber) e for the individual attending es as an engineering introductio es a survey of techniques The text discusses all
Approved for public release Approved for public release DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstra DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstra B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work sponsored by the X323075469 Q75QAXEC09201 H Sectoromagnetic Pulse EMP Environment EMP Systems Degradation Mode EMP Interaction and coupling ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if ne These notes are primarily the DNA EMP Awareness Cours to the EMP systems design suitable for solving this is aspects of EMP, from the en	e; distribution un ct entered in Block 20, il dille Defense Nuclear A 2590D. Cessary and identify by block EMP Desig EMP Testi des EMP System intended as a guid se. The text serve problem and provide nardening problem. hvironment through	limited. prent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code number) n Practices ng m Hardening number) e for the individual attending es as an engineering introductio es a survey of techniques The text discusses all system design and testing.
 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report Approved for public release 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if not Electromagnetic Pulse 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if not Electromagnetic Pulse 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if not Electromagnetic Pulse 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if not Electromagnetic Pulse 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if not EMP Interaction and coupling 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if not EMP Systems Degradation Mote EMP Interaction and coupling 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if not EMP Systems design is a spects of EMP, from the end 	e; distribution un act entered in Block 20, il dille Defense Nuclear A 2590D. EMP Desig EMP Testi des EMP System intended as a guid se. The text serve problem and provide hardening problem. hvironment through	limited. prent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code number) n Practices ng m Hardening number) e for the individual attending es as an engineering introductio es a survey of techniques The text discusses all system design and testing.
Approved for public releas: Approved for public releas: DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work sponsored by the X323075469 Q75QAXEC09201 H: SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This work sponsored by the X323075469 Q75QAXEC09201 H: Supplements EMP Environment EMP Environment EMP Systems Degradation Mode EMP Interaction and coupling ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II ne These notes are primarily the DNA EMP Awareness Course to the EMP Systems design suitable for solving this is aspects of EMP, from the en-	e; distribution un tet entered in Block 20, il dille Defense Nuclear A 2590D. EMP Desig EMP Testi des EMP System intended as a guid se. The text serve problem and provid- nardening problem. hvironment through	limited. prent from Report) gency under RDT&E RMSS Code number) n Practices ng m Hardening number) e for the individual attending es as an engineering introductio es a survey of techniques The text discusses all system design and testing.

ļ

٠.

1

ţ

يو د ف

. .

ŀ

PREFACE

ŝ,

Supplement to Third Edition

This supplement to the Third Edition of the DNA "EMP Awareness Course" Notes is provided to maintain the course and the course notes current. The Third Edition of the Awareness Course Notes were written with primary emphasis given to introducing the generation and systems effects of EMP fields from high altitude bursts. This supplement treats the source region EMP, the MHD EMP and SGEMP and discusses the impact and importance of these effects for tactical, strategic and exoatmospheric systems.

This supplement was edited, in part, from material provided by the Mission Research Corporation, Santa Barbara, California. Recognition is due Mr. W. Hart and Dr. C. Longmire for providing much of the material in this volume.

1

I. N. Mindel IIT Research Institute 10 West 35th Street Chicago, Illinois 60616 July 1978

ACCESSI	ON for			V
NTIS		White Se	ction 🖌	I
D0C		Buff Sect	tioa 🗖	l
UNANNO	UNCED		D	
JUSTIFIC	ATION		.	Į
DISTRI	SUTION/A	VALABILITY	CODES DEFCIAL	Į
UIST.	ATAIL	anu/ u	or course	ł
A				

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.....

Section		Page
Ι.	SOURCE REGION, EXOATMOSPHERIC, AND MHD EMP CONSIDERATIONS	3
	1.1 Introduction	3
II.	SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS	3
	2.1Operational Regime2.2System Hardness2.3EMP Environment Classification2.4System Hardening Implications	3 4 6
III.	ENVIRONMENT GENERATION AND CHARACTERISTICS	7
	 3.1 Close-In EMP Environment	7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 13 14 14 15 16
IV.	EMP INTERACTION AND COUPLING ANALYSIS	17
	 4.1 Source Region EMP Coupling	17 18 19 21 21 22 22 24

Ţ

ł

٦.

SECTION I

SOURCE REGION, EXOATMOSPHERIC, AND MHD EMP CONSIDERATIONS

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The DNA "EMP Awareness Course Notes" - Third Edition, treats the classical EMP coupling problem where electromagnetic fields are generated in one part of space and propagate through an intervening medium to a system located at relatively large distances from the burst location, that is, outside the EMP source region or gamma deposition region.

Further, the Third Edition treats only the propagated EMP fields generated by the prompt gammas. Other forms of EMP, such as Magnetohydrodynamic EMP (MHD EMP), with completely different characteristics are also generated and are important to a limited class of systems. The environment seen by exoatmospheric systems (i.e., operating outside the atmosphere), such as satellite systems, was not discussed in the Third Edition of the notes. Here again, the environment characteristics are significantly different and warrant separate discussion.

The purpose of this supplement to the Third Edition is to discuss the systems mission and deployment factors where these environments should be considered, generation of these environments, and a discussion of how these environments interact with and couple to systems of interest.

SECTION II

SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS

For purposes of establishing the EMP environments of interest, the mission of a given system can be described in terms of its operational deployment and required operational survivability. Consideration of the operational altitude determines what burst locations are of importance in terms of the EMP generation. The system nuclear hardness refers to the amount of protection the system has to nuclear weapons effects (i.e., blast and shock, radiation, thermal, EMP, etc.). The categories and bounds for both of these elements of the mission are described below.

2.1 OPERATIONAL REGIME

The operational regime (operating altitude) for purposes of this discussion has been divided into four general categories: ground, lower atmospheric, upper atmospheric, and exoatmospheric altitude. This categorization is depicted in the figure which indicates the approximate altitudes bounding each category.



CATEGORIES OF SYSTEM OPERATING REGIONS

The ground category includes systems operating on or under the earth. Systems in this category would include buildings, silos and vehicles such as trucks, tanks, ships, etc. The lower atmospheric category is bounded between 0 km and 20 km altitude. Systems in this category would include aircraft and missiles during boost and terminal phases. The upper atmospheric category is bounded between 20 km and 50 km altitude. Systems operating in this category would be primarily missiles. The fourth category, exoatmos-pheric, is any altitude greater than 50 km. Systems operating in this altitude regime are satellites and missiles. Many systems operate in more than one of these categories during their mission. These altitude regimes are approximate. There is no clear-cut division.

2.2 SYSTEM HARDNESS

System hardness is the second element to be considered in the mission classification. For purposes of this discussion we will classify system hardness into two categories: hard and soft. Hard systems are those that have been intentionally designed to operate in a specified nuclear environment. Soft systems are those that are not designed to operate in a nuclear environment and their hardness level is that inherently afforded by the basic structure.

All critical elements of the system with regard to performing the mission must be considered in establishing the hardness level. For example, if the system is a manned system, and the man is required for performance of the mission, he is a critical element of the system.

In establishing this hardness level, all nuclear weapons effects must be considered. These include blast overpressure, shock, thermal radiation, gamma, neutron and x-ray radiation and EMP in the operating regime. These factors will determine the survivable range from the burst location. This is termed the balanced hardness approach in that the system is hardened to all nuclear weapons environments that exist at a survivable location.

2.3 EMP ENVIRONMENT CLASSIFICATION

In order to classify the EMP threat, the type of nuclear burst, and the relative locations of the burst and system must be identified. There are three basic types of bursts: surface/near surface, air and high-altitude (exoatmospheric). The relative locations of the burst and the system determine the EMP environment. A simplified Mission/ Environment Matrix is shown in the table which indicates the category of EMP environment that will be experienced, i.e., deposition region, radiation region, and propagation region. The radiation region is that where the EMP propagates along an unobstructed line of sight from the burst location, i.e., "directly propagated". Propagated EMP region is where the EMP has been modified by intervening media, such as the ionosphere or the earth.



MISSION/ENVIROMENT MATRIX

The shape and spatial extent of the EMP signal generated by a nuclear detonation depend primarily on the height of burst (HOB). There is no clear-cut division between the types of burst. Nominally, a surface/near surface burst occurs at altitudes between 0-2 km. Air bursts occur at altitudes between 2-20 km, and high-altitude bursts above 30 km. Between 20-30 km, the EMP will have approximately the same characteristics as the air-burst.

The EMP from a nuclear burst is generated in that region of space where the gamma radiation from the weapon deposits its energy and creates electrons through Compton collisions. For purposes of this discussion, the deposition region is defined as the region of space where a conductivity of 10^{-7} mho/m or greater is created. A rough idea of the extent of the EMP source regions or gamma deposition regions for surface, air and high-altitude bursts are shown in the figure.



EMP SOURCE REGION



the course of a

DEPOSITION REGION FOR A IMT BURST AT HOBS OF 50,100, 200 AND 300 KM



The extent of the EMP source region (or gamma deposition region) for the highaltitude burst varies greatly with the HOB and yield. This dependence and the size of the EMP source region for two yields and four burst heights is shown in the following figures.

Outside of the gamma deposition region, the EMP threat can be treated as a radiated field. The radiated EMP for the various burst categories is discussed in Section III of the Third Editio. of the notes. As can be seen from these figures, the size of the source region for surface and air bursts is dependent on yield, although this dependence is not linear (that is, doubling the yield does not double the size of the source region). This is due to the fact that the extent of the source region is highly dependent on the gamma absorption distance (stopping range) which is directly proportional to

the air density and initial gamma energy. It should be noted that x-rays also produce ionization and therefore contribute to the source region fields. However, the x-rays are lower energy than the gamma rays even though they constitute a much larger fraction of weapon output and are absorbed in very short distances in the surface or air burst case and are not a major factor. This dependence on gamma absorption can easily be seen by comparing the surface and air burst source region size. As the burst point is elevated above the earth, the air density decreases, and the source region increases for the same device yield. The relationship and transformation of the weapon outputs in terms of percent of yield is shown in the following table.

TRANSFORMATION OF WEAPON ENERGY NEAR THE EARTHS SURFACE

Weapon Output	Transformation	% of Yield
X-Rays y	Blast	~ 50
Debris }	Thermal	~ 35
Gamma (Y)	EMP	~ <1
Neutron (m) $\frac{1}{2}$	Gamma (y) Neutron (m)	~ <3
	Fallout	~ 10

2.4 SYSTEM HARDENING IMPLICATIONS

As can be deduced from the previous discussion, high-altitude radiated EMP is a threat to all systems, hard and soft. The high-altitude source region EMP is primarily a threat to missiles and reentry vehicles (RV's) which pass through it. Since the radiated EMP from a highaltitude burst is a threat to all systems it provides a good baseline for comparison with other threat environments. The following figure provides a simple illustration of the high-altitude EMP and the types of systems it can affect.



AIR-BURST EMP



HIGH-ALTITUDE EMP

The radiated EMP from an air burst is very weak compared to the high-altitude or surface burst. Therefore, the principle threat from the air burst is to hardened systems in the atmosphere which pass through the gamma deposition region. The fields in the source region are high level as for other burst locations. The air burst case is depicted in the following figure. The surface-burst radiated EMP is also much weaker than the high-altitude radiated EMP. The frequency spectrum is also shifted placing greater emphasis on the lower frequencies (see Section III of the Third Edition of the notes). It can effect soft systems or systems with large coupling structures which would respond at the lower frequencies. The deposition region fields resulting from a surface burst are a threat to hardened systems, such as (1) strategic systems which are targeted (missile launch complexes), and (2) tactical systems which may become involved in a tactical nuclear engagement where low yield weapons will be detonated nearby. A simplified illustration of the surface burst and the systems affected by it are shown in the following figure.



GROUND-BURST EMP

SECTION III

ENVIRONMENT GENERATION AND CHARACTERISTICS

The basic EMP generation mechanisms are discussed in the Third Edition of the course notes, Section III and will only be briefly reviewed here. The purpose of this discussion is to present information on additional EMP environments not covered in the course notes. Specifically, the environments to be considered are: (1) the close-in environments; (2) the MHD-EMP; and (3) the space environment.

3.1 CLOSE-IN EMP ENVIRONMENT

Basic Physics

A nuclear detonation emits a flux of energetic photons (gamma and x-rays) which move away from the burst in the radial direction. They interact with the air molecules and create high-energy electrons by the Compton process. The rapid radial movement of these freed Compton electrons $(e_{\tilde{c}})$ creates a Compton electront.

As the Compton electrons travel, they give up their energy to the surrounding air molecules through inelastic collisions. The energy from these collisions liberates low-energy electrons from the air molecules, producing many electron/ion pairs. The liberated secondary electrons ($e_{\overline{s}}$) produce an electrically conducting plasma around the burst. Since electromagnetic fields are generated by currents and/or charge distributions, the separation of Compton electrons from their positively charged parent air molecules generate an electromagnetic field. This field produces a conduction current in the conducting plasma around the burst.

Electromagnetics

The electromagnetics involved in EMP generation and propagation are governed by Maxwell's two curl equations:

$$\nabla \mathbf{x} \, \vec{\mathbf{E}} = - \frac{\partial \vec{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial t}$$
$$\nabla \mathbf{x} \, \vec{\mathbf{B}} = \mu \mathbf{J} + \mu \varepsilon \quad \frac{\partial \vec{\mathbf{E}}}{\partial t}$$

where

- É is the electric field intensity vector
- B is the magnetic induction vector
- J is the current density vector

- $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is the permeability of the medium
- ϵ is the permittivity of the medium
- t is the real time.

The current density created by the nuclear burst must be determined before these equations can be solved for the electric and magnetic fields. The current density term can be broken into two components for the purpose of calculations. These are the driving current (J_D) and the conduction current (σE), where σ is the conductivity:

 $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{D}} + \sigma \mathbf{E}$

This driving current can be divided into three terms by virtue of the process that creates them.

$$\mathbf{\dot{J}}_{\mathrm{D}} = \mathbf{\dot{J}}_{\mathrm{c}} + \mathbf{\dot{J}}_{\mathrm{pe}} + \mathbf{\dot{J}}_{\mathrm{pp}}$$

where

7

 J_c is the Compton current

J_{pe} is the photoelectric current

 J_{pp} is the pair production current.

In the Compton process, resulting in the Compton current, the high energy photons scatter off an atomic electron giving the latter some recoil energy which reduces the scattered photon energy. The high energy photons, in the case of a nuclear detonation are the emitted gamma rays. In the case of the photoelectric effect, the photon disappears giving all its energy to the electron ejected from the atom. The photoelectric current is produced primarily by the emitted x-rays.

The gamma rays being the high-energy photons travel much further in air than the lower energy x-rays and therefore, the Compton electrons are the most important contributor to the driving current. The pair production current is usually negligible because of the high energies required for significant amounts of pair production and because the positive and negative currents will cancel, except in the presence of a magnetic field. The pair production mechanism is important in the calculation of conductivity (σ) . It should be noted that the current gen-eration is a function of time and consequently, the conductivity is a function time. Further, the conductivity is a function of the electric field since this influences the mobility of the ions.

Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions influence the creation of the electromagnetic fields. If the burst occurs in a homogeneous atmosphere, the current density is spherically symmetrical and does not produce a radiated field. In a surface burst asymmetries are introduced by the air/earth interface; in an air burst by the variation in atmospheric density; and in a high-altitude burst by the space/ atmosphere interface.

Field Generation and Characteristics

The surface burst case will be used to discuss the field generation. The charge separation resulting from the Compton process establishes a strong radial electric field (E_T) . The secondary electrons are acted upon by this electric field to produce the conduction current σE . Due to the presence of the earth and the higher conductivity of the earth, the conduction currents tend to flow back to the source through the earth giving rise to current loops, and an azimuthal magnetic field $(B_{\rm ch})$.





GENERALIZED TIME WAVEFORMS OF SURFACE-BURST RADIAL ELECTRIC FIELD (E,) AND AZMUTHAL MAGNETIC FIELD ($B\phi$)

 \pm The E₀ field is the radiated field and is characterized by a short pulse and oscillating waveform in the far field. The E₀ field also falls off with distance from the burst in both the deposition region and outside it. The spike is on the order of 10's of kilovolts per meter or greater very close in (high overpressure regions, > ~ 25 psi).



SIMPLE ILLUSTRATION OF SURFACE-BURST EMP

A general time history of the radial electric field (E_r) is characterized by a sharp peak and a long plateau. As distance from the burst is increased, the peak of the radial electric field is reduced and the initial rate of rise is slowed. The peak amplitude is on the order of 100's of kilovolts per meter. The azimuthal magnetic field is also shown. Peak amplitudes of 100's of gauss



GENERAL CED TRANSVERSE ELECTRIC FIELD (E.)

The radial field falls more rapidly with distance from the burst than the transverse field in the deposition region. The crossover (i.e., where $E_{ij} \geq E_T$) is in the neighborhood of the 100 psi contour. The waveform of the radial field is also dependent on the observer (system location) altitude. As altitude is increased the peak amplitude changes only slightly but the plateau is reduced significantly for a change in altitude of a few kilometers.

A comparison of the EMP environments produced by a high altitude burst (HEMP) and the source region (SREMP) for both a strategic weapon and a tactical weapon are shown in the table. As can be seen from the above discussion, the principal differences between the source region EMP problem and the radiated EMP problem are:

- Presence of ionizing radiation
- Spectral distribution of the energy
- Presence of Compton driving currents (J_c)
- Presence of conduction currents (gE)
- Area and volume of threat.

	SREMP vs HEMP PROPERTIES	
HEMP Strategic	Y > 100 kt Strategic (300-10 ³ psí)	Y < 100 kt Tactical (2-15 psi)
Fields <u>E 50 kV/m Hor.</u> B E/n _o = 133 A/m ≅ 1.67 gauss	100 - 800 kV/m 100 gauss	30 kV/m ~ 50 kV/m 1 gauss
Risetime 5 - 20 ns	5 - 20 ns	5 - 20 ns
Pulse Width ~ 1 µs	~ 50 - 100 µs	~ 50 ~ 100 µs
Compton 0 Currents J _r	10^4 Amp/m ²	200 Amp/m ²
Air Con- 0 ductivity	10 ⁻¹ - 10 ⁰ mho/m	$10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ mho/m
ÿ O	10 ¹² rad(si)/sec	10 ⁸ - 10 ¹⁰ rad(si)/ sec
Effective Ground 10 ⁷ km ² Area	(10 ⁴ kV/m Minimum) 12 - 35 km ²	(10 ⁴ kV/m Minimum) 3 - 12 km ²

. .

In the EMP source region, the fields are not free space fields and therefore are not related by the intrinsic free space impedance. Separate calculations from Maxwell's equations are required to obtain the E and H fields.

Also of interest is the time history of these fields. The plateau stretches out to the millisecond region for these fields. This results in an enhancement of the low frequency spectral content of the SREMP as compared to the HEMP. This can result in additional coupling to a system if it is sensitive to the low frequency content. How these various characteristics interact with the system and their impact is discussed in Section 4.1.

Direct Radiation Interaction

In the EMP source region or gamma deposition region, consideration must also be given to direct interaction of the gamma rays, x-rays, and neutrons with the system. Of primary concern to systems within the atmosphere, with the exception of systems hardened to very high (>300 psi) overpressure levels, are the gamma rays and neutrons due to the limited range of x-rays in the atmosphere.

Initial Nuclear Radiation

One such direct interaction effect is TREE (Transient Radiation Effects on Electronics). Illumination of electronic components, especially semiconductors, with gammas and neutrons can result in degraded performance of the components, or the generation of photo currents in circuits resulting in system upset.

Transient radiation effects are associated principally with the component itself, that is, the capture area is the device area. Neutron irradiation results in such effects as displacement damage in materials, reduction of minority carrier lifetime in semiconductors, reduction in transistor gain, and an increase in leakage current. In terms of total gamma dose the effects are reduction in transistor gain, and increased leakage current. The gamma dose rate $(\dot{\gamma})$ results in the production, collection, and amplification of ionization currents (photocurrents). As mentioned, these effects are piece part related and control of these effects is achieved through parts selection and circuit design.

Shown are the effects of neutron irradiation on typical circuits. The neutrons degrade the transistor gain. In the amplifier circuit this results in reduced signal output, possibly to the point of functional failure. In the regulator circuit, the output (regulated voltage) might be much less than normal for the system. Gamma rays could also saturate the series regulator so that full power supply voltage is applied to the system causing component burnout.

SUMMARY OF CIRCUIT EFFECTS OF RADIATION-I





Neutron Orly Nermonent Degradatio

Gamma rays can also result in circuit saturation due to ionization currents or ionization-induced gain degradation in stransistors as depicted here.

SUMMARY OF CIRCUIT EFFECTS OF RADIATION-II



This discussion is intended only to bring to light the need for consideration of radiation effects on electronic systems. These effects could easily be the limiting factor on system hardness in the EMP source region if they are not considered.

Another aspect of initial nuclear radiation is the effect on personnel. In manned systems, the tolerable radiation level (total dose) for early transient incapacitation (ETI) is usually specified. This level is dependent on range to the burst, weapon yield, and the protection (either inherent or designed in) afforded by the system. ETI due to nuclear radiation usually governs man survivability for low yield (tactical weapons < 100 kt) whereas blast and thermal effects usually govern for high yield (strategic weapons > 100 kt).

As in the case of SREMP the TREE environment is a function of the distance from the burst and the weapon yield. The initial nuclear radiation is reduced for increasing range and smaller weapon yields.



TYPICAL GOVERNING ENVELOPE (MANNED SYSTEM)

For a cavity with a thickness (d) of 2 meters, radius (r_0) of 5 meters and a gamma dose rate $(\dot{\gamma})$ of 10⁹ rads/sec (1.14 x 10⁹ roentgens/sec) which is in the range of $\dot{\gamma}$ for a tactical weapon, the maximum voltage and electric field are:

$$V_{max} \approx 5.7 \text{ kV}$$

 $E_{max} \approx 11.4 \text{ kV/m}$

* *

The B-field is given by:

$$B_{azimutha1} = 1.3 \times 10^{-14} \dot{\gamma} r_{o}$$

webers/m².

For the case above this results in a magnetic field (B-field) on the order of 7.4 x 10^{-5} webers/m² or H field of 58.7 amps/m.



PHOTONS END-ON INCIDENT TO A CYLINDER FOR $\mathbf{r}_{o}\gg\mathbf{d}$

Another case of interest is a long, thin cylinder illuminated broadside by photons, as shown in the following figure. In this case, the maximum electric field, voltage, and axial B-field are given by

System Generated EMP

Another element of the source region environment is system generated EMP (SGEMP). SGEMP results from an interaction between the emitted photons and the material comprising the system enclosure. Photons incident on enclosures scatter electrons into and outside of the enclosure. These electrons, in turn, generate electromagnetic fields which can couple to internal cables and circuitry in the same manner as any EM wave.

The SGEMP voltages and fields depend on the cavity dimensions and the photon dose rate. As in the other cases discussed previously, the gamma dose rate is the principle consideration except for very hard systems or space systems which may be exposed to the x-ray fluence.

The significance of SGEMP can best be indicated by two simple examples.

In the first example, the photons are incident end-on to a cylinder whose radius r_0 is much greater than the cylinder length. For this case, the maximum induced voltage and the maximum electric field are given by

$$V_{\text{max}} \approx 1.25 \times 10^{-6} \dot{\gamma} d^2, \text{ volts}$$
$$E_{\text{max}} \approx .5 \times 10^{-5} \dot{\gamma} d v/m,$$

where all units are MKS and $\dot{\gamma}$ is in roentgens/sec.

For a cylinder radius of $r_{0}\approx.55$ m, the range of values for electric field, voltage, and magnetic fields is 4.7 to 55 kV/m, 1.3 to 15 kV, and 2.3 to 27.5 x 10^{-5} webers/m², respectively for a range of $\dot{\gamma}$ from 1.5 to 17.5 x 10^{9} rads/sec (1.7 to 20 x 10^{9} roentgens/sec).

It should be noted that these fields are calculated on the basis of an evacuated cavity. The difference between an air-filled and evacuated cavity is on the order of 5 percent for $\dot{\gamma} \approx 10^8$ roentgen/sec, and a factor of 3 for 10^{11} roentgen/sec. In any case, the values given here are worst case, insofar as the effect of the air is concerned.



These results clearly show that the SGEMP fields must be considered in a tactical system analysis.

Internal EMP

Another type of direct radiation interaction is termed internal EMP (IEMP) or Compton charging. This phenomenon results from the direct impingement of energetic photons on cables, etc., resulting in the ejection from the cable of Compton electrons. These exiting electrons leave a net charge on the cable, resulting in an induced current. It should be noted that Compton electrons emitted from other surfaces may also enter the cable, but in all probability, the number exiting and entering would not be equal.



IEMP - COMPTON CHARGING

An example will illustrate the relative effects of the photon coupling and field coupling to a cable in a cavity.

Reference 5-7 discusses the photon coupling to cables, and also included is the case of a cable of characteristic impedance of 84 Ω located .5896 cm over a metal plane illumined by photons. The equivalent transmission line driver distributed current source for this case is on the order of $I_{\rm p}=4.3 \times 10^{-11}$ ý amps/m, where ý is in rad/sec. This number was calculated on the basis of a cable in a conduit, and the source value for a cable over a ground plane will be within a factor of 5 or 6 of this value.

The corresponding SGEMP current source drive is given by

$$I_{\text{SGEMP}} = C \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \int_{0}^{h} E dt,$$

where h is the height of the wire above the ground plane and C is the capacitance per unit length. Substituting for E from the previous section,

$$E_{max} = 0.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ y d V/m}$$

d = thickness of the cylinder

we obtain:

$$I_{\text{SGEMP}} = Ch \left(.5 \times 10^{-5} \right) d \frac{3}{3t} \dot{Y} .$$

The relation between the photon current source ${\rm I}_p$ and the IEMP current source ${\rm I}_{IEMP}$ can then be written as

$$\frac{I_p}{I_{SGEMP}} = \frac{4.3 \times 10^{-11} \dot{\gamma}}{Ch (.5 \times 10^{-5}) d_{2F}^3 \dot{\gamma}}$$

For h = .5896 cm, and C = 40 x 10^{-12} farad/m and a rise time of 10^{-8} , we can get

$$\frac{I_{p}}{I_{SGEMP}} = \frac{.36}{d}$$

For this example, then, we can see that for d > .36 m, the SGEMP current source dominates the photon (IEMP) current source. Thus, it appears that as the cavity becomes larger, the SGEMP begins to dominate over the direct photon drive.

IEMP excitation of the transmission line also includes a distributed voltage source $\partial\phi/\partial t$, where ϕ is the magnetic flux per unit length linked by the transmission line and ground plane. This source was not included in the above analysis, but can be easily done if desired, using the expression for the B-fields given previously. The main point of this example is to show the approximate relationship between the effects of direct photon (IEMP) drive and SGEMP drive of cables inside a metallic enclosure.

There are many factors which determine the magnitude of undesired signals induced on a given cable by ionizing radiation. Radiation temperature, exposure level, temporal behavior and relative orientation with respect to the cable axis are significant parameters of the environment. Cable geometry, materials, exposed length and electrical configuration must also be considered in estimating the interference.

The analytical treatments are beyond the scope of these notes. They are treated in the references listed in Section V.

Synergism

In the EMP source region, the photons and EMP simultaneously illuminate the system. Since many analyses are performed on a "worst-case" basis, the question arises as to whether the effects of EMP and TREE can be treated separately or must they be considered in combination.

Four independent and reliable investigations have studied this problem at the component level (Vault at Harry Diamond Labs, Budenstein at Auburn University, Raymond at Northrop-MRC and Habing at Sandia Labs). The investigations by Vault on IC's showed no more than a factor of two (2) difference in individual environment failure levels and combined environment failure levels. Raymond also found only extremely weak evidence of synergism in IC's. Habing in a realistic environment test showed no synergism effect within a factor of two.

Budenstein performed investigations on two dimensional SOS diodes. These devices were specially fabricated to study current concentrations in back biased junctions as a function of current drive. Hot spot nucleation is a function of the current drive and junction geometry. Irradiation by gamma rays ($\dot{\gamma}$) results in the production of photocurrents (biasing the junction in the forward direction) which tends to cool the junction which results in an increase level for failure.

The net result of these studies is that no significant changes in failure levels for components occur due to synergistic effects.

At the circuit/system level, however, synergistic effects have been seen. These result from the photocurrent generation triggering conduction in the circuit/ system which in turn permit the electrical pulses to cause upset or burnout. In this case, the synergistic effects can be eliminated by limiting the photocurrents through component selection or circuit design.

The simultaneous presence of external EMP, SGEMP and IEMP must also be considered. In this case, the resulting terminal voltages and currents will be a superposition of the individual voltages and currents. This superposition can be achieved in the frequency domain by taking the Fourier transforms of the individual voltages/currents, adding them with the proper phase relationships, and taking the inverse transform. Depending on the phase relationships, constructive or destructive interference of these waveforms may take place.

3.2 EXOATMOSPHERIC ENVIRONMENT

EMP Environment

The EMP environment at satellite altitudes results principally from the high altitude burst. As discussed in Section III of the Course Notes - Third Edition, the EMP generation for the high altitude burst case (HEMP) results from Compton electron turning in the ionosphere. The EMP generated may be considered as a propagating plane wave below the ionosphere. No EMP is radiated from the ionosphere into space. The EMP arriving at a satellite (or other space system), therefore, is due to a reflection from the earth's surface or a direct line of sight propagation path from the source region to the system.



In either case, the EMP propagates through the ionosphere. Since the ionosphere is an ionized medium, the transmissivity characteristics exhibit both attenuation and phase shift which are frequency dependent. The result is the amplitude and spectrum of the HEMP is drastically modified. For this reason, EMP at satellite altitudes is often referred to as dispersed EMP (DEMP). A typical satellite EMP signal resembles an amplitude modulated swept frequency signal.





t

The maximum amplitude of the EMP electric field is of the order of ten's of volts per meter. The frequency spectrum is approximately 15 MHz to 250 MHz. This environment is relatively benign as compared to the HEMP experienced below the ionosphere or the SREMP. It must, however, be considered as an interference source since the impact of any EM source is highly dependent on the irradiated systems characteristics.

Direct Radiation Interaction

- 52 ····

The System Generated Electromagnetic Pulse (SGEMP) effects are of high concern in the case of space systems. As discussed previously, in cases where the system is located on the surface or near the surface of the earth, there is enough attenuation of x-rays by the atmosphere between the burst and system to reduce their significance and gamma rays are the dominant source. This condition is true even though the gamma ray output is a much smaller percentage of the weapon output than the x-rays. This is because gamma rays have photon energies of about 1 MeV as compared to 1 keV for thermal x-rays and therefore their absorption length is much longer than x-rays (several hundred times larger in air).

In the case of satellites (and other space vehicles) where the system and the nuclear burst are above the atmosphere, the x-rays are not attenuated. They therefore become the dominant source for SGEMP even though their energy is lower. This is because they make up a large fraction of the weapon output and also because the electron yield (photoelectrons) for emission from material/vacuum interfaces is usually largest at photon energies of a few keV.

The SGEMP problem was qualitatively discussed in the section entitled "Direct Radiation Interaction" and will not be repeated here. It should be remembered however, that the dominant source is different (x-rays) and therefore, SGEMP is a highly significant problem to satellites and space systems.

3.3 MHD EMP GENERATION

The magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) EMP is generated by hydrodynamic motions in the ionosphere, caused by a high-altitude nuclear explosion. Perturbations in the geomagnetic field are induced, and the time variation of the magnetic field generates electric fields. These electric fields, as observed at the earth s surface, are weak (tens of volts per kilometer), but they occur over long times (hundreds of seconds). The following figure compares the MHD EMP electric field amplitude and time history to that of other types of EMP. Like high altitude EMP, MHD EMP covers large geographical areas (several hundreds of kilometers). Because of the long time history, these fields contain only extremely low frequency components and in many situations can be considered dc. Hence, MHD EMP fields are of possible significance only for long electrical lines (> 10 km) such as long communication lines.



TIME DURATION AND STRENGTH OF MHD EMP AS COMPARED TO CTHER HIGH ALDITUDE EMP EFFECTS

The net effect is a change in the electromagnetic field due to the earth's magnetic field being pushed out.

The E-region of the ionosphere below the burst is also indicated in the figure. This region extends roughly from 80 km to 140 km, depending upon the solar activity. The E-region conductivity is enhanced by ultraviolet, x-ray and beta radiation from the explosion, and hence the region is highly conducting. This conductivity effectively blocks observers on the ground from seeing the magnetic field variations occuring above it until deionization chemistry sufficiently reduces the E-region conductivity (on the order of 50 to 100 seconds). However, B-field variations due to motion of the E-region itself can be seen by ground observers at this time.



The theory of the MHD EMP is not yet totally understood. However, many of the mechanisms which contribute to MHD EMP in various degrees have been hypothesized. These phenomena include the rising fireball or magnetic bubble model, atmospheric motion, and beta tube currents.

Magnetic Bubble

The magnetic bubble model illustrates many of the basic ideas of MHD EMP. A nuclear burst will ionize the region of air surrounding it. This highly ionized region will also be heated and thus rise and expand as time progresses, according to the laws of hydrodynamics. Because it is highly conducting, this "bubble" will also force out any nearby geomagnetic field lines as it expands. One way to picture this is to imagine that the magnetic field lines are frozen in the plasma. Then, as the fireball expands, the lines get pushed out. A simple calculational model is thus a perfectly conducting sphere with a time varying radius immersed in the earth's magnetic field.

EXPANDING AND RISING FIREBALL OF THE MAGNETIC "BUBBLE" MODEL

Since this E-region is highly conducting it has the effect of partially or totally freezing the magnetic field lines within the plasma. Hence, any motion by this region - other than parallel to the magnetic lines - will cause B-field vari-The two basic types of motion in ations. this region are thought to be atmospheric heave and shock wave propagation. Heave is the rising of large portions of the atmosphere due to heating by the x-rav and UV radiation. The shock waves are both the compressional hydrodynamical type (sound) and the transverse magneto-hydrodynamical type (ALFVEN). The latter, which can have larger velocities, carry the first shock information to the lower atmosphere.

Beta Tube Currents

Another candidate source for the MHD EMP is the beta patch current drive by fireball polarization fields. This current occurs only when the ambient magnetic field is tilted away from normal to the earth. In such cases the rapid fireball rise has a component of velocity perpen-dicular to the magnetic field. Since a conductor is crossing the field lines the current tends to flow through the fireball to create a restraining force opposing this plasma motion. The extent to which this current flows is governed by the resistive properties of the plasma outside the fireball. If there is no return path for this current, a sufficiently large polarization field is set up such that the E x B drift velocity equals the component of the fireball velocity perpendicular to the geomagnetic field. The process is analogous to that which occurs in an ordinary generator in which the armature conductors move across field lines set up by stationary coil windings.

The beta patch is a layer of Dregion ionization produced by delayed betas from radioactive fission fragments contained in the high altitude (h > 100km) debris clouds. At such high alti-tudes scattering by air particles is negligible and hence, the motion of the betas is strongly influenced by the geo-magnetic field. The betas spiral down the field lines into the atmosphere where they deposit their energy in ionizing collisions with air molecules. Thus, the beta patch and tube is just a projection of the debris cloud along geomagnetic field lines into the D- region. Since this projection is highly conducting it gives a conducting path for the currents to flow which were generated by the mechanism outlined in the previous paragraph, that is the rising fireball cutting the geomagnetic field lines. It is this current flowing in the beta tube which is thought to be a major source of MHD EMP fields.



GEOMETRY OF BETA PATCH AND TUBE FORMATION

The three mechanisms thought to contribute to the MHD EMP which have been briefly described all depend on the orientation of the earth's geomagnetic field lines in the burst region. In the U.S. the geomagnetic field is dominantly vertical, whereas in the Pacific it is dominantly horizontal. Thus, in the U.S. the atmospheric heave and beta tube currents would probably be very small compared to the rising and expanding of the fireball induced field changes. However, in the Pacific the opposite is likely to be the case.

SECTION IV

EMP INTERACTION AND COUPLING ANALYSIS

Assessment of the effects of the EMP environments discussed previously on a system requires an understanding of how the environment interacts with the system and the extent of this interaction. Section IV of the Third Edition of the Course Notes discussed the classical free field electromagnetic interaction and coupling analysis. The discussion in this section will supplement the basic course notes in that it will discuss the additional considerations necessary to treat the close-in region, the MHD EMP, and the space problem.

The material presented is somewhat simplified to provide insight into the problem in keeping with the goal of the course to provide EMP awareness. More details can be obtained by refering to the papers and reports listed in the bibliography.

4.1 SOURCE REGION EMP COUPLING

The classical EMP coupling problem involves electromagnetic fields which are generated in one part of space and propagate through an intervening medium to a system located in another part of space. The problem can be solved in three independent steps: EMP generation, propagation, and system coupling. The "source region" or "close-in" EMP problem, in its most extreme form, differs from the classical coupling problem in many ways, the most important of which is that the system itself cannot be excluded from the environment calculation.

When a system is in the EMP source region or deposition region of a nuclear detonation, i.e., close-in, the EMP environment interacts with the system. The Compton and/or photoelectric currents which drive the incident electromagnetic field become scattered or absorbed by the system and the incident gamma rays and x-rays produce Compton electrons and photoelectrons by interacting with the system and producing additional currents that would not exist if the system were not present. In addition, the Compton and photoelectric electrons ionize the atmosphere, producing secondary currents, thus surrounding the system with a time varying plasma. This means that EMP varying plasma. vulnerability studies of in-flight missiles that must traverse the high-altitude source region and ground based systems located in the source region of surface or near-surface bursts require analysis techniques above and beyond those used to study free field EMP coupling problems in the radiated region.

Thus, in the most extreme case, corresponding to regions of large air conductivity, it is imperative that the systems analyst have the capability to model both the system and the physics of EMP generation. The capability to understand the physics is important in modeling most close-in coupling problems, even if the EMP environment problem is not to be solved simultaneously with the coupling problem.

It should be noted that even if a system is not expected to survive in high overpressure regions, its response to EMP in those regions can be an important factor to consider. A long transmission line, for example, will be subjected to EMP before the shock wave reaches and destroys it. A current pulse will then flow along the transmission line to equipment located far from the burst, with the potential to cause permanent damage to electronic components.

These two cases are presented pictorially in the following figure. The strategic missile system is totally within the source region. Therefore, the interaction of the entire system with the gamma rays, Compton electrons, the electromagnetic fields and the effects of time varying air conductivity must be considered.

In the case of the long transmission lines, one user terminal is located within the gamma ray and Compton electron regions, a second user terminal is located within the conducting boundary, and the third terminal depicted is far removed from the burst point in the radiated EMP region. For the first terminal the effects of the electromagnetic fields, the conduction current, the Compton current and SGEMP must be considered. Even if this terminal is not designed to survive the close-in environment these interactions will result in a conducted transient at the other terminals. The second terminal will have induced currents due to the EM field and the conduction current due to the time varying air conductivity. The third terminal will only have the effects of the classical EMP coupling problem plus the currents conducted from the other two terminals along the transmission line.

These two cases exemplify the type of burst/system location considerations associated with source-region EMP interaction.



CLOSE IN SYSTEM INTERACTION

EMP Environment Interaction

A system undergoes three basic types of electromagnetic interaction with its environment. First there is coupling with the <u>electromagnetic</u> fields themselves. When this is the dominant mechanism for interaction, we have the classical "antenna" type problem. This is the case outside the EMP source region where the EMP is a radiated field.

The second type of interaction is through the conductivity of the medium in which the system is immersed. The conductivity interaction is obviously not independent of the electric field interaction, since there would be no conduction current without an electric field. However, it is listed separately because of the significant changes which can occur in a system's response when the medium is conducting (either naturally conducting or ionized by weapon radiation). Classical coupling problems can consider systems in a medium of constant conductivity. The close-in coupling problem is distinguished by the fact that the conductivity of the medium (air) is time dependent and electric field dependent. Therefore, the coupling problem is nonlinear.

The concept of conductivity is useful only at low altitudes, where electron collisions are frequent enough to control the electrons motion, i.e., when its momentum can be ignored. In this case, the electron's velocity is proportional to the instantaneous value of the electric field. When the air density is

lower, such as in the ionosphere, the conduction electrons gain a significant amount of momentum and energy between collisions and they cannot respond immedi-ately to changes in the electric field. The same problem occurs in radiowave propagation. There, however, less energy is transferred from the fields because the fields are much smaller than EMP fields in the close-in region and therefore, the collision frequency can be considered independent of field strength. The conductivity (or equivalently, the dielectric constant) can be treated as a complex function of frequency. The elec-tron current lags behind the fields by an amount which depends upon signal frequency. Transient problems can be solved under these conditions by Fourier transforming the pulse into the frequency This is not always possible with domain. EMP problems, because the dependence of the collision frequencies upon the total electric field prevents the decomposition of the transient into a spectrum of frequencies which can be treated independently.

The third type of system interaction is the <u>direct interaction</u> of the system with the nuclear radiation emitted by the burst (x-ray, gamma ray, neutron) and with the high energy Compton and photoelectric currents generated in the air and ground around the system. The initial nuclear radiation can produce additional interior fields (SGEMP) and TRE effects through interaction of the radiation with electronic components. Since a system usually employs conducting structures or elements (shelters, cables, etc.), Compton and photoelectric currents can be collected by the system resulting in enhanced total skin currents.

These three types of interaction affect Maxwell's equations. Essentially, they appear as three different driver current terms: displacement, conduction and radiation driver current. The simplicity of the equations conceals the difficulty of their solution in practical cases.

∇ x Ē = -μĦ

 $\nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{\dot{H}} = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{\dot{E}} + \sigma\mathbf{\dot{E}} + \mathbf{\dot{J}}_{c}$

Displace- Conduc- Compton ment tion Current Current Current Sufficient Additional Curren

for Free Space Coupling Additional Current Terms Necessary for Close-in Coupling

Maxwell's Equations Showing Terms Necessary for Free Space Coupling and Close-in Coupling.

Coupling to Systems

In order to understand the nature of these interactions, consider the problem of the interaction of an electrically small vertical monopole antenna at various distances from a nuclear burst. This discussion will be aided by the use of electric circuit concepts and analogs. These concepts are familiar to all electrical engineers and are therefore useful for illustration purposes. In many cases they are also useful for systems response It must be emphasized, calculations. however, that a great deal of experience and knowledge is required in order to develop and utilize these circuit analogs effectively. The physics of the problem is much more complicated than that which is ordinarily encountered in an engineer's education and sufficient training cannot be obtained from a short course such as this. The models developed here are for aiding in the visualization of the inter-actions involved. They in no way constitute a recipe for solving realistic closein coupling problems.

Effects of Time Varying Air Conductivity

The problem of coupling to a dipole or monopole is discussed in Section 4.4 of the basic Course Notes for the "free space" case. The voltages and currents developed across a load can be calculated through the use of a Norton or Thevenin equivalent circuit. A simplified Thevenin equivalent circuit f^{-1} a monopole is shown in the figure. The antenna impedance is shown as capacitive (C_A) and the open circuit voltage (V_{OC}) is proportional to the tangential component of the electric field and the effective length (l_e) of the antenna. For an electrically short antenna, the simple antenna capacitance is a good approximation, and the effective length for a monopole over a perfectly conducting ground plane is equal to the physical length. It should be noted for non-electrically-short antennas the effective length and impedance are The complex functions (see Section 4.4). discussion that follows assumes an electrically short antenna.

Beginning with the EMP source region coupling problem which most resembles the free-space situation, consider the antenna to be located in the outer region of the gamma deposition region where the peak air conductivity is low, but not negligible, i.e., skin depths in the plasma are much greater than the antenna dimensions, and radiation levels in the vicinity of the antenna are too low tc generate significant local electric fields or to cause significant charging of the antenna through direct interaction. The antenna will interact with the electromagnetic



SIMPLIFIED THEVENIN EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT FOR A MONOPOLE ANTENNA

fields generated between the burst and the antenna. The reaction of the antenna is modified from the free space case by the time-dependent conductivity.

The term "skin depth" can only be defined in terms of frequency. Since time dependent transients are involved, it is necessary to define a time dependent quantity which will serve the same general purpose as skin depth, i.e., give some idea of how far fields penetrate into the plasma before they are attenuated. Beyond this distance, the plasma does not react to the antenna and the antenna does not see fields generated in the plasma. Such a characteristic distance depends upon the time waveform of the transient. However, reasonable estimates can be made using the following formula for the characteristic distance [p(t)]:

$$p(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\mu\sigma(t)}}$$
 (meters)

where

- σ is the conductivity of the medium (air), mho/m
- μ is the permeability ($\mu = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ henry/m for free space

t = time

ω is angular frequency (radians/sec). This is simply the skin depth formula with ω , the frequency (radians/second), replaced by l/t. It is reasonably accurate for the penetration distance of a step function field in a medium of constant conductivity. For this discussion, a time dependent conductivity has been allowed in the formulation.

The electric fields associated with the capacitance of the antenna are concentrated within a distance on the order of the antenna dimensions. When p(t) is large compared to the antenna dimensions, its capacitance will change negligibly, but a "leakage" resistance will be introduced across it. The equivalent circuit for an antenna on the fringes of the deposition region is then the same as before, except that a time dependent leakage resistance appears across the free space capacitance C_A . This resistance, $R_p(t)$ can be estimated from the conductivity and antenna capacitance through the relation

$$R_{p}C_{A} = \epsilon_{0}/\sigma$$

where ε_0 is the permittivity of free space (8.854 x 10^{-12} farad/m). This relation assumes that any effects associated with the flow of current through the surface of the antenna can be ignored, and this is not always a good assumption. In reality, the effective resistance can be much larger and can even vary with the direction of current flow.

Next consider the case where the antenna is closer to the burst and that p(t) is on the order of the antenna dimensions. In this case, the field lines corresponding to the capacitance of the monopole, i.e., the "static" fields associated with the charge distribution on the antenna, are distorted by the presence of the plasma. When p(t) is small, the antenna capacitance then changes from one that represents coupling between the antenna and its image to one which repre-sents coupling between it and the plasma. This capacitance can be modeled as the coupling between the antenna and a metallic cylinder a characteristic distance away. The distance p(t) can be used as a first approximation but it should be remembered that the characteristic distance will depend on time derivatives of the fields and on their magnitudes (through the field dependence of the conductivity). The figure illustrates this concept. The cylinder to which the field lines attach is filled with the conduct-ing plasma. The equation relating R_{p} , C_{A} and media parameters remains valid. However, the capacitance is now time dependent, i.e., $C_A = C_A(t)$, because the effective dimensions of the cylinder vary with time.



SIMPLIFIED THEVENIN EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT FOR A MONOPOLE IN REGION OF TIME VARYING CONDUCTIVITY



SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF MUNOPOLE ANTENNA CAPACITANCE

An estimate of the time dependent capacitance of the monopole can be made as follows. Assume a monopole with height, h, and radius, a, where a << h. As shown in the figure, the plasma causes some of the electrical field lines that were linked to the image of the antenna in the ground to be linked to the plasma. A return path occurs from the image plasma to the image antenna. For this discussion, assume that all field lines go radially into the plasma, which is represented as a coaxial cylinder a distance p(t) away. This distance must be small compared to h or else the free space capacitance will dominate, i.e., most field lines will connect with the image in the ground.

Assuming a coaxial cylinder of length 2h, the antenna capacitance in the presence of a plasma is given by

$$C_{A}(t) = \frac{4\pi\varepsilon_{o}h}{\ln[p(t)/a]}$$

The capacitance of a thin monopole over a ground plane (a << h) is approximately

$$C_{\rm m} = C_{\rm A}(\text{free space}) = \frac{4\pi\varepsilon_{\rm o}h}{\ln(2h/a)}$$

Thus, when p(t) exceeds 2h, the free space capacitance must be used.

The calculation of $\sigma(t)$ is fairly complicated in general, since electric field dependent quantities are involved. Estimates are best obtained from EMP environment handbooks. It should be noted that radiation levels, as a function of distance from the burst and weapon yield, do not scale in the same way as overpressure levels. For the same overpressure level, a small yield burst provides a greater radiation level than a larger yield burst.

Effects of Direct Interaction

In regions of high conductivity the antenna will also be subject to Compton currents and gamma radiation, as previously mentioned. The antenna can then collect charge directly from its environment. This can be the main driver of currents through the load. The amount of charge collected will depend upon how transparent the system is to gamma rays, i.e., how well gamma radiation penetrates without attenuation. An antenna which stops all radiation (opaque) will simply collect all the Compton current which As it charges up, it will strikes it. begin to deflect the Compton electrons away from it. If the antenna is transparent the gamma rays cause a Compton current to flow off of the back which reduces the net charge caused by the col-lection of Compton current in the front.

The figure illustrates a circuit analog of the antenna problem just described. The current drive, i_c , appears across the antenna capacitance/leakage resistance elements. As an upper limit, i_c can be estimated by taking the Compton current density (amp/m^2) obtained from an EMP environment calculation and multiplying it by the cross sectional area of the system. Comparing this with the conduction current (σE) will indicate whether the direct interaction drive could be important.



SIMPLIFIED THEVENIN EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT FOR A MONOPOLE INCLUDING COMPTON CURRENT SOURCE

Application of Circuit Modeling Technique

The circuit modeling technique, illustrated here, is one which is often used to solve close-in coupling problems. It requires a great deal of experience to use and even then it is possible to leave out some part of the physics or to model it badly. Computer codes are available to solve certain types of problems. Many problems require a three dimensional cal-culation, even if the system has cylindrical symmetry and would require only a two dimensional calculation for the free space scattering problem. This is because the calculation of the close-in scattering problem requires currents and conductivity to be calculated in the air around the system. These sources develop behind a plane wavefront of gamma rays which sweeps across the system. The problem is two dimensional only if the wavefront sweeps down along the axis of the cylinder. large amount of storage is required because of the number of quantities that must be computed and the number of posi-tions at which they must be computed. In order to calculate a field dependent cur-rent, "particles" must be followed. These represent groups of electrons and

obey the electrons equation of motion in the presence of electric and magnetic fields. At each time step the net motion of the particles in a computational cell is used to compute the Compton current. While these codes are complicated and limited in the amount of detail they can incorporate into the system model, they do include all of the physics.

4.2 EMP COUPLING AT SATELLITE ALTITUDES

As discussed in Section 3.2 of this supplement, the EMP at satellite altitudes is a propagated EM wave whose characteristics have been modified due to propagation through an ionized media. Also, in some instances, the field characteristics are modified by the reflection characteristics of the earth. Both effects are frequency dependent. The earth reflection coefficient is, in addition, a function of the angle of arrival and the polarization of the incident wave, and the conductivity and permeability of the earth. The transmission through the ionized media is also a function of the degree of ionization.

These effects both attenuate and phase shift the incident signal. The result is a considerable distortion of the EMP signal. The signal is, however, still a propagating EM wave.

Since the wave in the space region is a propagating wave, the coupling considerations are the same as for any propagating EM wave as discussed in Section 4.4 of the Third Edition. The primary difference is the definition of the fields as a function of time, i.e., calculating E(t) and H(t) in the vicinity of the system of interest.

As stated previously, the EMP signal is a low amplitude ringing signal with predominantly high frequencies. Unless the system of interest shows a strong response, such as a system designed to operate at one or more of these frequencies and has deliberate resonant antennas, the effects of the EMP are rather weak. The predominant threat to space vehicles (satellites, RV's, etc.) is the x-rays emitted by the nuclear detonation. This is in essence the SGEMP problem. A discussion of the SGEMP coupling problem is beyond the scope of this document but can be found in the references cited.

4.3 MHD EMP COUPLING

The spectral content of the magnetic and electric fields produced by MHD EMP is in the near dc to a few Hertz frequency range. Because of this low frequency and the small amplitudes of these fields only systems employing long electrical conductors are of concern in studying coupling effects of MHD EMP. Also, low frequency fields have very large penetration depths in both water and soil. Hence, if long buried cables or submarine cables are present under the burst regions it is likely that substantial voltages will be induced between their underground conductors and ground. That is, the ground or water will not serve as a protection device as it does against high altitude EMP. If the induced voltages become high enough, typical cable systems will shut themselves down.

.

Typical system configurations of concern with regard to MHD EMP coupling are depicted pictorially in the following figure.



MHD EMP COUPLING

As an example of how MHD EMP coupling to long cables may be analyzed, coupling to a long undersea cable will be discussed. The configuration which will be studied is shown in the figure. Assume that an insulated wire lies on the ocean bottom, connecting points A and C. Both A and C will normally be on an island or continent, close to the shoreline. Assume that the wire is grounded at point C, but not at point A. Hence, the voltage, V_{AB}, between the end of the wire A and ground B is the voltage of interest.

To calculate this voltage, it is necessary to know the value of the electric field in the absence of the wire along the ocean bottom. If the horizontal H field at the surface of the ocean can be estimated or measured, then the fields within the ocean, and particularly at the



SUBMARINE CABLE CONFIGURATION

ocean floor, can be found by solving Maxwell's equations. The equations of interest will not be written here. However, basically what happens is that the magnetic field diffuses into the conducting sea and induces an electric field in the water. This electric field then couples to the cable as described below.



STRATIFIED OCEAN EARTH MODEL

Since the cable is assumed to be on the ocean floor it is necessary to know the properties of the ocean floor as well as those of the ocean. Shown is a typical stratified ocean-earth model which could be used to determine the induced E fields at the ocean's floor.

The following presents the magnitude of the electric fields at the ocean bottom for different ocean depths of the stratified model when the surface H field magnitude is one ampere per meter. These results were obtained by solving the diffusion equation in the presence of stratified media. As would be expected the fields attenuate as a function of depth and frequency.



MAGNITUDE OF ELECTRIC FIELDS AT THE OCEAN BOTTOM FOR DIFFERENT OCEAN DEPTHS WHEN THE SUFFACE H FIELD MAG-NITUDE IS ONE AMPERE PER METER

To determine the electric field at the bottom of the ocean due to the MHD EMP, one simply multiplies the spectrum of the surface MHD EMP H field in amperes per meter-Hertz times the desired spectral curve in the figure.

Once the electric field $\vec{E}_{\rm b}$ in the absence of the wire at the bottom of the ocean is known then the loop potential, VAB, can be determined This is achieved by applying Faraday's law of induction to the closed loop defined as follows: start at A, go along the wire surface (incide the insulation) to the point C, back to the point B along the ocean bottom (just outside the insulation), and then across the gap from B to A. Since this loop contains negligible magnetic flux the intergral of the electric field around the loop will vanish. Si the electric field is zero at the wire Since surface the integral along the wire surface will vanish also. Therefore, the only remaining terms are

$$V_{AB} = \int_{C}^{B} \vec{E}_{b} \cdot d1$$

Thus it is the integral of $\vec{E}_{\rm b}$ along the ocean bottom (path of the cable) that determines the open circuit voltage V_{AB}.

If the cable is grounded at both ends a current, I = V_{AB}/R , will flow through the wire, where R is the resistance of the wire. If neither end of the wire is grounded then the integral of the field along the bottom will equal the difference in voltage from wire to ground at the two ends.

The approximate induced voltage on a 100 km line is on the order of 1 kV. This illustrates that unless very long conductors are employed in a system, the voltage induced due to MHD EMP is much less than would be experienced due to other types of EMP.

REFERENCES

- Hill, J.R. and R.W. Holland, "POST3D: A Three-Dimensional Self-Consistent Close-In EMP Coupling Code," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-R-40, March 1975.
- Longley, H.J. and C.L. Longmire, "Development of the GLANC EMP Code," Los Alamos Nuclear Corporation, LANC-R-7, December 1973.
- Fitzwilson, R.L. J. Bernstein and T.E. Alston, "Ra on-Induced Currents in Shielded iconductor and Semi-Rigid Cables," IEEE Trans. Nuclear Science, NS-21, 1974, p. 276.
- Chodorow, A.M. and J.R. Hill, "Radiation-Induced Currents in Coaxial Transmission Lines," Air Force Weapons Laboratory, AFWL-TR-74-334, September 1975.
- Bernstein, M.J., "Radiation-Induced Currents in Subminiature Coaxial Cables," IEEE Trans. Nuclear Science, NS-20, 1973, p. 58.
- "Cylindrical Geometry Electron Emission Replacement Current Program Interim User's Manual." Rockwell International, C74-1141/201, December 1974.
- Chodorow, A.M., "Photon Excitation of Multiconductor Transmission Lines," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-R-55, September 1975.

- Dellin, T.A. and C.J. MacCallum, "A Handbook of Photo-Compton Current Data," Sandia Laboratories, SCL-RR-720086, December 1972.
- Dellin, T.A. and C.J. MacCallum, "QUICKE2: A One-Dimensional Code for Calculating Bulk and Vacuum Emitted Photo-Compton Currents," Sandia Laboratories, SLL-74-0218, April 1974.
- Dellin, T.A. and C.J. MacCallum, "Analytical Prediction of Photo-Compton Emission Currents," IEEE Trans. Nuclear Science, NS-20, p. 91, 1973.
- Merewether, D.E. and T.F. Ezell, "The Interaction of Cylindrical Posts and Radiation-Induced Electric Field Pulses in Ionized Media," IEEE Trans. Nuclear Science, NS-21, No. 5, October 1974.
- Carron, N.J., "An Assessment of X-Ray Produced EMP and SGEMP Effects on Missiles at Altitudes of 50-100 km," Mission Research Corporation, MRC-R-197, June 1975.
- Baum, C., "Unsaturated Compton Current and Space-Charge Fields in Evacuated Cavities," Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Theoretical Note 5, January 1965.
- Merewether, D.E. and W.A. Radasky, "Nonlinear Electromagnetic Fields Within a Cylindrical Cavity Excited by Ionizing Radiation," IEEE Trans. Nuclear Science, NS-21, No. 1, February 1974.
- Merewether, D.E., "A Numerical Solution for the Response of a Strip Transmission Line Over a Ground Plane Excited by Ionizing Radiation," IEEE Trans. Nuclear Science, NS-18, No. 5, August 1971.
- Mangan, D.L., "Simulating the EM Plane Wave Response of a Satellite Cable Harness: Experiment and Theory," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-R-59, October 1975.
- Taylor, C.D., R.S. Satterwhite and C.W. Harrison, Jr., "The Response of a Terminated Two-Wire Transmission Line Excited by a Nonuniform Electromagnetic Field," IEEE Trans. Antenna Propagation, AP-13, November 1965, pp. 987-989.
- Hill, J.F. and M.R. Wilson, "Buried Cable Transmission Line Parameters: A Comparison of Two Theoretical Models," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-N-5, March 1973.

Merewether, D.E. and T.F. Ezell, "The Interaction of Cylindrical Posts and Radiation Induced Electric Field Pulses in Ionized Media," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-R-15, September 1973.

Hill, J.R., "Time Domain Estimates of the Currents Induced on a Powerline in the Close-In Region," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-N-15, February 1974.

- Ezell, T.F. "Penetration C Code Description: Program 'Sigei'," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-N-16, February 1974.
- Ezell, T.F., "IEMP: Program to Calculate Fields Inside the Launch Support Building," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-N-18, April 1974.

Perala, R.A., L.D. Scott and T.F. Ezell, "Comparison of Calculated and Measured Fields and Cable Currents in a Radiation-Driven Cylinder," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-R-20, December 1973.

Hill, J.R. and M.R. Wilson, "Non-Linear Self-Consistent Calculations of Radiation Induced Cylinder Skin Currents," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-N-22, May 1974. Wilson, M and T. Baker, "Calculations of Radiation Induced Charge Transfer in Coaxial Cables," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-N-25, August 1974.

. .

· . .

- Holland, R.W., "EMP Pickup by Loop Antennas in a Conductive Medium," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-R-30, October 1974.
- Chodorow, A. and J.R. Hill, "Parameter Studies of Radiation Induced Charge Transfer in Coaxial Cables," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-R-31, October 1974.
- Chodorow, A., J.R. Hill and P. Trybus, "Transmission Line and Photo-Conductivity Effects in Coaxial Cables Exposed to Ionizing Radiation," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-R-33, November 1974.
- Chodorow, A. and J.R. Hill, "Radiation-Induced Currents in Coaxial Transmission Lines," Mission Research Corporation, AMRC-R-41, November 1974.
- Longmire, C.L., V.A.J. van Lint, D.F. Higgins and R. Stettner, "Satellite SGEMP," Mission Research Corporation, MRC-R-338, October 1977, to be published.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute ATTN: ADLB

Assistant Secretary of Defense Program Analysis & Evaluation ATTN: M. Doffredo

Assistant to the Secretary of Defense Atomic Energy ATTN: Executive Assistant

Commander-in-Chief U.S. European Command ATTN: ECJ6-OP

Commander-in-Chief, Pacific ATTN: J-35, Box 13

Defense Advanced Rsch. Proj. Agency ATTN: TIO

Defense Civil Preparedness Agency ATTN: Plans & Operations (EO) ATTN: Hazard Eval. & Vul. Red. Div., G. Sisson ATTN: Plans & Operations (SE)

Defense Communications Agency ATTN: Code C313 ATTN: Code 312

Defense Communications Engineer Center ATTN: Code R410, R. Craighill ATTN: Code R720, C. Stansberry ATTN: Code R400 ATTN: Code R123

Defense Technical Information Center 12 cy ATTN: DD

Defense Electronic Supply Center ATTN: DESC-EQ ATTN: DESC-ECS

Defense Intelligence Agency ATTN: RDS-3A ATTN: DB-4C, E. O'Farrell ATTN: RDS-4B

Defense Nuclear Agency ATTN: STVL ATTN: RATN

- ATTN: DDST 2 cy ATTN: RAEV 4 cy ATTN: TITL
- Field Command

Defense Nuclear Agency ATTN: FCLMC ATTN: FCPR

Field Command Defense Nuclear Agency Livermore Division ATTN: FCPRL

2

. .

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (Continued)

Interservice Nuclear Weapons School ATTN: TTV

Joint Chiefs of Staff ATTN: J-3

- Joint Strat. Tgt. Planning Staff ATTN: NRI-STINFO Library ATTN: JSAS ATTN: JPST ATTN: JLTW-2
- Joint Tactical Communications Ofc. ATTN: Technical Document

National Communications System ATTN: NCS-TS, D. Bodson

National Security Agency ATTN: TDL ATTN: S-232, D. Vincent ATTN: R-52, O. Van Gunten

Undersecretary of Defense for Rsch. & Engrg. ATTN: Strategic & Space Systems (OS)

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

BMD Advanced Technology Center Department of the Army 11 cy ATTN: ATC-T

BMD Systems Command Department of the Army ATTN: BMDSC-AOLIB ATTN: BMDSC-HW, R. DeKalb

Chief of Engineers Department of the Army ATTN: DAEN-MCE-D, H. McCauley

Construction Engineering Rsch. Lab. Department of the Army ATTN: R. McCormick

Deputy Chief of Staff for Rsch. Dev. & Acq. Department of the Army ATTN: DAMA-CSS-N, N. Barron

Electronics Tech. & Devices Lab. U.S. Army Electronics R&D Command ATTN: DRDCQ-TD, T. Preiffer ATTN: DRDCO-COM-ME, G. Gaule ATTN: DELCS-K, A. Cohen ATTN: DELSD-L, W. Werk ATTN: DELSD-L, W. Werk ATTN: DELET-IR, E. Hunter ATTN: DRDCO-COM-ME, M. Pomerantz ATTN: DELET-ER, H. Bomke

Fort Huachuca Department of the Army ATTN: CCH-PCA-TR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (Continued)

Harry Diamo	nd Laboratories
Department	of the Army
ATTN	DELHD-N-EM-C
ATTN	DELHD-N-EMB
ATTN	DELHD-N-TD
ATTN	DELHD-N-EME
ATTN	DELHD-I-TL
ATTN	DELHD-N-EMD
ATTN	DELHD-N-RBA
ATTN	DELHD-N-P
ATTN	DELHD-N-TF
ATTN	DELHD-N EM, Chief EME Lab.
ATTN	DELHD-N-EMC
ATTN	DELHD-N-EMA
ATTN	DELHD-N-RCC
ALLN	DELHD-N-RB
ATTN	DELHD-RBH
2 cy ATTN	DELHD-N-RBC

Multi Service Communications Systems Department of the Army ATTN: DRCPM-MSCS-APB, M. Francis

Pershing System Department of the Army ATTN: DRCPM-PE/J. Pettit

- U.S. Army Armor Center ATTN: Technical Library
- U.S. Army Ballistic Research Labs ATTN: DRDAR-BLV ATTN: DRDAR-BLB, W. Van Antwerp ATTN: DRDAR-BLE
- U.S. Army Comm.-Elec. Engrg. Instal. Agency ATTN: CCC-CED-SES

U.S. Army Communications Command ATTN: CC-ENGR ATTN: CC-OPS-PD ATTN: CC-LOG-LEO ATTN: ATSI-CD-MD

- ATTN: CC-OPS-OS
- ATTN: CC-OPS-SM, J. King ATTN: CC-OC-SAP
- ATTN: CC-FD-M, L. Cork
- U.S. Army Communications Sys. Agency ATTN: CCM-RD-T, S. Krevsky ATTN: CCM-RD-T, CCM-AD-SV
- U.S. Army Electronics Rsch. & Dev. Command ATTN: DRDCO-SEI ATTN: DRCPM-ATC
- U.S. Army Engineer Div., Huntsville ATTN: HNDED-SR
- U.S. Army Intel. Threat Analysis Detachment ATTN: Admin. Officer
- U.S. Army Intelligence & Sec. Cmd. ATTN: Technical Library ATTN: Tech. Info. Fac.

Ł

. . . .

U.S. Army Materiel Sys. Analysis Activity ATIN: DRXSY-CC, D. Barthel ATIN: DRYSY-CC ATIN: DRXSY-PO

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (Continued)

U.S. Army Missile R&D Command ATTN: DRSI-RGP, V. Ruwe ATTN: DRCPM-PE-EG, W. Johnson ATTN: DRCPM-PE-EA, W. Wagner DRDMI-TBD ATTN: ATTN: DRCPM-LCEV, H. Henriksen ATTN: DRDMI-EAA U.S. Army Mobility Equip. R&D Cmd. ATTN: DRDME-EPAT, R. Brantly, Jr. ATTN: DRDME-ZN, D. Dinger ATTN: DRDME-EM, F. Good ATTN: DRDME-E, J. Bond, Jr. U.S. Army Natick Rsch. & Dev. Cmd. ATTN: DRDNA-WR, T. Martín U.S. Army Night Vision Laboratory ATTN: DRSEL-NV-SD, A. Parker U.S. Army Nuclear & Chemical Agency ATTN: MONA-WE U.A. Army Tank Automotive R&D Command ATTN: DRDTA-RH, J. Ryan U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Cmd. ATTN: DRSTE-FA ATTN: DRSTE-EL ATTN: DRSTE-NB, R. Galasso U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Cmd. ATTN: ATORI-OP-SD ATTN: ATORI-OP-SW White Sands Missile Range ATTN: STEWS-TE-AN, A. De La Paz ATTN: STEWS-TE-AN, J. Okuma XM-1 Tank System Department of the Army ATTN: DRCPM-GCM-SW, L. Wolcott DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: AIR 350F ATTN: AIR 350F, H. Hart Naval Coastal Systems Laboratory ATTN: Code 771, E. Parker Naval Construction Battalion Center ATTN: Code L31 ATTN: Code L08A Naval Electronic Systems Command ATTN: PME-117-20 ATTN: PME-117-2013, G. Burnhart ATTN: Code 51032, D. O'Bryhim ATTN: PME-117-21 ATTN: PME-117-21 ATTN: Code 51024, C. Neill ATTN PME-117-224, J. McCormick ATTN Code 51024, W. Roberts ATTN: PME-117-20, R. Lefande ATTN: Code 51034, T. Hennings

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (Continued) Naval Intelligence Support Ctr. ATTN: NISC-45 ATTN: Code 41 Naval Ocean Systems Center ATTN: Code 8123, S. Lichtman ATTN: Code 08, J. Rockway ATTN: Code 812, H. Wong ATTN: Code 54, C. Fletcher ATTN: Code 7309, R. Greenwell Naval Ordnance Station ATTN: Standardization Division Naval Postgraduate School ATTN: Code 1424 Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Code 6624 ATTN: Code 6750 ATTN: Code 6701, J. Brown ATTN: Code 6623, R. Statler ATTN: Code 2627, D. Folen ATTN: Code 1434, E. Brancato Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: SEA-06J, R. Lane Naval Ship Engineering Center ATTN: Code 6174D2, E. Duffy Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Code F32, E. Rathbun ATTN: Code R14 ATTN: Code F304, R. Haislmair ATTN: Code R40 Code F30 ATTN: ATTN: Code R43, L. Libelo Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Code F-56 Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Code 233 Naval Weapons Engr. Support Activity ATTN: E S A 70 Naval Weapons Evaluation Facility ATTN: Code AT-6 Naval Weapons Support Center ATTN: Code 11E Nuclear Weapons Tng. Group, Pacific Department of the Navy ATTN: Code 50 Office of Naval Research ATTN: Code 465, G. Joiner ATTN: Code 427 Office of the Chief of Naval Operations ATTN: OP 981N1 ATTN: OP 981

ATTN: OP 604C3, R. Piacesi

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (Continued) Strategic Systems Project Office Department of the Navy ATTN: NSP-230, D. Gold ATTN: NSP-27334 ATTN: NSP-2342, R. Coleman ATTN: NSP-27331, P. Spector ATTN: NSP-2701, J. Pitsenberger ATTN: NSP-2431, G. Hoskins ATTN: NSP-43 DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE Aeronautical Systems Division Air Force Systems Command ATTN: ASD/ENFTV ATTN: ASD/ENESH, P. Marth ATTN: ASD/YYEF Aerospace Defense Command Department of the Air Force ATTN: KRT. T. Long Aerospace Defense Command Department of the Air Force ATTN: DEE Air Force Communications Service ATTN: EPE Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory ATTN: J. Corbin Air Force Systems Command ATTN: DLW Air Force Technical Applications Center ATTN: TFS, M. Schneider Air Force Weapons Laboratory, AFSC ATTN: ELT, W. Page ATTN: EL, C. Baum ATTN: CA ATTN: ELA ATTN: DYC ATTN: ELA, J. Castillo ATTN: ELXT ATTN: NT ATTN: SUL ATTN: NXS ATTN: NTN ATTN: ELP Air Logistics Command Department of the Air Force ATTN: 00-ALC/MMETH, P. Berthel ATTN: 00-ALC/MM, R. Blackburn ATTN: 00-ALC/MMEDO, L. Kidman Air University Library

** 2

Department of the Air Force ATTN: AUL-LSE-70-250 Deputy Chief of Staff

Research, Development, & Acq. Department of the Air Force ATTN: AFRDQSM

.

- -

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE (Continued)

Electronic Systems Division Department of the Air Force ATTN: INDC

Electronic Systems Division Department of the Air Force ATTN: XRRT

Electronic Systems Division Department of the Air Force ATTN: YSEA

Electronic Systems Division Department of the Air Force ATTN: YWEI

Forwign Technology Division Air Force Systems Command ATTN: TQTD, B. Ballard ATTN: NIIS, Library

Rome Air Development Center Air Force Systems Command ATTN: TSLD

Sacramento Air Logistics Center Department of the Air Force ATTN: MMEAE, C. Howard ATTN: MMSREM, F. Spear ATTN: MMIRA, J. Det.es ATTN: MMCRS, H. Delmastro ATTN: MMCREB

Headquarters Space Division Air Force Systems Command ATTN: IND

Headquarters Space Division Air Force Systems Command ATTN: SKF

Headquarters Space Division Air Force Systems Command ATTN: YAPC

Ballistic Missile Office Air Force Systems Command ATTN: MNNH, J. Tucker ATTN: MNNH, M. Baran

Strategic Air Command Department of the Air Force ATTN: NRI, G. Matzke ATTN: XPFS, B. Stephan ATTN: DEL ATTN: NRI-STINFO Library

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

1 . .

Department of Energy Albuquerque Operations Office ATTN: Document Control for WSSB ATTN: CTID

Department of Energy Economic Regulatory Administration ATTN: Office of Utility Systems, L. O'Neill

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY CONTRACTORS

. . . . los

** 2

Lawrence Livermore Laboratory ATTN: Document Control for L-156, H. Cabayan ATTN: Document Control for L-96, T. Donich ATTN: Document Control for Technical Info. Dept. Library ATTN: Document Control for L-47, L. Wouters ATTN: Document Control for L-10, H. Kruger Document Control for L-156, E. Miller ATTN: Document Control for L-153, D. Meeker ATTN: ATTN: Document Control for L-154, D. Vollmer Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory ATTN: Document Control for MS 668, J. Malik ATTN: Document Control for B. Noel ATTN: Document Control for C. Benton Oak Ridge National Laboratory ATTN: Document Control for P. Barnes Sandia Laboratories ATTN: Document Control for E. Hartman ATTN: Document Control for G. Yonas Document Control for R. Parker ATTN: Document Control for J. Martin Document Control for J. Renken ATTN: ATTN: ATTN: Document Control for C. Vittitoe OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: OSI/NED/NWB Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration ATTN: Sec. Div. ASE-300 Federal Preparedness Agency General Services Administration ATTN: ESTE, M. Murtha DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS Aerospace Corp. ATTN: I. Garfunkel ATTN: Library ATTN: R. Mortensen ATTN: C. Greenhow ATTN: R. Crolius ATTN: N. Stockwell ATTN: J. Reinheimer ATTN: J. Benveniste ATTN: C. Pearlston Agbabian Associates ATTN: Library ATTN: M. Casey Astronautics Corp. of America ATTN: W. Mohr AVCO Research & Systems Group ATTN: W. Lepsevich Battelle Memorial Institute ATTN: STOIAC ATTN: E. Leach BDM Corp. ATTN: Corporate Library

30

. .

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued) BDM Corp. ATTN: J. Schwarz ATTN: Library Bendix Corp. ATTN: Document Control Bendix Corp. ATTN: M. Frank Bendix Corp. ATTN: Dept. 6401 ATTN: G. Gartner Boeing Co. ATTN: B. Hanrahan ATTN: Kent Technical Library ATTN: D. Egelkrout ATTN: S. Kormanyos ATTN: V. Jones ATTN: H. Wicklein ATTN: R. Caldwell ATTN: D. Kemle 10 cy ATTN: D. Dye Booz-Allen and Hamilton, Inc. ATTN: Technical Library ATTN: R. Chrisner Calspan Corp. ATTN: Library Charles Stark Draper Lab., Inc. ATTN: K. Fertig ATTN: TIC MS 74 Cincinnati Electronics Corp. ATTN: C. Knockelmann ATTN: L. Hammond ATTN: C. Stump Computer Sciences Corp. ATTN: R. Briggs Computer Sciences Corp. ATTN: A. Schiff Control Data Corp. ATTN: J. Meehan Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ATTN: E. Karpen University of Denver ATTN: Sec. Officer for F. Venditti Dikewood Industries, Inc. ATTN: Technical Library ATTN: L. Davis Dikewood Industries, Inc. ATTN: K. Lee E-Systems, Inc. ATTN: J. Daniel E-Systems, Inc. ATTN: J. Moore

2

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued) Effects Technology, Inc. ATTN: S. Clow EG&G Washington Analytical Services Center, Inc. ATTN: H. Hoffman ATTN: C. Giles EG&G, Inc. ATTN: Document Control for L. Detch Electro-Magnetic Applications, Inc. ATTN: D. Merewetter Exp. & Math Physics-Consultants ATTN: T. Jordan Fairchild Camera and Instrument Corp. ATTN: Sec. Con. for D. Myers Ford Aerospace & Communications Corp. ATTN: K. Attinger ATTN: E. Poncelet, Jr. Ford Aerospace & Communications Corp. ATTN: J. Mattingly ATTN: Technical Library Franklin Institute ATTN: R. Thompson Garrett Corp. ATTN: R. Weir General Dynamics Corp. ATTN: K. Blair General Dynamics Corp. ATTN: Research Library General Dynamics Corp. ATTN: Research Library General Electric Co. ATTN: J. Andrews General Electric Co. ATTN: W. Patterson ATTN: J. Palchefsky, Jr. General Electric Co. ATTN: J. Reidl General Electric Co. ATIN: J. Ellerhorst ATIN: R. Hellen General Electric Co. ATTN: C. Hewison General Electric Co. ATTN: D. Pepin ATTN: Technical Library General Electric Company-TEMPO ATTN: W. McNamara ATTN: R. Rutherford ATTN: DASIAC

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued) General Electric Company-TEMPO ATTN: DASIAC General Research Corp. ATTN: J. Ise, Jr. ATTN: R. Hill 3 cv ATTN: Technical Information Office Georgia Institute of Technology ATTN: R. Curry Georgia Institute of Technology ATTN: Res. & Sec. Coord. for H. Denny Grumman Aerospace Corp. ATTN: L-01 35 GTE Sylvania, Inc. ATTN: C. Thornhill ATTN: L. Blaisdell GTE Sylvania, Inc ATTN: H. Ullman ATTN: M. Nurefora ATTN: E. Motchok ATTN: C. Ramsbottom ATTN: J. Waldron ATTN: D. Flood Harris Corp. ATTN: A. Strain ATTN: C. Denton, Jr. ATTN: V. Pres. & Mgr. Progms. Div. Hazeltine Corp. ATTN: M. Waite Hercules, Inc. ATTN: W. Woodruff Honeywell, Inc. ATTN: R. Kell ATTN: R. Johnson ATTN: S&RC Library Honeywell, Inc. ATTN: S. Graff ATTN: H. Noble ATTN: W. Stewart Hughes Aircraft Co. ATTN: D. Binder ATTN: K. Walker ATTN: J. Singletary ATTN: CTDC 6/E110 Hughes Aircraft Co. ATTN: H. Boyte **IIT Research Institute** ATTN: A. Coat IIT Research Institute ATTN: I. Mindel ATTN: J. Bridges Institute for Defense Analyses ATTN: Tech. Info. Services

. . .

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued) International Tel. & Telegraph Corp. ATTN: A. Richardson ATTN: Technical Library International Tel. & Telegraph Corp. ATTN: R. Schwallie ION Physics Corp. ATTN: H. Milde ATTN: R. Evans IRT Corp. ATTN: D. Swift JAYUOR ATTN: W. Radasky JAYCOR ATTN: R. Stahl ATTN: E. Wenaas JAYCOR ATTN: Library Johns Hopkins University ATTN: P. Partridge Kaman Sciences Corp. ATTN: F. Shelton ATTN: F. Shelton ATTN: A. Bridges ATTN: J. Lubell ATTN: W. Stark ATTN: W. Ware ATTN: W. Rich Litton Systems, Inc. ATTN: M848-61 ATTN: EMC GP Litton Systems, Inc. ATTN: J. Moyer ATTN: W Mras Litton Systems, Inc. ATTN: J. Skaggs Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc. ATTN: B. Kimura ATTN: G. Heath ATTN: E. Smith ATTN: S. Singer ATTN: P. Hart ATTN: L. Rossi ATTN: K. McCarthy ATTN: S. Taimuty ATTN: H. Thayn Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc. ATTN: Technical Information Center Lutech, Inc. ATIN: F. Tesche M.I.T. Lincoln Lab. ATTN: L. Loughlin

Martin Marietta Corp. ATTN: M. Griffith

32

م م

. . -

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued) General Electric Company-TEMPO ATTN: DASIAC General Research Corp. ATTN: J. Ise, Jr. ATTN: R. Hill 3 cy ATTN: Technical Information Office Georgia Institute of Technology ATTN: R. Curry Georgia Institute of Technology ATTN: Res. & Sec. Coord. for H. Denny Grumman Aerospace Corp. ATTN: L-01 35 GTE Sylvania, Inc. ATTN: C. Thornhill ATTN: L. Blaisdell GTE Sylvania, Inc. ATTN: H. Ullman ATTN: M. Nurefora ATTN: E. Motchok ATTN: C. Ramsbottom ATTN: J. Waldron ATTN: D. Flood Harris Corp. ATTN: A. Strain ATTN: C. Denton, Jr. ATTN: V. Pres. & Mgr. Progms. Div. Hazeltine Corp. ATTN: M. Waite Hercules, Inc. ATTN: W. Woodruff Honeywell, Inc. ATTN: R. Kell ATTN: R. Johnson ATTN: S&RC Library Honeywell, Inc. ATTN: S. Graff ATTN: H. Noble ATTN: W. Stewart Hughes Aircraft Co. ATTN: D. Binder ATTN: K. Walker ATTN: J. Singletary ATTN: CTDC 6/E110 Hughes Aircraft Co. ATTN: H. Boyte IIT Research Institute ATTN: A. Coat IIT Research Institute ATTN: I. Mindel ATTN: J. Bridges

Institute for Defense Analyses ATTN: Tech. Info. Services

International Tel. & Telegraph Corp. ATTN: A. Richardson ATTN: Technical Library International Tel. & Telegraph Corp. ATTN: R. Schwallie ION Physics Corp. ATTN: H. Milde ATTN: R. Evans IRT Corp. ATTN: D. Swift JAYCOR ATTN: W. Radasky JAYCOR ATTN: R. Stahl ATTN: E. Wenaas JAYCOR ATTN: Library Johns Hopkins University ATTN: P. Partridge Kaman Sciences Corp. ATTN: F. Shelton ATTN: A. Bridges ATTN: J. Lubell ATTN: W. Stark ATTN: W. Ware ATTN: W. Rich Litton Systems, Inc. ATTN: M848-61 ATTN: EMC GP Litton Systems, Inc. ATTN: J. Moyer ATTN: W Mras Litton Systems, Inc. ATTN: J. Skaggs Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc. ATTN: B. Kimura ATTN: G. Heath ATTN: E. Smith ATTN: S. Singer ATTN: P. Hart ATTN: L. Rossi ATTN: K. McCarthy ATTN: S. Taimuty ATTN: H. Thayn Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc. ATTN: Technical Information Center Lutech, Inc. ATTN: F. Tesche M.I.T. Lincoln Lab. ATTN: L. Loughlin Martin Marietta Corp. ATIN: M. Griffith

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued) McDonnell Douglas Corp. ATTN: T. Ender McDonnell Douglas Corp. ATTN: S. Schneider ATTN: Technical Library Services ATTN: P. Duncan Mission Research Corp. ATTN: EMP Group ATTN: W. Hart ATTN: C. Longmire Mission Research Corp. ATTN: A. Chodorow ATTN: L. McCormick Mission Research Corp.-San Diego ATTN: V. Van Lint Mitre Corp. ATTN: T. Jarvis ATTN: M. Fitzgerald Mctorola, Inc. ATTN: C. Fulton Norden Systems, Inc. ATTN: Technical Library Northrop Corp. ATTN: D. Pocock ATTN: J. Srour Northrop Corp. ATTN: L. Smith ATTN: V. DeMartino ATTN: J. Russo ATTN: D. Strobel ATTN: B. Ahlport ATTN: Rad. Effects Grp. Palisades Inst. for Rsch. Services, Inc. ATTN: Records Supervisor Physics International Co. ATTN: Document Control ATTN: J. Huntington ATTN: B. Bernstein Procedyne Corp. ATTN: P. Horowitz **R&D** Associates ATTN: M. Grover ATTN: R. Schaefer ATTN: L. Schlessinger ATTN: Document Control ATTN: B. Gage ATTN: C. MacDonald ATTN: C. Mo **R&D** Associates ATTN: J. Bombardt Rand Corp. ATTN: W. Sollfrey ATTN: LIB-D ATTN: C. Crain

1

Nation .

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued) Raytheon Co. ATTN: G. Joshi Raytheon Co. ATTN: H. Flescher RCA Corp. ATTN: G. Brucker RCA Corp. ATTN: L. Minich RCA Corp. ATTN: R. Rostrom ATTN: O. Whitehead Research Triangle Institute ATTN: M. Simons, Jr. Rockwell International Corp. ATTN: V. Michel ATTN: J. Spetz ATTN: D/243-068, 031-CA31 ATTN: L. Apodaca ATTN: J. Monroe ATTN: N. Rudie Rockwell International Corp. ATTN: B. White Rockwell International Corp. ATTN: B-1 Div. TIC (BAOB) Rockwell International Corp. ATTN: F. Shaw Sanders Associates, Inc. ATIN: R. Despathy Science Applications, Inc. ATTN: R. Parkinson Science Applications, Inc. ATTN: N. Byrn Science Applications, Inc. ATTN: R. Knight Science Applications, Inc. ATTN: W. Chadsey Singer Co. ATTN: Technical Information Center Sperry Rand Corp. ATIN: R. Lazarchik ATIN: M. Cort Sperry Rand Corp. ATIN: Technical Library Sperry Rand Corp. ATTN: D. Schow Sperry Univac ATTN: 2. Inda Spire Corp. ALLN: R. Little

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

SRI International ATTN: A. Whitson ATTN: B. Gasten ATTN: G. Carpenter

Systems, Science & Software, Inc. ATTN: A. Wilson

Systron-Donner Corp. ATTN: G. Dean ATTN: H. Morris

- Teledyne Brown Engineering ATTN: F. Leonard
- Texas Instruments, Inc. ATTN: D. Manus ATTN: Technical Library

Texas Tech University ATTN: T. Simpson

Ţ

ł

, 1.

TRW Defense & Space Sys. Group ATTN: F. Fay

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

TRW Defense & Space Sys. Group ATTN: R. Plebuch ATTN: O. Adams ATTN: H. Holloway ATTN: W. Gargaro ATTN: L. Magnolia
TRW Systems and Energy ATTN: D. Pugsley
United Technologies Corp. ATTN: Chief, Elec. Design ATTN: R. Giguere
Varian Associates, Inc. ATTN: H. Jory
Vought Corp. ATTN: J. Sanson

Westinghouse Electric Corp. ATTN: Technical Library