





SYSTEMS OPTIMIZATION LABORATORY DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305



いいの書き

COMMENTS ON KHACHIAN'S ALGORITHM FOR LINEAR PROGRAMMING

by

George B. Dantsig

TECHNICAL REPORT SOL 79-22 November 1979

Research and reproduction of this report were partially supported by the Department of Energy Contract DE-AS03-76-SF00326, PA No. DE-AT-03-76ER72018; the National Science Foundation Grants MCS76-81259 A01, MCS76-20019 A01, ENG77-06761; Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-75-C-0267.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purposes of the United States Government. This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

COMMENTS ON KHACHIAN'S ALGORITHM FOR LINEAR PROGRAMMING

by

George B. Dantsig*

Jack Edmonds once defined a "good" algorithm as one that can solve any problem within a class in a polynomial bounded time where each factor of each term of the polynomial is some parameter either expressing the size of the problem or the number of digits needed to store the data of the problem. The point of this little essay, is this: Solving in a polynomially bounded time says little.

A POLYNOMIAL BOUND CAN BE A BIG NUMBER

The expected number of steps to find a feasible solution to a linear program using Phase 1 of the Simplex Method, for moderately sized problems, is conjectured to be, of the order

 $a \cdot m$ STEPS,

where m is the number of equations and α is typically 2 to 3 (or 4 to 8 for an optimal solution using both Phase I and II). Thousands of linear programs are solved each day using some variant of the simplex method—a value of $\alpha > 4$ is rarely seen. The effort to do each pivot step is of order m^2 but, because most coefficients of the matrix are usually zero, the work to do a pivot can be reduced to a fraction of m^2 .

Even for problems involving 1000 equations and 3000 variables, a appears to be small. It is conjectured for n large relative to m, that the expected value of a will grow slowly, say

$$\exp(a) < \log_2(2+\frac{n}{m})$$

Khachian seeks a solution to a system of strict inequalities were \overline{n} is the number of variables and \overline{m} the number of strict linear inequality constraints, [1]. The relations between (m, n) and $(\overline{m}, \overline{n})$ are as follows:

$$\overline{n} = n - m$$
, $\overline{m} = n$

1

_

٩.

*In response to numerous inquires about the Khachian algorithm.

NTIS GRAAI DUC TAB Unnumbuneed Justification By Distribution/ Aveilability Codes Availand/or special Peter Gács and Laszlo Lovász state in [2] that the effort involved to solve a problem by Khachian's algorithm, is of the order $6L(\overline{n}+1)^2$ steps. Richard Stone has pointed out that the correct value is $4L(\overline{n}+1)^2$. For convenience below I have rounded this down to $4L\overline{n}^2$ steps. The work of each step (which is not a pivot operation) is of order \overline{n}^2 ; it can be thought of as comparable to a simplex iteration. Moreover, the devices that have been so successful in reducing the work of each iteration of the Simplex Method appear applicable to reducing the work of each step of the Khachian method. If coefficients range in value by 10^5 and are given to 5 significant decimal figures, then L is about $30\overline{m}\,\overline{n}$. This gives as an upper bound for the number of steps for the Khachian algorithm:

$120\overline{m}\,\overline{n}^3$ STEPS.

As polynomials go, this is of surprisingly low order—a truly remarkable theoretical result and the beginning of a promising and exciting new area of research. It shows the essentially algebraic character of linear inequality systems in spite of the fact that the number of extreme points can be exponential in \overline{m} and \overline{n} .

Whether or not the algorithm is practical depends on the size of this bound for moderately large linear programming problems of interest. The example discussed below is typical.

At Stanford we have developed a dynamic linear programming model, called PILOT, for Energy/Economic planning. It is used by the Electric Power Research Institute in their planning for the Utility Industry and by the Department of Energy for their long range studies. Case studies (scenarios) usually require 5 to 10 minutes of CPU time on the IBM 370-168 if started with a good guess. From a "cold start" it can take up to 1 hour of CPU time. Because the problem is dynamic in structure, the number of pivot steps seems to run a little higher on the average — about 3.5 m for each phase of the Simplex Method.

For the PILOT Model:

$$m = 1000$$
 , $n = 3000$

or

$$\overline{n} = 2000$$
 , $\overline{m} = 3000$

The comparative number of steps are:

KHACHIAN: $120\overline{mn}^3$ STEPS = $120 \times 3000 \times 2000^3 = 2.88 \times 10^{15}$ STEPS SIMPLEX: 3.5m STEPS = 3.5×10^3 STEPS.

2

For a 1000 equation system of the sparsity of PILOT, each step takes about 1/2 second on the IBM 370-168 or at the rate of 6.3×10^7 steps per year. Thus the estimated and actual times to solve this problem are

KHACHIAN: 2.88×10^{15} STEPS $\doteq 50,000,000$ years

SIMPLEX: 3.5×10^3 STEPS $\doteq 30$ minutes

This comparison is, of course, unfair. The upper bound given by Khachian is not tight and a tight bound for the worst case could turn out to be a much smaller number. Walter Murray has looked into the way that the ellipsoids adjust and contract in volume. Each ellipsoid appears to be a slight perturbation of its predecessor. Because of this, Murray believes that the expected number of steps (for problems of the same dimensions and size of the coefficients) will not be much different from that of the worst case [4].

There is a great deal of interest in the Khachian algorithm. One can expect a reexamination of similar previously proposed algorithms that converge in the limit to a feasible solution. Restated in terms of integer coefficients, a finiteness proof with a polynomial bound might also be obtained perhaps some will turn out to have practical upper bound and expected value estimates. For a discussion of this possibility see [4].

It has been suggested by N. Zadeh, Alan Hoffman and others, that the Simplex Algorithm be reexamined to see if it too has polynomial bound under the assumptions that the class of linear programs considered has:

(1) integer coefficients, and

(2) the maximum of the absolute value of coefficients is less than a constant independent of m and n.

Examples have been constructed by V. Klee and G. Minty [3], P. Wolfe, and N. Zadeh such that the number of steps grows exponentially but these do not satisfy (1) and (2) above.

In summary, the existence of an algorithm with a polynomial bound of $120\overline{m}\,\overline{n}^3$ steps, each step requiring about \overline{n}^2 operations, is an important theoretical result. Unfortunately a polynomial bound does not imply a "good algorithm". To qualify as good, the bound must not be too high for practical problems of interest such as those routinely solved by the Simplex Method. The effect of the Khachian result will be to intensify the research to find an algorithm with a more practical bound like $2(\overline{m}-\overline{n})$ steps, each step requiring about $(\overline{m}-\overline{n})^2$ operations (the empirically observed rough average for finding a feasible solution using the Simplex Method).

References

The second second

- [1] L.G. Khachian, Doklady Akademii Nauk USSR, 1979, Vol.. 244, No. 5, pages 1093-1096.
- [2] P. Gács and L. Lovász, "Khachian's Algorithm for Linear Programming," Computer Science Department, STAN-CS-79-750, Stanford University.
- [3] V. Klee and G.J. Minty, "How Good is the Simplex Algorithm," Inequalities III, Academic Press, New York, 1972, pp. 159–175.
- [4] Walter Murray, Ellipsoidal Algorithms for Linear Programming, Working Paper 79-1, Systems Optimization Laboratory Department of Operations Research, Stanford University, November 1979.

Image: State Stat	SOL-79-22	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	
ITTE ((or summer) ITTE ((or summer) Comments on Khachian's Algorithm for Linear Programming ITTE ((or summer) Ithear Programming ITTE ((or summer) Ithear Programming Itechnical Report + () Itechnical Report + ()			ABCIELEN' & CATALOG NUMBER
Comments on Khachian's Algorithm for Linear Programming Technical Report of Sol. 79-22 Autwoke Technical Report of Sol. 79-22 Autwoke Itechnical Report of Sol. 79-22 PERFSheine of Charleston Namer and ABORES Itechnical Report of No0014-750C-0267 PERFSheine of Charleston Namer and ABORES Itechnical Report of No0014-750C-0267 Stanford University Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 Itechnical Report of No047-143 Operations Research Program (NR Department of the Navy 800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Itechnical Street November of the November of			
Linear Programming Linear Programming Authorize Authorize George B./DANTZIG FREFSMUING ONCAMITATION WANT AND ADDRESS Department of Operations Research - SOL Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 "Controling office NAME AND ADDRESS Operations Research Program (NR Department of the Navy 800 N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA. 22217 TO SUFFICIENT SINCE NAME A DOBRESS Operations Research Program (NR Department of the Navy 800 N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA. 22217 TO SUFFICIENT SINCE NAME A DOBRESS Operations Research Program (NR DET (Ching SINCE NAME A DOBRESS Operations of the Navy 800 N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA. 22217 TO SUFFICIENT SINCE NAME A DOBRESS OPERATOR SINCE NAME A DOBRESS OPERATOR SINCE NAME A DOBRESS DE (ASSA: CAVION CLASS CONTROL CLASS CONTROL SCHOOL SINCE NAME A DOBRESS DE (ASSA: CAVION CONTROL School Control SINCE NAME A DOBRESS DE (ASSA: CAVION CLASS CONTROL SCHOOL SINCE NAME A DOBRESS DE (ASSA: CAVION CONTROL SCHOOL SINCE NAME A DOBRESS SCHOOL SINCE NAME A DOBRESS	TITLE (and Sublition		S TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVE
AUTHORYO AUTHORYO AUTHORYO AUTHORYO George B. DANTZIG Convergence of Department of Operations Research - SOL Stanford University Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 Convergence of Program (NR Department of the Navy ROO N. Ouincy St., Arlinton, VA 22217 November 079 To November 079 Department of the Navy ROO N. Ouincy St., Arlinton, VA 22217 To November 079 Department of ABSKY Hask & ADDRESS Department of the Navy Stanford University Stanford Office Intervent Intervent Stanford Office Intervent Stanford University Stanford	() Commonts on Khachian's Algorit	nm for 1	
SOL. 79-22 AUTHORYD George B./DANTZIG FREFSMUNG ORGANIEATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Operations Research - SOL Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 "CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Operations Research Program ONR Department of the Navy BOO N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 MOULT STATEMENT (of the Nave AND ADDRESS DE ACCANNEL NOVE St., Arlington, VA 22217 MOULT ST., Arlington, VA 22217 DE ACCAN AND ADDRESS DE ACCAN AND ADDRESS DE ACCANAL ST. AND ADDRESS DE ACCANAL ST. AND ADDRESS DE ACCANAL ST. ALDRESS (Control of the Nave AND ADDRESS) DE ACCANAL ST. ALDRESS (Control of the Nave ADDRESS) DE ACCANAL ST. ALDRESS (Control of the Nave ADDRESS) DE ACCANAL ST. ALDRESS (Control of the Nave ADDRESS) NCONTACTION STATEMENT (of ADDRESS) DE ACCANAL ST. (Control of the Nave ADDRESS) This document has been approved for public release and sale; Its distribution is unlimited. DITAILS (Control of the Statest distribution for December) KHACHIAN KHACHIAN NOTACTION STATEMENT (of the statest distrid distribut by Marest	🖉 Linear Programming		
George B./DANTZIG N00014-750C-0267 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION WANT AND ABDRESS 0 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION WANT AND ABDRESS 0 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION WANT AND ABDRESS Department of Operations Research - SOL Stanford University NR-047-143 Stanford, CA 94305 10 PERFORMENT SITE "" CONTROLLING OFFICE HARE AND ADDRESS 0 PERFORMENT SITE Operations Research Program ONR 10 NOVEMBER 01 PAGE Department of the Navy 10 NOVEMBER 01 PAGE WOO N. OUNCY St. AFLINGTON, VA 22217 10 HOREST 01 PAGE WORL CONTROL ABJOR WANG ADDRESS 10 NOVEMBER 01 PAGE JE ALSON UNAVER ADDRESS 11 HOREST 01 PAGE JE ALSON UNAVER ADDRESS 11 HOREST 01 PAGE JE ALSON UNAVER 22217 US DISTNEO ADDRESS UNAVER ADDRESS 11 HOREST 01 PAGE JE ALSON UNAVER 12 SEC. MUT 01 ALS REPORT JE ALSON UNAVER 13 SEC. MUT 01 ALS REPORT VI DISTNEOTION SYNTHMUT (ALL REPORT 14 SEC. MUT 01 ALS REPORT VI DISTNEOTION STATEMENT (ALL REPORT 15 SEC. MUT 01 ALS REPORT VI DISTNEOTION STATEMENT (ALL REPORT 16 SEC. PL/L VI DISTNEOTION STATEMENT (ALL REPORT 16 SEC. PL/L VI DISTNEOTION STATEMENT (ALL REPORT 16		4	SOL 79-22
III) PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Operations Research - SOL Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 ID PROGRAM T. EMERT PROJECT TO NR-047-143 III Department of Operations Research Program ONR Department of the Navy 800 N. Cuincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 III NOVERDEEDED 70 NoverDeeD 70 NoverD 70	T. AUTHORIE		. CONTRACT ON URANY NUMBER(a)
Image: Stanford University 10 Processing Control Number Of Operations Research - SOL Stanford University Stanford University Stanford University Operations Research Program ONR 11 November Of 14 Department of the Navy 11 November Of Paces 800 N. Outroy St., Artington, VA 22217 11 November Of Paces MOUTONID ASEVENANK & ADDRESS 11 November Of Paces JE NEWTON Staffeller (all November) 12 SECONV CLASS (or One mention School (or Operation School	George B. DANTZIG		N00014-7500-0267
Department of Operations Research - Sol. AREA & WORK ONLY MUMBERS Stanford, CA 94305 NR-047-143 "Coversuitive original Associations Research Program ONR Proventions Research Program ONR Operations Research Program ONR Proventions Program ONR BOD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Novention 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Novention 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Novention 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Novention 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Novention 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Novention 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Novention 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Novention 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Novention 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 Novention 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 20070 St. Control Control 40070 ROD N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 20070 St. Control 40070 This document has been approved for public release and sale; St. Control 40070 If Stratework and the descence of allowed in Block 20, H different hem Reperty Notation is unlimited. Novention 40000 <			
Department of Operations Research - SOL Stanford University Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 AREA & WORK UNIVERSITY NR-047-143 "Coverbulind Office Name AND ADDRESS Operations Research Program ONR Department of the Navy 800 N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 40070 "BOD N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 40070 "BOD N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 40070 "BOD N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 40070 "BOD N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 40070 "BOD N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 40070 "BOD N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 40070 "BOD N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 40070 "BOD N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 40070 "BOD N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 40070 "BOD N. Quicy St., Arlington, VA 20070 If St. Calls (or normalise on the formation of the model of the second of the s			
Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 NR-047-14.3 "CONTRUCTION OFFICE HARE AND ADDRESS Operations Research Program ONR Department of the Navy 800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If HOUREARD BYTE November 1907. 10 MONITORING ABLYCE WARK & ADDRESS (I I Income to the Navy 800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 1907. 11 MONETORING ABLYCE WARK & ADDRESS (I Income to the Navy 800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 1907. 12 MONITORING ABLYCE WARK & ADDRESS (I Income to the Navy 800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 If November 1907. 12 MONITORING ABLYCE WARK & ADDRESS (I Income to the Navy 90 DE A 503 (I - SF.) If SEC, Assist CAVION BOOMGAND 16 DEFENSION STATEMENT (I MAR Research 16 DEFENSION STATEMENT (I MAR Research 17 DIFTRIBUTION STATEMENT (I MAR Research of Deck 20, II different New Research 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 NUPPLEMENTARY NOTES If Statement And VINCES 19 NEW YORDS (Continue on reverse olds II necessary and Identify by Mont New Research NHACHIAN POLYNOMIAL BOUND LINEAR PROCEADMING SIMPLEX METHOD If Statement Notes		1	TO PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TI
Stanford, CA 94305 "CONTROLLING OFFICE HARE AND ADDRESS Operations Research Program ONR Department of the Navy 800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 10 MONITORING ABBCC HARE & ADDRESS DE ASDRESS State DE ASDRESS State This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. "Different for statewent of the defrect entered in Bleck DE H different from Report: NO DIFFERENTION STATEMENT (of the defrect entered in Bleck DE H different from Report: NO DIFFERENTION STATEMENT (of the defrect entered in Bleck DE H different from Report: NO DIFFERENTION STATEMENT (of the defrect entered in Bleck DE H different from Report: NO DIFFERENTION STATEMENT (of the defrect entered in Bleck DE H different from Report: NO DIFFERENTION STATEMENT (of the defrect entered in Bleck DE H different from Report: NO DIFFERENTION STATEMENT (of the defrect entered in Bleck DE H different from Report: NO DIFFERENTION STATEMENT (of the defrect entered in Bleck DE H different from Report: NO DIFFERENTION STATEMENT (of the defrect entered in Bleck DE H different from Report: NO DIFFERENTIAL BOUND LINEAR PROXIMANING: SIMPLEX METHOD		a = out	NR-047-143
¹¹ CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Operations Research Program ONR Department of the Navy 800 N. Ouincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 ¹¹ WokitChind ABECKY NAME & ADDRESS(H different hom Controlling Office) ¹³ SECONTY CLASE (of ONE report DE ASS3 '- SFCORE DE ASS3 '- SFCORE DE ASS3 '- SFCORE DE ASS3 '- SFCORE This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. ¹² DIFFRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 30, H different hom Report) ¹⁴ SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES ¹⁵ AEY WORDS (Continue in revoce clds H necessary and Idmitty by Nece Number) KHACHIAN POLYNWIAL BOUND LINKAR PROGRAMMING SIMPLEX METHOD	•		
Operations Research Program ONR Investment of the Navy Bepartment of the Navy Investment of the Navy BOD N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 A VE MONIORARDABLY Address A ADDRESS(I different here Contenting Office) 18 SECONTY CLASS (or Intermediate Contenting Office) VE MONIORARDABLY A ADDRESS(I different here Contenting Office) 18 SECONTY CLASS (or Intermediate Contenting Office) VE DE ASSAULT AS STATEMENT (of the Report) If SECONTY CLASS (or Intermediate Contenting Office) VE DE ASSAULT AS DE STATEMENT (of the Report) If DECLASS (Content of the Report) VE DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shered entered in Block 20, 11 different here Report) If distribution is unlimited. VE DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shered entered in Block 20, 11 different here Report) If a Supple Emention is unlimited. VE NORDE (Continue in reserve close II necessary and Idmith by North Report) If a Supple Emention is unlimited. VE NORDE (Continue in reserve close II necessary and Idmith by North Report) If a Supple Emention is unlimited. VE NORDE (Continue in reserve close II necessary and Idmith by North Report) If a Supple Emention is unlimited. VE NORDE (Continue in reserve close II necessary and Idmith by North Report) If a Supple Emention is unlimited. VE NORDE (Continue in reserve close II necessary and Idmith by North Report) If a Supple Emention is unlimited.<			JE, HEASHY BAYE
Department of the Navy 13 HomBER OF PAGES 800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 4 13 Webstrocked and address of the state		NR	
10 NONSTONING ASLACE NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 10 SECONITY CLASS (of this report) 11 NONSTON AT A SDALL - SECONAL 16 DECONITY CLASS (of this report) 12 NONSTON AT A SDALL - SECONAL 16 DECONAL - SECONAL 14 DECONAL - SECONAL 16 DECONAL - SECONAL 16 15 DECONAL - SECONAL 16 DECONAL - SECONAL 16 16 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 16 This document has been approved for public release and sale; 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18 SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19 SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 10 SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 11 DECONTRACT 11 DECONTRACT 12 SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 14 SUPPLEX METHOD	•	4	
10 NORMAN ASSAULY NAME & ADDRESS(II) different from Controlling Office) 10 SECONITY CLASS (of this report) 11 NORMAND STATEMENT (of this report) 15 SECONITY CLASS (of this report) 12 NORMAND STATEMENT (of this report) 15 SECONITY CLASS (of this report) 13 SECONITY CLASS (CAVION DOWNGRAD) 16 SECONITY CLASS (CAVION DOWNGRAD) 14 DE ASSAULT SECONITY (of this report) 16 SECONITY CLASS (CAVION DOWNGRAD) 14 DE ASSAULT SECONITY (of the Report) 16 SECONITY CLASS (CAVION DOWNGRAD) 15 DE ASSAULT SECONITY (of the Report) 16 SECONITY (CASSAULT) 15 DE ASSAULT SECONITY (of the Report) 16 SECONITY (SECONITY (SECONITY (SECONITY (SECONITY (SECONITY))) 16 DE ASSAULT SECONITY (SECONITY (SECONITY) (SECONITY) SECONITY (SECONITY) SECONITY (SECONITY) 17 DE ASSAULT SECONITY (SECONITY) SECONITY (SECONITY) SECONITY (SECONITY) SECONITY (SECONITY) 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SECONITY (SECONITY) SECONITY (SECONITY) SECONITY (SECONITY) 19 SECONITY (SECONITY) SECONITY (SECONITY) SECONITY (SECONITY) SECONITY (SECONITY) 19 SECONIT	800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, V	22217	<u> </u>
16 Distribution StaffwEnt (w) dds Report) This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. 17 Distribution statewEnt (w) dds shared enlared in Block 20, 11 d(thread from Report) 18 suppl Entry notes 19 xEv WORDE (Centimus on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) KHACHIAN FOLYNNMIAL BOUND LINRAR PROGRAMNING [3 SIMPLEX METHOD	TA WONITONING ASENCY NAVE & ADDRESSI ANT	nt from Controlling Offices	IS SECURITY CLASS. (of INIS report
SCHEDULE SC	- 1 (A) NODALY (15 2-3	2	
SCHEDULE	TE ASSALLAF		The DECLASSIFICATION DOWN CALL
This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTION (of the obstract embered in Block 20, 11 different from Regard) TO DETRIBUTIO	and the second sec		SCHEDULE
18 REV WORDE (Continue on reverse elde il necessory and identify by block number) KHACHIAN POLYNOMIAL BOUND LINKAR PROGRAMNING SIMPLEX METHOD			
KHACHIAN FOLYNOMIAL BOUND LINEAR PROGRAMNING SIMPLEX METHOD	S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
KHACHIAN FOLYNOMIAL BOUND LINEAR PROGRAMNING SIMPLEX METHOD			
KHACHIAN FOLYNOMIAL BOUND LINEAR PROGRAMNING SIMPLEX METHOD	8 NEV WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary (nd identify by block number)	
POLYNOMIAL BOUND LINEAR PROGRAMNING SIMPLEX METHOD	KHACHIAN		
SIMPLEX METHOD			The standard and the standard
	LINKAR PROGRAMNING		
	SIMPLEX METHOD		\backslash
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eight it necessary and identity by block manber)		d admattin bu aland mushers)	
THE REAL AND A CALLER AND A LOT TIME THE A DESCRIPTION OF THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Khachian's polynomial bound for finding a feasible solution to a relaxed linear program is an important theoretical result. Unfortunately, a	Khachian's polynomial bound for fi		Unfortunataly
linear program is an important theoretical result. Unfortunately, a	Khachian's polynomial bound for fi linear program is an important the	coretical result.	
linear program is an important theoretical result. Unfortunately, a polynomial bound does not imply a good algorithm because such a bound could	Khachian's polynomial bound for fi linear program is an important the polynomial bound does not imply a	oretical result. good algorithm be	ecause such a bound could
linear program is an important theoretical result. Unfortunately, a polynomial bound does not imply a good algorithm because such a bound could be too large for problems of practical interest. For example, using the	Khachian's polynomial bound for fi linear program is an important the polynomial bound does not imply a be too large for problems of pract	oretical result. good algorithm be ical interest. F	ecause such a bound could For example, using the
linear program is an important theoretical result. Unfortunately, a polynomial bound does not imply a good algorithm because such a bound could be too large for problems of practical interest. For example, using the formulae in the original paper, practical problems with 3000 non-negative variables and 1000 equations (which are solved under ong_half hour on IRM	Khachian's polynomial bound for fi linear program is an important the polynomial bound does not imply a be too large for problems of pract formulae in the original paper, pr variables and 1000 equations (which	poretical result. good algorithm be ical interest. F actical problems th are solved under	ecause such a bound could for example, using the with 3000 non-negative or ong_half hour on IBM
linear program is an important theoretical result. Unfortunately, a polynomial bound does not imply a good algorithm because such a bound could be too large for problems of practical interest. For example, using the formulae in the original paper, practical problems with 3000 non-negative variables and 1000 equations (which are solved under one-half hour on IRM 370-168 using the simplex method) would involve over <u>Q015</u> iterations and w	Khachian's polynomial bound for filinear program is an important the polynomial bound does not imply a be too large for problems of pract formulae in the original paper, provariables and 1000 equations (which 370-168 using the simplex method)	poretical result. good algorithm be gical interest. Fractical problems of are solved unde would involve over	Secause such a bound could for example, using the with 3000 non-negative or one-half hour on IRN or 10^{15} iterations and we
linear program is an important theoretical result. Unfortunately, a polynomial bound does not imply a good algorithm because such a bound could be too large for problems of practical interest. For example, using the formulae in the original paper, practical problems with 3000 non-negative variables and 1000 equations (which are solved under one-half hour on IRM 370-168 using the simplex method) would involve over Q_{0}^{15} iterations and we take 50,000,000 years to solve using Khachian's method.	Khachian's polynomial bound for filinear program is an important the polynomial bound does not imply a be too large for problems of pract formulae in the original paper, provariables and 1000 equations (which 370-168 using the simplex method) take 50,000,000 years to solve using	soretical result. good algorithm be ical interest. F actical problems th are solved unde would involve ove ang Khachian's met	Secause such a bound could for example, using the with 3000 non-negative or one-half hour on IBN or 10^{15} iterations and wo
linear program is an important theoretical result. Unfortunately, a polynomial bound does not imply a good algorithm because such a bound could be too large for problems of practical interest. For example, using the formulae in the original paper, practical problems with 3000 non-negative variables and 1000 equations (which are solved under one-half hour on IRM 370-168 using the simplex method) would involve over Q_{10}^{15} iterations and wo	Khachian's polynomial bound for filinear program is an important the polynomial bound does not imply a be too large for problems of pract formulae in the original paper, provariables and 1000 equations (which $370-168$ using the simplex method) take 50,000,000 years to solve using $100_{1.244,12}^{0.044}$ 1473 EDUTION OF LHOVES 18 6666	soretical result. good algorithm be ical interest. Fractical problems thare solved unde would involve over ng Khachian's met	Secause such a bound could for example, using the with 3000 non-negative or one-half hour on IRN or 10^{15} iterations and wo hod