

AD-A080 356 RICE UNIV HOUSTON TEX DEPT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING F/G 12/1  
FIRST-ORDER AND SECOND-ORDER NUMERICAL METHODS FOR OPTIMAL CONT--ETC(U)  
1979 A MIELE AFOSR-76-3075

UNCLASSIFIED

AFOSR-TR-80-0086

NL

For 1  
2580 256



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
3-80  
GPO



UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER <b>AFOSR-TR-80-0086</b>	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) <b>FIRST-ORDER AND SECOND-ORDER NUMERICAL METHODS FOR OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEMS</b>		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED <b>Interim 7. 2. 1979</b>	
7. AUTHOR(s) <b>A./Miele</b>		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS <b>Rice University Department of Mechanical Engineering Houston, TX 77001</b>		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) <b>AFOSR-76-3075 NSF-NY</b>	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS <b>Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NM Bolling AFB, Washington, D.C. 20332</b>		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS <b>61102F 16 17 2304/A3</b>	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE <b>1979</b>	
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>13 14 15</b>	
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.</b>			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>Invited Paper: PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST CAIRO UNIVERSITY CONFERENCE ON MECHANICAL DESIGN AND PRODUCTION, Cairo, Egypt, 1979</b>			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <b>Optimal control, numerical analysis, numerical methods, computing methods, computing techniques, sequential ordinary gradient-restoration algorithm, sequential conjugate gradient-restoration algorithm, modified quasilinearization algorithm, problems with bounded control, problems with bounded state, Chebyshev minimax problems.</b>			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <b>This lecture summarizes recent advances in the area of numerical methods for optimal control problems, with particular emphasis on the work performed by the Aero-Astronautics Group of Rice University. The following basic problems are considered; problems with general boundary conditions, problem with nondifferential constraints, and problem with multiple subarcs. First-order algorithms are reviewed, in particular, the sequential ordinary gradient-restoration algorithm and the sequential conjugate gradient-restoration algorithm. Second-order algorithms are also reviewed, in particular, the</b>			

ADA080356

DDC FILE COPY

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC)  
NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DDC  
This technical report has been reviewed and is  
approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12 (7b).  
Distribution is unlimited.  
A. D. BLOSE  
Technical Information Officer

FIRST-ORDER AND SECOND-ORDER NUMERICAL METHODS  
FOR OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEMS<sup>1</sup>

A. Miele

Professor of Astronautics and Mathematical Sciences  
Rice University, Houston, Texas

Abstract

This lecture summarizes recent advances in the area of numerical methods for optimal control problems, with particular emphasis on the work performed by the Aero-Astronautics Group of Rice University. The following basic problems are considered: problem with general boundary conditions, problem with nondifferential constraints, and problem with multiple subarcs.

First-order algorithms are reviewed, in particular, the sequential ordinary gradient-restoration algorithm and the sequential conjugate gradient-restoration algorithm. Second-order algorithms are also reviewed, in particular, the modified quasilinearization algorithm. Here, the optimal initial choice of the multipliers is discussed.

Transformation techniques are presented by means of which a great variety of problems of optimal control can be reduced

---

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by the Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, United States Air Force, Grant No. AF-AFOSR-76-3075, and by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. MCS-76-21657.

to one of the formulations presented. Specifically, the following topics are treated: time normalization, free initial state, problems with bounded control, problems with bounded state, and Chebyshev minimax problems.

#### Key Words

Optimal control, numerical analysis, numerical methods, computing methods, computing techniques, sequential ordinary gradient-restoration algorithm, sequential conjugate gradient-restoration algorithm, modified quasilinearization algorithm, problems with bounded control, problems with bounded state, Chebyshev minimax problems.

#### Introduction

In every branch of science and engineering, there exist systems which are controllable, that is, they can be made to behave in different ways depending on the will of the operator. Every time the operator of a system exerts an option (a choice in the distribution of the controls governing the system), he produces a change in the distribution of the states occupied by the system and, hence, a change in the final state. Therefore, it is natural to pose the following question: Among all the admissible options, what is the particular option which renders the system optimum? As an example, what is the option which minimizes the difference between the final value and the initial value of an arbitrarily specified function of the state

of the system? The body of knowledge covering problems of this type is called optimal control theory. Applications occur in every field of science and engineering, and also economics.

It must be noted that only a minority of current technical problems can be solved by purely analytical methods. Hence, it is important to develop numerical techniques enabling one to solve optimal control problems on a digital computer. These numerical techniques can be classified into two groups: first-order methods and second-order methods. First-order methods (or gradient methods) are those techniques which employ at most the first derivatives of the functions under consideration. Second-order methods (or quasilinearization methods) are those techniques which employ at most the second derivatives of the functions under consideration.

Both gradient methods and quasilinearization methods require the solution of a linear, two-point boundary-value problem (and sometimes the solution of a linear, multi-point boundary-value problem) at every iteration. This being the case, progress in the area of numerical methods for differential equations is essential to the efficient solution of optimal control problems on a digital computer.

Accession For	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC GRA&I	
DOC TAB	
Unannounced Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or special	
Dist	A

### Problems

For the sake of discussion, assume that the system under consideration is described by the state  $x(t)$ , the control  $u(t)$ , and the parameter  $\pi$ . Also, assume that the interval of integration is fixed, the initial point is given, the state is unbounded, the control is unbounded, and the parameter is unbounded. Under these conditions, we consider three basic problems, called Problem P1, Problem P2, and Problem P3 for easy identification.

Problem P1. Minimize the functional

$$I = \int_0^1 f(x, u, \pi, t) dt + g(x(1), \pi), \quad (1)$$

subject to the constraints

$$\dot{x} = \phi(x, u, \pi, t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad (2)$$

$$x(0) = \text{given}, \quad (3)$$

$$\psi(x(1), \pi) = 0. \quad (4)$$

The minimization of the functional (1) must be performed with respect to the state  $x(t)$ , the control  $u(t)$ , and the parameter  $\pi$ , with the understanding that the time  $t$  is a scalar and  $x, u, \pi$  are vectors of appropriate dimensions. The functions  $f, g$  appearing in the functional (1) are scalar, and the functions  $\phi, \psi$  appearing in the constraints (2)-(4) are vectors of appropriate dimensions.

Problem P2. Minimize the functional

$$I = \int_0^1 f(x, u, \pi, t) dt + g(x(1), \pi), \quad (5)$$

subject to the constraints

$$\dot{x} = \phi(x, u, \pi, t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad (6)$$

$$S(x, u, \pi, t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad (7)$$

$$x(0) = \text{given}, \quad (8)$$

$$\psi(x(1), \pi) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Clearly, Problem P2 differs from Problem P1 because of the presence of the nondifferential constraint (7), to be satisfied everywhere along the interval of integration. The function  $S$  appearing in Eq. (7) is a vector of appropriate dimension.

Problem P3. This is a modification of Problem P2 which arises whenever the trajectories of the system include several subarcs, with the following provision: the analytical form of the functions  $f, \phi, S$  might change from one subarc to another.

### Algorithms

Over the past decade, the Aero-Astronautics Group of Rice University has successfully developed several algorithms for solving Problems P1, P2, P3 on a digital computer. Notable among these algorithms are the sequential ordinary gradient-



restoration algorithm (SOGRA, Refs. 1-4), the sequential conjugate gradient-restoration algorithm (SCGRA, Refs. 5-8), and the modified-quasilinearization algorithm (MQA, Refs. 9-12). Both SOGRA and SCGRA are first-order algorithms which have a cyclical construction. Each cycle includes a gradient phase (one iteration) and a restoration phase (several iterations) and is constructed in such a way that the decrease of the functional  $I$  is guaranteed, while the constraints are satisfied to a predetermined tolerance. On the other hand, MQA is a second-order algorithm which has an iterative construction. Each iteration is constructed in such a way that the decrease of the total error in the system (the sum of the error in the feasibility equations and the error in the optimality conditions) is guaranteed.

Each iteration of SOGRA, SCGRA, and MQA requires the solution of a linear two-point boundary-value problem or a linear multi-point boundary-value problem. In this connection, several techniques can be useful (method of adjoint variables, method of complementary functions, method of particular solutions, and Riccati transformation). Among these, the method of particular solutions (Refs. 13-20) has been employed in the solution of the LTP-BVP or LMP-BVP associated with SOGRA, SCGRA, and MQA.

Transformation Techniques

In spite of the generality of the previous work, a wide variety of problems of optimal control exist which are not covered by the above standard schemes. Whenever a nonstandard situation arises, one has two choices: (i) to develop an ad-hoc algorithm capable of solving the nonstandard problem on a digital computer; or (ii) to develop transformation techniques such that the nonstandard problem is brought within the frames of Problems P1, P2, P3. This can be achieved through proper augmentation and redefinition of the state vector  $x(t)$ , the control vector  $u(t)$ , and the parameter vector  $\pi$ , as well as through proper augmentation and redefinition of the constraining relations (see, for example, Refs. 21-24).

By means of transformation techniques, the following problems of optimal control can be brought within the frames of Problems P1, P2, P3: (i) problems with variable final time; (ii) problems with arbitrary conditions on the initial state; (iii) problems with control equality constraints; (iv) problems with state equality constraints; (v) problems with state-derivative equality constraints; (vi) problems with control inequality constraints; (vii) problems with state inequality constraints; (viii) problems with state-derivative inequality constraints; and (ix) minimax problems of optimal control. Therefore, by transformation techniques, the scope and range of SOGRA, SCGRA, and MQA can be increased dramatically.

References

1. Miele, A., Pritchard, R.E., and Damoulakis, J.N., Sequential Gradient-Restoration Algorithm for Optimal Control Problems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 5, No. 4, 1970.
2. Miele, A., Damoulakis, J.N., Cloutier, J.R., and Tietze, J.L., Sequential Gradient-Restoration Algorithm for Optimal Control Problems with Nondifferential Constraints, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 13, No. 2, 1974.
3. Miele, A., Recent Advances in Gradient Algorithms for Optimal Control Problems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 17, Nos. 5/6, 1975.
4. Gonzalez, S., and Miele, A., Sequential Gradient-Restoration Algorithm for Optimal Control Problems with General Boundary Conditions, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 26, No. 3, 1978.
5. Heideman, J.C., and Levy, A.V., Sequential Conjugate Gradient-Restoration Algorithm for Optimal Control Problems, Part 1, Theory, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 15, No. 2, 1975.

6. Heideman, J.C., and Levy, A.V., Sequential Conjugate Gradient-Restoration Algorithm for Optimal Control Problems, Part 2, Examples, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 15, No. 2, 1975.
7. Miele, A., Cloutier, J.R., Mohanty, B.P., and Wu, A.K., Sequential Conjugate Gradient-Restoration Algorithm for Optimal Control Problems with Nondifferential Constraints, Part 1, International Journal of Control, Vol. 29, No. 2, 1979.
8. Miele, A., Cloutier, J.R., Mohanty, B.P., and Wu, A.K., Sequential Conjugate Gradient-Restoration Algorithm for Optimal Control Problems with Nondifferential Constraints, Part 2, International Journal of Control, Vol. 29, No. 2, 1979.
9. Miele, A., and Levy, A.V., Modified Quasilinearization and Optimal Initial Choice of the Multipliers, Part 1, Mathematical Programming Problems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 6, No. 5, 1970.
10. Miele, A., Iyer, R.R., and Well, K.H., Modified Quasilinearization and Optimal Initial Choice of the Multipliers, Part 2, Optimal Control Problems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 6, No. 5, 1970.

11. Miele, A., Mangiavacchi, A., and Aggarwal, A.K., Modified Quasilinearization Algorithm for Optimal Control Problems with Nondifferential Constraints, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 14, No. 5, 1974.
12. Miele, A., Levy, A.V., Iyer, R.R., and Well, K.H., Modified Quasilinearization Method for Mathematical Programming Problems and Optimal Control Problems, Control and Dynamic Systems, Advances in Theory and Applications, Vol. 9, Edited by C.T. Leondes, Academic Press, New York, New York, 1973.
13. Miele, A., Method of Particular Solutions for Linear, Two-Point Boundary-Value Problems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 2, No. 4, 1968.
14. Heideman, J.C., Use of the Method of Particular Solutions in Nonlinear, Two-Point Boundary-Value Problems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 2, No. 6, 1968.
15. Heideman, J.C., Use of the Method of Particular Solutions in Quasilinearization, AIAA Journal, Vol. 6, No. 12, 1968.

16. Heideman, J.C., Application of the Method of Particular Solutions to Boundary-Layer Analyses, Journal of the Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 15, No. 6, 1968.
17. Miele, A., and Iyer, R.R., General Technique for Solving Nonlinear, Two-Point Boundary-Value Problems via the Method of Particular Solutions, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 5, No. 5, 1970.
18. Miele, A., and Iyer, R.R., Modified Quasilinearization Method for Solving Nonlinear, Two-Point Boundary-Value Problems, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 36, No. 3, 1971.
19. Miele, A., Naqvi, S., Levy, A.V., and Iyer, R.R., Numerical Solution of Nonlinear Equations and Nonlinear, Two-Point Boundary-Value Problems, Advances in Control Systems, Vol. 8, Edited by C.T. Leondes, Academic Press, New York, New York, 1971.
20. Miele, A., Well, K.H., and Tietze, J.L., Multipoint Approach to the Two-Point Boundary-Value Problem, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 44, No. 3, 1973.

21. Miele, A., and Cloutier, J.R., New Transformation Technique for Optimal Control Problems with Bounded State, Part 1, Theory, *Aerotecnica, Missili, e Spazio*, Vol. 54, No. 2, 1975.
22. Miele, A., and Cloutier, J.R., New Transformation Technique for Optimal Control Problems with Bounded State, Part 2, Examples, *Aerotecnica, Missili, e Spazio*, Vol. 54, No. 3, 1975.
23. Miele, A., Mohanty, B.P., and Wu, A.K., Conversion of Optimal Control Problems with Free Initial State into Optimal Control Problems with Fixed Initial State, *Journal of the Astronautical Sciences*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 1977.
24. Miele, A., Wu, A.K., and Liu, C.T., A Transformation Technique for Optimal Control Problems with Partially Linear State Inequality Constraints, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 28, No. 2, 1979.