

DDC AD NO.

RDTE PROJECT NO. None TECOM PROJECT NO. 5-CO-APO-DBA-711 TEST AGENCY REPORT NO. APG-MT-5318 TEST SPONSOR US ARMY TEST AND EVALUATION COMMAND TEST SPONSOR PROJECT NO. NONE TRADOC A.C. NOT AVAILABLE

FINAL REPORT

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

OF

INSTRUMENTATION PROGRAM, EVALUATION OF A GENERAL

PURPOSE MUZZLE VELOCITY DOPPLER PROCESSOR,

THE DBA SYSTEMS, INC. INVITE 512

NOVEMBER 1979

DDC FILE

20

Fil 1900 -4

 $\mathbf{2}$

A 092

DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

US ARMY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21005

DISCLAIMER

Information and data contained in this document are based on input available at the time of preparation. Because the results may be subject to change, this document should not be construed to represent the official position of the US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command unless so stated.

The use of trade names in this report does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such commercial hardware or software. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

A STATISTICS

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	O. A RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TECOM Project 5-CO-APO-DBA-711	& Final sigt
TITLE (and Letiny)	S. TYPE OF MENON ASNOS SOVERED
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT OF INSTRUMENTATION	Final 20 Jul 77-0
VELOCITY DODDLED DOCESCOD THE DDA SYSTEMS INC	27 Sep 79,
INVITE 512.	APG-MT-5318
APTHONED (AND	V 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
C. L. Francis	None
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK
Materi 1 Testing Directorate	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
ATTN: STEAP-MT-G	None $(12)42$
Aberdein Proving Ground, MD 21005	
CONTROL ING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
ATTN: DRSTE-AD-I	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	1 40
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
None	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE None
DISTRIBI TION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
None	
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
None	
KEY WOR'S (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number	()
Radar, muzzle velocity	•
Dopple processor	
Instrumentation, doppler processing	
Velocity, doppler radar processor	
ABSTRAC "(Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number))
The test item is a commercially manufactured micr purpose doppler processor for muzzle-velocity mea to determine the suitability of the test item for	roprocessor-based general- asurement. This project was r proving-ground instrumenta-
tion. The evaluation was conducted at Aberdeen F 1977 through 27 September 1979. Field and labora It is concluded that (1) the second-order least INVITE does not provide the accuracy and precision	Proving Ground from 29 July atory tests were conducted. squares fit used by the on required for proving-ground
applications, (2) INVITE raw data processed sepa	arately using a first-order
	ssified 404712
SECURITY CLA	ASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entern

「「「「「「」」」

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered) 20. least squares fit does provide the accuracy and precision required for proving ground applications (better than 0.1%), (3) the doppler qualitycheck circuitry of the INVITE does not function properly, and (4) as presently programmed, the flexibility of the INVITE is inadequate. It is recommended that (1) the test item be used for data acquisition only (not processing), (2) all processing be done with a first-order fit, and (3) no additional systems be purchased. Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
ABSTRA FOREWO	ACT	1 2
	SECTION 1. BODY	
1.	BACKGROUND	3
2.	DESCRIPTION OF TEST ITEM	3
3.	OBJECTIVES	8
4.	DETAILS OF THE EVALUATION	8
4.1	ANALOG INPUT CIRCUITRY	8
4.2	BENCH TESTS	10
4.3	RADAR HEADS USED	11
4.4	INITIAL FIELD TESTS	12
4.5	EVALUATION OF THE FIT	12
4.6	INPUT PARAMETER SETTINGS	16
4.7	DOPPLER QUALITY CHECK	18
4.8	ACCURACY AND PRECISION	20
4.9	MODIFICATIONS	* 21
4.10	OTHER FACTORS	22
4.11	COMMENTS	24
5.	CONCLUSIONS	25
6.	RECOMMENDATIONS	25
~•		23

SECTION 2. APPENDICES

Α	REFERENCES	•			•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•			•	A-1
В	CALCULATOR PROGRAMS			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•			•			•	3-1
С	ABBREVIATIONS	•	•	•			•	•		•		•		•			•	•			•	2-1
D	DISTRIBUTION LIST	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	≻1

i

(Page ii Blank)

ABSTRACT

The test item is a commercially manufactured microprocessor-based general-purpose doppler processor for muzzle-velocity measurement. This project was to determine the suitability of the test item for provingground instrumentation. The evaluation was conducted at Aberdeen Proving: Ground from 29 July 1977 through 27 September 1979. Field and laboratory tests were conducted. It is concluded that (1) the secondorder east squares fit used by the INVITE does not provide the accuracy and precision required for proving-ground applications, (2) INVITE raw data processed separately using a first-order least squares fit does provide the accuracy and precision required for proving ground applications better than 0.1%), (3) the doppler quality-check circuitry of the INVITE does not function properly, and (4) as presently programmed, the flexibility of the INVITE is inadequate. It is recommended that (1) the test item be used for data acquisition only (not processing), (2) all processing be done with a first-order fit, and (3) no additional systems be purchased.

FOREWORD

A March

and The second second

The Materiel Testing Directorate, US Army Aberdeen Proving Ground, was responsible for program planning, evaluation, and reporting. Mr. Ed Childers of the Naval Surface Weapons Center, Dahlgren, VA provided advice and assistance concerning operation of the test system.



SECTION 1. BODY

1. BACKGROUND

In the past decade, rapid changes in technology have increased the reliability and sophistication of muzzle-velocity radar (MVR). References 1, 2, and 3 describe evaluations of four different MVR systems that have been used by Army agencies. The recent procurement of the M-90 radar chronograph has added additional sophistication since this unit utilizes a microprocessor-based processor; however, all these systems lack flexibility as they are designed to work with a single microwave head and provide little or no adaptability in processing technique.

To overcome some of the disadvantages of these earlier systems, the Naval Surface Weapons Center, Dahlgren, VA, contracted to have a general-purpose muzzle-velocity doppler processor developed to their specifications. The contractor selected was DBA Systems, Inc. of Melbourne, FL. The final product was called the INVITE (Initial Velocity, Initial Trajectory Evaluation) System, model 512.

The measurement of velocity of artillery projectiles is a requirement for many test programs. Since the INVITE appeared to offer greatly improved performance and flexibility over existing processors, this project was initiated to purchase and evaluate the INVITE for provingground use.

2. DESCRIPTION OF TEST ITEM

A complete INVITE system consists of the model 512 processor manufactured by DBA plus any 80-column RS-232C compatible printer. For this evaluation a Texas Instruments model 743KSR printer was used. A picture of the processor is in figure 2.1.

2 (Cont'd)



Figure 2.1. DBA System, Inc. INVITE model 512.

A block diagram of the processor is in figure 2.2. The physical characteristics of the processor are:

Size - 22.2 x 48.2 x 45.7 cm (8.75 x 19 x 18 in.) Weight - 20.4 kg (45 1b) Operating temperature - 0° to 70° C (32° to 158° F) Power required - 117 VAC, 50 Hz, 3 A

4



5



2 (Cont'd)

2 (Cont'd)

The processor is composed of four major assemblies:

a. System power supplies.

b. Digital Equipment Corp. LSI-11 microcomputer consisting of:

(1) CPU KD11-F with Floating Point Arithmetic option KEV-11 and 4 kilobytes of random access memory (RAM).

(2) 4-kilobyte RAM MSV11-B.

(3) 4-kilobyte high-speed bipolar programmable read-only memory (PROM) MRV11-AA.

(4) Duplex 16-bit parallel input/output (I/O) interface DRV11.

(5) Serial I/O interface DLV11.

(6) System backplane H9270.

c. DBA System, Inc., hardware mounted on three wire-wrapped circuit boards.

d. Front panel controls, indicators, and interface circuits.

A complete description of the processor hardware configuration is in reference 4. A complete description of the processor software configuration is in reference 5.

The processor provides the following operational modes:

a. Single Shot - processes 64 doppler samples; performs a second-order least-squares fit (SOLSF) on a maximum of 32 samples; calculates the standard deviation (SD) in the residuals (difference between the fit and measured velocities); throws out the largest residual if it exceeds three times the SD in the residuals; iterates twice if necessary; outputs to the printer: muzzle velocity (Vo), muzzle acceleration (Ao), muzzle jerk (Jo), SD of the residuals, time from external trigger to doppler detect, number of samples used in the fit, and eight-round moving muzzle-velocity average.

b. Rapid Fire - same as single shot except no iterations of the fit are performed. The maximum rate of fire is two rounds per second.

c. Velocity and Time List - same as single shot except that the velocity, time, and quality of all 64 samples are also printed. Approximately 90 seconds are required for this printout on the 743 KSR.

2 (Cont'd)

d. Raw Data List - no processing is performed; prints the period, time, and quality for the 64 samples.

e. Short Velocity Range Plot - velocity and range are calculated for the 64 samples; and prints the velocity, time, range, and quality for the 64 samples.

f. Long Velocity Range Plot - collects the first 64 samples in the normal manner; collects an additional 192 samples, each delayed by the process delay time; calculates velocity and range for the 256 samples; and prints the velocity, time, range, and quality for the 256 samples.

g. Statistics Module - takes no new data; provides average velocity and SD for any group of rounds in memory. Modes 1, 2, and 3 provide storage for up to 100 rounds.

h. Test Header - prints a test header for identification purposes.

i. System Diagnostic and Test Modes - allow controls and display indicators to be tested; provide three different test frequencies for checking the processor.

As presently programed the processor uses the entire 4 kilobytes of PROM; therefore, additional operational modes could be supported only through the addition of another PROM board or the deletion of existing modes. The MSV11-B 4-kilobyte RAM board is not used at all; therefore, additional storage of data could be accomplished without adding additional memory.

The following parameters may be input to the processor:

RF Frequency - frequency of radar head used.

Process Delay - delay from receipt of doppler to processing of first sample (0 to 999 msec).

Round Number - allows any number in range 1-100.

Noppler Cycle Count - the number of doppler cycles in a sample (1 to 4095).

Noppler Cycle Jitter \cdot the amount of difference between the positive and negative halv s of a doppler cycle (0 to 255).

Number of Failures - the number of cycles in a sample that must fail the jitter criteria before the sample is flagged bad (1 to 255).

7

Kennis S.

The processor will accept analog doppler with frequencies from 20 to 200 kHz and an amplitude of 20 mV to 5V p-p. Digital input in the form of a differential line driver (type 9614 or 7830) is also acceptable. The digital input is compatible with General Electric MVR-200 series radar heads.

Two additional external triggers are also provided to allow referencing the start of doppler to an external event. These inputs are labeled closed firing key (CFK) and infrared detect (IRD). They are transistor-transistor logic (TTL) compatible (active low).

Light-emitting diode (LED) displays are available on the front panel to display most of the information sent to the printer (although a printer is much more convenient). The PARAMETER SELECT switch is used to determine what information is to be displayed.

3. OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the evaluation were:

... To determine that the accuracy and precision of the processor were adequate for use as proving-ground instrumentation.

b. To determine the utility of the processor as proving-ground instrumentation.

4. DETAILS OF THE EVALUATION

4.1 ANALOG INPUT CIRCUITRY

The analog input circuitry of the INVITE consists of the following sections:

a. An input amplifier with gain of 18.

b. A bandpass filter with a gain of 1.7, composed of an active high-pass and an active low-pass filter.

c. An additional open-loop operational amplifier stage.

d. A comparator to convert the analog signal into a digital signal.

Since no information was provided in the manual concerning the input voltage range, the properties of the analog input circuitry were investigated. A Wavetek model 145 signal generator was used to provide a 50-kHz sine-wave input to the INVITE. Voltages were measured at various points in the circuit using an oscilloscope. The results are in table 4.1.

	Output of Comparator (A3-39-11)	Applies to all input values:	5V TTL signal. O corresponds to pos innut	0.2 µsec rise, 0.1 µsec fall.	0.003V in is minimum signal that generates a reliable output.			
ALOG INPUT CIRCUITRY VOLTAGES ARE P-P)	Output of AMP (A3-27-10)	^b 20.0	21.0 trapezoidal wave with 2.8 µsec rise and fall	21.0 trapezoidal wave with 2.4 μsec rise and fall	21.0 trapezoidal wave with 2 μsec rise and fall	21.0 trapezoidal wave with 2 μsec rise and fall	21.0 trapezoidal wave with 2 µsec rise and fall	50 at 500 buc aciac
ALL (ALL	Output of Filter (A3-28-16)	0.3	1.45	2.95	^c ó.Û triaugie	6.0 triangle	6.0 triangle	
9	Output of Input AMP (A3-3-14)	^a 0.18	0.9	1.7 dis- torted	-o dis- torted	7.5 dis- torted	9.6 dis- torted	
	Input J9	0.01	0.05	0.1	c.u	1.0	2.0	a 111

VOLTAGE AND WAVEFORMS AT VARIOUS POINTS IN TARIF 4.1.

į

and the second

4.1 (Cont'd)

9

· · · · · · · · · ·

• • •

. ---- All values in this column have 0.05V at 500 kHz noise. ^bValues below 0.02 generate a haversine with base at -10V. ^cDistortion starts at 0.15.

-

•

An additional test was made using the SYNC OUT signal from the signal generator to trigger the oscilloscope while looking at the output of the comparator. Rise-time jitter was approximately 0.2 microsecond and fall-time jitter was less than 0.1 microsecond for all inputs greater than 0.018V p-p; thus, although the input amplifier and filter introduce noise and severe distortion, they do not affect the timing of the input waveform.

The input connector J9 also serves as a source of noise. Highfrequency noise, apparently generated by digital logic transitions, is observable at J9. The amplitude is sufficient (some components are 0.035Vp-p) to interfere with other devices connected to the input. Even if the input is terminated in 50 ohms the amplitude is only slightly reduced. This suggests that the input amplifier is serving as the source of the noise.

4.2 BENCH TESTS

The INVITE has test modes that can be used for diagnostic or confidence tests. Some tests use a fixed frequency (choice of 25, 50, or 75 kHz) to simulate a doppler input. This test signal does not check the system completely since it does not slew in frequency, change in amplitude, or introduce noise. To overcome these deficiencies a benchtest system was assembled using standard laboratory instruments. A block diagram of the test system is in figure 4.2-1.



Figure 4.2-1. Block diagram of instruments used to bench test INVITE.

The instruments used have the following characteristics:

GEN A - a function/noise generator capable of producing a triangle wave with a controllable amount of noise and corresponding sync signal, which is low on the positive slope portion of the triangle wave.

4.2 (Cont'd)

- GEN B a function generator capable of producing a sine wave whose frequency can be controlled by an externally applied voltage (VCO or external FM input).
- GEN C a function generator with DC offset capable of producing a ramp waveform, which can be gated on or off by an external voltage.
- VCA a voltage-controlled amplifier whose gain can be varied from 1 to 100 by a control voltage.

GEN B produces a sine wave to simulate a doppler signal. GEN A produces a ramp with noise that frequency modulates GEN B to produce a decreasing frequency sweep with frequency jitter. This signal simulates the decreasing velocity of a round. GEN C produces a negative-slope ramp when it is gated on by the sync waveform from GEN A. The gating ensures that GEN A and GEN C are locked together. The output of GEN C controls the gain of the VCA to produce an amplitude modulation envelope for the output of GEN B. Since the transfer function for the VCA is not linear, the envelope produced resembles the envelope of a round and is not linear. In addition, the VCA is operated at high gain so that amplitude noise is also added to the signal.

By making the proper choices of frequencies and amplitudes of waveforms, a wide variety of velocity and deceleration combinations can be programed. Only a limited range of amplitude-modulation envelopes is possible with the system described; nevertheless, the test system is useful for evaluating qualitative performance and gaining experience with parameter settings. However, since it is composed of analog instruments, it is not capable of determining accuracy and precision.

4.3 RADAR HEADS USED

Since the INVITE is a processor only, it must be combined with a doppler radam head to form a complete system. Two different heads were used during the evaluations: the General Electric MVR-203 and the Lear Siegler DR-810.

The MVR-203 provides an analog output on the head (BNC jack). The output voltage was 10V p-p maximum, with extensive limiting. The output of the MVR-210 was fed to an Ithaco 4312 active filter set to operate in a bindpass mode with the center frequency of the bandpass set near the expected doppler frequency. The output of the filter goes to the input of the INVITE.

The DR-810 provides a buffered, filtered analog (utput at J2 on the processor front panel (pin W - external filtered analog doppler, pin X - analog ground). The output was 10V p-p maximum. The output of the DR-810 goes directly to the input of the INVITE.

The TRIGGER THRESHOLD was adjusted to provide reliable operation with the particular head used.

4.4 INITIAL FIELD TESTS

An initial test was carried out to determine that the unit was functioning properly. This test was conducted using an MVR-203 radar head mounted on a 155-mm self-propelled howitzer, M109. Of 14 rounds lired, five were flagged as bad readings (positive muzzle acceleration - Λ_0). In addition, the Ao values for the nine unflagged rounds ranged rom -71.5 to -158.0 m/sec². This is a much larger variation than would normally be expected. The MODE 3 printout indicated velocity samples that looked adequate to provide a good fit.

A second test was conducted using the DR-810 head on the same weapon. Forty-live rounds were fired. Of this number 22 were flagged as bad (positive Ao), 16 were not flagged, and 7 were missed for other reasons. The DR-810 processor provided velocities for all 45 rounds. The Ao values for the 16 unflagged rounds ranged from 0 to -178 m/sec^2 . Again, his is a much larger variation than was expected and the MODE 3 printout indicated adequate data.

4.5 EVALUATION OF THE FIT

Since the MODE 3 printout indicated that adequate data were available, an evaluation of the fit was made. A program was written appendix B) for a Hewlett-Packard 9825 calculator to simulate the SOLSF used by the INVITE. A second program was written to apput the data from the MODE 3 printout to magnetic tape. The SOLSF parameters generated by the 9825 agreed closely with the values calculated by the INVITE. The floating point resolution of the LSI-11 is eight digits; the 9825 is twelve digits, so some difference can be expected.

Another program was written to perform an FOLSF on the data. The FOLSF parameters calculated by the 9825 differed from the SOLSF parame ers. Table 4.5-1 lists the values obtained from the two computations, the INVITE, the DR-810, and some comparisons for ten rounds. The values of Ao obtained with the FOLSF are very consistent compared to the values obtained with the SOLSF. Based on the overage difference and standard deviation in difference, the FOLSF Vos are in better agreement with the DR-810 Vos than the SOLSF.

FITS	
FERENT	c 7)
DIF	m/se
50 100	Ao in
TYPART	m/sec;
4.5-1.	(Vo in
TABLE	

e in Vo	DR810-9825	2nd Order	3.3	2.0	2.6	2.3	2.6		0.4 7	C*r	1.2	2.2	8	2	2.5	0.6	
Difference	DR810-9825	lst Order	1.0	0.6	1.3				7.0	د.0	0.8			0.0	0.7	0.3	•
	DR-810	٥٨	789.1	789.7	780.8	0.601	C.16/	189.9	6.167	791.8	701 3		1.16/	6.261	J	I	
Ļ	lt	Ao	- 77 0	8 26-		1.02-	-32.L	-32.9	-33.0	-34.4	0 00	0,UC-	- 29	-30.1	-31.3	 	7.7
9825 1s	Order F	Vo	1001	7.007	100.0	2.88/	9.06/	789.3	791.7	791.3		C-06/	790.9	792.1	1		1
	Fit	Ao		و . 0 م	7•7	4.9	6.3	14.5	29.7	27 0		-19.8	5.7	20.0	, , ,	13.4	10.Z
3000	7020 2	No		785.8	787.2	787.2	789.0	787.3	789.1	2007	100.0	790.1	789.5	790.1		١	١
	Fit	Ao		40	-12	24	16	22	74		44	-36	æ	24		1	I
	INVITE	Voa		-785.8	-787.5	-787.0	-789.0	-786.6	- 780 1	-/07-4	-788.0	790.5	-789.2	-789.9	,	g value	d dev
	•	No.	{	2958	2961	2962	2964	2965	2066	0067	2967	2968	2969	2970		Avi	St

^aThe minus sign for Vo indicates a flagged value: positive Ao or more than two iterations required to fit.

4.5 (Cont'd)

4.5 (Cont'd)

To examine more closely the difficulty with the SOLSF, an additional program was written (appendix B), which introduced a controlled amount of noise. The noise was generated by the random-number generator function of the 9825. The generated data were of the form Vi = round[Vo + AoTi] + N

where

a strange bet in de

Vo = 800 m/sec, Ao = -40 m/sec² N = round [(random No. -0.5)*G] G = noise factor round = round function (to 2 decimal places).

Since the random-number generator produces a different set of values each time the program is run, three data files were created for each case. The range of Ti was varied to provide three general cases:

a. Range 0.0612-0.0984 second with a step of 0.0012 second. This case represents the data acquisition process described in 4.1. The data acquisition time is less than the delay time from the muzzle to the start of data.

b. Range 0.0648-0.2136 second with a step of 0.0048 second. The data acquisition time is greater than the delay time from the muzzle to start of data.

c. Range 0.0000-0.0372 second with a step of 0.0012 second. The muzzle overlaps the data acquisition range.

The data files were processed using the FOLSF and the SOLSF. The fit parameters for the three time ranges and values of noise factor of 0, 0.4, and 1 are in table 4.5-2. The value of G = 0.4 corresponds to a root-mean-square (RMS) noise amplitude of 0.1 m/sec; 3 = 1 corresponds to an RMS noise amplitude of 0.3 m/sec.

Table 4.5-2 shows that for case a small amounts of noise can introduce large deviations in Vo and Ao. For cases b and c the deviation of Vo is not large; however, the deviation of Ao is still significant.

4.5 (Cont'd)

TABLE 4.5-2. PROCESSED CALCULATOR-GENERATED DATA (Vo in m/sec, Ao in m/sec²)

C. A	69.8	-12.6 55.3 -32.3	-39.6 -34.1 -43.0	-47.9 -69.1
T SOLS	795.8	799.1 796.6 799.5	800.1 799.6 800.1	800.1
G = G	A0 -41.5	-42.8 -46.5 -39.3	-41.4	-43.4
FOL	<u>Vo</u> 800.1	800.3 800.6 700.0	800.3 800.0	800.1 799.9
εF	AC -	-60.3	-39.1 -43.3 -39.7	-4/.U -30.5 -34.9
0.4 SOLS	V0 2 000	800.8 801.1	800.0 800.2 800.0	800.1 799.9 800.0
۳ ع	Ao	-39.1 -40.5 -39.1	-40.4 -40.3 -39.4	-42.6 -39.2 -39.8
5103	No	799.9 800.0 799.9	800.0 800.0 799.9	800.1 800.0 800.0
	Ao	-39.4	-40.1	-39.9
	No	800.0	800.0	800.0
0 = 0	Ao	-40.0	-40.0	-40.0
ĺ	FOLSI	800.0	800.0	800.0
Time	Step (sec)	0.0012	0.0048	0.0012
Time	Range (sec)	0.0612- 0.0984	0.0648- 0.2136	0.0000- 0.0372

15

Additional simulated data files were generated for case a, which had G=1 noise added and which rounded Vi to one, two, and five digits after the decimal place. The different number of digits after the decimal point represent different resolutions of the INVITE. The results obtained from the simulated data are in table 4.5-3.

No. of Digits	FO	LSF	SOLSF		
after Decimal Point	Vo	Ao	Vo	Ao	
5	799.8	-37.1	797.3	27.5	
	800.5	-45.4	799.9	-28.9	
	800.1	-46.5	797.7	19.8	
2	799.8	-37.0	797.3	28.2	
	800.5	-45.6	799.8	-27.6	
	800.1	-43.3	797.7	19.8	
1	799.8	-37.5	798.2	4.5	
	800.5	-46.1	800.6	-47.8	
	800.2	-43.6	798.1	10.2	
l (No Noise Added)	800.0	-40.3	800.7	-58.4	

TABLE 4.5-3. PROCESSED CALCULATOR-GENERATED DATA FOR CASE a WITH G=1 (Vo in m/sec; Ao in m/sec²)

The values in the table show that various resolution values do not appreciably change the fit parameters for the FOLSF; however, when the resolution approaches 0.1 m/sec the SOLSF is affected.

4.6 INPUT PARMETER SETTINGS

It was shown in the previous paragraph that when the resolution of the velocity data reached 0.1 m/sec a SOLSF would be affected. The resolution of the INVITE can approach 0.1 m/sec under some conditions. The doppler frequency f_D is given by

$$f_D = \frac{2f_m}{c} V$$

where c is the speed of light

f_m is the microwave frequency V is projectile velocity.

4.6 (Cont'd)

For the INVITE the resolution of the crystal oscillator is 0.1 microseconds, which is sufficiently small so that the resolution equation can be determined by taking the derivative of the doppler equation. The INVITE measures the doppler frequency by determining the period T for N cycles.

ς.

Then
$$\frac{N}{T} = \frac{2^{t}m}{c} V$$

and the resolution is

$$dV = \frac{-2 \tilde{f}m}{Nc} V^2 dT$$

The number of cycles used in a measurement can be varied from 1 to 4,095 by a front panel switch.

For an SOLSF, if the resolution is not to affect the fit, dV should be less than 0.01 m/sec.

Then
$$N = 0.000702 V^2 (10.525 GHz)$$

or $N = 0.00109 V^2 (16.45 GHz).$

For the FOLSF the resolution should ideally be 0.01% of the velocity level.

Then N = 0.0702 V (10.525 GHz)

or N = 0.109 V (16.45 GHz).

In addition to determining the resolution, N also determines the measurement time required. As the measurement time increases so does the distance the round travels. If N is set too large, the later samples will not be usable. The distance the round travels is:

 $d = V*t_{D} + 0.014*N*S (10.525 GHz)$

or $d = V*t_{D} + 0.0091*N*S$ (16.45 GHz)

where V is the expected velocity

t_D is the processor delay time, and

S is the number of samples.

The processor requires at least six good samples before it will perform a fit.

An additional factor that must be considered is the time required by the microprocessor to process the data for each sample. This time is 400 microseconds. N must be large enough so the N/f_D is greater than 400 microseconds. If it is not, velocities will be 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, ... of the expected value. The operator must balance resolution, number of samples, and processing time when setting N.

The processor delay can be determined by using the MODE 3 printout to determine the time at which the velocities become consistent.

The settings for the doppler cycle jitter and number of failures can be determined by using the MODE 3 printout. Settings that are too low will flag all data as bad. See paragraph 4.7 for an additional discussion of this point.

4.7 DOPPLER QUALITY CHECK

A hardware check is performed to determine the quality of the doppler signal. If a sample fails, the quality check is flagged and is not used in the analytical fit. The hardware check is described in reference 4; it consists of counting the number of clock cycles required for the positive half of a cycle and for the negative half of a cycle and then comparing the two counts. The value of the allowable difference (called doppler cycle jitter - DCJ) and the number of failures (NFAIL) allowed in a sample are input from the front panel.

During the various phases of testing the following abnormalities were noted:

a. The values of bCJ and NFAIL required to obtain unflagged data were always much larger than intuition or the nominal values suggested by reference 4.

b. Many samples are flagged bad even though their velocities appear valid when compared to adjacent samples.

c. Many samples that are not flagged bad have velocities which are significantly different from adjacent samples.

A series of bench tests were performed to evaluate the operational characteristics of the quality-check hardware. An Interface Technology model RS-648 word/timing generator was used to generate a precision input signal for the INVITE. The circuit used is shown in figure 4.7-1. The input to the INVITE was a square wave with rise and fall times less than 0.01 microsecond. The RS-648 clock is 20 MHz and the positive and negative portions of a cycle can be adjusted to a resolution of 0.1 microsecond. A frequency of 50 kHz was used.

4.7 (Cont'd)



Figure 4.7-1. Test setup for hardware doppler quality circuit.

Initially the periods of the positive and negative cycles were set equal and the values of DCJ and NFAIL increased until 90% of the samples were acceptable. Sixty-four doppler cycles per sample were used. Table 4.7-1 lists the results.

TABLE 4.7-1	. PERCENTAGE OF ACCEPTABLE SAMPLES FOR
VARIOUS I	OPPLER COUNT JITTER (DCJ) AND NUMBER
OF F	AILURES (NFAIL) SETTINGS WITH A
	SYMMETRIC INPUT SIGNAL

			DC.	J	
NFAIL	4	6	8	10	12
1			78	59	100
2			97	91	
3	0	29	97	100	
6	9				
8		35			
12	3	78			
16		91			
24	10				
32	0				
48	58				
64	97				

It is interesting to note that for DCJ=4 and NFAIL=64, the percentage is not 100. Since there were 64 cycles per sample, NFAIL=64 should pass every sample regardless of the value of DCJ.

A second set of tests was performed using asymmetrical waveforms with constant settings of DCJ (12) and NFAIL (1). The tests were carried out with the positive cycle longer and then with the negative cycle longer. The results are in table 4.7-2.

TABLE 4.7-2. PERCENTAGE OF ACCEPTABLE SAMPLES FOR VARIOUS ASSYMMETRIC INPUT SIGNALS

A	symmetry		
<u>Δt (µsec)</u>	Percentage of Total Period	Positive Cycle Longer	Negative Cycle Longer
Ó.2	1	71	100
1.0	5	3	100
2.0	10	0	91
3.0	15	0	91
4.0	20	0	0

It is apparent that the doppler quality circuit does not function adequately since it does not flag as unacceptable asymmetric waveforms with a longer negative cycle.

4.8 ACCURACY AND PRECISION

It was shown in paragraph 4.5 that the INVITE SOLSF was not adequate for processing data. For this reason, an accuracy and precision firing test as specified in reference 6 using two sets of comparison standards was not conducted; however, an estimate of the accuracy and precision capability can be determined by processing the MODE 3 data printouts with an FOLSF calculator program and comparing these velocities to those obtained by a DR-810. Twenty rounds from the 20 July 1979 test firing were processed in this manner. The results are in table 4.8-1.

TABLE 4.8-1. COMPARISON OF INVITE MODE 3 DATA PROCESSED WITH A FIRST-ORDER LEAST-SQUARE FIT AND DR-810 MUZZLE VELOCITIES

Rd	DR810	INVITE	ΔV	Rđ	DR810	INVITE	ΔV
No.	(m/sec)	(m/sec)	(m/sec)	No.	(m/sec)	(m/sec)	(m/sec)
2010	700 0	700 1	0.0	205.0	700 1	790 1	1.0
2940	/88.9	/88.1	0.8	2958	/09.1	/00.1	1.0
2941	787.6	786.8	0.8	2961	789.2	788.6	0.6
2942	791.4	790.4	1.0	2962	789.8	788.5	1.3
2943	788.8	787.7	1.1	2964	791.3	7 9 0.6	0.7
2944	788.4	787.2	1.2	2965	789.9	789.3	0.6
2946	789.2	787.9	1.3	2966	791.9	7 91. 7	0.2
2947	789.2	788.3	0.9	2 9 67	791.8	791.3	0.5
2948	788.9	787.9	1.0	2968	791.3	790.5	0.8
2949	789.2	788.3	0.9	2969	791.7	790.9	0.8
2950	790.1	789.1	1.0	2 97 0	792 .9	792.1	0.8

20

10 S. M.

The average difference between the two instruments is 0.87 m/sec and the standard deviation in the differences is 0.26 m/sec. These values are consistent with both instruments, having an accuracy of less than 0.1% and a precision of less than 0.1%.

4.9 MODIFICATIONS

Since the INVITE program is written in LSI-11 assembly language and stored in PROM, changes in the program cannot easily be made. To make the INVITE more readily usable it was decided that a direct interface to a 9825 would increase the utility of the INVITE.

The interface was implemented by using the Hewlett-Packard 98036A serial interface for the 9825 to replace the 743 KSR printer. A program was written to receive the data from the INVITE and format it for processing by the 9825. Since there is no handshaking involved between the INVITE and its printer port, all data transfers must be handled at the rate and time determined by the INVITE. The data rate for the 743 KSR is fixed at 300 baud; however, the 9825 and INVITE are capable of 9,600 baud. A switch was added to the DLV11 serial interface board to allow different baud rates to be quickly selected. An adapter cable between the 98034 and INVITE was fabricated as shown in figure 4.9-1.

9825	INVITE	
1	1	Amphenol type
2	3	17-90250-15
3	2	connector each end
7	7	
20	20	

Figure 4.9-1. 9825 to INVITE interface adapter cable.

A program was written to take the MODE 3 printout information, store the data on the 9825 cassette tape, print the data on the 9825 printer, process the data using an FOLSF, and print the results. The program also provides input of offset and setback of the radar head to allow the correction for nonradial doppler to be made. In addition, a descriptive header and comments are available for each round, the values of the maximum number of iterations and maximum and minimum numbers of points required for processing may be changed, and the round number may be four digits. A copy of the program is included in appendix B.

2ι

4.10 OTHER FACTORS

In addition to the inadequacy of the SOLSF, the noise generated by the input, and the improper operation of the hardware quality check, there are a number of other minor problems:

a. MODE 3 printout sample one is a large velocity at zero time but sample two is zero velocity at time one-half the delay. Both are flagged as invalid readings and neither is used in the processing; however, neither value serves any useful purpose in being printed. The MODE 3 printout should not contain these two samples.

b. In MODE 3 if the INVITE receives a false trigger before the real signal, it starts the printout process. To stop the printout and reset the unit, the RUN/LOAD switch must be cycled and a header printed. This process requires 5 to 10 seconds. Numerous rounds were missed because of this. In addition, the rounds counter is reset. A separate reset is required to allow recovery from a false trigger.

c. The flagging of rounds as failed if Ao is positive is not needed. Rounds should be flagged only if they do not produce a satisfactory fit.

d. Although not essential, it is of interest to know which samples were thrown out during iterations of the fitting process.

e. It is more logical to print the number of samples used in a fit rather than the number not used.

f. The number of significant digits used in the printouts is excessive. One digit after the decimal point is all that can be justified based on the resolution and accuracy of the equipment.

g. The RUN/LOAD and FEET/METERS switch/light assemblies should be replaced with separate switches and indicator lights. The present assemblies do not function properly.

h. The T/CFK and T/IRD inputs are configured such that if only one has been pulsed, then additional pulses on that input will reset the time; however, if both inputs have been pulsed, then additional pulses on either or both inputs will not reset the time.

i. Reference 5 states that at least eight samples are required before a fit will be attempted. Several cases were found where the fit was performed when only six samples were available.

j. Numerous differences were found between the schematic for the analog input circuitry (reference 4) and the actual circuit.

4.10 (Cont'd)

k. Occasionally when power is applied the INVITE will not perform properly. The power must be turned off and on again to get the unit working properly.

 ℓ . The printouts contain a number of nonprinting control characters, which serve no useful purpose. They should be eliminated from the print-out.

m. Occasionally samples are listed as having a zero velocity (particularly samples 35 and 63). The value stored in the memory is zero.

n. There is no cosine correction factor to account for the offset of the radar head from the gun.

o. Several user switches, adjustments, and test points are located under a door on the front panel of the INVITE. The door opens upwards and there is no catch to hold it. This makes adjustments difficult, as one hand must be used to hold the door open.

p. The test points for the doppler threshold and test-signal amplitude are on a component carrier, which plugs into a socket. When voltmeter probes are plugged into the test points, the weight pulls the carrier out of the socket,

q. The rotor of the doppler threshold adjustment potentiometer goes directly to the doppler threshold test point. Since the potentiometer is relatively high impedance (10 kohm), the line can be loaded by an external voltmeter.

The factors listed above can be described as annoying, but not devastating in their effect. There is one more factor that is devasting in terms of overall performance; this factor is that a number of important parameters are not available to the operator. These parameters are stored in PROM and cannot be altered by the operator. In particular, they include:

Maximum number of samples to be used.

Minimum number of samples to be used.

Mode time out.

Number of iterations allowed.

The ability of the operator to control these parameters is essential for complete flexibility in processing. The so-called rapid-fire mode was not tested since its maximum rate of two rounds per second is much slower than desired. The utility of the INVITE would be greatly increased if this mode were made to function by storing a limited number of samples (approximately ten) for 'O rounds in the RAM and then processing after all rounds are fired. The extra RAM card provides the necessary memory space.

The statistical mode was not used. Its capabilities are normally not required on the range. The test modes were found to be useful for checkout and testing. The long velocity range plot can be used with the HAWK radar to provide instrumental velocity and range as a function of time. The raw data list and short velocity range plot were not tested.

The time required by the system to store each sample is 400 microseconds. This time limits the number of cycles for each sample to be larger than $0.0004 \sec x f_D$. This limitation means that projectiles with very short doppler signals cannot be handled. This is a major shortcoming of the system.

4.11 COMMENTS

The INVITE was purchased to provide increased flexibility as compared to other muzzle-velocity radar systems. In fact, the INVITE does not possess the flexibility required. The problem stems more from the software of the system rather than the hardware. A number of alternatives exist that would provide the increased performance desired. They are:

a. Rewrite the INVITE software so that all system parameters are stored in the RAM instead of the PROM so that they can be accessed by the operator. This maintains the stand-alone nature of the system, while providing greater flexibility; however, the system would still be limited by its stored program capabilities.

b. Add a disk drive or bubble memory containing a BASIC operating system. Rewrite the PROM software for minimum data acquisition and control functions with system parameters stored in the RAM. All processing would be carried out with BASIC programs so that maximum flexibility could be achieved. The addition of a disk drive or external memory would increase the size and weight of the system, making transportability in the field a problem.

c. Use a 9825 programmable calculator to provide the processing capability of the system. The PROM software would be rewritten for minimum data acquisition and control functions, with system parameters stored in the RAM. This approach also makes field transportability a problem.

d. Both a and b or a and c could be implemented to provide a stand-alone system when required or a flexible processor when required.

5. CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that:

a. The second-order least squares fit used by the INVITE does not provide the accuracy and precision required for proving-ground applications (para 4.5).

b. INVITE raw data processed separately using a first-order least squares fit does provide the accuracy and precision required for proving-ground applications (better than 0.1%) (para 4.8).

c. The doppler quality-check circuitry of the INVITE does not function properly (para 4.7).

d. As presently programmed, the flexibility of the INVITE is inadequate (para 4.10).

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that:

a. The INVITE be used for data acquisition only (not processing).

b. All processing of INVITE data be carried out using a first-order least-squares fit.

c. Additional systems not be purchased.

25

(Page 26 Blank)

APPENDIX A - REFERENCES

and the second second

- Francis, C. L., Final Report of Special Study, Evaluation of MEDOVIC Radar, TECOM Project No. 9-CO-111-EFM-001, Report No. APG-MT-4519. US Army Aberdeen Proving Ground, September 1974. (Distribution controlled by US Army Test and Evaluation Command, ATTN: AMSTE-RU.)
- Francis, C. L., Final Report, Evaluation of Solid State Radars for Measuring Projectile Velocity, TECOM Project No. 5-CO-APO-SSR-201, Report No. APG-MT-4754. US Army Aberdeen Proving Ground, April 1976. (Distribution controlled by US Army Test and Evaluation Command, ATTN: DRSTE-RU.)
- Fogle, B. L., Final Report, RDI Program Evaluation of Radar Velocimeter, TECOM Project No. 5-CO-APO-VEL-601, Report No. APG-MT-4882. US Army Aberdeen Proving Ground, October 1976. (Distribution controlled by US Army Test and Evaluation Command, ATTN: DRSTE-RU.)
- 4. "Operation and Maintenance Manual, Initial Velocity, Initial Trajectory Evaluation (INVITE) System, Model 512." DBA Systems, Inc.
- 5. "System Software Manual, Initial Velocity, Initial Trajectory Evaluation (INVITE) System, Model 512." DBA Systems, Inc.
- Standardization Agreement (STANAG) No. 4114 (Edition 2), Measurement of Projectile Velocities, North Atlantic Treaty Organization, 29 October 1973.

A-1

(Page A-2 Blank)

APPENDIX B - CALCULATOR PROGRAMS

Program 1 - Data Entry

"DBA Processor Data Entry Program": £ 1: dim V[32],T[32],Q[32],N,A\$[32] 2: fMt 1,f6.1,3%,f8.6 3: "CMT:Enter velocity in mps": 4: "CMT:Enter time in microseconds": 5: "CMT:Enter only rnds with Q=1. Others will be set to 0 automatically": 6: ent "Tape header=?",A\$ 7: ent "N=?",N 8: for I=1 to N 9: ent V[1] 10: ent T[1] 11: T[1]/1000000+T[1] 12: 1→0[1] 13: wrt .1, V[1], T[1] 14: stp 15: next I 16: ent "Record on file no?",F 17: rcf F,V[*],T[*],Q[*],N,A* 18: dsp "DONE!!!!!!!!!!!!!! 19: end

Program 2 - Second Order Fit

"CMT:9825 simulation of DBA subrth POLY": i_ 1: dim VE323, TE323, QE323, N, A*E323, NE3, 33, CE33, FE33, PE323 2: fmt 1,f2.0,x,f6.1,x,f6.0
3: ent "File to be analyzed",F 4: 1df F,V[*],T[*],Q[*],N,A\$ 5: SPC 4 ... 2nd Order" 6: prt the plant of the state of the s 7: prt " DBA SIMULATION" 8: SPC 9: prt A\$ 10: spc 11: prt "RND VEL TIME" 12: prt 'NO (MPS) (USEC)" 13: for 1=1 to M wrt [6.1.I.V[]],T[]]+1000000 next I

B-1

Program 2 (continued)

S: "CMT:Perform 2nd order 1st sas fit": 17: 0+NE1,13 18: 0+N[1,2] 19: 0+N[1:3] 20: 0+N[2,3] 21: 0+N[3,3] 22: for I=1 to H 23: NE1,13+QEI3+NE1,13 24: NE1,23+TEI3+QEI3+NE1,23 25: N[1,3]+T[1]+2*Q[1]→N[1,3] 26: NE2,33+TEI3+3*QEI3+NE2,33 27: MC3,3]+T[I]+4*Q[I]+N[3,3] 28**:** next I 29: NE1,23+NE2,13 30: NE1,3]+NE2,2] 31: NE1,3]+NE3,1] 32: NE2,31+NE3,21 33: inv N+N 34: 0÷C[1] 35: 0+C[2] 36: 0→C[3] 37: for I=1 to M 38: C[1]+V[I]*0[I]+C[1] 39: C[2]+V[I]*T[I]*Q[I]*C[2] 40: C[3]+V[I]*T[I]*2*Q[I]+C[3] 41: next I 42: mat N*C+F 43: spc 44: f×d 1 45: prt "Vo=",F[1], "Ao=",F[2], "Jo=",F[3] 46: "CMT:Calculate residuals and stud dev in radis": 47: 0+8 48: 0≁0 49: Ø→R 50: 0→M 51: for I=1 to N 52: if Q[1]=0;0+P[1];eto 58 53: V[1]-(F[1]+F[2]*T[1]+F[3]*T[1]†2)+H 54: abs(H)→R[1] 55: if REIJ>R;REIJ+R;I+M 56: C+Q[1]→C 57: B+R[1]↑2→B 58: next I **59:** (B/(C+3))↑.5+S 60: f×d 2 "SIGMA=',S 61: prt 62: S*3→S 63: prt "Lest radle",R 64: fxd 0 65: prt "# lest redle",M 66: if R(Siste 67: 0→Q[M] 68: 9to 17 69: end

B-2

THE PLATE IS PARTICULT FRANCH

Program 3 - First Order Fit

(: "CMT:9825 simulation of DBA using 1st order fit": 1: dim V[32],T[32],Q[32],N,A\$[32],F[3],R[32] 2: fmt 1,f2.0,x,f6.1,x,f6.0 3: ent "File to be analyzed",F 4: 1df F,VE*],TE*],@E*],N,A\$ 5: SPC 4 1st Ürder" 6: prt " DBA SIMULATION" 7: prt 8: SPC 9: prt A\$ 10: spc "RND VEL TIME" 11: prt 12: prt "NO (MFS) (uSEC)" 13: for I=1 to N 14: wrt 16.1, I, V[1], T[1]*1000000 15: next I 16: "CMT:Perform 1st order 1st sas fit": 17: 0→Q 18: Ø→T 19: Ø→H Ñ÷V ө≁и for I=1 to N Q+Q[]]+Q . . T+T[1]*Q[1]+T 25: W+FEI]+2*QE1]+W 26: U+T[1]*V[1]+Q[1]+U 27: V+VEI3*QEI3+V 28: next I 29: (U-V*T/Q)/(W-T*T/Q)*F[2] 30: (V-F[2]*T)/0*F[1] 31: spc 32: f×d 1 33: prt "Vo=",F[1], "Ao=",F[2] ALL FAIL IN THE ROLL OF LAND IN LAND LAND 34: "CMT:Calculate residuals and stud dev in rsdls": 35: 0→8 36: 0→0 37: 0→R 38: 0≁M 39: for I=1 to M 40: if Q[1]=0;0+R[1];eto 46 41: VEI3-(FE13+FE23*TE13)→H 42: abs(H)→RE[] 43: if REIJ>R;R(1)+R;I+M 44: C+Q[1]+C 45: B+R[1]↑2→B 46: next I

B-3

Program 3 (continued)

...

47: (B/(C-3))↑.5+S 48: f×d 2 49: prt "SIGMA=',S 50: S*3+S 51: prt "Lest rsdl=",R 52: f×d 0 53: prt "# lest rsdl=",M 54: if R<Sistp 55: 0+Q[M] 56: eto 17 57: end

Program 4 - Simulated Data

0: "CMT:Simulated Data Generator Program": 1: dim V[32],T[32],Q[32],N,A\$[32] 2: ent "Tape header=?",A≸ 3: ent "No. of smpls=?",N 4: ent "Noise sain=?",G 5: 800+V 6: -40+A 7: fxd 2 8: for I=1 to N 9: .06+.0012*I+T[]] 10: V+A*T[I]+V[1] 11: prnd(VEI],~2>+VEI] 12: prnd((rnd(1)-.5)*G)+2)+H 13: V[1]+H+V[1] 14: 1÷Q[I] 15: prt H 16: next I 17: ent "Record on file no?",F 18: rof F,V[*],T[*],Q[*],N,A\$ 19: dsp "DONE!!!!!!!!!!! 20: end

B~4

Program 5 - INVITE/9825 Interface

```
0: "CMT:INVITE/9825 Interface/Processing Program":
1: dim VE64], TE64], A#E32], PE11], QE64], RE62]
2: dim C$[32],D$E1],Q$[64],U$[1],NE64]
3: 10→J
4: 8→K
5: 32+L
6: 0→Z
7: fmt 1,/,5x,f2,3x,f3,8x,f4,1x,f5,3/
       2,6x,c1,41x,f5,x,f5,3/
8: fmt
9: fmt 3,x,f3,4x,f10,3x,f9,3x,c1
10: fmt 4,f2.0,f7.1,×,f6.0
11: fmt 5,7x,c1,41x,f5,x,f5,3/
12: fmt 6, "NO (", c1, "PS) (usec)"
13: fmt 7, "TRK", f1.0, x, "FILE ", f3.0
14: fmt 9, "T=", f5.0, "; T1=", f5.0
15: ldk 0
16: spc 4
        "INVITE/9825 Bata", "Input/Processing", "Program
17: prt
                                                         12AUG79"
18: spc
19: dsp "Insert data tape-then CONTINUE"
20: stp
    "CMT:Get input parameters":
21:
22: "CMT:Data files must be at least 1200 bytes":
23: "CMT: Maximum 100 files per track":
24: ent "TAPE TRACK=? (0 or 1;2 if none)",r1
25: if r1#1 and r1#0;eto 29
26: trk r1
        "TAPE START FILE=?",r2
27: ent
        "LAST DATA FILE # =?",r3
28: ent
29: ent "Doppler Count Jitter=?",P[6]
30: ent "No of DCL Failures=?" P[7]
31: ent "First round no=?",P[1]
32: ent "Header=? (32char)",A$
33: prt A$
34: SPC
35: ent "RF Head Offset=?",P[8]
36: ent "RF Head Setback=?",P[9]
37: f×d 2
38: prt "Offset=",P[8]
39: prt "Setback=",P[9]
40: "CMT:Read INVITE header":
                                                               41: rdb(11)→A
42: if A#32;jmp ~1
43: red 11.1, PE23, PE33, PE43, PE33
44: red 11.2,U$,P[10],P[11]
```

B-5

17. 18. 19. 19.

Program 5 (continued) 45: sto 47 46: red 11.5,U\$,X,Y 47: for I=1 to 64 48: red 11.3,N[]],V[]],T[]],D\$ 49: D\$→Q\$[I,I] 50: next I 51: for I=1 to 64 52: 1+Q[]] 53: if Q\$[I,I]='N";V[I]*-1+V[I];0+0[I] 54: next I 55: "CMT:Record raw data on tape": 56: if r1=1 or r1=0; rcf r2; V[*], T[*], A\$, P[*] 57: "CMT:Print parameters": 58: spc 4 59: f×d Ø 60: prt "ROUND NO", P[1] 61: P[1]+1+P[1] 62: spc 63: if r1#1 and r1#0; sto 67 64: wrt 16.7, r1, r2 65: if r2=r3;1+2 66: r2+1+r2 67: fmt "DLY=",f3.0,";CNT=",f4.0 68: wrt 16,P[3],P[4] 69: fmt "Fo=",f5.0 70: wrt 16,P[5] 71: fmt "DCJ=",f3.0,";NFL=",f3.0 72: wrt 16,P[6],P[7] 73: wrt 16.9, P[10], P[11] 74: SPC 75: "CMT:Print data points": 76: "CMT:Prepare data array": 77: prt "SMP VEL TIME" 78: wrt 16.6,U\$ 79: Ø→N 80: for I=3 to 64 81: wrt 16.4, N[]], V[]], T[]]*1000000 82: if QEI]=0 or N>=L;eto 88 83: N+1+N 84: V[I]+V[N] 85: TEI]→TEN] 86: 1→Q[N] 87: I→NEN3 88: next I 89: if N>=K;eto 95 90: fkd 0 91: sec 92: Put "Insufficient" samples for 93: p t "# required≈",K 94: 9 0 141

analysis #="•N

Contraction of the second s

B-6

Ì

Program 5 (continued) 95: if P[8]=0;eto 100 96: "CMT:Doppler Correction": 97: for I=1 to N 98: V[I]/cos(atn(P[8]/(V[[]*T[I]+P[9])))→V[I] 99: next I 100: SPC 101: "CMT:Perform 1st order 1st sas fit on data": 102: 0→0 103: 0→T 104: 0→U 105: 0→V 106: 0→W 107: for I=1 to N 108: Q+Q[I]→Q 109: T+T[I]*Q[I]+T 110: W+TEI]†2*0[I]+W 111: U+T[I]*V[I]*Q[I]*U 112: V+V010*0010+V 113: next I 114: (U-V*T/Q)/(W-T*T/Q)+G 115: (V-G*T)/Q+H 116: SPC 117: fxd 1 118: prt "Vo=",H,"Ao=",G 119: "CMT:Calculate residuals and stnd dev in radis": 120: 0→B The second s 121: 0→R 122: 0+M 123: for I=1 to N 124: if Q[I]=0;0+R[I];eto 129 125: V[I]-(H+G*)[I])*E 126: abs(E)→R[]] 127: if REIJ>R;REIJ+R;I+M 128: B+R[I]↑2→B ALL PARTY CONTRACTOR 129: next T 130: (8/(Q-3))↑.5→S 131: f×d 2 132: prt "SIGMA≕",S 133: S*3→S 134: prt "Lest radl="",R 135: fxd 0 136: prt "# lest rsd)="#NEM] 137: prt "# of smpls=":0 138: if R<S; sto 141 139: 0→Q[M] 140: sto 102 141: if Z=1;beeridsr 'Tare track FULL';0+Z;wait 1000;beeristr 142: dsp "Chanses?(YE up fuc kys;N0-cont)" 143: StP

B-7



Program 5 (continued)

144: sto 46 145: "fØ key": 146: ent "Enter comments(32char)",C\$ 147: spc iprt C# 148: 9to 142 149: ent "New round no=?">P[1] 150: sto 142 151: ent "New header(32char)=?":A\$ 152: spc jprt H\$ 153: sto 142 154: ent "New tape track(2=no tape)=?",r1 155: sto 142 156: ent "New tape file no=?",r2 157: sto 142 158: ent "New type last data file no=?",r3 159: sto 142 160: ent "New max # of iterations=?",J 161: spc ;prt "#itrns=",J 162: sto 142 163: ent "New min # smpls to be analyzed=?",K 164: spc ;prt "min#spmpls=",K 165: sto 142 "New max # smple to be analyzed=?",L 166: ent 167: spc ;prt "min#smpls=";L 168: sto 142 169: ent "New Dop Ont Jitter=?",P[6] 170: sto 142 171: ent "New # of DCJ Failures=?",P[?] 172: sto 142 173: end

Special Function Keys Used with Program 5

f0: *cont 146 f1: *cont 149 f2: *cont 151 f3: *cont 154 f4: *cont 156 f5: *cont 158 f6: *cont 160 f7: *cont 163 f8: *cont 166 f9: *cont 169 f10: *cont 171



١

B-8

APPENDIX C - ABBREVIATIONS

A	=	ampere
Ao	=	muzzle acceleration
CFK	=	closed firing circuit
DCJ	=	doppler cycle jitter
FOLSF	=	first order least squares fit
INVITE	=	initial velocity, initial trajectory evaluation system
I/0	=	input/output
IRD	=	infrared detect
Jo	×	muzzle jerk
LED	=	light-emitting diode
msec	=	millisecond
mV	=	millivolt
MVR	=	muzzle-velocity radar
NFATL	=	number of failures
n=n	=	neak-to-neak
PROM	=	programmable read only memory
RAM	=	random access memory
DMC	-	
CD CD	_	at and and down at i on
201 65	-	standard deviation
50L5r	#	second-order least-squares fit
TTL	Ξ	transistor-transistor logic
V	=	volt
VCA	=	voltage-controlled amplitier
VCO	Ħ	voltage-controlled oscillator
Vo	=	muzzle velocity

(Page C-2 Blank)

· · · - - · ·

APPENDIX D - DISTRIBUTION LIST

ł

TECOM Project 5-CO-APO-DBA-711

Addressee	Final Report
Commander US Army Test and Evaluation Command ATTN: DRSTE-AD-I DRSTE-AD-M DRSTE-SG-A Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	3 1 1
Commander US Army Jefferson Proving Ground ATTN: STEJP-TD Madison, IN 47251	1
Commander US Army Yuma Proving Ground ATTN: STEYP-MMI Yuma, AZ 85364	1
Commander US Army White Sands Missile Range ATTN: STEWS-PL/ID New Mexico 88002	1
Commander US Army Dugway Proving Ground ATTN: STEDP-PP Dugway, UT 84002	1
Commander US Army Cold Regions Test Center ATTN: STECR-TD APO Seattle 98733	1
Commander US Army Tropical Test Center ATTN: STETC-TD-M APO, Miami 34004	1
Commander JS Army Electronics Proving Ground ATTN: STEEP-MT-I Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613	1

D-1

. . .

Addressee	Final Report
Commandan	
US Apmy Ainchaft Development Test	
Activity	
ATTN: STEBG-PO	1
Fort Rucker, AL 36362	-
Commander	
US Army Training and Doctrine Command	
ATTN: ATCD-TC-1	1
Fort Monroe, VA 23651	
Commander	
US Army Armament Research and	
Development Command	
ATIN: DRDAR-IS-I Deven NI 07901	Ŧ
DOVEL, NO 07801	
Commander	
ATTN: C.S. (Mr. Obildone)	,
Dablamon VA 22009	T
ballgreit, vn. 22440	
Director	
US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory	
ATTN: DRDAR-TSB-S	2
DRDAR-EB	1
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
Commander	
US Army Aberdeen Proving Ground	
ATTN: STEAP-MT-G	3
STEAP-MT-G (Mr. Francis)	10
STEAP-MT-M	1
STEAP-MT-X	1
STEAP-MT-O	1
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	1
Commandan	
Defense Documentation Centon for	
Scientific and Technical Information	
ATTN: Document Service Center	2
Cameron Station	۲
Alexandria, VA 22314	

Distribution unlimited.

...

÷.