





LEVELO



FEB 4 1980

HISA-FM 195-78

1 053

. .

Research and Development Technical Report DELET-TR-0558-3

MA080194

INVESTIGATION OF METHODS TO ELIMINATE VOLTAGE DELAY IN Li/SOCI<sub>2</sub> CELLS

Clifton A. Young Marc S. Dobrusin Carl R. Schlaikjer GTE Laboratories Inc. 40 Sylvan Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

November 1979

Quarterly Technical Report for Period 19 March - 19 June 1979

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

**Prepared for:** 

**ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY & DEVICES LABORATORY** 

# **ERADCOM**

US ARMY ELECTRONICS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703

# NOTICES

Support of the local distance of the local d

1

1

I

I

I

I

I

1

1

No.

## Disclaimers

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

## Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

ŀ

\$ 1

Caracitana and

HISA-FM-633-78

DELET-TR-0558-3 November 1979

ł

#### INVESTIGATION OF METHODS TO ELIMINATE VOLTAGE DELAY IN Li/SOCI<sub>2</sub> CELLS

QUARTERLY TECHNICAL REPORT 19 March - 19 June 1979

#### CONTRACT NO. DAAB07-78-C-0558

#### Prepared by

Clifton A. Young Marc S. Dobrusin Carl R. Schlaikjer

GTE LABORATORIES INCORPORATED 40 Sylvan Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

# DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

For

U.S. ARMY ELECTRONICS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

X 8 4

COREPORT DOCUMENTATION PAG	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	VT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECEIPTENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
DELET-TR-78-0558-3	(9)
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	STRE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
INVESTIGATION OF METHODS TO ELIMINATE	VOLTAGE Quarterly Technical Kept. M
DELAY IN LI/SOCH CELLS	5 19 March - 19 June 1079 -
2	TERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	78-0558-3
7. AUTHOR()	8. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(S)
Marc S. Dobrusin	DAAB07-78-C-0558
Carl R./Schlaikjer	(17)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK
GTE Laboratories Incorporated /	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
40 Sylvan Road	1L161102 AH47-03-483
Waltham, Mass. 02154	and the second s
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	11 12. REPORT DATE
US Army Electronics Technology & Devi	ces Lab November 1979
(ERADCOM), ATTN: DELET-PR	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
FORT MONMOUTH, NJ U//U3 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from	Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
alval	
121541	UNCLASSIFIED
1-1	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Approved for Public Release; distribu	tion unlimited.
Approved for Public Release; distribu	tion unlimited.
Approved for Public Release; distribu	tion unlimited.
Approved for Public Release; distribu 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identing Lithium-thionyl chloride cells, volta	tion unlimited. Ex 20, 11 different from Report) Thy by block number) tage delay, lithium passivation,
Approved for Public Release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identic Lithium-thionyl chloride cells, volta inorganic electrolyte batteries, poly	tion unlimited. (k 20, if different from Report) (if y by block number) loge delay, lithium passivation, (hedral borate, nonaqueous batteries.
Approved for Public Release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identic Lithium-thionyl chloride cells, volta inorganic electrolyte batteries, poly 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identic The rate of growth of passivating fil LiAlCl4/SOCL2 electrolyte has been me samples, and by pulse polarization me on lithium when measured in this way calculated from capacitance measured	tion unlimited. (k 20, 11 different from Report) (11 y by block number) age delay, lithium passivation, (bedral borate, nonaqueous batteries. (1 y by block number) ms on lithium in SOCl <sup>5</sup> and 1.8 M easured by weight gain of stored lithium easurements on stored cells. The film was found to be much thicker than when by pulse polarization.

al

. 4

-

I

I

I

I

Ι

termine and the second s

.

ABSTRACT - Continued

Micropolarization and capacitance measurements of lithium stored in 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>0Cl<sub>1</sub>5/SOCl<sub>2</sub> have indicated that the film formed in this electrolyte is much thinner than that formed in 1.8 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub>.

TI

11

1

1

-

Ι

Control of

-



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I

E

I

Γ

I

-

I

I

I

Π

I

I

I

T

Section			Page
1	Intro	oduction	1
2	Experimental		
	2.1	Materials and Electrochemical Test Cells	3
	2.2	Preparation of Glass Ampoules used in the Analysis of Lithium Corrosion by Weight Gain and by SEM Observation	3
	2.3	Instrumentation	5
3	Resul	ts and Discussion	6
	3.1	Pure SOC1 <sub>2</sub> : Weight Gain and SEM Studies of Lithium Samples	6
	3.2	1.8 M LiAlCl <sub>4</sub> in SOCl <sub>2</sub> : Weight Gain, SEM, and Micro- polarization of Lithium Samples	19
	3.3	0.25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> : Micropolarization of Lithium Samples and Comparison with LiAlCl <sub>4</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> .	29
	3.4	0.25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> : SEM of Lithium Samples and Comparison with LiAlCl <sub>4</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub>	35
	3.5	Physical Properties $Li_{2B_{10}}Cl_{10}/SOCl_2$ : Visible and EPR Spectra	43
Referenc	es		47

Accession For NTIS GMARI DDO TAB Uncompounced Jultification By Distribution/ Availability Codes Availability Codes Dist Special

vii

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Π

Π

Figure		Page
1	Sealed Corrosion Tube	4
2	Scanning Electron Micrograph (SEM) of Lithium Surface as it Arrives from Manufacturer X300	6
3	SEM of Lithium Surface as it Arrives from Manufacturer X3000	7
4	SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Exposed to SOC12 X300	7
5	Weight Gain for Lithium Samples Stored in Sealed Ampoules of SOC12	9
6	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOC1_2$ at Room Temperature for 59 Days X100	10
7	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $\text{SOC1}_2$ at Room Temperature for 59 Days X1000	10
8	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOC1_2$ at Room Temperature for 59 Days X10,000	11
9	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOCl_2$ at Room Temperature for 59 Days X10,000	11
10	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOC1_2$ for 25 Days at Room Temperature X300	12
11	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOCl_2$ for 25 Days at 55°C X10,000	12
12	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOC1_2$ for 80 Days at 55°C X300	13
13	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOC1_2$ for 80 Days at 55°C X300	13
14	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOCl_2$ for 80 Days at 55°C X3,000	14
15	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOC1_2$ for 80 Days at 55°C X3,000	14
16	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 <sub>2</sub> for 80 Days at 55°C X3000, 85° from Normal	15
17	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOC1_2$ for 25 Days at 72°C X1000	15
18	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOC1_2$ for 25 Days at 72°C, X10,000	16
19	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 <sub>2</sub> for 83 Days at 72°C, X1000	16
20	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOC1_2$ for 83 Days at 72°C, X3000	17
21	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to $SOC1_2$ for 83 Days at 72°C, X100	17
22	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 <sub>2</sub> for 83 Days at 72°C, X3000; 85° from Normal	18
23	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 <sub>2</sub> for 83 Days at 72°C, X10,000; 85° from Normal	18

ix

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont)

Second Second Second

Π

[

Figure		Page
24	SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlCl $_4$ /SOCl $_2$ and Rinsed with SOCl $_2$ ; X10,000	20
25	Weight Gain of Lithium Samples Stored in Sealed Ampoules of 1.8 M $\rm LiAlCl_4/SOCl_2$	21
26	Weight Gain of Lithium Samples Stored in Sealed Ampoules at 72°C	22
27	Film Thickness Measured by capacitance and by weight gain	23
28	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlCl <sub>4</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> for 17 Days at 55°C; X300	24
29	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlCl $_4$ /SOCl $_2$ for 17 Days at 55°C; X1000	25
30	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlCl $_4$ /SOCl $_2$ for 17 Days at 72°C; X300	25
31	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiA1C1 $_4$ /SOC1 $_2$ for 17 Days at 72°C; X1000	26
32	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiA1Cl <sub>4</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> for 17 Days at Room Temperature; X10,000	26
33	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiA1Cl $_4$ /SOCl $_2$ for 17 Days at Room Temperature; X3000	27
34	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlCl $_4$ /SOCl $_2$ for 17 Days at 55°C; X3000	27
35	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiA1C1 <sub>4</sub> /SOC1 <sub>2</sub> for 17 Days at 72°C; X3000	28
36	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiA1Cl <sub>4</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> for 17 Days at 55°C; X10,000	28
37	$d_{min}$ for Representative Lithium Electrodes Immersed in .25 M $\rm Li_{2}B_{10}C_{10}/SOCl_{2}$ and 1.8 M LiAlCl_4/SOCl_2 Stored at Room Temperature	30
38	d <sub>min</sub> for Representative Lithium Electrodes Immersed in .25 M Li2B10Cl10 and 1.8 M LiAlCl4 Stored at 55°C	31
39	$R_r$ for Representative Lithium Electrodes Immersed in .25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> and 1.8 M Li AlCl <sub>4</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> Stored at Room	
	Temperature	32
40	$R_{\rm r}$ for Representative Lithium Electrodes Immersed in .25 M $\rm Li_{2}B_{10}Cl_{10}$ Stored at Room Temperature and 55°C	33
41	SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Dipped in 0.25 M ${\rm Li_2B_{10}C_{10}}/{ m SOCl_2};$ X100	35
42	SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Dipped in 0.25 M ${ m Li_2B_{10}Cl_{10}/SOCl_2};$ X300	36
43	SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Dipped in 0.25 M $Li_2B_{10}Cl_{10}/SOCl_2$ and Rinsed with SOCl <sub>2</sub> ; X300	36
44	SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Dipped in 0.25 M $Li_2B_{10}Cl_{10}/SOCl_2$ and Rinsed with SOCl <sub>2</sub> ; X3000	37
45	SEM of Lithium Surface Stored at Room Temperature for 19 Days in 0.25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> ; X1000	37

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont)

I I

Π

0

Figure		Page
46	SEM of Lithium Surface Stored at Room Temperature for 19 Days in 0.25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> C1 <sub>10</sub> /SOC1 <sub>2</sub> ; X3000	38
47	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> C1 <sub>10</sub> /SOC1 <sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at Room Temperature; X10,000	38
48	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at 55°C; X1000	39
49	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at 55°C; X3000	39
50	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at Room Temperature; X10,000	40
51	SEM of Lithium Exposed to 0.25 M $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$ for 19 Days at 55°C; X10,000	40
52	SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at 55°C, X1000; 45° to normal	41
53	Stereoscopic View of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at 55°C; X3000, 45° to Normal	42
54	Visible Spectrum of Li <sub>2</sub> B <sub>10</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> /SOCl <sub>2</sub>	44

xiii

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

This report is part of a continuing fundamental study of the formation and growth of lithium chloride films on lithium metal exposed to thionyl chloride electrolytes, and how the electrolyte salts  $\text{LiAlCl}_4$ ,  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}$ , and  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{12}\text{Cl}_{12}$  affect both the film growth and the voltage delay or startup characteristic of primary cells following storage at ambient or elevated temperature. The thickness of the lithium chloride film on lithium in these cells has been correlated with the severity of the voltage delay following storage (1-5). The salt  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}$  was reported to alleviate voltage delay when used in place of  $\text{LiAlCl}_4$ , although the mechanism responsible for this dramatic improvement was not established.

The thickness and resistivity of films on active metals in corrosive electrolytes have been measured using a galvanostatic pulse technique and by the weight gain of lithium samples stored with electrolytes in glass ampoules (6-10). These studies have led to the development of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) model for these systems. According to this theory, contact between lithium and solutions containing thionyl chloride causes the formation of a thin insoluble film of lithium chloride which completely covers the metal, preventing further rapid chemical attack by stopping solvent transport, but allowing anodic discharge by permitting the passage of lithium ions. The measurements seem to indicate that the film consists of two layers. The first, a thin compact layer next to the metal and referred to as the SEI, is said to be overlain with a porous and much thicker layer of lithium chloride crystals. The outer layer is thought to be responsible for the voltage delay (10).

During the present quarter, weight gain and scanning electron microscopic examination of lithium stored in various electrolytes were to be compared, using 1.8 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> as the control electrolyte (10). Micropolarization measurements performed on lithium samples stored in cells containing  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$  were planned to compare with controls containing  $\text{LiAlCl}_4/\text{SOCl}_2$ , previously studied (11, 12). The micropolarization measurements are non-destructive, and cells may be stored again following measurements of the surface resistivity and capacitance.

The two general objectives of this work continue to be to establish to what extent the polyhedral borate salts are more effective than lithium tetrachloroaluminate in preventing heavy film formation, electrochemical passivation, and voltage delay, and to establish by what mechanisms the

polyhedral borate salts are able to accomplish their effect. An adequate solution to the delay problem would require that neither extensive anode corrosion, self-discharge, or other cell deterioration is a consequence of any changes made in the electrolyte composition. If the borate salts prove to be substantially more effective than LiAlCl<sub>4</sub> as electrolytes in Li/SOCl<sub>2</sub> power sources, an understanding of the mechanism by which this occurs may make alternative solutions possible. Alternative solutions would be desirable because synthesis of the borate requires expensive, reactive, and toxic starting materials, and because adequate purification of the final products is difficult. A continued study of the physical properties of lithium polyhedral borates/thionyl chloride solutions was therefore planned to include the analysis of visible and electron paramagnetic resonance spectra.

Π

#### 2.1 MATERIALS AND ELECTROCHEMICAL TEST CELLS

The synthesis of  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}$ , the purification of thionyl chloride, and the preparation of 0.25 M solutions of  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}$  in thionyl chloride, have all been previously described (11-12). Electrochemical test cells for pulse polarization measurements were also prepared as described previously (13).

#### 2.2 PREPARATION OF GLASS AMPOULES USED IN THE ANALYSIS OF LITHIUM CORROSION BY WEIGHT GAIN AND BY SEM OBSERVATION.

The ampoules used to measure the weight gain of lithium samples exposed to solvents and electrolytes and to examine lithium samples in the SEM were prepared in the following way. Pieces of lithium foil 2 cm x 6 cm were rolled up with sections of 5 mil thick Crane non-woven glass fabric and inserted in glass tubes such as diagrammed in Figure 1a after exactly 10 ml of the fluid to be tested had already been added to the tube. The tubes were attached to a vacuum line, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then pumped down. The liquid was permitted to thaw in order to allow any trapped gas to escape, and the tube was once again frozen and evacuated. The tube was then sealed off with a torch as indicated in Figure 1b, inverted as indicated in Figure 1c, and stored at the appropriate temperature for the appropriate period of time. When it came time to examine the stored lithium, the ampoules were taken into an argon filled dry box and broken open where indicated by the arrow in Figure 1b. Each lithium roll was either carefully unravelled, washed twice with thionyl chloride and weighed after being allowed to dry, or a small piece was sectioned off, washed and mounted for examination under the scanning electron microscope. The samples were transferred to the microscope with the aid of sealed jars and a nitrogen filled antechamber.

In all these experiments the ratio of the area of exposed lithium to the electrolyte volume (A/V) was 2.4 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\frac{24}{10}$ ) which is near that in the AA cells used for startup and capacity studies. ( $\frac{13}{4} = 3.25$  cm<sup>-1</sup>). The stored lithium was not in contact with any other metal, eliminating the possibility of self discharge through local cell reactions.



#### 2.3 INSTRUMENTATION\*

Π

Scanning electron micrographs were taken using a JSM-U3 scanning electron microscope manufactured by JEOL. X-ray dispersion analysis was carried out using a Princeton Gamma Tech PGT-1000 X-Ray analyser. It was not calibrated. Visible spectra were taken with reference to air in a 5 mm quartz cell using a Cary 14 recording spectrometer. Electron paramagnetic resonance spectra were taken on an instrument manufactured by Varian.

<sup>\*</sup>We wish to acknowledge with thanks the SEM photographs prepared by Mr. Kim Ostreicher, GTE Laboratories, the visible spectra prepared by Mr. Frank Kochanek and Mr. Paul Martakos, also of GTE Laboratories, and the EPR spectra, taken by Dr. Hans van Willigen of the University of Massachusetts, Boston.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 PURE SOC1,: WEIGHT GAIN AND SEM STUDIES OF LITHIUM SAMPLES

To establish the validity of our experimental approach, scanning electron micrographs were taken of an untreated lithium surface (Figures 2 and 3) as it arrived from the manufacturer. Figure 4 shows the lithium surface after momentary exposure to thionyl chloride. It can be seen that the procedure of washing the SEM samples in thionyl chloride did not produce artifacts.

From the weight gain per square centimeter, the film thickness can be calculated if the composition of the film is known. The film thickness and the depth to which the lithium was corroded have been calculated assuming all lithium which reacted formed an adhering film of pure lithium chloride. These numbers (in microns) are entered in all figures showing weight gains. The slight solubility of LiC1 may have caused attrition, or dissolution from the film and crystallization elsewhere, as on the sides of the glass ampoules. If any attrition occurred, or if the coating was not pure lithium chloride, the calculated thicknesses have been affected. Nonetheless, they provide a valuable estimate of average film thickness on the chemically passivated sample.



Figure 2. Scanning Electron Micrograph (SEM) of Lithium Surface as it Arrives from Manufacturer X300



I

Π

Π

Π

ł

Figure 3. SEM of Lithium Surface as it arrives from Manufacturer X3000



Figure 4. SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Exposed to SOC1 X300

In Figure 5, the weight gains of lithium samples immersed in pure SOC1<sub>2</sub> are plotted as a function of time and temperature. The weight gain in these experiments was small and the uncertainty in the measurement caused scatter in the data. On the basis of these experiments, the storage temperature did not appear to have affected the extent of corrosion. However, the weight gained as a result of lithium corrosion and growth of LiCl on the surface of the metal may have fortuitously balanced the weight lost as a result of LiCl attrition.

When lithium samples stored at room temperature in thionyl chloride were examined under the scanning electron microscope (SEM), no crystals were observed (see Figures 6-10). In Figures 6, 7, and 10, the corrosion of lithium appeared to be a localized phenomenon under these conditions. As shown in Figures 8 and 9, the surface became very roughened after extended storage at room temperature but there were no obvious crystals.

Lithium samples stored at 55° in pure thionyl chloride were also examined after 25 and after 80 days. The development of surface features after 25 days is shown in Figure 11. After 80 days of such storage the surface of the lithium had roughly shaped crystals on it as can be seen in Figures 12 and 13, which were both taken on the same sample. While the surfaces in these two photographs are somewhat different, the basic similarities can be seen at higher magnification in Figures 14 and 15 of the same respective areas. A side view of the rough, almost crystalline surface stored for 80 days can be seen in Figure 16.

At 72°C, lithium stored with pure thionyl chloride acquired a more crystalline appearance when compared with lithium stored at lower temperature. Figures 17 and 18 show the surface of a sample after 25 days storage. Again the begining of crystalline development can be seen. Photographs at the same magnification of a surface at the same temperature for 83 days reveal extensive crystallinity (Figures 19 and 20) which is visible at lower magnification (Figure 21). Side views of the crystals revealing how rough they are, are seen in Figures 22 and 23. Crystals are normally formed by growth from solution. Exchange of solid material with the solution will encourage the growth of larger crystals at the expense of smaller ones, since the surface to volume ratio is larger for smaller crystals. The solubility of a compound and the rate at which solid may exchange with material in solution are usually greater, the higher is the temperature. The conclusion is that lithium chloride has a small but finite solubility in pure thionyl chloride.



[

T

1

ł

Figure 5. Weight Gain for Lithium Samples Stored in Sealed Ampoules of  $\operatorname{SOCl}_2$ 

9

frie of the



1

and and

Figure 6. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 at Room Temperature for 59 Days. X100



Figure 7. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1<sub>2</sub> at Room Temperature for 59 Days. X1000



Ī

Ι

Π

Figure 8. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 at Room Temperature for 59 Days. X10,000



Figure 9. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 at Room Temperature for 59 Days. X10,000



Π

Figure 10. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 for 25 Days at Room Temperature. X300



Figure 11. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1<sub>2</sub> for 25 Days at 55°C. X10,000



Γ

E

I

Π

Π

[]

Figure 12. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1<sub>2</sub> for 80 Days at 55°C. X300



Figure 13. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1<sub>2</sub> for 80 Days at 55°C. X300



1

A second

11

1

Π

The second

A Local Day

Π

Figure 14. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 for 80 Days at 55°C. X3,000



Figure 15. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 for 80 Days at 55°C. X3,000



I

E

E

Π

Π

Γ

1

1

1

I

Figure 16. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 for 80 Days at 55°C. X3000, 85° from Normal.<sup>2</sup>



Figure 17. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 for 25 Days at 72°, X1000



Figure 18. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 for 25 Days at 72°C, X10,000.



Figure 19. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 for 83 Days at 72°C, X1000.



lane and

ŀ

0

Ú

[]

I

Figure 20. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1<sub>2</sub> for 83 Days at 72°C, X3000.



Figure 21. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1<sub>2</sub> for 83 Days at 72°C, X100.

2 - 20 10 - 2001

and the second second



Figure 22. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 for 83 Days at 72°C, X3000; 85° from Normal.



Figure 23. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to SOC1 for 83 Days at 72°, X10,000; 85° from Normal.

Lithium metal samples stored in thionyl chloride at room temperature for 50 days at 72°C for 83 days were analyzed by EDAX, or x-ray dispersion, which can detect elements heavier than sodium in specific areas of fields observed with the SEM. In the sample stored at room temperature (see Figure 6), chloride and some sulfur were detected in the smooth areas. The ratio of the peak heights was consistent over the smooth areas. In the localized areas of corrosion, as seen in Figure 7, peak heights were inconsistent, but usually contained more sulfur. EDAX analysis of the crystals on the surface of the lithium stored at 72°C for 83 days (Figure 19) revealed only chlorine. The substrate between these crystals contained sulfur.

Π

[].

In the past, EDAX analysis of lithium surfaces exposed to thionyl chloride have never considered what happens during exposure to the pure solvent, but have always dealt with thionyl chloride containing lithium tetrachloraluminate. Sulfur has never been found in the films on lithium stored with  $LiAlCl_4/SOCl_2$ , although chloride has been in abundance. It is possible that the first rapid film forming reactions in  $LiAlCl_4/SOCl_2$  could be indiscriminate, and the passivating film nearest the lithium metal could contain a mixture of highly reduced species including sulfur compounds.

# 3.2 1.8 M LiA1C14 IN SOC1 : WEIGHT GAIN, SEM, AND MICROPOLARIZATION OF LITHIUM SAMPLES

In contrast to the lack of immediate effect of pure  $SOC1_2$ , momentary exposure of lithium metal to 1.8 M  $LiAlC1_4/SOC1_2$  and then rinsing with  $SOC1_2$  had a profound effect on the surface morphology. The formerly smooth surface (see Figure 4) has been roughened as can be seen in Figure 24.

The weight gain of lithium samples stored in 1.8 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> is plotted as a function of time and temperature of storage in Figure 25. Based on the assumptions already mentioned, the film thickness can be calculated and is indicated in Figure 25. The temperature appeared to have a large influence on the rate and extent film growth on lithium in this electrolyte. The weight gain in electrolyte was greater than in pure thionyl chloride especially at 72°C, as seen in Figure 26. The presence of the electrolyte salt enhances film growth and corrosion.



Figure 24. SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> and Rinsed with SOCl<sub>2</sub>; X10,000

and a

The logarithm of the total film thickness as measured by weight gain and the thickness of the inner layer as calculated from micropolarization measurements are compared as a function of storage time in Figure 27. Calculations based on micropolarization measurements indicated that the average thickness of the SEI was less than 0.5 micron after 30 days at room temperature or at 72°C. The SEM photographs of all lithium samples exposed to 1.8 M LiAlC14/SOC1, showed that the surface structures were greater than 0.5 microns in diameter. Weight gain measurements indicated that the thickness after 30 days was 2.5 microns (room temperature) or about 18 microns (72°C). There are two ways to account for the difference in thickness as determined by the capacitance or by the weight gain measurements. One is the previously proposed theory that there are two The other is that there is only one non-uniform passivating layer films. with deep pores or fissures that go almost all the way through to the metal and whose electrochemical behavior predominates during the micropolarization measurements.



0

Ē

1

Π

5

0

ł



2.

. 4



ł

Weight Gain of Lithium Samples Stored in Sealed Ampoules at 72°C Figure 26.

e i

[]

Π

1

1

]]

T

1

II

1

1

Π

T

1



Π

1

E

Figure 27. Film Thickness Measured by Capacitance and by Weight Gain

-

Scanning electron micrographs were taken of lithium surfaces stored in 1.8 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> for 17 days at room temperature, 55° and 72°C. The surfaces stored at 55°C and 72°C can be seen in comparable degrees of magnification in Figures 28; 29, and 30; and 31. Crystals completely covered the surface, making it impossible to view an underlayer or SEI directly. It was also not possible to view a cross section of the film to verify the presence or absence of the two postulated layers on the metal. While the crystals on the surface stored at the higher temperatures are slightly larger, both surfaces consist of well formed crystals from 3 to 30 microns in diameter.

The sample stored at room temperature is in marked contrast with the above samples. In Figures 32 and 33, a bed of very small crystals under a micron, can be seen. The crystals appear to be less well formed, but these pictures are at considerably higher magnification and the resolution may not be as good. The magnitude of the differences can be seen best by comparing Figure 33 with Figures 34 and 35 or Figure 32 with Figure 36 of the surfaces stored at higher temperature.

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 28 - SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlC1<sub>4</sub>/ SOC1<sub>2</sub> For 17 Days at 55°C; X300.

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

Π

E

to service of

I

5

1

 $\left[ \right]$ 

Π

Π

[

1

0

0

[

Figure 29. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlC1<sub>4</sub>/ SOC1<sub>2</sub> for 17 Days at 55°C; X1000.

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 30. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiA1C1<sub>4</sub>/ SOC1<sub>2</sub> for 17 Days at 72°C; X300.

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

1

1

The second

1

Π

Figure 31. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> For 17 Days at 72°C; X1000.

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 32. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAIC1<sub>4</sub>/SOC1<sub>2</sub> For 17 Days at Room Temperature; X10,000.

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

1

[]

[]

0

8

U

Π

Π

Figure 33. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> For 17 Days at Room Temperature; X3000

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 34. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlC1<sub>4</sub>/SOC1<sub>2</sub> For 17 Days at 55°C; X3000.

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

Figure 35. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.8 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> For 17 Days at 72°C; X3000

13

1

[]

I

1

I

-

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 36. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 1.3 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> For 17 Days at 55°C; X10,000.

These results may be compared with growth of LiCl crystals on lithium stored in thionyl chloride alone, as discussed above. The increased temperature of storage, having produced larger crystals on the lithium surface, probably did so by increasing the solubility of lithium chloride in the electrolyte or by causing faster exchange of solid with dissolved salt. The presence of crystals on samples stored at room temperature in LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> may indicate that the solubility of LiCl is higher, or the exchange of solid with dissolved salt is faster than in pure thionyl chloride at room temperature. The exchange could occur through reversible dissociation of tetrachloraluminate, as follows:

 $Li^{+} AlCl_{A} \neq \underline{LiCl} + AlCl_{3}$ (1)

Increased solubility or exchange of solid lithium chloride with the solution could have caused increased attrition of the film on the lithium, crystallization on the walls of the ampoule, and some systematic error in the measurement of film thickness by weight gain.

3.3 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>: MICROPOLARIZATION OF LITHIUM SAMPLES AND COMPARISON WITH LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub>.

The thickness  $(d_{min})$  of the chemically passivating film, calculated as described previously (12), is shown as a function of storage time for representative electrodes in 1.8 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub> at room temperature (Figure 37), and at 55° (Figure 38). The thickness of the film on the electrode stored in 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub> was less. The film also did not appear to continue growing as fast after the first 3 or 4 days, in contrast to LiAlCl<sub>4</sub> where the film continued to grow for a month or more. The film thickness was found to be more strongly temperature dependent in the boron electrolyte.

[]

[]

The reaction resistance  $(R_r)$  of the film on lithium electrodes stored at room temperature in 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> was two orders of magnitude less than in 1.8 M LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub>, as is shown in Figure 39. The reaction resistance of the film found in the boron electrolyte was also more strongly dependent on the storage temperature than it was in the aluminum electrolyte, as shown in Figure 40.

It has been proposed that there are two passivating films on the lithium electrode, an inner one functioning as a solid electrolyte interphase, and an outer one of corrosion products causing the voltage delay (10). It might be expected that if an electrolyte based on  $\text{Li}_{2B_{10}}\text{Cl}_{10}$  alleviated voltage delay (15), the inner film would be more passivating, preventing build-up of the outer film. However, the thickness and

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

[]

Π

11

Π

Π

Π

Π

1

17

IJ

Π

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

[]

R

1

1

Π

11

1

0

1

[]

II

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

resistivity as measured by pulse polarization techniques were much less in the boron electrolyte. The differences in the measured parameters cannot be explained by differences in electrolyte concentration, since Peled found less variation in  $d_{min}$  and  $R_r$  by varying the concentration of LiAlCl<sub>4</sub> (18) than we have observed by changing the electrolyte salt. The measurement of the resistivity and capacitance by pulse polarization probably therefore results in some intermediate value between the thickness of the inner layer and the total film thickness. The method cannot be made to determine or predict the porosity of the overlayer, or the size or depth of the pores in the overlayer. It can therefore not be determined what role the overlayer plays in affecting the measurements of  $R_r$  or  $d_{min}$ for the solid electrolyte interphase. As discussed above, the LiCl may consist of a single highly non-uniform coating.

1

11

11

11

1

1

11

3.4 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub>: SEM OF LITHIUM SAMPLES AND COMPARISON WITH LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub>

Even momentary exposure of lithium metal to electrolyte will result in the development of a film and chemical passivation. A piece of lithium immersed in 0.25 M  $\text{Li}_2B_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$ , then dried without rinsing produced Figures 41 and 42. After rinsing a sample with thionyl chloride to remove the electrolyte salt, the surface appeared as in Figures 43 and 44.

1

Scanning electron micrographs were taken of lithium samples stored for 19 days in 0.25 M  $\text{Li}_{2B_{10}}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_{2}$  at ambient temperature (Figures 45, 46, and 47) and at 55°C (Figures 48 and 49). For both samples, the lithium was found to be covered by a smooth passivating layer, with cubic crystals scattered over the surface. The smooth areas, much smoother than the original lithium, are believed to be a coating of salt, since the sample stored at room temperature developed cracks when flexed during mounting (Figure 50). At the time these photographs were taken, the EDAX was inoperative, preventing examination of the film for the presence of chlorine or sulfur. A different technique such as ESCA will be required to determine whether such films contain lithium or boron. The sample stored at 55°C had many more of the cubic crystals, interspersed with crystalline needles as shown in Figures 51 and 52. These needle-shaped crystals protruded from the surface as shown in the stereoscopic view in Figures 53R and 53L.

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

Figure 41. SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Dipped in 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>C<sub>10</sub>/SOC1<sub>2</sub>; X100.

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

Figure 42. SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Dipped in 0.25 M  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$ ; X300.

B

[]

1

1

[]

1

1

IJ

[]

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 43. SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Dipped in 0.25 M  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$  and Rinsed with SOCl<sub>2</sub>; X300.

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

E

I

[]

Π

-

[]

0

1

ł

Figure 44. SEM of Lithium Surface Momentarily Dipped in 0.25 M  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$  and Rinsed with SOCl<sub>2</sub>; X3000.

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 45. SEM of Lithium Surface Stored at Room Temperature for 19 Days in 0.25 M  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$ ; X1000.

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

Figure 46. SEM of Lithium Surface Stored at Room Temperature for 19 Days in 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub>; X3000.

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 47. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at Room Temperature; X10,000.

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

1

I

[]

[]

 $\Box$ 

[]

[

Figure 48. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub><sup>B</sup>10<sup>C1</sup>10/SOC1<sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at 55°C; X1000.

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 49. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at 55°C; X3000.

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

Figure 50. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at Room Temperature; X10,000.

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 51. SEM of Lithium Exposed to 0.25 M  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$  for 19 Days at 55°C; X10,000

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

L

I

Ε

E

Figure 52. SEM of Lithium Surface Exposed to 0.25 M Li<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub> for 19 Days at 55°, X1000; 45° to normal

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

The cubic crystals might have been lithium chloride which had grown from species present in the solution as discussed above, rather than from simultaneous corrosion of lithium and solvent reduction. Lithium chloride is also known to grow from solutions of  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}$  in thionyl chloride (19). To explore this possibility, a piece of glass from the tube in which the lithium had been stored at 55° was also examined under the SEM. Cubic crystals could be seen on the monitor but they could not be photographed because of the charge which accumulated on the electrically insulating glass surface.

1

.

1

[

1

The extremely smooth chemically passivating surface on lithium stored in these solutions is remarkable and difficult to explain. The bright electropolishing or electrodeposition, or the chemical polishing of metals such as copper occur in aqueous solutions under the condition that the process has occurred randomly and non-crystallographically (20). This in turn occurs when copper transport is diffusion controlled. The random growth of a film of lithium chloride on lithium in  $\text{Li}_2B_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$ might occur as the result of reaction at the surface of the metal without participation of dissolved lithium chloride near the interface. The surface also may lack crystallinity because it consists of a mixture of compounds rather than a single pure substance. It is possible that the smooth layer actually is the solid electrolyte interphase, essentially devoid of an overlayer. The appearance of the needle-shaped crystals is also distinctly different from the behavior of lithium surfaces exposed to LiAlCl<sub>4</sub>/SOCl<sub>2</sub>.

# 3.5 PHYSICAL PROPERTIES Li2B10C110/SOC12: VISIBLE AND EPR SPECTRA

A visible spectrum of a dilute solution of  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}$  in SOCl<sub>2</sub> produced the result shown in Figure 54. While solutions of  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}$ in SOCl<sub>2</sub> appeared violet (15,19), both the salt and the solvent were colorless prior to the preparation of the solutions. Two absorptions were found, a prominent peak at 575 millimicrons and another less prominent one at 425 millimicrons. The spectrum shown in Figure 54 was taken shortly after mixing. The spectrum became more intense over a period of 4 days and then did not change any further. The formation of complexes and solvolysis reactions have been suggested to explain the color of these solutions, and the solid lithium chloride which crystallized on standing (19).

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

I

[]

11

Π

8

1

0

]

0

1

Π

WAVELENGTH, MILLIMICRONS

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

44

1 mar

The chemistry of  $B_{10}C_{10}^{2-}$  has not been as extensively studied as that of its precursor,  $B_{10}H_{10}^{2-}$ . Blue colors have been associated with aqueous and non-aqueous oxidation of  $B_{10}H_{10}^{2-}$  to a free radical with an absorption centered at about 550 millimicrons (21-23). The final products of the oxidation that forms this free radical appear to be partially halogenated boron cages, such as ions of the formula  $B_{10}C_{1}B_{10-x}^{2-}$  (21). The anions  $B_{10}H_{10}^{2-}$  and  $B_{12}H_{12}^{2-}$  also have been oxidized electrochemically,  $B_{10}H_{10}^{2-}$ reversibly forming a free radical on oxidation. This radical can be easily reduced back to  $B_{10}H_{10}^{2-}$  (24).

On the basis of the literature already mentioned, the violet color in  $\operatorname{Li}_2 \operatorname{B}_{10} \operatorname{Cl}_{10} / \operatorname{SOCl}_2$  might have been caused by the oxidation of the borate by thionyl chloride to produce a free radical. An electron paramagnetic resonance signal was indeed observed to develop over a period of 4 days following the dissolution of  $\operatorname{Li}_2 \operatorname{B}_{10} \operatorname{Cl}_{10}$  in  $\operatorname{SOCl}_2$ . The signal increased in the same time frame that the visible spectrum was observed to increase. the EPR g factor, or gyromagnetic ratio, was about 2 which is typical of many free radicals. The peak to peak width of the signal was about 640 gauss, which is unusual. We have no explanation for the large width of the signal. Since boron is about 20% B<sup>10</sup> and 80% B<sup>11</sup> and there are ten atoms in each polyhedral borate moiety, the number of lines expected from hyperfine splitting would be very large. No hyperfine splittings were observed. The free radical may be in a molecular orbital with about 20 - 30% atomic S orbital involvement. The strength of the signal indicated that it did not arise from an impurity or a minor reaction product.

The EPR signal was unaffected by whether the solution of  $\text{Li}_{2}\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}$ in SOCl<sub>2</sub> was made in air or vacuum or whether lithium was present. Upon evaporation, a signal was observed in the dark solid residue left behind. A sample of such residue when hydrolized was observed to give a violet color which persisted in solution for some hours. It was previously reported that  $\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}^{2-}$  can be recovered unchanged upon hydrolysis of  $\text{Li}_{2}\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_{2}$  (19). The resulting ageous solution was colorless, but this could have resulted from the reduction of the radical in aqueous solution by the sulfur dioxide present as the product of hydrolysis of SOCl<sub>2</sub>. The violet color and the radical formation by  $\text{Li}_{2}\text{B}_{10}\text{C1}_{10}$  in SOCl<sub>2</sub> may be connected with the presence of the strongly polarizing lithium cation. For example, the bis-triethylammonium salt of  $\text{B}_{10}\text{C1}_{10}^{2-}$  when dissolved in SOCl<sub>2</sub> did not produce a violet colored solution (19). Similarly, the electrochemical oxidation of  $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10}^{2-}$  and its derivatives has been found to be affected by the cation present in solution. The potential of polarographic oxidation of  $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_8(\text{OH})_2^{2-}$  in aqueous solution was dependent upon the composition of the buffer solution used to vary the pH (25). These authors noted the inconsistency in their results but offered no explanation. The oxidation potential of  $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10}^{2-}$  in acetonitrile was reported to be +0.375 V vs. a standard calomel electrode in 0.1 M LiCl0<sub>4</sub>, but +0.43 V in 0.1 M tetraethylammonium perchlorate (24).

The formation and presistence of a free radical in  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$ may be related to the "non-crystallographic" nature of the smooth passivating layer observed on lithium as described above, and ultimately to the mechanism by which  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}$  moderates the voltage delay problem. Solutions of lithium tetrachloroaluminate may differ from  $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{Cl}_{10}/\text{SOCl}_2$ in having no stable free radical present in solution. The intermediate formed on discharge of  $\text{Li}/\text{SOCl}_2$  cells could be a free radical such as  $\cdot$ SO· or SOCl·, but the intermediate decomposes slowly even at ambient temperature to surfur and sulfur dioxide (26). In the studies described in this report, the only discharge products present in solution were those present as the result of the direct reduction of the solvent by lithium metal. Introduction of materials capable of producing stable free radicals in  $\text{LiAlCl}_4/\text{SOCl}_2$  may aid in reducing electrochemical passivation and voltage delay in  $\text{Li}/\text{SOCl}_2$  cells.

1

11

11

a substant

Policies -

-

# REFERENCES

Π

-

ł

1.	A.N. Dey, C.R. Schlaikjer, Proc. Power Sources Symposium 26, 47 (1974).
2.	A.N. Dey, Report DELET-TR-74-0109-F (July 1978)
3.	N. Marincic, A. Lombardi, ECOM 74-0108-F (April 1977).
4.	D.R. Cogley, M.J. Turchan, ECOM-0030-1 (February 1974).
5.	J.R. Driscoll, et al., ECOM 74-0030-11 (October 1976).
6.	E. Peled, H. Straze, J. Electrochem. Soc. 124, 1030 (1977).
7.	Y. Avigal, E. Peled, J. Electroanal. Chem. 76, 135 (1977).
8.	E. Peled, H. Yamin, submitted for publication to Proc. of Power Sources Symposium 28. (1978).
9.	E. Peled, Abstract Number 4, Electrochem. Soc. Fall Meeting, Atlanta (October 1977).
10.	E. Peled, C.R. Schlaikjer, N. Marincic, Abstract #553, Electrochem. Soc., Spring Meeting, Seattle, Washington (May 1978).
11.	Contract DAAB07-78-C-0558, Report #78-0558-2. (This contract, Dec. 1978 - March 1979).
12.	Contract DAAB07-78-C-0558, Report #78-0558-1. (This contract, Sept. 1978 - Dec. 1978).
13.	M.F. Hawthorne, R.L. Pilling, Inorg. Syn. 9, 16 (1967).
14.	W.H. Knoth, H.C. Miller, J.C. Sauer, J.H. Balthis, Y.T. Chia, E.L. Muetterties, <u>Inorg. Chem.</u> <u>3</u> , 159 (1964)
15.	C.R. Schlaikjer, U.S. Patent 4,020,240.
16.	L. Friedman and W.P. Wetter, J. Chem. Soc. 36 (1967).
17.	D.S. Rosenberg and H. Flaxman, U.S. Patent No. 3,156,529.
18.	E. Peled and H. Yamin, submitted to the Israel J. of Chem.
19.	C.R. Schlaikjer, submitted to 28th Power Sources Symposium (June 1978).
20.	J.M. West, <u>Electrodeposition and Corrosion Processes</u> , D. van Nostrad, Princeton, N.J., 1965, pp. 100-123.
21.	Z.B. Curtis, C. Young, R. Dickerson, K.K. Lai and A. Kaczmarczyk, Inorg. Chem. 13, 1760 (1974) and reference therein.
22.	J.S. Lewis, A. Kaczmarczyk, J.A.C.S. <u>88</u> , 1068 (1966).
23.	A. Kaczmarczyk, R.D. Dobrott, and W.N. Lipscomb Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci., U.S. <u>48</u> , 729 (1962).

. .

24. R.L. Middaugh, F. Farha, Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 88, 4147 (1966).

Ū

B

]]

Π

Π

6

1

1

Π

Π

10000

1

Π

Π

- 25. W.H. Knoth, J.C. Sauer, D.C. England, W.R. Hertler, and E.L. Muetterties, J.A.C.S. <u>86</u>, 3973 (1964).
- 26. C.R. Schlaikjer, F. Goebel, N. Marincic, J. Electrochem. Soc. 126, 513 (1979)

State State Strate Strate

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER COMMANDER US ARMY ELECTRONICS R&D COMMAND ATTN: DTIC-TCA CAMERON STATION (BLDG 5) FORT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 (12)1 DELET-P 1 DELET-DD COMMANDER NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER 2 DELET-DT 1 DELSD-L (TECH LIB) 2 DELSD-L-S (STINFO) 11 DELET-PR (WISHVENDER K. BEHL) ATTN: LIBRARY SAN DIEGO, CA 92152 (01) CDR, NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER WHITE OAK LABORATORY COMMANDER US ARMY COMMUNICATIONS R&D COMMAND ATTN: LIBRARY CODE WX-21 SILVER SPRING, MD 10910 (01) FORT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703 1 USMC-LNO COMMANDANT, MARINE CORPS HQ US MARINE CORPS NASA SCIENTIFIC & TECH INFO FACILITY ATTN: CODE LMC BALTIMORE/WASHINGTON INTL AIRPORT WASHINGTON, DC 20380 (02)PO BOX 8757, MD 21240 (01)ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Mr. Donald Mortel ATTN: DOCUMENTS LIBRARY (TILD) AF Aero Propulsion Lab GRIFFISS AFB, NY 13441 (01) Attn: AFAPL-POE-1 Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 (01) AIR FORCE GEOPHYSICS LAB/SULL ATTN: S-29 Mr. Richard E. Oderwald HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731 (01) Department of the Navy Hqs., US Marine Corps HQDA (DAMA-ARZ-D/DR. F.D. VERDERAME) Code LMC 4 Washington, DC 20380 WASHINGTON, DC 20310 (01)(01)CDR, HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES Commander Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: LIBRARY 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD Attn: DELHD-D-OE-P (Mr. A. Benderly) ADELPHI, MD 20783 2800 Powder Mill Road (01) Adelphi, MD 20783 (1) DIRECTOR US ARMY MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTV Electrochimica 2485 Charleston Road ATTN: DRXSY-MP ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, Mountain View, CA 94040 MD 21005 (01) Attn: Dr. Eisenberg (1) CDR, US ARMY SIGNALS WARFARE LAB Dr. Hugh Barger P.O. Box 2232 Davidson, NC 18036 ATTN: DELSW-OS VINT HILL FARMS STATION (1) WARRENTON, VA 22186 (01) Energy Storage & Conversion Dept. T.R.W. Systems COMMANDER US ARMY MOBILITY EQP RES & DEV CMD One Space Park Redondo Beach, CA 90278 ATTN: DRDME-R Attn: Dr. H.P. Silverman FORT BELVOIR, VA 22060 (01) (1)

Sanders Associates, Inc. 24 Simon Street		Dr. Leonard Nanis G207
Mail Stop NSI-2208		S.R.I.
Nashua, NH 03060		Menlo Park, CA 94025 (1
Attn: J. Marshall	(1)	
		Mr. Joe McCartney
Dr. D. Pouli		Naval Undersea Center
Portfolio Manager		Code 608
Hooker Chemicals & Plastics Corp.		San Diego, CA 92132 (1
M.P.O. Box 8		
Niagara Falls, NY 14302	(1)	Altus Corp. Attn: Douglas Clader
Dr. J.J. Auborn, Rm. 1A-317		400 Page Mill Road
Bell Laboratories		Palo Alto, CA 94306 (1
600 Mountain Ave		
Murray Hill, NJ 07974	(1)	Mr. Eddie T. Seo Research and Development Division
NASA Lewis Research Center		The Gates Rubber Co.
Mail Stop 6-1		999 S. Broadway
2100 Brookpark Road		Denver, CO 80217 (1
Cleveland, OH 44101		
Attn: Dr. Stuart Fordyce	(1)	Transportation Systems Center
		Kendall Square
EIC Inc.		Cambridge, MA 02142
Attn: S.B. Brummer		Attn: Dr. Norman Rosenberg (1
Newton, MA 02158	(1)	
		Honeywell, Inc.
J. Bene MS 488		104 Rock Road
NASA Langley Research Center		Horsham, PA 19044
Hampton, VA 23665	(1)	Attn: C. Richard Walk (1
No. of the o		Reals Dishen Transtains Tra
Mr. Sidney Gross		Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc.
Mail Stop 8C-62		Electronics Division
Boeing Aerospace Company		Attn: Mr. Robert L. Higgins
P.O. BOX 3999	111	P.U. BOX 47
Seattle, WA 98124	(1)	Joplin, MO 64801 (1
Foote Mineral Company		P. P. Mallory & Co. Inc.
Route 100		Northwest Industrial Park
Exton DA 19341		Burlington MA 01803
Attn: Dr H Grady	(1)	Attn: Dr Per Bro (1
neent brent Grady	(1)	
Sanders Associates. Inc.		Exxon Research and Engineering Co.
Sonobuov Division		Corporate Research Laboratory
95 Canal Street		Linden, NJ 07036
Nashua, NH 03060		Attn: Dr. R. Hamlen (1)
Attn: Mr. David Dwyer	(1)	
		GTE Sylvania Inc.
Yardney Electric Company		77 A Street
82 Mechanic Street		Needham Heights, MA 02194
Pawcatuck, CT 02891		Attn: Mr. Richard Pabst (1
Attn: Mr. William E. Ryder	(1)	
		Union Carbide Corporation
Power Conversion, Inc.		Parma Research Center
70 MacQuesten Pkwy		P.O. Box 6116
Mount Vernon, NY 10550		Cleveland, OH 44101 (1
Attn: Stuart Chodosh	(1)	

0 0 D [] 0 Π B Β Π D 0

0

 $\square$ 

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

North American Rockwell Corp. Atomics International Division Box 309 Canoga Park, CA 91304 Attn: Dr. L. Heredy (1) University of California Department of Science & Research Santa Barbara, CA 93100 Attn: Dr. J. Kennedy (1) Gulton Industries, Inc. Metuchen, NJ 08840 Attn: Mr. S. Charlip (1) Argonne National Laboratories 9700 South Cass Argonne, IL 60439 Attn: Dr. E.C. Gay (1) General Motors Corp. Research Laboratories General Motors Technical Center 12 Mile and Mounds Roads

Warren, MI 48090 Attn: Dr. J.L. Hartman

10

P.R. Mallory & Co., Inc. S. Broadway	
Tarrytown, NY 10591	
Attn: J. Dalfonso	(1)
General Electric Research & Development Center	
Schenectady NV 12301	
Attn: Dr. Stefan Mitoff	(1)
The Electric Storage Battery Co. Carl F. Norburg Research Center 19 W. College Ave	
Attn: Dr. A. Salkind	(1)
	<ul> <li>P.R. Mallory &amp; Co., Inc.</li> <li>S. Broadway</li> <li>Tarrytown, NY 10591</li> <li>Attn: J. Dalfonso</li> <li>General Electric Research &amp;</li> <li>Development Center</li> <li>P.O. Box 8</li> <li>Schenectady, NY 12301</li> <li>Attn: Dr. Stefan Mitoff</li> <li>The Electric Storage Battery Co.</li> <li>Carl F. Norburg Research Center</li> <li>19 W. College Ave</li> <li>Yardley, PA 19067</li> <li>Attn: Dr. A. Salkind</li> </ul>

100

(1)