

AIR TO GROUND PROPAGATION MEASUREMENTS IN THE UHF BAND

RICHARD B. BATTELLE COMMUNICATION AND NAVIGATION LABORATORY

JUNE 1952

WRIGHT AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER

79 10 25 088

UNCLASSIFIEU

20011016171

NOTICES

and the set of the set

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

The information furnished herewith is made available for study upon the understanding that the Government's proprietary interests in and relating thereto shall not be impaired. It is desired that the Judge Advocate (WCJ), Wright Air Development Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, be promptly notified of any apparent conflict between the Government's proprietary interests and those of others.

00000000000

This accument tiontains information affecting the National Unfense of the United States within the meaning of the Depionage Laws. Title 18, U.S.C., Section 793 and 794. Its transmission of the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized period is prohibited by law.

> > 2

WADC TECHNICAL REPORT 52-138

AIR TO GROUND PROPAGATION MEASUREMENTS IN THE UHF BAND

UNCLASSIFIED

Ricbard B. Battelle Communication and Navigation Laboratory

June 1952

RDO No. 112-33

Wright Air Development Center Air Research and Development Command United States Air Force Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

McGregor & Werner, Inc., Wakefield, Mass. Oct. 9, 1952 150



UNCLASSIFIED



FOREWORD

UNCLASSIFIED

This report was prepared by the Antennas Unit of the Communication and Navigation Laboratory under Research and Development Order No. R-112-33, "Antennas for High Speed Aircraft," with Mr. R. B. Battelle acting as Project Engineer. Initial measurements were carried out by Mr. C. E. Ellis, formerly of the Antennas Unit, who developed the measurement techniques peculiar to these tests. Acknowledgement must also be given for the special cooperation and services of Captain J. K. Stuart of the Test Branch, Communication and Navigation Laboratory, who piloted all test flights, and the 162nd Fighter Squadron, Ohio Air National Guard, which made its facilities available for the level terrain tests at Vandalia, Ohio.

WADC TR 52-138

ABSTRACT

UNCLASSIFIED

The Communication and Navigation Laboratory, WADC, has completed a series of flight tests to determine radiation and propagation limitations at UHF. Major emphasis was placed upon the variation of ground antenna radiation pattern as a result of antenna site and terrain characteristics. Antennas located on flat terrain were found to produce multiple lobe patterns, while elevated antennas on rolling terrain produced smooth free-space patterns. Propagation from both antenna sites can be predicted with reasonable accuracy using AIL type UHF coverage charts, from which the probable system performance also may be determined.

The security classification of the title of this report is UNCLASSIFIED.

PUBLICATION REVIEW

iii

This report has been reviewed and is approved.

FOR THE COMMANDING GENERAL:

hite

UNCLASSIFIED

DANIEL B. WHITE Colonel, USAF Chief, Weapons Components Div

WADC TR 52-138

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Introduction	•	1
Test Conditions	•	1
Discussion of Results	٠	2
Conclusions	. •	6
Instructions for Using AIL Type UHF Coverage Char	ts	33

WADC TR 52-138

RESTRICTED

iv

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Principle Plane Radiation Patterns for F-94 Aircraft - 379.4 mc.	7
2	Principle Plane Radiation Patterns for F-94 Aircraft - 240.0 mc.	8
3	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 5000 ft altitude, outbound	9
4	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 5000 ft altitude, inbound	10
5	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 10,000 ft altitude, outbound	11
6	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 10,000 ft altitude, inbound	12
7	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 20,000 ft altitude, outbound	13
8	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 20,000 ft altitude, inbound	14
9	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, outbound	15
10	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, inbound	16
11	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 5000 ft altitude, outbound	17
12	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 5000 ft altitude, inbound	18
13	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 10,000 ft altitude, outbound	19
14	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 10,000 ft altitude, inbound	20
15	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 20,000 ft altitude, outbound	21
16	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 20,000 ft altitude, inbound	22

WADC TR 52-138

v

Figure		Page
17	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, outbound	- 23
18	UHF Flight Test Data for Level Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, inbound	24
19	UHF Flight Test Data for Rolling Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, outbound. Ground Antenna AT-197/GR	25
20	UHF Flight Test Data for Rolling Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, inbound. Ground Antenna AT-197/GR	26
21	UHF Flight Test Data for Rolling Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, outbound. Ground Antenna AS-505/GR	27
22	UHF Flight Test Data for Rolling Terrain - 379.4 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, inbound. Ground Antenna AS-505/GR	28
23	UHF Flight Test Data for Rolling Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, outbound. Ground Antenna AT-197/GR	29
24	UHF Flight Test Data for Rolling Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, inbound. Ground Antenna AT-197/GR	30
25	UHF Flight Test Data for Rolling Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, outbound. Ground Antenna AS-505/GR	31
26	UHF Flight Test Data for Rolling Terrain - 240.0 mc. Aircraft at 35,000 ft altitude, inbound. Ground Antenna AS-505/GR	32
27	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 240 mc. Earth surface: Good Soil	34
28	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 375 mc. Earth surface: Good Soil	35
29	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 240 mc. Earth surface: Desert	36
30	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 375 mc. Earth surface: Desert	37
31	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 240 mc. Earth surface: Sea Water	38
32	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 375 mc. Earth surface: Sea Water	39

WADC TR 52-138

vi

J

Figure

igure		Fage
33	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 240 mc. Earth surface: Arctic Region	40
34	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 375 mc. Earth surface: Arctic Region	41
35	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 240 mc. Earth surface: Good Soil	42
36	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 375 mc. Earth surface: Good Soil	43
37	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 240 mc. Earth surface: Desert	44
38	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 375 mc. Earth surface: Desert	45
39	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 240 mc. Earth surface: Sea Water	46
40	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 375 mc. Earth surface: Sea Water	47
41	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 240 mc. Earth surface: Arctic Region	48
42	UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 375 mc. Earth surface: Arctic Region	49

WADC TR 52-138

vii

AIR TO GROUND PROPAGATION MEASURFLENTS IN THE UHF BAND

INTRODUCTION

Radiation and propagation measurements have been carried out by the Antennas Unit, Communication and Navigation Laboratory. Particular attention has been paid to ground antenna radiation pattern characteristics because of the apparent discrepancy between similar measurements as made by the Naval Air Test Center, Patuxent River, Md.¹ and by the Rome Air Development Center, ARDC, Rome, N. Y.² The Naval Air Test Center found that reliable UHF communications from air to ground would be considerably limited by the deep nulls in the ground station antenna radiation pattern caused by the interference between the direct and the ground reflected signals. On the other hand, the Rome Air Development Center found that reliable communications could be maintained out to the radio line of sight range. It will be shown here that either condition can exist depending upon the peculiarities of the ground antenna site.

TEST CONDITIONS

All measurements made at the Wright Air Development Center used the following test set up:

Aircraft	- YF-94 No. 48-373
Airborne Antenna	- AT-256/ARC sleeve stub mounted under the
	fuselage at station 276, four inches to
	the right of the center line.
Transmission line	- Approximately 20 feet of RG-8/U
Transmitter	- Radio Set AN/ARC-19, Serial No. 18,
	transmitting continuously
Power output	- 5 watts at 379.4 mc, 8 watts at 240 mc.
Ground Station Receiver	- R-278/GRC (Part of Radio Set AN/GRC-27)
	with AVC voltage amplified for use with
	an Esterline-Angus recording voltmeter

Two entenna sites were used. As a typical air-base type of location on level terrain, permission was obtained to use the Ohio Air National Guard hanger at the Dayton Municipal Airport, Vandalia, Ohio. At this site, the terrain is relatively flat out to a considerable distance from the station. Antenna AT-197/GR discone was mounted 52 feet above the ground, and 135 feet of RG-8/U

1."Final Report on Ultra High Frequency Propagation Tests over Land and Water", U.S. Navel Air Test Center, Patuxent River, Maryland, dated 10 April 1951. (Restricted)

2."Summary of Ultra High Frequency Propagation Measurements over Land", Rome Air Development Center, Griffiss Air Force Base, Rome, N.Y. dated November 1951. (Restricted)

WADC TR 52-138

1

transmission line was used to feed the receiver. As typical of radar and d/f stations, a hilltop site at Building 117, WPAFB was used. This building is near the top of a 100 foot slope overlooking Wright and Patterson Fields. Numerous other buildings and installations are in the vicinity, and the terrain surrounding the airstrips themselves is rolling. Antenna AT-197/GR discone and Antenna Assembly AS-505/GR stacked vertical dipole array were mounted 50 feet above the ground and connected to the receiver through about 60 feet of RG-17/U transmission line. Measurements were recorded for flights west of the ground stations at altitudes of 5,000, 10,000, 20,000 and 35,000 feet. Ranges were measured using Radar Set AN/CPX-3 in conjunction with the airborne Radar Identification Set AN/APX-6. Normal VHF communications were maintained with the aircraft throughout each flight.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Although ground antenna free space radiation patterns have been measured and relative gain determined, gain measurements were not immediately available of the airborne installation. Therefore, the Antenna Laboratory of Ohio State University was requested to measure the radiation patterns for an Antenna AT-256/ARC accurately scaled upon an F-94 aircraft model. Similar measurements of the more standard tail cap antenna showed that radiation below the horizon to the forward was considerably shadowed by the nose. The stub antenna under the fuselage showed no such shadows. Three principle plane patterns for the AT-256/ARC antenna at 240 and 379.4 mc full scale frequency are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The complete set of patterns was integrated for calculating the equivalent power isotropic circles shown. Near the horizon off the nose and tail, the antenna gain appears to be 2 to 4 db above an isotropic radiator.

In conjunction with the UHF antenna development program, the Airborne Instruments Laboratory, Mineola, N. Y. was requested to calculate UHF coverage charts for various ground and antenna conditions. These charts are utilized together with antenna gain measurements to derive theoretical curves of receiver voltage versus aircraft range.¹ These theoretical curves are plotted for comparison purposes beside each measured curve. The complete set of signal strength versus range curves for the ground station on level terrain is contained in Figures 3 to 18. At each altitude and frequency, two charts are shown: - one a plot of the measurements for outbound flight and one for inbound flight. At short ranges, only the envelope of the curve is indicated because rapid fluctuations of voltage make a more detailed plot impractical. A line-of-sight range, corrected for $\frac{4}{3}$ radius earth, is also noted on each chart.

A brief explanation of the irregular pattern of the ground station antenna may be in order. Over flat terrain, the ground antenna receives the aircraft signal by two paths: - one, by a direct path and two, by reflection from the ground. The ground reflected ray, however, receives a phase delay as a result

1. AIL UHF coverage charts for various surface characteristics, antennas and frequencies are included as Fig. 27 to 42 of this report. Instructions for using these charts precede Figure 27.

WADC TR 52-138

2

of the longer distance traveled and the particular ground characteristics at the point of reflection. If this phase delay is 180, 540, 900, etc., degrees, the induced antenna voltages caused by the direct and reflected rays will be of opposite polarity, and cancellation will occur. As a result, a null in the radiation pattern can be expected at each radiation angle at which this cancellation takes place.

Figures 3 and 4 are curves for flights at 5,000 feet altitude using a freouency of 379.4 nc. The theoretical curves here differ only by the difference in the airborne antenna gain forward and aft. Since the gain is slightly greater to the rear of the aircraft, the outbound theoretical curve is slightly higher than the inbound curve at all altitudes. Both inbound and outbound flights show the pronounced dips in signal strength predicted by theory. Although the dips are not located exactly at the predicted ranges, the correlation between the curves is satisfactory. Errors in the location of the nulls are partly caused by the fact that the theoretical curves were calculated at the slightly lower frequency of 375.0 mc and partly by errors in aircraft altitude. Although the signal voltage is of the same order of magnitude as predicted, it is apparent that the measured signal is somewhat below the theoretical, especially as lineof-sight range is approached.

At 10,000 feet (Figures 5 and 6) the correlation between curves is particularly good. The nulls and voltage magnitudes are almost perfectly predicted by theory. Again, however, the drop-off of signal as maximum range is approached appears to be more rapid than expected. It is also noticeable here that at short ranges, the theoretical curve fails to predict measurements probably because of the deep nulls in the radiation pattern directly under the aircraft.

At 20,000 feet (Figures 7 and 8) the correlation is not ouite as good. The same is true for the 35,000 foot flight shown in Figures 9 and 10. The general trend of the difference between theoretical and measured curves, however, appear to be signal voltages somewhat less than predicted and nulls slightly deeper than predicted.

At 240 mc, it will be found that there are fewer nulls in the radiation pattern and generally higher signal voltages than found at 379.4 mc. Figures 11 and 12 show the results of the 5,000 foot flight. Again, the more rapid than predicted attenuation of signal with increased range is noticed. Nulls again appear to be deeper than the theoretical curve predicts. At 10,000 feet, Figures 13 and 14 indicate similar conclusions. The extreme depth of the nulls is particularly noticeable in Figures 15 and 16 for the flights at 20,000 feet. Here, although the correlation is quite good, the deepest null actually dropped the signal voltage far into the noise.

An effort to explain this for the flight at 35,000 feet altitude has been made in Figures 17 and 18. Most of these measurements were made during the winter weather of January and February 1952. On some flight days, a light snow covered the ground and thus somewhat modified the ground constants. For these 35,000 feet graphs, the theoretical curves for flight over Arctic regions at 40,000 feet altitude are also included. Since the magnitudes of the maximums

WADC TR 52-138

3

and minimum are more extreme for the arctic ground surface, the correlation appears to be slightly better at all except short ranges. The modification of the altitude for the arctic surface curve was necessary to match null location, although an error of 5,000 feet seems unlikely. Probably a variance of both the surface constants and the altitude was the cause of errors in the prediction here.

RESTRICTED

The effects of lobe structure in the ground station antenna pattern have been quite pronounced in this series of graphs. Assuming no other losses, an operational system having the same set up as measured here would probably be considered to be tolerably good if not perfectly satisfactory. Unfortunately, the depth and width of the pattern nulls leave little if any safety factor for absorbing additional system losses.

Contrary to level terrain theory which predicts deep nulls in the ground radiation pattern, no such nulls appear in the curves plotted for flights from a hilltop ground station. Figures 19 and 20 are curves of receiver input voltage versus aircraft range for flight at 35,000 feet altitude when the ground station was located at Building No. 117 at the top of a 100 foot hill overlooking Wright Field. For these first two curves, Antenna AT-197/GR discone was used. Free space curves which include aircraft and ground antenna gains are plotted for purposes of comparison. Except for a few unexplained dips in the curves, the measured voltages closely follow the theoretical free space curves without the least suggestion of the typical level terrain type pattern. Note, however, that variations in the measured curves average about 9 db with some dips dropping as much as 18 db. These fluctuations in voltage are particularly detrimental at short ranges where the multiple lobe structure, usually expected for an elevated antenna, becomes more serious.

When Antenna Assembly AS-505/GR stacked dipole array is used, as shown in Figures 21 and 22, the fluctuations in signal strength are considerably less violent at ranges between twenty and sixty miles. However, signal strengths are lower at short ranges where the fluctuations are just as extreme as with the AT-197/GR antenna. Nevertheless, the fact that the general signal level is higher and somewhat smoother than that obtained for the AT-197/GR seems to favor the AS-505/GR to some extent.

At 240 mc similar results are shown in Figures 23 to 26, for the two antenna types at the hilltop location. Again, the most pronounced effect of the AS-505/GR was the smoother curve at twenty to sixty miles range and the general increase in signal level by about 4 db.

It is probable that the smooth character of these curves is a result of the multiple reflection of the aircraft signal from the rough terrain. Basically, if these reflections are numerous and of random phase, they will add up vectorially to zero voltage, leaving only the direct ray to induce a voltage on the antenna. This reasoning seems to explain in brief the correlation between these curves and the theoretical free space curve.

The comparatively smooth measured curves plotted for these flights indicate that an operational system using a hilltop ground site of this type would provide

WADC TR 52-138

4

normally satisfactory communications, assuming no additional losses. Except for the extreme signal fluctuations which must be tolerated for aircraft directly overhead, signal voltages can be expected to remain well above the minimum usable voltage out to full communications range. It should be noted, however, that at no time during these tests did the signal remain strong out to radio line-ofsight range.

This flight data can form a basis for analyzing the probable effectiveness of the UHF system as a whole. Assume first an ideal installation:

Airborne transmitter power output	15	Watts
Ground receiver sensitivity (for readable signal)	1	Microvolt
Total transmission line losses	\$1.5	db at 240 mc
	2.0	db at 379.4 mc

This system is considerably better than the system used for these flight tests. In comparison to the test conditions used for the level terrain measurements plotted in Figures 3 to 18, this ideal system is 6.6 db better at 240 mc and 10.3 db better at 379.4 mc. Undoubtedly, gapless communications would result with an F-94 flying out and inbound as in the tests. In fact, since no serious holes exist in the aircraft pattern at the horizon, gapless communications can be expected for the aircraft flying straight and level in any direction within maximum range. However, when operation is considered with the aircraft in other than level flight, there may be some difficulty. Notice in Figures 1 and 2 that there are serious nulls in the radiation pattern just above and below the horizon. At UHF, such nulls are more the rule than the exception since reflecting and shadowing surfaces are larger with respect to the wavelength. These nulls may result in signal strengths 20 to 40 db below the signal voltage for an equivalent isotropic radiator. These nulls were noted frequently during the tests described here. They were apparent during all circles flown by the pilot and during normal flight when the pilot banked to check his ground position. Such tremendous losses completely swamp out the slight advantage which a perfect UHF system has over the system tested here.

Actually, the fact is that the present UHF equipment cannot be assumed to always operate with perfect characteristics. To mention a few sources of imperfection to be expected, transmitter power output tubes become weaker with use, and alignment is not precise on all channels; and transmission line losses become greater particularly in aircraft where the equipment cannot be located near the antenna and the primary supply voltage may drop below normal; all these factors result in lower radiated power. 6 db system loss would not be unusual from these sources. On the ground, not only is there the usual loss associated with poor tubes and alignment and lengthy transmission line, but squelch operation will reduce receiver sensitivity to more or less extent depending upon the setup of the receiver and the local noise level. Compared to the perfect one microvolt system, such an operational setup could normally be expected to be poorer by as much as 15 db. These assumed figures are not unreasonable, yet without considering the added loss caused by radiation pattern nulls, the system is found to be considerably poorer than the test system measured here.

WADC TR 52-138

5

Summarizing these factors which determine the probable extremes between a perfect and a poor UHF system, it will be found that system performance may be somewhat better than measured here. Actually, a perfect system could be expected to produce signal voltages 6 to 10 db above those shown in the graphs, while a poor system must be expected to perform as much as 20 db worse than the system measured here. Aircraft maneuvers will cause deterioration as great as 20 to 40 db in addition to the losses already accounted for.

CONCLUSIONS

It has been shown that UHF propagation can be predicted with reasonable accuracy using the AIL type UHF coverage charts if the ground antenna site is located on level terrain. When the terrain is rolling or rough and the antenna location is on a hilltop site, the propagation can be predicted by free-space curves, also drawn in the AIL charts. The results of these measurements indicate that air to ground UHF communications will be generally satisfactory for level flight if equipment is maintained in perfect operating condition and losses are at a minimum. The added losses caused by sub-standard equipment and installations will not result in serious difficulty for level flight if a hilltop ground station on rolling terrain is used. However, such losses will cause a serious deterioration in performance if the ground antenna site must be located on level terrain. Nulls in the airborne antenna radiation pattern will cause considerable losses resulting in marginal performance during aircraft maneuvers regardless of ground antenna site and equipment condition.



AT-256/ARC sleeve-stub antenna mounted at station 276 four inches to right of bottom center line of fuselage.

Figure 1. Principle Plane Radiation Patterns for F-94 Aircraft - 379.4 mc.

WADC TR 52-138



 $\mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ (vertical)

REMARKS

AT-256/ARC sleeve-stub antenna mounted at station 276 four inches to right of bottom center line of fuselage.

Figure 2. Principle Plane Radiation Patterns for F-94 Aircraft - 240.0 mc.

WADC TR 52-138

g

۵
ш
υ
Heat
~
) en er
S
44
2





WADC TR 52-138

	OT	SCI-24 NI DUAN		
•		0	80 100 13	~
				NILE
			4 45	
			0	
			30	
			গাঁs স	
			3N XX /	
			*	
NDAL A, ONIO	aunideal arrort w	DAVTON		
ND STATION AT	FLIGHT WEST OF GROU	ONDOGNI		
	ANTENNA AT-197/6R 52	GROUND TRAN SAME		
DER FUSELAGE	ANTENNA AT 256, ARC UN	AIRBORNE		
	.4 MC AIRCRAFT AT 50 TTD IT - 5 WATTS EDON E	EREC 379		
Ţ.	UHE FLGHT TEST D			

RESTRICTED

9





H	44	++	44	44			TT	7	-	+	, ,	+	+	H	++	**	++	1	ш	
H	44	44	н	-14	П			1		-	++	-+	+	H	₩	++	++	-fi	ч	í
H	44	++	н	-14	1		п			+-	++	-+	-	H	⊷	#+	44	+	4	
H	H	#	44	44	44	1	п			1	-	\uparrow	t	H	H	₽	H	+	н	
H	÷	H.	H	++	++	-	ч			Ľ		<u> </u>	t	H	H	H	Ħ	+	н	
t	Ħ	Ħ	f i	H	H	H	H	(T	F	1		Т	Т			n	Ħ	t	H	
T	H1	H	H	Ħ	++	14	H	44	+	4		1	п			t t	tt	ff	H	
Т		H.	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	••	+	H	+	+ +	-	-	ц			Ð	Ш	H	H	
Т	Н			Ħ	† †	++	-+-	++	╋	₩	-	-	44		П				H	
1				Ľ	11	17	+	H	+	⊷	+	+	++	11	-11	LF.	ЦГ	TT	1	
44	н	4	1	Ц	П	Ľ	T	Ħ	t	H	+	+-	H	H	-11	нП	-	T		
н	44	44	4	LΕ	П	\mathbf{D}		H	Т	t-t	+	+	H	H	-14	44	4	4	4	
H	H	++	4	ΗF	μT	Ц	Т	ĽŤ	1	H	+	+	tł	+ŧ	H	+	+	H	÷	
÷	44	++	٠	Η.	н.	11	Γ	ΓT	T			1	tt	H	Ħ	н	4-	н	+	
t	+ŧ	++	÷	H	HF	÷	17	H.		1		Ľ	D	D	Ħ	+	+	H	+	
ŤΪ	++	++	15	÷+	++	++	44	4	44	1	_		П	ΤT	Ħ	H	71	H	+	1
П	tt	11	1	H	Ht.	H	+	÷	++	4	-F	1	ц	П	T	H		+	+	i
I	Π	tt	t	ff	H	H	++	+	4	+	÷	+-	ιſ	П	п	11	11	f	+	l
IJ	П	IJ	П	7		-	÷ł	+		+	+	÷	4	Ц	١I	17	Π	1	1	1
H	Π.	H	L	\mathbf{T}	1	rt	tt	+	H	+	+	ŧ	H	4	ŧ F	44	11	Г	ì.	
4	45	ŧΤ	Ľ	Π	T	ΓŤ	17	$^{+}$	t t	+	╈	+-	H-	++	4	H	47	£	\mathbf{T}	l
н	Η.	4	14	+1	4	ЦĒ	Ц	T	T t	+	+	t-	HI	H	H	H	++	÷	+	ļ
+	Η-	ί.	H	44	(L	17	T	11	1	1	$t \rightarrow$	H	4	-	H	H	+	1-1	ļ
H	H	H	H	H	÷	ЧT	1.1	1			Ι.	7	H	t	+	H	↔	+-	H	ļ
t	H-	H	H	٠H	++	4		+ 1	LT	T		r	H	T	1	t+	t+	t	⊷	
t	H-	H	ŀł	++	+	+	⊢⊢	4-1		1		F	Пİ		Ť	H	t+	╋	H	•
È	ffi	Ť.	H	H	H	++	H-	H	H-	£	17		П	\mathbf{T}	Ť	H	tf	t	H	•
n	TH	-	H	H	11	-	+		4	1			П	П	Т	H	ſŤ	fH	H	
Ľ	П	T	H	Ħ) 	+	H	+		+	H	-1	11	П	п		D.	1	H	
L	I	T	1	Ħ	Ħ	tf	-+-	tH	-t-	+-			ŧŦ	н	П		Ť	11	ΠŤ	
Ľ	11	T	T	It	Ħ	71	┯	H	+	+-	⊢	4	44	H	17	1		Ľ		
Ц	ЧĨ	11	Ť		П	11	1	t i	+-	+-	H	-	14	ŧŧ	44	-0	-F	П	1	
Hł	47	41	Ŧ	FF	4	T		CT.	T.			+	÷	H	H	+	4	H	- Г	
H	H	H	+	4	μ	HI.	F		1	T.	H	+	ŧ+	tł	₩	H	+	H	Ŧ	
H	11	44	11	H-	4	44	-64	ί.I	÷C.	Ι		-1	rt	Ħ	tt	Ħ	÷	н	+	
H	++	++	H	-	4	++	+1	1-1	1	Γ.		T	It	n	tt	++	+	H	÷	
h	Ħ	tf	Ħ	÷	H	++		4	4	1	-1	I			Ľ	Ħ	Ħ	ŀŕ	÷	
1	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	+	-	++	+ i	H	+-	н	-		LT.	1	ĽŤ	T	τ	H	+	
LT.	ГŤ	П	Тİ	11		t-t-	+	h	+	+-+	-+	-	ΗŪ	μE	Ц	Π	Т	T	T	1
LF	II.	11	П	1		++	++	+	+	H	+	-14	44	4	H.	£Τ	17	1	Т	ì
1	ĽĽ	Ц	IJ	T		m	-	-	+-	++	-+-	-11	44	4	H	11	11	Ē	L.	ļ
ų,	μF	н	Π	11	1	u.	11		1	H	÷	+	44	-++	₩-	++	μ	4	1	l
+-	4	H	H	44	r		П	71	T	t:t	-†-	11	++	+	H	łŧ	+Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	Į
÷	4-	4	н	+4	4	T.	TT	t	\mathbf{T}		t	11	Ħ	+	H	₩	44	+	44	i
Н	H	H-	н	H	Ð	-	41	1	1		1	11	Ħ	$^{+}$	H	τŀ	+ł	≁	++	ĺ
t.	H	÷	H	H	н	4	44	£	Γ		T	ш	11	\mathbf{t}	4	H	f f	+	44	ĺ
t	Ħ	ti	4	ff	fi	+-	÷f	Ŧ	1	-1	T	п	П	t	н	-	Ħ	+	H	
1	Ħ	H	÷	++	• •	+	++	+	⊷	_	4	ш	П	Л	Л	1	Ħ	1	t+t	
П	11	н	+	11	t	+	┢╍╋╸	+-	++	-	+	41	ŧΤ	п	П		n	Ħ	H	
П	Т	п	1	Ħ	11	+	t+	+	+-+	+	+-	44	44	41	Π	LL.	Ľ	1	H	
	П	Л	Ť	. 1	T		۰ŕ-	+-	►÷	-	+	44	ų,		17		Г			
4	4	17	Γ	11	T	1	H	+	-+	+	+	╉ᢥ	₩.	÷1	47	L L		L_{1}		
Ц	H	41	-	LΤ	Ľ	17	-	1	r +	+	+-	ŧ÷	H	H	++	+	4	ĻТ	Π	
H.	#	44	£	LE	LT	Π	T			+	+-	t+	H+	H	H	++	4	44	ч	
+	₩	4	-	HE	LT			$\mathbf{\Gamma}$		1-	1-	t+	H	H	H	++	+	⊷	4	
Ŧ	H	H	H	H	H	17	-		1	1	Г	t†	t+	-	H	++	+	++	4	
t	H	H	Н	н	H	ΨĮ	F	Ц	4	L	Г	Ľ	t.	h	H	H	≁	H	4	
t	H	H	н	н	H	Ŧf	4	н	-	F			T	1	H	tf	t	H	+	
т	rt.	Ħ	H	H	H	++	+	-	+	-	1	10	T		nt	TŤ	1	H	+	
t	-	-	H	- H	⊷	+4	1	- 1	1	1	1.1	ЦŰ	.F	Т		74	11	гŕ	÷	

C WATTS FROM F 94 AIR

D L L

GHT WEST OF GROUND STATE DUBOUND FL

00 3NI: OIGVE Ŧ 40 120 8 80 S S 8

200

180

RESTRICTED

ส



The second			
Inclure A Inclure A			
Eleventie a light a li			
EGLIDEL E AL BELLEL AL BELLE E AL BELLE			
E CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTÓR DE LA C			
Production of the second of th			
HILE CONTRACT ON THE PLANE OF PLANE TEST DATE OF THE OFFICE A THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE			
Encluse 6 Unit Funder 1437 Encluse 6 Unit Funder 1437 Encluse 6 Encluse			P.
Environmental and a second and a second and a second a se			R R
Provide the second of the seco			Č.
LE MILES BO DO CONCERNENCIAL LE MILES BO DO CONCERNENCIAL			
E MULES 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			
			×
			52-1j
			09 190 81
		Ighar Thùr 1 0,2	
			140
			R
			8
		1 1	9
$\mathbf{\omega} = \mathbf{\omega} + $			≥ o
$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F} + $			φ φ
			N

d

























OUTBOUND FLIGHT WEST OF GROUND STATION AT

AGE

FREG 379.4 MC ARCRAFT AT 20000 FT AUT UDE POWER OUTPUT 5 WATTS FROM F-94 AURCRAFT

Tes Dan

FIGURI UHF FIIGHT

ARBORNE ANTENNA AT 256/ARC UN XR FUSE

GROUND ANTENNA AT-197/GR 52 FEET HIG TRANSMISSION LINE LOSSES TOTAL 75 DECIBE

DAYTON NUNICIPAL ARPORT VANDALLA DUILO















IS

BOWAR

RESTRICTED

5

300

280

260

240

20 2

200

180

160

<u>4</u>

20

<u>8</u>

80



														1	
1461 9102 BUDE DO BE 1456 4464 BUDE DO BE 1464 486 BUDE DO BE 1467 486 BUDE DO BE 1477 4900 BUDE DO BE 1477 4900 BUDE DO BE 1477 4900 BUDE DO BE					ost										
					<i>ñ</i> 2										
		Z Z i													
			Y LU C												
				ž z											G
	æ		í a f												∃ Ž
		a 1- (•								
	69	23	44						DNAF	1.142	is eo		BADE		
		C 10			4									N N	
	2				H G										
		δŌ.	203											80	
		maig	534												20
										1		2			Ĩ
		L d .	4 10 4							1		/			к е
										[1			ğ	E O
									/		1				TAD
								*							-
														40	
														0	
										S.				12	
														ŏ	
									44	-					
														õ	
													L L L	"	
													M		
			┼┟╋┝┼┿┝╋											1	

-







POWER OUTPUTS WATS STOLEN IN SCOLEN IN THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL

<u>8</u>0



RESTRICTED

h





280 INT OIGYS HD: 0 FREE 2/2 AMC. ARCHAR A 33,000 ALT U POWER OUTPUL 5 WATTS FROM (-94 ARCCAR ALROORNE ANTENNA AT 37/02, 52 FEET 464 TRANSMISSION LINE UOSSES TOTAL 7,5 DECIDELS. INBOUND FLUCHT WEST OF GROUND STATION. 260 240 0 RESTRICTED ARCHAR 220 16 **FILGER** POWER OUT PUT 435 200 179. 4 M **26 FCB. 1952** 180 WADC TR 52-138 00 120 <u>8</u> 80





	TTT		
		TT+-	
	HH		
	++++		4.7
	ΗΠ.		111
	****		m
			111
	****	++++	TT
1111 Second and a 22222 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		*****	++++
	++++	$\pi\pi\pi$	
		*****	+++
····	+ m	пп	
		╡┋╡╡┫	HTI
	+		1111
	****	1111	$\Pi \Pi$
	1111		+++
			111
	THE		+++
	+++++		
		1111	+++
<u>╶╴╶</u> ┫┥┥┥┫┥┥┨╴╴	нн		TH
		+++++	+++
··· ↓↓ <i>↓</i> ↓↓↓↓↓↓↓↓↓↓↓↓↓		7711	
		1111F	HT
┼┼╋╃┸╄╪┫┇╧╪╧╌┫╪╧╧╧╧╧┥┥┥┥┥┥┥┥┥┥	HIT		
<u>┼╢┫┼┼┼┫</u> ┼┼┼╉┽┼┽┽ ┎ ╷┊╌ <u>┟╶</u> ┟╌┫┼╽	ШH	1111T	ŧΠ
	Ш	1111	±++
	шH	HHT	HT
┼┼┇┼┼┼╡┫┼┼┤┥┫ ╵┤┥┥┥╵┥┥╴┥╴ ╸ ╡	Ш		HHT.
<u>┼┤┫┼┼┼┫┼┼┽┫┹┼</u> Ţ <u>╤</u> ┇┲ <u>╞</u> ┲┾┾┼┨┼┼	HHF		
	ШÍ		tt t
┝┥ ╋┥┥┥┥┥┫┥┥┥┥╕╴╡╴╡╴╡╴╡╴╡╴┥╴╸╡ ╶╡╴┥	HIT	1111	
	пtн	11111	+++
	HTT	$\pi \pi$	111
		+++++	+++
┦ ┛╶┤┥┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┥┥┥┥┥┥┥┥┥┥	Ш		
	***	++++++	+++
┼┫┥┼┼┼┨┼┼┽┫┫┥┥┥┫╋┝┑╗╗╧╶┥╌┫┤┼┙	1111	нп	TT
┥┫┥ <u>╕┽╞┫┥┥┝┥┝</u> ╋┝╋┝╋┝┥┝┝┥┥┥┥┥	ш		
	****	++++++	
	++++		
		HIT	
	111	1111	
╊┊┼┊┽╊╷╎╷┼┛╎┙╡┊╏╋╧┼┿┯┿┾┲╬┿┾┾	1111	111	
	1111		
	111	11111	+
	1 44	TH	
	1111	****	+++
┤ ┥╡╡┫╎┥╡┇┇┊┇┇┇┇┇┇┇╡ ╋╎╶╡┥	 	HIII	111
		++++	+++
╎╎╎┥┥┛╎┥┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┙┥┥┥┥	HH		
			+++
┼╽┥┽╋╽┥╃╤╋╤╪╧╡╋┢╶┤╴┥╶┫┊┤╡┦	HT	TIT	TT.
			ttf
╎╎╎╉┼┼┽╉╤└┽┎╴╴╴╴╴╴╸╸	ΗH	TTT	
ŢŢŢŢ Ţ ŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢ	1111	111+	
	HH	THE	
	1111	11++-	+++
┝┿┿╪╋╎┽┽╪╊╤╞╧╪╧╋╧╧╧╧┝╧╋┾┼┽┽╉	+111	HIL	
╈╋╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗	1111	1 +++++	++7
	ΗП		111
	ш	HHF	+TT
┼ ╎┼╋╎┽┆╎┱┆┊╪╧╋╧┼┼┼┼╶╂┼ ┼┆ ╎ ╉	HTT		111
	Ш	HHH	HT

╪╪ <u>╢╋┶┙┥┝╋</u> ┿┽┿┿╋╍┥┼┊┥ ┻ ╽╽┼╽╋╽	ШH	HH1	HT
	ITT	HH	111
	111	+++T	111
┼┼╋┥┰┥╋╬┾╅╪╋╋┥┥┝┝┝┝	HT.	1111	11
╈╋╗╗╗╗╗╗╗╗		+++	III.
	111	1111	LH-
╧╪╋┧╄┥┼┫┽╽┥╡┫╶╤╤╶┇╶┇╽╽┾┼┫┢	<u>†††</u>	++FF	T.
┼┼╉┼┼┽╏╋╎╆┟┝┟┝┝┝┝┝┝┝┝┝┝	111	THE	t++-
	tH+	+++	HT.
<u>┽╎┛┥╿╿╤┛╁┾┾┥┫┾┼┾┾</u> ┽┛╢	111		
<u>┤╷╋┥┼┥┽╋┼╤╤╤┲╤╤┲╤╤┲┊╴</u> ┇┦┨╛┦╋┊	+++ T	HTT	
┰┎┎┰┰┰┲╆┼┼┼┽┥┼ ┼┼┼╷╌ ┰ ┆╿┇╪╋┢╛			++-
	1111	1.1.1.1	-

THE SHOW T

FIGUR

FREAZERONE ARCHARTA FREAZERONE AND FOR SOUTH AND FOR A A CONSTRUCTION OF CONSTRUCTURA OF CONSTRUCTURA

2021 BADIOLLIVE OF SIGHT BANKSE 80 80

RESTRICTED

7



		
RA RA SSE SSE SSE SSE SSE	<u> </u>	
⋽⋧⋹⋾⋷⋧⋴		
	2	
	8121	
		o
		ğ
		S
		o
		≥ o
		<u> </u>







FLIGHT TEST DATA

CUR TR

T

U

MARC UNDER FUSELD





RESTRICTED



·







Батра

日日日日

ALLER CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR











220

200

RESTRICTED

80

น







300	580 580 580 580 580 580 580 580 580 580	58	220 24 24 STRICTED	200	180 2-133	WADC TR 5	 50	╆╾╄╌╄╌╋╌╫╌ ╄╌╌┝╌╸ ┠╼╍┫	<u> </u>
				/ {					
					40000 FT	À Ì			
		STATION AT WCENE-4		NGPAL AR	NBOUND FI				
		1 K. ACTITUDE 1 ARCRAFT 7 HIGH 21 HIGH	TEST DATA FROM 6-9 6/ARCUNDE 1/6P 52.FE	HE ELLOH DUT 8 WATTS TENNA AF-197 TENNA AF-197 YENNA AF-197	WER 240M				











Ø













ð









l 8 18 280 THORS RO BUILD O O YE RAFT **ELACE** 260 OF GROUND STATIO POWER OUTPUT 5 WATTS FROM F-94 AURC FREG 379-4 MC ALRCRAFT AT 30000 AD airborne Antenna AT-256/Arc under Fus Ground Antenna AS-505/GR 52 Feet Hi 2000 240 TEST DAT 28 RESTRICTED LOSSES 701 220 SMISSION LINE LC ND FLIGHT WEST TRANSMISSION UNE I INBOUND FLIGHT WES 200 <u>8</u>0 WADC TR 52-138 <u>160</u> 5 120 <u>8</u> $\boldsymbol{<}$ 6 00











(J)





X











.....



INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING AIL TYPE UHF COVERAGE CHARTS

The charts making up Figures 27 through 42 are representative of the AIL type UHF coverage charts. There are two sets of charts: one, for antenna sites using Antenna AT-197/GR discone 52 feet above the ground, and two, for antenna sites using Antenna Assembly AS-505/GR stacked dipole array 58 feet above the ground. Each set includes charts for four types of surface characteristics and two frequencies. The exact ground system applicable is listed to the right of each chart.

The smooth curves on the left side of the charts are plots of elevation angle of radiation versus aircraft range for various aircraft altitudes. The wavy curve to the right is used to determine signal strength. To find the signal voltage, follow the vertical line from the aircraft range reading to the curve for the aircraft altitude. The horizontal line at this intersection will give the elevation angle of the aircraft. The horizontal distance from the intersection to the wavy line represents the signal voltage in decibels above 3 microvolts using the scale at the top of the chart. Any antenna gain, transmitter power difference, or receiver sensitivity variation must be added or subtracted from this decibel measurement to adjust for such differences.

Example: Figure 27.

WADC TR 52-138



Figure 27. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 240 mc. Earth Surface: Good Soil

ж







35 RESTRICTED Figure 28. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 375 mc. Earth Surface: Good Soil

WADC TR 52-138



Figure 29. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 240 mc. Earth Surface: Desert

ELEVATION ANGLE IN DEGREES

36 RESTRICTED

WADC TR 52-138



Figure 30. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 375 mc. Earth Surface: Desert

WADC TR 52-138

37 RESTRICTED

ELEVATION ANGLE IN DEGREES



Figure 31. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 240 mc. Earth Surface: Sea Water

.

٩

S339930 NI 319NA NOITAV313

38 RESTRICTED

WADC TR 52-138



Figure 32. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 375 mc. Earth Surface: Sea Water

WADC TR 52-138



Figure 33. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AT-197/GR at 240 mc. Earth Surface: Arctic Region

4

4

7

~

40 RESTRICTED

WADC TR 52-138



WADC TR 52-138



Figure 35. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 240 mc. Earth Surface: Good Soil

•

٠

.

WADC TR 52-138

لم RESTRICTED



WADC TR 52-138

43 RESTRICTED



.

ELEVATION ANGLE IN DEGREES

44 RESTRICTED

WADC TR 52-138



Figure 38. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 375 mc. Earth Surface: Desert

WADC TR 52-138.

45 **RESTRICTED**



Figure 39. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 240 mc. Earth Surface: Sea Water



WADC TR 52-138

RESTRICTED

46



Figure 40. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 375 mc. Earth Surface: Sea Water



47

RESTRICTED

ELEVATION ANGLE IN DEGREES

Ē 8. RECEIVING ANTENNA GAIN ASSUMED TO BE EQUAL TO THAT OF AN ISOTROPIC RADIATOR 20,000 Figure 41. UHF Coverage Chart - Antenna AS-505/GR at 240 mc. Earth Surface: Arctic Region ***** ANTENNA: AS-505/GR DIPOLE ARRAY EARTH SURFACE: ARCTIC REGION A. DIELECTRIC CONSTANT: 2.0 B. CONDUCTIVITY: 0.0 MHOS PER METER 7. RECEIVER VOLTAGE: 3 MICROVOLTS TRANSMITTER POWER: 100 WATTS 20000 ANTENNA HEIGHT: 58 FEET E POLARIZATION: VERTICAL SYSTEM LOSSES: 1.70 DB FREQUENCY: 240 MC 000'01 ╞₷ FREE - SPACE RANGE 5000 **ن .** -8 07 08 08 08 08 2000 ł RELATIVE ENERGY LEVEL IN DECIBELS SLANT RANGE IN NAUTICAL MILES § ŝ ಕ್ಷ 000 8 -ଛ 1334 131 000 ន 0 000'05 ₽° 1333 000 DE କ୍ଷ 1333 000 00 õ 2 £ 5 ଷ୍ପ 2 ₽ 1 2 5 0 헢 Ξ <u>0</u> • ~ ø * m ~

ELEVATION ANGLE IN DEGREES

48

RESTRICTED

WADC TR 52-138

RESTRICTED

•

T

r.

.

4



WADC TR 52-138



DISTRIBUTION LIST

Cys	Organization	Cys	Organization
	Activities at W-PAFB	l	Commanding General
10	wcenal		ATTN: ENR
2	DSC-SA		Rome, New York
1	WCAPP	1	Commanding General Rome Air Levelorment Center
2	WCRRP1 (For further processing to Rand Corp.)		ATTN: Mr. M. Handlesman Griffiss Air Force Base Rome, New York
3	WCEOTL	1	Commanding General AF Cambridge Research Center
1	WCEO Dr. P. B. Taylor		ATTN: ERR 230 Albany Street Cambridge 39, Massachusetts
1	WCERD Mr. Paul J. Snider	l	AF Eng. Field Representative
1	WCESO2 Mr. V. G. Nelson (For further transmittal of		Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Lt. Col. M. N. Abramovich Washington 25, D. C.
15	WCENEL	1	Commanding General Air Training Command Scott Air Force Base, Ill.
4	BAGR-CD Mrs. D. Martin		Navy
	Dept. of Defense Activities Other than Those Located at W-PAFB	6	Director, Naval Research Lab. ATTN: Tech. Info. Office Washington 25, D. C.
	Air Force	1	BuAer Representative Consolidated Vultee Aircraft
1	Director of Research and Development Headquarters, USAF ATTN: Systems Eng. Br. Electronics Div.		Corporation San Diego 12, California (For further processing to Ryan Aeronautics Co.)
	Washington 25, D. C.	l	Commander, U. S. Naval Air Missile Test Center
1	Washington AF Eng. Field Office Room 4949, Main Navy Building		Point Mugu, Hueneme, Calif.
	Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1	BuAer Representative Cornell Aeronautical Lab. Box 235 Buffalo, New York
WADC	TR 52-138 50	CTEN	

ì

7

ىد

UNCLASSIFIED

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Cont'd)

51

Organization

Cys

Cys

Organization

- 3 BuAer Representative Chance-Vought Aircraft Div. United Aircraft Corporation ATTN: Mr. F. N. Dickerman Dallas, Texas
- Director, U. S. Navy Electronics Laboratory
 ATTN: Code 230, Dr. T. J. Keary San Diego 52, California

Army

- 1 Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: Mr. L. G. DeBay Aberdeen Proving Ground Maryland
- 2 CO, SCEL ATTN: Tech. Reports Library Fort Monmouth, New Jersey
- 1 OCSigO (SIGGD) Eng. and Tech. Division Washington 25, D. C.

Research and Development Board

- 1 Committee on Electronics Research and Development Board National Defense Building ATTN: Secy. Panel on Radiation Sys. Washington 25, D. C.
- 1 Commanding General AF Cambridge Research Labs. ATTN: Dr. Roy C. Spencer 230 Albany Street Cambridge 39, Massachusetts

- Mr. A. R. Beach Air Force Missile Test Center ATTN: MTTA Patrick Air Force Base Cocoa, Florida
- 1 Mr. Martin Katzin Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Code 3460 Washington 25, D. C.
- 1 Mr. A. W. Andrews BuShips, Room 3345 ATTN: Code 833 Department of the avy Washington 25, D. C.
- 1 Mr. L. E. Shoemaker Bureau of Aeronautics Room 1W89 Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.
- 1 Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy ATTN: A. D. Bartelt Washington 25, D. C.
- 1 Mr. Harry Harrison Office of Naval Research Code 427, Room 2604 Building T-3 Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.
- 1 Dr. J. I. Bohnert Naval Research Lab. Building 52 Washington 25, D. C.

1 Director, Navy Underwater Sound Laboratory ATTN: Mr. C. M. Dunn Fort Trumbull New London, Conneticut

WADC TR 52-138

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Cont'd)

Organization

Cys

- 1. Mr. O. C. Woodyard Evans Signal Laboratory Signal Corps Engr. Labs. Belmar, New Jersey
- 2 Mr. Frederick H. Dickson Office of the Chief Signal Officer, ATTN: SIGOL-2 Room 2D-276 National Defense Building Washington 25, D. C.
- 1 Dr. Fred B. Daniels Evans Signal Laboratory Signal Corps Engr. Labs. Belmar; New Jersey
- 1 Mr. Herbert G. Lindner Coles Signal Laboratory Signal Corps Engr. Labs. Red Bank, New Jersey
- 1 Mr. Allan S. Gross Evans Signal Laboratory Signal Corps Engr. Labs. Belmar, New Jersey
- Dr. Harry W. Wells Carnegie Institution of Washington
 5241 Broad Branch Rd., N. W. Washington 15, D. C.
- 1 Dr. L. A. Manning Radio Propagation Laboratory Stanford University Stanford, California
- 1 Dr. R. A. Holliwell Radio Propagation Laboratory Stanford University Stanford, California
- 1 Mr. William C. Boese Federal Communication Commission ATTN: Code 169 Washington 25, D. C.

WADC TR 52-138

Cys Organization

CI ASSIFIFD

1 Dr. A. G. McNish
National Bureau of Standards
ATTN: Div. 1.5
Washington 25, D. C.

Others

- 1 Andrew Alford Consulting Engineers 299 Atlantic Avenue Boston, Massachusetts
- Dorne & Margolin Grumman Airfield Bethpage, L. I., New York
- Bendix Radio Division Bendix Aviation Corporation ATTN: Dr. Kenneth F. Umpleby Towson 4, Maryland
- Beech Aircraft Corporation ATTN: Chief Engineer 6600 E. Central Avenue Wichita 1, Kansas
- 1 Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. 827 Lapham Street El Segundo, California ATT: Mr. E. H. Heinemann Chief Engineer
- 1 Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. ATTN: Mr. E. F. Burton Chief Engineer 3000 Ocean Park Boulevard Santa Monica, California
 - Fairchild Engine& Airplane Corporation Fairchild Airplane Division ATTN: Mr. L. Fahnestock Hagerstown, Maryland
 - Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation ATTN: Chief Engineer Bethpage, L. I., New York



1

3

52

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Cont'd)

Cys

UNCLASSIFIED

Organization

Cys

- 3 Lockheed Aircraft Corporation ATTN: Mr. W. R. Martin Burbank, California
- 2 Republic Aviation Corporation ATTN: Engineering Library Farmingdale, New York
- Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. ATIN: Mr. H. K. Sheffield
 3855 Lakewood Boulevard
 Long Beach, California
- 1 McDonnell Aircraft Corporation ATTN: Engineering Library P. 0. Box 516 Lambert Municipal Airport St. Louis 21, Missouri
- Electronics Research, Inc. 2300 N. New York Ave. P. 0. Box 327 Evansville, Indiana
- Hughes Aircraft Company ATTN: Dr. L. C. Van Atta Research & Development Labs. Culver City, California
- 2 North American Aviation, Inc. ATTN: Mr. Dave Mason Engineering Data Section Los Angeles Internat'l Airport Los Angeles 45, California
- 2 Boeing Airplane Co. Seattle Division ATTN: Mr. C. Hollingsworth Seattle 14, Washington
 - 1 Chase Aircraft Company, Inc. ATTN: Chief Engineer DeCou and Parkway Avenues Hangar Building 34 Mercer County Airport West Trenton, New Jersey

Organization

- 2 Consolidated-Vultee Aircraft Corporation San Diego Division ATTN: Dr. W. J. Short San Diego, California
- 1 California Institute of Tech. Jet Propulsion Laboratory Pasadena, California THRU District Chief, L. A. Ord. Dist. 35 North Raymond Avenue Pasadena 1, California
- 1 Bell Aircraft Corporation ATTN: Mr. J. D. Shantz Niagara Falls, New York
- 2 Northrop Aircraft, Inc. ATTN: Northrop Library Dept. 2135 Hawthorne, California
- Ohio State University
 Research Foundation
 310 Administration Building
 Ohio State University
 Columbus 10, Ohio
- 1 Raytheon Manufacturing Co. ATTN: Dr. H. L. Thomas Documents Section Waltham 54, Massachusetts
- Airborne Instruments Lab., Inc. ATTN: Mr. E. L. Bock Antenna Section 160 Old Country Road Mineola, L. I., New York
- 1 Mr. Sanford Hershfield Electronics Section Glenn L. Martin Co. Baltímore 3, Maryland

53

WADC TR 52-138



DISTRIBUTION LIST (Cont'd)

Cys Organization

Cys

2

1

Organization

- 1 Federal Telecommunications Lab. 500 Washington Avenue Nutley, New Jersey
- 1 University of Illinois Dept. of Elec. Engineering ATTN: Dr. E. C. Jordan Urbana, Illinois
- Polytechnic Inst. of Brooklyn ATTN: Dr. A. A. Oliner 55 Johnson St. - 3rd Floor Brooklyn, New York
- 1 North American Aviation, Inc. Aerophysics Laboratory ATIN: Dr. J. A. Marsh Downey, California
- 1 University of Oklahoma Research Foundation ATTN: Dr. C. L. Farrar Norman, Oklahoma
- 1 Workshop Associates, Inc. 135 Crescent Road Needham Heights 94, Mass.
- 1 Applied Physics Laboratory Johns Hopkins University ATTN: Dr. Wilkes 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Springs, Maryland
- ا Dalmo Victor Company ATTN: Mr. Glen Walters البال El Camino Real San Carlos, California
- 2 Aircraft Radiation Systems Lab. Stanford Research Institute ATTN: Dr. J. B. N. Granger Stanford, California

University of Michigan Aeronautical Research Center ATTN: Dr. R. D. O'Neill Willow Run Airport Ypsilanti, Michigan

Antenna Research Lab., Inc. ATTN: Mr. D. C. Cleckner 797 Thomas Lane Columbus 14, Ohio

WADC TR 52-138

54

UNCLASSIFIED