



00
0
6
3
2
0
A
9

	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Kow Born Entern)	de la companya de la			
1	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS			
		BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 3. DECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER			
	7				
ł	4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	3. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED			
	Electrical and Optical Properties of High Purity	Technical			
	p-Type Single Crystals of GeFe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>4</sub>	5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER			
		7			
	7. AUTHOR(s)	3. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)			
	Aaron Wold	N00014-77-C-0387			
	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Chemistry	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS			
,	Brown University	NR-359-653			
	Providence, Rhode Island 02912				
	11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Dr. David Nelson, Code 472	12. REPORT DATE			
	Office of Naval Research	9/11/79 13. NUMBER OF PAGES			
	Arlington, Virginia	25			
	14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSIFF different tran Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)			
		154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
ł	15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	•			
	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from	m Report) DEP 19 1979			
ł	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
	Submitted to Journal of Solid State Chemistry				
	19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)				
	Hall Mobility Bandgap 3/	o To the 14 porcul C.			
	Compensated Semiconductor	To the 14 pourse			
m		· /			
	20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)				
	$\sim$ Single crystals of the spinel GeFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> , grown by the chemical vapor transport technique, are p-type semiconductors with an acceptor ionization energy				
	of 0.39 eV. The material is a heavily compensated band-type semiconductor.				
	with a typical hole concentration of $10^{14}$ m <sup>-3</sup> near	r room temperature, and a			
-	temperature independent Hall mobility of $2 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs.}$ measurements show the optical band gap to be 2.3 e	optical absorption			
1	splitting of the Fe <sup>2+</sup> d-levels is 10200 cm <sup>-1</sup> . Magn	netic measurements show that			
	n is 5.26, from which a trigonal field splitting	g of 950 cm-1 is derived.			
) L	B , JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE 1/10200 (				

## OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

N00014-77-C-03875 NSF-6F-39737 Contract No. Task No. NR-359-653 Technical Report 12)26p 14) TR-7 ELECTRICAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF HIGH PURITY P-TYPE SINGLE CRYSTALS OF GeFe 04 10 Pierre Strobel, Franks P. / Koffyberg Aaron Wold Department of Chemistry, Brown University Providence, Rhode Island 02912 September, 1979 Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited "To whom all correspondence should be addressed. 79 09 14 042

ABSTRACT

Single crystals of the spinel GeFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, grown by the chemical vapor transport technique, are p-type semiconductors with an acceptor ionization energy of 0.39 eV. The material is a heavily compensated band-type semiconductor, with a typical hole concentration of  $10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> near room temperature, and a temperature independent Hall mobility of 2 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs. Optical absorption measurements show the optical band gap to be  $\ge 2.3$  eV; the octahedral field splitting of the Fe<sup>2+</sup> d-levels is 10200 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Magnetic measurements show that n<sub>eff</sub> is 5.26, from which a trigonal field splitting of 950 cm<sup>-1</sup> is derived.

- 1 -



#### INTRODUCTION

The experimental study of the electrical properties of transition metal oxides is beset by three main problems: (1) The requirement of homogeneous single crystals, (2) the difficulty in interpreting Hall effect measurements in magnetically ordered materials, and (3) the striking influence of minor variations in the actual chemical composition. These difficulties have been pointed out in many studies of oxides with the rock salt or the spinel structure, recently reviewed by Parker (1).

Previously studied spinels were mixed-valency systems of the type  $M_{1-x}^{2+}Fe_x^{2+}Fe_2^{3+}O_4$ , where the conduction mechanism is best described by electrons hopping between B-site  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}$  ions (2). No spinels without mixed valencies on the B-sites have been studied so far. On the other hand, not all transition metal oxides are hopping semiconductors, as has been shown for Li-doped NiO (3).

We report here the study of a stoichiometric spinel oxide,  $GeFe_2O_4$ . This compound is a normal spinel (4). Magnetic and Mossbauer studies have shown that it is antiferromagnetic with  $T_N = 10K$  (5-7). Its I.R. (vibrational) spectrum has been measured (8); all these studies used polycrystalline samples. Neither crystal growth nor the electrical properties have been reported so far. We describe here the preparation of single crystals of  $GeFe_2O_4$ , its optical spectrum in the visible and near I.R., and its electrical properties. Our results show that the crystals are low mobility band-type semiconductors.

- 2 -

#### EXPERIMENTAL

## Single Crystal Preparation

Polycrystalline  $\text{GeFe}_2^{0}_4$  was obtained by solid state reaction between appropriate mixtures of  $\text{GeO}_2$ ,  $\text{Fe}_2^{0}_3$  (Johnson-Matthey) and Fe (Leico ), previously heated in hydrogen. The mixture was heated in evacuated silica tubes for 40 hours at 800°C, then 24 hours at 950°C with intermittent grinding.

Crystals were grown by chemical vapor transport in evacuated silica tubes (12 mm I.D., 15-20 cm long), using a 2-zone furnace. The transporting agent was  $\text{TeCl}_4$  (1.0 mg/cm<sup>3</sup>), introduced as metallic Te and gaseous Cl<sub>2</sub>. Special attention was paid to corrosion problems. The silica tubes were reheated to the softening point before use; they were protected with an inner carbon coating obtained by pyrolysis of acetone, and outgassed before sealing. Finally, the growth zone was cleaned in situ by back transport for 20 hours.

After thermal equilibrium was established in the tube at 920°C, the transport was allowed to proceed by decreasing slowly the growth zone temperature ( $\sim$ 2°C/hr) to 760°C. Reproducible results were obtained using different furnaces under the actual conditions listed in Table II. The transport rate was  $\sim$ 1.5 mg/hr. Attempts at temperatures higher than 930°C showed a sharp increase in the reaction of the charge with the silica tubes.

After slow cooling, the crystals were removed from the tubes and washed in 1 M hydrochloric acid in order to dissolve any condensed chloride or TeO<sub>2</sub>, and finally rinsed with distilled water and ethanol.

## Measurement Procedures

The density was measured by a hydrostatic technique (9) using 1-methyldecalin as the density fluid, calibrated with a high-purity silicon crystal.

Both powders and ground single crystals of GeFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> were analyzed by slow-scan (0.25°/min) x-ray diffraction with a Si internal standard. A Norelco x-ray diffractometer with a high intensity Cu source  $(\lambda_{CuK\alpha_1} = 1.5405\text{\AA})$  and a graphite monochromator in the diffracted beam was used.

The magnetic susceptibility of ground single crystals was determined in the temperature range 55-300 K using a Faraday balance previously described (10) and calibrated with platinum. The maximum field value was 10.5 kO<sub>e</sub>.

For optical measurements, thin slices were carefully cut and ground from single crystals (final polishing with lum alumina). Only two crystals yielded useful samples, because of the extreme difficulty of polishing the brittle, thin crystals. The optical absorption was measured with a single-beam instrument using the sample-in, sample-out method.

The d.c. conductivity and Hall effect were determined by the Van der Pauw technique (11) with readouts on a Keithley 610 electrometer (input impedance  $10^{14} \Omega$ ). Contacts made with ultrasonically applied Indalloy (No. 3) were found to be ohmic under our experimental conditions.

- 4 -

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## a) Single Crystals

The chemical transport technique produced octahedral crystals, with edges up to 4 mm (Figure 1). Bulky crystals appeared black, but thin and crushed ones were light brown in color, in contrast with powdered  $GeFe_2O_4$  obtained from solid state reaction, which is black, probably because of traces of  $Fe^{3+}$  as in  $Fe_{1-x}O$ .

Structural results are summarized in Table I. The structure and cell parameter from Durif (4) were confirmed. We note that small amounts of Fe<sup>3+</sup>, if present at all, cannot be detected by x-ray diffraction since the cell parameters of  $\text{GeFe}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  (12) differ by less than 0.2%. A value of 8.408Å was reported for natural  $\text{GeFe}_2\text{O}_4$  (Brunogeirite), which contains  $\sim 10\%$  Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (13).

### b) Magnetic Susceptibility

Our magnetic susceptibility results are very similar to those obtained by Blasse (5) with a non-linear variation of  $\chi^{-1}$  vs. T below  $\sim$ 110K, where we observe a slight field dependence of  $\chi$ . Experimental asymptotic Curie temperatures and effective numbers of Bohr magnetons ( $n_{eff}$ ) are given in Table I.

As there is spin-orbit coupling in Fe<sup>2+</sup>,  $n_{eff}$  is related to the trigonal field splitting  $\delta$  of the  $t_{2g}$  levels of B-site cations. This allows a further comparison of magnetic results with  $\delta$  values obtained from Mössbauer spectra, as discussed by Hartmann-Boutron (14). Values of  $\delta$ ,

- 5 -

calculated using Hartmann-Boutron's assumptions, are presented in Table I, which shows a good agreement between our results and the Mössbauer  $\delta$ value. The difference between Blasse's and our results may be due to the lower Fe<sup>3+</sup> content of our samples.

## c) Optical Absorption

The optical absorption coefficient of  $\text{GeFe}_{2}0_4$  (uncorrected for reflectivity losses) in the range 500 - 1200 nm is shown in Figure 2. We ascribe the broad band peaking at 980 nm (10200 cm<sup>-1</sup>) to the  ${}^5\Gamma_5 \rightarrow {}^5\Gamma_3$  crystal-field transition of the Fe<sup>2+</sup> ion, octahedrically coordinated with oxygen. This is in good agreement with the band observed at 10400 cm<sup>-1</sup> for Fe(H<sub>2</sub>0)<sub>6</sub><sup>2+</sup> (15).

The sharply rising absorption edge at 550 nm suggests the onset of a band-to-band transition; the corresponding value of the optical band gap is therefore  $E_g \ge 2.3$  eV.

## d) Conductivity and Hall Effect

The conductivities of single crystals of  $\text{GeFe}_2^{0}_4$  were measured in the range 200 - 320 K, corresponding to  $\sigma$  values ranging from  $10^8$  to  $10^4$  ( $\Omega$ cm)<sup>-1</sup>; a typical  $\sigma$  vs.  $T^{-1}$  curve is given in Figure 3. All measurements fitted the relationship

$$\sigma = \sigma_{o} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\sigma}}{kT}\right)$$

- 6 -

Values of  $\rho$  (295K) and  $E_{\sigma}$  of different series of crystals, as well as actual conditions of growth are given in Table II. Good agreement is observed between  $\rho$  and  $E_{\sigma}$  of various crystals, either from the same transport experiment or from different tubes. Values obtained for crystals from strongly attacked growth tubes (grown at higher temperatures or without inner surface protection) show the striking influence of impurities upon both  $\rho$  and  $E_{\sigma}$ . The lower value of  $E_{\sigma}$  obtained in series 3, which was grown for a much longer time than other ones, may be due to similar contamination.

Discrepancies in the absolute values of  $\rho$  are mainly due to the uncertainties on the thickness of the samples, which were not in the ideal flat plate shape. Measurements on inner and outer parts of one sliced crystal were in good agreement.

The measured Hall constants  $R_H$  were found to be independent of the applied field up to 7 kOe (Figure 4, inset). We note that our measurements were performed in a temperature region far above the magnetic ordering range (T/T<sub>N</sub> > 25). The sign of the Hall effect indicated p-type conduction. Measured values of the hole concentration  $p = (eR_H)^{-1}$  and of the Hall mobility  $\mu_H = \sigma R_H$  are shown in Figures 3 and 4. We found that p is thermally activated, with an activation energy  $E_H$  very close to  $E_\sigma$  (Figure 3); consequently,  $\mu_H$  is essentially independent of T in the range 250-320 K.

In previously studied spinels (1), the conduction was ascribed to small polarons hopping between cations of different valencies on the B sites. In such a hopping model, the drift mobility is very small (experimental value for holes in cobalt ferrite:  $1.4 \ 10^{-6} \ cm^2/Vs$ )<sup>(2)</sup> and thermally activated; the carrier concentration is basically temperature independent. The Hall mobility, although not equal to the drift mobility, should also be very small and thermally activated. In contrast, in  $GeFe_2O_4$ , it is the carrier concentration p that is thermally activated, and the Hall mobility is well above the limit ( $\sim 0.1 \ cm^2/Vs$  (3)) below which hopping conduction may take place.

- 7 - .

We therefore conclude that  $GeFe_2^{0}_4$  is a band conductor; the low mobility may be due to a narrow valence band and/or large polaron formation, as has been found in p-type NiO (3).

Two further possibilities arise in the case of band conduction. In nearly intrinsic conduction, the activation energies of the conductivity  $(E_{\sigma})$  and of the Hall constant  $(E_{H})$  should be equal to  $E_{g}/2$ . Our data show  $E_{\sigma} \simeq E_{H} << E_{g}/2$ . Furthermore, the purity required for intrinsic conduction at room temperature is unlikely to be reached.

Extrinsic p-type conduction requires the presence of acceptors A, which ionize according to

$$A = A + p$$

In real crystals, the acceptors are partly compensated by ionized donors or positively charged defects  $D^+$ . If  $N_A$  and  $N_D$  are the total concentration of acceptors and donors,  $N_A = [A] + [A^-]$ , and charge neutrality requires that

$$[A] = N_{D} + p$$

From the acceptor ionization equilibrium, we obtain:

$$p = \beta N_v \frac{[A]}{[A^-]} \exp\left(\frac{E_A}{kT}\right)$$

where  $E_A$  is the ionization energy of acceptors,  $\beta$  their spin degeneracy, and N<sub>V</sub> the density of states in the valency band (16). Rearranging A, A<sup>-</sup> and N<sub>v</sub>, we obtain:

$$p = \frac{N_{A} - (p + N_{D})}{(p + N_{D})} = a \beta \left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{o}}\right) = T = \exp\left(-\frac{E_{A}}{kT}\right)$$

- 8 -

where a is a numerical constant equal to 4.832  $10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> K<sup>-3</sup>, and  $(m_p^*/m_o)$  is the relative effective mass of holes.

This equation can be further simplified, depending on the degree of compensation: in the lightly compensated case, we have  $N_D < P$ , whereas in the heavily compensated case  $N_D >> p$ . Resulting equations are compared in Table III, together with the experimental values for p vs. T relationships. In the further calculation of  $N_A$  or  $N_D/N_A$ , we have assumed  $\beta=2$  and  $m_p^* = m_o$ ; the order of magnitude of these values is certainly correct, and the main conclusions are not changed if the assumed  $\beta$  and  $m_p^*/m_o$  values are varied.

Evaluating our data according to the light compensation case leads to unreasonable values of N<sub>A</sub> and E<sub>A</sub>; compared to the total Fe<sup>2+</sup> concentration in GeFe<sub>2</sub>0<sub>4</sub> (2.7 10<sup>22</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>), an acceptor concentration of 1.5 10<sup>22</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> is unacceptable. Moreover, 0.81 eV is an unrealistically large value for an acceptor ionization energy. The parameters obtained assuming the heavy compensation case appear much more acceptable; the ionization energy is now 0.39 eV, and a compensation ratio of 0.10 is not unusual. With  $p \approx 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at room temperature there seems to be no difficulty in satisfying the basic assumption N<sub>A</sub> > N<sub>D</sub> > p for the heavy compensation case.

We have no actual information as to the nature of the acceptors and compensators. However, we think it likely that the acceptors are  $Fe^{3+}$  ions on the spinel B sites, whereas the compensators probably are traces of C1<sup>-</sup> incorporated during the crystal growth or charged oxygen vacancies.

- 9 -

The observed mobility is intermediate between the very small values observed for hopping conductors and the larger values observed in wide band semiconductors (17). Our values are of the same order of magnitude as those observed in p-type NiO (3). In that case, the small mobility values have been attributed to the formation of large polarons by the holes, and a similar condition may exist in GeFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

#### CONCLUSION

The chemical vapor transport technique allowed the growth of pure, light brown single crystals of the iron (II)-spinel  $GeFe_2O_4$ . Magnetic and optical measurements confirmed the splitting of B-sites  $Fe^{2+}$  d levels; experimental values are 10200 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the octahedral crystal field splitting, and 950 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the trigonal field splitting. The crystals were p-type semiconductors with an optical band gap  $E_g \ge 2.3$  eV. Conductivity and Hall effect measurements showed that the acceptor ionization energy is 0.39 eV, and that the mobility is moderatly low ( $\sim 2 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ ) and independent of temperature . A consistent interpretation of the data is obtained by applying the model of a heavily compensated band-type semiconductor.  $GeFe_2O_4$  differs in that respect from previously reported hopping-type spinels; its electronic properties are analogous to antiferromagnetic NiO at high temperatures. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Mr. Kirby Dwight for numerous helpful discussions during the course of this work as well as Mr. Robert Kershaw for his help with the synthetic part of this work.

In addition the authors wish to acknowledge the Office of Naval Research in Arlington, Virginia for partial support as well as the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. (Grant #GF 39737) for the support of Dr. Pierre Strobel.

Acknowledgement is also made to the Materials Research Laboratory Program at Brown University.

1.	R. Parker in "Magnetic Oxides",	(D. J. Craik,	Ed.) Part 1,	Chapter 8,
	Wiley, New York (1975).			

- 2. G. H. Jonker, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 9, 165 (1959).
- 3. A. J. Bosman and H. J. Van Daal, Advances in Physics, 19, 1 (1970).
- 4. A. Durif-Varambon, E. F. Bertaut and R. Pauthenet, Ann. Chim. (Paris), 13, 526 (1956).
- 5. G. Blasse and J. F. Fast, Philips Res. Rept., 18, 393 (1963).
- 6. K. B. Mathur, Solid State Comm., 3, 401 (1965).
- 7. P. Imbert, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 263B, 184 (1966).
- 8. P. Tarte, Spectrochim. Acta, 19, 49 (1963).
- 9. R. Adams, Ph.D. Thesis, Brown University, 1973.
- 10. B. L. Morris and A. Wold, Rev. Sci. Instr., 89, 1937 (1968).
- 11. L. J. Van Der Pauw, Philips Res. Rept., 13, 1 (1958).
- 12. H. E. Swanson, NBS Monograph, 25 (sec. 5), 31 (1967).
- 13. J. Ottemann and B. Nuber, Neues Jahrbuch Min. Monatsch., 263, (1972).
- 14. F. Hartmann-Boutron, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 263B, 188 (1966).
- D. S. McClure in "Solid State Physics", (F. Seitz and D. Turnbull, Eds.), Vol. 9, p. 497, Acad. Press, New York (1959).

16. J. S. Blakemore, Semiconductor Statistics, Ch. 2, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1962).

17. F. P. Koffyberg, Can. J. Phys., 49, 435 (1971).

## TABLE I

## STRUCTURAL AND MAGNETIC DATA FOR ${\tt GeFe}_2{\tt 0}_4$

	Durif (4) Imbert (7)	Blasse (5)	This Work
Preparation	Fe + Fe <sub>3</sub> 0 <sub>4</sub> +Ge0 <sub>2</sub> 900°C	Fe + Fe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> + GeO <sub>2</sub> 1000°C	Fe + Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> + GeO <sub>2</sub> 950°C + vapor transport via TeC1 <sub>4</sub>
Cell Parameter (Å)	8.411		8.4118 ± 0.0001
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )			calc. 5.54 meas. 5.51
Asymptotic Curie Temp. (K) Effective Magnetic Moment (in Bohr magnetons)		-15 5.40	-25 5.26
Trigonal Field Splitting of Fe <sup>2+</sup> t <sub>2g</sub> levels (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	1020 (Mossb.)	600 (magn.)	950 (magn.)

## TABLE II

# ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES OF SINGLE CRYSTALS OF GeFe<sub>2</sub>0<sub>4</sub>

Crystal Growth	Transport Temp.	Duration of Growth	Resistivity Activation Ene at 295 K		ergy (eV)
Series	(°C)	or growin	(Ω cm)	Conductivity	Hall Const.
1	925/760	10 days	1.5 10 <sup>5</sup>	0.370	
			1.4 10 <sup>5</sup>	0.386	
2	920/745	12 days	1.3 10 <sup>5</sup>	0.406	
			9.6 10 <sup>4</sup>	0.396	
3	900/730	20 days	2.6 10 <sup>5</sup>	0.355	
4	910/745	11 days	2.6 10 <sup>5</sup>	0.435	
			2.4 10 <sup>5</sup>	0.450	
5	925/760	11 days	7.6 10 <sup>4</sup>	0.421	0.442
6	910/740	ll days	1.4 10 <sup>5</sup>	0.406	
7	920/745	12 days	3.5 10 <sup>4</sup>	0.418	0.422
Crystals	from strongly a	ittacked tubes	10 <sup>1</sup> -10 <sup>2</sup>	0.05-0.15	(magn.)

## TABLE III

## RESULTS WITH EXTRINSIC MODEL

	Light Compensation	Heavy Compensation
Basic assumption	N <sub>A</sub> >> p >> N <sub>D</sub>	$N_A \gg N_D \gg p$
p vs. T (theory)	$pT^{-\frac{3}{4}} = C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{E_A}{2kT}\right)$	$pT^{-\frac{3}{2}} = C_2 \exp^{-\frac{E_A}{kT}}$
	$C_1 = N_A^{\frac{1}{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} (\frac{m_p^*}{m_o})^{\frac{3}{4}}$	$C_2 = \left(\frac{N_A - N_D}{N_D}\right) a \beta \left(\frac{m^*}{m_0}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$
Fitted values	$E_A = 0.81 \text{ eV}$	$E_A = 0.39 eV$
	$C_1 = 1.21 \ 10^{19} \ (c.g.s.)$	$C_2 = 8.32 \ 10^{16} \ (c.g.s.)$
$\beta = 2$ Assuming $m_p^* \simeq m_o$	$N_A = 1.5 \ 10^{22} \ cm^{-3}$	$\frac{N_D}{N_A} = 0.105$

.

## FIGURE CAPTION SHEET

Figure 1	-	Monocrystalline sample of $GeFe_2^{0}_{4}$ grown by chemical vapor
		transport (series 7).
Figure 2	-	The optical absorption spectrum of GeFe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>4</sub> at room temperature
		(crystal from series 3, thickness 57 $\pm$ 5 µm).
Figure 3	-	The conductivity (+) and hole concentration ( $\bullet$ ) of GeFe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>4</sub>
		as a function of temperature (crystal from series 7).
Figure 4	-	Temperature dependence of the Hall mobility $\mu_H$ of GeFe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>4</sub>
		. (crystal from series 7) ; inset: variation of the Hall voltage $V_{\mu}$
		with applied magnetic field.

## - 17 -

. .

4





2

-F16.2



F16-4



, . . .

---

.1

## 472:GAN:716:ta 78u472-608

## TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	No. Copies		No. Copie
Dr. Faul Delahay		Library	
New York University		P. R. Mallory and Company, Inc.	
Department of Chemistry		Northwest Industrial Park	
New York, New York 10003	1	Burlington, Massachusetts 01803	1
Dr. R. A. Osteryoung		Dr. P. J. Hendra	
Colorado State University		University of Southhampton	
Department of Chemistry		Department of Chemistry	
Fort Collins, Colorado 80521	1	Southhampton SO9 5NH	
		United Kingdom	1
Dr. E. Yeager			
Case Western Reserve University		Dr. Sam Perone	
Department of Chemistry		Purdue University	
Cleveland, Ohio 41106	1	Department of Chemistry	
		West Lafayette, Indiana 47907	1
Dr. D. N. Bennion			
University of California		Dr. Royce W. Murray	
Chemical Engineering Department		University of North Carolina	
Los Angeles, California 90024	1	Department of Chemistry	
		Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1
Dr. E. A. Marcus			
California Institute of Technology		Naval Ocean Systems Center	
Department of Chemistry		San Diego, California 92152	
Pasadena, California 91125	1	Attn: Technical Library	1
Dr. J. J. Auborn		Dr. J. H. Ambrus	
Bell Laboratories		The Electrochemistry Branch	
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974	1	Materials Division, Research	
		& Technology Department	
Dr. Adam Heller		Naval Surface Weapons Center	
Bell Telephone Laboratories		White Oak Laboratory	
Murrary Hill, New Jersey 07974	1	Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1
Dr. T. Katan		Dr. G. Goodman .	
Lockheed Missiles & Space		Globe-Union Incorporated	
Co, Inc.		5757 North Green Bay Avenue	
<b>P.O.</b> Box 504		Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201	
Sunnyvale, California 94088	1		
		Dr. J. Boechler	
Dr. Joseph Singer, Code 302-1		Electrochimica Corporation	
NASA-Lewis		Attention: Technical Library	
21000 Brookpark Road		2485 Charleston Road	
Cleveland, Ohio 44135	1	Mountain View, California 94040	
Dr. S. Brummer		Dr. P. P. Schmidt	
EIC Incorporated		Oakland University	
Five Lee Street		Department of Chemistry	
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Rochester, Michigan 48063	
		and a second and a second a se	

2

0

The second states in the

Para and

-----

. . . . .

· .

•

472:GAN:716:tam 78u472-608

## TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

1 × #

4.4

- 4: ere. drg

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street		Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station	
Arlington, Virginia 22217		Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
Attn: Code 472	2		
		U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 1211	
ONR Branch Office 536 S. Clark Street		Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	
Chicago, Illinois 60605		Attn: CRD-AA-IP	1
Attn: Dr. George Sandoz	1		•
		Naval Ocean Systems Center	
ONR Branch Office		San Diego, California 92152	
715 Broadway		Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney	1
New York, New York 10003			
Attn: Scientific Dept.	1	Naval Weapons Center	
		China Lake, California 93555	
ONR Branch Office		Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster	
1030 East Green Street		Chemistry Division	1
Pasadena, California 91106		Neural Civil Engineering Laboratory	
Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93401	
ONR Area Office		Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko	1
One Hallidie Plaza, Suite 601		needs brown brisks	
San Francisco, California 94102		Professor K. E. Woehler	
Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry	
		Naval Postgraduate School	
ONR Branch Office		Monterey, California 93940	1
Building 114, Section D			
666 Summer Street		Dr. A. L. Slafkosky	
Boston, Massachusetts 02210		Scientific Advisor	
Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles	1	Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1)	
Director, Naval Research Laboratory		Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Office of Naval Research	
Attn: Code 6100	•	800 N. Quincy Street	
The Assistant Secretary		Arlington, Virginia 22217	
of the Navy (R,E&S)		Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller	?
Department of the Navy			
Room 4E736, Pentagon		Naval Ship Research and Development	
Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Center .	
		Annapolis, Maryland 21401	
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command		Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian	
Department of the Navy		Applied Chemistry Division	1
Washington, D.C. 20360		N	
Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center	
		San Diego, California 91232	
		Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division	,
		sciences Division	1

472:GAN:716:ta 78u472-608

#### TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

## No. Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Dr. H. Richtol Chemistry Department Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York 12181

Dr. A. B. Ellis Chemistry Department University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706

1. h #

in allowing

-) -

Dr. M. Wrighton Chemistry Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Combridge, Massachusetts 02139

Larry E. Plew Naval Weapons Support Center Code 3073, Building 2906 Crane, Indiana 47522

S. Ruby DOE (STOR) 600 E Street Washington, D.C. 20545

Dr. Aaron Word Brown University Department of Chemistry Providence, Rhode Island 02192

Dr. R. C. Chudacek McGraw-Edison Company Edison Battery Division Post Office Box 28 Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003

Dr. A. J. Bard University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712

Dr. M. M. Nicholson Electronics Research Center Rockwell International 3370 Miraloma Avenue Anaheim, California 92803

Dr. M. G. Sceats University of Rochester Department of Chemistry Rochester, New York 14627

1

1