





Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

PEPOPT DOCIMENTATION PACE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REFORT DOCUMENTATION TAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
Miscellaneous Paner SI-79-18	
	A TYPE OF PEROPT & PERIOD COVERES
NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING OF CONCRETE T-WALLS	
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN PROTECTION LEVEES, NEW ORLEANS	Final report
DISTRICT	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
"Have to a state of a state of all and the state of	The second second second second
AUTHOR(•)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Henry T. Thornton, Jr.	partition and parantan and the
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Structures Laboratory	the weath the philosecond as the
P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
U. S. Army Engineer District, New Orleans	August 1979
New Orleans, La. 70160	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
	SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different fro	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, If different fr 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Ouality control	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different for 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Quality control Concrete structures Ultrasonic tests	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Quality control Concrete structures Ultrasonic tests Concrete tests T-walls Levees	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit Approved for public release; distribution unlimit T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different for S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Quality control Concrete structures Ultrasonic tests Concrete tests T-walls Levees ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elds If necessary and identify by block number)	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit Approved for public release; distribution unlimit T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Quality control Concrete structures Ultrasonic tests Concrete tests T-walls Levees ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse elds H messeers and identify by block number) Nondestructive tests and a	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit Approved for public release; distribution unlimit T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Quality control Concrete structures Ultrasonic tests Concrete tests T-walls Levees Nondestructive testing measurements were made T-walls constructed in the east and west protecti	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in ALC STRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in ALC STRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Source tests Compaction tests Quality by block number) Nondestructive testing measurements were made T-walls constructed in the east and west protecti Basin. These T-walls are to accommodate a 36-in.	ed. om Report) on two concrete inverted on two concrete inverted on levees of the Atchafalaya crude oil pipeline that wil
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for Atchafalaya Leves System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Quality control Concrete structures Ultrasonic tests Concrete tests T-walls Levees ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse obb N measurements were made T-walls constructed in the east and west protecti Basin. These T-walls are to accommodate a 36-in. cross the basin. Ultrasonic velocity measurement	ed. om Report) on two concrete inverted on levees of the Atchafalaya crude oil pipeline that wil s were made through the up-
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different for T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different for ALC DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different for Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Quality control Concrete structures Ultrasonic tests Concrete tests T-walls Levees ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse odd N messeenty and identify by block member) Nondestructive testing measurements were made T-walls constructed in the east and west protecti Basin. These T-walls are to accommodate a 36-in. cross the basin. Ultrasonic velocity measurement right portion of the walls where visible seams of	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Quality control Concrete structures Ultrasonic tests Concrete tests T-walls Levees 8. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse etch N messary and identify by block number) Nondestructive testing measurements were made T-walls constructed in the east and west protecti Basin. These T-walls are to accommodate a 36-in. cross the basin. Ultrasonic velocity measurement right portion of the walls where visible seams of tion and exposed reinforcing steel caused concern	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Quality control Concrete structures Ultrasonic tests Concrete tests T-walls Levees ASTRACT (Centime on reverse elde N measurements were made T-walls constructive testing measurements were made T-walls constructed in the east and west protecti Basin. These T-walls are to accommodate a 36-in. cross the basin. Ultrasonic velocity measurement right portion of the walls where visible seams of tion and exposed reinforcing steel caused concern of the walls. Measurements were also made to det	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number Atchafalaya Levee System Nondestructive tests Compaction tests Quality control Concrete structures Ultrasonic tests Concrete tests T-walls Levees ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elds N messeeny and identify by block number) Nondestructive testing measurements were made T-walls constructed in the east and west protecti Basin. These T-walls are to accommodate a 36-in. cross the basin. Ultrasonic velocity measurement right portion of the walls where visible seams of tion and exposed reinforcing steel caused concern of the walls. Measurements were also made to det	ed.

CONT

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Enter

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

were any defects in critical areas such as near the intersections of the vertical wall and the base slab. In each wall there is a section of concrete which houses the casing collar where the pipeline passes through the walls. The wall surfaces in these areas were alleged to have been patched and smoothed with a neat cement grout to cover numerous surface irregularities. Velocities obtained in these particular areas of both walls confirm the presence of inferior quality material. The measurements made in other areas of the east wall produced only one velocity that is indicative of concrete of questionable quality. Four of the 32 velocities obtained from the other areas of the west wall indicated inferior quality concrete.

Attempts to make determinations concerning the continuity of the foundation piles using the pulse-echo technique were not successful.

Cont

PREFACE

The nondestructive testing (NDT) reported herein was authorized by DA Form 2544 No. LMNED-79-45 dated 11 January 1979 and LMNED-79-45A dated 15 February 1979, from the U. S. Army Engineer District, New Orleans (NOD), to the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES). The work was performed by members of the staff of the Structures Laboratory (SL) under the direction of Mr. Bryant Mather, Acting Chief, SL, Mrs. Katharine Mather, Chief, Engineering Sciences Division (ESD), and Mr. B. R. Sullivan, Chief, Engineering Physics Branch (EPB). Members of the staff of the NOD as well as WES, all of whom are mentioned in the report, participated in the work. Mr. Henry T. Thornton, Jr., was project leader and prepared this report.

Special recognition is given to Mr. Herb Albert, NOD, whose input and assistance were essential to the successful completion of this investigation.

Commanders and Directors of the WES during the conduct of this investigation and publication of this report were COL J. L. Cannon, CE, and COL N. P. Conover, CE. Technical Director was Mr. F. R. Brown.

Acces	sion For	7
NTIS	GRA&I	W
DDC T	AB	-
Unann	ounced	
Justi	fication	
By		
Distri	bution/	
Avail	ability	Codes
	Availon	ales -
Dist.	Specia	1
۸		-
H		

CONTENTS

Page

PREFACE
CONVERSION FACTORS, U. S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI)
UNITS OF MEASUREMENT
PART I: INTRODUCTION
PART II: ULTRASONIC VELOCITY TESTS AND RESULTS 5
East Levee Wall 6 West Levee Wall 6
PART III: PULSE-ECHO TESTS ON PILES
TABLES 1 and 2
FIGURES 1-3
PHOTOS 1-11

CONVERSION FACTORS, U. S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI) UNITS OF MEASUREment

U. S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

Multiply	By	To Obtain
inches	2.54	centimetres
feet and are been on a	0.3048	metres
feet per second	0.3048	metres per second

NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING OF CONCRETE T-WALLS, ATCHAFALAYA BASIN PROTECTION LEVEES, NEW ORLEANS DISTRICT

PART I: INTRODUCTION

1. Nondestructive testing (NDT) measurements were made on two concrete inverted T-walls constructed in the east and west protection levees of the Atchafalaya Basin. These T-walls are to accommodate the Weeks Island-St. James 36-in.* crude oil pipeline that will cross the basin, intersecting the east and west protection levees near Belle River and Centerville, La., respectively. The initial contact with the Waterways Experiment Station (WES) by New Orleans District (NOD) was a request for an ultrasonic velocity survey of the upright portion of the T-walls in areas where visible seams of apparent inadequate compaction and exposed reinforcing steel caused concern for the structural integrity of the walls (see Photos 1, 2, 3, and 4).

* A table of factors for converting U. S. customary units of measurement to metric (SI) units is presented on page 3.

PART II: ULTRASONIC VELOCITY TESTS AND RESULTS

2. On 14 December 1978 ultrasonic velocity measurements were made through portions of the walls in an attempt to delineate areas of concrete of inferior quality. The measurements were made by Mr. Henry T. Thornton, Structures Laboratory (SL), WES, assisted by Messrs. Herb Albert and Wade Wright, NOD. The equipment and procedures used to make the ultrasonic velocity measurements are described in CRD-C 51-72 of the Corps of Engineers' Handbook for Concrete and Cement.

3. Figures 1 and 2 show the locations and dimensions for stations established on the wall for making the ultrasonic velocity measurements. Station locations were selected to yield information on possible unseen defects in critical areas, i.e., near intersections between the base of the wall and the slab, and also to determine the extent and severity of visible defects. The general intent of the data station layout at both sites was to have a horizontal line of stations located at intervals along the wall on or near the poorly consolidated areas (between 4 and 6 ft above the slab), and to have a second horizontal line of stations near the intersection of the vertical wall and the slab (6 in. to 2 ft above the slab). Other stations were located according to the need to investigate specific areas. The stations in the horizontal lines near the base of the upright are designated 1B, 3B, 5B, etc., and lie on the same lines vertically as the corresponding stations above them. Stations designated by the letters A through K were located in suspect areas not covered by the previously mentioned horizontal lines of stations (Photos 5 and 6). The face of the protected side of each wall has a vertical slope of 39/64 in. per ft. Therefore, any change in vertical elevation on the wall is accompanied by a change in distance through the wall section. For evaluation purposes the velocities obtained from data stations at the same elevation at each wall are grouped. Consequently, there are several groups of velocities reported for the east wall, and several groups reported for the west wall. This was done to separate velocities by elevation or area.

East Levee Wall

4. Table 1 gives the results of the ultrasonic velocity measurements made through the T-wall on the east levee. Five groups of velocities are reported. Each group represents a series of measurements made through the wall at one elevation (see Figure 1). The distances through which each group of measurements was made are as follows:

Group	roup Distance,	
1	1.64	
2	1.56	
3	1.84	
4	1.77	
5	1.80	

Of the 28 measurements (groups 1 and 2) made through or near the visible seam, only station No. 25 produced a velocity that is indicative of concrete of questionable quality. The 15 measurements made along the base of the vertical wall (groups 3 and 4) were indicative of excellent quality concrete. Stations A through E (group 5) were located in an area where the wall surface was alleged to have been patched and smoothed with a neat cement grout (see Photo 2). Velocities obtained at these stations confirm the presence of inferior quality material. The mean velocity for group 5 is 13 percent lower than that of groups 1-4 collectively.

West Levee Wall

5. Table 2 gives the results of velocity measurements made through the T-wall on the west protection levee. These velocities are reported in four groups with path lengths through the wall as follows:

Group	Distance,	ft
1	1.49	
2	1.59	
3	1.77	
4	1.80	

Velocities obtained at stations 3, 11, and 15 of groups 1 and 2 indicate areas of inferior quality concrete located along the visible seam in the west wall. The 11 measurements made along the base of the vertical wall (group 3) produced 1 velocity (station 11B) which is considerably lower than the others. This anomaly goes without explanation except for the possibility of incomplete consolidation. It is noted that station 11, which is directly above station 11B, also produced a low velocity. It is also noted that stations 9B and 10 are very near the lowest velocities in their respective groups, although they are within one standard deviation of their respective group means. The point is that these stations are all very close to that section of concrete which houses the casing collar (see Photo 7 and Figure 2). The additional steel reinforcing and irregular form shape could have contributed to incomplete consolidation in this area. Stations A through K were established to provide data in the allegedly patched and smoothed-over area in the west wall (see Photo 3 and Figure 2). As in the east wall, the velocities obtained from this group of stations generally confirm the presence of inferior quality concrete. The mean velocity for group 4 is 15 percent lower than the mean of groups 1-3 collectively.

PART III: PULSE-ECHO TESTS ON PILES

6. During the course of this investigation, the testing party learned that there was some concern on the part of NOD about the continuity of some of the prestressed concrete piles that were driven to serve as foundation for the T-wall structures. Two rows of piles were driven on 4-ft centers to depths of approximately 80 ft under each wall; 34 piles (14 by 14 in.) under the east wall and 40 piles (12 by 12 in.) under the west wall. Figure 3 shows a typical section through a wall. On 16 January 1979, with verbal authority from NOD, Messrs. Henry Thornton and Dan Wilson returned to the east protection wall and attempted to make determinations concerning the continuity of the foundation piles using the pulse-echo technique. This technique is in the developmental stage. It entails the introduction of an excitation pulse at the surface of a structure and receiving at the same surface reflections, or echoes, returning from boundaries within the structure. In this case the boundaries would be the end of the pile or cracks if any were present. Attempts to excite the piles through the 2.5-ft base slab were unsuccessful. Through the efforts of Messrs. Herb Juneau and Carl Rebouche, NOD, a small portion of a pile was exposed by excavating adjacent to the base slab (see Photo 8). This was done to facilitate actual contact with the pile in the hope that a shear mode measurement in direct contact with the pile would produce better results than the previous indirect (through the slab) longitudinal test. This was not the case. Although the efforts made in the excavation, and in the testing, were good, the small portion of pile exposed and the very close and undesirable working conditions made it very difficult to perform the test properly. Little confidence, if any, was generated for this method of testing in this particular application, and an oral report was made, as such, to NOD. The desire for information on the condition of the piles and the fact that the shear mode pulse-echo tests had been made under undesirable conditions prompted a request by NOD to reevaluate the applicability of the shear mode test if it could be done under more favorable conditions, i.e., more extensive excavation with more pile area exposed. On receiving this request, a decision was made to do calibration tests

on companion piles which had not been driven. On 23 January 1979 Messrs. Henry Thornton and Michel Alexander travelled to the precast pile yard of Marine Concrete Structures, Inc., Metairie, La. Both shear mode and longitudinal mode pulse-echo measurements were made on cracked and uncracked piles. No difficulty was encountered in the measurements. Both shear and longitudinal echoes were received from the ends of the piles, and from the crack in the pile (see Photos 9 and 10). The results of these tests were considered to be excellent. With these results, and with expectations of having better access to the driven piles at the site, it was decided that there was at least an even chance for success. On 21 February 1979 Messrs. Thornton and Alexander returned to the east wall. More extensive excavation had been performed, but the exposed portions of the piles were still small (see Photo 11). After 2 days of intensive, exhaustive testing with no success the work was terminated. It was concluded that the damping factors of the surrounding soil and the 2.5-ft concrete cap were so high that the pile could not respond to the exciting impulse in either mode of test. An impulse of much higher energy than that available at the time would be required to excite piles in such an environment.

Gro	up 1		C. C. S. S. S. S.	Group 2
Station	Velocity fps		Statio	Velocity n fps
1	15,920		15	15,445
2	15,920		16	15,600
3	15,770		17	15,920
4	15,620		18	15,145
5	15,470		19	15,145
6	15,470		20	15,920
7	15,400		21	15,295
8	16,080		22	15,445
9	16,080		23	15,600
10	16,080		24	15,760
11	16,080		25	14,715
12	16,160		26	16,250
13	16,080		27	15.145
14	15,470		28	15,445
Mean 15,8	330		Mean	15,490

Table 1 East Wall Velocity Measurements

Std Dev 285

Std Dev 395

Grou	лр 3	Group 4		Group 4 Group		1p 5
Station	Velocity fps	Station	Velocity fps	Station	Velocity fps	
1B	15,335	15B	15,525	A	13.045	
3B	15,595	17B	15,805	В	13,140	
5B	16,140	18B	15,945	С	13,435	
7B	15,930	20B	15,665	D	13,635	
9B	15,725	22B	15,260	Е	14.635	
11B	15,860	24B	15,460			
13B	15,725	26B	15,665			
		28B	15,325			
Mean 15,7	760	Mean 15.	580	Mean 13.5	80	
Std Dev 2	255	Std Dev	235	Std Dev (535	

Overall Mean and S	Standard Deviation
Excluding Group 5	Including Group 5
Mean 15,655 fps	Mean 15,435 fps
Std Dev 345 fps	Std Dev 745 fps

Grou	up 1	Group 2	
	Velocity		Velocity
Station	fps	Station	fps
1	15,360	11	14,455
2	15,360	12	15,435
3	13,670	13	15,590
4	15,205	14	15,745
5	15,520	15	14,070
6	15,520	16	15,900
7	15,685	17	16,225
8	14,750	18	15,590
9	15,360	19	16,060
10	14,750	20	16,225
10A	14,900		
Mean 15,100		Mean 15,	530
Std Dev	570	Std Dev 725	
Grou	др 3	Grou	D 4
Grou	up 3 Velocity	Grou	p 4 Velocity
Grou Station	up 3 Velocity fps	Grou	p 4 Velocity fps
Grou Station 1B	Velocity <u>fps</u> 16,105	Grou Station A	p 4 Velocity <u>fps</u> 14,285
Grou Station 1B 3B	1p 3 Velocity <u>fps</u> 16,105 15,820	<u>Grou</u> <u>Station</u> A B	p 4 Velocity <u>fps</u> 14,285 12,675
Grou Station 1B 3B 5B	UP 3 Velocity fps 16,105 15,820 15,475	<u>Grou</u> <u>Station</u> A B C	p 4 Velocity <u>fps</u> 14,285 12,675 12,855
Grou Station 1B 3B 5B 7B	Velocity fps 16,105 15,820 15,475 15,965	Grou Station A B C D	p 4 Velocity fps 14,285 12,675 12,855 13,140
Grou Station 1B 3B 5B 5B 7B 9B	1p 3 Velocity fps 16,105 15,820 15,475 15,965 15,275 15,275	Grou Station A B C D E	ye 4 Velocity fps 14,285 12,675 12,855 13,140 11,920
Grou <u>Station</u> 1B 3B 5B 7B 9B 11B	1p 3 Velocity fps 16,105 15,820 15,475 15,965 15,275 14,645	Grou Station A B C D E F	P 4 Velocity fps 14,285 12,675 12,855 13,140 11,920 10,975
Grou <u>Station</u> 1B 3B 5B 7B 9B 11B 13B	1p 3 Velocity fps 16,105 15,820 15,475 15,965 15,275 14,645 15,680	<u>Grou</u> <u>Station</u> A B C D E F G	P 4 Velocity fps 14,285 12,675 12,855 13,140 11,920 10,975 12,415
Grou <u>Station</u> 1B 3B 5B 7B 9B 11B 13B 15B	up 3 Velocity fps 16,105 15,820 15,475 15,965 15,275 14,645 15,965 15,965 15,965	<u>Station</u> A B C D E F G H	P 4 Velocity fps 14,285 12,675 12,855 13,140 11,920 10,975 12,415 12,765
Grou <u>Station</u> 1B 3B 5B 7B 9B 11B 13B 15B 17B	IP 3 Velocity fps 16,105 15,820 15,475 15,965 15,275 14,645 15,680 15,965 15,965 15,965 15,965 15,965	Grou Station A B C D E F G H J	p 4 Velocity fps 14,285 12,675 12,855 13,140 11,920 10,975 12,415 12,765 15,235
Grou <u>Station</u> 1B 3B 5B 7B 9B 11B 13B 15B 17B 19B	Velocity fps 16,105 15,820 15,475 15,965 15,275 14,645 15,680 15,965 15,820 15,965	Grou Station A B C D E F G H J K	p 4 Velocity fps 14,285 12,675 12,855 13,140 11,920 10,975 12,415 12,765 15,235 15,055
Grou <u>Station</u> 1B 3B 5B 7B 9B 11B 13B 15B 17B 19B 20B	Velocity fps 16,105 15,820 15,475 15,965 15,275 14,645 15,680 15,965 15,820 15,965 15,860	Grou Station A B C D E F G H J K	P 4 Velocity fps 14,285 12,675 12,855 13,140 11,920 10,975 12,415 12,765 15,235 15,055
Grou <u>Station</u> 1B 3B 5B 7B 9B 11B 13B 15B 17B 19B 20B Mean 15,	Velocity fps 16,105 15,820 15,475 15,965 15,275 14,645 15,680 15,965 15,820 15,965 15,820 15,965 15,680	Crow Station A B C D E F G H J K Mean 13,	P 4 Velocity fps 14,285 12,675 12,855 13,140 11,920 10,975 12,415 12,765 15,235 15,055 130

Table 2	Ta	ble	2
---------	----	-----	---

West Wall Velocity Measurements

Overal	1 Mean an	d Standard	Deviation
Excludin	g Group	Incl	uding Group 4
Mean 1	5,410 fps	Mean	14,955 fps
Std Dev	635 fps	Std 1	Dev 1280 fps









Photo 1. Inverted T-wall, east protection levee



Photo 2. T-wall, east levee; note station numbers and letters on wall



Photo 3. Laying out data stations, west levee T-wall



Photo 4. Flood side, west T-wall. Note seam near station numbers



Photo 5. Flood side, west T-wall



Photo 6. Flood side, west T-wall





Photo 8. Portion of pile exposed by excavation





Photo 11. Exposed piles after more extensive excavation

In accordance with letter from DAEN-RDC, DAEN-ASI dated 22 July 1977, Subject: Facsimile Catalog Cards for Laboratory Technical Publications, a facsimile catalog card in Library of Congress MARC format is reproduced below.

Thornton, Henry T Nondestructive testing of concrete T-walls, Atchafalaya Basin protection levees, New Orleans District / by Henry T. Thornton, Jr. Vicksburg, Miss. : U. S. Waterways Experiment Station; Springfield, Va. : available from National Technical Information Service, 1979. 9, [11] p. : ill. ; 27 cm. (Miscellaneous paper - U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station ; SL-79-18) Prepared for U. S. Army Engineer District, New Orleans, New Orleans, La. 1. Atchafalaya Levee System. 2. Compaction tests. Concrete structures. 4. Concrete tests. 5. Levees.
Nondestructive tests. 7. Quality control. 8. Ultrasonic tests. 9. T-walls. I. United States. Army. Corps of Engineers. New Orleans District. II. Series: United States. Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss. Miscellaneous paper ; SL-79-18. TA7.W34m no.SL-79-18