

AD-A073 007

PRINCETON UNIV N J DEPT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING  
A GENERALIZED TIME-TEMPERATURE-TRANSFORMATION PHASE DIAGRAM FOR--ETC(U)  
SEP 79 J K GILLHAM

F/G 7/4

N00014-76-C-0200

UNCLASSIFIED


TR-18

NL

| OF |  
ADA  
073007



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
9-79  
DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD A 073007

II

12  
SC

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-76-C-0200

Task No. NR 356-504

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 18

A Generalized Time-Temperature-Transformation  
Phase Diagram for Thermosetting Systems

by

J. K. Gillham

To be published in POLYMER

DDC  
RECEIVED  
AUG 23 1979  
C

Polymer Materials Program  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
Princeton University  
Princeton, NJ 08544

September 1979

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for  
any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release  
and sale; its distribution is unlimited

J. K. Gillham  
Principal Investigator  
609/452-4694

1473  
mt

79 08 22 025

DDC FILE COPY

**A GENERALIZED TIME-TEMPERATURE-TRANSFORMATION  
PHASE DIAGRAM FOR THERMOSETTING SYSTEMS**

**John K. Gilham**

*Polymer Materials Program  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
Princeton University  
Princeton, NJ 08544*

**ABSTRACT**

A generalized time-temperature-transformation diagram for the thermosetting process is presented in which the four physical states encountered (i.e., liquid, rubber, ungelled glass and gelled glass) are related to the time and temperature of cure. Gelation and vitrification, as a consequence of quenching morphological development and chemical conversion respectively, are discussed with respect to control of material properties.

Accession For		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NTIS GRA&I		<input type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB		<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced		
Justification		
By _____		
Distribution/		
Availability Codes		
Dist	Avail and/or special	
<b>A</b>		

## GELATION AND VITRIFICATION

Gelation and vitrification are two macroscopic phenomena which are encountered as a consequence of the reactions which convert a liquid to a solid in the thermosetting process. On the molecular level, gelation corresponds to the incipient formation of branched molecules of mathematically infinite molecular weight and occurs at a critical and calculable extent of reaction for the particular reactive system (1). A network develops by intramolecular reactions of branched molecules. Eventually the total mass can be one molecule. Macroscopically, gelation is associated with a dramatic increase in viscosity and a corresponding decrease in processibility. Gelation times are usually measured rheologically by the time between the beginning of the reaction and the attainment of a fixed viscosity.

Vitrification is the formation of a glassy solid. In thermosets this usually follows gelation and then occurs as a consequence of the network becoming tighter through further chemical reaction (crosslinking). A network structure will be a rubber (elastomer) at a given temperature if the segments between junction points of the network are flexible. If the segments are immobilized by further chemical reaction, or by cooling, the structure will change to a glassy (vitrified) state. Vitrification can retard further reaction. The overall transformation from liquid to gel to rubber to glass due to chemical reaction is termed "cure".

The properties of thermoset materials are intimately related to their formation (cure). The material properties therefore depend on the interplay between such factors as chemical reactants, viscosity prior to gelation, gelation, phase separation, vitrification, time-temperature paths of cure, and limits of thermal stability. The following section discusses a generalized time-temperature-transformation diagram (Figure 1) which is instructive for understanding these interrelationships. It displays the four distinct material states which are encountered during cure; these are liquid, elastomer (rubber), ungelled glass and gelled glass.

The phase diagram may be constructed by measuring the time to gelation and the time to vitrification versus the isothermal temperature of cure ( $T_{\text{cure}}$ ). A convenient technique involves using a torsion pendulum in which the specimen is an inert substrate (generally glass braid) impregnated with the system under investigation (2). Use of a supported sample permits investigation of the complete cure process versus time, and after cure the thermomechanical behavior with the same specimen. Times to gelation and to vitrification are measured by the lapsed time to the maxima of mechanical loss peaks: transition temperatures are similarly located with respect to temperature.

#### A GENERALIZED PHASE DIAGRAM FOR THERMOSETTING SYSTEMS (Figure 1)

Consideration of the cure of a liquid thermosetting system at a series of constant temperatures leads to the conclusion that there will be three types of behavior depending on the temperature of cure (3).

At elevated isothermal temperatures, above the maximum glass transition temperature of the cured system ( $T_{g^{\infty}}$ ) but in the absence of degradation, a curing liquid gels and forms an elastomer (rubber) but does not vitrify.

At low temperatures, above the glass transition temperature of the reactants ( $T_{Resin\ g}$ ) but below a critical temperature ( $T_{gel\ g}$ ), the now viscous curing liquid can vitrify simply by an increase of molecular weight. If chemical reactions are quenched by vitrification it need not gel.

At intermediate temperatures ( $T_{gel\ g} < T_{cure} < T_{g^{\infty}}$ ), the curing liquid first gels and later vitrifies.  $T_{gel\ g}$  is the isothermal temperature at which gelation and vitrification occur at the same time.

The time to gelation is expected to decrease exponentially with increasing temperature since the chemical conversion at gelation is constant according to Flory's gelation theory. Activation energies for the reactions leading to gelation can therefore be obtained from plots of the logarithm of the time to gelation versus reciprocal temperature in degrees Kelvin (3,4). The time to vitrify passes through a minimum between  $T_{gel\ g}$  and  $T_{g^{\infty}}$  (4). This reflects the competition between the increased rate constants for reaction and the increasing chemical conversion required for vitrification at higher temperatures.

It is apparent that if reactions are quenched by the process of vitrification ( $T_{cure} < T_{g^{\infty}}$ ), the glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) of the system after isothermal cure will then equal the temperature of cure. The vitrification curve then provides the minimum time to reach the glass transition temperature which the reactive system can attain

at temperature  $T_{\text{cure}}$ . It also follows that  $_{\text{gel}}T_g$  is the glass transition temperature of the reactive system at its point of gelation (3).

The cure/phase diagram therefore shows that the thermosetting process is usefully characterized by the three temperatures  $_{\text{Resin}}T_g$ ,  $_{\text{gel}}T_g$  and  $T_{g^\infty}$ , which will vary from system to system.

The temperature between  $_{\text{gel}}T_g$  and  $T_{g^\infty}$  at which the time to vitrify is a minimum may be of practical importance beyond being the most economical temperature for producing solid from fluid. As a consequence of the exothermic reactions, cure of specimens below this temperature will lead to the inside vitrifying before the outside; and vice versa. The volumetric shrinkage on vitrification will result in a skin-core structure for the latter case with built-in curing stresses. (This type of structure with residual thermal stresses also results on cooling after cure since the outside cools more rapidly than the inside.)

Whereas  $_{\text{Resin}}T_g$ ,  $_{\text{gel}}T_g$  and  $T_{g^\infty}$  are singular physical transition temperatures definable by a temperature (and time scale), thermal degradation cannot be so easily defined. Thermal degradation can lead to a gelled glass (as in Fig. 1); however other types of degradation can lead to other physical states (e.g. ungelled glass, liquid and gas).

The cure/phase diagram explains a number of practices in the field of thermosets.

If the storage temperature is below  $_{\text{gel}}T_g$  a reactive fluid material will convert to a vitrified solid of low molecular weight which is stable and can be later liquified by heat and processed; above  $_{\text{gel}}T_g$  the stored material will have a finite shelf-life for subsequent processing since gelation will occur before vitrification. (A gelled material does not flow in the usual sense.) This concept lies at the basis of a widespread technology which includes thermosetting molding compounds and "prepregs" with latent reactivity.

In general, if  $T_{\text{cure}} < T_{g^\infty}$ , a reactive material will vitrify and full chemical conversion will be prevented; the material will then usually need to be



postcured above  $T_{g^{\infty}}$  for development of optimum properties. For the manufacture of objects of finite size it is necessary to go through a multi-step process because of the exothermic nature of the reactions. A more sophisticated approach for controlling highly exothermic systems is to cure the material by raising the temperature at a rate such that  $T_g$  and  $T_{cure}$  coincide.

For highly crosslinkable or rigid-chain polymeric materials  $T_{g^{\infty}}$  can be above the limits of thermal stability in which case the thermoset material may not have a measurable glass transition temperature and full chemical conversion of the original network-forming reactions would not be attained. For composite materials in which a component other than the cured resin is thermally sensitive,  $T_{g^{\infty}}$  for the thermosetting resin should be below temperatures which would lead to damage of any part of the assembly. (An example would be adhesive bonding of aluminum by a thermally stable epoxy). Similarly, if a composite system cannot be heated above a limiting temperature because of its size, then the curing system should have its  $T_{g^{\infty}}$  below that limiting temperature. (An example would be painting an airplane in a hanger.)

It should be feasible to molecularly design systems such that  $T_g$  is above the temperature of cure. Suggestions include cure by small molecules which are able to diffuse through the glassy state, incorporation of sterically well situated reactive groups in the polymerizing system, and employment of systems with prominent glassy state relaxations (5).

The extent of cure affects not only the value of the glass transition but also properties at temperatures well below it. For example, it appears that the modulus at room temperature can be decreased and the intensity of the  $T < T_g$  relaxations (as measured by the area under the loss peaks) can be increased by post-cure (6,7). This suggests that the free volume is increased and that the density at RT is decreased by the post-cure in spite of the increase of  $T_g$ .

This is presumably a result of the glassy state being further from equilibrium at  $T$  the higher the  $T_g$ . Subsequent physical aging at room temperature leads to densification and embrittlement as the material spontaneously relaxes.

If  $T_g$  is below room temperature, the polymer system will be used as an elastomer.

The cure/phase diagram is being extended to two-phase systems. (Rubber is often incorporated in inherently brittle polymeric materials as a method for increasing toughness. The curing of rubber-modified systems often involves change from an initially homogeneous solution to a heterogeneous multiphase morphology.) Evidence has been presented to show that the process of gelation arrests the development of morphology, and therefore that the time to gelation can be used to control material properties (8). The gelation time can be varied by catalysts and temperature. Similarly, by reaction at different temperatures, a single chemical composition can produce distinctly different morphologies which in turn are responsible for distinctly different macroscopic behavior. The temperature of gelation,  $T_{\text{gelation}}$ , is therefore a characteristic one for defining the morphology developed isothermally by a particular system (9).

Rubber particles nucleate and grow during the polymerization process. In general, as in crystallization, nucleation is favored by low temperatures whereas growth is favored by high temperatures. The

overall extent of phase separation can therefore be expected to be at a maximum at intermediate temperatures (9).

Quenching of the development of morphological changes by the phenomenon of gelation may be explained by an abrupt decrease in diffusion of rubbery material to the growing domains of rubber which occurs in the transformation from a viscous liquid to a soft gel as infinite molecules form in the process of gelation. This is a longer range and larger scale diffusion process than that which is involved in the quenching of chemical reactions which occurs in the transformation from a rubbery state to a glassy state (vitrification) by restrictions on the more localized motions of chemically reactive parts of the molecules.

The cure/phase diagram is idealized in several respects.

If there are competing network-forming reactions having different reaction rates then different time-temperature paths will lead to different molecular networks in the fully cured materials and so to different material behavior.  $T_{g^{\infty}}$  and  $T_{gel}$  will vary accordingly. For example, values for  $T_{g^{\infty}}$  may be higher after prolonged initial reaction at low rather than at high temperatures prior to completion of the reactions by post-cure (7).

Vitrification times will be longer than those measured operationally from the time to the peak of the mechanical loss maximum assigned to the vitrification process since vitrification, with respect to the quenching of reactions, is better defined when the rigidity levels off (6).

The macroscopic assignment of gelation by a rheological measurement (which corresponds to an isoviscosity measurement) does not necessarily correspond to its molecular definition (which corresponds to an isocompositional state). However, values obtained rheologically for the activation energies of reactions leading to gelation can be close to those determined from times to reach constant chemical conversion (6,10,11).

The phase-diagram (above) has been obtained experimentally from a series of isothermal reactions. A similar diagram can be obtained for a given system from a series of temperature-programmed scans from low to high temperature at different heating rates (7). A typical scan will then reveal glassy-state relaxations of the unreacted resin below its glass transition,  $T_{g, \text{Resin}}$ , gelation, vitrification, devitrification (i.e.  $T_g$ ), and above  $T_{g, \text{Resin}}$  re-vitrification due to degradation.

#### CONCLUSION

Experimental examination of the phenomena encountered in the thermosetting process has led to a generalized time-temperature-transformation phase diagram which provides a theoretical and convenient basis for understanding the factors which bear on the molecular engineering of thermosetting materials.

## REFERENCES

1. P. J. Flory, "Principles of Polymer Chemistry", Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York (1953).
2. J. K. Gillham, A.I.Ch.E. Journal 20, 1066 (1974).
3. P. G. Babayevsky and J. K. Gillham, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 17, 2067 (1973).
4. J. K. Gillham, J. A. Benci, and A. Noshay, J. Polym. Sci., Symposium No. 46, 279 (1974).
5. M. B. Roller, Polym. Eng. Sci., 19, 692 (1979).
6. J. K. Gillham, Polym. Eng. Sci., 19, 676 (1979).
7. A. F. Lewis, M. J. Doyle, and J. K. Gillham, Polym. Eng. Sci., 19, 661 (1979).
8. J. K. Gillham, C. A. Glandt, and C. A. McPherson, in "Chemistry and Properties of Crosslinked Polymers", p. 491, S. Labana, ed., Academic Press, N.Y. (1977).
9. L. T. Manzione and J. K. Gillham, Amer. Chem. Soc., Division of Organic Coatings and Plastics Chemistry, Preprints, 41, 364 (1979).
10. M. B. Roller and J. K. Gillham, Amer. Chem. Soc., Symposium Series, No. 78, (Ed. R. C. Strand), 53 (1978).
11. N. S. Schneider, J. F. Sprouse and G. L. Hagnauer, Polym. Eng. Sci., 19, 304 (1979).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Partial support has been provided by the Chemistry Branch of the Office of Naval Research.

## FIGURE CAPTION

Figure 1. Time-temperature-transformation diagram:

time to gel and time to vitrify vs isothermal cure temperature. Resin  $T_g$ , gel  $T_g$  and  $T_{g\infty}$  are critical temperatures in the phase diagram which shows the four states of materials encountered in the thermosetting process, i.e., liquid, rubber, ungelled glass, and gelled glass.

THE THERMOSETTING PROCESS  
TIME-TEMPERATURE-TRANSFORMATION  
PHASE DIAGRAM




Fig. 1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356A

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Mr. Robert W. Jones Advanced Projects Manager Hughes Aircraft Company Mail Station D 132 Culver City, California 90230	1	Dr. T. J. Reinhart, Jr., Chief Composite and Fibrous Materials Branch Nonmetallic Materials Division Department of the Air Force Air Force Materials Laboratory (AFSC) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio	1 4543
Dr. C. Giori IIT Research Institute 10 West 35 Street Chicago, Illinois 60616	1	Dr. J. Lando Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1
Dr. M. Litt Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1	Dr. J. White Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	1
Dr. R. S. Roe Department of of Materials Science and Metallurgical Engineering University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio 45221	1	Dr. J. A. Manson Materials Research Center Lehigh University Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015	1
Dr. L. E. Smith U.S. Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards Stability and Standards Washington, D.C. 20234	1	Dr. R. F. Helmreich Contract RD&E Dow Chemical Co. Midland, Michigan 48640	1
Dr. Robert E. Cohen Chemical Engineering Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Dr. R. S. Porter University of Massachusetts Department of Polymer Science and Engineering Amherst, Massachusetts 01002	1
Dr. David Roylance Department of Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02039	1	Professor Garth Wilkes Department of Chemical Engineering Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, Virginia 24061	1
Dr. T. P. Conlon, Jr., Code 3622 Sandia Laboratories Sandia Corporation Albuquerque, New Mexico	1	Dr. Kurt Baum Fluorochem Inc. 6233 North Irwindale Avenue Azusa, California 91702	1
Dr. Martin Kaufmann, Head Materials Research Branch, Code 4542 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555	1	Professor G. S. Paik Sung Department of Materials Sciences and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1



TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356A

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. Stephen H. Carr Department of Materials Science Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Picatinny Arsenal SMUPA-FR-M-D Dover, New Jersey 07801 Attn: A. M. Anzalone Building 3401	1
Dr. M. Broadhurst Bulk Properties Section National Bureau of Standards U.S. Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234	2	<del>Dr. J. K. Gillham Princeton University Department of Chemistry Princeton, New Jersey 08540</del>	1
Dr. T. A. Litovitz Department of Physics Catholic University of America Washington, D.C. 20017	1	Douglas Aircraft Co. 3855 Lakewood Boulevard Long Beach, California 90846 Attn: Technical Library Cl 290/36-84 AUTO-Sutton	1
Dr. R. V. Subramanian Washington State University Department of Materials Science Pullman, Washington 99163	1	Dr. E. Baer Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1
Dr. M. Shen Department of Chemical Engineering University of California Berkeley, California 94720	1	Dr. K. D. Pae Department of Mechanics and Materials Science Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903	1
Dr. V. Stannett Department of Chemical Engineering North Carolina State University Raleigh, North Carolina 27607	1	NASA-Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Dr. T. T. Serofini, MS-49-1	1
Dr. D. R. Uhlmann Department of Metallurgy and Material Science Center for Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Dr. Charles H. Sherman, Code TD 121 Naval Underwater Systems Center New London, Connecticut	1
Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 Attn: Dr. J. M. Augl Dr. B. Hartman	1	Dr. William Risen Department of Chemistry Brown University Providence, Rhode Island 02192	1
Dr. G. Goodman Globe Union Incorporated 5757 North Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201	1	Dr. Alan Gent Department of Physics University of Akron Akron, Ohio 44304	1

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 18	2. GOMC ACCESSION NO. 14 TR-18	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 9
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A Generalized Time-Temperature-Transformation Phase Diagram for Thermosetting Systems	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report, Sep 1978-Sep 1979	
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 J. K./Gillham		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Polymer Materials Program Department of Chemical Engineering/ Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 N00014-76-C-0200
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Task No. NR 356-504
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE 11 Sep 1979
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 12
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Thermosetting Systems Transitions Phases		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A generalized time-temperature-transformation diagram for the thermosetting process is presented in which the four physical states encountered (i.e., liquid, rubber, ungelled glass and gelled glass) are related to the time and temperature of cure. Gelation and vitrification, as a consequence of quenching morphological development and chemical conversion respectively, are discussed with respect to control of material properties.		

mt

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 472	2	Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
ONR Branch Office 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 Attn: Dr. George Sandoz	1	U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 Attn: CRD-AA-IP	1
ONR Branch Office 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Attn: Scientific Dept.	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 92152 Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney	1
ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106 Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus	1	Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster Chemistry Division	1
ONR Area Office One Hallidie Plaza, Suite 601 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93401 Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko	1
ONR Branch Office Building 114, Section D 566 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles	1	Professor K. E. Woehler Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 Attn: Code 6100	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R,E&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360 Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)	1	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Annapolis, Maryland 21401 Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian Applied Chemistry Division	1
		Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 91232 Attn: Dr. S. Yasuzoto, Marine Sciences Division	1