AD-A071 458	ARMY ARMA SOLITARY- MAY 79 ARE	MENT RESEA	ARCH AN AGATION JDP 54	D DEVEL IN THE OWELL	OPMENT THREE-	COMMANE DIMENSI	ABERI	TTICE.	F/G 20. (U)	/2	
or AD A071458											1 <u>0000</u> 10000
				LENGTON STATE			(國)- 				
			1A 1A			10.000 and 10				and the second	
	END DATE FILMED 8 79 DDC										
						6					./







REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TECHNICAL REPORT ARBRL-TR-02164		100 ANG 1015000 NODE WELCH 2400
TITLE (and Subtitio)	out with and hearing	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Colitary Ways Despection in the	Three Dimensional	BRL Report
Lattice	Inree-Dimensional	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(=)		B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Jad H. Batteh		
John D. Powell		10 PROCRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK
US Army Ballistic Research Labora	tory	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
ATTN: DRDAR-BLB		
Aderdeen Proving Ground, MD 2100	5	KUIGE ILIGIIUZAH43
US Army Armament Research & Develo	opment Command	MAY 1979
US Army Ballistic Research Labora	tory	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
ALIN. DRUAR-DL, APG, MD 21005 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSII differen	nt from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
		SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; dist:	ribution unlimited	m Report)
Approved for public release; dist:	ribution unlimited	n Report)
Approved for public release; dist: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entere 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ribution unlimited	n Report)
Approved for public release; dist: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entere 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ribution unlimited	n Report)
Approved for public release; dist: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entere 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ribution unlimited	d. m. Report)
Approved for public release; dist: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entere 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ribution unlimited	n Report)
Approved for public release; dist: 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entere 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if neceenary Shock Propagation, Computer Molecu Phenomena, Solitary Waves, Solitor	and identity by block number, ular Dynamics, Lat	n Report)
Approved for public release; dist: Approved for public release; dist: DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered Solution Statement (of the ebstrect entered) Solution Statement (of the ebstrec	and identify by block number; Ilar Dynamics, Lat S	1. m Report) tice Dynamics, Nonequilibrium (hmn)
Approved for public release; dist: Approved for public release; dist: DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Shock Propagation, Computer Molecu Phenomena, Solitary Waves, Solitor Phenomena, Solitary Waves, Solitor Shock Properties of solitary waves i	nibution unlimited d in Block 20, 11 different fro and identify by block number, ular Dynamics, Lat ns md identify by block number) in a three-dimens	1. m Report) tice Dynamics, Nonequilibrium (hmn) Lonal, monatomic, face-cen-
Approved for public release; dist: Approved for public release; dist: DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entere State of the obstract entere State of the obstract entere Shock Propagation, Computer Molecu Phenomena, Solitary Waves, Solitor Cheroperties of solitary waves is tered-cubic lattice are studied. State of the obstract entered	and identify by block number, ular Dynamics, Lat ns in a three-dimensi The atoms of the potential	tice Dynamics, Nonequilibrium (hmn) lonal, monatomic, face-cen- lattice are assumed to inter- be discrete lattice the
Approved for public release; dist: Approved for public release; dist: D. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entere S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Shock Propagation, Computer Molecu Phenomena, Solitary Waves, Soliton Phenomena, Solitary Waves, Soliton Shock Properties of solitary waves is tered-cubic lattice are studied. Act via a Morse-type interatomic pequations of motion for the atoms	and identify by block number, ular Dynamics, Lat ns in a three-dimens: The atoms of the potential. For th are solved numeri	t. m Report) tice Dynamics, Nonequilibrium (hmn) tonal, monatomic, face-cen- lattice are assumed to inter- ne discrete lattice, the cally using a computer-
Approved for public release; dist: Approved for public release; dist: DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered Solution Statement (of the obstract entered Supplementary notes Shock Propagation, Computer Molecu Phenomena, Solitary Waves, Soliton Shock Properties of solitary waves is tered-cubic lattice are studied. State via a Morse-type interatomic p equations of motion for the atoms molecular-dynamic technique and, f investigated. It is pointed out t longitudinal planar oscillations,	and identify by block number, ular Dynamics, Lat ns and identify by block number, ular Dynamics, Lat ns and identify by block number, in a three-dimens: The atoms of the potential. For th are solved numeri from their solution that the solitary somewhat less sta	(hmn) (hmn) conal, monatomic, face-cen- lattice are assumed to inter- discrete lattice, the cally using a computer- on, the stability of the waves waves are fairly stable to able to mutual collisions,
Approved for public release; dist: Approved for public release; dist: DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered State of the obstract entered ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse enter the entered State of the obstract entered State of the obs	and identify by block number, ular Dynamics, Lat ns and identify by block number, ular Dynamics, Lat ns in a three-dimensi The atoms of the potential. For the are solved numeri from their solution that the solitary somewhat less sta	(hmn) (hmn) tonal, monatomic, face-cen- lattice are assumed to inter- ne discrete lattice, the cally using a computer- on, the stability of the waves waves are fairly stable to able to mutual collisions,

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered

and still less stable to transverse planar oscillations. It is also observed that under some conditions coupled longitudinal and transverse solitary waves can propagate in phase with the same propagation velocity in the lattice. The equations of motion are then derived in the long-wavelength, continuum limit and studied in some detail. A comparison of their solutions is made with the results for the discrete-lattice model and it is shown that the continuum equations are capable of predicting many of the same effects. Accession For NTIS GAMEI X DOC TAB Unamiounced Justinic tion Ey___ Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Dist. special UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	5
11.	MODEL AND EQUATIONS OF MOTION	7
111.	NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR DISCRETE LATTICE	9
	A. Generation and Collision of Solitary Waves	10
	B. Stability of Solitary Waves to Planar and Thermal Oscillations	14
	C. Coupled Solitary Waves	18
IV.	CONTINUUM EQUATIONS	20
	A. Derivation of Continuum Equations for Planar Oscillations	20
	B. Longitudinal Solitary Waves	26
	C. Coupled Solitary Waves	26
v.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	32
	REFERENCES	35
	APPENDIX	37
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	39

I. INTRODUCTION

In this report we will be concerned with studying the propagation and interaction of solitary waves in a face-centered-cubic (FCC) lattice. Our intention will be to determine the extent to which the properties of these waves, well known in many one-dimensional models, are affected by the additional space dimensions and to assess the likely physical implications of these properties. Most of the work will be undertaken using a computer-molecular-dynamic model, although extensive analytic work is also presented.

Since the discovery¹ that solitary-wave solutions of the Kortewegde Vries (KdV) equation were stable to mutual collisions, these stable pulses (solitons) have received considerable attention. Interest in the problem arises from the fact that, if relatively stable pulses exist in real physical systems, they will substantially affect the manner in which energy is transported in the system as well as the speed with

which it approaches thermal equilibrium. Early work² on solitons was devoted to the study of one-dimensional systems whose equations of motion could at least be approximated by equations which possessed

soliton solutions. More recently, some progress³⁻⁶ has been made in extending the results to multiple dimensions, although the work is largely mathematical in nature and/or applicable to only rather idealized

systems. In more applied work, Schneider, Stoll, and Hiwatari' have observed in a three-dimensional discrete-lattice model that heat pulses

exhibited solitonlike properties, and Ikezi⁸ has experimentally verified the existence of solitons in plasmas. These latter results suggest that

- N.J. Zabusky and M.D. Kruskal, "Interaction of Solitons in a Collisionless Plasma and the Recurrence of Initial States," Phys. Rev. Letters <u>15</u>, 240 (1965).
- 2. A.C. Scott, F.Y.F. Chu, and D.W. McLaughlin, "The Soliton: A New Concept in Applied Science," Proc. IEEE 61, 1443 (1973).
- 3. J. Denavit, N.R. Pereira, and R.N. Sudan, "Two-Dimensional Stability of Langmuir Solitons," Phys. Rev. Letters 33, 1435 (1974).
- K.H. Spatschek, P.K. Shukla, and M.Y. Yu, "On the Two-Dimensional Stability of Ion-Acoustic Solitons," Phys. Letters 54A, 419 (1975).
- 5. Y. Gell, "Drift Solitons and Their Two-Dimensional Stability," Phys. Rev. A 16, 402 (1977).
- R.G. Newton, "Three-Dimensional Solitons," J. Math. Phys. <u>19</u>, 1068 (1977).
- 7. T. Schneider, E. Stoll, and Y. Hiwatari, "Solitonlike Properties of Heat Pulses," Phys. Rev. Letters <u>39</u>, 1382 (1977).

5

 H. Ikezi, "Experiments on Solitons in Plasmas," in <u>Solitons in Action</u>, edited by K. Lonngren and A. Scott (Academic, New York, 1978), p. 153.

CEDING PAGE BLANK

soliton propagation may indeed be an important real effect in solids and further studies are appropriate at this time.

Our motivation for investigating the particular problem of solitary waves in the FCC lattice arose from our efforts to explain anomalous effects observed in computer simulations of shock waves in discrete lattices. The first studies of this problem were the three-dimensional,

computer-molecular-dynamic calculations reported by Tsai⁹ and his coworkers approximately ten years ago. Their work suggested that, though the shock front propagates at a constant velocity, the shock profile itself is not steady. In fact, the transition zone which connects the two equilibrated regions ahead of and behind the front appeared to increase in length as the shock wave propagated farther into the lattice. In addition, the energy associated with random motion appeared to be higher directly behind the shock front than in the equilibrated region

far behind the front. Shortly thereafter Tasi¹⁰⁻¹² reported the results of his studies of shock propagation in a one-dimensional, quiescent lattice. He also observed a nonsteady shock profile and explained its occurrence on the basis of solitary-wave propagation.

The shock profile predicted by these computer simulations contradicted the shock-wave structure predicted by the usual continuum equations and, if true, could have a significant impact on several areas of ballistics research. In view of this fact, we initiated an in-house, computer-molecular-dynamics program to study shock waves in crystal lattices. We initially restricted our investigations to studies of shock structure in a one-dimensional lattice. Our calculations in the quiescent lattice essentially confirmed Tasi's earlier results. In addition, however, we found that stable solitary waves were also gener-

ated when the lattice was initially at some nonzero temperature. 13,14

- 9. D.H. Tsai, "An Atomistic Theory of Shock Compression of a Perfect Crystalline Solid," in Accurate Characterization of the High-Pressure Environment, edited by E.C. Lloyd, Natl. Bur. Stds. Spec. Publ. No. 326 (U.S. GPO, Washington, DC, 1971), p. 105.
- J. Tasi, "Perturbation Solution for Growth of Nonlinear Shock Waves in a Lattice," J. Appl. Phys. <u>43</u>, 4016 (1972). See also Erratum [J. Appl. Phys. 44, 1414 (1973)].
- J. Tasi, "Far-Field Analysis of Nonlinear Shock Waves in a Lattice," J. Appl. Phys. <u>44</u>, 4569 (1973).
- J. Tasi, "Perturbation Solution for Shock Waves in a Dissipative Lattice," J. Appl. Phys. 44, 2245 (1973).
 J.H. Batteh and J.D. Powell, "Shock Propagation in the One-Dimen-
- J.H. Batteh and J.D. Powell, "Shock Propagation in the One-Dimensional Lattice at a Nonzero Initial Temperature," J. Appl. Phys. 49, 3933 (1978).
- J.H. Batteh and J.D. Powell, "Soliton Propagation in a One-Dimensional Lattice Under Shock Compression," in Solitons in Action, edited by K. Lonngren and A. Scott (Academic, New York, 1978) p. 257.

In an effort to determine whether the unexpected results observed in three dimensions could be explained on the basis of solitary-wave behavior, we modified our code to treat shock propagation in a facecentered-cubic lattice. The results of that investigation will be presented in a subsequent report. Here, we mention only that the simulations indicated the presence, at least for early times, of solitary waves near the shock front. It soon became apparent that, in order to interpret the results of the shock-wave calculation, we would first have to understand the behavior of solitary waves in three-dimensional lattices. Consequently, we initiated the studies reported here which were designed solely to elucidate the properties of solitary waves in a three-dimensional system. Specific points we include in this report include the extent to which the FCC lattice can support solitary waves; the effect of mutual collisions upon the wave profile; the stability of the profiles to perturbations in the direction of propagation as well as perpendicular to it; and the extent to which longitudinal and transverse oscillations are coupled and how the energy is exchanged between them.

We begin in Sec. II by describing the model under consideration, writing down the equations of motion for each atom in the lattice, and describing the method for solving them. In Sec. III we present the results of the numerical studies which have been undertaken using the discrete-lattice model. In particular, we address the generation of solitary waves and discuss their stability. It is pointed out that in some cases it is possible to generate coupled longitudinal and transverse solitary waves which propagate in phase at the same velocity. In Sec. IV we derive the long-wavelength (continuum) limit of the equations of motion for the FCC lattice. It is demonstrated that there exists a steady solution to the equations which predicts the coupled solitary waves found in the previous section. The equations are solved numerically and an approximate analytic solution is also obtained. Finally, Sec. V contains a summary of the results and the conclusions drawn as well as some discussion of the physical implications that the existence of solitary waves might have on energy transfer in crystalline solids.

II. MODEL AND EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The model whose properties we wish to study consists of a pure, monatomic, FCC lattice which is made as long as necessary in the z direction and which is periodic in the x and y directions. The periodicity in these directions is characterized by the integers L_x and L_y ,

respectively. Thus, for any function F which depends upon the velocities and displacements of the atoms in the lattice we have

$$F(x+\ell L_{x_0}^{a}, y+mL_{y_0}^{a}, z) = F(x, y, z)$$
 (2.1)

where l and m are arbitrary integers and a_0 is the lattice constant or cube edge of the conventional cell.

The atoms will be assumed to interact via a Morse-type interatomic potential and therefore the Hamiltonian of the lattice can be written

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,\alpha} \frac{\vec{v}_{i,\alpha}^{2}}{i_{i,\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,\alpha \\ j,\beta}} \left[e^{-R A_{0} |\vec{r}_{i,\alpha} - \vec{r}_{j,\beta}| - 1} \right]^{2} . \quad (2.2)$$

In writing Eq. (2.2) we have adopted the convention whereby the notation (i,α) denotes the α th particle in the ith plane normal to the z axis. These planes are numbered consecutively beginning with the first located at z=0. Any convenient labeling scheme may be used to number atoms within a given plane, but the particular convention used is irrelevant to further discussion here. This labeling convention is convenient for some of our later discussions.

In Eq. (2.2) all quantities have been made nondimensional. H represents, of course, the total energy in the lattice and has been normalized by D, the dissociation energy of a single, isolated atom pair; $\vec{v}_{i,\alpha}$ is the velocity of the (i, α)th atom, normalized by $\sqrt{D/m}$, where m is the atomic mass; $\vec{r}_{i,\alpha}$ is the position vector of the (i, α)th atom, normalized by a_0 ; A_0 is the lattice constant, normalized by r_0 , the separation of an isolated atom pair at minimum potential; and R is a dimensionless parameter representing the degree of nonlinearity in the Morse potential. The sum over (i, α) runs over all atoms in the lattice and that over (j, β) is taken over all atoms in the vicinity of the (i, α)th for which the potential interaction is appreciable.

The equation of motion satisfied by the $(i,\alpha)^{th}$ atom can be found in a straightforward manner from Eq. (2.2) and the result is

$$\vec{r}_{i,\alpha} = 2 R A_0 \vec{F}_{i,\alpha}$$
(2.3)

where $\vec{F}_{i,\alpha}$ is the nondimensional force (normalized by 2 RD/r₀) exerted on the (i, α)th atom by the remaining atoms in the lattice. Explicitly, $\vec{F}_{i,\alpha}$ is given by

$$\vec{F}_{i,\alpha} = \sum_{\beta,j} \left[e^{-2R(A_0 | \vec{r}_{i,\alpha} - \vec{r}_{j,\beta} | -1)} - e^{-R(A_0 | \vec{r}_{i,\alpha} - \vec{r}_{j,\beta} | -1)} \right]$$

$$\times \frac{\vec{r}_{i,\alpha} - \vec{r}_{j,\beta}}{| \vec{r}_{i,\alpha} - \vec{r}_{j,\beta} |}$$
(2.4)

and each dot represents differentiation with respect to the dimensionless time τ , i.e., the real time normalized by $\sqrt{m/D} a_{c}$.

In all our calculations we will be concerned with solving Eqs. (2.3) numerically to determine the temporal evolution of the position and velocity of each atom in the lattice subject to some specific set of initial and boundary conditions. From the solution of these equations, it is then possible to infer all information concerning the response of the lattice to any excitation. The procedure for solving

Eqs. (2.3) is to employ a fourth-order Runge-Kutta technique¹⁵. The details of the method of solution, as well as a listing of the appropriate computer program, will be presented elsewhere¹⁶ and will not be discussed further here.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR DISCRETE LATTICE

In all solutions of Eqs. (2.3) we have, unless otherwise stated, chosen the anharmonicity factor R to be 6.29, a value which leads to a reasonable representation for a lattice of Nickel atoms¹⁷. Furthermore, we have assumed that only atoms which were separated by a distance of unity or less (real distance normalized by a_0) exerted an appreciable

force on one another. This assumption is equivalent to assuming that, in the equilibrium lattice, only an atom's first- and second-nearest neighbors contribute significantly to its potential interaction. The assumption was found to be reasonable for the currently used value of

R. The lattice constant A was then calculated 16 so as to minimize the potential and found to be 1.4034.

- 15. B. Carnahan, H.A. Luther, and J.O. Wilkes, Applied Numerical Methods (Wiley, New York, 1969), Chap. 6.
- J.D. Powell and J.H. Batteh, "Shock Propagation in the Three-Dimensional Lattice. II. Method of Calculation," (to be published).
- F. Milstein, "Applicability of Exponentially Attractive and Repulsive Interatomic Potential Functions in the Description of Cubic Crystals," J. Appl. Phys. 44, 3825 (1973).

A. Generation and Collision of Solitary Waves.

In previous work we have used the model described in this paper to study shock propagation in solids. In those studies, we observed that, when the atoms of the lattice are initially at rest in their equilibrium positions, compression along a crystalline axis produces a sequence of solitary waves which propagate into the lattice. The solitary-wave profiles used in the computer experiments which are described in this paper were obtained by isolating a single solitery wave from this sequence.

An example of the shock-wave calculation is shown in Figure 1. For this case, the end-most plane of the lattice, located at z=0, was driven at a nondimensional velocity $U_p=1.0$ in the z direction. The equations of motion of the atoms in the lattice were solved and the velocity-time trajectories of various planes parallel to the plane at z=0 were plotted. For this calculation and for all others where the motion of each atom in a plane is identical, the same results are obtained regardless of L_x and L_y , the periodicity of the lattice in the x and y directions. Therefore, L_x and L_y can both be set to unity so that we need to solve the equations of motion for only two atoms in each plane, one located at the corner of the plane and one located at the center.

In Figure 1 is plotted the z component of the velocity as a function of time τ for the 40th and 80th planes in the lattice. (The single subscript is used hereafter to refer to the plane as a whole.) In order to facilitate comparisons of the graphs, we have plotted the velocity in each case as a function of τ - τ , where τ is the time at which the propagating disturbance first excites the plane in question. It is evident from the figure that a spectrum of solitary waves is evolving near the front of the disturbance, just as occurs in one dimension. Asymptotically, the pulses will completely separate, approach the same constant shape, and propagate at a steady speed through the lattice. Consequently, a single solitary wave can be launched into a lattice by driving the end-most plane with the solitary-wave profile obtained from the shock-wave calculations. This is not the only conceivable method of generating solitary waves in the lattice, but is a reasonable one.

In previous studies¹³ we demonstrated that solitary waves propagating in a one-dimensional, Morse-potential lattice are stable to mutual collisions. That is, to within the accuracy of our numerical data, two solitary waves emerged from a collision with the same profile as prior to the collision. It is of interest to determine whether similar effects occur in the three-dimensional, FCC lattice.





We have launched two solitary waves having equal but oppositely directed velocities from opposite ends of a lattice and allowed them to approach one another and eventually collide. By plotting the velocitytime trajectories of various planes encountered by the solitary waves, the effect of the collision can be ascertained. The results of such a calculation are shown in Figure 2 for two solitary waves launched from the ends of a lattice which was forty-eight planes long. The initial solitary-wave profiles were obtained from a shock-wave calculation with

 $U_p = 3.0$. The top figure shows the velocity-time trajectory of the 13th

plane in the lattice beginning at the time when the solitary wave propagating in the positive z direction first encounters the plane. For the time shown in this figure the two waves have not yet collided so that this profile corresponds to the initial solitary-wave profile. The solitary wave depicted in the figure represents a rather strong disturbance. In fact, in the neighborhood of the solitary wave the density in the lattice is increased by about 40%. The two solitary waves encounter

one another in the vicinity of the 24th plane in the lattice and the trajectory of that plane is shown in the center of the figure during the time of collision. Finally, at a much later time, the collision has been completed and the negative-velocity solitary wave has reached the

13th plane. Its trajectory is shown in the bottom of the figure.

It is apparent from the figure that the two solitarv waves maintain their shapes only approximately after the collision. Evidently, some of the energy which initially resided in the two solitary waves now exists in the form of oscillations which are left behind by the waves. Although it is not apparent from the figure, the amplitude of the solitary waves subsequent to the collision is decreased by about 3% from the amplitude prior to the collision. We conclude, then, that solitary waves in an FCC lattice with a Morse-potential interaction are not stable to mutual collisions.

In addition to the results discussed above, we have also observed collisions between solitary waves having smaller initial amplitudes. As the amplitude decreases, the waves appear to become more stable. In fact, solitary waves generated by steady compression with $U_p = 1.0$,

having initial amplitudes of about 1.8 (as compared with about 5.4 for the U =3.0 case), were found to be stable to within our numerical accuracy.

It might appear surprising that, although the only motion in the FCC lattice is one-dimensional, the solitary waves do not appear to be so stable as in our previous one-dimensional-chain calculations. The reason for the apparent anomaly is not completely clear but two possible explanations may be offered. First, it must be understood that, even though the motion in the Morse-potential FCC lattice is planar and one dimensional, the model is nevertheless different from a one-dimensional



Figure 2. Collision of two solitary waves.

上

chain with a Morse-potential interaction. Consider, for instance, the one-dimensional chain with the atoms initially in their equilibrium positions. As two neighbors approach one another, their force of interaction increases monotonically, reaching a maximum as the separation distance decreases to zero. In the FCC lattice, however, as two neighboring planes approach one another, the force exerted by an atom on its neighbor in an adjacent plane is not in the same direction as that of the planar motion. Therefore, as the planes approach one another, their force of interaction first increases, reaches a maximum value, and then decreases to zero as the planes become coincident. (Of course, it would be possible to reproduce the results of the FCC calculation with a onedimensional-chain model by replacing the Morse-potential interaction with another potential defined so as to give the same force as a function of separation distance in the two cases.) Second, it is likely that the solitary waves observed in our previous one-dimensional calculation were not rigorously solitons but only appeared to be so to within our numerical accuracy. Thus, after many collisions we would expect that some change in the solitary-wave profile would be observed. In fact, we speculated then that such an effect might be responsible for the apparent tendency for equilibration that was observed far behind the shock front in our one-dimensional lattice.

B. Stability of Solitary Waves to Planar and Thermal Oscillations.

In addition to investigating the effects of mutual collisions upon the solitary-wave profiles, we have also examined the effects of relatively small planar oscillations both along and transverse to the propagation direction. To perform the calculations we launched a solitary wave at the end of the lattice and allowed it to propagate some distance into the interior. At some point ahead of the solitary wave, a few planes in the quiescent lattice were displaced slightly, released, and allowed to oscillate. The solitary wave then eventually passed through the region of the oscillating planes and emerged on the other side. The intention was to determine the effect of the region of planar oscillations on the solitary-wave profile.

An example of the effect of longitudinal planar oscillations is shown in Figure 3. A solitary wave having an amplitude of 3.6 (generated from compression at $U_{p}=2.0$) was launched into the lattice. Just prior p to the time the solitary wave reached the 14th plane in the lattice, planes 14-18 were uniformly displaced a distance of 0.1 in the positive z direction and allowed to oscillate. In Figure 3a is plotted the velocitytime trajectory of the 6th plane in the lattice which shows the unperturbed solitary wave. Figure 3b, on the other hand, shows the same disturbance as it propagates past the 15th plane in the lattice which

clearly lies within the region of longitudinal oscillations. The shape of the original solitary wave is obviously distorted as it propagates





through the region. Finally, in Fig. 3c we have plotted the trajectory of the 67th plane in the lattice at the time when the original disturbance first reaches it. By this time the original solitary wave has completely traversed the region of longitudinal oscillations. Though not shown, the trajectories of some later planes have also been plotted to demonstrate that the shape of the emerging solitary wave did not change.

Comparison of Figures 3a and 3c indicates that the longitudinal planar oscillations have had an insignificant effect upon the original solitary-wave profile. In fact, to within our numerical accuracy, the profile was found not to have changed at all. These results might appear surprising since the pulses were found to be unstable to mutual collisions which involve only longitudinal planar oscillations. Apparently, however, the oscillations discussed above were too small a perturbation to make any change in the wave profiles observable numerically. Perhaps if the oscillatory region were much longer, or the oscillations of larger amplitude, a noticeable effect would have been seen.

Calculations identical to those above, except that the five planes were displaced in the transverse (y) direction, have also been carried out. It is interesting to note that a displacement of the planes in the transverse direction will give rise to both longitudinal and transverse oscillations, whereas displacement in the longitudinal direction produces only longitudinal oscillations. (This point is discussed further later.) Consequently, we cannot examine the stability of the solitary waves to completely transverse oscillations, but only to oscillations which contain a mixture of both. Furthermore, although the planes were displaced by the same amount in the two calculations, the change in the energy in the lattice due to the transverse displacement is approximately a factor of two less than that due to the longitudinal displacement.

The results of propagating the solitary wave through the region containing transverse planar oscillations are shown in Figure 4. Again, in Figure 4a is shown the unperturbed solitary wave and in Figure 4b the disturbance during the time it traverses the region of planar oscillations. Figure 4c represents the resulting disturbance which emerges from the oscillatory region. As can be seen from the figure, the transverse oscillations have significantly affected the size of the original solitary wave. In fact, the amplitude of the pulse, which was about 3.60 prior to traversing the oscillatory region has been reduced to about 3.12. Again, in order to be certain that the emerging pulse was indeed a solitary wave, we have followed its propagation farther than the 70th plane into the lattice and observed no change in shape.

Finally, we have allowed the solitary wave to propagate through a

region which contained random, thermal oscillations. The length of the



Figure 4. Effects of transverse and longitudinal oscillations on solitary-wave profile. (a), (b), and (c) represent solitary wave before, during, and after traversing oscillatory region, respectively.

đ

region was the same as for the previous calculations and the cross section contained 32 atoms ($L_x=L_y=4$). The thermal energy per particle was the

same as the energy per particle associated with the mixture of transverse and longitudinal planar oscillations. Again some decay of the initial solitary-wave amplitude was observed. We should point out, however, that the finite size of the lattice in the transverse directions unavoidably gives rise to some planar oscillations. These planar oscillations, which are unexpected in macroscopic, equilibrated crystals, no doubt accentuate the decay of the solitary-wave profiles. Nevertheless, we expect some decay of the profiles even in the absence of planar oscillations although the decay should be slower than that observed here. Unfortunately, capability of treating only small systems is a fundamental limitation of computer molecular dynamics.

C. Coupled Solitary Waves.

The instability of the original solitary wave to transverse planar oscillations can be explained in part by the generation of coupled longitudinal and transverse solitary waves. We have observed these waves in the numerical data from which Figure 4 was plotted. Specifically, it was found that there existed a solitary wave which propagated in phase with the emerging longitudinal wave but which produced a disturbance in the transverse (y) direction.

The effect is demonstrated in Figure 5 in which we have plotted the velocity-time trajectory of the 70th plane. The upper part of the graph is identical to Figure 4c and represents the emerging longitudinal pulse. On the lower part of the graph is plotted the y component of the velocity of the same plane beginning at the same time. As can be seen from the figure, the transverse solitary wave has somewhat smaller amplitude than the longitudinal wave and propagates in phase with it. We have also computed the energy of the initial-wave profile and compared it with that of the final coupled waves. The final energy was found to be about 10% less in the coupled configuration, suggesting that part of the energy in the initial wave is given up to thermal oscillations.

We have also observed coupled solitary waves in our study of the effects of thermal oscillations upon the wave profile. In that case transverse solitary waves were found to propagate in both transverse directions. In all likelihood they arose from the planar oscillations generated by the finite size of the crystal discussed earlier. In the following section we will see that the continuum limit of the equations of motion predicts the existence of these coupled solutions and we will discuss their properties in greater detail.



Figure 5. Coupled longitudinal and transverse solitary waves.

IV. CONTINUUM EQUATIONS

In this section we will derive, interpret, and present some special solutions to the continuum equations for planar oscillations in the FCC lattice. This limit can be expected to be valid whenever the excitations which the equations describe have wavelengths much longer than the interatomic spacing. The purpose of obtaining and solving the equations is to compare the results with those obtained previously for the discrete lattice. As will be seen, the relatively simple continuum equations predict results at least qualitatively similar to those obtained in the last section.

A. Derivation of Continuum Equations for Planar Oscillations.

In order to make the calculations as simple as possible we will assume that only nearest-neighbor interactions are significant. Including more distant neighbors is not expected to affect qualitatively the nature of the results. This is especially true for our case where the anharmonicity factor is rather large. Furthermore, since we are concerned only with planar oscillations, each atom in a plane normal to the z direction will be assumed to have the same velocity and displacement. The velocities and displacements may have a y component (transverse) and a z component (longitudinal) but, for simplicity, the x components have been set equal to zero throughout.

We are interested in solving Eq. (2.3), viz.,

$$\vec{r}_{k,\alpha} = 2 R A_0 \sum_{j,\beta} \left[e^{-2R(A_0 | \vec{r}_{k,\alpha} - \vec{r}_{j,\beta} | -1)} - e^{-R(A_0 | \vec{r}_{k,\alpha} - \vec{r}_{j,\beta} | -1)} \right]$$

$$\times \frac{\vec{r}_{k,\alpha} - \vec{r}_{j,\beta}}{| \vec{r}_{k,\alpha} - \vec{r}_{j,\beta} |}$$
(4.1)

in the limit in which the displacements of all particles from their equilibrium positions are small. The sum over j and β now runs over the twelve nearest neighbors to particle (k, α) which are shown in Figure 6.

For the case of planar oscillations, the displacement of particle (k,α) from its equilibrium position is identical to the displacement of the entire plane k from its equilibrium position. Therefore, we can write

 $\vec{r}_{j,\beta} - \vec{r}_{k,\alpha} = \vec{r}_{j,\beta} - \vec{r}_{k,\alpha} + \vec{s}_j - \vec{s}_k$ (4.2)





where the superscript o denotes the position vector to the equilibrium position of the particle, and the vectors \vec{s}_j and \vec{s}_k are the displacements of planes j and k, respectively, from their equilibrium positions. The position vectors joining the equilibrium site of atom (k,α) with those of its twelve nearest neighbors are given in Table I.

If we now substitute Eq. (4.2) into Eq. (4.1), expand the resulting equation, and retain only terms through second order in \hat{S} , we obtain

$$\vec{s}_{k} = 4R^{2} \sum_{\beta,j} \left[(\vec{r}_{j,\beta}^{o} - \vec{r}_{k,\alpha}^{o}) \left\{ 2(\vec{r}_{j,\beta}^{o} - \vec{r}_{k,\alpha}^{o}) \cdot (\vec{s}_{j} - \vec{s}_{k}) + (\vec{s}_{j} - \vec{s}_{k})^{2} -6(1+R) [(\vec{r}_{j,\beta}^{o} - \vec{r}_{k,\alpha}^{o}) \cdot (\vec{s}_{j} - \vec{s}_{k})]^{2} \right\}$$

$$+2(\vec{s}_{j} - \vec{s}_{k}) (\vec{r}_{j,\beta}^{o} - \vec{r}_{k,\alpha}^{o}) \cdot (\vec{s}_{j} - \vec{s}_{k}) \right] .$$

$$(4.3)$$

TABLE I. Position Vectors from Lattice Site (k,α) to Neighboring Lattice Sites. \hat{i}, \hat{j} , and \hat{k} are unit vectors in the three Cartesian directions.

Neighbor	$\vec{r}_{j,\beta} - \vec{r}_{k,\alpha}$				
1	$1/2 \hat{i} + 1/2 \hat{j}$				
2	$1/2 \hat{i} - 1/2 \hat{j}$				
3	$-1/2 \hat{i} - 1/2 \hat{j}$				
4	$-1/2 \hat{i} + 1/2 \hat{j}$				
5	$1/2 \hat{i} + 1/2 \hat{k}$				
6	$-1/2 \hat{j} + 1/2 \hat{k}$				
7	$-1/2 \hat{i} + 1/2 \hat{k}$				
8	$1/2 \hat{j} + 1/2 \hat{k}$				
9	$1/2 \hat{i} - 1/2 \hat{k}$				
10	$-1/2 \hat{j} - 1/2 \hat{k}$				
11	$-1/2 \hat{i} - 1/2 \hat{k}$				
12	$1/2 \hat{j} - 1/2 \hat{k}$				

In deriving Eq. (4.3) we have noted that, for nearest-neighbor interactions only, the lattice constant Re is given by $\sqrt{2}$.

We now perform the sum in Eq. (4.3) using the values of the equilibrium position vectors given in Table I. After much tedious algebra, we obtain the following equations for the transverse and longitudinal displacements of the kth plane:

$$\ddot{(S}_{k})_{y} = 4R^{2} \left\{ (S_{k+1}+S_{k-1}-2S_{k})_{y} + (1-3R) \left[(S_{k+1}-S_{k})_{y}(S_{k+1}-S_{k})_{z} - (S_{k-1}-S_{k})_{y}(S_{k-1}-S_{k})_{z} \right] \right\}$$

$$\ddot{(S}_{k})_{z} = 8R^{2} \left\{ (S_{k+1}+S_{k-1}-2S_{k})_{z} + \frac{3}{2} (1-R) \left[(S_{k+1}-S_{k})_{z}^{2} - (S_{k-1}-S_{k})_{z}^{2} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} (1-3R) \left[(S_{k+1}-S_{k})_{y}^{2} - (S_{k-1}-S_{k})_{y}^{2} \right] \right\}.$$

$$(4.4)$$

In Eqs. (4.4), the subscripts y and z denote the components of the displacement in the y and z directions, respectively. Since we are interested in the case in which the wavelength of the disturbance is much larger than the lattice spacing, we can expand \vec{s}_{k+1} and \vec{s}_{k-1} in a Taylor series about \vec{s}_k with the result that

$$\vec{s}_{k\pm 1} \cdot \vec{s}_{k} = \pm \frac{\partial \vec{s}_{k}}{\partial k} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \vec{s}_{k}}{\partial k^{2}} \pm \frac{1}{3!} \frac{\partial^{3} \vec{s}_{k}}{\partial k^{3}} + \frac{1}{4!} \frac{\partial^{4} \vec{s}_{k}}{\partial k^{4}} \cdots \qquad (4.5)$$

Substitution of Eq. (4.5) into Eqs. (4.4) then produces

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_y}{\partial \tau^2} = 4R^2 \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 S_y}{\partial k^2} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^4 S_y}{\partial k^4} + (1-3R) \left[\frac{\partial S_y}{\partial k} \frac{\partial^2 S_z}{\partial k^2} + \frac{\partial^2 S_y}{\partial k^2} \frac{\partial S_z}{\partial k} \right] \right\}$$
(4.6a)

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_z}{\partial \tau^2} = 8R^2 \left[\frac{\partial^2 S_z}{\partial k^2} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^4 S_z}{\partial k^4} + 3(1-R) \frac{\partial^2 S_z}{\partial k^2} \frac{\partial S_z}{\partial k} + \frac{1}{2} (1-3R) \frac{\partial^2 S_y}{\partial k^2} \frac{\partial S_y}{\partial k} \right]$$
(4.6b)

where we have now dropped the subscript k. Terms through fourth order have been retained in obtaining Eq. (4.6).

Equations (4.6) describe the propagation of planar disturbances in the FCC lattice in the continuum limit. Although the result has been obtained for the Morse potential, a similar result can obviously be obtained for any interatomic potential whose associated forces are expanded to second order as in Eq. (4.3). If we neglect third- and fourth-order terms (retain only the first term on the right-hand side of the equations) we obtain simply the linear wave equations. Thus, the longitudinal and transverse sound speeds are given in our normalization by

and

$$C_{g} = 2 \sqrt{2} R$$
 (4.7)
 $C_{t} = 2R$.

That the ratio of these two velocities is given by $\sqrt{2}$ can be inferred from elastic-constant data and the well-known fact that for cubic crystals¹⁸

$$\frac{C_{\ell}}{C_{t}} = \left(\frac{C_{11}}{C_{44}}\right)^{1/2} .$$
 (4.8)

The ratio of the elastic constants $C_{11/}C_{44}$ has been shown¹⁷ to approach two in the limit of nearest-neighbor interactions only.

The more interesting effects in Eqs. (4.6), however, are contained in the higher-order terms. The third-order terms represent, to lowest order, the nonlinear effects of the potential whereas the fourth-order terms (linear) account for the dispersive nature of the lattice. It is also clear that if these higher-order terms are retained, Eq. (4.6) predicts that a transverse disturbance cannot propagate in the absence of a longitudinal disturbance. Thus, setting $S_z=0$ in Eq. (4.6b) leads to only trivial, nonoscillatory solutions for S_y . If, however, one sets $S_y=0$, a solution for S_z can be found from Eq. (4.6b). Our discretelattice results have verified this effect as we pointed out in Sec. III. B. In that discussion we noted that displacing planes of atoms in the transverse direction produced both longitudinal and transverse oscillations, but a displacement in the longitudinal direction produced only longitudinal oscillations.

W.P. Mason, "Acoustic Properties of Solids," in American Institute of Physics Handbook, edited by D.E. Gray (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1957), p. 3-82.

Equations (4.6) are obviously difficult to solve and we will be interested only in obtaining approximate special solutions. Our primary interest will be in using the equations to predict analytically the longitudinal solitary-wave profile and in further studying the properties of the coupled solitary waves discovered in Sec. III.

The equations can be somewhat simplified by noting that solitary waves represent steady, travelling-wave solutions to the equations of motion. Thus, we assume solutions of the form

$$S_{y} = S_{y}(k-C\tau) = S_{y}(\xi)$$

$$S_{z} = S_{z}(k-C\tau) = S_{z}(\xi)$$
(4.9)

where C is the propagation velocity of the resulting wave form, and substitute into Eqs. (4.6). If we define the components of the planar velocity as

$$u = \frac{\partial S_y}{\partial \tau}$$
(4.10)
$$v = \frac{\partial S_z}{\partial \tau} ,$$

Eqs. (4.6) become

$$u'' = \alpha u - 4\beta u v \qquad (4.11a)$$

$$v'' = \gamma v - \delta v^2 - \beta u^2 \quad . \tag{4.11b}$$

The primes denote differentiation with respect to ξ and the constants are defined as follows:

$$\alpha = 12(C^{2}/C_{t}^{2}-1)$$

$$\beta = 3(3R-1)/C$$

$$\gamma = 12(C^{2}/C_{g}^{2}-1)$$

$$\delta = 18 (R-1)/C .$$
(4.12)

In the following two sections we obtain and discuss some solutions to Eqs. (4.11).

B. Longitudinal Solitary Waves.

The simplest solution of Eqs. (4.11) results whenever no transverse disturbance exists so that u can be set equal to zero throughout. We then have

$$v'' = \gamma v - \delta v^2 . \qquad (4.13)$$

If Eq. (4.13) is multiplied by v', it can be integrated twice provided that we require that the function and its derivatives vanish at infinity. Performing the calculation we find

 $v = \frac{3\gamma}{2\delta} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2} \xi\right) . \qquad (4.14)$

In obtaining Eq. (4.14) \sim have assumed $\gamma>0$; the solution for $\gamma<0$ is oscillatory, does not vanish at infinity, and will not be considered here. Analytic approximations for solitary-wave profiles similar to this result have been discussed in the literature¹⁹.

In order to compare the wave profile predicted by Eq. (4.14) with our numerical data for the discrete lattice, we plotted the velocitytime trajectory of a plane of atoms in the lattice as a solitary wave traversed it. The propagation velocity, C, of the solitary wave was obtained from the computer data and substituted into Eqs. (4.12). The resulting constants were then used to calculate the profile in Eq. (4.14). Results of the calculation are shown in Fig. 7 in which are plotted the numerical and analytic wave profiles as a function of the parameter ξ/C . The two profiles are in reasonably good agreement. We have also compared a number of other profiles for both higher- and lower- amplitude solitary waves. However, as the amplitude of the wave increases, its width decreases. The discrepancy between analytic and numerical results then increases owing to the inadequacy of the continuum approximation.

C. Coupled Solitary Waves.

We now wish to determine whether we can predict from Eqs. (4.11) the coupled longitudinal and transverse solitary waves observed previously. We begin by obtaining an approximate analytic solution to the equations valid in the limit $u \rightarrow 0$. The technique may be viewed as the first step in an iterative procedure; in principle, it may be repeated as often as desirable.

19. N.J. Zabusky, "A Synergetic Approach to Problems of Nonlinear Dispersive Wave Propagation and Interaction," in Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, edited by W.F. Ames (Academic, New York, 1967), p. 223.



Figure 7. Comparison of numerical and analytic longitudinal solitarywave profiles. The dashed curve represents the numerical results for the discrete lattice; the solid curve represents a plot of Eq. (4.14).

Equation (4.14) represents the solution of Eq. (4.11b) for u=0. Substituting the result into Eq. (4.11a) we obtain an equation for the first approximation to u, namely,

$$u'' = \alpha u - \frac{6\beta\gamma}{\delta} \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2} \xi u$$
 (4.15)

Equation (4.15) is linear and second order and is similar to the timeindependent Schroedinger equation. Since $\alpha>0$, one expects²⁰ that bounded solutions exist only for certain discrete values of C and that the corresponding functions u vanish at infinity.

To solve the equation we make the change of variable

 $y = \operatorname{sech} \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2} \xi$ (4.16)

and substitute into Eq. (4.15) to produce

$$\frac{\gamma}{4} y^2 (1-y^2) \frac{d^2 u}{dy^2} + \frac{\gamma}{4} y (1-2y^2) \frac{d u}{dy} = \alpha u - \frac{6\beta\gamma}{\delta} y^2 u . \qquad (4.17)$$

We now assume that the solution to Eq. (4.17) can be represented in the form of the series

$$u = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} u_p y^{m+2p}, \qquad (4.18)$$

where m is a positive number, and substitute into Eq. (4.17). Equating coefficients of y^{2l} in the resulting expression then yields the recursion relation

$$u_{\ell} = \frac{\left[\gamma(m+2\ell-2)(m+2\ell-1)-24\gamma\beta/\delta\right]}{\gamma(m+2\ell)^2-4\alpha} \quad u_{\ell-1} \quad (4.19)$$

By assumption $u_0^{\pm 0}$ and $u_n^{\pm 0}$ for n < 0 so that the denominator of Eq. (4.19) must vanish for l=0. Thus, we obtain the eigenvalue equation

$$\frac{m^2\gamma}{4} = \alpha , \qquad (4.20)$$

 A. Messiah, <u>Quantum Mechanics</u> (Wiley, New York, 1966), Vol. 1, Chap. 2. which becomes

$$\frac{C^2}{C_0^2} = \frac{m^2 - 4}{m^2 - 8}$$
(4.21)

after using Eqs. (4.12). This result indeed predicts that solutions to Eq. (4.17) exist only for certain well-defined values of C. Furthermore, if the series represented by Eq. (4.18) is to terminate, the numerator of Eq. (4.19) must vanish for some value of ℓ . This condition implies

$$m = \frac{-(4\ell-3) + [1+16(3R-1)/(R-1)]^{1/2}}{2} , \qquad (4.22)$$

where all constants have been expressed in terms of R. Of course, m must be greater than zero in order for the solution to remain bounded at infinity.

As a specific example, let us now evaluate the solution for the case R=6.29. In that case, Eq. (4.22) predicts that the only solution which leads to m>0 and $\gamma>0$ occurs for l=1 and m=3.21. Substitution of m into Eq. (4.21) then yields C=1.66C_l and, from this value of C, the remaining constants can be calculated from Eq. (4.12). Only the zeroth

term then survives in the expansion of Eq. (4.18) and we have

$$u = u_{s} \operatorname{sech}^{3.21}(2.3\xi).$$
 (4.23)

The corresponding expression for v, obtained from Eq. (4.14), is

$$v = 9.8 \operatorname{sech}^2 (2.3\xi).$$
 (4.24)

In principle, Eq. (4.23) could now be used in Eq. (4.11b) to obtain a second approximation to v.

It is important to emphasize that Eqs. (4.23) and (4.24) represent only a rather crude approximation to Eq. (4.11) which can be expected to be valid only as u tends to zero. The solution predicts, for instance, that the amplitude of the longitudinal solitary wave in the coupled-wave profile is identical to that for an isolated longitudinal wave having the same value of C; actually the amplitude is diminished from that of the isolated wave. Furthermore, the value of u cannot be obtained in

the lowest-order solutions to the equations and this parameter must be fit to the data. Finally, the lowest-order approximation yields only one acceptable eigenvalue and, thus, one solution to the equations. Other solutions are, however, possible as u increases from zero and these are no doubt predicted by higher-order approximations. Despite these shortcomings, the analytic solution is nonetheless valuable because it does predict that coupled-wave solutions do exist and, as we shall see, predicts the shapes of the wave profiles rather well for u << v.

Because of the limitations of the analytic solution we have also obtained numerical solutions of Eqs. (4.11). Our efforts have been confined to obtaining solutions which propagate in phase, that is, to solutions which reach their maximum at the same value of ξ . Only solutions having this property have been observed in our studies of the discrete-lattice equations. The numerical procedure was as follows: A value for v_{max} was assumed (arbitrarily chosen at $\xi=0$) and u_{max} obtained from the differential equations. Specifically, if we multiply Eq. (4.11a) by u', Eq. (4.11b) by 2v', and add, the resulting expression is in the form of an exact differential. Integrating and evaluating the resulting equation at $\xi=0$ yields

$$u_{\max} = v_{\max} \left[\frac{2\delta v_{\max}/3 - \gamma}{\alpha/2 - 2\beta v_{\max}} \right]^{1/2} . \qquad (4.25)$$

These values of u_{max} and v_{max} were used as initial conditions and a fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme employed to solve Eqs. (4.11). As expected from the analytic result, the numerical solutions were found to diverge as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ unless the appropriate value of C. found by trial and error, was used.

We have performed a number of numerical solutions of Eqs. (4.11) in the manner discussed assuming various values of v_{max} . In no case were we able to obtain convergent solutions for values of v_{max} less than or equal to the value predicted by the analytic technique in lowest order, namely, v_{max} =9.8. The result suggests that there exists a threshold, occurring at the eigenvalue C=1.66Cg, below which the coupled solitary waves cannot propagate in phase. Unfortunately, the threshold is sufficiently high that one cannot expect that the continuum equations are a reasonable quantitative approximation to the discrete-lattice equations and a direct comparison of the results is not possible. Nonetheless, it is interesting that these equations predict both the existence of the coupled waves as well as the fact that they obey a threshold.

An example of a numerical solution is shown by the solid curve in Figure 8. The solution was obtained by assuming a value of v_{max} given by 10.24 and was found to converge provided C was given by 29.9 or 1.68 C_g. A plot of u obtained from the resulting solution is shown in the lower half of the figure; u_{max} was found to be approximately 1.5 as can be verified from Eq. (4.25).





Since u is considerably less than v one expects that the analytic solution represented by Eqs. (4.23) and (4.24) might well be a reasonable approximation to the numerical result. This is in fact found to be the case as can be seen from the top graph in which the dashed curve represents the solution given by Eq. (4.24). Furthermore, when we set u_0 in

Eq. (4.23) equal to 1.5 and attempted to plot the results on the lower half of the graph along with the numerical solution for u, the graphs were found to be coincident to within the accuracy with which we could plot the data. Consequently, we conclude that the analytic solution is a reasonable approximation to the coupled solitary-wave profile for values of v_{max} near the threshold value of 9.8.

Equations (4.11) merit further study. We have confined our attention in this investigation only to solutions which have their maximum at the same value of ξ . We have been unable to prove from the differential equations, however, that all solutions which vanish at infinity have this property. Thus, although we have not observed it in solutions to the discrete-lattice equations, there remains the possibility that coupled waves exist which propagate out of phase. It is interesting to note that if such solutions exist they too obey a threshold effect, although not necessarily the same one discussed previously. In fact, it is shown in the Appendix that for all bounded solutions to Eqs. (4.11), C must obey the condition

$$C > \left(\frac{2R}{1+R}\right)^{1/2} C_{\ell} \qquad (4.26)$$

For R=6.29, this yields $C > 1.31C_{g}$ which is substantially lower than the value $C > 1.66 C_{g}$ suggested for the in-phase solutions.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have undertaken both computer-molecular-dynamic as well as some analytic studies of solitary-wave propagation in the three-dimensional, FCC, Morse-potential lattice. It has been found that the lattice is capable of supporting the propagation of solitary waves as in onedimension. The basic conclusions reached regarding the properties of the solitary waves are as follows:

1. Longitudinal solitary waves are not stable to mutual collisions, the degree of stability decreasing as the amplitude of the colliding solitary waves increases. Nevertheless, even in a mutual collision, which represents a rather strong longitudinal disturbance, the solitary wave retains its integrity fairly well.

- 2. The solitary waves are extremely stable to small, longitudinal, planar oscillations.
- 3. Longitudinal solitary waves are not stable to small, transverse, planar oscillations. However, even in this case, most of the energy associated with the initial solitary wave remains localized in the form of a coupled longitudinal and transverse solitary wave.
- 4. Solitary waves appear to be more stable to random thermal oscillations than to coherent planar oscillations, although it is difficult to determine this conclusively from computer simulations.

Our calculations suggest that, for a variety of perturbations, the energy initially associated with a solitary wave tends to remain localized within the wave, although there may be an exchange of energy between longitudinal and transverse oscillations. Therefore, despite the absence of total stability of the solitary waves, they may nonetheless be important in three-dimensional energy-transport problems. For instance, computer simulations have shown that solitary waves are generated whenever a solid is subjected to shock compression and they will, no doubt, be generated in other nonequilibrium problems as well. Their fair degree of stability, then, insures that solitary waves will substantially affect, at least initially, both the relaxation time and the manner in which thermal equilibrium is re-established after a disturbance. Furthermore, for systems of realistic dimensions which are initially in thermal equilibrium, the solitary waves are likely to be more stable than the calculations reported here suggest because of the absence of coherent, planar oscillations in the background.

Many problems of importance to ballistics research require an understanding of the manner in which energy is transported in solids. For example, models for the initiation of explosives or for the deformation of materials under impulsive loads must incorporate some assumptions regarding the nature of the energy transfer and relaxation processes which occur following a disturbance. If these processes in real, impure crystals are, in fact, dominated by solitary-wave behavior, then it will become necessary to include the effects of the solitary waves in the models. For instance, solitary waves may significantly affect the rate of chemical reactions behind a shock wave since the velocity distribution function is highly non-Maxwellian in a region where solitary waves are propagating. Consequently, future research should be directed towards determining the extent to which solitary waves persist in more realistic (e.g., impure) crystals and assessing qualitatively their effect on the macroscopic properties of the lattice. Eventually, it would be desirable to develop a methodology for incorporating detailed, quantitative studies of solitarywave behavior into the macroscopic description of energy transfer in real solids. This goal, however, will require a significant advance of the state-of-the-art both in computer-molecular-dynamic techniques and in our knowledge of atomic and molecular interactions.

REFERENCES

- N.J. Zabusky and M.D. Kruskal, "Interaction of Solitons in a Collisionless Plasma and the Recurrence of Initial States," Phys. Rev. Letters <u>15</u>, 240 (1965).
- A.C. Scott, F.Y.F. Chu, and D.W. McLaughlin, "The Soliton: A New Concept in Applied Science," Proc. IEEE 61, 1443 (1973).
- 3. J. Denavit, N.R. Pereira, and R.N. Sudan, "Two-Dimensional Stability of Langmuir Solitons," Phys. Rev. Letters 33, 1435 (1974).
- K.H. Spatschek, P.K. Shukla, and M.Y. Yu, "On the Two-Dimensional Stability of Ion-Acoustic Solitons," Phys. Letters 54A, 419 (1975).
- 5. Y. Gell, "Drift Solitons and Their Two-Dimensional Stability," Phys. Rev. A 16, 402 (1977).
- R.G. Newton, "Three-Dimensional Solitons," J. Math. Phys. <u>19</u>, 1068 (1977).
- 7. T. Schneider, E. Stoll, and Y. Hiwatari, "Solitonlike Properties of Heat Pulses," Phys. Rev. Letters 39, 1382 (1977).
- H. Ikezi, "Experiments on Solitons in Plasmas," in <u>Solitons in Action</u>, edited by K. Lonngren and A. Scott (Academic, New York, 1978), p. 153.
- 9. D.H. Tsai, "An Atomistic Theory of Shock Compression of a Perfect Crystalline Solid," in Accurate Characterization of the High-Pressure Environment, edited by E.C. Lloyd, Natl. Bur. Stds. Spec. Publ. No. 326 (U.S. GPO, Washington, DC, 1971), p. 105.
- J. Tasi, "Perturbation Solution for Growth of Nonlinear Shock Waves in a Lattice," J. Appl. Phys. <u>43</u>, 4016 (1972). See also Erratum [J. Appl. Phys. 44, 1414 (1973)].
- J. Tasi, "Far-Field Analysis of Nonlinear Shock Waves in a Lattice," J. Appl. Phys. 44, 4569 (1973).
- 12. J. Tasi, "Perturbation Solution for Shock Waves in a Dissipative Lattice," J. Appl. Phys. 44, 2245 (1973).
- J.H. Batteh and J.D. Powell, "Shock Propagation in the One-Dimensional Lattice at a Nonzero Initial Temperature," J. Appl. Phys. 49, 3933 (1978).

- J.H. Batteh and J.D. Powell, "Soliton Propagation in a One-Dimensional Lattice Under Shock Compression," in <u>Solitons in Action</u>, edited by K. Lonngren and A. Scott (Academic, New York, 1978), p. 257.
- 15. B. Carnahan, H.A. Luther, and J.O. Wilkes, <u>Applied Numerical</u> Methods (Wiley, New York, 1969), Chap. 6.
- J.D. Powell and J.H. Batteh, "Shock Propagation in the Three-Dimensional Lattice. II. Method of Calculation," (to be published).
- F. Milstein, "Applicability of Exponentially Attractive and Repulsive Interatomic Potential Functions in the Description of Cubic Crystals," J. Appl. Phys. <u>44</u>, 3825 (1973).
- W.P. Mason, "Acoustic Properties of Solids," in American Institute of Physics Handbook, edited by D.E. Gray (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1957), p. 3-82.
- N.J. Zabusky, "A Synergetic Approach to Problems of Nonlinear Dispersive Wave Propagation and Interaction," in <u>Nonlinear</u> <u>Partial Differential Equations</u>, edited by W.F. Ames (Academic, New York, 1967), p. 223.
- A. Messiah, <u>Quantum Mechanics</u> (Wiley, New York, 1966), Vol. 1, Chap. 2.

APPENDIX

The purpose of this appendix is to prove that for solutions of Eqs. (4.11) which vanish at infinity, the eigenvalues obey a threshold condition. That is, we demonstrate that for solutions of the equations to exist we must have

$$C^2/C_{g}^2 > \frac{2R}{1+R}$$
 (A.1)

Consider Eqs. (4.11), viz.,

$$u'' = \alpha u - 4 \beta u v$$
(A.2)
$$v'' = \gamma v - \delta v^{2} - \beta u^{2} .$$

If the solution for u is to vanish at infinity, then it must have a maximum in a region where u is positive and/or a minimum in a region where u is negative. The final result is independent of which of these situations exists, so let us assume that u has a maximum value u* at $\xi = \xi^*$, and that u*>0. Furthermore, let us denote the value of v at ξ^* by v*. If u is to be a maximum at $\xi = \xi^*$, its second derivative evaluated at ξ^* must be negative. Consequently, from Eq. (A.2) we have

$$(\alpha - 4\beta v^*)u^* < 0 \tag{A.3}$$

and, since $u^* > 0$,

 $v^* > \frac{\alpha}{4\beta}$ (A.4)

If we multiply the first of Eqs. (A.2) by u' and the second by 2v', add, and integrate, we obtain

$$1/2(u')^{2} + (v')^{2} = \frac{\alpha u^{2}}{2} + \gamma v^{2} - \frac{2}{3} \delta v^{3} - 2\beta u^{2} v \ge 0 .$$
 (A.5)

We now apply relation (A.5) at $\xi = \xi^*$ to produce

$$1/2 \ (\alpha - 4\beta v^*) u^{*2} + v^{*2} (\gamma - 2/3\delta v^*) \ge 0 \quad . \tag{A.6}$$

Equations (A.4) and (A.6) imply that v* must obey the relation

$$\frac{\alpha}{4\beta} < v^* \leq \frac{3\gamma}{2\delta} \quad . \tag{A.7}$$

In order for a solution to exist, then, we must have that

$$\frac{\alpha}{4\beta} < \frac{3\gamma}{2\delta} . \tag{A.8}$$

Expressing the constants in terms of C and R [see Eqs. (4.12)] yields finally

$$c_{C_{0}^{2}}^{2} > \frac{2R}{1+R}$$
 (A.9)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of	
Copies	Organization

- 12 Commander Defense Documentation Center ATTN: DDC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314
- Commander
 US Army Materiel Development
 and Readiness Command
 ATTN: DRCDMD-ST
 5001 Eisenhower Avenue
 Alexandria, VA 22333
- 1 Commander US Army Aviation Research and Development Command ATTN: DRSAV-E P.O. Box 209 St. Louis, MO 63166
- Director
 US Army Air Mobility Research
 and Development Laboratory
 Ames Research Center
 Moffett Field, CA 94035
- 1 Commander US Army Electronics Research and Development Command Technical Support Activity ATTN: DELSD-L Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
- 1 Commander US Army Harry Diamond Labs ATTN: DELHD-TI 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783
- 1 Commander US Army Communications Rsch and Development Command ATTIN: DRDCO-PPA-SA Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

No. of	
Copies	Organization

- 2 Commander US Army Missile Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDMI-R DRDMI-YDL Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
- Commander
 US Army Tank Automotive Research
 and Development Command
 ATTN: DRDTA-UL
 Warren, MI 48090
- 3 Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-TSS (2 cys) DRDAR-LCN,Dr. P. Harris Dover, NJ 07801
- Commander
 US Army Armament Materiel Readiness
 Command
 ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L, Tech Lib
 Rock Island, IL 61202
- Director Army Materials & Mechanics Research Center ATTN: Dr. R. Harrison Watertown, MA 02172
- Director
 US Army TRADOC Systems
 Analysis Activity
 ATTN: ATAA-SL, Tech Lib
 White Sands Missile Range
 NM 88002
- Commander
 Army Research and Standardization Group (Europe)
 Electronics Branch
 Dr. Alfred K. Nedoluha
 Box 65
 FPO, NY, NY 09510

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No.	of					
Copi	es Organization					
2	Director					
	Lawrence Livermore Laboratory					
	ATTN: Dr. W. Hoover					
	Dr. A. Karo					
	Livermore, CA 94550					
2	Director					
	Los Alamos Scientific Lab					
	ATTN: Dr. B.L. Holian					
	Dr. G.K. Straub					
	Los Alamos, NM 87544					
3	Director					
	National Bureau of Standards					
	ATTN: Dr. H. Prask					
	Dr. S. Trevino					
	Dr. D. Tsai					
	Gaithersburg, MD 20760					
1	Director					
	NASA Goddard Space Flight Ctr					
	Mail Code 624					
	ATTN: Dr. J.E. Allen					
	Greenbelt, MD 20771					

- 1 Science Applications, Inc. ATTN: Mr. S. Howie 6600 Powers Ferry Rd, Suite 220 2 Atlanta, GA 30339
- City College of New York Department of Physics ATTN: Professor M. Lax 138th St. & Convent Ave. New York, NY 10031
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology
 Dept. of Nuclear Engineering ATTN: Professor S. Yip Cambridge, MA 02139

No. of Copies Organization

copies organizati

- 1 Princeton University Astrophysics Department Professor M.D. Kruskal Princeton, NJ 08540
- 1 Queens College ATTN: Professor A. Paskin Flushing, NY 11973
- 1 State University of New York Department of Mechanics ATTN: Professor J. Tasi Stony Brook, NY 11790
- University of California at Irvine Department of Physics ATTN: Dr. A. Maradudin Irvine, CA 92664
- 2 University of Florida Department of Engineering Sciences ATTN: Prof. K.T. Millsaps Prof. B.M. Leadon Gainesville, FL 32603
 - University of Florida Department of Physics and Astronomy ATTN: Prof. J.W. Dufty Prof. C.F. Hooper Gainesville, FL 32603
- 1 University of Massachusetts Department of Physics ATTN: Professor R. Guyer Amherst, MA 01002
- 1 University of Pittsburgh ATTN: Prof. N.J. Zabusky Pittsburgh, PA 15260

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

es Organization

- University of Rochester Department of Physics & Astronomy
 ATTN: Professor E. Montroll Rochester, NY 14627
- University of Tennessee Space Institute ATTN: Prof. D.R. Keefer Tullahoma, TN 37388
- University of Wisconsin Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering ATTN: Prof. A. Scott Madison, WI 53706
- 1 Washington State University Shock Dynamics Laboratory ATTN: Prof. G. Duvall Pullman, WA 99163

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: Dr. J. Sperrazza DRXSY-MP, H. Cohen Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: DRSTE-TO-F Dir, Wpns Sys Concept Team Bldg. E3516, EA ATTN: DRDAR-ACW