MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

LEVEL

6

AD A071204

14 TR-6

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

15 CONTRACT N00014-76-C-0837

Task No. NR 053-618

DDC
RECEIVED
JUL 16 1979
C

9 TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 6

6 GEM-DIFLUOROALLYLLITHIUM: PREPARATION BY THE TRANS-
METALATION PROCEDURE AND SOME REACTIONS

by

10 Dietmar Seyferth and Karl R. Wursthorn

Prepared for Publication in the

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Department of Chemistry, 18-411
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

12 23 p.

11 28 June 1979

220 007

DDC FILE COPY

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 6	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) "gem-Difluoroallyllithium: Preparation by the Transmetalation Procedure and Some Reactions"		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report Interim
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Dietmar Seyferth and Karl R. Wursthorn		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-76-C-0837
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 053-618
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Department of The Navy Arlington, VA 22217		12. REPORT DATE June 28, 1979
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 19
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approval for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

To be published in the Journal of Organometallic Chemistry

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

3,3-Difluoroallyltrimethyltin has been prepared by the reaction of β-trimethylstannylethylidenetriphenylphosphorane with chlorodifluoromethane. This tin compound reacts with n-butyllithium in tetrahydrofuran at -95°C to generate gem-difluoroallyllithium. The latter, however, is not stable in solution at that temperature. If generated in situ in the presence of a triorganochlorosilane, products of type $R_3SiCF_2CH=CH_2$ are obtained in good yield. Addition to the C=O bond of 3-pentanone to give $(C_2H_5)_2C(OH)CF_2CH=CH_2$ was achieved by the method of alternate, incremental additions.

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 73

gem-Difluoroallyllithium: Preparation by the Transmetalation Procedure and Some Reactions[*]

Dietmar Seyferth and Karl R. Wursthorn

Department of Chemistry
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 (USA)

SUMMARY

3,3-Difluoroallyltrimethyltin has been prepared by the react-
ion of β-trimethylstannylethylidenetriphenylphosphorane with
chlorodifluoromethane. This tin compound reacts with n-butyllithium
in tetrahydrofuran at -95°C to generate gem-difluoroallyllithium.
The latter, however, is not stable in solution at that temperature.
If generated in situ in the presence of a triorganochlorosilane,
products of type $R_3SiCF_2CH=CH_2$ are obtained in good yield. Addition
to the C=O bond of 3-pentanone to give $(C_2H_5)_2C(OH)CF_2CH=CH_2$ was
achieved by the method of alternate, incremental additions.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, we have prepared gem-dichloroallyllithium and have studied its reactions with aldehydes, ketones and esters [2] and with organic halides, as well as with halides of silicon, germanium, tin and mercury.[3] The regioselectivity of the additions of gem-dichloroallyllithium to the C=O bonds of aldehydes and ketones received detailed attention. It appeared that electronic effects in the carbonyl compound were of paramount importance in determining the regioselectivity in such reactions under the conditions used. For this reason it became of interest to examine the chemistry of the as yet unknown gem-difluoroallyllithium in which the substituents are the most electronegative halogen. In this reagent, 1, the polarity diffence between the α and γ termini is greater than in

gem-dichloroallyllithium so that any special electronic effects noted in the chemistry of the latter reagent might be more pronounced in its difluoro analog.

gem-Dichloroallyllithium can be prepared in almost quantitative yield by the transmetalation reaction (eq. 1),[2,3] or, much less effectively, by lithium-halogen exchange (eq. 2).[3] It also has been pre-

$$Ph_3PbCH_2CH=CCl_2 + \underline{n}\text{-BuLi} \xrightarrow{THF/-95^\circ C} Li(CCl_2CHCH_2) + \underline{n}\text{-BuPbPh}_3 \quad (1)$$

$$CCl_3CH=CH_2 + \underline{n}\text{-BuLi} \xrightarrow{THF/-95^\circ C} Li(CCl_2CHCH_2) + \underline{n}\text{-BuCl} \quad (2)$$

pared in situ by lithium-hydrogen exchange (eq. 3).[4] Of these poss-

$$HCCl_2CH=CH_2 + i\text{-}Pr_2NLi + R_2C=O \xrightarrow{\quad} \xrightarrow{\ H_2O\ } R_2CCCl_2CH=CH_2$$
$$\underset{OH}{|}$$

$$+ i\text{-}Pr_2NH + LiOH \quad (3)$$

ible procedures, we chose the transmetalation reaction for initial examination for applicability to the synthesis of gem-difluoroallyl-lithium.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Utilization of the transmetalation reaction in the synthesis of gem-difluoroallyllithium required the availability of a difluoro-allyl derivative of a heavy metal such as tin, lead or mercury. Pre-sumably, a 1,1-difluoroallyl compound, $M\text{-}CF_2CH=CH_2$, or a 3,3-di-fluoroallyl compound, $M\text{-}CH_2CH=CF_2$, would serve for this purpose.

In recent research we had developed β-trimethylsilyl-[5] and β-trimethylstannylethylidenetriphenylphosphorane[6] reagents and had utilized them in Wittig syntheses of allylic silicon and tin compounds (Scheme 1). Allylic tin compounds are excellent starting materials for the preparation of allylic lithium reagents by the transmetalation reaction,[7-9] and we found that $Ph_3P=CHCH_2SnMe_3$ could be used for the synthesis of 3,3-difluoroallyltrimethyltin, a pot-ential gem-difluoroallyllithium precursor (eq. 6). This procedure

$$2\ Ph_3P=CHCH_2SnMe_3 + HCF_2Cl \xrightarrow{\quad} Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2 + [Ph_3PCH_2CH_2SnMe_3]^+Cl^-$$
$$(74\%)$$

$$+ Ph_3P \quad (6)$$

for the preparation of terminal difluoroolefins had been developed by Wheaton and Burton.[10] It proceeds in the following manner (eq. 7, 8):

$$Ph_3P=CHR + HCF_2Cl \xrightarrow{\quad} [Ph_3PCH_2R]^+Cl^- + CF_2 \quad (7)$$

$$Ph_3P=CHR + CF_2 \longrightarrow RCH=CF_2 + Ph_3P \qquad (8)$$

The deprotonation of β-trimethylstannylethyltriphenyl-phosphonium iodide to the ylide had to be effected using lithium diisopropylamide. Phenyllithium was not sufficiently selective in its attack on this salt, attacking at tin (to form phenyltrimethyl-tin in 20% yield) as well as at the α protons. Only a 45% yield of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ was obtained.

3,3-Difluoroallyltrimethyltin is a stable liquid which can be distilled at 129-131°C at atmospheric pressure. It is chemically robust, and the difluoroallyl group appears to be strongly bonded. For instance, treatment of an acetone solution of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ with mercuric chloride resulted in CH_3-Sn cleavage, not in scission of the difluoroallyl group. A comparable reaction of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CH_2$ resulted in cleavage of the allyl group.[11]

The availability of 3,3-difluoroallyltrimethyltin permitted an investigation of the synthesis and reactivity of gem-difluoro-allyllithium. It was expected that this reagent would be even less stable than gem-dichloroallyllithium with respect to decomposition by way of lithium halide elimination, and, therefore, all experi-ments aimed at its generation, detection and utilization were carried out at low (-95°C or lower) temperature. Attempts to pre-pare gem-difluoroallyllithium in a separate step prior to its util-ization were not successful. Apparently the reagent is only margin-ally stable, if at all, at even these low temperatures. Thus, when the n-BuLi/$Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ reaction mixture was kept at -95°C for 1 hr. (after initial mixing of the reactants at that temperature) and then was treated with trimethylchlorosilane, no organosilicon prod-uct, $Me_3SiCH_2CH=CF_2$ or $Me_3SiCF_2CH=CH_2$, was formed. However, a

above 90%
yield of n-butyltrimethyltin|indicated that the transmetalation
had taken place in substantial yield, eq. 9. Other reactions in

$$Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2 + \text{n-BuLi} \longrightarrow Li(CF_2CHCH_2) + \text{n-BuSnMe}_3 \qquad (9)$$

which the transmetalation step was carried out at $-130°C$ and in
which the resulting solution was kept from 25 min. to 3.5 hr. at
$-130°C$ before addition of a carbonyl substrate also gave no difluoro-
allylated product. At that temperature the transmetalation reaction
was far from complete, and substantial quantities of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$
were recovered.

Although gem-difluoroallyllithium appears to be too unstable to
maintain in solution after its preparation and to utilize in a
two-step procedure, it could be very effectively applied to the
synthesis of difluoroallyl derivatives of silicon by the in situ
technique. For instance, when n-butyllithium was added slowly to
a mixture containing $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ and an excess of phenyldimethyl-
chlorosilane in THF at $-95°C$, $PhMe_2SiCF_2CH=CH_2$ was produced in 75%
yield. Similar reactions with trimethylchlorosilane and tri-n-
propylchlorosilane gave $Me_3SiCF_2CH=CH_2$ in 64% yield and n-Pr$_3$Si-
$CF_2CH=CH_2$ in 86% yield. The success of these reactions is due to
the relatively low reactivity of trialkylchlorosilanes toward n-but-
yllithium, especially at low temperatures.[12] The reaction of n-
butyllithium with 3,3-difluoroallyltrimethyltin (eq. 9) is faster
than its reaction with trimethylchlorosilane, and the reagent thus
formed then is intercepted by the chlorosilane present in solution
before it can decompose (eq. 10).

$$Li(CF_2CHCH_2) + Me_3SiCl \longrightarrow Me_3SiCF_2CH=CH_2 + LiCl \qquad (10)$$

Scheme 1

$Me_3SnCl + ICH_2ZnI$ $[Ph_3PCH_3]Br$ + Base

Me_3SnCH_2I $Ph_3P=CH_2$

$[Ph_3PCH_2CH_2SnMe_3]I$

$\underline{i}-Pr_2NLi$

$Ph_3P=CHCH_2SnMe_3$

$\begin{matrix} R' \\ \diagup \\ C=O \\ \diagdown \\ R \end{matrix}$

$Me_3SnCH_2C=C\begin{matrix} R' \\ \diagup \\ \diagdown \\ R \end{matrix}$ $+ Ph_3PO$

Only one of the two possible isomeric gem-difluoroallylsilanes was formed in each case: $R_3SiCF_2CH=CH_2$, not $R_3SiCH_2CH=CF_2$. 3,3-Difluoroallyltrimethylsilane, prepared by the ylide route using $Ph_3P=CHCH_2SiMe_3$,[1,13] was available for comparison with the 1,1-difluoro isomer obtained via $Li(CF_2CHCH_2)$. Their physical and spectroscopic properties, especially their proton NMR spectra were quite different.

The in situ procedure is only applicable if the reaction of n-butyllithium with the reactant which provides the group for the new organolithium reagent ($Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ in the present case) is faster than its reaction with the substrate. It was found not to be applicable to gem-difluoroallyllithium addition to aldehydes and ketones since the C=O function was an effective competitor for n-butyllithium. When the latter was added to a mixture of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ and benzaldehyde in THF/dimethyl ether at $-130^{o}C$, only the product of n-butyllithium addition to benzaldehyde was obtained. When the in situ procedure was used in such a reaction with 3-pentanone (in THF at $-95^{o}C$), the major product, after hydrolytic work-up, was $n-C_4H_9(C_2H_5)_2COH$. A minor product ($\sim 10\%$ yield) was tentatively identified (by comparison of its GLC retention time with that of an authentic sample; see below) as the desired $(C_2H_5)_2C(OH)CF_2CH=CH_2$.

However, gem-difluoroallyllithium can be brought into reaction with a ketone by the method of alternate, incremental additions. In this procedure, a given quantity of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$, in THF solution at $-95^{o}C$, was treated with a small portion of n-butyllithium in hexane (ca. 1/5 to 1/6 molar proportion of the $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ used) and then, very quickly, with the same (as n-BuLi) molar quantity of the ketone. Then another portion of n-butyllithium and immediately

thereafter, another of the ketone followed. This alternating addition of n-butyllithium and ketone continued until the amount of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ was exceeded by a factor of four. This procedure gave $(C_2H_5)_2C(OH)CF_2CH=CH_2$ in 52% yield following hydrolytic work-up. In a similar reaction, in which the reaction mixture was treated with trimethylchlorosilane instead of with water, the trimethylsilyl ether, $(C_2H_5)_2C(OSiMe_3)CF_2CH=CH_2$, was obtained in 75% yield. When benzaldehyde was the carbonyl compound used, this procedure gave a difluoroallylation product after Me_3SiCl work-up , $PhCH(OSiMe_3)CF_2CH=CH_2$, in only 13% yield. There was no evidence for the formation of the isomeric product, $PhCH(OSiMe_3)CH_2CH=CF_2$.

In its reactions with triorganochlorosilanes and 3-pentanone gem-difluoroallyllithium parallels the regioselectivity of gem-dichloroallyllithium.[2,3] In its reaction with benzaldehyde it differs from gem-dichloroallyllithium, which had been found to give exclusively $PhCH(OH)CH_2CH=CCl_2$. However, in view of the poor yield of product in the $Li(CF_2CHCH_2)/PhCHO$ reaction, we do not consider our result significant. A reaction with a much better material balance is required. However, this hint that there may be some differences between the regioselectivities of $Li(CF_2CHCH_2)$ and $Li(CCl_2CHCH_2)$ is of interest, but to pursue the matter further, a better route to gem-difluoroallyllithium will be needed.

The product of the reaction of gem-dichloroallyllithium and trimethylchlorosilane was $Me_3SiCCl_2CH=CH_2$. Our evidence, based on comparative reactions with trimethylchlorogermane and trimethyltin chloride, suggested strongly that this was the product of kinetic control. Accordingly, we suggest that $Me_3SiCF_2CH=CH_2$, which was produced in the $Li(CF_2CHCH_2)/Me_3SiCl$ reaction, also is the product of kinetic control. The 1,1-difluoroallylsilanes are of pot-

ential interest with respect to their chemical reactivity, but here also a better route to gem-difluoroallyllithium is needed before this potential can be explored.

Experimental

General Comments.

All reactions involving organolithium reagents were carried out in flame-dried glassware uder an atmosphere of dry nitrogen or argon, in rigorously dried solvents. The temperatures cited are the stem temperatures observed and are probably 5-10°C higher than the values reported. The pentane thermomemeters used were of the total immersion type and found to read -71°C (bulb immersion) vs. -77°C (total immersion) in a dry-ice/acetone bath. Since most of the procedures described involved only bulb immersion, the temperatures probably are somewhat high.

Infrared spectra were recorded using a Perkin Elmer Model 457A grating infrared spectrophotometer, proton NMR spectra using a Varian Associates T60 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in δ units, ppm downfield from internal tetramethylsilane. Internal standards used were tetramethylsilane, chloroform and dichloromethane. Gas-liquid chromatography (GLC) was used in product analysis, yield determinations and for isolation of pure product samples for analysis and spectroscopy.

Starting Materials.

Iodomethyltrimethyltin was prepared as described in a previous paper from these laboratories[14] and then was converted to the phosphonium salt, $[Ph_3PCH_2CH_2SnMe_3]^+I^-$, by reaction with $Ph_3P=CH_2$. Deprotonation of the phosphonium salt with lithium diisopropylamide gave a solution of $Ph_3P=CHCH_2SnMe_3$ in THF.[6] n-Butyllithium was purchased from Alfa Division, Ventron Corp. Chlorosilanes were obtained from Petrarch Systems, Inc.

Preparation of 3,3-Difluoroallyltrimethyltin.

A one-liter, three-necked, round-bottomed flask equipped with a

mechanical stirrer, an argon inlet tube and a no-air stopper
was flame-dried while being flushed with argon; the inert gas
stream was continued while the apparatus was allowed to cool. The
flask then was charged with 36 ml (ca. 0.26 mol) of diisopropyl-
amine and 200 ml of dry THF. This solution was cooled to 0°C while
0.23 mol of $\underline{n}$-butyllithium in 98 ml of hexane was added dropwise
from an addition funnel. The resulting mixture was stirred at room
temperature for 30 min. Another 400 ml was then added and the solu-
tion was cooled to 0°C again while 133.4 g of crude β-trimethyl-
stannylethyltriphenylphosphonium iodide (0.196 mole of $[Ph_3PCH_2CH_2-$
$SnMe_3]^+ I^-$ containing 0.036 mol of $[Ph_3PCH_3]^+ I^-$; cf. ref. 6) was
added by means of a solids addition funnel, slowly in small portions.
The solution immediately turned cranberry-red. Stirring was con-
tinued for 1.5 hr. A deep red-orange solution resulted. The mech-
anical stirrer was replaced with a magnetic stir-bar and while the
solution was stirred, the volatile components were trap-to-trap
distilled (50°C at 0.02 mm Hg) into a receiver cooled to -196°C.
Heating was continued for 14 hr. to ensure removal of volatiles.
The dark red solid residue was dissolved in 600 ml of diethyl ether
and the reaction flask was again fitted with a mechanical stirrer,
a gas inlet tube and a dry ice condenser. The ylide solution was
cooled to 0°C while 6.75 ml (liquid) (ca. 0.116 mol) of chlorodifluoro-
methane (Matheson) was condensed into the reaction mixture over a
period of 15 min. White solid precipitated immediately and the
color of the solution gradually changed to dark amber. The mixture
was stirred at room temperature for 4 hr. and then was filtered
(Schlenk apparatus) through a medium frit. The filtrate was trap-
to-trap distilled $\underline{in\ vacuo}$ into a receiver cooled with liquid nitro-
gen. The distillate was concentrated (Widmer column) at atmospheric

pressure under argon. Fractional distillation of the residue af-
forded 17.33 g (74%) of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$, bp 129-131°C at atmospheric
pressure, $n^{25}D$ 1.4465. (Found: C, 29.88; H, 5.07. $C_6H_{12}F_2Sn$
calcd.: C, 29.92; H, 5.02). IR (film), cm^{-1}: 3080w, 2980m, 2920m,
1736s, 1327s, 1230s, 1140s, 1050s, 885s, 830m, 775s (broad), 530s
and 510m. (The absorption at 1736 cm^{-1} is close to the 1730 cm^{-1}
C=C stretching frequency of $CH_2=CF_2$ [15]). ^1H NMR ($CDCl_3/CHCl_3$): δ
0.14 (s, $^2J(SnH)$ = 54Hz, 9H, Me_3Sn), 1.43 (d of t, $^3J(HH)$ = 9.2Hz,
$^4J(FH)$ = 3.5Hz, $^2J(SnH)$ = 57Hz, 2H, CH_2Sn) and 4.26 ppm (12 line pattern,
$^3J(HH)$ = 9.2Hz, $^3J(FH, \underline{trans})$ = 24.5Hz, $^3J(FH, \underline{cis})$ = 3.5Hz, 1H,
=CH).

Recrystallization from ethanol of the trap-to-trap distillation residue af-
forded 24 g (79%) of pure triphenylphosphine, mp 78-80°C.

The solid in the Schlenk filter was washed with diethyl
ether and dried at 0.02 mm Hg to give 69 g of impure $[Ph_3PCH_2CH_2-SnMe_3]^+ Cl^-$.

In another experiment in which the diisopropylamine was not
removed prior to the addition of chlorodifluoromethane the yield of
$Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ was only 53%.

Another reaction was carried out using phenyllithium as the de-
protonation agent. The apparatus described above was charged with
93.6 g of crude $[Ph_3PCH_2CH_2SnMe_3]^+ I^-$ (containing 143 mmol of this
salt and 25 mmol of methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide) and 400 ml of
diethyl ether. The mixture was cooled to 0°C and then 0.168 mol of
phenyllithium in 200 ml of diethyl ether was added dropwise with
stirring under argon. The resulting red-orange solution was stirred
at 0°C for 1 hr. Subsequently 5.8 ml (ca. 85 mmol) of $CHClF_2$ was
condensed into the reagent solution. A solid precipitated and a
dark amber solution was formed. The reaction mixture was stirred

at room temperature for 15 hr., filtered, trap-to-trap distilled
and the distillate was concentrated at atmospheric pressure under
argon. GLC analysis (10% DC-200 on Chromosorb W, temperature pro-
gram 70-180°C at 5° per min.) of the concentrated distillate
showed the presence of 32 mmol (45%) of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ and 29 mmol
(20%, based on the $[Ph_3PCH_2CH_2SnMe_3]^+ I^-$ used) of Me_3SnPh. Fract-
ional distillation gave 6.63 g (38%) of pure 3,3-difluoroallyltri-
methyltin, bp 128-130°C. A sample of phenyltrimethyltin was ob-
tained from the pot residue by preparative GLC; it was identified
by comparison of its IR and NMR spectra with those of an authentic
sample. The trap-to-trap distillation residue was recrystallized
from ethanol to give 15.1 g (69%) of pure triphenylphosphine, mp
77-79°C. The residue in the Schlenk filter yielded 44.6 g of im-
pure $[Ph_3PCH_2CH_2SnMe_3]^+ Cl^-$.

In Situ Generation of gem-Difluoroallyllithium in the Presence of
Chlorosilanes.

(a) Trimethylchlorosilane. A 250 ml, three-necked Morton (creased)
flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, a no-air stopper and a
Claisen adapter fitted with a pentane thermometer and an argon inlet
tube (the "standard apparatus") was flame-dried under argon and
charged with 25 ml of dry THF, 1.655 g (6.87 mmol) of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$
and 1.8 ml (ca. 13 mmol) of trimethylchlorosilane. The reaction
mixture was cooled to -95°C and then 7.68 mmol of n-butyllithium in
3.2 ml of hexane was added dropwise over a 45 min. period. A color-
less solution resulted. After the mixture had been stirred at -95°C
for another 45 min., it was allowed to warm to room temperature dur-
ing the course of 2 hr. and then was trap-to-trap distilled in vacuo
into a receiver cooled to -78°C. An aliquot of the distillate was
concentrated at atmospheric pressure and the residue was examined by

GLC (10% DC 200 on Chromosorb W, temperature program from 60-160°C
at 10° per min.). Three compounds were collected and identified:
n-butyltrimethylsilane and n-butyltrimethyltin, both known compounds
which were identified by comparison of their NMR and IR spectra
with those of authentic samples, and 1,1-difluoroallyltrimethyl-
silane, $Me_3SiCF_2CH=CH_2$, $n^{25}D$ 1.3861. (Found: C, 48.18; H, 8.28.
$C_6H_{12}F_2Si$ calcd.: C, 47.96; H, 8.05). IR (film), cm^{-1}: 3100w, 2965
m, 2900w, 1633w ($\nu_{C=C}$), 1414m, 1255s, 1150m, 1070s, 1035s, 986s,
945s, 855s, 762s, 715m, 705m and 635m. NMR (C_6D_6/C_6H_6): δ 0.14
(s, 9H, $SiMe_3$) and 4.91-6.34 ppm (complex m, 3H, $CH=CH_2$).

A GLC yield determination gave the following results:(in order
of elution on a DC-200 column): $Me_3SiCF_2CH=CH_2$, 4.38 mmol (64%);
n-$BuSiMe_3$, 1.02 mmol; $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$, 0.14 mmol (5%) and n-$BuSnMe_3$,
6.44 mmol (94%).

(b) <u>Phenyldimethylchlorosilane</u>. The standard apparatus was charged
with 1.412 g (5.912 mmol) of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$, 3.90 ml (ca. 23 mmol)
of $PhMe_2SiCl$ and 25 ml of dry THF. The reaction mixture was cooled
to -95°C and then 11.8 mmol of n-butyllithium in 4.75 ml of hexane
was added dropwise over a period of 1 hr. The reaction mixture was
allowed to warm slowly to room temperature over a 2 hr. period and
then was trap-to-trap distilled. The distillate was concentrated at
atmospheric pressure and the residue analyzed by GLC (10% DC-200
at 160°C). Phenyldimethyl-n-butylsilane and n-butyltrimethyltin
were present in addition to the desired product, 1,1-difluoroallyl-
dimethylphenylsilane, $PhMe_2SiCF_2CH=CH_2$, $n^{25}D$ 1.4861. (Found: C,
62.24; H, 6.62; $C_{11}H_{14}F_2Si$ calcd.: C, 62.22; H, 6.65). IR (film),
cm^{-1}: ν (C=C) 1635w. NMR (CCl_4/CH_2Cl_2): δ 0.43 (s, 6H, Me_2Si),
5.08-6.28 (complex m, 3H, $CH=CH_2$) and 7.23-7.63 ppm (broad s, 5H).
The following yields were determined by GLC: 5.64 mmol (95%) of

$\underline{n}$-BuSnMe$_3$; 4.46 mmol of PhMe$_2$SiCF$_2$CH=CH$_2$ (75% yield); 5.64 mmol of $\underline{n}$-BuSiMe$_2$Ph. No Me$_3$SnCH$_2$CH=CF$_2$ was present.

(c) <u>Tri-n-propylchlorosilane.</u>

Essentially the same procedure was used in the addition of 12.4 mmol of $\underline{n}$-butyllithium in hexane to 6.19 mmol of Me$_3$SnCH$_2$CH=CF$_2$ and 25 mmol of $\underline{n}$-Pr$_3$SiCl in 25 ml of THF at -95°C. A similar work-up gave a concentrate which contained (by GLC): ($\underline{n}$-C$_3$H$_7$)$_3$SiCF$_2$CH=CH$_2$, (5.32 mmol, 86%), n^{25}D 1.4317; tri-$\underline{n}$-propyl-$\underline{n}$-butylsilane (2.50 mmol) and $\underline{n}$-butyltrimethyltin.

1,1-Difluoroallyltri-$\underline{n}$-propylsilane was analyzed and character-ized spectroscopically. (Found: C, 61.80; H, 10.40. C$_{12}$H$_{24}$F$_2$Si calcd.: C, 61.49; H, 10.32). IR (film), cm^{-1}: ν(C=C) 1635w. NMR (CCl$_4$/CHCl$_3$): δ 0.51-1.74 (complex m, 21H, $\underline{n}$-Pr$_3$Si), and 5.14-6.34 ppm (complex m, 3H, CH=CH$_2$).

(d) <u>Dimethyldichlorosilane.</u>

A similar reaction in which 7.52 mmol of $\underline{n}$-butyllithium in hexane was added to a solution of 7.514 mmol of Me$_3$SnCH$_2$CH=CF$_2$ and 3.8 mmol of Me$_2$SiCl$_2$ in 30 ml of THF was not successful, giving as the only organosilicon product (14% yield) Me$_2$($\underline{n}$-C$_4$H$_9$)SiCF$_2$CH=CH$_2$, n^{25}D 1.4152. (Found: C, 56.16; H, 9.47. C$_9$H$_{18}$F$_2$Si calcd.: C, 56.20; H, 9.43). NMR (CCl$_4$/CHCl$_3$): δ 0.09 (s, 6H, Me$_2$Si), 0.46-1.54 (complex m, maxima at 0.90 and 1.25 ppm, 9H, C$_4$H$_9$) anf 5.12-5.91 (complex m, 3H, CH=CH$_2$). A recovery of 28% of Me$_3$SnCH$_2$CH=CF$_2$ was realized and $\underline{n}$BuSnMe$_3$ was obtained in 62% yield. Apparently Me$_2$SiCl$_2$ (in contrast to the R$_3$SiCl compounds) can compete with Me$_3$SnCH$_2$CH=CF$_2$ for $\underline{n}$-butyllithium.

<u>In Situ Generation of gem-Difluoroallyllithium in the Presence of Carbonyl Compounds.</u>

The standard apparatus was charged with 1.425 g (5.92 mmol) of

$Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$, 0.798 g (9.27 mmol) of 3-pentanone and 25 ml of THF and then was cooled to -95^oC. Subsequently 9.3 mmol of n-butyllithium in 3.9 ml of hexane was added dropwise under argon over a period of 10 min. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 10 min. at -95^oC and then was allowed to warm to -78^oC. At that temperature 2 ml (ca. 16 mmol) of trimethylchlorosilane was added. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over a period of 2 hr. Subsequently the solvents were removed at atmospheric pressure. Trap-to-trap distillation of the residue was followed by GLC analysis of the distillate (10% DC-200, temperature programmed 70-200°). The following were present: n-butyltrimethyltin (0.8 mmol, 14%), 3,3-difluoroallyltrimethyltin (4.95 mmol, 84% recovery), a minor product identified as $(C_2H_5)_2C(OSiMe_3)CF_2CH=CH_2$ on the basis of its GLC retention time (tentative identification; an authentic sample was available) (ca. 0.6 mmol, 10%) and $(C_2H_5)_2C-(OSiMe_3)C_4H_9$-n (7.7 mmol), $n^{25}D$ 1.4225.

Similar reactions with benzaldehyde and with pivaldehyde failed to give any products derived from gem-difluoroallyllithium.

Reaction of gem-Difluoroallyllithium with Carbonyl Compounds by the Method of Alternate, Incremental Additions.

The standard apparatus was charged with 1.481 g (6.15 mmol) of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ and 25 ml of THF. This solution was cooled to -95^oC (under argon) and then 1 mmol of n-butyllithium in 0.40 ml of hexane was added, with stirring, over a period of 15 sec. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 30 sec. and then 0.105 ml (ca. 1 mmol) of 3-pentanone was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at -95^oC for 3 min. Subsequently, the above method of addition of n-butyllithium followed by 3-pentanone was repeated identically at 3 min. intervals until 25 mmol of each reagent had been added. After

the final addition, the reaction mixture was stirred at $-95^{\circ}C$ for 1 hr. and then 5.0 ml (ca. 40 mmol) of trimethylchlorosilane was added. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over a period of 2 hr. and stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvents were distilled off at atmospheric pressure and the residue was trap-to-trap distilled in vacuo into a receiver at $-78^{\circ}C$. GLC analysis of the distillate (10% DC-200 on Chromosorb W at $120^{\circ}C$) showed the presence of the following: 5.91 mmol (96%) of n-butyl-trimethyltin; $(C_2H_5)_2C(OSiMe_3)C_4H_9$-n; 4.61 mmol (75%) of $(C_2H_5)_2C(OSiMe_3)CF_2CH=CH_2$, $n^{25}D$ 1.4140. (Found: C, 56.00; H, 9.37. $C_{11}H_{22}F_2OSi$ calcd.: C, 55.89; H, 9.38). IR (film), cm^{-1}: ν (C=C) 1648w. NMR (CCl_4/$CHCl_3$): δ 0.11 (s, 9H, Me_3Si), 0.87 (broad t, J = 7Hz, 6H, CH_3 of Et), 1.62 (broad q, J = 7Hz, 4H, CH_2 of Et) and 5.27-6.26 ppm (complex m, 3H, $CH=CH_2$).

This reaction was repeated at $-92^{\circ}C$ by treating 1.663 g (6.9 mmol) of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ in 25 ml of THF with 22 mmol each of n-butyllithium in hexane and 3-pentanone in THF (as above, 2.2 mmol increments of each). After the final addition, the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min. at $-92^{\circ}C$ and then 10 ml of 1N HCl was added. Subsequently, 50 ml of diethyl ether was addded and the resulting mixture was treated with three 75 ml portions of water. The organic phase was dried ($MgSO_4$), concentrated and analyzed by GLC (10% Carbowax on 80-100 mesh Chromosorb W, $70-150^{\circ}$ temperature program). The following were present: $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CF_2$ (2.70 mmol, 39% recovery), n-$C_4H_9SnMe_3$ (4.07 mmol, 59%), $(C_2H_5)_2$(n-C_4H_9)COH and $(C_2H_5)_2C(OH)$-$CF_2CH=CH_2$ (2.11 mmol, 52%, based on n-$C_4H_9SnMe_3$), $n^{25}D$ 1.4160. The latter was characterized. (Found: C, 58.77; H, 8.74. $C_8H_{14}F_2O$ calcd.: C, 58.52; H, 8.59). IR (film), cm^{-1}: ν (C=C) 1645w. NMR (CCl_4/$CHCl_3$): δ 0.91 (t,J=7.5Hz, 6H, CH_3 of Et), 1.59 (q, J =

7.5 Hz, 4H, CH$_2$ of Et), 1.67 (s, 1H, OH), and 5.72-6.49 ppm (complex m, 3H, CH=CH$_2$).

In another experiment a solution of 1.439 g (5.98 mmol) of Me$_3$SnCH$_2$CH=CF$_2$ in 25 ml of THF at -95°C was treated with 21 mmol each of $\underline{n}$-butyllithium and benzaldehyde by the method of alternate, incremental additions. (The reaction mixture, which was light green during the course of the addition, unaccountably turned purple after 21 mmol of each reactant had been added.) The mixture then was treated with 3.5 ml (ca. 28 mmol) of trimethylchlorosilane, allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The solvents were distilled off at atmospheric pressure and the residue was trap-to-trap distilled at 0.01 mm Hg into a receiver at -78°C. GLC analysis of the distillate (10% DC-200 at 100° and 170°) showed the following to be present: Me$_3$SnCH$_2$CH=CF$_2$ (0.6 mmol, 10% recovery), $\underline{n}$-C$_4$H$_9$SnMe$_3$ (5.31 mmol, 89%), PhCH(OSiMe$_3$)C$_4$H$_9$-$\underline{n}$, and PhCH(OSiMe$_3$)-CF$_2$CH=CH$_2$ (0.79 mmol, 13%). A sample of the latter, n^{25}D 1.4820, was collected by preparative GLC. (Found: C, 60.83; H, 7.10. C$_{13}$-H$_{18}$F$_2$OSi calcd.: C, 60.90; H, 7.08). IR (CCl$_4$), cm^{-1}: ν(C=C) 1645w. NMR (CCl$_4$/CH$_2$Cl$_2$): δ 0.14 (s, 9H, Me$_3$Si), 4.79 (t, ^{3}J(HF) = 8.5 Hz, 1H, PhCH), 5.17-6.29 (complex m, 3H, CH=CH$_2$) and 7.29 ppm (broad s, 5H).

REFERENCES

1. D. Seyferth and K. R. Wursthorn, J. Organometal. Chem., 137 (1977) C17.

2. D. Seyferth, G. J. Murphy and B. Mauzé, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 99 (1977) 5317.

3. D. Seyferth, G. J. Murphy and R. A. Woodruff, J. Organometal. Chem., 141 (1977) 71.

4. H. Taguchi, H. Yamamoto and H. Nozaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 50 (1977) 1588.

5. D. Seyferth, K. R. Wursthorn, T. F. O. Lim and D. J. Sepelak, J. Organometal. Chem., in press.

6. D. Seyferth, K. R. Wursthorn and R. E. Mammarella, J. Organometal. Chem., in press.

7. D. Seyferth and M. A. Weiner, J. Org. Chem., 24 (1959) 1359.

8. D. Seyferth and T. F. Jula, J. Organometal. Chem., 66 (1974) 195.

9. D. Seyferth and R. E. Mammarella, J. Organometal. Chem., in press.

10. G. A. Wheaton and D. J. Burton, Tetrahedron Lett. (1976) 895.

11. R. M. G. Roberts, J. Organometal. Chem., 18 (1969) 307.

12. A. E. Bey and D. R. Weyenberg, J. Org. Chem., 31 (1966) 2036.

13. D. Seyferth, K. R. Wursthorn, T. F. O. Lim and D. J. Sepelak, report in preparation.

14. D. Seyferth, S. B. Andrews and R. L. Lambert, Jr., J. Organometal. Chem., 37 (1972) 69.

15. P. Torkington and H.W. Thompson, Trans. Faraday Soc., 41 (1945) 236.

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 472	2	Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
ONR Branch Office 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 Attn: Dr. George Sandoz	1	U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 Attn: CRD-AA-IP	1
ONR Branch Office 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Attn: Scientific Dept.	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 92152 Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney	1
ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106 Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus	1	Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster Chemistry Division	1
ONR Area Office One Hallidie Plaza, Suite 601 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93401 Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko	1
ONR Branch Office Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles	1	Professor K. E. Woehler Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 Attn: Code 6100	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R,E&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360 Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)	1	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Annapolis, Maryland 21401 Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian Applied Chemistry Division	1
		Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 91232 Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division	1

Encl 1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 053

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Dr. R. N. Grimes University of Virginia Department of Chemistry Charlottsville, Virginia 22901	1	Dr. M. H. Chisholm Department of Chemistry Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47401	1
Dr. M. Tsutsui Texas A&M University Department of Chemistry College Station, Texas 77843	1	Dr. B. Foxman Brandeis University Department of Chemistry Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1
Dr. M. F. Hawthorne University of California Department of Chemistry Los Angeles, California 90024	1	Dr. T. Marks Northwestern University Department of Chemistry Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. D. B. Brown University of Vermont Department of Chemistry Burlington, Vermont 05401	1	Dr. G. Geoffrey Pennsylvania State University Department of Chemistry University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Dr. W. B. Fox Naval Research Laboratory Chemistry Division Code 6130 Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Dr. J. Zuckerman University of Oklahoma Department of Chemistry Norman, Oklahoma 73019	1
Dr. J. Adcock University of Tennessee Department of Chemistry Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	1	Professor O. T. Beachley Department of Chemistry State University of New York Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. A. Cowley University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712	1	Professor P. S. Skell Department of Chemistry The Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Dr. W. Hatfield University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1	Professor K. M. Nicholas Department of Chemistry Boston College Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02167	1

TOTAL 47