



UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) EAD INSTRUCTION REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE EFORE COMPLETING F PTENT'S CATALOG NUMBI REPORT NUMBER 2 601 oti 0 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD TI E and Subtitle) ... Effect of Tin-Plating Upon Adhesive Bonding of Submission of poper. Feb - Septo 78 Polycarboxylate Cements. 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 100 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+) L. /Lorton, B. K. Moore, J. W. Morse and R.' W. Phillips, 103 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS US Army Institute of Dental Research -Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, DC 20012 ~ 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Medical Research & Development Command 22 May 979 HQDA-IS Fort Detrick, Maryland 21701 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) 4 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) UPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) n-plating, cement adhesion, polycarboxylate cements 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) It has been suggested that tin-plated gold surfaces would more easily be adhered to by polycarboxylate cement. Controlled tin plating studies were carried out to test this hypothesis. It was found that scrupulous cleaning of the gold surface produced adhesive bonding that equalled bond strength to tin plated surface. Cement failures were cohesive rather than adhesive suggesting that bond strengths approach cohesive strength of cement. EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE DD 1 JAN 73 1473 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

Accession For NTIS GRA&I DDC TAB Unannounced Justification\_ By\_ Distribution/ Arrilability Codes Avail and/or Mab. special P

## EFFECT OF TIN-PLATING UPON ADHESIVE BONDING OF POLYCARBOXYLATE CEMENTS

## L. Lorton, B. K. Moore, J. W. Morse, R. W. Phillips

U. S. Army Institute of Dental Research and Indiana University School of Dentistry





Although polycarboxylate cements have the ability to produce adhesive bonding to enamel, and to a lesser extent to dentin, the bonding to the gold casting is at best mechanical in nature. Furthermore, if the surface of the casting is not cleaned, e.g. by an airbrasive, after pickling, then even that mechanical retention of the cement to the casting is not attained (A. B. Ady and C. W. Fairhurst, *J Prostht Dent* 29:217, 1973). Thus, in order to realize the adhesive potential of this cement system in terms of total retention of the clinical casting, adhesive bonding at the cement-metal interface would be desirable. It has been suggested that this might be attained by tin-plating the cavity side of the casting (personal communication - J. W. McLean). The purpose of this investigation was to determine whether tin-plating of a gold alloy would improve the bond strength of a polycarboxylate cement.

The bond strength was determined in the manner described by Phillips and Swartz (L. M. Swartz; J. F. Johnston; and R. W. Phillips, *J Am Dent Assoc* 50:172, 1955) and the cleansing of the castings before plating followed the recommendation of McLean (J. W. McLean; E. E. Jeansonne; H. Bruggers; and D. B. Lynn, *J Prostht Dent* 40:273, 1978). The cleaning regimen prior to plating was: 1. sandblasting (50 u Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>); 2. 10 minutes in warm NaOH in ultrasonic bath (10% solution); 3. rinse in distilled H<sub>2</sub>O; 4. rinse in 10% citric acid; 5. store in distilled water until plated.

Flat specimens (.39cm<sup>2</sup>) were cast from a traditional crown and bridge gold alloy.<sup>\*</sup> Eleven pairs served as the control, the surface being mechanically cleaned following pickling by sandblasting. The other eleven

\*J. M. Ney Co., G-3, Type IV, Bloomfield, Connecticut

pairs were tin-plated in an acid sulfate solution. Before plating the specimens were oxidized in an oven to provide an oxide surface which would be more receptive to bonding. The plating procedure (J. W. McLean; E. E. Jeansonne; H. Bruggers; and D. B. Lynn, *J Prostht Dent* 40:273, 1978) was: 1. 1 minute @ 50 ma (130 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) in acid sulfate bath; 2. rinsed in distilled water; 3. heat treated at 500<sup>0</sup> C for 2 minutes in air.

The specimens were then cemented face to face, with a representative polycarboxylate cement, \*\* mixed according to the manufacturer's directions, stored in water at 37° C for 30 days at which time the bond strength was determined by application of a tensile stress at .03 in/min. crosshead speed. The mode of failure was also noted as to whether it was cohesive or adhesive.

The results (Table I) indicate that no significant difference in bond strength existed between the controls and the plated specimens. Likewise the pattern of fracture, cohesive or adhesive, was comparable. The data also suggests that since the trend in both series was to cohesive or cohesive/adhesive failures then the bond strength of the cement to the gold approaches the strength of the cement. Although tin-plating of the casting did not enhance the bond strength of the carboxylate cement, it is a possible substitute for mechanical cleansing.

\*\*Durelon, Premier Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

2

## MILITARY DISCLAIMER

Commercial materials and equipment are identified in this report to specify the investigative procedure. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement, or that the materials and equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose. Furthermore, the opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and are not to be construed as those of the Army Medical Department.

## TABLE I

t = 2.58 - not significant at p = .95

. . .