AD-A070	451 FIED	GENER	AL RESE N AND D B P B CR-239	ARCH CO EVELOPM MCWHITE	ORP MC	LEAN VA ELIM-I MIDLAM,	OPERAT V/COMPL A K BC	IONS ALLIP-G3.	NALYSIS	GROUP 03-77-0	F/G 5 -0366 NL	/9	
	0F 2										A description of the second se		
		9e0 					A THE AND A CONTRACT OF A						
													$\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$
A Description of the second se							A State of the second s	Province Pro	Parities and a second s	- Martine and Antonio and Anto	- Harverate - Antonio - Antonio - Antonio		
		TERMANNA TERMANNNA TERMANNA TERMANNA TERMANNA TERMANNA TERMANNA TERMANNNNA TERM											
				$\label{eq:product} \begin{split} & (((((((((((((((((($	$\label{eq:product} \begin{split} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{$		<section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header>		$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $		<text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>		$\label{eq:alpha} \begin{split} & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i-1)^{i-1} \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}$
					Managa Mana	And There Pointers and the second sec			 Banding Kanada Banding Kanada<td>Station No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td>	Station No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No.			

Final Report

Design and Development

of ELIM-IV/COMPLIP-G3

CR-239 LEVEL

By:

P.B. McWhite K.D. Midlam A.K. Bocast J.W. Allen S.G. Ross P.J. Neilsen G.O. Swanson W.A. Adams H. Weigel DDC JUN 27 1979 JUN 27 1979 C

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

Contract No. MDA 903-77-C-0366

31 December 1978

DOC FILE COPY

ADAOZO481

Ι

I

I

OPERATIONS ANALYSIS GROUP



A SUBSIDIARY OF FLOW GENERAL INC. 7655 Old Springhouse Road, McLean, Virginia 22102

Prepared For:

Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (MRA&L) The Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20310

9 06 26 005

CR-239

Design and Development of ELIM-IV/COMPLIP-G3

Final Report

By:

P.B. McWhite K.D. Midlam A.K. Bocast J.W. Allen S.G. Ross P.J. Neilsen G.O. Swanson W.A. Adams H. Weigel

Contract No. MDA 903-77-C-0366

31 December 1978

OPERATIONS ANALYSIS GROUP



A SUBSIDIARY OF FLOW GENERAL INC. 7655 Old Springhouse Road, McLean, Virginia 22102

Prepared For:

Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (MRA&L) The Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20310

1

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE B 1. BEFORT JUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECI CR-239	
CR-239 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECI	READ INSTRUCTIONS EFORE COMPLETING FORM
TITLE (and Sublitio)	PIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	COVERED
(9) Fir	al rept.
Design and Development of ELIM-IV/	oct 77-30 Sept 78
COMPLIP-G3	OPMING ORG_BERGET HUMEER
THORN IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF	RACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)
P. B./McWhite, K. D. /Midlam, A. K. /Bocast	
J. W. /Allen, S. G./Ross, T. D. Nethers MDA9	3-77-C-0366 min
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	GRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
General Research Corporation	A & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
7655 Old Springhouse Road	
McLean, Virginia 22101	1
Office of the Ass't Sec'y of the Army 11 31 Dece	78
(MRA&L), The Pentagon	BER OF PAGES
Washington, D. C. 116	IBITY CLASS (of this report)
(12) 120	
12 Lapp Uncl	assified
15a. DE SCI	CLASSIFICATION DOWN GRADING
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	ON OTATION
	ON STATEMENT A
Unlimited Approved	for public release;
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
8. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	
Army manpower. Strength forecasting. linear progr	amming.
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progranulisted attrition, gains forecasting	amming,
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progr enlisted attrition, gains forecasting	amming,
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progr enlisted attrition, gains forecasting	amming,
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear program identify by block number) Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear program is a strength attrition, gains forecasting	mamming,
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progra enlisted attrition, gains forecasting ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) This study provided several enhancements and exter COMPLIP manpower strength forecasting system. Pr	mamming, ensions to the ELIM- imary enhancements
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progr enlisted attrition, gains forecasting ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) This study provided several enhancements and exter COMPLIP manpower strength forecasting system. Pr included expanding the maximum number of character	ensions to the ELIM- imary enhancements pristics groups
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progra enlisted attrition, gains forecasting ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This study provided several enhancements and exter COMPLIP manpower strength forecasting system. Pr included expanding the maximum number of character from 4 to 40 and the development of a linear program.	ensions to the ELIM- imary enhancements cristics groups gramming methodology of service distri-
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progranisted attrition, gains forecasting ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This study provided several enhancements and exter COMPLIP manpower strength forecasting system. Pr included expanding the maximum number of character from 4 to 40 and the development of a linear program for Career Force Optimization over a fixed years bution objective.	ensions to the ELIM- many enhancements ristics groups ramming methodology of service distri-
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progranisted attrition, gains forecasting ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This study provided several enhancements and exter COMPLIP manpower strength forecasting system. Pr included expanding the maximum number of character from 4 to 40 and the development of a linear program for Career Force Optimization over a fixed years bution objective.	ensions to the ELIM- imary enhancements cristics groups gramming methodology of service distri-
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progranisted attrition, gains forecasting ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) This study provided several enhancements and exter COMPLIP manpower strength forecasting system. Pr included expanding the maximum number of character from 4 to 40 and the development of a linear program for Career Force Optimization over a fixed years bution objective.	manning, maions to the ELIM- imary enhancements pristics groups gramming methodology of service distri-
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progranisted attrition, gains forecasting Assympton attrition, gains forecasting Assympton attrition, gains forecasting Assympton attrition and reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This study provided several enhancements and exter COMPLIP manpower strength forecasting system. Princluded expanding the maximum number of character from 4 to 40 and the development of a linear program for Career Force Optimization over a fixed years bution objective. D 1 JAN 72 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE	manning, mains to the ELIM- imary enhancements cristics groups gramming methodology of service distri-
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progranisted attrition, gains forecasting AABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) AABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This study provided several enhancements and exter COMPLIP manpower strength forecasting system. Princluded expanding the maximum number of character from 4 to 40 and the development of a linear program for Career Force Optimization over a fixed years bution objective. D roam 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE SECURITY CLASSIFICAT	ensions to the ELIM- many enhancements ristics groups ramming methodology of service distri-
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progrenlisted attrition, gains forecasting ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This study provided several enhancements and exter COMPLIP manpower strength forecasting system. Pr included expanding the maximum number of character from 4 to 40 and the development of a linear program for Career Force Optimization over a fixed years bution objective. D rooms 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE SECURITY CLASSIFICAT	ensions to the ELIM- imary enhancements cristics groups gramming methodology of service distri-
Army manpower, strength forecasting, linear progranisted attrition, gains forecasting ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) This study provided several enhancements and exter COMPLIP manpower strength forecasting system. Princluded expanding the maximum number of character from 4 to 40 and the development of a linear program for Career Force Optimization over a fixed years bution objective. D room 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE SECURITY CLASSIFICAT	ensions to the ELIM- imary enhancements cristics groups gramming methodology of service distri-

[]

Q

Û

[]

Π



CONTENTS

Π

-

and the second s

[]

Π

Π

[

No.

Conservation of the local division of the lo

Province of

[]

[]

	PAG	E
OBJECTIVES OF THE TASKS		1
Task 1 - Second-Timer	Aging	1
Task 2 - C-Group Expans	sion	1
Task 3 - User-Defined (Quality Classes	2
Task 4 - Non-Prior Army	y Service Gains	2
Task 5 - Career Force (Optimization	2
Task 6 - First-Term Tra	acking	3
Task 7 - First-Term to	Careerist Transitions	3
Task 8 - Loss Rate Proj	jection Methods	3
Task 9 - Loss Factor M	ovement	4
Task 10 - Qualitative 1	Data Processor	4
Task 11 - Key Word Prod	cessor	5
Task 12 - Cohort Targe	ting Routine	5
Task 13 - NPS Gains For	recasting	5
Task 14 - Matrix Genera	ator Enhancements	6
Task 15 - Support and	Training	7
IMPACT OF THE TASKS ON THE ST	YSTEM	7
Projection of Population	ons	8
Projection of Rates	= 10	0
Modifications to Other	Modules 1	1
Modifications Affecting	g COMPLIP 11	2

CONTENTS

8

Π

Π

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

Π

T

The second

I

and the second

[]

APPENDIX		PAGE
A	The ELIM-IV Qualitative Data Base	A-1
В	Career Force Optimization (CFO)	B-1
с	User Modifications Of Loss Factor Projections By Oper-	
	ations On Loss Data Aggregated By Accession Cohort	C-1
D	Structure Spaces As Variables	D-1
E	Matrix Generator Enhancements	E-1
F	An Overview Of Currently Available Statistical Fore-	
	casting Models	F-1
G	Smoothing Constant Control By Month Of History	G-1
H	Revised Equations In The Matrix Generator For The	
	Expanded C-Groups	H-1
I	Modifications To The Non-Prior Service Gains (NPSG)	
	Module	I-1

Acces	sion For	/
NTIS	GRA&I	N
DDC T	AB	
Unann	ounced	
Justi	fication_	
By		
Digto	ibution/	
	i cut son	
Avei	ability	Codes
	Availand	l/or
Dist	specia	1
~/		
IX		

INTRODUCTION

Under contract MDA903-77-C-0366, General Research Corporation (GRC) has accomplished a series of tasks to enhance and extend the capabilities of the ELIM-COMPLIP manpower programming system. The resulting enhanced system has been designated as ELIM-IV/COMPLIP-G3.

This report reviews the objectives of this study and the technical foundations of the efforts undertaken by GRC to accomplish the tasks required to satisfy these objectives.

OBJECTIVES OF THE TASKS

Task 1 - Second-Timer Aging

Expand the Inventory Projection Module to include a two-dimensional array for the First-Term Second Timers so that this population is segmented by months to ETS and months of service. Modify the Inventory Projection Module so that loss rates which are generated by the system are properly applied to these two-dimensional arrays. Modify the Data Processor and Factor Development Modules so that loss rates applicable to elements of these two-dimensional population arrays are properly generated, similar to those currently generated for the remaining population arrays.

Task 2 - C-Group Expansion

Expand the current number of characteristic groups for non-prior service (NPS) gain projections from 4 to a possible maximum of 40. The increased number of characteristic groups would allow the user to group on enlistment terms in addition to groupings on sex, education, and mental category. The period for which loss data relevant to these characteristics are developed and retained is to be expanded from 21 to 55 months. Each of the characteristic groups is to include the following loss categories: Expeditious Discharge, Trainee Discharge, Other Adverse, Physical Disqualification, Research, Other, Dropped from Military Control, Immediate Reenlistment, ETS, and Extension. Information

on marital status, number of dependents, and other variables to be selected by the user will be stored on the new data base for retrieval purposes. The user will also be provided the capability to vary the number of characteristic groups used in a forecast.

Task 3 - User-Defined Quality Classes

Provide program specifications to USAMSSA which would allow a simplified user selection of the data from the large non-prior service cohort file when the large numeric data base is being developed. USAMSSA will implement the specifications and bring the programs on line. The result will be the capability to create the large numeric data base from scratch by creating an all-months version of file MT63A for input into Program QP8.

Task 4 - Non-Prior Army Service Gains

Provide the capability to automatically pass Non-Prior Army Service Gains data via throughput files to the Report Generator Module (RGM) and allow the user to directly input this gain category projection into the Inventory Projection Module (IPM).

Task 5 - Career Force Optimization

Develop the capability to optimize the various types of enlisted gains to the career population category in order to achieve and maintain a designated career strength. In addition, develop the capability to optimize simultaneously the career strength by years of service in order to achieve a user-specified years-of-service profile. This optimization should take place within the linear programming portion of the ELIM-COMPLIP model and allow a wide range of analyst influence. During optimization the appropriate internally generated loss rates must be applied to the various population elements. The years-of-service array should be broken out individually (by <u>each</u> year) for at least the first 20 years of service.

In order to optimize the YOS distribution an objective function will be added to COMPLIP which minimizes the weighted sum of the deviations of the actual from the planned career force strength, by YOS,

subject to user-specified constraints on total strength, the supply of NPS enlistees, PS enlistees by YOS, and the first-term reenlistment gains by years of service.

When the user selects the career force optimization mode, COMPLIP will determine the first-term reenlistments which minimize the above objective function. Then, new reenlistment factors will be computed from the optimal reenlistment gains. A second pass through the IPM will apportion the optimum reenlistment gains by C-group and compute careerist losses.

Task 6 - First-Term Tracking

Develop the capability to track and observe all enlisted personnel, including extendees, through their initial enlistment until they reenlist or separate. This is to provide a more accurate accounting of First and Career Reenlistments. In the existing ELIM-COMPLIP system, when First Termers with enlistments of 4 to 6 years reenlist after 36 months of service they are counted as Career Reenlistments as opposed to First Reenlistments.

Task 7 - First Term to Careerist Transitions

Develop the capability to display by month and year the dynamic flow of personnel as they age from the First Term to the Career population category. The objective of this and of Task 6 is to provide the analyst with the information needed to analyze, project, and control the career strength.

Task 8 - Loss Rate Projection Methods

Develop the capability to use where applicable a least squares technique for fitting an exponential equation to historical data and then use that equation for loss projection. The Factor Development module should be modified and provided with extensive but simple-toapply user controls on the least squares coefficients.

In addition, other statistical techniques as well as those used in the NPS Gains Module are to be examined for possible inclusion in

ELIM. The analysis should focus on developing seasonality constraints for various types of losses and specifically for non-disability retirement.

Currently, the loss rates are projected in FAC by direct extension of the last point in an exponentially smoothed history of loss rates. Extensive capabilities are provided for user modifications of these loss rates. In this task, GRC is to explore alternative methods of automatic generation of loss rate projections. Of special interest are least squares fits of exponential forms to the historical data, and Bayesian methods of adaptive forecasting. Methods other than the exponential least squares technique, found to be useful alternatives to the current method, will be recommended for future incorporation into the FDM as additional options under user control.

Task 9 - Loss Factor Movement

Modify the Factor Development Module to permit the movement of loss factors between categories of enlisted personnel. This capability will allow the analyst to select existing factors within the loss matrix that apply to one category of enlisted personnel and easily move them to another portion of the matrix to serve as new loss factors for a new or existing personnel category.

Task 10 - Qualitative Data Processor

Develop a qualitative data processor (QDP) necessary to implement Task 2, C-Group expansion, in the ELIM-COMPLIP system. Additional data items on an individual's record will be maintained and updated through use of the Enlisted Master File for management information purposes and future characteristic group breakouts. The data will reside on a large tracking cohort file beginning with data from January 1972. New data elements will include marriage/dependents, changes in marriage/ dependents, current MOS, and enlistment expiration time. The QDP will be developed to simplify production requirements and minimize and simplify user controls.

Task 11 - Key Word Processor

Develop a key word processor to create the 40 characteristic groups for input to the ELIM-COMPLIP system from the cohort file developed in Task 10. It should be based upon logical selection criteria using an English language type command structure to simplify user control and TSO operations.

Task 12 - Cohort Targeting Routine

Examine the feasibility and acceptability of a gains cohort loss analysis and provide ELIM with the capability of tracing loss rates for yearly cohorts and targeting for their loss projections. The result of this type of analysis should be more accurate loss projections and the ability to distinguish yearly gain cohorts and their related losses from one another. The user should have the capability to place constraints on total losses for any given yearly cohort group. Develop graphs of the loss patterns of the individual yearly cohorts as well as summary graphs.

In this task, GRC is to develop a system to permit the user to modify projected loss rates for first timers by accession cohort. The system is to operate independently of the ELIM-COMPLIP production flow. It will accept as input the standard loss rate projections by C-group by month of service; construct accession cohort loss curves by month of service; accept user modifications to those curves; and, when ordered, revise the standard projected loss rates in accordance with the results of the users' modifications of the cohort loss curves. The system will operate either interactively or in a batch mode.

Task 13 - NPS Gains Forecasting

Modify the existing NPS Gains Module to provide the data necessary to use the expanded number of characteristic groups provided by Task 2. This requires the capability to provide NPS gair forecasts for the expanded number of characteristic groups as well as projections of seasonality patterns and availability constraints of supply-limited groups.

The NPS Gains Module was created as part of the ELIM-III/COMPLIP-G2 development effort. It provides COMPLIP with one-year-ahead monthly forecasts of accessions by C-group using a historical data base derived from the monthly transaction tapes and a modified least squares time-series methodology with nonlinear deseasonalizing. It is capable of analyzing, graphing, and projecting gains by month for any of the 150 accession groups in the Large Numeric Data Base. Since only four C-groups can be handled in ELIM-III/COMPLIP-G2, the NPS Gains Module always requires that the groups analyzed and projected be aggregated up to the maximum of four C-groups defined in the Small Numeric Data Base.

With the expansion of the C-groups and the new data base planned for current development, changes will be required in the NPS Gains Module. For the most part these changes will be only those needed because of the C-group and data base changes. A few small enhancements will, however, also be accomplished. The one-year-ahead restriction will be relaxed so that projections can cover the full COMPLIP projection timeframe. Projected gains by C-group will be available to the IPM as well as to COMPLIP. One or more new subroutines will be provided to permit user modifications of the projections similar to those available for modifying loss rate projections.

Task 14 - Matrix Generator Enhancements

Develop the capability within the matrix generator to express linkages between the various characteristic groups as ratios or percentages of each other (e.g., Mental-Category-IV High-School Diploma-Graduate equals 10% of all High-School-Diploma-Graduate Accessions during a particular projection period). Also develop the capability to equate one of the characteristic groups to WACs. Accommodate the special constraints of the budget year by developing different capabilities for the budget year and the remaining years.

The existing ELIM-COMPLIP system is to be modified by GRC to provide the user with the capability within the matrix generator to specify

the accession level of first-term enlistments of one characteristic group as a fraction of the accession level of another characteristic group and with the capability to equate one of the characteristic groups to WACs with user-supplied accession levels.

Task 15 - Support and Training

Provide assistance in adapting all related computer programs to the designated government computer and assist in training users.

IMPACT OF THE TASKS ON THE SYSTEM

Many of these tasks address needs of particular modules of the system and have little, if any, impact on the other parts of the system. Technical discussions of the accomplishments of such tasks are provided in separate appendixes to this report. On the other hand, some tasks required significant modifications to most, if not all, parts of the system. These tasks include:

- Task 1: Second-Timer Aging
- Task 2: C-Group Expansion
- Task 6: First-Term Tracking
- Task 7: First-Term to Careerist Transitions

The changes in system design and operation required to accomplish these tasks are discussed in the following.

The changes in the inventory projection methodology and data arrays described here enable ELIM-IV to satisfy the requirements of several of the tasks described above. All personnel, including extendees, are tracked through their entire initial enlistments until they either reenlist or separate. The number of C-groups is expanded so that term of service can be used as a classification characteristic. Provisions have been made for operating on up to 40 C-groups. Personnel are projected by C-groups through the first 55 months of service.

The inventory projection procedures can be divided into those used for projecting populations--whose strength levels are not determined by COMPLIP, and those used for projecting rates--for segments of the inventory whose strength levels are determined by COMPLIP.

Projection of Populations

To handle the projection of many more C-groups for many more months, the FTI array has been expanded and the Second-Timer (STI) and careerist arrays have been redefined. The ELIM-II/III array used for 4 C-groups and 21 months of service is replaced. The three area matrices (CONUS, Short-Tour, and Long-Tour) and the AUS matrix are unchanged.

Changes to FTI Array

and and and

The most notable change is in the C-group arrays. These arrays in ELIM-II/III are two-dimensional, by C-group (CG = 1,2,3,4) and month of service (m = 1,2..20,21). A third dimension has been added to this array to accommodate the term of enlistment. The summary numbers across C-groups are to be retained in the non-qualitative First-Timer (FTI) arrays. These are not merely the summation of the numbers in the C-groups but are rather the total counts used to normalize Cgroup values.¹ That is, we are not merely spreading the data in the current array over an additional dimension. Figure 1 illustrates this concept.

To minimize the increase in the size of the IPM, the maximum number of C-groups for each term of enlistment is limited to sixteen each for terms 3 and 4, and four each for terms 2, 5, and 6 (subject, in total, to the 40 group overall limitation). Over the short term, it is planned that a common set of eight C-groups will be defined for terms 3 and 4, and that terms 2, 5, and 6 would have one C-group each.

¹The totals in the FTI array do not equal the sums of the C-groups, because some observations are dropped during creation of the C-group array.



CG = Characteristic Group; h = month of service; t = months to ETS; FT = First Term; RA = Regular Army; FTI = First Timers

Figure 1. Relationships Between C-Group and FTI Arrays

Changes to STI Array

During historical tracking and inventory projection, populations remain in the FTI and C-group arrays until they either extend, reenlist, separate, or, in the case of C-group arrays, have served 55 months. The STI array is redefined as an extendee-only array. It holds all extendees until they either reenlist or separate or have served 55 months. Administrative gains, reservists who enter active duty, prior service gains who have not completed a first reenlistment, and other miscellaneous gains are added to the revised "Reenlisted" array by year of service. Enlistees in the STI array are classified by year of service and months to ETS.

Changes to Careerist Array

The present careerist array has been redefined as a reenlisted array. Any first-timer or extendee who reenlists will move to the reenlisted array. Since reenlistment can occur before the 36th month of service, the reenlisted array has been expanded to include people with fewer than three years of service. The array has been expanded to present data by individual years of service for non-retirement eligible personnel; that is, the length of service dimension is increased from two classes (NRE, RE) to as many as 21 classes (1,2,...20, 21+). The time-to-ETS dimension will not be changed. The reenlisted array is intended to contain every person who is not either a First-Timer or a First-Timer on an extension.

Projection of Rates

The IPM/QIPM applies loss rates to retention rates as well as to populations. Retention rates are used to calculate NPS gains in COMPLIP. When people are projected to extend or reenlist, their numbers can be added to the extendee or reenlisted array. However, when extensions and reenlistments occur which are represented by retention rates (with actual levels determined by COMPLIP) they must be tracked in a separate array, called "extensions and reenlistments of retention rates." The portion of the array for 3 and 4 year initial terms of enlistment is represented in Figure 2. Unlike the numbers representing people, retention rates cannot be added to the reenlisted array.

The array in Figure 2 is large: there will be a maximum of 40 C-groups and 7 years for each t. In addition, at least 89 classes in the month-of-accession dimension will be required. As the projection proceeds past month $\pi(2)$, the first month for which COMPLIP determines FT RA accessions, the array will begin to fill with retention rates, $r_{i,j}$, for the C-groups/terms j = 1, ... The non-zero-filled rows will run from $\pi(2)$ to i, the current projection month. In its current implementation, this will be a 16 by 7 by 89 array.

T											
					1/			·			
			, ^e	BIS C	/						
			1 est.	1,4	2,3	2,4	CG,h		8,3	8,4	
	÷	1	0	0	0	0 •			0	0	
	ssion (π (2)-1 π (2)	0 T.	0 F	0 F	0 F			0	0	
	of Acce	π (2)+1	r _{2,1}	1,2 ¹ 2,2	1,3 ¹ 2,3	1,4 ^r 2,4			^r 2,15	r _{2,16}	
	Month	1	:	:	•	:			:	:	
		1+1	0	0 :	:	0 :			0 :	0	

Figure 2. Extension Retention Rate Array

Modifications to Other Modules

1

I

-

Concession of

1

The second second

[]

The routines in DPM/QDPM which establish historical inventories for arrays not classified by C-group--AUS, FTI, STI, CAR, and the three area arrays-will be changed very little. They will be modified to assign people to the appropriate arrays based to the criteria discussed above.

Modifications Affecting COMPLIP

1

1

Since C-groups will include term of service, 40 sets of retention rates might have to be input to COMPLIP to compute NPS gains for each C-group. Although technically feasible, this would substantially increase COMPLIP's run time. To avoid such a large increase in the size of the linear program, the C-groups for terms 3 and 4 are summed across term in the IPM as are the C-groups for terms 2, 5, and 6. Factors are provided by QFAC to spread NPS accession reguirements by Cgroup back to the specific terms of enlistment in the Report Generator.

APPENDIX A

THE ELIM-IV QUALITATIVE DATA BASE

INTRODUCTION

This appendix discusses the enhancement to the data bases which will be used to provide C-group expansion and tracking, including extendee tracking. This would be added to those of ELIM-II and ELIM-III to accomplish the following tasks:

- Expand C-groups from 4 to 40, with term of service as a C-group characteristic
- Maintain data by C-group for a longer period of service
- Track enlisted personnel until separation or first reenlistment, explicitly separating first from career reenlistments (relates to "first enlistment cohort tracking" and "first-timer to reenlistee transition" in proposal)
- Simplify redefinition of C-groups and
- Enable user to calculate historical loss rates and current inventories by marital status

It is also designed to facilitate projecting C-group transitions, if that task is undertaken in the future.

A tracking file has been created with one record for each individual in the data base. The record indicates his/her entry and, where potentially different, current characteristics, and the month and type of each transaction relevant to ELIM-COMPLIP. The small numeric data base has been expanded to 40 C-groups; each month it can be updated directly or it can be re-created from the new tracking file. There is no more need for an intermediate large numeric qualitative data base. The system allows for an individual to change C-groups. Persons would be counted in C-groups until discharge.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DATA BASE

The new data base, the tracking file, exists in two forms: the socalled large (Table A.1) and small (Table A.2) tracking files. They replace

TABLE A.1

LARGE TRACKING FILE

1-9	Social Security Number
10	NPS Indicator
11-16	Date of Birth
17-18	AFQT
19	Sex
20	Race
21-24	Term of Service
22-25	BPED
26	Education (Initial)
27	Mental Category
28-31	Enlistment Options
32-33	CMF
34	Pay Grade (Initial)
35	Eligibility to Reenlist
36	Moral Waiver
37	Character of Separation
38	Prior Service Indicator
39-42	FTS
43-45	
45-45	Bonus
40	Times Beenlisted
47	And at Entry months
48-30	Age at Entry, months
51	Etnnic Dessister (second)
52	Receiving Station (no good)
53-50	BASD New York Share
57	Marital Status
58	Number Dependents
59-60	Initial Assignment
61-63	Initial Location
64-66	Initial Primary MOS
67	Current Pay Grade
68-69	Current Assignment
70-72	Current Location
73	Current Education
74-76	Current Duty MOS
77-79	Current Primary MOS
80-81	Current Status Code
82-83	No. of Trailers
T*1	T*24
84	268 Type Transaction ¹
85-88	269-272 Date
89-91	273-275 Transaction Code ²
1 Gain-	Loss 5 Education
2 Pay G	rade 6 Duty MOS
3 Assig	nment 7 Primary MOS
4 Locat	ion 8 Status Code
For EMF	variables (type transaction 2-8), new
value of	variable: for GLF, 3 character SPN or
SPD if 1	oss: EBE, etc. if extension: GRF. GHI.

0

0

-

.

Concession of the local division of the loca

1

0

etc., for gains.

TABLE A.2

SMALL TRACKING FILE

1-4	Cohort
10-13	Social Security Number
14-15	AFQT
16	Race
17	Sex
18	Term Of Service
19	Civilian Education
20	Mental Category
23-25	Age at Entry. months
21-22	CMF
26-29	BPED
30-33	ETS
34-36	UIC
37	(Recv)
38-41	BASD
42-43	Current Assignment
44-46	Current Duty MOS
47-49	Current Primary MOS
50-54	Flags
	Initial EMF data present
	Trailers lost (overflow)
	Extension
	Loss
	Return
55-56	Number of Trailers
57-128	Trailers: .
	57 Type ¹ 123 Type ¹
	58-59 Month Service 124-25 Month Service
	60-62 Transaction 126-28 Transaction

1

¹currently, always = 1

1

Summer of the local division of the local di

1

1

[]

MT63, MT67, and M.ó8. For each individual entering the Army after 1 Jan 72, there is one record (on each version of the tracking file). This record consists of the person's Social Security Administration Number (or Temporary Identification Number), codes indicating characteristics at enlistment, codes for the current value of those characteristics which can change, and a series of transactions "trailers," one for each transaction relevant to ELIM-COMPLIP. Each trailer contains the month the transaction was recorded and the type of transaction. The large tracking file contains slightly more

A-3

information on characteristics than the small. It allows for more transaction trailers to accumulate and keeps trailers for changes in characteristics as well as for gain and loss transactions; the small tracking file contains only gain-loss transactions. The large file records SPN, SPD, or other actual code; the small file aggregates into 16 categories. The small file revises ETS date when an extension or non-NPS gain occurs. The large file is in a sense an archive, from which status of Army personnel at any time in the past can be reconstructed. The small file represents current Army personnel status and is designed for efficient use in calculating loss rates by current or entry characteristics. Therefore, the large tracking file is ordered by SSAN, but the small tracking file is organized by cohort.

In each tracking file, following the SSAN (or TIN), there is information on the individual's race, sex, civilian education, etc., at the time of entering the Army. In addition, there are codes for current characteristics, since some characteristics which the Army is presently interested in can change.¹ The user can determine from the file each individual's term of service, time to ETS (including negative t), and extendee status-all especially important for the desired first reenlistment statistics.

INTEGRATING THE DATA BASE INTO ELIM-II AND ELIM-III

Some parts of the ELIM-III system will continue to operate very much as they have in the past. The main difference is that, as each month's transaction update is performed, there is no need to update MT67B, the large numeric qualitative data base. This data base currently contains historical statistics for 150 quality classes by month of service. To provide the desired flexibility for user redefinition of classes, this data array would have become excessively large (>10,000 classes). Therefore, it was replaced with the tracking file, the compressed version of the Master Transaction Data Base MT63. Each month, transaction trailers are added to records in the tracking file, gains are added to it, and current characteristic codes are changed if necessary to reflect transactions such as a change in marital status or number of dependents or

Both entry and current values are maintained to provide the user the option to sort on status at either time.

education level. Then a 40¹-class small numeric qualitative data base replacing MT68 is updated directly each month. When the user wants to redefine quality classes, he can go back to the tracking file and recreate the smaller data base. Procedures for updating the smaller data base are discussed in more detail in the next section, following the description of the data base.

MODIFICATIONS TO MT68

The "small" numeric quality data base consists of two parts. The main part stores data for first-timers; a second part contains data for extendees. Conceptually, the first-timers array of the small numeric quality data base resembles Figure A.1. The shaded box represents the data--extensions, IMRE losses, etc.--for cohort 1, C-group 1, in the first MServ. It currently consists of 11 items of information but might be expanded to 13 (see the next section, "Changing an Individual's C-Group"). There are similar sets of data for every cohort, C-group, and MServ if the cohort is old enough to have served that number of months.



Figure A.1. Expanded MT68

Maximum.

COLUMN TO A

[] ------

All members of a given C-group have the same term of service, h. Although time to ETS, t, is not explicit in the data, it is completely defined by h and MServ. For the older (earlier) cohorts, there are non-zero data not only in MServ cells 1 through 12.h, but also in cells with MServ >12.h, for individuals with t<0.

The second-timer array of the small numeric data base will carry second-timers through loss from the Army or for 54 months. The data in it will be used for producing historical data, not for inventory projections.

One of the characteristic codes in the tracking file indicates whether the individual is a first-timer, an extendee, a reenlistee, or a previous loss. During each monthly update, as each transaction is added to the tracking file, this characteristic code is checked to determine the relevant array for the affected individual. If he/she is a first-timer, the appropriate C-group-MServ-cohort in the first-timer array of the small numeric data base is manipulated. In addition, if the transaction is an extension, reenlistment, or loss, the individual is added to the extendee or "careerist" array, if appropriate, and the characteristic code for the storage array is updated. The "careerist" array becomes a reenlisted array. Because an individual can reenlist before completing 36 months of service, this array is modified to cover MServ < 37. In addition, the ELIM-III DPM is modified so that individuals are assigned to this array only when they reenlist. Currently an individual can be assigned to the careerist array if he/she is an extendee with MServ >36; if such a person subsequently reenlists, his/her first reenlistment appears to be a career reenlistment.

If the transaction affects an individual in the extendee array, the appropriate cell in that array is updated and the other procedures described for first-timers would be executed. If the affected individual is a reenlistee, ELIM-II is already accounting for him/her and the only action taken will depend on the type of loss and will parallel procedures in the current system. With separate data arrays for first-timers, extended first-timers, and reenlisted personnel, it is possible to calculate reenlistment rates separately for first and for subsequent reenlistments. This is not possible in ELIM-III.

Since first timers are to be carried by cohort, no explicit monthly aging will be needed; it will be automatic. Extendees will be carried by months to ETS, which must be reduced by 1 each month (unless a new extension is executed).

Each person remains in the small numeric data base for first timers until the earliest of (a) separation from service (loss); (b) IMmediate REenlistment loss; (c) extension; or (d) completion of 54 months of service. The 54-month cutoff allows for reenlistment of all persons with 4-year first enlistments and up to a 6-month extension. Extendees are carried until they reenlist or separate. Reenlistees are carried in a careeristtype array.

The small numeric data base (C-group arrays) is saved each month (as MT68B is now) and used as input (MT68A) the next month. In fact, if the user is experimenting with various sets of C-groups, several versions of the C-group arrays can be saved until a final determination as to the desired characteristics is made.

CHANGING AN INDIVIDUAL'S C-GROUP

In each monthly update of the data, individuals can be classified on the basis of the current, not entry, characteristics. Thus, an individual need not be in the same C-group every month. For example, if an individual were single from MServ = 1 until a marriage in MServ = 28, he/she would be included in the inventory of a C-group for single ... persons with each monthly update until MServ 28 and thereafter in a different C-group, one for married ... persons (with "..." signifying otherwise similar characteristics).

¹This capability is not provided under the current contract; but it will be possible to include it in ELIM-IV, with a modest additional effort.

This necessitates the introduction of an additional loss and an additional gain category, thus expanding the data in MT68 from 11 to 13 words per cohort, C-group, and MServ, as noted above. That is, each cell, as represented by the shaded area in Figure A.1, would be expanded to make room for a ninth loss category, transition to a different C-group, and a new gain category, transition from a different Cgroup. If changes in marital status were the only transitions to be considered, C-groups for single persons could have losses due to marriage and gains due to divorce (if divorced and single status are deemed equivalent); C-groups for married persons could have transitional gains due to marriage and losses due to divorce.

Source and destination C-groups would not be linked; that is, an individual could move from a C-group to any other C-group. There would not be a set of C-groups for single individuals and a parallel set for married individuals with one-to-one links between them.

When the data base is updated, the presence of a transaction involving a C-group transition will produce a loss in the individual's beginning-of-month C-group and a gain in his/her end-of-month C-group. If a set of redefined C-groups is to be created anew, routines will have to be devised that keep track of C-group for the MServ being dealt with at that stage in the data creation. This C-group need not necessarily be the same as the entry or the current--i.e., most recent--C-group.

INVENTORY PROJECTIONS

Although the historical data base could accommodate changes in C-group, the Inventory Projection Module could not, without great effort, be altered to allow for changes in C-group in the projection out-months. Ideally, and eventually, before loss rates are applied to the beginning inventory for each out-month, transition loss and gain (marriage and divorce) rates would be used to reapportion the inventory among the Cgroups.

On the other hand, if a characteristic which can change values, such as marital status, is used for C-group assignment and projections are made without C-group transitions in the out-months, then projections

A-8

in the out-months are likely to become increasingly inaccurate, the farther into the future we project. This inaccuracy is expected because, as the inventory is aged, more and more persons will actually be married, but the projection system will not move individuals between single and married C-groups. Most NPS gain inputs to MServ = 1 will be single; and as they are aged, the projected inventory will have an unrealistically high percentage of single people.

The modifications to ELIM greatly expand the number of C-groups in the "small" numeric data base. The larger numeric data base has been replaced by a tracking file with a record for each individual. The record contains information for C-group assignment and transaction information. The data enable cohort tracking by C-group through first reenlistment, permit simplified C-group redefinition, and carry information on characteristics such as marital status that were not previously used for C-group classification.

CONC. IN

APPENDIX B

CAREER FORCE OPTIMIZATION (CFO)

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The Army desires the capability to optimize the career strength over a specified year of service (YOS) distribution as defined by the Enlisted Force Master Plan. Gains to the career force come from several different sources, including:

- First timers with 36 months of completed service
- Reenlistees of several types
- Army and non-Army prior service enlistees with 36 months or more of prior service

Not all career gains will have the same number of years of service, therefore, it is desirable that COMPLIP be able to optimize the gains from each category, within user supplied constraints, such that the Career Force YOS distribution is driven towards, and ultimately reaches, the desired distribution within a user-specified length of time.

Currently, the Army uses a 5-year planning cycle for setting and working towards a desired YOS distribution. Within the plan, it attempts to optimize the force within the next 3 years. The current plan specifies an optimum force strength to be reached in FY 80 . These are annual strengths which are determined as of 30 September of each fiscal year. Because the Army plan extends for a maximum of 5 years, there is no need to change ELIM-COMPLIP in terms of the number of years that can be forecast.

REQUIRED SYSTEM CAPABILITY

Currently, the ELIM-COMPLIP system handles monthly data and distributes the career force into two categories: retirement eligible (RE) and non-retirement eligible (NRE). To optimize a YOS distribution, it is necessary to compute strength by YOS (1,2,3,...,20,20+). In order to maintain this distribution over the period covered by the manpower program, factors are needed to age the appropriate fraction of the population month by month from one YOS to the next. The analytical problem becomes one of minimizing the weighted sum of the deviations of the actual from the planned career force strength, by YOS, subject to constraints on total strength, the supply of NPS enlistees, the supply of PS enlistees by YOS, and the first-term reenlistment rates by years of service. (The current Army policy is to exercise control only at the first reenlistment. Beyond the first reenlistment, the Army generally keeps all people who desire to reenlist. However, the system will have the capability, through user input, to override later reenlistment rates so that the user may experiment with alternative reenlistment policies.)

METHODOLOGY

The development of the CFO methodology was separated into two phases. In Phase I, the YOS distribution for the career force was developed and in Phase II, the harder problem of optimizing the various gains to the career force to meet a specified career force profile has been attacked.

Phase I

The methodology for this phase involved changes to the DPM, FAC, IPM, COMPLIP equations, and the report generator to produce a YOS distribution of enlisted strength. This has been discussed in previous concept papers.

Phase II

Solution of this phase represents one of the most difficult tasks of any in the history of ELIM-COMPLIP development. The following sections discuss the alternatives considered and the alternative used for solution of the task.

The Objective Function

From the preceding discussion, it is clear that the objective function should model the Army's goal of reducing the deviation between the career force strength¹ and a target profile. Specifically, minimize

¹Although the term "career force strength" will be used for clarity throughout this paper, the optimization will minimize the weighted difference between actual and target strength for YOS 1, 2, and 3 as well as the career force.

the weighted sum of the absolute values of the differences between projected year-end trained strength of the career force by years of service and target values (Career Force Profile) in the target year. It is not necessary to have additional profiles in each of the years preceding the target year since the target profile at the end of year .OX will drive all accessions that will end up in that profile. Target profiles may not be needed before the target year but may be desirable. Profiles after the target year are certainly needed.

An alternative to making the user create a target profile for each year of projection is to use the <u>same</u> profile as in the target year but relax the acceptable deviations more, the further away the projection year is from the target year. That is, if

- FCZ = fraction of the target that represents acceptable
 deviations
- RCF = factor for adjusting FCZ for other than target years, RCF > 1
- NYR = target year
- IYR = projection year

then, define FC(IYR) as

-

[]

[]

Note that FC(IYR) = FCZ when IYR - NYR

FCTARijj = FC(IYR) * CTARGajj ; i = IYR, n = NYR

where CTARGnjj is the target profile in the target year n and FCTARijj represents the acceptable deviations in projection year i. Graphically, FC(IYR) is as shown in Figure B.1.





11

The user would provide the desired force distribution, the year by which it is to be met, the weights for the difference between YOS strength and target for each YOS, and the factor which moves the force towards that target. The formulation for the objective function is presented in Annex 1 of this appendix.

The concept for the objective function follows from the problem statement; however, the concepts for varying reenlistment gains are not as straightforward.

Because most of the gains to the career force enter with 3 and 4 years of service, there is very little that can be done in the short term to adjust current deviations beyond YOS 10. However, this is not a significant problem, for two reasons: (1) approximately two-thirds of the absolute value of the deviations from the current force profile occur within YOS 1 through 10, with the greatest deviations being in YOS 4 through 7, and (2) beyond YOS 10, the retention rates are quite steady. This means that if the curve for YOS 1 through 10 can be adjusted in the short-term, the corrections to the rest of the curve should come automatically with time.

Varying First Reenlistments

To model a CFO decision process, first reenlistments must be allowed (within specified limits) to vary independently of NPS gains. However, the number of first reenlistments by a given cohort is actually the product of factors representing attrition and reenlistment rates and a variable representing the number of NPS gains for that cohort. If the reenlistment rate is replaced by a variable, then the number of reenlistments becomes the product of two variables--a nonlinear function which is nonconvex. Nonconvex functions generally preclude both linear programming and the efficient solution methods for convex nonlinear problems. Conceptually, they are in a category with the integer problems which lack a network structure; problems of this type with more than six to ten variables are often considered "large" and may require several hours or more to solve. Therefore, it is clear that it is not reasonable simply to add additional variables, representing reenlistment factors, to the present COMPLIP constraint set.

Since the idea of replacing the linear program in COMPLIP by a nonlinear program is infeasible, other alternatives must focus on the treatment of the terms representing reenlistment gains. (The term "reenlistment" in subsequent discussions will always imply "first reenlistments.") Nonlinearity can be avoided by modeling reenlistment losses as they currently are, that is, as linear functions of NPS gains.

Iterating Between Successive LPs

One approach is to develop a linear program which would only vary the reenlistment factors, with NPS gains being fixed. In operation, COMPLIP would, using the current LP, find a solution vector of NPS gains which optimized the CFO objective function with reenlistment rates fixed; then the same objective function would be solved by another LP formulation which holds NPS gains fixed at the previous "optimal" value and solves for a factor of reenlistment factors. These factors would become constants in the "original" LP and it would be solved again for a new set of NPS gains. The iteration would continue until there was negligible improvement in the objective function.

This technique avoids dealing with nonlinear programs but the iterative process raises other problems. There is no way of knowing how many iterations would be required for convergence, or if the process would converge at all. Convergence characteristics may be a function of weighting factors in the objective function or combinations of values for the technology coefficients. Quite possibly the operator would have no method of forecasting convergence. Other difficulties include the mechanics of communication between the two LPs and the increased maintenance load which such a complex routine could place on support personnel. A solution scheme not requiring iterations would be more desirable.

Separating Reenlistment Gains and Losses

Another method to avoid nonlinearity is to disconnect the computation of reenlistment gains and losses. Reenlistment losses would remain functions of NPS gains and the appropriate factors; however, reenlistment gains would become a new COMPLIP variable which would be upper and lower bounded by a user-supplied linear function of reenlistment losses. In effect, this would permit the first termers and career force to vary independently (within reasonable limits). The basic COMPLIP structure could be retained as all variables would be linear and solution iterations would not be required. COMPLIP would not be modified other than those changes necessary to add additional variables and constraint equations and an additional objective function.

Accounting for Career Force Losses

Another problem to deal with is the computation of losses to the populations that have reenlisted. Heretofore, the post-reenlistment

losses, and thus the contribution to the inventory of those who have reenlisted, were modeled in the IPM by extension/reenlistment retention rates. These rates were passed to COMPLIP and became technology coefficients representing the reenlisted population as a proportion of NPS gains. This relationship would not be maintained under the above scheme since the "optimal" reenlistment factors would not be known until completion of the COMPLIP run.

One approach would be to create an additional set of rates for the IPM to represent the losses to the reenlisted population. COMPLIP does not require career losses by type of loss, it simply needs to know how many post-reenlisted are present in the inventory. Given the number of reenlisted (actually a variable representing reenlisted), aggregate factors could be used to model the attrition of the reenlisted in COMPLIP. This would be accurate enough for COMPLIP's requirements but would not provide information on the various categories of losses for the Report Generator. In other words, once the correct numbers of reenlistments have been selected, there must be a projection of losses by type.

Losses by type could be determined by constructing this capability in the Report Generator. Actually this would require building a sort of IPM. It would seem more logical to use the loss projection capability that already exists--in the IPM. This suggests that after COMPLIP determines the optimum reenlistment rates (as well as NPS gains, etc.), we return to the IPM to allocate the career losses. Since NPS gains are known, the IPM would produce an "all people run" (that is, retention rates would not be used), losses would be correctly allocated, and the results would proceed to the Report Generator. To format these results for the Report Generator, it will be necessary for the solution to pass via the COMPLIP solution file, but the LP solution would be immediate.

This approach solves another problem, that of properly allocating reenlistments. Although feasible, it would appear unwieldy and very burdensome to the operators for COMPLIP to compute different reenlistment factors, for each projection month, for each of 40 C-groups.

Contraction of the local division of the loc

1

B-7

Since the Army does not goal reenlistments by C-groups, and the cost in computer time for COMPLIP to model variable reenlistments for each Cgroup could easily add several hours to a COMPLIP run, we propose to have COMPLIP determine an aggregate reenlistment factor for all C-groups (and all terms of service). Then, after COMPLIP is solved, but before returning to the IPM for the second pass, a new processor would allocate the aggregate reenlistment rate over all C-groups in proportion to the original rates. The second pass to the IPM would then allocate correctly the reenlistments by C-groups. This scheme for assigning reenlistments to C-groups would preserve the proportion of reenlistments dictated by reenlistment losses unless the user desires otherwise.

Prior service gains will continue to be treated in the same manner as in the current system.

The CFO equations and additional comments on the methodology are contained in the annex to this appendix.

The second

The second

U
ANNEX B1

CFO COMPLIP EQUATIONS

This annex contains the basic COMPLIP equations to be implemented for career force optimization (CFO).

0

[]

[]

Townson of

[]

IJ

[]

COMPLIP EQUATIONS FOR CAREER FORCE OPTIMIZATION

OBJ...07 - objective function

min.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{Y} \sum_{j=1}^{NYS} [W_{acp}(jj) * ACPOSnjj + W_{acn}(jj) * ACNEGnjj]$$

+ W_{exp}(jj)*EXPOSnjj+W_{exn}(jj)*EXNEGnjj]

where,

1

multiplication is denoted by *
n is the target year
NYS is the maximum number of YOS categories
y is the number of years in manpower program
jj is the year of service (YOS) index
ACPOSnjj is the variable for the number of acceptable
positive deviations from target in year n for YOS jj
ACNEGnjj - acceptable negative deviations
EXPOSnjj - excessive positive deviations
EXNEGnjj - excessive negative deviations
Waccp - OBJ...07 weight for ACPOSnjj
Wexp - OBJ...07 weight for EXPOSnjj
Wexn - OBJ...07 weight for EXPOSnjj

E.CFDnjj - CF deviations from target

CF.Y.njj - ACPOSnjj-EXPOSnjj+ACNEGnjj+EXNEGnjj = CTARGnjj

for n=1,2,...,Y; jj=1,2,...NYS

B-10

where,

CF.Y.njj - denotes the career force (CF) strength in target year n with YOS jj

CTARGnjj - denotes the CF strength in year n with YOS jj Note: Currently NYS = 21 and YOS 21 includes YOS > 20

<u>UCDACnjj</u> - upper limit on acceptable deviations ACPOSnjj+ACNEGnjj ≤ FCTARnjj for n=1,2,...,Y; jj=1,2,...,NYS

where,

FCTARnjj = FC(n) * CTARGnjj

FC(n) = user-supplied factor for computing acceptable limit of deviations from target

C.OBJ.07 - constraint on OBJ...07

$$\sum_{n=1}^{Y} \sum_{jj=1}^{NYS} \left[W_{acp}(jj) * ACPOSnjj + W_{acn}(jj) * ACNEGnjj \right]$$

+ $W_{exp}(jj) \times EXPOSnjj + W_{exp}(jj) + EXNEGnjj \leq \overline{v}_7$

where,

1

1

 \overline{v}_7 = slightly larger than the OBJ...07 functional

E.CFYnjj

- CF strength in target year n with YOS jj

$$CF.Y.njj - \sum_{ll=3}^{6} \sum_{kk \in P_{ljn}} IRG.llkk*\eta_{IRG}(kk, 12n)$$

$$j=jj$$

B-11

$$-\sum_{qq=1}^{NCG34} \sum_{kk \in P_{ljn}} FTQCqqkk*\eta_{qq}(kk, 12n)$$

$$j=jj$$

$$l=0$$

$$-\sum_{kk \in P_{ljn}} FTRTT.kk*\eta_{tt}(kk, 12n)$$

$$j=jj$$

$$l=ll$$

$$NCG256$$

$$-\sum_{qq=1}^{NCG256} \sum_{kk \in P} FTQ2qqkk*\eta_{qq2}(kk, 12n) = \overline{CFI}$$

 $\sum_{qq=1} \sum_{\substack{kk \in P_{ljn} \\ l=0 \\ j=jj}} FTQ2qqkk*n_{qq2}(kk, l2n) = \overline{CFP, Ynjj}$

where,

CF.Y.njj = CF strength in target year n with YOS jj. IRG.llkk = IRG in COMPLIP month kk with YOSll (e.g., ll=04 for months of service 37,38,...,48).

FTQCqqkk = FT gains for CGqq in COMPLIP month k.

 $n_{IRG}(kk, 12n)$ = retention rate of IRG in COMPLIP month of accession kk at end of COMPLIP month 12n.

 η_{qq} (kk, 12n) = retention rate of FT terms 3 and 4 CG qq gains in COMPLIP month kk at end of COMPLIP month 12n.

 $\eta_{qq2}(kk, 12n)$ = retention rates of FT terms 2, 5, 6 CGqq gains in COMPLIP month kk at end of COMPLIP month 12n.

CFP.Ynjj = CF (people not retention rates) with YOS jj at the end of year n.

 $P_{ijn} = \left\{ kk \mid j-1 \leq ll+n - \frac{kk-1}{12} \leq j \right\}$

The retention rates η_{IRG} will be computed in the IPM for YOS 3, 4, 5, 6 at the time of reenlistment. These retention rates are applicable only to first reenlistments. Immediate reenlistment losses will be computed in the IPM for all data array cells for which there are non-zero immediate reenlistment loss rates. However, immediate reenlistment gains will be added only for those corresponding to second or later reenlistments. The IRG for the first timer reenlistments will be accounted for by means of the COMPLIP variable IRG.LLkk.

UIRGjjii - upper constraint on first IRG in COMPLIP month ii with YOSjj.

IRG.jjii-UF
$$\sum_{qq=1}^{NCG34}$$
 $\sum_{kk \in F_{kji}} FTQCqqkk*n_{IRL}(qq,kk,ii)$
jj=j=3,4
i=ii
k=kk



<UF * PIRLjjii

LIGRJJII

- lower constraint on first IRG in COMPLIP month ii with YOSjj.

 $IRG.jjiii-LF \sum_{qq=1}^{NCG34} \sum_{\substack{kk \in F_{kji} \\ jj=j=3,4 \\ i=1i \\ k=kk}} FTQCqqkk*\eta_{IRL}(qq,kk,ii)$ $-LF \sum_{qq=1}^{NCG256} \sum_{\substack{kk \in F_{kji} \\ jj=j=5 \\ i=1i \\ k=kk}} FTQ2qqkk*\eta_{IRL2}(qq,kk,ii)$ $\geq LF * \overline{PIRLjjii}$

B-13

where,

UF and LF are user supplied factors defining the first IRG constraint band width (e.g., UF=1.05, LF=.95).

 $\eta_{IRL}(qq,kk,ii) = fraction of FT CGqq for terms 3 and 4 with month of accession kk who are IR losses in COMPLIP month ii.$

 $\eta_{IRL2}(qq,kk,ii) = fraction of FT CGqq (for terms 2, 5, 6)$ with month of accession kk who are IR losses in COMPLIP month ii.

PIRLiijj represents IRL from the "people" inventory that are in the first reenlistment window in COMPLIP month ii with YOS jj.

E.ENLSii - enlisted strength computation

ENLS..ii - | ENLS..kk - NPSG..ii+AUSL..ii + FTL...ii kk=ii-1

 $- \sum_{all jj} IRG.jjii+ \sum_{kk=\pi(2)-\alpha+1}^{ii-1} [n_{IRG}(kk,ii-1)-n_{IRG}(kk,ii)] IRG.jjkk$ all jj $= \overline{NGLII}$

for ii = $A_{E} + 1, ..., M + 5$.

Following is a simple schematic for Career Force Optimization:



^aIn this pass COMPLIP can have three objective functions optimized in sequence, e.g.,

OBJ...02 - operating strength optimization OBJ...07 - career force optimization OBJ...03 - REP optimization

^bIn this pass only OBJ...03 is used.

APPENDIX C

USER MODIFICATIONS OF LOSS FACTOR PROJECTIONS BY OPERATIONS ON LOSS DATA AGGREGATED BY ACCESSION COHORT

BACKGROUND

In earlier versions of ELIM, inventories, gains, and losses are tracked by month of service for first-timers through their first 21 months. Loss rates are projected by extension of time series of data by month of service. No attempt is made to modify loss rates in a given month of service due to changes in loss rates for earlier months of service for that same accession cohort. This factor projection and modification capability is in conflict with manpower planning procedures and OSD guidance where acceptable attrition is now being defined on a cumulative basis by accession cohort.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The revised ELIM-IV qualitative data base carries transactions out to at least 48 months of service and will be constructed for ease and efficiency of retrieval. All the historical data required for construction of cohort retention curves over months of service is in the new data base.

GENERAL METHODOLOGY

In ELIM-III, loss rates are projected and displayed as a monthly time series for a particular month of service (and particular C-group) as in Figure C.1.

> Loss rate





Given a complete set (all months of service, all loss types for a given C-group) of data like Figure C.1, it is possible to create the cohortoriented plots of Figure C.2. While the transition to a plot of a single month cohort is obvious, it is also probably impracticable. However, given a set of monthly factors (great accuracy not required) for accession levels, these data can be easily aggregated up to annual or semiannual cohorts.



Figure C.2. Historical and Projected Loss Rates for Cohort "X" and C-Group "Y"

These plots in Figure C.2 are in terms that are more useful for projection purposes when losses are constrained by accession cohort. The Cohort Targeting System provides simple user modification tools for these types of data. The user can (iteratively) modify either or both forms. For the conditional loss rates on the left, the user specifies the month of service range and the percent reduction in the loss rate (Figure C.3). For the cumulative loss rates on the right, the user specifies the cumulative rate at an end point, probably 36 or 48 months of service, and the month of service range over which required changes in loss rates are to be made to achieve the designated target loss rate (Figure C.4).

C-2



Figure C.3. Projected Loss Rates with Losses in Months of Service 30-36 Reduced by Some Specified Percentage





Once the user has arrived at versions of the loss projections by cohort as in Figure C.2, these modifications are disaggregated back to the form required by the QIPM, i.e., as in Figure C.1.

OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

For several reasons, this capability exists independent of the current (or planned) production flow. That is, its use is not required for successful operation of ELIM-IV. This program operates interactively, so that the user can conveniently select a cohort, see the loss patterns that QFAC is passing to QINV, and iteratively modify the cohort loss rates to accomplish a convergence to the pattern which satisfies all the users' constraints. It is also possible to get hard copy outputs so that the user does not have to make all modifications in a single pass.

Nevertheless, under either mode of operation the principal consideration still is that this capability has been constructed as an optional enhancement to the normal procedure, that the input from this program uses the basic QFAC projections, and that the output is in the form of revised QFAC projections (at least to the extent that the user wishes to modify the QFAC outputs as a result of his cohort-based loss analysis).

AN INITIAL COMMAND SET

To implement this system, a program has been written to accept user commands and act on them. The specifications for the primary commands are provided in the following pages.

D 0010	00	A		
02000	00			
00030	00			******
10040	00			+++++ COHORT TARGETING SYSTEM COMMANDS +++++
00050	00			***************************************
00060	00			
_00070	00	COHORT		SELECTS USER-SPECIFIED SECTION OF DATA
00000	00			
00090	00	MODIFY		AFFORDS USER MEANS BY WHICH TO MANIPULATE DATA
00100	00			
00110	00	DISPLAY		PRODUCES GRAPH(S) OF USER-SPECIFIED SECTIONS OF DATA
00120	00			
700130	00	KEEP		ALLOWS USER TO STORE DATA FOR FUTURE RETRIEVAL
00140	00			
10150	00	LOHD		AFFORDS USER ACCESS IN PREVIOUSLY STURED DATA
100160	00			ACT ACT PATCING DOTO ULTU MEDICICE DOTO
00170	00	REPLACE		REPERCES ONIGINAL DATA WITH MUDIFIED DATA
-10180	00	HODDODDA		ALLENS CREATTEN DE DETNILETTE CONTATNING GRAPHS AND ALL
00190	00	HHRDCUPT	_	ACCEPTER COMMANDS, AND USED_CENERATED NOTES
00200	00			HUCEFIED CUMMINDS, HID USER-GENERATED HUTES
00220	ññ	PARAMS	_	PROVIDES INFORMATION PERTINENT TO CURRENT COHORT
0230	00			
00240	00	SCALE		ALLOWS USER TO SPECIFY FREQUENCY OR CUMULATIVE SCALE TO
90250	00			BE USED FOR GRAPHING PURPOSES
10260	00			
00270	00	TERMINATE		CAUSES CTS SESSION TO END
00280	00			
10290	00	(HELP) ?		PROVIDES USER WITH INFORMATION REGARDING COMMAND
10300	00			FUNCTION, SYNTAX, AND OPERANDS
00310	00			LATE WEED STA IN USE OND THEID LADELS STOTUS DE
10320	00	QUERY		LISIS KEEP-SEIS IN USE HAD THEIR LABELS, STATUS UP
0330	00			HHRUCUPY, HAU CURRENT VALUES OF COMULATIVE & FREQUENCY
00340	00			SURLES
00350	00	NOTE		ALLOWS USER TO RECORD NOTES BOTH ON TERMINAL AND TO
0270	00			
00380	00			
.00390	00	FREE		RELEASES USER-SPECIFIED KEEP-SETS FOR RE-USE
10400	00			
.0410	00			
00420	00			
10430	00			
0440	00			
00450	00			
00460	00			
0470	00			
00480	00			
00490	00			
0500	00			
00520	00			
00530	44			
00000				
17				
0				

COHORT

$\begin{array}{c} 11010 & 01 \\ 11020 & 01 \\ 01030 & 01 \\ 11040 & 01 \\ 11040 & 01 \\ 1050 & 01 \end{array}$	FUNCTION:	THE COHORT COMMAND ALLOWS THE USER TO SELECT A SPECIFIC SECTION OF DATA FOR MODIFICATION OR EXAMINATION.
01060 01	SYNTAX:	COHORT 'YYMM'('NMON') TERM('T1','T5') CG('G1',)
01070 01	ALIAS:	c
01100 01	OPERANDS:	'YYMM' THE YEAR AND MONTH OF DATA AT WHICH TO BEGIN.
91110 01 1120 01 01130 01		'NMON' AN INTEGER VALUE SIGNIFYING THE NUMBER OF MONTHS OF DATA DESIRED.
01140 01 1150 01 1160 01 01170 01		TERM ALIAS: T SPECIFIES THAT ONE OR MORE TERMS ARE DESIRED. 'T1' INTEGER SIGNIFYING A TERM.
1130 01 1130 01 1200 01 01210 01 1220 01		CG SPECIFIES THAT ONE OR MORE CHARACTERISTIC GROUPS IS DESIRED. 'G1' INTEGER SIGNIFYING A CHARACTERISTIC GROUP.
1230 01 01240 01 01250 01 1260 01 J1270 01 01280 01 1290 01	NOTE:	ALL OPERANDS ARE REQUIRED.
1300 99		
1		
I		
[]		
[]		C-6

.

I

I

I

a second s

[]

MODIFY

2020	202	FUNCTION:	THE MODIFY COMMAND ENABLES THE USER TO MAKE CHANGES TO DATA AS DESIRED.
n2040 2050 02060	20 20 20	SYNTAX:	MODIFY CUMULATIVE MONTHS('M1'//M1', 'M2') TARGET('MTGT', 'TVAL')
02070 2080 2090	20 02 02	OR:	MODIFY FREQUENCY MONTHS (1M11/1M11/1M21) PERCENT/CONSTANT (1PCVAL1)
02100 02110 2120	02 02 02	- OPERANDS:	CUMULATIVE ALIAS: C
02130 02140 2150	02 02 02		SPECIFIES THAT CUMULATIVE DATA IS TO BE MODIFIED.
2160 02170 02180	02 02 02		MONTHS ALIAS: M
2200 02210	02		OF DATA TO BE MODIFIED.
2230 2230 02240	02		TARGET ALIAS: T
1260	02 02 02		FOLLOW THIS OPERAND. 'MTGT' INTEGER SIGNIFYING THE TARGET-MONTH. 'TYPE' REAL-NUMBER SIGNIFYING THE TARGET-MOLUE.
(290 (300 (2310	20 20		DECIMAL POINT IS REQUIRED.
02320 (.330 (.340	02 02 02		CONSTANT ALIAS: P/C SPECIFIES THAT MODIFICATION OF DATA WILL OCCUR BY MEANS OF EITHER A PERCENTAGE OR CONSTANT
02350 (360 (370	02 02 02		VALUE, DEPENDING UPON WHICH FORM OF THE OPERAND IS ENTERED, PERCENT OR CONSTANT (P OR C). 'PCVAL' - REAL-NUMBER SIGNIFYING THE VALUE OF THE
02380 02390 (400	20 20 20		PERCENT OR CONSTANT EMPLOYED TO MODIFY THE DATA. DECIMAL POINT IS REQUIRED.
(_410 02420 0^430	02 02 02		LOSSTYPES ALIAS: L SPECIFIES THAT LOSS-TYPES TO BE MODIFIED FOLLOW THIS OPERAND.
(440 (2450 (2460	02 02 02		ALL SPECIFIES THAT ALL LOSS-TYPES ARE TO BE MODIFIED. 'TYPE' AN ALPHABETIC ENTRY SIGNIFYING A LOSS-TYPE
0 470 (_480 02490	02 02 02		TO BE MODIFIED, TAKEN FROM AMONG THE FOLLOWING: ETS
0-500 0-510 02520	02 02 02		
0 540	02 02 02		MCDT UNFT
02570	02 20		
46334			C-7

[]

MODIFY (cont.)

02600	02			EXTN
02610	20			LOSSTYPE ENTRY MAY INCLUDE ANY NUMBER
02630 02640 02650	02 02 02			OF TYPES DESIRED, AND MAY BE ENTERED IN ANY ORDER.
02660 02670 02680 02690 02700	20 20 20 20 20		NOTE:	IF THE CUMULATIVE OPERAND IS SPECIFIED, MONTHS AND TARGET MUST ALSO BE SPECIFIED. THE CUMULATIVE OPERAND MUST IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THE COMMAND-NAME, MODIFY, OR THE COMMAND-ALIAS, M. THE MONTHS AND TARGE OPERANDS MAY BE SPECIFIED IN ANY ORDER.
02720	02			IF THE CUMULATIVE OPERAND IS SPECIFIED, THE PERCENT/ CONSTANT AND LOSSTYPES OPERANDS MAY NOT BE SPECIFIED.
)2750)2760)2770)2770)2780)2790)2800	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02			IF THE FREQUENCY OPERAND IS SPECIFIED, THE MONTHS, PERCENT/CONSTANT, AND LOSSTYPES OPERANDS MUST ALSO BE SPECIFIED. THE FREQUENCY OPERAND MUST IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW THE COMMAND-NAME, MODIFY, OR THE COMMAND-ALIAS, M. THE MONTHS, PERCENT/CONSTANT, AND LOSSTYPES OPERANDS MAY BE SPECIFIED IN ANY ORDER.
)2810)2820)2830)2830	02 02 02			IF THE FREQUENCY OPERAND IS SPECIFIED, THE TARGET OPERAND MAY NOT BE SPECIFIED.
2850	02			
2870	32			
				C-8

0

[]

0

[]

[]

0

[]

1

Û

İ

[]

[]

[]

1

DISPLAY

3010 03 3020 03 3020 03 03030 03 03040 03 3050 03 03070 03 03070 03 3090 03 03070 03 3090 03 03100 03 03110 03 03120 03 03140 03 03170 03 03170 03 03170 03 03170 03 03170 03 03180 03 03210 03 03210 03 03220 03 03240 03 03280 03 03280 03 03280 03 03310 03 03320 03 03320 03 03320 03 03320 03 03330 03 03330 03	FUNCTION: SYNTAX: QR: OPERANDS:	THE DISPLAY COMMAND INITIATES THE PRODUCTION OF GRAPHS DEPICTING EITHER FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS OF CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS, OVER 48-MONTH PERIODS, OF USER-SPECIFIED SECTIONS OF DATA. DISPLAY CUMULATIVE DISPLAY FREQUENCY (ALL/'TYPE', 'TYPE', 'TYPE') CUMULATIVE ALIAS: C SPECIFIES THAT A GRAPH OF A CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTION IS DESIRED. FREQUENCY ALIAS: F SPECIFIES THAT A GRAPH OF A FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION IS DESIRED. ALL SPECIFIES THAT A GRAPH OF A FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION IS DESIRED. ALL SPECIFIES THAT A GRAPH IS DESIRED OF EACH LOSS-TYPE. 'TYPE' AN ALPHABETIC ENTRY SIGNIFYING A LOSS-TYPE FOR WHICH A GRAPH IS DESIRED, TAKEN FROM AMONG THE FOLLOWING: ETS IMRE DFMCACCEPTABLE. MCDT UNAFT UNAFT UNAFT UNAFT UNAFT DPACCEPTABLE. MCDT UNKN OTHR ADMM EXTN LOSS-TYPE ENTRY MAY INCLUDE ANY NUMBER OF TYPES DESIRED, AND MAY BE ENTERED IN ANY ORDER.
(1330 03 (1340 03 03350 03 (1360 03 (1370 03 03380 03		EXTN LOSS-TYPE ENTRY MAY INCLUDE ANY NUMBER OF TYPES DESIRED, AND MAY BE ENTERED IN ANY ORDER.
03390 03 400 03 410 03	NOTE:	IF CUMULATIVE IS SPECIFIED, FREQUENCY AND LOSS-TYPES
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		IF FREQUENCY IS SPECIFIED, LOSS-TYPES MUST BE SPECIFIED AND CUMULATIVE MAY NOT BE SPECIFIED.
1		

0

04010 04 THE KEEP COMMAND ALLOWS THE USER TO SAVE MODIFIED FUNCTION: 04020 04 VERSIONS OF ORIGINAL DATA. UP TO 19 SETS OF MODIFIED 04030 04 04040 04 DATA MAY BE KEPT. CTS AUTOMATICALLY EXECUTES A KEEP 94050 04 COMMAND WHENEVER THE USER ISSUES A COHORT COMMAND. 14060 04 KEEP 'NUM' ('LABEL') 04070 04 SYNTAX: 04080 04 14090 04 ALIAS: ĸ 4100 04 04110 04 OPERANDS: 'NUM' - INTEGER SIGNIFYING THE KEEP-SET WHERE THE MODIFIED VERSION OF THE DATA IS TO BE STORED. 14120 04 4130 04 MUST BE IN THE RANGE: 21 > NUM > 0. 04140 04 'LABEL' - UP TO 64 CHARACTERS MAY BE ENTERED 04150 04 FOR THE PURPOSE OF USER-DIFFERENTIATION 4160 04 BETWEEN VERSIONS OF MODIFIED DATA FOR A 4170 04 04130 04 SINGLE COHORT. 04190 04 NEITHER 'NUM' NOR 'LABEL' ARE REQUIRED, THOUGH EITHER 4200 04 NOTE: OR BOTH MAY BE SPECIFIED. IF 'NUM' IS NOT SPECIFIED. 94210 04 CTS WILL ASSIGN THE LARGEST PREVIOUSLY UNUSED KEEP-SET 04220 04 4230 04 NUMBER. IF ALL KEEP-SETS HAVE BEEN USED, CTS WILL GIVE THE USER AN OPPORTUNITY TO RE-USE A KEEP-SET OF THE 4240 04 USER'S CHOICE. IF 'LABEL' IS NOT SPECIFIED, CTS WILL 04250 04 n4260 04 ASSIGN A LABEL OF "NONE". THE LABEL FOR THE KEEP-SET 4270 04 WHICH IS USED BY CTS UPON USER-ISSUANCE OF A COHORT 04280 04 COMMAND WILL CONTAIN THE COHORT COMMAND-STRING ITSELF. AS ENTERED BY THE USER. 04290 04 4300 04 +310 04 04320 04 4330 04 4340 04 4350 99

KEEP

C-10

25010 05 5020 05 05030 05 05040 05	FUNCTION:	THE LOAD COMMAND RESTORES USER-ACCESS TO DATA WHICH HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY SAVED BY MEANS OF A KEEP COMMAND.
5050 05 5060 05	SYNTAX:	LOAD 'NUM'
05070 05 05080 05	ALIAS:	L
5090 05 .5100 05	OPERANDS:	'NUM' INTEGER SIGNIFYING THE KEEP-SET FROM WHICH DATA IS TO BE RETRIEVED. MUST BE IN THE
05110 05 5120 05		RANGE: $21 > NUM > 0$.
5130 05 05140 05	NOTE:	'NUM' IS REQUIRED. IF NOT SPECIFIED, OR IF OUT OF RANGE, CTS WILL REJECT THE COMMAND.
05150 05 5160 05		
05120 05 05180 05		
3200 99		

۱

0

[]

0

Ū

IJ

IJ

14.

1

Đ

1

Î

LOAD

C-11

REPLACE

06010	06		
6020	06	FUNCTION:	THE REPLACE COMMAND ALLOWS THE USER TO SUBSTITUTE
06030	06		MODIFIED DATA IN PLACE OF THE ORIGINAL DATA.
.06040	06		
6050	06	SYNTAX:	REPLACE 'YYMM'
-6060	06		
06070	06	ALIAS:	R
08080	06		
16090	06	OPERANDS:	YYMMY THE MONTH AND YEAR AT WHICH TO BEGIN
06100	06		REPLACEMENT OF ORIGINAL DATA BY MODIFIED
-06110	06		DATA.
6120	06		
-16130	06	NOTE:	YYYMMY IS A REQUIRED ENTRY.
06140	06		
16150	06		
6160	06		
06170	06		
06180	06		
16190	99		
1.4		-	

[]

0

[]··

[]

0

[]

Ũ

[]

0.

Ü

HARDCOPY

07010 07 07020 07 07030 07 07040 07	FUNCTION:	THE HARDCOPY COMMAND ALLOWS THE USER TO CONTROL THE WRITING OF GRAPHS AND ACCEPTED COMMANDS TO THE PRINT FILE.
07060 07	SYNTAX:	HARDCOPY ON/OFF/ONLY
07070 07	ALIAS:	H
07100 07 07110 07 07110 07 07120 07 07130 07 07140 07 07150 07	OPERANDS:	ON CAUSES GRAPHS TO BE WRITTEN BOTH ON THE TERMINAL AND TO THE PRINT-FILE. OFF CAUSES GRAPHS TO BE WRITTEN ON THE TERMINAL ONLY, AND NOT TO THE PRINT-FILE. ONLY CAUSES GRAPHS TO BE WRITTEN TO THE PRINT-FILE ONLY, AND NOT ON THE TERMINAL.
07160 07 07170 07 07190 07 07200 07 07200 07 07210 07 07220 07 07220 07 07230 07 07240 07 07250 99	NOTE:	THE SETTINGS ARE MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE-ONLY ONE FORM IS ACCEPTABLE PER ISSUANCE OF THE HARDCOPY COMMAND.
п		
0		
[
0		
Ĩ.		
[]		
0		
0		C-13
I		

.

PARAMS

3010 08 8020 08 08030 08 08030 08 08040 08 08050 08 08070 08 08070 08 08070 08 08070 08 08070 08 08070 08 08070 08 08100 08 08110 08 08140 08 08170 08 08180 08 08170 08 08180 08 08210 08 3200 08 08210 08 08210 08 3220 08 3230 08 3270 08 3270 08 3270 08 3270 08 3270 08 3270 08 3270 08 3270 08 3270 08 <td< th=""><th>FUNCTION: SYNTAX: ALIAS: OPERANDS:</th><th>THE PARAMS COMMAND PROVIDES THE USER WITH THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION: 1. CURRENT YEAR AND MONTH 2. YEAR & MONTH OF FIRST COHORT IN HISTORY FILE 3. NUMBER OF MONTHS OF HISTORY 4. NUMBER OF MONTHS OF PROJECTION 5. NUMBER OF CHARACTERISTIC-GROUPS, 2-YR TERM 3-YR TERM 4-YR TERM 5-YR TERM 6-YR TERM 6-YR TERM 1000E</th></td<>	FUNCTION: SYNTAX: ALIAS: OPERANDS:	THE PARAMS COMMAND PROVIDES THE USER WITH THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION: 1. CURRENT YEAR AND MONTH 2. YEAR & MONTH OF FIRST COHORT IN HISTORY FILE 3. NUMBER OF MONTHS OF HISTORY 4. NUMBER OF MONTHS OF PROJECTION 5. NUMBER OF CHARACTERISTIC-GROUPS, 2-YR TERM 3-YR TERM 4-YR TERM 5-YR TERM 6-YR TERM 6-YR TERM 1000E
]		
IJ		
0		
[]		
[]		
0		C-14

SCALE

09010	09		
19020	09	FUNCTION:	THE SCALE COMMAND PROVIDES THE USER THE OPTION OF
9030	09		SPECIFYING THE FREQUENCY AND CUMULATIVE SCALES TO
09040	60		BE USED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF GRAPHS OF DATA, OR
09050	09		TO SET SCALES OFF (VALUE=0.0).
9060	60		SCOLE EDEONENCY COMMUNICATIVE REE (COMON)
02020	09	SYNTHX:	SCHEF PREMORALLYCOMOCHIIVE OPP/JUHX.
09080	09	-201 10	-
31.00	09	HETH?:	•
19110	09	OPERANDS:	FREQUENCY ALIAS: F
09120	09		SPECIFIES THAT THE SETTING SPECIFIED IS TO BE
9130	09		APPLIED TO GRAPHS GENERATED USING FREQUENCY DATA.
.3140	09		
09150	09		CUMULATIVE ALIAS: C
19160	09		SPECIFIES THAT THE SETTING SPECIFIED IS TO BE
9170	09		APPLIED TO GRAPHS GENERATED USING CUMULATIVE DATA.
09180	60		
09190	09		OFF SPECIFIES THAT A SCALE-FACTOR IS TO BE RESET
9200	09		TO THE VALUE OF ZERO.
09210	09		ANNOVA O DEOL NUMBER STENTEVING THE SCOLE-EDCTOR
09220	09		TIMAY H REMEMBER SIGNIFILING THE SUBLETHOLDR
2230	07		DECIMOL PRINT IS DECUIDED
7240	07		DECIMAL FUINT IS RENOIRED.
09260	09	NOTE:	EITHER FREQUENCY OR CUMULATIVE MUST BE SPECIFIED.
9270	09		BOTH MAY NOT BE SPECIFIED.
. 9280	09		
09290	09		EITHER OFF OR 'YMAX' MUST BE SPECIFIED.
19300	09		BOTH MAY NOT BE SPECIFIED.
9310	09		
09320	09		
09330	09		
9340	09		
00040	09		
07300	07		
7010	22		
1.00			
-			
0			
11			
1			
77			
@.m			
			C-15
10.0			
11			
utope			
Ш			
1			

MODIFY COMMAND IMPLEMENTATION

Frequency Array Modification

given: range of months of service, $m_1 \rightarrow m_2$ loss type percent or constant change

(1) Make specified changes.

11

- (2) Check to see if next command is another frequency array modification. If Yes, go to 1; if No, go to 3.
- (3) Recompute frequencies for "ALL" losses.
- (4) Recompute cumulative loss array.

Cumulative Vector Modification

given: range of months of service, $m_1 \rightarrow m_2$ Target loss rate, T, and associated Month of Service, K

(1) Make changes as follows:

• Find
$$S_1 = 1 - CUM_1$$
 for all $i \ge m_1$
• Find $\binom{S}{m_2} = S_{m_2} \binom{S_K / (1-T)}{p}$
• Find $F = \frac{\binom{S_{m_2}}{m_1}^{1/(m_2 - m_1 + 1)}}{1 - \binom{S_{m_2}}{S_{m_1}}^{1/(m_2 - m_1 + 1)}}$

• Find
$$S_i = S_{i-1}(1-F) + S_iF$$
: for $m_1 \le i \le m_2 - 1$

• Find
$$C_i = 1 - S_i$$
 for all $i \ge m_1$

(2) Recompute frequencies for "ALL LOSSES"

(3) Recompute frequencies for individual loss types, excluding ETS losses

APPENDIX D

STRUCTURE SPACES AS VARIABLES

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The Army desires the capability to treat structure spaces as linear programming variables. This capability would allow the analyst to control the input of structure spaces, or targets, within the matrix generator, and subsequently in the LP.

REQUIRED SYSTEM CAPABILITY

When optimizing on OBJ1 or OBJ2, COMPLIP minimizes the weighted sum of the absolute values of the deviations from the target structure spaces. OBJ2 has two parts, acceptable and excessive deviations. The excessive deviations are weighted more heavily. When making the target structure spaces a variable in each projection month, they will adjust themselves--within the upper and lower bounds--to make the deviations even closer to zero.

When the range of the lower and upper bounds is sufficiently great in each month, all the deviations would likely be computed as zero by adjusting the variable target, hence computing an "idealized" target--idealized in the sense of minimum deviations. Whether this "idealized" target is useful in the context of the manpower program is another question.

It is also conceivable that with the lower and upper bound range sufficiently large, COMPLIP would adjust the variable target to either extreme in order to minimize deviations rather than adjust the accessions. This should certainly be checked by making experimental runs. The user must determine acceptable limits on the variable target in order to produce a reasonable manpower program and adjusted structure spaces.

METHODOLOGY

The following section presents the source code modifications to the matrix generator which were delivered to USAMSSA to be implemented and tested by them.

In Subroutine EDIT the user table TARG must be re-defined to contain two additional columns with column names FAC1 and FAC2. Column FAC1 has for each month the fraction of the target in column RHS (the only column now in TARG) that becomes the lower bound on the new variable TAR...ii. Similarly, the column FAC2 contains the values for the upper bound. Typical values in FAC1 and FAC2 would be .95 and 1.05 respectively. That is, values in FAC1 are less than or equal to 1.0, and in FAC2 they are greater than or equal to 1.0.

Let t represent the index in array TNAME for table TARG in EDIT. Then the following change is in order:

DATA NCOL (t) / 3 /

In MATGEN, the sections of the code corresponding to E.AVD1ii and E.AVD2ii need to be changed. The code for U.DAC.ii need not be changed.

C E.AVDIII ***

C IF (FOUND. . .

[]

IF (.NOT. (FOUND (4HOBJ1,
CALL FETCH (4HTARG, ARRAYU,
JOFSET = 3+JORG(1, LIM1, LEM2)
KOFSET = 3+JORG(0, LIM1, LIM2)
J2OFST = 3+JORG(2, LIM1, LIM2)
J3OFST = 3+JORG(3, LIM1, LIM2)
CALL ENDTAR (ARRAYU (KOFSET+1), ARRAYU (JOFSET+1,M,LIM2)
CALL ENDTAR (ARRAYU (KOFSET+1), ARRAYU (J2OFST+1, M, LIM2)
CALL ENDTAR (ARRAYU (KOFSET+1), ARRAYU (J30FST+1, M, LIM2)
CALL EAVD1 (ARRAYU (JOFSET+1), ARRAYU (J20FST+1),

* ARRAYU(J30FST+1),A1,LIM2)

for *** E,AVD2II *** the coding changes are similar:

. J2OFST = . . . J3OFST = . . . CALL ENDTAR . . . JOFSET+1, . . . " . . . J2OFST+1, . . . " . . . J3OFST+1, . . . CALL EAVD2 (ARRAYU (JOFSET+1), ARRAYU (J2OFST+L), ARRAYU (J3OFST+1), * A1,LIM2)

The changes to subroutines EAVD1 and EAVD2 are exactly the same. Each has three line changes, the calling sequence, a type statement and the CALL RHS2(. . .). That is:

SUBROUTINE EAVD {1 / 2} (TAR, FAC1, FAC2, A1, M5)
...
REAL TAR(M5), FAC1(M5), FAC2(M5)
...
replace CALL RHS2 (...) with
CALL COL22(4HTAR., 2H.., I, 4HE. AV, 2HD {1 / 2}, I, * -TAR(I))

CALL BND2(2HLO,4HTAR.,2H..,I,FAC1(I)) CALL BND2(2HUP,4HTAR,,2H..,I,FAC2(I))

where $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ means: use 1 when EAVD1 is changed and use 2 when EAVD2 is changed.

APPENDIX E

MATRIX GENERATOR ENHANCEMENTS

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The Army desires the capability within the matrix generator to express linkages between the various characteristic groups as ratios or percentage of each other (e.g., Mental-Category-IV High-School-Diploma-Graduate equals 10 percent of all High-School-Diploma-Graduate Accessions during a particular projection period). In addition to this enhancement, the capability to equate one of the characteristic groups to WAC females and to accommodate the special constraints of the budget year by developing different capabilities for the budget year and the remaining years will be new capabilities within the matrix generator.

REQUIRED SYSTEM CAPABILITY

The existing ELIM-COMPLIP system has been modified to provide the user with the capability within the matrix generator to specify the accession level of first-term enlistments of one characteristic group as a fraction of its accession level of another characteristic group and the capability to equate one of the characteristic groups to user-supplied WAC females.

The design and source code modifications necessary to incorporate these new features into COMPLIP have been made and delivered to USAMSSA to be implemented and tested by them. Once COMPLIP has been positively tested, the modified matrix generator code must be incorporated with the modifications made by GE TEMPO for the training model.

METHODOLOGY

The following represent the source code modifications which allow linkages between the various characteristic grops, one characteristic group to be equated to WAC females, and the special constraints for the budget year as new capabilities of the matrix generator.

In MGEDIT

UPDATE <u>TOBJ</u> to accommodate type 4 tables with two digit numerals up to the number 24 (e.g., CG 01, 02, __, 16; CG 21, 22, 23, 24). <u>Skip 17, 18</u>, <u>19, 20</u>.

New User Tables

0

1

1

1

1

1

Π

[]

The second

Concession of the local division of the loca

[]

Proportionality Constraints Relating Group 1 to Group 2 TABLE PROF FAC1 FAC2 ... FAC6 MONFY • Proportionality Constraint set 1 GRP1 = FAC1 * GRP2 TABLE PRO1 GRP1 GRP2 01 1.0 0.0 02 1.0 0.0 • . • . . • . . . 16 1.0 0.0 21 0.0 1.0 22 0.0 0.0 23 1.0 0,0 24 0.0 0,0

Constraint set 2 GRP1 = FAC2 * GRP2

TABLE	PRO2	
	GRP1	GRP2
01	1.0	0.0
02	1.0	0.0
		•
		•
	•	
16	. 1.0	0.0
21	0.0	1.0
22	0.0	0.0
23	1.0	0.0
24	0.0	0.0

TABLE PRO6

e.g. PRO&(GRP1)ii=PROF(FAC)*PRO&(GRP2)

COMPLIP Equation

[]

EYROPLii

 $\begin{array}{cccc} & \text{NCG34} & \text{NCG256} & \text{NCG34} \\ -\sum_{\text{FTQCjjii}} & -\sum_{\text{FTQ2jjii}} + f & \sum_{\substack{\text{iii} \\ \text{jj=1} \\ \text{jj=P}_{2l}}} & \text{FTQCjjii} + f & \sum_{\substack{\text{iii} \\ \text{jj=1} \\ \text{jj=P}_{2l}}} & \text{FTQCjjii} = 0 \end{array}$

Where P₁₁, P₂₀ are defined as follows:

 P_{1} set of Characteristic Groups that are to be proportional to a second set P_{2} ; the proportionality constant being f_{iil} e.g. P_{11} refers to column GRP1 in Table PRO1, P_{21} refers to column GRP2 in Table PRO2, and f_{ii1} refers to FACI for month ii in Table PROF.

E-3

```
Code Modifications
     MATGEN: At front in MATGEN
      INTEGER PROP(6)
      DATA PROP/4HPRO1, 4HPRO2, 4HPRO3, 4HPRO4, 4HPRO5, 4HPRO6/
     Insert the following just before "CALL CURTIS(...)":
      С
           *** EPROP ***
                            TWO SETS OF CG PROPORTIONAL TO EACH OTHER
           DO 2190 L=1,6
           IF (.NOT. (FOUND(PROP(L), DIRU, LDIRU))) GOTO 2190
           FETCH (UHPROF, ARRAYU, LNU, BUFU, LBUFU, DIRU, LDIRU,
                  LUNU, MSGFIL)
           JOFSET = 3+JORG (L, LIM1, LIM2)
      С
          move proportionality constant into WORK1
           CALL MOVER (WORK4(LIMI), ARRAYU (JOFSET + LIM1), 1 - LIM1 + LIM2)
           LIM1F = LIM1
           LIM2F = LIM2
           DO 2090 II = LIM1F, LIM2F
           IROW = L*100 + II
           CALL ROW3 (1HE, 4HEPRO, 1HP, IROW)
           FETCH (PROP(L), ARRAYU, LNU, BUFU, LBUFU, DIRU, LDFRU, LUNU, MSGFIL)
           JOFSET = 3 + JORG(L, LIM1, LIM2)
           KOFSET = 3 + JORG(2, LIM1, LIM2)
      С
           CG 1-16 TERMS 3,4
           DO 2060 ICG34 = 1, NCG34
      С
           ARAYU IS A REAL ARRAY EQUIV TO THE INTEGER
      С
           ARRAY ARRAYU
           IF (ARAYU (JOFSET + ICG34) . LE.0.0)GOTO 2050
           CALL COL23 (4HFTQC, FTQC2 (ICG34), II, 4HEPRO, 1HP, IROW, -1.0)
      2050 IF (ARAYU (KOFSET + JCG34) .LE.0.0)GOTO 2060
           CALL COL23 (4HFTQC, FTQC2 (ICG34), II, 4HEPRO, 1HP, IROW,
                       - WORK4 (II))
      2060 CONTINUE
      С
           CG 21, 22, 23, 24, TERMS 2,5,6
      С
```

E-4

APPENDIX F

AN OVERVIEW OF CURRENTLY

AVAILABLE STATISTICAL FORECASTING

0

0

1

[]

[]

[]

[]

1

1

-

[]

11

MODELS

Prepared for the General Research Corporation by Warren Rogers Associates, Inc., 12 Sunnyside Place, Newport, Rhode Island

APPENDIX F AN OVERVIEW OF CURRENTLY AVAILABLE FORECASTING MODELS

Summary

This paper provides an overview of statistical forecasting models. The objective is to provide an understanding of the strengths and limitations of what is currently available in this field rather than an analytical treatment of any specific model. While the various general classes of models are mentioned, the major emphasis is on time series extrapolative models as these would seem most appropriate for the forecasting problem being addressed by General Research. Annex Fl contains bibliography of the relevant literature. Quantitative forecasting models have received considerable attention in recent years; analytic endeavors can be stratified into three primary groups: survey and market research methods, time-series (extrapolative) methods, and causality methods. (A fourth group might include methods oriented towards the systematic development of a consensus of expert opinion; the Delphi method is most familiar in this regard.) The three data-based groups have been developed for use in rather distinct problem settings; although there is occasional debate regarding model choice across the groupings, most frequently models within a single group are suggested as appropriate in any given problem.

Survey and market research methods of forecasting are most generally applied to problems in which doubt exists as to the existence of a credible data base. Typical applications of such methods would encompass such decisions as new product introduction or the outcome of an election. Implicit in the choice of these methods is the assumption that the problem under study is sufficiently unique to warrant independent analysis. Survey and market research methods closely parallel standard methods of statistics, classical or Bayesian. They involve the formulation of the problem (e.g., the unknowns to be estimated or hypotheses to be tested), experimental design (e.g., what types and quantity of data to collect), actual data collection, and analysis.

Forecasting is a direct outcome of such endeavors, in the sense that the entire effort is directed towards the relevant "unknowns" in the decision environment. The primary drawbacks of methods within this broad category are expense and their "one-time" value. As a result, typical applications are generally restricted to non-recurring problems of significant consequence.

Causal models are applied to problems in which a dependent variable (or a set of dependent variables simultaneously) is believed related to a set of independent variables, with random errors intruding on the underlying structural relationship. The initial impetus for the development of models within this category was provided by various economic forecasting problems. Most familiar of the causal models is regression analysis, in which a dependent variable, y, is believed linearly related to a set of independent variables, X_1, \ldots, X_n , by the relation

 $y = B_0 + B_1 X_1 + \ldots + B_n X_n + U,$

where the B's are unknown coefficients and n is an unobserved error term. This single-equation model is readily extended to simultaneous-equation versons within which a set of dependent variables, y_1, \ldots, y_m are jointed determined within a set of m equations, each potentially involving both dependent (y) variables and independent (x) variables as well as

an error term. Forecasting with causal models involves two stages of analysis: the interpretation of the unknown parameters of the model from data on the y's and x's, and the preparation of forecasts from the estimated model. Subsidiary analytic efforts are often required in the latter stage, for example, when the independent variables themselves are not known with certainty. Forecast accuracy with causal models are governed by three interrelated factors. The quality of the estimates of the unknown model parameters, the relative magnitudes of the structural and random components of the model, and the degree of certainty regarding the inputs to the model when it is used for forecasting.

The econometric literature has supplied a wide variety of statistical proceedings for most causal models of both the single and simultaneous equation types. Given that a causal model can be postulated (i.e., the relevant independent variables identified) and the existence of a credible data base, standard procedures exist for both model estimation and forecasting. These procedures generally allow inferences to be drawn about both the adequacy of the model in explaining historical data and the accuracy of forecasts made using the model. When causality exists and is identified, models drawn from this general class are clearly appropriate. Efforts of this type are generally more durable than those previously discussed; while considerable one-time

expense may be incurred in formulating and estimating causal models, they are easily updated for repeated application.

The third category of analytic models, time-series or extrapolative models, applies to problems in which future values of a variable are to be predicted using prior observations on the same variable as a basis. Extrapolative models, as well as being important in their own right, are often substituted for causal models. Among the earliest applications of these models was to the problem of sales forecasting. This application suggests an important caveat in the use of extrapolative models. Sales, in most cases, can be said to be "caused" by such factors as pricing policy, advertising, etc. Using previous sales figures to forecast future sales may be reasonable if pricing and advertising policy have remained unchanged; on the other hand, past sales figures may be a poor guide to the future when the causing variables are changing.

The recent spurt of development efforts regarding extrapolative models has stemmed from an attempt to retain the parsimony inherent in this class of models while avoiding the need to implicitly assume an unchanging causal environment. The former feature is clearly one worth retaining: extrapolative models generally require the minimum attention for repeated application, drawing only upon the variable of interest for updating and forecasting. Only the initial
effort of choosing among extrapolative models is noteworthy in terms of expense or difficulty. The latter factor -- the true causal environment -- is the key drawback to models within this category. Extrapolative models cannot be expected to perform well when conditions change dramatically. A corollary to this drawback is that those models can be expected to perform best in short-term forecasting, since extrapolative forecasts of the distant future implicitly assume a stable environment.

The remainder of this paper discusses various extrapolative models. Section 2 outlines the simplest such models and expands upon the discussion of this section. Section 3 extends these models to consider various additional regularities in data which such models can accomodate. Section 4 describes more advanced methods developed in recent years which incorporate most of the earlier efforts; these models require initial analytic efforts, but retain the quality of parsimony once developed. Finally, Section 5 presents a summary and suggestions for consideration in the present application.

2. Simple Extrapolative Models

In this section, the general estimation problem to be considered is that of determining the future values of some variable x from a time series of observations, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_T , on the past values of this same variable. The observations

 x_1, \ldots, x_T are ordered chronologically, beginning with the value observed in the earliest period, x_1 , and progressing to the most recently observed value, x_T .

The most frequently employed extrapolative estimation techniques fall generally into the category of weighted averages of the previous history of observations. A weighted average forecast of the variable x, denoted \hat{x} , based upon the series of observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_T may be written as

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{w}_T \mathbf{x}_T$$

....

1

where w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_T are weights applied to the previous observations. Typically these weights are constrained to sum to one:

 $w_1 + w_2 + \ldots + w_T = 1.$

Simple and moving average estimators both fall within this general class. A simple average estimator is one in which the weight assigned to each past observation is equal to 1/T. Thus the simple average estimator is

 $\overline{\mathbf{x}} = (1/T)\mathbf{x}_1 + (1/T)\mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + (1/T)\mathbf{x}_T$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{x}_T}{T}$$
$$= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_i$$

A moving average estimator of length N assigns weights of (1/N) to the most recent N observations and weights of zero to the earliest (T-N) observations. Thus a moving average estimator of length N is

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (0) \mathbf{x}_{1} + \dots + (0) \mathbf{x}_{T-N} + (1/N) \mathbf{x}_{T-N+1} + \dots + (1/N) \mathbf{x}_{T}$$
$$= \frac{\mathbf{x}_{T-N+1} + \dots + \mathbf{x}_{T}}{N}$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=T-N+1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i} \quad .$$

For example, a moving average estimator of length N=3 would be defined as \cdot .

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{x_{T-2} + x_{T-1} + x_T}{3}$$

To examine the properties of these two estimators, consider the following simple process by which the actual values of the observations might be generated:

$$x_i = a + u_i$$
,

-

Particular I

where a is an unknown constant and u_i is a random disturbance with a mean of zero (i.e., $E(u_i) = 0$) and a variance of σ^2 (i.e., Var $(u_i) = \sigma^2$) that affects each period's observation independently. The observations, x_i , will thus tend to clus-

ter around the value a, with the spread determined by the variance of the random disturbance. For this simple process, it can be shown that both the simple and moving average estimators defined above have expected values equal to the constant a (i.e., $E(\tilde{x}) = a$); thus, on average, both will tend towards the deterministic component of the process generating the data. The variances of the two estimators, however, differ. For the simple average estimator, Var $(\mathbf{x}) =$ σ^2/T , while for the moving average estimator of length N, Var $(\tilde{x}) = \sigma^2/N$. Since the length of the full series exceeds the length of any moving average estimator (T>N), the variance of the simple average estimator will be smaller. From these calculations, the general inference might be drawn that a simple average estimator dominates a moving average estimator when the underlying process generating the observations is stable from period to period (other than an unpredictable component such as the disturbance u,).

1

1

This conclusion, however, is altered when the underlying process is less stable. For example, if for some reason the constant value, a, shifts to a different value, b, after some time t=M in the process (i.e.,

$$x_{i} = \begin{cases} a + u_{i} & \text{for } t \leq M \\ \\ b + u_{i} & \text{for } t > M, \end{cases}$$

where the disturbances u_i are as before), then it can be shown that the expected values of the simple and moving average estimators are no longer the same. The expected value of the simple average estimator can be determined to be

 $E(\hat{x}) = \frac{Ma + (T-M)b}{T} .$

1

1

Thus, future estimates using the simple average estimator will be forever biased away from b as a result of the inclusion of the data from the first M periods. A moving average estimator of length N, on the other hand, will have as its expected value $E(\tilde{x}) = b$ after period M+N (i.e., after the transitional period). Therefore, the general inference may be drawn that the moving average estimator adjusts better than the simple average estimator to changes in the underlying process.

The above simple examples suggest two generally desirable properties of extrapolative estimators: the ability to adjust to structural changes that occur in the underlying process and stability under the condition that observations may merely reflect random disturbances rather than changes in the actual process. As suggested above, the moving average estimator is preferable with regard to the first property and the simple average is preferable with regard to the second.

However, since both the presence of random disturbances and the possibility of structural change are typically present in most environments to which such estimation models might be applied, neither of these estimators is entirely satisfactory.

A third weighted average technique, known as exponential smoothing, combines the concepts underlying the two averaging techniques discussed above in that it both places weight upon all the past observations in making forecasts (as does the simple average) and weights more heavily data from the most recent periods (as do moving average models). The exponential smoothing technique places weight $w_i = \lambda(1-\lambda)^{T-i}$, where λ is a number between zero and one, on each observation x_i and thus can be written as

 $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda (1-\lambda)^{T-1} \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda (1-\lambda)^{T-2} \mathbf{x}_2 + \ldots + \lambda (1-\lambda) \mathbf{x}_{T-1} + \lambda \mathbf{x}_T .$

U

The weights applied to the observations thus decline geometrically from the most recent observation to the earliest observation.

As the length of the series of past observations grows, the sum of the weights approaches one. In fact, since for any reasonable large value of T the weights applied to early observations are small (e.g., for T=20 and λ =0.5, the weight w₁ applied to the first observation is 0.00000095), the time

series will be considered to be arbitrarily long. The exponential smoothing equation can then be re-written, using summation notation, as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda (1-\lambda)^{i} \mathbf{x}_{T-i}$$

1

0

0

0

0

Î

1

1

This equation can be re-written in several useful ways. First, by separating the term applying to the most recent observation (i.e., λx_T), the remaining terms can be rewritten as

 $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1-\lambda)^{i} x_{T-i}$ = $(1-\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1-\lambda)^{i-1} x_{T-i}$ = $(1-\lambda) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda(1-\lambda)^{i} x_{T-1-i}$ $= (1-\lambda) \tilde{x}_{-1}.$

where \tilde{x}_{-1} is the exponential smoothing forecast after T-1 observations (but before the Tth observation). The exponential smoothing equation can then be rewritten as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{T}} + (1-\lambda)\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{-1}$$

i.e., the predicted value \tilde{x} is a weighted average of the previous prediction \tilde{x}_{-1} and the most recent observation x_T . The choice of a smoothing constant (λ) close to zero places greater weight on the previous forecast than on the most recent observation; conversely, a value of λ close to one places relatively greater weight on the most recent data.

A simple rearrangement of the above equation suggests a third interpretation of the technique. Rewriting the equation as

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{T}} + (1-\lambda) \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{-1}$$
$$= \lambda \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{T}} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{-1} - \lambda \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{-1}$$

 $= \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{-1} + \lambda(\mathbf{x}_{T} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1})$

[]

1

suggests that the new forecast is obtained by revising the previous forecast \tilde{x}_{-1} by some fraction λ of the error $(x_T - \tilde{x}_{-1})$ between the actual observation and the previous forecast.

The choice of a value for the smoothing constant, λ , like the choice between a simple and moving average model, depends in part upon the trade-off between stability in the presence of random disturbances and the speed of adjustment to changes in the underlying process. A low value of λ implies a preference for the former characteristic in the estimator; a higher value of λ implies a preference for the latter. In practice, one might choose a value by dividing the time series of observations into two parts, constructing exponential smoothing estimators for various values of λ on the first part of the series, and choosing the value that forecasts best (e.g., in terms of average absolute error, average squared error, (or Theil's U-statistic), on the second part of the series.

The extreme simplicity of these models allows very efficient computer implementation. Once a particular form (e.g., length of moving average or value of λ) is selected, minimal storage is required. A moving average requires storing only the most recent N observations; exponential smoothing only the most recent forecast and the current observation.

3. Inclusion of Regularities in Extrapolative Models

11

Many data series of interest can be decomposed into identifiable elements: a permanent component, a trend component, and a periodic (seasonal or cyclical) component. Decomposition methods allow the extraction of these components separately from the time series for use in preparing a series of forecasts. Such methods can be applied by a minor extension of the exponential smoothing models described in Section 2. The extension essentially requires only the use of three parallel smoothing models -- one for estimating each compo-

nent of the model. Letting

 X_t = actual observation in period t \overline{X}_t = estimated permanent component for period t S_t = estimated periodic index for period t A_t = estimated trend for period t L = number of periods in a cycle, and λ_i = smoothing coefficients,

the decomposition model can be developed as follows. First, the permanent component \overline{X}_{+} is estimated:

$$\overline{X}_{t} = \lambda_{1} \left(\frac{X_{t}}{S_{t-L}} \right) + (1-\lambda_{1}) \left(\overline{X}_{t-1} + A_{t-1} \right).$$

The estimate is a weighted average of the observed (deseasonalized) value and the previously predicted permanent value. Next, periodic indices are updated:

$$S_t = \lambda_2 \left(\frac{X_t}{\overline{X}_t} \right) + (1 - \lambda_2) S_{t-L}$$

again as a weighted average of observation and previous prediction. Finally, trend estimates are prepared:

$$A_{t} = \lambda_{3}(\overline{X}_{t} - \overline{X}_{t-1}) + (1 - \lambda_{3})A_{t-1}$$

as a weighted average of the observed and previously predicted

trends. Using the decomposed indices, forecasts for future pcriods can be prepared. A forecast, $X_{t,N}$, made in period t for period t+N (i.e., N periods away) can be recomposed using the equation

 $X_{t,N} = (\overline{X}_{t} + NA_{t})S_{t-L+N}$

Several observations can be made regarding this extension to the basic extrapolative models. First, the smoothing constants (λ 's) retain their interpretation: larger values place high weight on recent observations and allow rapid response to changes in data, while smaller values provide slower response and thus greater stability. Choices of values for the λ 's thus again reflect the underlying trade-off described in Section 2. Secondly, little storage is required for use of this model despite its extended coverage. Only the current observation, the current estimates of the permanent component and trend, and one cycle's work of periodic indices are required for use of the model. Rapid updating and forecasting are thus facilitated.

4. Recent Advances in Extrapolative Modelling

The classical methods described above have recently been extended by the developments of Box and Jenkins and their associates. Their contribution consisted basically

of the development of efficient estimation techniques for a general class of time-series models now familiarly known as ARIMA (auto-regressive integrated moving average) models. The general application of these models is seen from a consideration of the nature of time-series data. As suggested in Section 2, an observation X can be considered to have both a premanent (stationary) component, a, and a random (stochastic) component, u:

X = a + u.

The ARIMA models are developed from a consideration of how a series of observations X_1, \ldots, X_T can be generated from such a process. First, like the simple exponential smoothing model of Section 2, each observation could be related to the previous observations by a linear model of the form

 $X_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}X_{t-1} + \alpha_{2}X_{t-2} + \ldots + u,$

or, in finite form,

1

 $X_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1} X_{t-1} + \alpha_{2} X_{t-2} + \dots + \alpha_{p} X_{t-p} + u$.

The above form is referred to as auto-regressive in that the equation resembles the regression models described in Section 1, with the dependent variable X_t expressed as a function of its own past values X_{t-1}, \ldots, X_{t-p} .

A second possible way in which the series could be generated resembles the moving average process described earlier. The actual random disturbance affecting the tth observation could be written as a moving average of the form

 $\mathbf{U}_{t} = \mathbf{V}_{t} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}\mathbf{V}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}\mathbf{V}_{t-2} + \dots + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{q}\mathbf{V}_{t-q},$

where the V's represent independent disturbances. The U's, however, are correlated over time and resemble a moving average.

1

1

T

Combining the above two models yields a process of the form

 $\mathbf{X}_{t} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1} \mathbf{X}_{t-1} + \dots + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t-p} \mathbf{X}_{t-p}$

+
$$\mathbf{v}_t + \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \mathbf{v}_{t-1} + \ldots + \boldsymbol{\beta}_q \mathbf{v}_{t-q}$$

which is referred to as a mixed auto-regressive moving average process of orders p and q (or ARIMA (p,q)).

The final extension employed in their model can be related to the discussion of trends in Section 3. Since most time-series are not stationary, and violate the simple form x = a + u upon which the above discussion was based, one can employ differencing operations to allow the series to move freely. If, for example, a simple trend exists in the data, first differences

$$Y_t = X_t - X_{t-1}$$

will be stationary, and the ARIMA general model can be applied to them. The general ARIMA model of orders p, d, and q is thus merely the ARIMA model of orders p and q applied to the dth differences of the original series. (Note: the other regularity discussed in Section 3, seasonality, may be considered a special case of the correlative model described above.)

The ARIMA (p,d,q) model thus represents a most general candidate for extrapolative forecasting, one which encompasses a broad spectrum of underlying processes and allows great flexibility in terms of fitting time-series. Two statistical problems are required to be confronted in the use of such a model: the selection of the orders (p,d,q) of the process, and the subsequent estimation of the model parameters. Standard statistical programs have been developed for both phases of the analysis, and can be routinely employed to yield forecasting equations. These programs additionally yield statistics regarding goodness of fit and forecast accuracy. The choice between these methods and those described earlier is basically one of cost versus effectiveness: correctly applied, these models will certainly outperform the simpler ones; initial estimation (and to a lesser degree, regular use) will be more time consuming.

5. Conclusions

As can be seen from the foregoing, the thrust of developmental efforts in time series forecasting has been to improve reliability by incorporating such factors as underlying causal variables, seasonality, trend, nonstationarity and serial correlation. The improvements to be achieved by such modifications will naturally depend on the particular series. Their contribution in general becomes critical when long range forecasts are required.

The cost of achieving such improvements lies in the added complexity of the calculations and additional data storage. It should be noted however, that most of this added cost is the one time cost of the analysis necessary to derive the appropriate model. The increases in computer storage and running time are usually small. For example, a simple one stage exponential smoothing model could be substantially improved by a one time analysis designed to provide an initial multi-stage estimate and appropriate weighting constants. As is shown in Section 2, this improvement can be incorporated in subsequent model updates without additional calculations or storage requirements. Likewise, incorporation of seasonality and trend requires a similar one time analysis but adds only two additional variables to the data base.

Where only a few series are of interest it is generally desirable to proceed to the more sophisticated Box-Jenkins

forms since the increment in regular running time and storage is small while the improvement in reliability can be great. In the case at hand, however, where approximately 20,000 series will be estimated regularly, even a small increment of either running time or storage assumes unusual significance. It would therefore be prudent to demonstrate that significant improvements are achievable before proceeding to more sophisticated modeling.

I would recommend that such one-time analyses proceed as follows. For a small subset of the series, determine whether the current model could be improved without further cost in running time or storage. Second, analyze these series for seasonality and trend and determine the additional costs and benefits of incorporating them. Third, determine the appropriate Box-Jenkins model, estimate parameters for these series, and again calculate the costs and benefits of using them.

Finally, I would recommend that consideration be given to conducting a cross-series analysis to determine how these series relate to one another. It would be very surprising if many of these series were not functionally related. A very recent development in time series analysis which is not addressed in this paper permits estimation of a series as a function of other series. The objective of such analysis would be to determine if more reliable forecasts could be

achieved by the use of sophisticated models on a few series which could then be extrapolated to the rest. My intuition is that this would prove to be the case and that it would provide the best long term solution.

0

0

0

1

[]

1

[]

[]

1

1

1

1

[]

ANNEX F1

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aigner, D. J., "A Compendium on Estimation of the Autoregressive-Moving Average Model from Time Series Data," <u>International Economic Review</u>, October, 1971.
- Ayres, Robert U., 1969. <u>Technological Forecasting</u> and Long Range Planning, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Box, G. E. P., "Use and abuse of regression," <u>Technometrics</u>, 8, 625, 1966.
- Box, G. E. P., and N. R. Draper, "The Bayesian estimation of common parameters from several responses," <u>Biometrika</u>, 52, 355, 1965.
- Box, G. E. P., and G. M. Jenkins, "Some statistical aspects of adaptive optimization and control," Jour. Royal Stat. Soc., B24, 297, 1962.
- 6. Box, G. E. P., and G. M. Jenkins, "Further contributions to adaptive quality control: simultaneous estimation of dynamics: non-zero costs," <u>Bull.</u> <u>Intl. Stat. Inst., 34th Session</u>, 943, Ottowa, Canada, 1963.
- Box, G.E.P., and G. M. Jenkins, "Mathematical models for adaptive control and optimization," <u>A.I.Ch.E.-</u> I. Chem. E. Symp. Series, 4, 61, 1965.
- Box, G. E. P., and G. M. Jenkins, "Some recent advances in forecasting and control, I," <u>Applied Stat.</u>, 17, 91, 1968.
- Box, G. E. P., and G. M. Jenkins, "Discrete models for forecasting and control," <u>Encyclopedia of</u> <u>Linguistics, Information and Control</u>, 162, Pergamon Press, 1969.
- Box, G. E. P. and G. M. Jenkins, <u>Time Series Analysis:</u> <u>Forecasting and Control</u>, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1970.
- 11. Box, G. E. P., G. M. Jenkins and D. W. Bacon, "Models for forecasting seasonal and non-seasonal time series," Advanced Seminar on Spectral Analysis of <u>Time Series</u>, ed. B. Harris, 271, John Wiley, New York, 1967.

- 12. Box, G. E. P. and D. A. Pierce, "Distribution of Residual Autocorrelations in Autoregressive-Integrated Moving Average Time Series Models," <u>Journal of the</u> <u>American Statistical Assn.</u>, 1970.
- 13. Brown, R. G., <u>Statistical Forecasting for Inventory</u> Control, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1959.
- Brown, R. G.: "Smoothing, Forecasting, and Prediction of Discrete Time Series," Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
- Brown, R. G. and R. F. Meyer, "The fundamental theorem of exponential smoothing," <u>Operations Res.</u>, 9, 673, 1961.
- Brown, T. M., "Standard Errors of Forecast of a Complete Econometric Model," Econometrica 22, 1954.
- 17. Butler, William F., and Robert A. Kavesh, 1966. <u>How</u> <u>Business Economists Forecast</u>, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Chambers, J. C., S. K. Mullich, and D. D. Smith: How to Choose the Right Forecasting Technique, Harvard Business Review, 49:45-74 (July-August 1971).
- 19. Christ, C. F., <u>Econometric Models and Methods</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1966.
- 20. Cox, D. R., "Prediction by exponentially weighted moving averages and related methods." Jour. Royal Stat. Soc., B23, 414, 1961.
- 21. Dhrymes, P. J., <u>Econometrics: Statistical Foundations</u> and Applications, Harper and Row, New York, 1970.
- Goldberger, A. S., <u>Econometric Theory</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1964.
- 23. Goldberger, A. S., A. L. Nagar, and H. S. Odeh, "The Covariance Matrices of Reduced Form Coefficients and of Forecasts for a Structural Econometric Model," Econometrica 29, 1961.
- Grenander, U. and M. Rosenblatt, <u>Statistical Analysis</u> of <u>Stationary Time Series</u>, John Wiley, New York, 1957.

- 25. Hannan, E. J., <u>Time Series Analysis</u>, Methuen, London, 1960.
- 26. Helmer, Olaf, 1966. <u>The Use of the Delphi Technique--</u> <u>Problems of Educational Innovations</u>, The RAND <u>Corporation</u>, Santa Monica, California, December, pp. 2-3.
- 27. Holt, C. C., "Forecasting trends and seasonals by exponentially weighted moving averages," <u>O.N.R.</u> <u>Memorandum, No. 52</u>, Carnegie Institute of Technology, 1957.
- 28. Holt, C. C., F. Modigliani, J. F. Muth and H. A. Simon, <u>Planning Production, Inventories and Work Force</u>, <u>Prentice-Hall</u>, New Jersey, 1963.
- 29. Hood, W. C. and T. C. Koopmans, <u>Studies in Econometric</u> <u>Method</u>, Cowles Commission Monograph 14, 1953.
- Jenkins, J. G. and D. G. Watts, <u>Spectral Analysis and</u> <u>Its Applications</u>, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1968.
- Klein, L. R., "An Essay on the Theory of Economic Prediction," Yrjo Johnssonin Lectures, Helsinki, 1968.
- Koopmans, T. C., <u>Statistical Inference in Dynamic</u> <u>Economic Models</u>, Cowles Commission Monograph No. 10, 1950.
- 33. Leuthold, R. M., A. J. A. MacCormick, A. Schmitz and D. G. Watts, "Forecasting Daily Hog Prices and Quantities: A Study of Alternative Forecasting Techniques," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 1970.
- McLaughlin, R. L., and J. J. Boyle, 1968. Short Term Forecasting, American Marketing Association Booklet.
- 35. Muth, J. F. "Optimal properties of exponentially weighted forecasts of time series with permanent and transitory components," <u>Jour. Amer. Stat.</u> <u>Assoc.</u>, 55, 299, 1960.
- Nelson, Charles R., <u>Applied Time Series Analysis</u>, Holden-Day, Inc., 1973.
- Nerlove, M., "Review of Box and Jenkins," <u>Journal of</u> <u>Business</u>, 1971.

- 38. Pierce, D. A., "Distribution of Residual Autocorrelations in the Regression Model with Autoregressive-Moving Average Errors," <u>Journal of the Royal</u> <u>Statistical Society (B)</u>, 1971.
- 39. Steckler, H. O.: Forecasting with Econometric Models: An Evaluation, Econometrica, 36:437-463 (1968).
- 40. Steckler, H. O., and F. W. Burch, 1968. "Selected Economic Data: Accuracy vs. Reporting Speed," Journal of American Statistical Association, 436-444 (June).
- 41. Theil, H.: "Applied Economic Forecasting," North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1966.
- 42. Theil, H., <u>Economic Forecasts and Policy</u>, North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1970.
- 43. Theil, H., <u>Principles of Econometrics</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1971.
- 44. Wheelwright, Steven C. and Spyros Makridakis, "Forecasting with Adaptive Filtering", <u>Revue Francaise</u> <u>d'Automatique</u>, <u>d'Informatique et de Recherche</u> Operationelle, Winter, 1973 (Paris).
- 45. Wilson, G. J., "Factorization of the generating function of a pure moving average process," <u>SIAM Jour.</u> Num. Analysis, 6, 1, 1969.
- 46. Winters, P., "Forecasting Sales by Exponentially Weighted Moving Averages," <u>Management Science</u>, 324-342 (April 1960).

1

47. Winters, P. R., "Forecasting trends and seasonals by exponentially weighted moving averages," <u>Management</u> <u>Science</u>, 6, 324, 1960.

APPENDIX G SMOOTHING CONSTANT CONTROL BY MONTH OF HISTORY

INTRODUCTION

In previous versions of QFAC, the parameter "MONTH" in the SC CON user control file was used to specify an accession cohort. When the user wanted to adjust smoothing constants for a particular calendar month(s) of history, he had to determine the affected month of service for each available accession cohort and adjust the smoothing constant separately for each accession cohort.

This requirement is eliminated in ELIM-IV. The user can now specify an adjustment to the smoothing constant by calendar month(s) which will automatically be applied over all relevant accession cohorts.

CARD INPUT SPECIFICATIONS

To use this expanded capability, a new set of card images should be inserted between the "*SC CON" and "9999" cards. This (optional) deck will start with:

*HIST MON

and will end with:

9998

In between will be a set of cards in the usual form to specify the following:

- "LTYPE", followed by a card with the loss type or "ALL"
- "MONLO", followed by a card with the starting calendar month for the modification, in YYMM format
- "MONHI", followed by a card with the ending calendar month for the modification, in YYMM format

- "MONI", followed by a card, in YYMM format, which will set MONLO and MONHI to the same calendar month.
- "SCVAL", followed by a card, in F10.8 format, that defines a multiplier for the smoothing constant. This multiplier is initialized to 1.0 by the program
- "DO", which calls the modifications defined by the above

There is no limit on the number of cards in this deck. The user should also note that there is no resetting of the controls after a "DO", so each of LTYPE, MONLO, MONHI and SCVAL will remain unchanged until overridden.

[]

-

1

APPENDIX H

REVISED EQUATIONS IN THE MATRIX GENERATOR FOR THE EXPANDED C-GROUPS

INTRODUCTION

This appendix displays the equations in the Matrix Generator which have been modified for the expanded C-group capability. The development involved the treatment of terms of enlistment 3 and 4 and terms of enlistment 2, 5, and 6 as two distinct categories. The equations were modified to accommodate the number of C-groups designated for combined terms 3 and 4 (number of C-groups denoted NCG34) and the number of C-groups designated for combined terms 2, 5, and 6 (number of C-groups denoted NCG256). New equations were developed to reflect upper and lower limits pertaining to the combined terms of service (for example to constrain first term enlistments, terms of service 3 and 4 years, to be no less than a specified fraction of total enlistment); new variables and user tables were also developed to reflect C-group definition and treatment of the two term of enlistment categories.

The Matrix Generator equations in COMPLIP-G2 were "hard-wired" to "track" first-term enlistees for 21 months. The Matrix Generator equations have been modified to allow for a user-specified number of "tracking months," the maximum number of months being 54.

All revised Matrix Generator equations have been entered into the source code.

C-GROUP MODIFICATIONS AND CHANGE IN TRACKING MONTHS FROM 21 TO tt, WHERE tt IS A USER-SPECIFIED INPUT

1

1

E

[]

[]

0

[]

0

[]

Ţ

1

1

	DEFINITION	FT enlistees, terms of service 3 and 4 years of characteristic group jj in month ii	FT enlistees, terms of service 2, 5, and 6 years of C-group jj in month ii			
COMPLIP-G3 VARIABLES	INDEX RANGE	ii = min $(A_E^{+1}, A_{TE}^{-3}), \dots,$ M+5, jj=1,,NCG34; optional for selected jj for ii = ξ_K, \dots, A_E	ii = min $(A_E^{+1}, A_{TE}^{-3}), \dots,$ M+5, jj=1,,NCG256; optional for selected jj for ii = ξ_{K},\dots,A_E	ii = π(2)-q+1,,M+5-tt	FT RA remain in the Army at the end of the tt month of service following enlistment in month ii.	This variable is aggregated for all terms of service (2,3,4,5,6) treated as 1 C-group
	COLUMN NAME	FTQCjjii	FTQ2JJ11	FTRtt.11		

H-2



		PURPOSE	Minimizes the weighted sum of first-term en- listments for terms of service 3 and 4 years for all C-groups plus the weighted sum of first-term enlist- ments for terms of ser- vice 2, 5, and 6 years for all C-groups. Values of $W_0(jj,P)$ and $W_0(jj,P)$ can be either positive (causing the corresponding enlist- ments to be minimized) or negative (causing the corresponding enlist- ments to be minimized) or negative (causing the corresponding enlist- ments to be minimized) or zero (removing the corresponding enlist- ments to be minimized) or zero (removing the corresponding enlistments from the influence of the objective function).	
	COMPLIP-G3 OBJECTIVE FUNCTIONS	ROW NAME OBJECTIVE FUNCTION	0BJ04 Minimize $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{p=A_{j}^{j}+1}^{NG} \frac{NG236}{1-1!} + \sum_{j=A_{j}^{j}+1}^{NG236} \sum_{j=A_{j}^{j}+1}^{N} \frac{NG236}{1-1!} + \sum_{p=A_{j}^{j}+1}^{N} \frac{NG236}{1-1!} + \sum_{j=A_{j}^{j}+1}^{N} \frac{NG236}{1-1!} $	
-	Sector Contractor Sector	and the second second	H-3	

		PURPOSE	Computes enlisted separations. Note: Equation is written for N>1. To run N=1, dummy data will be entered (small number) for at least 1 other C-group.	
	Constraints Required COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS	ROW NAME CONSTRAINT - REQUIRED	E.ESEPt1 ESEP11-FTL11-AUSL11 + Σ u=π(1) u=π(1) u=π(1) kk=u-o+1 y=11-kk+1 + 2 Σ NGC34 11-MNSRIR+1 + 2 Σ RIRQ(11+0-1,1)1,u)FTQC3J1kk u=kk-o+1 112m(2)-o+114MNSRIR-1 NGC256 11-MNSRIR+1 + 2 Σ RIRZ(11+0-1,1)1,u)FTQC3J1kk 112m(2)-o+114MNSRIR-1 NGC256 11-MNSRIR+1 + 2 Σ RIRZ(11+0-1,1)1,u)FTQC3J1kk 112m(2)-o+114MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+114MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+114MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+114MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+14MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+14MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+14MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+14MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+14MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+14MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+14MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-o+14MNSRIR-1 112m(2)-0+14M	Kient (2)-oti uukitto-1
1			· H-4	

PURPOSE 0 [] [] for ii = $A_{g}+1, \ldots, M+5$; where the terms involving retention rates vanish whenever the summation lower limit exceeds the 2 Δ n₂ (jj, u, γ) FTQ2jjkk u=π(2) kk=u-α+1 γ=4 upper limit. This is also true for Δ_{g} FTL..ii defined as [] COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS ∆ 7(jj,u,Y)FTQCjjkk Z RIRD(11+a-1,u)wDRAFT.kk=EPSL11+RTDN11 [] [] **CONSTRAINT-REQUIRED** for N>1 and for iismin $[\pi(2)-\alpha+tt,M+5]$ Y=11-kk+1 for N21 and for $\pi(2)$ - α +1+tt2(12M+5 [] NCG256 11+α-1 Σ Σ Y=11-kk+1 kk=u-a+1 [] Σ u=π(2) 11+0-1 aff -NCG34 21-FF kk=π(1)-α+1 11-MNSRIR+1 △ FTL...11 = u=kk+a-1 follows: Where: 4 2 Process of ROW NAME 1

1

H-5

PURPOSE 4 years by month of reenlistment, by month of accession used with FTQ2jjkk, same as above except applies to retention rates for FT with terms of service 3 and [] The terms involving the immediate reenlistment rates vanish when the immediate reenlistments are not computed by rates. in the first usage with FTQCjjkk represents IRG [] FT with terms of service 2, 5, and 6 years. Δ₈n₂(jj,u, γ)FTQ2jjkk [] u+α-1 Σ Δ_sn(jj,u,γ)FTqcjjkk kk=u-α+1 COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS Π $\sum_{u=\pi(2)}^{i_1+\alpha-1-tt} \Delta_{g\eta(u,\gamma)} FTRtt.kk$ [] CONSTRAINT-REQUIRED ii+α-1 Σ u=ii+α-tt kk=u-α+1 γ=11-kk+1 [] Y=11-kk+1 Y=11-kk+1 jj = C-group kk=u-a+1 NCC256 [] [+ $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}^{\mathbf{FTL}\dots\mathbf{11}} = \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}}^{\mathsf{NGG34}}$ + 1 Contraction of the second second second RIRQ RIR2 Toronto and Note: Concession of the local division of the loca ROW NAME -[] H-6

PURPOSE								Computes transients 12 of 36 accounts vary, the other 24	RHS by OTHRA.
COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS CONSTRAINT: - REQUIRED	RIRF used with FTRtt.kk represents IRG retention rates for FT enlistees of all terms of service, tracked as 1 C-group	RNSF Non-separation loss retention rates	where for ii≤min [π(2)-α+tt,M+5]	Δ _s n(jj,u,y) applies to FT with term of service 3 and 4 years where jj= C-group, u= month of accession, y= length of service	Δ _s η ₂ (jj,u,γ)applies to FT with term of service 2, 5, and 6 years, where jj= C-group, u= month of accession, γ= length of service	for π(2)-α+1+tt≤ii≤M+5	$\Delta_{g}\eta(u,\gamma)$ applies to FT, all terms of service	$1 - \sum_{\nu=-9}^{-1} f_1(\nu, ii) \text{NPSGkk} - \sum_{\nu=1}^{0} f_2(\nu, ii) \sum_{\substack{kk=\pi(2)-\alpha+1 \\ \nu=-8}} \text{SIRF}(ii+\alpha-1+\nu, u) = 1$	$k = \sum_{\nu=1}^{0} f_2(\nu, i1) \sum_{k=\pi(1)-\alpha+1}^{i1+\gamma-\text{MNSRIR}+1} \text{NLDRAFT.kk}$ $\nu = 1 \qquad kk = \pi(1) - \alpha + 1$ $u = kk + \alpha - 1$
								ITRN	FTRtt.
NAME								rrn11	

Ш

PURPOSE [] [] [] when $i_1+\sqrt{\pi}(1)-\alpha+1+tt$ and $i_1+\sqrt{\pi}(2)-\alpha+1+tt$, respectively; or when the immediate reenlistments are user input. Any = OTHRA + $\sum_{y=1}^{0} f_2(y, 11) [TIRG(11+v) + \sum_{y=9}^{0} f_6(v, 11) REBR(11+v)]$ involving retention rates RIRD and RIRF are not present reenlistments not accounted for by the retention rates for ii=A_{TI}+1,...,M+5; (where $A_{TI}^{\geq A_E}$ and where the terms Π NCG34 0 i_{1+v} -MNSRIR+1 $\Sigma \Sigma f_2(v,11) \Sigma RIRQ(i_{1+\alpha}-1+v,u,j_j)FTQCjjkk$ $j_{j=1} v^{=1} kk=max(\pi(2)-\alpha+1,i_{1+v}-tt+1)$ $-f_{3}(11) \text{ ESEP..11} - f_{4}(11) \text{ OFFL..11} - \sum_{v=5}^{0} f_{5}(v, 11) | \text{ OFFG..kk}$ NUU2D6 0 11+V-MNSRIR+1 Σ Σ f₂(v,11) Σ RIR2(11+0.-1+V,u,JJ)FTQ2JJkk JJ=1 V=1 kk=mav(π(?)..... COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS Π kk=max(π(2)-α+1,11+V-tt+1) for 11≥π(2)-α+1+MNSRIR-1 for ii>m(2)-a+1+MNSRIR-1 [] CONSTRAINT - REQUIRED [] [] are included in the RHS). Ī -ROW NAME H-8

	PURPOSE						Computes total NPS gains for each pro- jection month.	Computes trainees.
COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS	CONSTRAINT - REQUIRED	Notes: The index 11+α-1+µin RIRF, RIRD, RIRQ, and RIR2 gives the projection month (in the IPM scale) in which the immediate reenlist- ments occur; the second index u is the month of accession and in RIRQ nad RIR2, the third index represents the C-groups for terms of service 3, 4, and 2, 5, and 6 respectively.	jj=1,,NCG34 jj=1,,NCG256	RIRQ FT IRG retention rates, term of service 3 and 4	RIR2 FT IRG retention rates, term of service 2, 5, and 6	Terms involving the retention rates <u>vanish</u> whenever the summation lower limit exceeds the upper limit.	NCG34 NCG256 NPSG11- ω DRAFT.11 - Σ FTQCJJ11 - Σ FTQ2JJ11 = 0 JJ=1 JJ=1 for 11=min(A_{TE} +1, A_{TE} -3),,M+5	for ii=A _{TE} +1,,M+5 (where $A_{TE} \ge A_E$) TRES11- $\sum f_1(v,11) n(c,u,\gamma) w DRAFT.kk$ v=-8 $c=1u=max(-v+1,11+\alpha-1)+v\gamma=-v+1k=11+vk=11+v$
	ROW NAME						E.NPSG11	E. TRES11
					H-9			

0

0

[]

[]

[]

[] []

[]

[]

[]

1

[]

PURPOSE [] [] [] $\eta(c=2,u,\gamma,jj)$, FT, term of service 3 and 4 years, characteristic group jj retention rates 1 $\eta_2 \, (c=2,u,\gamma,jj),FT$, term of service 2, 5, and 6 years, characteristic group jj retention rates COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS IJ = $\frac{0}{\text{TREAD11}}$ + $\sum_{j} f_{2}(v_{j}11) \max |\eta(c,u,\gamma,jj)| PSG..kk$ jj c=2 u=max(-v+1,ii+α-1)+v CONSTRAINT - REQUIRED 0 $u=max(-v+1,i1+\alpha-1)+v$ IJ kk=11+v Y=--41 γ=-υ+1 kk=11+v kk=11+v Y=-V+1 [] c=2 c=2 v=-8 + v=-8 NCG256 T 1**-**[[NCG34 ETJJ: E21J: **E.TRES11** (cont.) - Σ jj=1W 1 Tool of the local division of the local divi ROW NAME i H-10

		PURPOSE	Constrains total FT enlistments in each month for all terms of service (2,3,4, 5,6) to be at least as great as the WAC NPS gains in the same month.		
Π					
[]					
ĺ١					
1]	FRAINTS		051	•	
Ü	3 CONST	EQUIREI	NNP SG. 1		
IJ	9-4114	T - R	2111+1		
Π	CO	NSTRAIN	исс256 , м		
[]		8	- 1)111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 111		A STATE OF STATE OF STATE
ſ			$\sum_{r=1}^{r} \frac{1}{r} $		
Π			2,7 ¥		
U					
0		IAME	ㅋ		Contraction of the second
0		ROW N	Г. Д .		
[]			H-11		And a second

		PURPOSE	Constrains the value of the expression used for OBJO4 to the neighborhood of the value obtained	when OBJ04 is used as the objective function. Prior to solution with OBJ04, $\frac{V_4}{V_4}$ is set equal to a large positive number.	Subsequent to solution with OBJ04, \overline{V}_4 is set to (value of the functional) + ε_4 where $\varepsilon_4>0$. Required if	0bJ04 18 used.	For each month for which COMPLIP determines the level of NPS gains in each C-group for terms of service 3 and	4, and 2,5, and 6, computes the total of these gains who remain in the Army at the end of the tt month of	N>1 and π(2)-α±0.5-(tt+1).
	COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS	IE CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL	$ \underbrace{ \underbrace{ \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			NCG34	$\frac{1}{1} \qquad \text{FTRtt.ii} - \sum_{\substack{j \neq 1 \\ R=ii \\ R=ii}} \left[\text{[rtqc]jii]} \right]$	- ∑[n ₂ (JJ,R,P-R)] [FTQ2JJ11]=0 JJ=1 R=11 P=R+tt-1	for ii= $\pi(2)-\alpha+1,\ldots,M+5-tt$ if N>1 and $\pi(2)-\alpha\leq M+5-(tt+1)$
0		ROW NAM	C.0BJ.0				EFTREEL		
COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS CONTRAINT OF CONSTRAINTS CONSTRAINTS CONSTRAINT OF CONSTRAINTS CONSTRAINT OF CONSTRAINTS CONSTRAINT OF CONSTRAINTS E.FTL.11 FTL11 FTTL11 FTL		PURPOSE	Note: Only for N>1; see note on page K-4. Computes losses for FT enlistments for terms of service 3 and 4 years and 2, 5, and 6 years for each month for which the number of enlistments is not user-specified to the IPM. This con- straint is required if $\pi(2) - \alpha < M+5$, i.e., if FT enlistments of each c-group for each term of service are not user-specified inputs to the IPM for all projection months.						
--	------------------------	------------------------------	--						
	COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS	A NAME CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL	If N-1 If N-1 $r^{H11} - \int_{JJ=1}^{NG34} \sum_{\substack{k=\pi}{k=\pi}}^{P} \sum_{\substack{i=1=p\\k=\pi}}^{NG34} [a_{ij}, a_{ij}, b_{j}] [rrocjjkk]$ $r^{H11} - \int_{JJ=1}^{NG34} \sum_{\substack{k=\pi}{k=\pi}}^{P} [a_{ij}, a_{ij}, b_{j}] [rrocjjkk]$ $n^{NG256} \sum_{\substack{k=\pi\\k=\pi}}^{P} [a_{ij}, a_{ij}] [rrocjjkk] = \overline{i} \overline{i} \overline{i} \overline{i} \overline{i} \overline{i} \overline{i} \overline{i}$						

		PURPOSE		Assigns values to FT enlistments, terms of service 3 and 4 years, of each C-group for five months beyond the end of the published manpower program Required if $\pi(2)-\alpha < M+5$. Assigns values to FT enlistments terms of service 2,5, and 6 vears of each C-group	for five months be- yond the end of the published manpower program. Required if $\pi(2)-\alpha < M+5$.
	COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS	CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL	$-\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{T}\\\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{P}\\\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{P}\\\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{P}\\\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{P}\\\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{P}=\pi(2)-\alpha+1\\\mathbf{t}\mathbf{t},\ldots,\mathbf{M}+5, \text{ if } \pi(2)-\alpha\leq\mathbf{M}+5-(\mathbf{t}\mathbf{t}-1)$	Frqcjjii - Frqcjjkk = 0 for ii=max $[\pi(2)-\alpha+1,M+1],\ldots,M+5$ kk=ii-12 $jj=1,\ldots,NcG34$ IF $\pi(2)-\alpha < M+5$ $jj=1,\ldots,NcG34$ IF $\pi(2)-\alpha < M+5$ for ii=max $[\pi(2)-\alpha+1,M+1],\ldots,M+5$	kk=ii-12 jj=1,,NCG256 IF π(2)-α <m+5< th=""></m+5<>
		ROW NAME		E.FQJJ11	
Π				н-14	

	PURPOSE	Constrains FT enlist- ments, terms of ments, terms of service 3 and 4 years, C-group jj, to be no less than a specified fraction of total enlistments in select- ed FYs.	Constrains FT enlist- ments, terms of service 2, 5, and 6 years, C-group jj to be no less than a specified fraction of total enlistments in select- ed FYs.		
COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS	CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL	$\begin{aligned} \xi_{i+1}^{-1} & \sum_{k=\xi_{i}}^{2} \operatorname{FTQCJJJkk} + q_{L}(ij_{1},i) \sum_{kk=\xi_{i}}^{2} (\operatorname{NPSGkk-wDRAFT.kk}) \leq 0 \\ \operatorname{kk=}_{\xi_{i}} & \operatorname{Ke=}_{k} \left[\sum_{k=\xi_{i}}^{2} (\operatorname{NPSGkk-wDRAFT.kk}) \leq 0 \\ & \operatorname{For selected values of 1 in the range 1 \leq i \leq Y \\ & \operatorname{For selected values of 1 in the range 1 \leq i \leq Y \\ & \operatorname{and any NGC34-1 or less values of jj in the range 1 \leq jj \leq NCG34 \\ & \operatorname{range 1} \leq jj \leq NCG34 \end{aligned}$	$\begin{split} \xi_{1+1}^{-1} & \xi_{1+1}^{-1} \\ - \sum FTQ2JJkk+q_2 (jJ,i) \sum (NPSGkk-\omega DRAFT.kk) \leq 0 \\ kk = \xi_1 & kk = \xi_1 \\ For selected values of 1 in the range 1 \leq 1 \leq Yand any NCG256-1 or less values of jj in the range 1 \leq jj \leq NCG256$	Where in L.AFQjj $q_L(jj,i) \equiv$ lower limit on FT enlistments, C-group jj, terms of service 3 and 4 years, in year i specified as a fraction of total enlist- ments in that year, where jj and i have user-specified values.	LAFQ2jj $q_2(jj,i) \equiv$ lower limit on FT enlistments, C-group jj, terms of service 2, 5, and 6 years, in year i specified as a fraction of total enlistments in that year, where jj and i have user-specified values.
	ROW NAME	L.AFQJJ1	<u>I.AF2111</u>		

H-15

[]

COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS

0

[]

0

Ű

1

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

Constrains FT enlist-Constrains FT enlistyears, C-group jj to of total enlistments ments, TOS 2; 5, and to be no less than a of total enlistments 6 years, C-group jj, in selected months. in selected months. ments, TOS 3 and 4 specified fraction specified fraction be no less than a PURPOSE $P_2(jj,P) \equiv Lower limit on FT enlistments, C-group jj,$ enlistments in that month where jj and P enlistments in that month where jj and P have user-specified values in the ranges have user-specified values in the ranges C-group jj, TOS 3 and 4 years, in month P, specified as a fraction of the total specified as a fraction of the total for selected values of ii in the range A_E +1<ii
M and any NCG34-1 or less values of jj in the range
1
Signal of 1
Signal for selected values of ii in the range A_{E} +1<ii<M and any NCG256-1 or less values of jj in the range $1 \le j \le NCG256$. · TOS 2, 5, and 6 years, in month P, $P_L(jj,P) \equiv Lower limit on FT enlistments,$ - $\operatorname{FTQ2JJ11} + \left[\left[\operatorname{P}_{2}(JJ, \operatorname{P}) \right] \left[\operatorname{NPSG..11-wDRAFT..11} \right] \leq 0$ - FTQCJJ11 + $\left[P_{L}(J_{1},P) \right] \left[NPSG..11-wDRAFT..11 \right] \le 0$ 1<jj<NCG34, AE+1<P<M. CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL where LMFQJJ11 LMF2JJ11 ROW NAME

1<jj<NCG256, A_+1<P<M.

H-16

	PURPOSE	Imposes a lower limit on FT enlistments, TOS 3 and 4 years of C-group jj that re-	of enlistments.	Imposes a lower limit on FT enlistments, TOS 2, 5, and 6 years, of C-proup 11 that re-	flects the seasonality of enlistments.	•	
COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS	CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL	$\begin{aligned} \xi_{k+1}^{-1} \\ - \operatorname{FrocJJii} + [s_{L}(JJ,P)] \sum_{P=ii} \operatorname{FrocJJkk}_{E} \\ p=ii kk=max(A_{E}+1,\xi_{K}) \\ P \in \operatorname{Frk} \end{aligned}$	for selected values of jj, where $1 \le j \le NCG34$, and ii, where $A_{\rm H} + 1 \le i \le M$. Note that the matrix coefficient for FTQCjjii is $E_{\rm E} \left[-1 + s_{\rm L}(jj, P) \right]$.	- FTQ2jjii + [$ s_2(jj,P)]$ $\sum_{k=max(A_E^{+1},\xi_k)}$ FTQ2jjkk<0 P=ii PE FYk	for selected values of jj, where $1 \le jj \le NCG256$, and ii, where $A_{+}+1 \le i1 \le M$. Note that the matrix coefficient for FTQ2jjii is $\left[-1+s_2(jj,P)\right]$.	Where $s_{L}(jj,P) \equiv Lower limit on FT enlistments of C-groupjj, TOS 3 and 4 years, in month P,specified as a fraction of the totalprojected enlistments of C-group jj forthe fiscal year in which the month Poccurs, where jj and P have user-specified values in the ranges 1 \le j \le NCG34,A_{T}^{+1} \le P \le N.$	$s_2(jj,P) \equiv Lower limit on FT enlistments of C-groupjj, TOS 2, 5, and 6 years, in month P,specified as a fraction of total projectedenlistments of C-group jj for the fiscalyear in which the month P occurs, wherejj and P have user-specified values in theranges 1\leq j \leq NCG256, A_E^{+1} \leq P \leq M.$
E E	ROW NAME	LSFQ111		LSF2J11	•		

A CONTRACT OF A

[]

H-17

COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL $[k_{\leq} UAAFQJJJI$ for selected values of j1, where $[j_{j}]_{j}SNCC356$ and 1, where $1\le 1\le 1\le Y$. $[k_{+1}-1]$ $[k_{+$
$\sum_{i+1}^{\xi_{i+1}-1} \sum_{i+1}^{\xi_{i+1}-1} \sum_{kk=\xi_{i}}^{\xi_{i+1}-1} \sum_{k$
ROW NAME ROW NAME U.AFQ111 U.AFQ111 U.AFQ111

		PURPOSE			Constrains FT enlist- ments, TOS 3 and 4 years of C-group jj to be no more than a	specified fraction of total enlistments in selected months.	Constrains FT enlist- ments, TOS 2, 5, and 6 years of C-group jj to be no more than a	specified fraction of total enlistments in selected months.	
	COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS	CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL	<pre>Where q_u(jj,i) ≡ Upper limit on FT enlistments, TOS 3 and 4 years, of C-group jj in year i, specified as a fraction of the total enlistments in that year, where jj and i have user-specified values in the ranges l≤jj≤NCG34, l≤i≤Y.</pre>	<pre>q₃(jj,i) ≡ Upper limit on FT enlistments, TOS 2, 5, and 6 years of C-group jj in year i, specified as a fraction of the total enlistments in that year, where jj and i have user-specified values in the ranges l≤jj≤NCG256, l≤i≤Y.</pre>	FTQCJJ11 - P _u (JJ,P)[NPSG11-wDRAFT.11]≤0 P=11	for selected values of 11 in the range Ar+1≤11≤M and any NCG34-1 or less values of jj in the range 1≤jj≤NCG34.	FTQ2JJ11 - P ₃ (jj,P)[NPSG11-wDRAFT.11]≤0 P=11	for selected values of 11 in the range A_r +l≤ii≤M and any NCG256-l or less values of jj in the range l≤jj≤NCG256.	
II II		W NAME			11[[Q]]		<u>1111</u>		
8	. 1	2			5		ŝ		

H-19

		PURPOSE			Imposes an upper limit on FT enlistments, TOS 3 and 4 years, of C-	group JJ that reflects the seasonality of en- listments.	Imposes an upper limit on FT enlistments, TOS 2, 5, and 6 years, of	flects the seasonality of enlistments.
	COMPLIP-G3 CONSTRAINTS	CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL	Where $P_u(jj,P) \equiv Upper limit on FT enlistments, TOS 3 and 4years of C-group jj in month P, specifiedas a fraction of the total enlistments inthat month where jj and P have user-specified values in the ranges 1 \le j \le NCG34,A_{\rm E}^{+1 \le NS}.$	$P_{3}(jj,P) \equiv Upper limit on FT enlistments, TOS 2, 5, and 6 years of C-group jj in month P, specified as a fraction of the total enlistments in that month where jj and P have user-specified values in the ranges l \leq j \leq NCG256, A_{E}^{+1 \leq P \leq M}.$	Frqcjjii - $s_u(jj,P) \sum_{k=max(A_E+1,\xi_k)} P=ii$ kk=max (A_E+1,ξ_k)	for selected values or jj, where 1≤jj≤NCG34 and i1, where Ar+1≤i1≤M. Note that the matrix coefficient for FTQCjjii is [1-s _u (jj,P)].	Frq2jjii - $ s_3(jj,P) \sum Prq2jjkk \le 0$ P=11 kk=max (A_{E}^{+1}, ξ_{k})	for selected values of jj, where $1 \le j \le NCG256$ and ii, where $A_{\rm g}^{+1} \le 11 \le M$. Note that the matrix coefficient for FTQ2jjii is $\left[1-s_3(jj,P)\right]$.
I		ROW NAME			TTFfoasn		USF21111	
[]					H-20			

H-20

50
-
-
4
-
_
n an
10
•••
-
~
-
-
3
33
63
5
-63
P-63
[P-63
IP-63
LIP-G3
CD-417
PLIP-G3
IPLIP-G3
WPLIP-G3
ED-4114WC
CO-dITIdWO
COMPLIP-63

0

[]

0

0

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

1 4

+

1

1

1

ROW NAME

CONSTRAINT - OPTIONAL

Where

PURPOSE

s₃(jj,P) \equiv Upper limit on FT enlistments, TOS 2, 5, and 6 years, of C-group jj in month P, specified as a fraction of the total projected enlistments of C-group jj for the fiscal year in which the month P occurs, where jj and P have userspecified values in the ranges $1 \le j \le NCG256$, $A_{E}^{+1} \le P \le M$. 1 Fixed for $jj=1,\ldots,NCG34$ and $ii=min(A_E+1,A_{TE}-7),\ldots,\pi(2)-\alpha$, if $\pi(2)-\alpha \ge min(A_E+1,A_{TE}-7)$. Fixed for jj=1,...,MCG256 and ii=min(A_E +1, A_{TE} -7),..., $\pi(2)-\alpha$, if $\pi(2)-\alpha \ge \min(A_E+1,A_{TE}-7)$. Fixed for $ii=\xi_k,\ldots,min(A_E,A_{TE}-8),\ldots,A_E$ for any jj for which any of the following Fixed for $ii=\xi_k\ldots$, min $(A_E, A_{TE}^{-8}),\ldots, A_E$ for any jj for which any of the following 0 Bounds - conditionally required 0 0 [] for $k = \{ | argest k' | \xi_{k'} < min(A_E+1, A_{TE}-7) \}$. for $k = \{ \text{largest } k' | \xi'_k, <\min(A_E+1, A_{TE}^{-7} \}.$ FT enlistments, TOS 2, 5, and 6 years. [] FT enlistments, TOS 3 and 4 years. optional constraints are used: optional constraints are used: [] U.AFQJJk, L.AFQJJk, UAAFQJJk, U.AF2jjk, L.AF2jjk, UAAF2jjk, -Column name The second FTQC1111 FTQ21111 []

H-22

[]

APPENDIX I

MODIFICATIONS TO THE NON-PRIOR SERVICE GAINS (NPSG) MODULE

This appendix presents a brief resume of the ELIM-III Non-Prior Service Gains (NPSG) Module and indicates the enhancements undertaken to create an NPSG module compatible with ELIM-IV standards.

ELIM-III NPSG MODULE

0

P

The ELIM-III NPSG Module consists of the following components:

- NPSG Frequency File: the basic NPS accession information
- UPDATE: the NPSG Frequency File updating program
- PREPRO: the program interpreting and implementing user instructions
- BMD: the regression package creating accession projections
- HENRY: the graphical analysis program
- AGGRE: the C-group aggregation program

ELIM-IV MODIFICATIONS

NPSG Frequency File

The ELIM-III NPSG Frequency File is divided into two partitions. Partition 1 provides the following 192 disaggregations of male accessions.

- Age
 - Less than 18
 - 18, 19
 - 20, 21
 - Greater than 21
- Race
 - Black
 - Non-black

I-1

- Civilian education
 - Diploma high school graduate (DHSG)
 - General education development (GED)
 - Some high school
 - No high school
- Mental group
 - I, II, IIIA
 - IIIB
 - IV, V
- Enlistment bonus
 - Yes
 - No

Female accessions are assumed to be mental group I or II high school graduates (either diploma or GED) receiving no enlistment bonus and are disaggregated into four categories, as follows:

- Civilian education
 - DHSG
 - GED
- Race
 - Black
 - Non-black

Partition 2 of the ELIM-III frequency file disaggregates males by term of service into the following 94 categories:

- Age
 - All ages combined
- Race
 - Black
 - Non-black
- Civilian education
 - DHSG
 - GED
 - Not DHSG or GED

I-2

Mental group

- I, II, IIIA

- IIIB
- IV, V

Term of enlistment

- 2 years
- 3 years

0

0

1

.[]

1

[]

[]

[]

1

1

1

1

[]

4, 5, 6 years

The ELIM-IV NPSG Frequency File eliminates the two-partition concept and disaggregates accessions into 768 categories, which are all combinations of levels of the following factors:

•	Age	
	-	Less than 18
	-	18, 19
	-	20, 21
	-	Greater than 21
•	Race	
	-	Black
	-	Non-black
•	Civil	ian education
	-	DHSG
	-	Not DHSG (NHS)
•	Menta	l group
•	-	I, II, IIIA
	-	IIIB
	-	IV, V
•	Sex	
	-	Male
	-	Female
•	Term	of enlistment
	-	2 years
	-	3 years
	-	4 years
	-	5, 6 years

I-3

- Enlistment bonus
 - Yes
 - No

The ELIM-IV NPSG Frequency File is an IRIS-compatible direct access file configured to contain 84 months of data beginning with January 1972. The ELIM-III Frequency File was a sequentially organized disk file capable of containing 72 months of data beginning with February 1972.

UPDATE: The NPSG Frequency File Updating Program

Due to the wholesale restructuring of the NPSG Frequency File, a completely new version of UPDATE has been written to maintain the ELIM-IV file.

PREPRO: User Instructions

Under the ELIM-III system, aggregation of individual population cells to form dependent variables is accomplished by listing the cell numbers to be aggregated for a particular variable on input cards. This system is very tedious for the 196 cells used in the ELIM-III Partition 1 but altogether too cumbersome for the 768 cells used in the ELIM-IV data file.

Because of this unwieldy input requirement and a desire for strict editing of user specifications, a completely prompted interactive front-end program (QUERY) was developed. QUERY permits dependent variables to be defined by entering specifications as indicated in Exhibit I.1.

Additionally, all other information required for the execution of the ELIM-IV NPSG Module is prompted for, edited, and recorded for use by the component programs of the module.

The ELIM-III version of PREPRO has been extensively modified to accept as input the card-image file created by QUERY rather than a user-created card deck.

BMD: The Regression Package

The BMD regression package is essentially unchanged. With the exception of several small improvements in efficiency, the only enhancement provided in the ELIM-IV BMD is the capability of producing forecasts for as many as 89 months past the end of historical data.

HENRY: The Graphical Analysis Program

The graphical analysis program is unchanged except that forecasts for months which cannot be accommodated on the maximum graph width are not graphed at all.

AGGRE: The C-Group Aggregation Program

The C-group aggregation program is unchanged except that C-group aggregations can now be provided to the IPM as well as to COMPLIP through the Matrix Generator. The maximum number of C-groups which can be passed to the IPM or the Matrix Generator has been increased to 40 to satisfy the requirements of the C-group expansion task.

Exhibit I.1

SPECIFY DESIRED AGGREGATIONS BY ENTERING IN THE INDICATED FIELDS (1) VARIABLE NAME AND (2) CODES INDICATING CHARACTERISTICS TO BE COMBINED (LEFT-JUSTIFIED). WULTIPLE CODES FOR A CHARACTERISTIC ARE ENTERED IN A SERIES WITHOUT DELIMITERS. HARACTERISTIC CODE DEFINITION ----1 LESS THAN 13 AGE 2 13,19 з 20.21 4 MORE THAN 21 9 ALL OF THE ABOVE RACE 1 BLACK 2 NON-BLACK 9 ALL OF THE ABOVE DHSG EDUCATION 1 2 NHS, GED 9 ALL OF THE ABOVE ENTAL GROUP I,II,IIIA 1 2 IIIB 3 I٧ 9 ALL OF THE ABOVE SEX 1 MALE 2 FEMALE 9 ALL OF THE ABOVE 5,6 YEARS TERM 1 2 YEARS 2 3 3 YEARS 4 4 YEARS 9 ALL OF THE ABOVE YES BUNUS 1 2 NO ALL OF THE ABOVE 9 E TRY OF "+FORMAT" FOR "NAME" WILL REPRODUCE FORMATTING HEADER CODES в R T A Ξ A 3 N VE aL C EM Ξ R 5 U R MAME Ξ EDG × 11 3 1 Э 2 9 FEMALES 9 9 9 9 -I-6