

# BIFVFI

MRC TECHNICAL SUMMARY REPORT # 1960

THE FINITE FOURIER SERIES II. THE HARMONIC ANALYSIS OF SKEW POLYONS AS A SOURCE OF OUTDOOR SCULPTURES

I. J. Schoenberg

Mathematics Research Center University of Wisconsin-Madison 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53706

May 1979

Sp

ADA070219

Received April 5, 1979



Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709

79 06 20 027

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER THE FINITE FOURIER SERIES II. THE HARMONIC ANALYSIS OF SKEW POLYONS AS A SOURCE OF OUTDOOR SCULPTURES (0) I. J. /Schoenberg Technical Summary Report, #1960 May 1979 (14) MRC- TSR - 1960 (11) ABSTRACT

In [1, 125] and again in [3] Jesse Douglas established the following

Theorem 1. Let

 $\Pi = (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4), \qquad (z_{v+5} = z_v),$ 

be a closed skew pentagon in R<sup>3</sup>, viewed as a vector space. Let

 $z'_{v} = \frac{1}{2}(z_{v+2} + z_{v-2})$  (v = 0,1,2,3,4)

be the midpoint of the side  $[z_{\nu-2}, z_{\nu+2}]$  which is opposite to the vertex  $z_{\nu}$ .

For each v determine on the line joining  $z_v$  to  $z'_v$ , the points  $f_v^1, f_v^2$ , such that

 $f_{v}^{1} - z_{v}' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (z_{v}' - z_{v}), f_{v}^{2} - z_{v}' = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (z_{v}' - z_{v})$ 

Then

$$\mathbb{I}^{1} = (f_{0}^{1}, f_{1}^{1}, f_{2}^{1}, f_{3}^{1}, f_{4}^{1})$$

is a plane and affine regular pentagon, and

$$\Pi^2 = (f_0^2, f_1^2, f_2^2, f_3^2, f_4^2)$$

is a plane and affine regular starshaped pentagon.

By an <u>affine regular</u> (<u>starshaped</u>) <u>pentagon</u> we mean an affine image of a regular (starshaped) pentagon.

It is shown here that the natural and inevitable source of Theorem 1 is the finite Fourier series of five terms. The affine regular pentagons  $\Pi^1$ and  $\Pi^2$  represent essentially the harmonic analysis of the pentagon  $\Pi$ . Placing the origin 0 of  $R^3$  in the centroid of the vertices of  $\Pi$ , the complete harmonic analysis of  $\Pi$  is summarized by the relation Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-75-C-0024  $\vee$  9

12200

$$\pi = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \pi^1 + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \pi^2 .$$

The Figure 1 shows a 2-dimensional illustration of Theorem 1, but this gives only a faint idea of the appearance of a 3-dimensional structure. The author made a 3-dimensional structure out of 20 thin wooden sticks, and was struck by its appropriateness as a source of outdoor sculptures.

Theorem 2 (§4) describes the analogue of Theorem 1 for skew heptagons in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Figure 3, of §5, shows a 2-dimensional illustration of Theorem 2. A 3-dimensional model would be very desirable.

AMS(MOS) Subject Classification: 42A12

Key Words: Finite Fourier Series, Outdoor Sculptures Work Unit No.2 - Other Mathematical Methods

## Significance and Explanation

It is shown that a beautiful theorem of Jesse Douglas, of Plateau problem fame, on skew pentagons [3], should be derived by using the socalled finite Fourier series. Douglas' result (stated as Theorem 1 in our Introduction) states that in a certain figure formed of ten straight lines in space, there appear two pentagons  $\Pi^1$  and  $\Pi^2$  which are plane pentagons and affine regular, meaning the following:  $\Pi^1$  looks like a regular pentagon which is viewed for some distance (slantingly) in space. Likewise  $\Pi^2$  looks like a regular starshaped pentagon seen under similar circumstances. The entire figure depends on the arbitrary choice of a skew pentagon II in space. Our Figure 1 shows the case when the pentagon II , having the vertices z<sub>0</sub>, z<sub>1</sub>, z<sub>2</sub>, z<sub>3</sub>, z<sub>4</sub>, is in a plane. This, however, gives only a faint idea of the aspect of a 3-dimensional structure. The author made a 3-dimensional structure out of 20 thin wooden sticks, and he was struck by its appropriateness as a source of outdoor sculptures. Theorem 2 (§4) describes the analogue of Theorem 1 for skew heptagons (7-sided polygons) in space. Figure 3, of §5, shows a 2-dimensional illustration of Theorem 2. The author is now making an illustration of Theorem 2 in space.

Acce	ssion For	
NTIS	GRIA&I	
DDC :	TAB -	
Unam	ounced	
Justi	fication_	
Ey	and the statement of the large statement of the	
Distr	ibution/	
Avai	lability Codes	
	Avail and/or	
Dist	special	1
4		

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.

### THE FINITE FOURIER SERIES II. THE HARMONIC ANALYSIS OF SKEW POLYONS AS A SOURCE OF OUTDOOR SCULPTURES

### I. J. Schoenberg

1. <u>Introduction</u>. The previous paper [4] on the subject of the finite Fourier series, (f.F.s) dealt with some known and some new applications to problems of elementary geometry, In the present second paper apply to a <u>boautifue</u> theorem of Jesse Douglas (f) on skew pentagons in space. It is shown here that Douglas' theorem amounts to the graphical harmonic analysis of skew pentagons and that it is also the source of striking outdoor sculptures. This last opinion is shared by two great art experts, Allan and Marjorie McNab, whom I wish to thank for their encouragement.

The case of a pentagon is discussed in §§2 and 3. Again with possible sculptures in mind, we present in §§4 and 5 the harmonic analysis of a skew heptagon.

The theorem mentioned above is as follows. (See Figure 1).

Theorem 1. (J. Douglas). Let

 $(1.1) \qquad \Pi = (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4), \qquad (z_{\nu+5} = z_{\nu}),$   $\underline{be \ a \ skew \ closed \ pentagon \ in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3, \ \underline{viewed \ as \ a \ vector \ space. \ Let}$   $(1.2) \qquad z_{\nu}' = \frac{1}{2}(z_{\nu+2} + z_{\nu-2}) \qquad (\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$   $\underline{be \ the \ midpoint \ of \ the \ side} \quad [z_{\nu-2}, z_{\nu+2}] \quad \underline{which \ is \ opposite \ to \ the \ vertex} \quad z_{\nu}.$   $\underline{For \ each \ \nu \ determine, \ on \ the \ line \ joining} \quad z_{\nu} \quad \underline{to} \quad z_{\nu}', \ \underline{the \ points} \quad f_{\nu}^1, \ f_{\nu}^2 \quad \underline{such}$   $\underline{that}$   $(1.3) \qquad f_{\nu}^1 - z_{\nu}' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (z_{\nu}' - z_{\nu}), \ f_{\nu}^2 - z_{\nu}' = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (z_{\nu}' - z_{\nu}).$ 

then

(1.4)  $\Pi^{1} = (f_{0}^{1}, f_{1}^{1}, f_{2}^{1}, f_{2}^{1}, f_{4}^{1})$ 

is a plane and affine regular pentagon, and (1.5)  $\pi^2 = (f_0^2, f_1^2, f_2^2, f_3^2, f_4^2)$ 

is a plane and affine regular starshaped pentagon.

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-75-C-0024.

Joge - 1 -

By an <u>affine regular</u> (starshaped) pentagon we mean an affine image of a regular (starshaped) pentagon.

Theorem 1 was easy to verify, but was not easily discovered. In several papers [1], [2], [3], Douglas thoroughly explores these problems. He uses the classical eigenvalue properties of cyclic (or circulant) square matrices. Theorem 1 is stated as an example of general results in [1, 125], and is also proved directly in [3], with a short ad hoc proof which does not seem to be particularly transparent. The author's contributions go in two different directions.

1. The natural foundation of Douglas' theory seems to be the finite Fourier series. To be sure, the f.F.s. is essentially equivalent to the properties of cyclic matrices used by Douglas. However, it is shown in §2 that if we invert the f.F.s. for a pentagon, not in its usual complex form, but in its so-called real form, we are inevitably led to Douglas' Theorem 1. From this point of view Douglas' idea easily generalizes to the harmonic analysis of skew heptagons in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (Theorem 2 of §4).

2. The author constructed out of 20 thin wooden sticks a 3-dimensional model, well over two feet in size, illustrating Theorem 1. The appearance of the plane affine regular pentagons  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  was expected, but enjoyable just the same, especially as they lie in two different planes. For contrast, the sides of the pentagons  $\pi$ ,  $\pi^1$ ,  $\pi^2$ , were painted in three different colors. The <u>shape</u> of the entire structure, i.e. ignoring rigid motions, depends on 9 real parameters. This diversity and total lack of symmetry allows for artistic effects and makes the presence of the affine regular pentagons more striking: order out of chaos. Made of metal bars and of a more heroic size, it would provide a striking outdoor sculpture. Our Figure 1 shows the case when the pentagon  $\pi$ , having the vertices  $z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$ , is in a plane. This, however, gives only a faint idea of the aspect of a 3-dimensional structure.

We also construct a 3-dimensional illustration of Theorem 2 out of 63 thin wooden sticks. Based on a skew heptagon  $\pi$ , it shows the three affine regular heptagons  $\pi^1$ ,  $\pi^2$ ,  $\pi^3$ , painted in three contrasting colors. This model is yet to be shown to the art experts for their comments on its suitablilty as an outdoor sculpture. Our Figure 3 shows an example when the heptagon  $\pi = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_6)$  is in the plane.

-2-



2. <u>A proof of Theorem 1 for pentagons II in the complex plane</u>. If || < c we can consider all symbols  $z_{v}, z_{v}', t_{v}^{1}, t_{v}^{2}$ , of Theorem 1, as complex numbers. With  $\omega_{v} = \exp(2\pi i \omega/5)$ , the f.F.s. of the  $z_{v}$  is the expansion

(2.1) 
$$z_v = z_0 + z_1 \omega_v + z_2 \omega_v^2 + z_2 \omega_v^3 + z_4 \omega_v^4$$
 (v = 0,...,4)

where the f.F. coefficients  $\xi_{ij}$  are given by the inverse formulae

(2.2) 
$$\zeta_{v} = \frac{1}{5}(z_{0} + z_{1}\bar{\omega}_{v} + z_{2}\bar{\omega}_{v}^{2} + z_{3}\bar{\omega}_{v}^{3} + z_{4}\bar{\omega}_{v}^{4}) .$$

Both formulae extend the definitions of  $(z_v)$  and  $(z_v)$  to periodic sequences of period 5.

Since 
$$z_3 = z_{-2}$$
,  $z_4 = z_{-1}$ , we may rewrite (2.1) as  
(2.3)  $z_v = z_0 + (z_1 \omega_v + z_{-1}^2 \omega_v^{-1}) + (z_2 \omega_v^2 + z_{-2}^2 \omega_v^2)$ 

which is the so-called real f.F.s. of the (z). Writing

(2.4) 
$$\tilde{f}_{v}^{1} = \xi_{1}\omega_{v} + \xi_{-1}\omega_{v}^{-1}$$
,  $\tilde{f}_{v}^{2} = \xi_{2}\omega_{v}^{2} + \xi_{-2}\omega_{v}^{-2}$ ,

we obtain the final form of the f.F.s. as

(2.5) 
$$z_v = z_0 + f_v^1 + f_v^2$$
.

By (2.2)  $z_0$  is the centroid of the  $z_v$ . Selecting this centroid as the origin 0 of the complex plane, (2.5) simplifies to

(2.6) 
$$z_v = \tilde{f}_v^1 + \tilde{f}_v^2$$
 (v = 0,...,4).

Introducing the two new pentagons

(2.7) 
$$\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^1 = (\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_v^1)$$
 and  $\mathfrak{n}^2 = (\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_v^2)$ 

we may represent the pentagon  $II = (z_{ij})$  in the form

(2.8) 
$$n = n^1 + n^2$$
.

The simple nature of the pentagons (2.7) is shown by the following statements:

A proof is immediate: Setting in the first relation (2.4)  $\tilde{f}_{\psi}^{1} = x_{\psi} + iy_{\psi}$ ,  $z_{1} = a + bi$ ,  $z_{-1} = c + di$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{v} &= (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}) \cos \frac{2\pi v}{5} + (-\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{d}) \sin \frac{2\pi v}{5} \\ \mathbf{y}_{v} &= (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{d}) \cos \frac{2\pi v}{5} + (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}) \sin \frac{2\pi v}{5}, \end{aligned}$$

and (2.9) is established. Replacing in the right sides v by 2v, we obtain (2.10).

So far we have only made general remarks on the f.F.s. of 5 terms which readily extend to the series for k terms. To obtain Theorem 1 we want to invert the real f.F.s. (2.6), i.e. find the individual terms  $\tilde{f}_{\nu}^{1}$  and  $\tilde{f}_{\nu}^{2}$ . This is where Douglas' idea comes in. From (2.3), with  $\zeta_{0} = 0$ , and writing  $\omega = \omega_{1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{z}_{v+2} &= (\zeta_1 \omega_v \omega^2 + \zeta_{-1} \omega_v^{-1} \omega^{-2}) + (\zeta_2 \omega_v^2 \omega^{-1} + \zeta_{-2} \omega_v^{-2} \omega) , \\ \mathbf{z}_{v-2} &= (\zeta_1 \omega_v \omega^{-2} + \zeta_{-1} \omega_v^{-1} \omega^2) + (\zeta_2 \omega_v^2 \omega + \zeta_{-2} \omega_v^{-2} \omega^{-1}) , \end{split}$$

and therefore

$$\mathbf{z}_{v}' = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{z}_{v+2} + \mathbf{z}_{v-2}) = \frac{1}{2}(\omega^{2} + \omega^{-2})(\zeta_{1}\omega_{v} + \zeta_{-1}\omega_{v}^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega + \omega^{-1})(\zeta_{2}\omega_{v}^{2} + \zeta_{-2}\omega_{v}^{-2}).$$

But then, by (2.4), we have

(2.11) 
$$z_{\nu}^{*} = \tilde{f}_{\nu}^{1} \cos \frac{4\pi}{5} + \tilde{f}_{\nu}^{2} \cos \frac{2\pi}{5}$$
.

Since  $\cos(4\pi/5) = -\cos(\pi/5)$ , all that we have to do now, is to invert the system of equations

(2.12) 
$$z_{v} = \tilde{f}_{v}^{1} + \tilde{f}_{v}^{2}$$
$$z_{v}^{*} = -\tilde{f}_{v}^{1} \cos \frac{\pi}{5} + \tilde{f}_{v}^{2} \cos \frac{2\pi}{5} .$$

Since  $\cos(\pi/5) = (1+\sqrt{5})/4$ ,  $\cos(2\pi/5) = (-1+\sqrt{5})/4$ , we readily find the solution of (2.12) to be given by

(2.13) 
$$\tilde{f}_{v}^{1} = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} z_{v} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right) z_{v}^{*}\right) \cdot \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \\ \tilde{f}_{v}^{2} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} z_{v} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right) z_{v}^{*}\right) \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Introducing the new points

(2.14) 
$$f_{v}^{1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} z_{v} + (1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}) z_{v}' ,$$
$$f_{v}^{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} z_{v} + (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}) z_{v}' ,$$

we obtain the f.F.s. (2.6) in the form

(2.15) 
$$z_v = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} f_v^1 + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} f_v^2$$
.

Let us now establish Theorem 1 for the case where  $\Pi \subset \mathbb{C}$  . From the first relation (2.14) we find that

(2.16) 
$$f_{v}^{1} - z_{v}' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (z_{v}' - z_{v})',$$

while the second relation (2.14) shows that

(2.17) 
$$f_{v}^{2} - z_{v}' = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (z_{v}' - z_{v}) .$$

(2.16), (2.17), are identical with the relations (1.3) that we wished to establish.

-5-

Why are the polgons  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$ , defined by (1.4) and (1.5), affine regular? From (2.13) and (2.14) we find that

(2.18)  $f_{\psi}^{1} = \tilde{f}_{\psi}^{1} / \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad f_{\psi}^{2} = \tilde{f}_{\psi}^{2} / \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2},$ while we know by (2.7), (2.9), (2.10) that the polygons  $\tilde{\Pi}^{1}$  and  $\tilde{\Pi}^{2}$  are affine regular. A proof of Theorem 1, for the case where  $\Pi \in C$ , follows from the relations (2.18).

3. A proof of Theorem 1 if  $\mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . We point out first that the definition of the pentagons (1.4) and (1.5), by the relations (1.2) and (1.3), remains valid in any real vector space, in particular for  $R^3$ . The only statements still in doubt are (2.9) and (2.10).

Let

(3.1) 
$$F = (\Pi, \Pi^1, \Pi^2)$$

denote the space figure obtained by (1.2) and (1.3), and let

(3.2) 
$$F_{xy} = (\Pi_{xy}, \Pi_{xy}^1, \Pi_{xy}^2), F_{xz} = (\Pi_{xz}, \Pi_{xz}^1, \Pi_{xz}^2)$$

be its orthogonal projections onto the coordinate planes xOy and xOz , respectively, Since the construction of F is affine invariant, it is clear that we can apply to the plane figures (3.2) the results of the last section, in particular

the pentagons  $\Pi_{xy}^1$  and  $\Pi_{xz}^1$  are affine regular. (3.3)

We now appeal to the following most elementary

Lemma 1. If the space pentagon  $\Pi^{1} = (\mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{y}_{v}, \mathbf{z}_{v}) \qquad (v = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$ 

has plane projections

this at the second s

(3.5) 
$$\Pi_{xy}^{1} = (x_{v}, y_{v}) , \quad \Pi_{xz}^{1} = (x_{v}, z_{v})$$

which are affine regular pentagons, then  $\pi^1$  itself is a plane pentagon which is affine regular.

Proof: The affine regular pentagons (3.5) admit representations of the form

(3.6) 
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{v} = \mathbf{a} \cos \frac{2\pi v}{5} + \mathbf{b} \sin \frac{2\pi v}{5}, & \left( \mathbf{x}_{v} = \mathbf{a}' \cos \frac{2\pi v}{5} + \mathbf{b}' \sin \frac{2\pi v}{5} \right) \\ \mathbf{y}_{v} = \mathbf{c} \cos \frac{2\pi v}{5} + \mathbf{d} \sin \frac{2\pi v}{5}, & \mathbf{z}_{v} = \mathbf{e} \cos \frac{2\pi v}{5} + \mathbf{f} \sin \frac{2\pi v}{5} \end{cases}$$

On comparing the first two equations of (3.6) we conclude that we must have a = a', b = b', and so

(3.7) 
$$\mathbf{x}_{v} = \mathbf{a} \cos \frac{2\pi v}{5} + \mathbf{b} \sin \frac{2\pi v}{5} ,$$
$$\mathbf{y}_{v} = \mathbf{c} \cos \frac{2\pi v}{5} + \mathbf{d} \sin \frac{2\pi v}{5} ,$$
$$\mathbf{z}_{v} = \mathbf{e} \cos \frac{2\pi v}{5} + \mathbf{f} \sin \frac{2\pi v}{5} .$$

-7-

It follows that  $\Pi^1$  is an affine regular pentagon in the plane defined by the oblique coordinate system of the two vectors u = (a,c,e) and v = (b,d,f). This completes our proof of Theorem 1.

<u>Remarks.</u> 1. The two pentagons  $\Pi^1$  and  $\Pi^2$  of Theorem 1 lie in different planes, but have as common center the centroid 0 of the vertices of  $\Pi$ . The problem of choosing  $\Pi$  so as to maximize the artistic effect of the entire structure is not mathematical and is, of course, hopeless.

2. Douglas' fortunate idea is to construct the pentagons  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{I}}^1$  and  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{I}}^2$  , and not the pentagons

(3.8) 
$$\tilde{\pi}^1 = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \pi^1$$
,  $\tilde{\pi}^2 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \pi^2$ 

which provide the final harmonic analysis

(3.9)

$$\Pi = \Pi^1 + \Pi^2$$

according to (2.8). This idea simplifies considerably the final construction, because finding the pentagons (3.8) themselves would require two homothetic images with center 0, a cumbersome complications. 4. The harmonic analysis of a skew heptagon. Our application of the f.F.s.

to Douglas' theorem readily suggests the way to generalize his result to closed skew polygon having k vertices. Having in mind further outdoor sculptures, we restrict our discussion to the case when k = 7, hence

(4.1) 
$$\Pi = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_6)$$

is a heptagon. We have omitted the case when k = 6 for the reason that regular starshaped hexagons are not particularly interesting. We commence our discussion by assuming that (4.2)  $\Pi \subset \mathbf{C}$ ,

when the 
$$z_{\nu}$$
 are complex numbers. Their f.F.s. and its inverse formulae are  
(4.3)  $z_{\nu} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{6} \zeta_{\alpha} \omega_{\nu}^{\alpha}$ ,  $\zeta_{\nu} = \frac{1}{7} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{6} z_{\alpha} \omega_{\nu}^{\alpha}$ ,  $(\nu = 0, ..., 6)$   
where  $\omega_{\nu} = \exp(2\pi\nu/7)$ . Again we assume that  $z_{0} + z_{1} + ... + z_{6} = 0$ , hence

and folding the f.F.s., as in (2.3), we obtain

(4.4) 
$$z_{\nu} = (\zeta_1 \omega_{\nu} + \zeta_{-1} \omega_{\nu}^{-1}) + (\zeta_2 \omega_{\nu}^2 + \zeta_{-2} \omega_{\nu}^{-2}) + (\zeta_3 \omega_{\nu}^3 + \zeta_{-3} \omega^{-3})$$
.

The midpoint of the side of II that is opposite to the vertex  $z_{y}$  is

(4.5) 
$$z_{v} = \frac{1}{2} (z_{v+3} + z_{v-3})$$
.

However, now we also need the further midpoint

(4.6) 
$$z'' = \frac{1}{2} (z_{\nu+2} + z_{\nu-2})$$
.

From (4.4), and writing  $\omega_1 = \omega$ , we obtain

$$\mathbf{z}_{\upsilon^{\pm 3}} = (\zeta_{1}^{\omega}{}_{\upsilon}^{\omega^{\pm 3}} + \zeta_{-1}^{\omega}{}_{\upsilon}^{-1}{}_{\omega}^{\mp 3}) + (\zeta_{2}^{\omega}{}_{\upsilon}^{2}{}_{\omega}^{\pm 1} + \zeta_{-2}^{\omega}{}_{\upsilon}^{-2}{}_{\omega}^{\pm 1}) + (\zeta_{3}^{\omega}{}_{\upsilon}^{3}{}_{\omega}^{\pm 2} + \zeta_{-3}^{-3}{}_{\upsilon}^{\mp 2})$$

 $\zeta_0 = 0$ ,

whence

(4.7) 
$$z'_{v} = \frac{\omega^{3} + \omega^{-3}}{2} \tilde{t}_{v}^{1} + \frac{\omega + \omega^{-1}}{2} \tilde{t}_{v}^{2} + \frac{\omega^{2} + \omega^{-2}}{2} \tilde{t}_{v}^{3}$$
,

if we write

(4.8) 
$$\tilde{f}_{v}^{j} = \zeta_{j} \omega_{v}^{j} + \zeta_{-j} \omega_{v}^{-j}$$
  $(j = 1, 2, 3; v = 0, ..., 6).$ 

Likewise we obtain from (4.4) that

$$z_{\upsilon\pm 2} = (\zeta_{1}\omega_{\upsilon}\omega^{\pm 2} + \zeta_{-1}\omega_{\upsilon}^{-1}\omega^{\mp 2}) + (\zeta_{2}\omega_{\upsilon}^{2}\omega^{\mp 3} + \zeta_{-2}\omega_{\upsilon}^{-2}\omega^{\pm 3}) + (\zeta_{3}\omega_{\upsilon}^{3}\omega^{\mp 1} + \zeta_{-3}\omega_{\upsilon}^{-3}\omega^{\pm 1}),$$

whence

(4.9) 
$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu}^{"} = \frac{\omega^2 + \omega^{-2}}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{1} + \frac{\omega^3 + \omega^{-3}}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{2} + \frac{\omega + \omega^{-1}}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{3}$$
.

-9-

By (4.4) and (4.8) we see that the real f.F.s. of  $\ensuremath{\,\mathbb{I}}$  is

(4.10) 
$$z_v = \tilde{f}_v^1 + \tilde{f}_v^2 + \tilde{f}_v^3$$
.

As in the case of pentagons, the analogue of Douglas' theorem will arise if we invert the  $3\times3$  system of equations (4.10), (4.7), (4.9). Writing

(4.11) 
$$\Omega_{j} = \frac{1}{2} (\omega^{j} + \omega^{-j}) = \cos \frac{2\pi j}{7}, \quad (j = 1, 2, 3).$$

We are to solve the system

(4.12)  
$$z_{v} = f_{v}^{1} + f_{v}^{2} + f_{v}^{3}$$
$$z_{v}^{1} = \Omega_{3}\tilde{f}_{v}^{1} + \Omega_{1}\tilde{f}_{v}^{2} + \Omega_{2}\tilde{f}_{v}^{3} ,$$
$$z_{v}^{n} = \Omega_{2}\tilde{f}_{v}^{1} + \Omega_{3}\tilde{f}_{v}^{2} + \Omega_{1}\tilde{f}_{v}^{3} .$$

In terms of the inverse matrix

(4.13) 
$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{B}_1 & \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{A}_2 & \mathbf{B}_2 & \mathbf{C}_2 \\ \mathbf{A}_3 & \mathbf{B}_3 & \mathbf{C}_3 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{\Omega}_3 & \mathbf{\Omega}_1 & \mathbf{\Omega}_2 \\ \mathbf{\Omega}_2 & \mathbf{\Omega}_3 & \mathbf{\Omega}_1 \end{vmatrix}^{-1}$$

the solutions are

(4.14) 
$$f_{v}^{j} = A_{j} z_{v} + B_{j} z_{v}' + C_{j} z_{v}'', \quad (j = 1, 2, 3).$$

By (4.8) it is clear that the three heptagons

(4.15) 
$$\tilde{\pi}^{j} = (\tilde{f}_{0}^{j}, \tilde{f}_{1}^{j}, \tilde{f}_{2}^{j}, \tilde{f}_{3}^{j}, \tilde{f}_{4}^{j}, \tilde{f}_{5}^{j}, \tilde{f}_{6}^{j}), \quad (j = 1, 2, 3),$$

are affine images of the three regular heptagons

(4.16) 
$$(1, \omega, \omega^{2}, \omega^{3}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{5}, \omega^{6}), (1, \omega^{2}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{6}, \omega, \omega^{3}, \omega^{5}) \\ (1, \omega^{3}, \omega^{6}, \omega^{2}, \omega^{5}, \omega, \omega^{4}),$$

respectively. In terms of the heptagons (4.15) we may write (4.10) as

(4.17) 
$$\pi = \tilde{\pi}^1 + \tilde{\pi}^2 + \tilde{\pi}^3 .$$

However, the heptagons (4.15) are <u>not</u> the ones that we wish to construct. Rather, following Douglas' lead, we introduce the weights

(4.18) 
$$\alpha_j = \frac{A_j}{s_j}$$
,  $\beta_j = \frac{B_j}{s_j}$ ,  $\gamma_j = \frac{C_j}{s_j}$ , where  $s_j = A_j + B_j + C_j$ ,

and want to construct the heptagons,

-10-

having vertices given by

 $f_{v}^{j} = \alpha_{j} z_{v} + \beta_{j} z_{v}^{*} + \gamma_{j} z_{v}^{*}$ , (j = 1, 2, 3). (4.20) We state our results as Theorem 2. Let  $II = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_6)$ (4.21) be a skew heptagon in  $R^3$ , and let  $z_{v}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} (z_{v+3} + z_{v-3}), \qquad z_{v}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} (z_{v+2} + z_{v-2})$ (4.22) be the midpoints of appropriate sides and chords of II. By (4.11), (4.13) and (4.18) we define the three sets of numerical weights  $a_j, B_j, \gamma_j, a_j + B_j + \gamma_j = 1$ , (j = 1, 2, 3). (4.23) In each of the seven triangles  $T_v = (z_v, z_v^*, z_v^*)$  (v = 0,...,6) (4.24) we define the three points  $t^1$ ,  $t^2$ ,  $t^3$ (4.25) as the centroids of T, with the three sets of weights (4.23), respectively. Equivalently, (4.25) are defined by the equations (4.20). Then the three heptagons  $\pi^{j} = (t_{0}^{j}, t_{1}^{j}, t_{0}^{j}, t_{1}^{j}, t_{0}^{j}, t_{0}^{j}, t_{0}^{j}, t_{0}^{j}, (j = 1, 2, 3),$ (4.26)

are plane heptagons and they are affine images of the regular heptagons (4.16), respectively.

Our Theorem 2 is, of course, fully established if we assume that  $\Pi \subset \mathbb{C}$ . That it remains true if  $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  follows from reasonings similar to those used in extending Theorem 1 from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , in particular from the lemma: <u>If a heptagon</u>  $\Pi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , <u>has two affine</u> regular plane projections, then  $\Pi$  itself is plane and affine regular.

5. <u>The construction of a space model illustrating Theorem 2</u>. By this we mean the construction of the figure

(5.1)  $F = (\Pi, \Pi^1, \Pi^2, \Pi^3),$ 

where  $\Pi$ ,  $\Pi^1$ ,  $\Pi^2$ ,  $\Pi^3$ , are the heptagons of Theorem 2. This could be done graphically on a sheet of paper by the methods of Descriptive Geometry. However, we have in mind a 3dimensional structure made out of thin (wooden) sticks.

For this purpose we need the numerical values of the weights (4.18). With sufficient accuracy for any physical construction, these are as follows:

	α1	β	Y <sub>1</sub>		08627	.69859	.38768
(5.2)	α <sub>2</sub>	<sup>β</sup> 2	Y2	=	.78485	1.08626	87111
	α <sub>3</sub>	<sup>β</sup> 3	Y <sub>3</sub>		.30141	.21515	.48344
(5.3)	s <sub>1</sub>	= -1	.24697,	s <sub>2</sub> =	.44504, s	a = 1.8019	93.

The construction of the 14 points  $z_{v}^{*}$  and  $z_{v}^{*}$  by the formulae (4.22) presents no difficulties. These also determine the 7 triangles (4.24).

In the plane of each  $T_{v}$  we are now to construct the centroids (4.25) for the three sets of weights (4.23). Here we use the following lemma which is too elementary to require a proof (The reader is asked to supply a diagram).

Lemma 2. Let

(5.4) T = (z, z', z'')

be a triangle, and let

(5.5)  $f = \alpha z + \beta z' + \gamma z''$ 

be its centroid for the weights  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ .

If h denotes the intersection of the line joining  $z \pm z'$ , with the line joining z'' to f, then the relations

(5.6)  $h-z' = \rho(z' - z)$ ,  $f-h = \sigma(h - z'')$ 

hold, where

(5.7)  $\rho = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}, \quad \sigma = -\gamma.$ 

-12-





We apply Lemma 2 to each  $T_{\nu}$  with the sets of weights (5.2). We drop the subscript  $\nu$ and show in Figure 2 the location of the centroids  $f^1$ ,  $f^2$ ,  $f^3$  in the plane of the triangle  $T = (z, z^*, z^*)$ . Using Lemma 2 and the numerical values (5.2), we obtain the relarelations

(5.8)  $\begin{aligned} h^{1} - z' &= \rho_{1}(z' - z), \quad f^{1} - h^{1} = \sigma_{1}(h^{1} - z'') \\ h^{2} - z' &= \rho_{2}(z' - z), \quad f^{2} - h^{2} = \sigma_{2}(h^{2} - z'') \\ h^{3} - z' &= \rho_{3}(z' - z), \quad f^{3} - h^{3} = \sigma_{3}(h^{3} - z'') . \end{aligned}$ 

The numerical values of the ratios  $\rho_{j}$  and  $\sigma_{j}$ , given by (5.7) and (5.2), are

(5.9) 
$$\rho_1 = .14089$$
  $\sigma_1 = -.38768$   
 $\sigma_2 = -.41946$   $\sigma_2 = .87111$   
 $\sigma_3 = -.58350$   $\sigma_3 = -.48344$ .

The locations of the points  $h^{j}$  and  $f^{j}$  in Figure 2, are drawn to scale. For any other triangle  $T_{v} = (z_{v}, z_{v}^{*}, z_{v}^{*})$  the corresponding diagram is the image of Figure 2 by the affine transformation mapping T onto  $T_{v}$ . Our Figure 3 shows a 2-dimensional illustration of Theorem 2. It shows the three affine regular heptagons  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . In order to simplify the drawing it shows only the construction of the three vertices

$$f_1^1$$
,  $f_1^2$ ,  $f_1^3$ ,

corresponding to the triangle  $T_1 = (z_1, z_1', z_1')$ .



# References

- Jesse Douglas, Geometry of polygons in the complex plane, J. of Math. and Phys. 19 (1940), 93-130.
- Jesse Douglas, <u>On linear polygon transformations</u>, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 46 (1940), 551-560.
- 3. Jesse Douglas, <u>A theorem on skew pentagons</u>, Scripta Math. 25 (1960), 5-9.
- I. J. Schoenberg, <u>The finite Fourier series and elementary geometry</u>, Amer. Math Monthly, 57 (1950), 390-404.

Mathematics Research Center University of Wisconsin - Madison.

REPORT DOCUMENTA	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REFORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
1960		
		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
. TITLE (and Sublitie)		Summary Report - no specific
THE FINITE FOURIER SERIES II.	THE	reporting period
ARMONIC ANALYSIS OF SKEW POLYC	ONS AS A SOURCE OF	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
SUIDOOR SCOLPIORES.		
AUTHOR(+)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
		DAAC29-75-C-0024
I. J. Schoenberg		DAAG27-13-0-0024
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AD	DORESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Mathematics Research Center,	University of	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
610 Walnut Street	Wisconsin	2 - Other Mathematical
Madison, Wisconsin 53706		Methods
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRES	5	12. REPORT DATE
D. S. Army Research Office		May 19/9
P. O. BOX 12211	Carolina 27700	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Kesearch Irlangle Park, North	different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
PUTTO BUTION STATEMENT (of this Pasart)		
Approved for public release; di	stribution unlimited.	
Approved for public release; di	stribution unlimited.	m Report)
Approved for public release; di	stribution unlimited.	m Report)
Approved for public release; di 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece	entered in Block 20, if different fro	m Report)
Approved for public release; di 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Finite Fourier Series, Outd	entered in Block 20, if different fro	m Report)
Approved for public release; di 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Finite Fourier Series, Outd 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if neces In [1, 125] and again in	entered in Block 20, if different fro entered in Block 20, if different fro every and identify by block number) door Sculptures	ablished the following
Approved for public release; di 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Finite Fourier Series, Outd 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if nece In [1, 125] and again in	entered in Block 20, if different fro entered in Block 20, if different fro every and identify by block number) loor Sculptures	ablished the following
Approved for public release; di 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Finite Fourier Series, Outc 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if neces In [1, 125] and again in <u>Theorem 1.</u> Let	entered in Block 20, if different fro entered in Block 20, if different fro esery and identify by block number) door Sculptures	m Report)
Approved for public release; di 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece Finite Fourier Series, Outd 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if nece In [1, 125] and again in <u>Theorem 1. Let</u> $\Pi = (2)$	entered in Block 20, if different fro entered in Block 20, if different fro every and identify by block number) door Sculptures every and identify by block number) [3] Jesse Douglas esta [20, 21, 22, 23, 24], (1)	ablished the following $z_{v+5} = z_v^{i}$ ,
Approved for public release; di 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Finite Fourier Series, Outd 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece In [1, 125] and again in <u>Theorem 1.</u> Let $\Pi = (2$ De a closed skew pentagon in F	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different fro every and identify by block number) door Sculptures every and identify by block number) [3] Jesse Douglas est 20, 21, 22, 23, 24), (1) (3) (1) (2) (3) (1) (3) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	ablished the following $z_{v+5} = z_v^{)},$ or space. Let
Approved for public release; di 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Finite Fourier Series, Outd 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece In [1, 125] and again in <u>Theorem 1.</u> Let $\Pi = (2 \frac{1}{2})^2$	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different fro every and identify by block number) door Sculptures every and identify by block number) [3] Jesse Douglas esta $z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$ , (i) $z_0^2, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$ , (i)	ablished the following $z_{v+5} = z_v^{)},$ or space. Let v = 0,1,2,3,4) (continued
Approved for public release; di Approved for public release; di T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Finite Fourier Series, Outd C. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if nece In [1, 125] and again in <u>Theorem 1.</u> Let $\Pi = (2)$ De a closed skew pentagon in $z'_{V} = \frac{1}{2}$ C. TORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different from entered in Block 20, i	ablished the following $z_{v+5} = z_v^{0}$ , <u>or space. Let</u> v = 0,1,2,3,4) (continued)

Abstract (continued)

be the midpoint of the side  $[z_{\nu-2}, z_{\nu+2}]$  which is opposite to the vertex  $z_{\nu}$ . For each  $\nu$  determine on the line joining  $z_{\nu}$  to  $z_{\nu}'$ , the points  $f_{\nu}^{1}, f_{\nu}^{2}$ , such

that

$$f_{v}^{1} - z_{v}^{*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (z_{v}^{*} - z_{v}^{*}), \quad f_{v}^{2} - z_{v}^{*} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (z_{v}^{*} - z_{v}^{*}).$$

Then

$$n^{1} = (f_{0}^{1}, f_{1}^{1}, f_{2}^{1}, f_{3}^{1}, f_{4}^{1})$$

is a plane and affine regular pentagon, and

$$n^2 = (f_0^2, f_1^2, f_2^2, f_3^2, f_4^2)$$

is a plane and affine regular starshaped pentagon.

By an <u>affine regular</u> (<u>starshaped</u>) <u>pentagon</u> we mean an affine image of a regular (starshaped) pentagon.

It is shown here that the natural and inevitable source of Theorem 1 is the finite Fourier series of five terms. The affine regular pentagons  $\pi^1$  and  $\pi^2$  represent essentially the harmonic analysis of the pentagon  $\pi$ . Placing the origin 0 of  $\pi^3$  in the centroid of the vertices of  $\pi$ , the complete harmonic analysis of  $\pi$  is summarized by the relation

$$\Pi = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \Pi^1 + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \Pi^2 .$$

The Figure 1 shows a 2-dimensional illustration of Theorem 1, but this gives only a faint idea of the appearance of a 3-dimensional structure. The author made a 3-dimensional structure out of 20 thin wooden sticks, and was struck by its appropriateness as a source of outdoor sculptures.

Theorem 2 (§4) describes the analogue of Theorem 1 for skew heptagons in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Figure 3, of §5, shows a 2-dimensional illustration of Theorem 2. A 3-dimensional model would be very desirable.