AD-A	069 605 ASSIFIED	MCDO RESE NOV	NNELL A ARCH ON 78 G S MDC-A	IRCRAFT VISUAL MILLS, 5425	CO ST DISPLA M A GF	T LOUIS	MO GRATION S L LO AMRL-	FOR AD Y TR-78-9	VANCED F336 7	FIGHTER 15-77-0	F/G 1/ AIR	/3 ETC (U)	
	A069605									B		ing i	Land Table Table
					attanti former etamonomi stratici series stratici series eta serie								
					EMANDERING STRATEGICS STRATEGICS STRATEGICS STRATEGICS STRATEGICS STRATEGICS		Additional and a second and as second and a					hind that .	
-									and the second		JE THE		
								ENGLISHER STATISTICS STATISTICS	NET HERE AND	*			
	And the second s											Philipping	





22 February 1979

ERRATA: AMRL-TR-78-97, RESEARCH ON VISUAL DISPLAY INTEGRATION FOR ADVANCED FIGHTER AIRCRAFT

1 mars

19 100079

1. The subtitle of Figure 25 (pp 50-53) should be relabeled as: COUNTER AIR

2. The subtitle of Figure 27 (pp 58-61) should be relabeled as: CLOSE AIR SUPPORT

AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY AEROSPACE MEDICAL DIVISION AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 19) REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE REPOR NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 8. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER AMRIHTR-78-97 4. TITLE (and Subtitle) TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED. Final Technical Report, 13 Jul 77-13 Aug 778, RESEARCH ON VISUAL DISPLAY INTEGRATION FOR ADVANCED FIGHTER AIRCRAFT PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 14 MDC-A5425 AUTHOR(.) CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.) Susan L./Loy George S./Mills F33615-77-C-0536 Marshall A. /Grayson Richard A. /Jauer, Jr PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS McDonnell Aircraft Company 62202F, 7184, 718419 McDonnell Douglas Corporation 71841903 P. O. Box 516, St. Louis, Missouri 63166 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS REPORT DATE Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory OV 978 Aerospace Medical Division Air Force System Command 162 Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this AFPRO, McDonnell Douglas Corporation Unclassified P. O. Box 516 St. Louis, Mo. 63166 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Aeronautics (0102) Defense (1503) Aircraft (0103) Components (0901) Human Factors Engineering (0505) Operations, Strategy and Tactics (1507) 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) This "Visual Display Integration" study was conducted to determine the display integration options projected as available for inclusion in an attack/ fighter aircraft crew station design in the 1985-1990 time. A second objective was the definition of an evaluation framework that would permit evaluation of the selected display options during future related studies. Summarizing the results, it is clearly evident that the CRT, as Option 1, will retain its preeminence as the multifunction display unit for 1985-1990 Option 2 is the flat-panel plasma display, Option 3 is the flat-panel DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE SECURITY CLASS THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) 06

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. ABSTRACT - Continued

liquid crystal display, and Option 4 is the electroluminescent thin-filmtransistor display. It was concluded that man-in-the loop simulations provide the best opportunity for evaluating these display options in future related work.

The rapid development of on-board computational capability has provided the aircraft crew station designer with the opportunity to present pilot information in varied quantities and formats. Increased complexity of the projected missions, weapons delivery and aircraft systems has prompted a strong effort to provide better information and control to the weapons system managers (the flight crews) via a fully integrated cockpit. The selection and implementation of the display system is fundamental to the realization of this important goal: a fully integrated crew station that provides low-workload, efficient control of the weapons system, thereby enhancing the probability of mission success and survival.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

PREFACE

This report is a descriptive summary of the work performed by McDonnell Aircraft Company on a study entitled "Research on Visual Display Integration for Advanced Fighter Aircraft" under Air Force Contract F33615-77-C-0536 for the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio. The study was conducted by the advanced crew station design group assigned to Advanced Design Engineering with MCAIR.

The authors acknowledge the excellent guidance of P. V. Kulwicki, AMRL Program Manager, in supporting the MCAIR Study Team with sage advice and pertinent information. The study is considered very important to, and timely for, the development of an integrated display system for advanced fighter aircraft. The advent of mini-computers which control multi-function displays to provide flight crews with a variety of selectable information, has brought to a close the era of dedicated instruments providing only a single bit of information to the crew. Recognizing the importance and magnitude of the display integration task is a significant step toward attaining a fully integrated cockpit for the combat aircraft of the 1980's.

Access	on For		1
NTIS (GRA&I		K
DDC TAI	3		4
Unanno	anced		L
Justif	icatio	n	
			1967
By			
Distri	bution	1	
Avail	abilit	y Co	des
ALVELL.	[Amai]	and/	or
neat	spec	tal	
Dist.	1 -	1	
IA	2.3		
H		1	
IN	1	_	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ection	3-1-42						Tit	le						270	i dia	-							F	?a
1.	INTRO	DUCTIO	N .	1.55	• •	•		•.•	•	•	•	•		1				•	•	•	•	•	•	
2.	SUMM	ARY .	42.0	M. 1	114	2.0	Prove				1.1	40	1		1.5					1				
					inst									1										2
3. 3600 1	DATA	BASE			•	•		•	1	•	•	•	-	g	10	•	•	•	•	•	r.	•	-	4
	3.1	Ground	Ru	les		•	• •			•	•	•	end.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
	3.2	Missio	n I	rof	iles	5	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	3.3	Missio	n I	lequ	iren	nent	ts	• •	•	•		•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	3.4	Curren	t/1	Proj	ecte	ed I	Veap	ons	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Sec
	and the	3.4.1	We	apo	n Si	1000	arie	s.	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	3.5	Avioni	.c 1	Tunc	tion	ns				•		•								•		•	•	1
		3 5 1	м.	.1++	fun	.+1		ont	rol	1 1	Ini	+		2							12			
		2 5 2	TT.	1.00	Ant			L Co	nti		10	-	-			•		•						S
		3.5.2	T	DICE	ACI	LIV	aleu		inci	.01	1.5	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
		3.5.3	J.	LIDS	•	•	•••	• •	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ŝ
		3.5.4	A	VACS		• .	• •	: •	:	•	•	•	• •	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
		3.5.5	G.	Loba	I PC	051	cior	iing	53	s	cem		•		•	•	•	•	Ċ	•	•	•	•	
4. CRE	W REQU	IREMENT	S	• •	• •	·	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	4.1	Missio	n I	Limi	tati	ion	s .		•															1.201
	4.2	Aircre	w 1	Func	tion	ns																	•	
	4.3	Crew I	nf	orma	tion	n R	equi	ren	ent	ts														
	4.4	Observ	at	ions	and	d F	indi	ing	•	•	•	•	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
5. DIS	PLAY I	NTEGRAT	101	N OF	TIO	NS		• •	•	•	•	•	•		• •				•	•	•	•	•	
	5.1	Evalua	ti	on F	aran	net	er s	Sets	• •	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
		5.1.1	T	echr	010	ду																		
		5.1.2	D	isp]	ay	Tec	hnol	logi	es															
		5.1.3	D	ispl	av 1	For	mat	Typ	es															
		5.1.4	A	irci	aft	Di	spla	iy F	und	t	Lon	s	•			•		•	•		•	•		
	5.2	Parame	te	r Ir	teri	rel.	atic	onst	ip	5	•	•						•		•	•	•	•	
		5.2.1	T	echr	010	ev .	vs I	form	at	5														
		5.2.2	D	ispl	ay I	Fun	ctic	ons	vs	Fo	orm	at	s		• •	•		•	•	•	•		•	
	5.3	Displa	y :	[ech	nolo	ogy	Eva	lua	ti	on	•	•	•	-		•	•		•	•		•	•	
		5.3.1	E	lect	rol	umi	nesc	ent	(1	EL) D	ev	ice	28										
		5.3.2	L	ight	Em	itt	ing	Dic	de	5														

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section	Title	Page
. 825 14 .	5.3.3 Plasma Displays	79
	5.3.4 Liquid Crystal Devices	81
	5.3.5 Cathode Ray Tubes	83
	5.3.6 Electrophoretic Displays	85
sel ····	5.3.7 Magnetic Particles Display	85
	5.3.8 Gyricon	96
	5.3.9 Laser Displays	96
	5.3.10 Electrochromics	86
5.4	Summary of Display Technologies	87
5.5	5 Selected Display Integration Options	88
	5.5.1 Option 1	80
	5.5.2 Option 2	80
	5.5.3 Option 3	09
	5.5.4 Ontion 4	07
		09
6. EVALUATI	LON FRAMEWORK	91
6.1	1 Trade Study Process	91
6.2	2 Subjective Rating Techniques	92
6.3	3 Functional Mock-Up Evaluation	94
	6 3 1 Part-Tack Studies	~
	6.3.2 Abstract Simulation	94
		95
6.4	Physical Simulation	97
6.5	5 Summary of Evaluation Framework	99
	6.5.1 Recommended Evaluation Mathad	
	6.5.2 Workload and Effectiveness Analysis	99
	0.5.2 WORKIOAU AND EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS	99
6.6	5 Simulation Plan	100
	6.6.1 Manned Combat Simulation Objectives	100
	6.6.2 Crew Evaluation Techniques	107
	6.6.3 Method of Analyzing/Summarizing Results	108
	6.6.4 Candidate Evaluation Control/Display Layout	100
		103
7. SUMMARY	OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	110
7.1	Results	110
7.2	Conclusions	110
		111
8. RECOMMEN	NDATIONS	112

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

S

e	ction											<u>T:</u>	it	le															Pag	e
	APPENDIX A .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		11	3
	APPENDIX B .	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		11	8
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	10.00	•			-	•				24		•	•	•	•	•	•	15	1
	REFERENCES .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	0.0	•	•	•	•		•	1		•			•	•	•	15	2

אטאמאבי כדיפראיזידי אוא כדייניינייני באאמולטא

and produced and

And Marke Version Congration definition
 And Market Version Congration definitions
 And Market Version Version Version Response
 And Version Version Congration Version
 And Version Version Congration Version
 And Version Version
 And Ve

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

т	1	t	1	e
	-	-		-

Page

and the second		-
1	Program Task Flow	
2	Program Schedule	
3	TACAIR Missions	
4	Central Europe Target Distribution	
5	Typical West German Ceiling Levels	
6	Probability that Ceiling and Visibility Equal	
	or Exceed the Given Limits	
7	Enemy Force Deployment	
8	Enemy Air Defenses	
9	Close Air Support	
10	Air Interdiction	
11	Counter Air	
12	Mission Requirements: Phases of Flight	
13	Guidance Candidates and Characteristics	
14	Versen Cubeveter Candidates	
15	Serenting of Mideourse Cuidenes Conserve	
16	Screening of Midcourse Guidance Concepts	
17	Screening of ferminal Guidance Concepts	
1/	Alf-Io-Alf Missiles	
10	Air-To-Surface Ballistic Weapons	
19	Air-To-Surface Missiles	
20	Technology Advances Available for Mid-1980's DAIS	
21	Time Delay Effect	
22	Aircrew Functions	
23	Aircrew Functions: Mission	
24	Aircrew Functions: Housekeeping	
25	Crew Information Requirements	
26	Crew Information Requirements	
27	Crew Information Requirements	
28	Principal Evaluation Parameters	
29	Technology Evaluation Criteria	
30	Display Technologies	
31	Display Format Types	
32	Aircraft Display Functions	
33	Advantages of Holographic Data Storage	
34	Display Technology Applications	
35	Formats/Functions	
36	Display Technology Comparisons	
37	Methods of Deriving Trade Study Matrix Entries	
38	Visual Display Evaluation Form	
39	Analysis of Variance of Effects of Display Density and	
	Number of Colors Upon Search Times (Transformed Data) 95	
40	Task Block Structure	
41	Pilot Simulation Model (PSM) Input Data	
42	Sample Mission Profile	
43	Candidate Evaluation Control/Display Layout	
44	Candidate Evaluation Control/Display Layout	
45	Close Air Support	
46	Air Interdiction	
47	Counter Air	
48	Three-Factor Test Matrix	
	THE THEFT THEFT I THEFT THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH	

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Title Page Figure Mission Requirements: Phases of Flight A-1 114 A-2 115 A-3 115 A-4 116 A-5 116 A-6 117 A-7 117 Mission Requirements: Phases of Flight 119 B-1 B-2 120

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A/A	Air-to-Air
A/C	Aircraft
A/S	Air-to-Surface
AAA	Anti-Aircraft Artillery
AAR	Air-to-Air Refueling
AC	Aircraft Commander
ADC	Air Data Computer
ADF	Automatic Direction Finding
AF	Air Force
AFTI	Advanced Fighter Technology Integrator
AI	Air Interdiction
AIDS	Advanced Integrated Display System
AIMIS	Advanced Integrated Modular Instrumentation System
Ambience	Surrounding or Pervading Atmosphere
AMD	Aerospace Medical Division
AMRAAM	Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missile
AMRL	Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory
ANC	Alphanumeric Character
AOA	Angel of Attack
ATF	Advanced Tactical Fighter
ATS	Air-to-Surface Technology Study
AWACS	Airborne Warning and Control System
BCIU	Bus Control Interface Unit
BLU	Bomb Live Unit
c ³	Command, Control, and Communication

7

5

1.5

that has a set of the set of the set of the set of the

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS (Continued)

C/D	Controls and Displays
CA	Counter Air
CADM	Cluster Airfield Defeat Munitions
CAS	Close Air Support
CDC	Control Data Corporation
CDRL	Contract Data Requirement List
CET	Central European Theater
COM	Communication
Corr	Correlation
CRT	Cathode Ray Tube
DAIS	Digital Avionics Information System
DED	Dedicated Displays
DFCS	Digital Flight Control System
DME	Distance Measuring Equipment
EC	Electrochromics
ECM	Electronic Countermeasures
EL	Electroluminescence
EO	Electro Optical
EP	Electrophoretics
epi	Elements per inch
EPID	Electrophoretic Image Displays
FAC	Forward Air Controller
fcd	foot candles
FEBA	Forward Edge of Battle Area
FLIR	Forward Looking Infrared

8

28 and an intern

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS (Continued)

	The second se	
FLR	Forward Looking Radar	
FPM	Feet Per Minute	
FPS	Feet Per Second	
FRAG	Fragmentary	
ftl	foot lambert	dres bis side
GPH	Gallons Per Hour	
GPS	Global Positioning Satellite	
GS	Ground Speed	
h	hours	
HAC	High Acceleration Cockpit	
HMD	Helmet Mounted Display	
HSD	Horizontal Situation Display	
HSM	Hard Structure Munition	
HUD	Head-Up Display	
IAS	Indicated Airspeed	
IFF	Identification Friend or Foe	
IIR	Imaging Infrared	
ILS	Instrument Landing System	
IMFK	Integrated Multifunction Keyboard	
in	inch	
INS	Inertial Navigation System	1
IR	Infrared	
IRAD	Independent Research and Development	
ITT	International Telephone and Telegraph	
JCS	Joint Chiefs of Staff	

a to an a second second and

	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS (Continued)	
JTIDS	Joint Tactical Information Distribution System	
ĸ	1000	
Kh	Kilohours	MER
1/w	lumens per watt	
lambertian	diffuse, or a radiator that has constant radiance directions from its surface	in all
LB	pounds	
LBM	pounds mass	
LC	Liquid Crystal	
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display	
LD	Laser Displays	
LED	Light Emitting Diode	
LOAL	Lock-On After Launch	
LOBL	Lock-On Before Launch	
LORAN	Long-Range Navigation	
LRA	Long-Range Attack	a CAL
LRU	Line Replaceable Unit	
LSI	Large Scale Integration	
W	Microwatts	
μ #	nanodra anisatititit ana anis	
MCAIR	McDonnell Alferant Company	
MCG	Microwave Command Guidance	
MHz	MegaHertz	
MK	Mark antennation and according threaders .	Clear .
MMD	Multimode Display	
MPD	Multipurpose Display, or Magnetic Particles Displ	ay
мрн	Miles Per Hour	

	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS (Continued)	
ms	millisecond	
MSI	Medium Scale Integration	
MTBF	Mean Time Between Failures	
mw	milliwatts	
Nematic	One of three classes of mesomorphic behavior exhi- liquid crystals with each class characterized by molecular arrangement	bited by a specific
NM	Nautical Miles	
NMPH	Nautical Miles Per Hour	
PAL	Permissive Action Link	
PLD	Plasma Devices	
POL	Petroleum, Oil, Lubricant	
РРН	Pounds Per Hour	
R&D	Research and Development	
RAC	Radiometric Area Correlator	
RH	Relative Humidity	
PHAWS	Radar Homing and Warning System	
ROE	Rules of Engagement	
RPM	Revolutions per Minute	
RTU	Remote Terminal Unit	
SAM	Surface-to-Air Missile	
SAR	Synthetic Aperature Radar	
SID	Society for Information Display	
SMS	Stores Management System	
SPD	Stereo-Optic Panoramic Display	
SRA	Short Range Attack	

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS (Continued)

SRS	Stores Release System
TAC	Tactical Air Command
TACAIR	Tactical Air Power
TACAN	Tactical Air Navigation
TACS	Tactical Air Control System
TAS	True Airspeed
TDMA	Time Division Multiple Access
TERCOM	Terrain Contour Matching
TFEL	Thin Film Electroluminescence
TFR	Terrain Following Radar
TFT	Thin Film Transistor
TV	Television
UHF	Ultra High Frequency
USAF	United States Air Force
VAC	Volts Alternating Current
VAS	Voice Actuated Systems
VSD	Vertical Situation Display
W	Watts Silution and intervel
waam	Wide Area Anti-Armor Munition
WPAFB	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base

1. INTRODUCTION

Presented herein are the results of the study, "Research on Visual Display Integration for Advanced Fighter Aircraft," conducted by McDonnell Aircraft Company for the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories under Contract Number F33615-77-C-0536 dated 13 July 1977.

The anti-air defenses estimated to counter future attack/fighter systems will necessitate the employment of maximum skill by the combat aircrews using the most advanced equipment to both accomplish their mission and to survive. The quality of information presented to the crews and the method by which it is presented are considered the most important elements in the design of a highly-effective combat crew station.

This study has explored the mission requirements, aircrew functions, current and projected weapons, crew information requirements and display technologies applicable to the 1985-1990 attack/fighter mission. A major objective of the study was to identify display integration options available for the 1985-1990 crew station.

The study consisted of four major tasks: (1) survey and analysis to establish data base, (2) definition and analysis of crew requirements, (3) identify display integration options, and (4) develop planning for evaluation framework. Section 2 presents a summary of the principal results, conclusions and recommendations. Sections 3 through 6 of this report identify study results pertinent to each of the major tasks. Conclusions are summarized in Section 7 and the recommendations are made in Section 8. The report is presented in a task-oriented format.

Selected display integration options are defined in Section 5. The technical information and rationale used in determining Options 1, 2, 3 and 4 are presented therein.

Information that should be considered in defining future related work, evaluation of the selected display integration options defined herein, is presented in Section 6. This task involved a review of available evaluation techniques and the identification of the technique considered the most appropriate for the follow-on study.

the little and have a serie to a little of the little of the little and

actions technology furry and any presented is Section's limit with the molecularity the state the molecularity the country limit option is to prove the country is the country limit of the country for the co

2. SUMMARY

The four major tasks, and their interaction, are illustrated in Figure 1. Figure 2, the Program Schedule, relates these tasks to time. A summary of each major task follows.

Task 1 established the data base required to conduct the study and was composed of the following seven subtasks: establishment of the study ground rules, identification of mission profiles, generation of mission requirements, identification of the weapons projected as available in 1985-1990, determination of the aircrew complement, definition of aircrew functions, and a survey of technology options.

Task 2 defined the crew requirements and crew information requirements necessary for mission accomplishment. Typical profiles were developed for Close Air Support, Air Interdiction and Counter Air missions. Mission requirements were generated for each phase of flight in each type of mission. Air crew functions were divided into the two categories of "Mission" and "housekeeping."

In Task 3 the promising approaches to display integration were identified by surveying the avionics field for display methods that show promise and availability for the 1985-1990 time period. The most promising display options were selected for their capability, maturity, availability, and projected cost and reliability.

The output of Task 4 is the development of a planning framework for evaluating candidate display alternatives and comparing competing display alternatives during a follow-on study. It was determined that manned combat simulations would provide the best evaluation technique. An example of a typical simulation activity, involving recommended procedures for evaluating the display options, was prepared and is included in Section 6 of this report.

RESULTS:

(1) Profiles and phases of flight were generated for Close Air Support (CAS), Air Interdiction (AI), and Counter Air (CA) missions utilizing the Central European theater as the battlefield. They are presented in Appendix A.

(2) Mission requirements were generated for each phase of the CAS, AI and CA missions and are presented in Appendix B.

(3) Crew Information Requirements were developed for pre-flight, inflight and post-flight phases of the CAS, AI and CA missions and are presented in Section 4.

(4) Available/projected display technologies were summarized from an advanced technology survey and are presented in Section 5 along with the selected three display integration options. The Cathode Ray Tube, Option 1, is projected to retain its preeminence as the multipurpose display for the 1985-1990 attack/fighter crew station.



Figure 1 Program Task Flow

15

THE ALL PROPERTY OF

1

....

15.9.90

.



Figure 1 (Concluded) Program Task Flow

16

attes vak Gasandand, as the mast a	Months After Go-Ahead												
antidud nit caloaanty tarongh usado a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	1:
Authority to Proceed (13 July 1977)									1 734	0123		arg	17
Survey and Analysis	Ach	195	asie.	1									
Establish Groundrules			2.5	7.572	1	13	2.8	4.3	211	10	1973	100	5
Establish Mission Profiles			10	del		0.85	9.5	5	10	0.21	1	Par	
Establish Mission Requirements		-	N.C.	1.3	190		2.8	11	213	1	21	198	10
Establish Projected Weapons						L						100	1
Identify Aircrew Functions	1			-0	1	18	1	1	3.3	13.00	1	ake.	13
Identify Aircrew Complement				19.3		Bill	120	13	15	1	642.7	100	
Identify Technology Options					VIE					-	Line	1	
First Progress Report					12		23	196		75		4.03	
		1000				and a			1			14	
Definition and Analysis of Crew Requirements			1	1.540	23465	1963			1.3.4	1.1			
Crew Requirements									1				
Crew Information Requirements					1013		-		0 10	3.6	-16	a Dia	1
Second Progress Report				ļ			-		đĩ	1	0		6
Identify Display Integration Options							in	100	1.10			12	12
Screening Process												and the	
Identify Promising Approaches to Display Integration	on							1	1.3				1
Select Promising Display Integration Options		L									150	1	1
Conduct Workload and Effectiveness Analysis			l	l		L			-			100	
Extract Merits and Deficiencies													
Third Progress Report				ļ							197	577 1813	0.8
Develop Planning Framework for Evaluating Display Alternatives	130 EX 4 171 3 - 3		15 A	10		1961. (d)	150	8.2M				**	
Plan for Evaluating Candidate Displays					1	1			<u> </u>				
Plan to Compare Competing Alternatives					ļ						-		3
R&D Funds Status Report		4		-	-	-							
Final Report Draft Submitted					ļ	ļ						-	
	10 Mar 19 19 19 19	100	19 3	1.161	1.11	120	1.00	1.12.13	1201		10.70		

Figure 2 Program Schedule

(5) The display evaluation framework was synthesized and available methods by which the selected display options can be evaluated are presented in Section 6. Man-in-the-loop simulation was determined as the most appropriate technique to employ in evaluating these display options in future work.

CONCLUSIONS:

(1) In spite of the fact that numerous display developments are in progress that, if they mature, will exhibit significant capabilities for the 1985-1990 time period, the most promising display method is still the Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) for the following reasons: (a) it has a superb capability for displaying almost unlimited formats (including video); (b) it is almost certain that color will be available for 1985-1990; (c) the cost is relatively low, and (d) availability is certain.

The leading contenders to replace the CRT on a short-term basis (1 to 5 years) are the flat plasma panel due to its commercial availability and the liquid crystal display which has received military funding. The leading contender on a long-term basis is, although somewhat speculative, the electroluminescence flat panel. The flat panel displays are very attractive from the standpoint of low weight, low power requirements, low volume requirements, and (predicted) relatively low cost.

(2) The appropriate display evaluation method involves manned combat simulations conducted in a high-fidelity, real-time simulator. Missions, mission phases and weapons to be delivered should be selected from those considered representative for the 1985-1990 time period.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The results of this study have prompted specific recommendations for future effort to complete the definition of visual display integration for advanced fighter aircraft. These are stated fully in Section 8; however, those considered the most appropriate for helping plan the next step of advanced integrated crew station control/display development are:

(1) Layout the display arrangement and necessary controls for a fighter cockpit. Prepare for evaluation of display location, readability, information content, display format acceptability, pilot workload, and mission accomplishment.

(2) Develop display formats (for each mission segment) for all multifunction displays.

(3) Select an existing high performance aircraft to closely approximate the performance required for fighter mission accomplishment in the 1985-1990 time period. Prepare a simulation program that would permit manned, real-time simulations under typical combat conditions.

(4) Generate a simulation plan to evaluate selected display options and compare competing alternatives, in the context of an entire combat mission. Identify evaluation and scoring techniques.

(5) Conduct a Manned Combat Simulation for evaluation of: (a) display arrangement; (b) display formats; (c) display information content; (d) pilot workload; (e) the differences between competing display alternatives; (f) control of computer-generated information; and (g) probability of mission accomplishment and survival.

the test tollowing drawn rolas were definitied in contert with line pagene.

19

the D' as cartents projects all include as a in

(AJAWA) LANDER DETENDING THE STRONG CANADA (A)

3. DATA BASE

The results of Task 1, Survey and Analysis to Establish Data Base, are presented in the following sections. This task was conducted to provide the data base upon which Tasks 2, 3 and 4 were dependent for direction and scope.

3.1 GROUND RULES

The following ground rules were determined in concert with USAF program goals, as reviewed and approved by the USAF Program Manager 23 September 1977.

(1) Primary emphasis was placed on the Central European Theater (CET). This scenario was used in determining the conditions which impact mission profiles, mission requirements, projected weapons, and subsequently, aircrew functions.

(2) The traditional Tactical Air Command (TAC) missions were used, however, reduced emphasis was placed on the air-to-air missions. Air-toground missions received the major emphasis.

(3) Command, control, and communications (C^3) systems and the technologies considered for the study, were those available or projected for 1981-1982.

(4) Results from USAF/MCAIR Advanced Tactical Fighter (ATF), Air-to-Surface Technology Study (ATS), and other recent studies are pertinent to this effort and were used wherever applicable.

(5) This study has emphasized the one-man crew station aircraft. A one-man crew station is attractive for several reasons: reduced procurement and operating costs; reduced training cost; and fewer exposed personnel while in combat.

There are, no doubt, missions that can be significantly enhanced by two crew members instead of better systems and a one-man crew station. This is an old and long argument, however, if the one-man crew is never thoroughly explored in a variety of demanding roles, there will never be conclusive evidence for or against it. If, in subsequent work, analysis identifies areas that can be better performed by a two-man crew, they will be reported. In the meantime, the merits of one-man crew station demand a thorough study in order to best manage the complicated requirements of the tactical air environment.

(6) Predicted vehicle characteristics typical of the high performance fighters anticipated for future systems (including direct force control) were utilized.

- (7) Primary ordnances are stand-off type weapons.
- (8) C³ as currently projected will include as a minimum:
 - (a) Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS)

(b) Global Positioning Satellite (GPS)

(c) Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS)

(9) Aircraft systems considered in the course of this study are compatible with advanced technology (ECM, navigation, radar, etc.)

(10) Primary emphasis throughout the study is the man/machine interface.

(11) Two engine aircraft.

- (12) High Acceleration Cockpit (HAC) configurations.
- (13) Definitions are those officially accepted by NATO, USAF, or DOD.
- (14) Configuration utilizes:
 - (a) HUD as primary attitude reference
 - (b) Multifunction displays
 - (c) Multifunction control unit
 - (d) Fly-by-wire stick and throttle control
 - (e) Mass storage device (Disc, bubble memory, etc.)

(15) Documents considered basic to this study are:

- (a) Tactical Air Command Manual 3-1 (TACM 3-1)
- (b) Air-to-Surface Technology Reports, Reference (1)
- (c) Joint Chiefs of Staff Publication 1
- (d) NATO Glossary, AAP-6(N)

3.2 MISSION PROFILES

Tactical Air Power (TACAIR), as it exists today, defines three primary missions to accomplish the more general USAF objectives of being able to wage and win wars. The primary TACAIR missions are Close Air Support, Air Interdiction, and Counter Air. Although there are other TACAIR missions, such as Reconnaissance and Electronic Warfare, they are considerably more specialized and, in general, are designed primarily to support TACAIR's offensive air-to-air and air-to-ground missions.

The official definitions of each mission follow as taken from Joint Chiefs of Staff PUB 1, the NATO Glossary, AAP-6(N), and NATO ATP-27(A).

<u>Close Air Support</u> - Air action against hostile targets which are in close proximity to friendly forces and which require detailed integration of each air mission with the fire and movement of those forces.

<u>Air Interdiction</u> - Air operations conducted to destroy, neutralize, or delay the enemy's military potential before it can be brought to bear effectively against friendly forces, at such distance from friendly forces that detailed integration of each air mission with the fire and movement of friendly forces is not required. <u>Counter Air</u> - Air operations conducted to attain and maintain a desired degree of air superiority by the destruction or neutralization of enemy forces. Both air offensive and air defensive actions are involved. The offensive actions range throughout enemy territory and are generally conducted at the initiative of the friendly forces. The defensive actions are conducted near to or over friendly territory and are generally reactive to the initiative of the enemy air forces.

Two other definitions are required to complete a basic knowledge of TACAIR mission related terminology. These are:

<u>Air Superiority</u> - That degree of dominance in the air battle of one force over another which permits the conduct of operations by the former and its related land, sea, and air forces at a given time and place without prohibitive interference by the opposing force.

<u>Air Strike</u> - An attack on specific objectives by fighter, bomber, or attack aircraft on an offensive mission. May consist of several air organizations under a single command in the air. (JCS PUB1 and NATO Glossary, AAP-6(N))

The primary emphasis of this study was on the requirements identified from the two air-to-ground missions, which are Air Interdiction and Close Air Support. Consideration was also given to the air-to-air role, Counter Air, but primarily in relation to defensive actions. This allocation of study time and resources allowed for a better coverage of those elements most likely to affect the development of the next advanced fighter and are in keeping with a general overview of our current and planned force structure. For example, a brief look at current tactical fighters and those planned in the next 5 years illustrate that the heavy dollar emphasis has been placed on air-to-air weapons, such as the F-14, F-15, and F-16. In view of a constantly increasing WARSAW PACT threat to NATO, which emphasizes armor, artillery and fast moving ground attacks, it is logical to anticipate the next USAF fighter aircraft will emphasize weapons delivery against the types of targets and under the environmental conditions that would be encountered in the CET. This logic suggests that new aircraft programs will emphasize an air-to-ground weapons system. 1 In addition, a credible defensive air capability is always a desirable feature on fighter aircraft, since this ability retains a multirole aircraft classification. The defensive air feature also reduces the requirement for fighter escort, which if not done, would cause a further reduction in the resources available to maintain Air Superiority.

Figure 3 summarizes, in general, the scope of TACAIR's offensive air support missions. The mission definitions previously listed specify neither target types nor the range at which the missions will be conducted. However,

1. NOTE: This analysis does not presume that the Counter Air role is well in hand. High altitude, high speed enemy aircraft, such as FOXBAT, and a significant advantage in total enemy aircraft, are always cause for concern when planning future force levels.



Targets suitable to other missions

GP77-0085-10

Figure 3 TACAIR Missions

by keeping the mission objectives in mind and by examining the CET scenario, an approximation of both target allocation and mission ranges can be determined. Figure 4 presents the categories of targets found in the CET. Target distribution has been studied in great detail in recent Air-to-Surface Technology Study (ATS) evaluations. Each of the general missions described in Figure 3 will be individually profiled and explained in the following sections.

There is a very large number of specific mission profiles that can be designed to accomplish the offensive air support missions, particularly in view of the recent advances in technology. These profiles could incorporate a broad range of weapons, sensors, and command, control, and communication systems (C^3) . This abundance of new technology complicates crew station development and necessitates a new, manageable approach to crew station design and integration. In order to facilitate such an approach in this study, a representative profile was developed for each of three missions. Each mission profile includes the key elements or variables most commonly associated with those individual missions. From these profiles, mission requirements were determined. The specific goal in utilizing this method is to concentrate on the basic elements of each mission and avoid excessive concern for mission details that frequently do not significantly impact crew tasks. For example, a pilot does not particularly care whether he penetrates at 0.85 Mach or 0.92 Mach, 25,000 ft or 29,000 ft, or whether he pulls 6.2g's or 7.1g's. These are operational techniques that take advantage of the particular aircraft design feature and aircraft performance. Once a pilot is thoroughly familiar with an aircraft he usually acquires or develops "rules of thumb" to cover the situations most commonly encountered. For example, pilots frequently fly mission segments, such as low level routes, at airspeeds which are even tenths of a Mach (0.7, 0.8, or 0.9) or multiples of 60 (420, 480, 540). This is done in lieu of best cruise or other optimum speeds because it facilitates solving the in-flight timing problems, an important parameter in all offensive air support missions. The importance of precise timing originates because of the exacting time-over-target requirements specified in real operations.

The important point of the above discussion is that an aircrew's priorities at any given time may be significantly different than the aircraft design features emphasized for that particular time and place. The previous example illustrates that mission timing can be more important than optimum penetration speed. Many other examples are possible that would further contrast pilot and design priorities. The mission profiles that follow will emphasize the pilot priorities, i.e., decisions or information needs, as these are the mission related elements or variables that affect crew station design.

No discussion of the CET is complete until significant environmental factors are examined. In Europe, one such factor affecting TACAIR is adverse weather. Unlike the Mid-East where the weather is generally good, Europe has some of the worst flying weather in the world, reducing TACAIR's effectiveness. For example, optical or visible spectrum limited weapons are very good in clear skies (Mid-East), but are reduced in effectiveness or altogether negated in the CET environment. This very serious handicap greatly complicates TACAIR's CET mission. Short Range Mission

kies are requir	Number of Targets in NM Increments								
et larget waawn	0-15	15-30	30-45	45-60	60-75	75-90	90-105	105-120	Total
Mobile SAMs				1	1		10-1-00		CO DE LA
Armored Column	303903	100.820	n u ang wal	un Bo	10726	85 6 9 5 9 5 9 5 9	> Track	1 5 5 25	23.9
Armor March Formation	194 - 19 J. 1939 1945 - 19 J. 19						1	PAC ALSON	ind 3 of
EW/GCI Hadar Sites	- Colore -	01-	Air.	to-Surface		and a series		The second second	1344
Bridge-Highway					Target Dist	tria	- Alland	and the second	133
Mobile SAMe						oution	r Diegen h	1.61.3	S Peak
Armored Column					10.736		1. Sec.		
Armored Column	-	2.03.7941	alore a	EFY DA	A PARTY	11.5.19	191 294	1.0	123
Armor March Formation				1.				1.00	1
EW/GCI Radar Sites	eren eran a				- 60.4.4.M			1.1.10	1
Bridge-Highway	1 - 1 - 1 -	and the second second			10 10 10 M		a like of the	13 3 3 9 9	

Long Range Mission

	Number of Targets in NM Increments							Press C. C	Sec. T		
Target	0-25	25-50	50-75	75-100	100-125	125-150	150-175	175-200	200-225	225-250	Total
Air Defense Control Center	023 1	172 - 17	101123	1 etc	onun i			100.0		ne star	1.
Hardened Command Control Center	101.5	asta.	38130	de la grada de glass	(LI M	posta.	2 89 X	12.20		10-14-14-1	oner male
Airfields	Ne.r.s	8.1.31 A.S		A	ir-to-Su-		0043	12 month			114 A.
Aircraft Shelters			1.1	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	- ar	ace Targe	t Dia				
POL Storage Site	1						Istribu	tion	Particula	1 States	1.18
EW/GCI Radar Sites				1.1		1	1. 1. 1			42.63	
Fixed SAM Site	1932	R. Gally		de la composition	1. 1. 1. 1.	as suga	and a	0.863	da no	134.149	aif Com
Bridges-Railway	L'De a	100.000	121.246		104 100	10.962.04	ake at Ma	DARY 1.1	1949	1613 9	
Resupply Column								an early			

Figure 4 Central Europe Target Distribution

GP77-4

Figures 5 and 6 summarize the weather and the day/night conditions found annually in Europe. They clearly show that the flying weather in the winter months is the most severe. Overall, if day or clear skies are required for effective systems operation, then TACAIR, as currently structured, is tremendously handicapped!

Figure 7 illustrates an attack of a hypothetical Soviet tank division in breakthrough formation. Therein lies several key elements which must be evaluated in a CET scenario and subsequent mission profiles. They are:

(1) Enemy tanks are concentrated at the battle front and are in close proximity to friendly forces.

(2) The battlefield terrain can vary considerably.

(3) Surface-to-Air Missiles (SAM's) are distributed throughout the WARSAW PACT division and provide overlapping hemispheres of protective coverage for the ground forces (See Figure 8).

These elements further complicate an aircrew's ability to find and attack the right target and still survive.

The CET, in the final analysis, is a hostile battle environment. The weather, enemy offensive and defensive forces, terrain, and tactics make the CET the most complex battlefield ever encountered. Therefore, the mission profiles that follow (Figures 9 through 11) emphasize the pilot priorities, i.e., decisions or information needs, as these are the mission related elements or variables that affect crew station design.

3.3 MISSION REQUIREMENTS

The mission phases are summarized in Figure 12. This figure lists the phases of the three primary missions for which the mission requirements (Appendix B) were generated. The mission phases were derived directly from the mission profiles presented in Figures 9 through 11. Each mission requirement was examined for the key elements and differences between the three TACAIR missions. A tabulation of mission requirements is found in Appendix B. The format used allows the easy comparison of the three general missions and, in Task 2, aided in the determination of the individual crew information requirements. Notes are included where further clarification or differentiation of a particular mission phase is needed.

These requirements were constructed from a combat pilot's viewpoint and stress those items with which he is primarily concerned during a particular mission phase. Although they are, in this study, considered individually by phase and task, in real life they would always overlap. The aircrew would constantly evaluate several of the tasks in a mental "time sharing" process. The cloud layer over Western Europe is typically low and scudding on westerly winds. The average ceilings (more than 50% cloud cover) expressed as a percentage for three month periods in West Germany are:

	Mar-May	Jun-Aug	Sep-Nov	Dec-Feb
No Ceiling	29.7%	33.9%	25.1%	15.7%
2000 Plus	49.2	50.2	42.3	41.2
1500-2000	3.9	2.4	4.0	5.6
1000-1500	5.4	3.9	6.2	9.8
500-1000	6.5	5.0	8.0	14.1
Under 500	5.3	4.6	14.4	13.6
Average	6.2	6.7	18.5	17.1

Source: U.S. Army FM 100-5

Figure 5 Typical West German Ceiling Levels

GP77-0499-36



Source: USAF Project Rand R-1195-1-PR Jan 1974

Figure 6 Probability that Ceiling and Visibility Values Equal or Exceed the Given Limits



FM 100-5 HEADQUARTERS DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Washington, D.C. 1 July 1976

WEAPON	TYPE	UNITS	WEAPONS LAUNCHERS
ZSU 23-4	AAA	32 Btrys	128
S-60	AAA	23 Btrys	138
SA-6	SAM	5 Btrys	15
SA-4	SAM	9 Btrys	27
SA-2	SAM	3 Btrys	18



This figure portrays a typical Soviet Combined Arms Army air defense system 50 kilometers wide and 100 kilometers deep. Shoulderfired SA-7 and vehiclemounted SA-9 launchers common to all units are not shown, but provide a dense blanket of low altitude air defense which complements the other systems.



Figure 8 Enemy Air Defenses

29

and the start is

Distance Requirements: Provide of Fight



Counter Air

1. Preflight - All aircrew functions leading up to but not including takeoff. 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6

- Mission Planning
- Preflight Start and System Checks Taxi
- Arming Takeoff
- In-Flight All flight activities beginning with takeoff and concluding at the termination of the landing roll.
 - 2.1 Climb to Level Off
 - 2.2 2.3 2.4
- Cruise Cruise Loiter Rendezvous and Air-to-Air Refueling (AAR) Coordination Mission Rendezvous

 - 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9
 - Penetration Threat Warning Detection
 - 2.10
 - Location Identification Decision Execution
 - 2.11 2.12 2.13
 - 2.14
 - Assessment Termination 2.15
 - Egress Cruise 2.17
 - 2.17 Cruise
 2.18 Rendezvous and Air-to-Air Refueling (AAR)
 2.19 Reengage
 2.20 Return to Base
 2.21 Descent
 2.22 Approach
 2.23 Landing

Post-Flight - All mission related activities beginning after the completion of the landing roll and ending when the aircrew is free to perform other duties or pursue personal interests.

- De-arm Taxi System Checks Shutdown Post-Flight Debrief
- 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6

Figure 12

Mission Requirements: Phases of Flight


31

the little

(The ability to plan ahead is a key element in being a successful combat pilot.) Therefore, the mission requirements are useful in relating crew information requirements to mission scenarios and profiles.

3.4 CURRENT/PROJECTED WEAPONS

This study task was purposely extended over the first nine months of the study to facilitate the accumulation of more up-to-date information. It should be emphasized that only unclassified weapons data are presented in this report. The data sources are shown in the bibliography. An excellent summary of unclassified weapons is shown in Reference (1).

The CET's combination of defenses, weather, terrain and target characteristics (size, mobility, signature) compromise the effectiveness of many of today's weapon systems. Therefore, new concepts are needed to meet the demanding CET requirements. Stand off weapons, both unitary and dispenser types, with midcourse and terminal guidance offer one of the most feasible solutions. Figure 13 summarizes the characteristics of various guidance candidates. These guidance systems can also be used in combination. For example, midcourse guidance can be inertial while terminal guidance can be imaging infrared (IIR) with data link lock-on.

Although these weapons are being developed for the 1980's, a larger number of today's weapons (Maverick, Rockeye, MK84) will still be in the inventory and could still receive a great deal of use, depending on the time and duration of a future conflict.

It is important to emphasize that the key elements or characteristics desired in future weapons are standoff and accurate guidance and/or control. The net result of these features is to increase survivability and effectiveness and reduce losses and the missions, or resources, required to destroy a target.

Consideration has been given to foreign weapons selected for NATO commonality. Numerous NATO (European) weapons exist, or are under development, that may affect the control/display design of U.S. attack/fighter aircraft. Most of the unclassified weapons are identical or very similar to a U.S. counterpart and will require identical equipment to carry and launch. For example, the BL755 dispenser bomb and Matra 250 Kg retarded bomb are currently certified on U.S. aircraft. Others may require a closer look to ascertain aircraft/crew station compatibility. The main purpose in discussing NATO weapons is to point out that it will be necessary to consider these weapons in the design of advanced attack/fighter aircraft, including the classified NATO weapons (not listed or discussed in this report).

3.4.1 WEAPONS SUMMARIES - As shown in Figure 14, a weapon configuration candidate is a collection of subsystem candidates that are available for defining a weapon. The types of ordnance available, the aerodynamic configuration, propulsion system requirements/availability, weapons delivery guidance systems and support systems are typical of those weapon subsystems necessary to define a successful weapon. Certainly midcourse and terminal guidance are two of the most important subsystems in weapons design without which the weapon could not reach the selected target. Figures 15 and 16 list midcourse and terminal guidance concepts, respectively, with assigned priorities for continued development based on anticipated capabilities.

	et al	Can	didate Ch	aracteris	stics	
Generic Guidance Type	Adverse Weather	Fixed Targets	Moving Targets	LOBL	LOAL	Launch and Leave
Laser Seeker	Lawo I	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	V	-
EO Tracker (Edge, Centroid, Correlation) Lock On Before Launch Data Link Lock On	929 1922 1922	\$	3	<u>√</u>	-	3
IIR Tracker (Edge, Centroid, Correlation) Lock On Before Launch Data Link Lock On	n an <u>a</u> dis Sa <u>n</u> as	~	~	√	-	~
IR/Radiometric Seekers (Passive)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		V	\checkmark
Radar Contrast Trackers SAR Line of Sight SAR Azimuth/Range Coincidence Radar Correlation	****	>>>>	144	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	>>>>	~>
IR/Radiometric Correlators	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Terrain Correlators (TERCOM)	V	\checkmark		\checkmark	V	\checkmark
Antiradiation	\checkmark	\checkmark	desers of	\checkmark	Cigo:	\checkmark
Inertial	\checkmark	\checkmark		V	V	V

*Lock-on before launch

** Lock-on after launch

P77-0490-2

Figure 13 Guidance Candidates and Characteristics

Ordnance

- Warhead
- Fuze
- Aero Configuration
- Nose
- Body
- Lifting Surfaces
- Control Surfaces

- Propulsion
- Midcourse Guidance
 - Terminal Guidance
- Support
- Autopilot
- Control System
- Prime Power

QP78-0667-19

Figure 14 Weapon Subsystem Candidates

Description	Priority	Comment
Unaided Inertial	High	1
TERCOM	High	
Radar Image Corr	High	
EO Image Corr	Low	Not Adverse Weather
RAC	High	
Command (SAR)	High	
Antiradiation Homing	Low	Special Purpose
DME	Low	Not Autonomous
GPS	Low	Not Autonomous
LORAN	Low	Not Autonomous

Figure 15 Screening of Midcourse Guidance Concepts

Description	Priority	Comment
Active/Passive MCG	High	s and the fails of the second
Radar Seeker	High	Covered Above
Antiradiation Homing	Low	Special Purpose
SAR-Aided	High	
EO/IR Imaging	Low*	Not Adverse Weather
Laser Tracker	Lów	Not Adverse Weather

*Imaging IR is considered necessary for bridge target for aimpoint control

Figure 16 Screening of Terminal Guidance Concepts

Figure 17 summarizes the types of air-to-air missiles that must be considered in designing the controls/displays of an advanced attack/fighter crew station. The physical characteristics are listed as well as the aircraft system components required to permit a successful missile deployment. Important to the crew station designer are the "cockpit components required" to launch each type of missile. The basic purpose of each missile has been provided in the "Remarks" column.

Aircraft System Components Required	Cockpit Components Required Intraction
пикломп	пиони
RHAWS; Stores release system	MD, SMS,CNTRI switch
None; Stores release system	SMS; release swi slew control
: Radar; Stores release	MD, SMS; release
Stores release system	uultfmode display uuclear armamant j release switch; ri and controller
gth; Stores release system ng	Aural tone, HUD, S slew control; rele switch
lb; Radar, mission computer, r Stores release system e	û ¹ 0, SMS, release
iles Radar, mission computer, Stores release system	HUD; release switc
Undefined	<u>soal</u> : Compatible svisting sparrow/s vinder switchology

Figure 17 Air-to-Air Missiles

Same

- and

Figures 18 and 19 list similar information for air-to-surface ballistic weapons and air-to-surface missiles, respectively.

3.5 AVIONIC FUNCTIONS

To insure compatability with contemporary as well as advanced avionic concepts and equipment, avionic data (including controls and display information) was assessed from advanced programs such as ATF (Advanced Tactical Fighter), ATS (Air to Surface Technology Study), AFTI-15 (Advanced Fighter Technology Integration with the F-15 baseline aircraft), F-18, DAIS (Digital Avionics Information System) and AIDS (Advanced Integrated Display System), References (2) and (3). The general result of the assessment is that large scale integration (LSI) technology and microprocessors will improve performance and reliability while modularity will reduce costs. Controls and displays will be closely tied to the computer systems and will be multifunction rather than dedicated.

The reduction in the cost of integrated circuitry per unit of function, the advantages of the memory, and accuracy of digital circuitry are resulting in an increase in the use of digital computers in military aircraft. Most importantly, the avionics are becoming more integrated, with the various subsystems under the control of higher level systems, themselves under the control of the pilot. By effective interconnection and integration of subsystems the crew task-load can be reduced, with the pilot concentrating on tasks which a computer cannot handle effectively.

The advances in electronics are leading to improvements in sensors and data processing capabilities. This will result in more accurate navigation, improved night and adverse weather target detection, jam resistant communications and more effective electronic countermeasures. The largest payoff in terms of military effectiveness may be achieved with better command, control and communications. The programs most likely to directly affect aircraft systems and crew information requirements are the Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS) and the Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS). Although not directly a C³ program, the Global Positioning System (GPS) may directly interface with JTIDS for navigation and blind navigation bombing capabilities. A summary of avionics in DAIS is presented in Figure 20 and of the Multifunction Control, Voice Actuated Systems, JTIDS, AWACS, and GPS in the succeeding paragraphs.

3.5.1 <u>MULTIFUNCTION CONTROL UNIT</u> - The key technology in the development of a Multifunction Control Unit involves multilegend switches. There are several ways in which the multilegend switching capability can be implemented. These include:

- (a) Rear projected legends onto a pushbutton switch.
- (b) Generating legends remotely and transmitting to switch face with fiber optics.

Designation	Characteristics	Aircraft System Components Required	Cockpit Components Required	Remarks
<pre>1. Modular Glide Bomb (GBU-15)</pre>	Winged 2000 lb bomb; modules	Stores release system	Slew control, HUD or sight; release switch	Could also use DME or laser guidance
2. MK-82	500 lb; 86 in.	Stores release system	Aiming sight or HUD; release switch	General purpose munition
3. MK-84	2000 lb; 12' 8" length	Stores release system	Aiming sight or HUD; release switch	General purpose munition
4. Guns: 20MM 30MM	High explosive incendiary	Gun system	HUD or sight; release switch	and the factor of the second second
5. MK-117	750 lb; 90 in.	Stores release system	HUD or sight; release switch	General purpose munition
6. KMU-351 (MK-84 laser guided)	2052 1b; 14' length	Stores release system	Laser detector; HUD or sight; release switch	A Mark Provide State
7. MK-82 Snakeye	560 lb; 84 in.	Stores release system	HUD or sight; release switch	High drag version of MK-82
8. CBU-30/A; 90.4 in.	385 1b	Stores release system	HUD or sight; release switch	Cluster munition loaded with 1280 BLU-39/B23 bomblets
9. CBU-24, 49, 52, 58, and 71	, 820 lb; clam shell; 88 in.	Stores release system	HUD or sight; release switch	Loaded with 670 bomblets, except CBU-52, which has 217 bomblets
lO. Rockeye II	485 lb; 93 in.	Stores release system	HUD or sight; release switch	Dispenser weapon loaded with 247 MK-118 MODO bomblets
<pre>L1. BLU-IC/B, 27/B Fire Bomb</pre>	100 gals; 143 in.	Stores release system	HUD or sight; release switch	Filled with incendigel
「「「「「「「」」」」	estimates and	and we have a state to such	and the second	and the second se
	A State of the sta	Figure 18	A CONTRACT CONTRACT	

Air-to-Surface Ballistic Weapons

37

a stand

Designation	Characteristics	Aircraft System Components Required	Cockplt Components Required	Remarka
1. ALCM (ACM-86)	19' length; 2800 lb; inertial/tercom	Inertíal navigatíon system; Stores release everem	Control head, SMS; release switch	Strategic nuclear role with B-1 and B-52
2. Bullpup A (AGM-12B)	11.0' length; 571 lb; command guidance; 250 lb warhead; 6 nm range	Unknown	Slew control; releasé switch	Being phased out of inventory
3. HARM, (AGM-88A)	13.7' length; 770 lb; radar homing	RHAWS; Stores release system	MMD; SMS; release switch	High velocity anti- radiation
4. Harpoon (AGM-84A)	12.5' length; 1170 lb; active radar; 50+ NM range	Stores release system	MMD; release switch	Anti-ship missile
5. Maverick (AGM-65A/B)	8.2' length; 475 lb; television guided	Stores release system	MMD; controls, slew control; release switch	Laser and imaging infrared Maverick under development. Useful against tanks
6. Shrike (AGM-45B)	10.0' length; 400 lb; passive radar homing	RHAWS; Stores release system	Aural tone; release switch	Used on Wild Weasel air- craft (F-105G and F-4G)
7. SRAM (AGM-69A)	<pre>14.0' length; 2230 lb; inertial guidance; 120 nm range</pre>	Unknown	Release switch	Short range attack missile for B-1, FB-111, and late Model B-52's
<pre>8. Standard ARM (AGM-78C/D)</pre>	14.9' length; 1355 lb; radar homing	RHAWS; Stores release system	MMD; lock on indication; release switch	Anti-radiation missile
9. Walleye 1, 2	11.3-13.25' length; 2400 lb; television data link	Data link; Stores release system	MMD; slew control; communication CNTRL head; release switch	Unpowered TV guided glide weapon
	1.1.1 00 1.1.1 00 1.1.1	and a second as a second	and the second second	the second second second second
	andream 121 mail and and a	the strength without	and allows which we	tion from the second
and statistics	Constant of the second	scholustress hedopean; -	Restriction of the	

Figure 19 Air-to-Surface Missiles

38

ctife "

12. 3

store and some the value of the sole of th

Function	Equipment Type	Anticipated Advances
NAV	Inertial	Strapdown; improved gimballed systems
is prientially c	ADC	Computations performed in processor
	TACAN, ILS, ADF	Weight, size, power consumption
	GPS, OMEGA	Will become operational
	Hybrids	GPS/inertial available
COM	egral filestore -	MSI, LSI; Shared Antennas; Modulation techniques
	JTIDS	Will become operational
	IFF	Improved performance, cost reductions
ECM	of an agentic ore	Power management
Air/Ground Attack	Lasers	Laser target seekers will become operational
.81-R far at ban for edification	FLIR	Reduced costs, weight, size; improved display
	FLR	Improved performance, reliability
C/D	Controls & Displays	Current displays and controls will be replaced by computer-driven MPD's plus IMFK's
Processing	Processors	LSI technology and microprocessors with large central processing capa- bility
	mandan equip to as	BITE contained within microprocessors
	Pad ateratis man	MOS (metal oxide semiconductors) Bipolar Schottky transistor-transistor logic
Power Supply	a parane add in	Central power supply core element
Interface Equipment	BCIU, RTU	Integrated within sensor or processor

Figure 20

Technology Advances Available for Mid-1980s DAIS

erected and a second of the second second second second and the second s

- (c) Generating legends on an electronic display with switches around periphery.
- (d) Generate legends on an electronic display and sense area which has been designated (touch, light pen, photo-sensitive detectors, etc.)
- (e) Generate legends on the switch face.

The technology exists for methods (a) through (d), but not all these devices are suitable for flight operations. Method (e) is potentially the most promising, but implies the need for flat-panel display capability. Currently the most promising for alphanumerics are LED and LCD (see Section 5.3, Display Technology Evaluation). The problem with virtually all flat panel display media is the large number of leads necessary for the X-Y addressing schemes.

Rear projected legend switches are typically large when several legends are required for each switch, and are inadquate in brightness requirements.

A fiber-optic read-out switch, method (b), appears technically feasible as many fiber-optic display presentations are currently being used in proposed flight hardware. The legends can be generated from CRT, film, etc. and the light transmitted to the switch through fiber optics.

Method (c) is technically acceptable and is to be used in the F-18. This is a useful concept when the display is to be used for additional purposes besides a control function but is not space efficient for a "dedicated" control unit.

Method (d) can simplify the addressing logic through use of a CRT raster for the electronic display. Transparent, touch-sensitive switches are commercially available for overlaying the CRT face. When one touches (< 1 lb pressure) a spot on the overlay a binary code is generated in relation to the area designated. The major disadvantage of this approach is the potential adverse characteristics under vibration.

3.5.2 <u>VOICE ACTUATED CONTROLS</u> - As modern aircraft become increasingly more sophisticated, additional pilot responsibilities are created imposing new tasks on an already burdensome pilot workload. The concept of voice actuated systems (VAS) as one potential solution to this problem is becoming an area of mounting interest. An indication of this current interest may be noted in the proceedings of a conference on voice technology for interactive, real-time command/control systems application, from which a large portion of this information is drawn. The conference held in December 1977 was jointly sponsored by the Naval Training Equipment Center, Naval Air Development Center and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. In addition, there are more than a dozen government agencies currently engaged in supporting voice development efforts.

The purpose of VAS is to permit direct communication with a computer, thereby reducing workload and providing additional time to perform higher skill level tasks.

The particularly desirable applications of VAS are: reduce manual control procedures (especially during critical flight phases); minimize eye-hand coor-

dination problems; and reduce visual demands inside the cockpit, all of which allow more time to focus outside the cockpit or on more prominent tasks. A representative example may be found in the weapons delivery mission phase for a single-seat fighter aircraft. During this mission segment, the pilot is concerned with the sequence of events necessary to properly arm and deliver weapons on a target, maintain altitude and airspeed within acceptable limits, perform required communications, and remain alert for enemy threats. With a VAS, it may be possible for the pilot to merely voice the changing of radio channels as well as the sequence of events necessary to arm and deliver weapons. Hence, the alleviation of these two tasks allows more time and attention to be given to target acquisition, lock-on, flight control and threat avoidance.

Research efforts in the area of voice control may be found as early as 1955. Very generally, it is reasonable to say that the state of the art in VAS has passed from infancy to adolescence. Ongoing research efforts are numerous in both industrial and government settings. Voice actuated systems are being tested and evaluated under simulated cockpit environments. These systems are in various stages of design and implementation and represent a practical utilization of voice recognition technology.

Voice data entry systems for a number of interactive command and control functions are already in use. Although, these applications are limited to a small vocabulary, speaker dependent, isolated word recognition systems that are highly reliable. Vocabularies typically consist of digits and a limited set of control words and phrases. For all practical purposes, speaker dependent isolated word recognition has been solved under laboratory conditions. Voice data entry system applications include automatic sorting systems for the distribution of parcels, containers and baggage, voice programming for machine tools, inspection systems, and automobile quality control. Despite these applications, two general problem areas still exist. The first deals with problems in the speech processing/recognition process. These include the training of the speakers in the use of the word recognizer, development of adaptive techniques to upgrade word patterns as a function of time, and decreasing the error rate. The second area deals with problems of incorporating the word recognition device into an operational system. This includes teaching the speaker to use the system in the same manner as it would be used in an operational environment, developing corrective procedures to reduce the amount of time taken to correct a mistake, and developing an efficient feedback system. Although it is true that more research is needed in the area of VAS, isolated systems are currently capable of solving a wide range of present day problems.

Relevant VAS programs at McDonnell Douglas include improving the quality of synthesized speech as well as devising improved methods for analysis and definition of articulatory speech for use in generating message system vocabularies for airborne warning systems. Work has also been conducted on extending the capabilities of automatic word recognition for direct man/ computer communication, improving automatic speaker verification and improving the capabilities for continuous speech recognition. A joint venture by MDC Electronics Co. and MDC Astronautics, directed toward airborne applications of VAS, is currently under active consideration. A rudimentary voice system has also been constructed at MDAC-St. Louis for laboratory evaluations and VASrelated research is currently being planned.

3.5.3 JTIDS - The Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS) is a digital, secure, jam-resistant, communication system for real-time command and control of combat operations. JTIDS is planned to interconnect the tac-tical and air defense elements of all services including surface and airborne command, control, surveillance and intelligence centers, Navy ships and combat and support aircraft. JTIDS will provide a high degree of interoperability between data collection elements, combat elements and command and control centers within a military theater of operations. Precise signal time-ofarrival measurements, coupled with the transmission of emitter location, are used to generate a common grid coordinate system containing the location of all active net participants. The system uses Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) to interconnect all system users into one common channel, or network, for distribution of information. Each authorized network element is allocated a dynamic number of transmit time slots within the network reporting cycle as needed for its mission. When not transmitting, each element monitors the transmissions of the other elements and extracts the information as needed. Since the system is nodeless, survivability is enhanced and the system exists as long as two or more elements exist (Reference (4)).

Although the specific potential benefits of JTIDS have not been measured, several generic benefits are available for use in tactical fighters. These are:

- o Jam resistant communications
- o Intercept enhancement
- o Beyond visual range threat identification
 - o Supplementary threat warning
 - o Relative navigation
 - o Blind NAV bombing capability.

JTIDS is a multiphased program which is scheduled to achieve full operational capability by 1984.

A TDMA radio terminal has been built by Hughes and delivered to Boeing for flight test evaluation on a USAF E-3A. Singer-Kearfott is developing terminals suitable for use by F-14, F-15, and F-16. ITT has a contract to develop an advanced terminal. Future developments envisioned include a waveform synthesizer to provide the desired transmissions for JTIDS, IFF, and TACAN. This would allow the JTIDS to assume the IFF function in the JTIDS network as well as remaining capable of interfacing with existing equipment. Current plans are to use frequency hopping spread spectrum techniques to provide ECM protection with no intercept resistance. The use of pseudo random direct sequence generators, using code techniques to enable simultaneous use of time slots along with jam and ECM resistance, are under study.

3.5.4 <u>AWACS</u> - The Advanced Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS) will provide air surveillance capability, command, control and communication functions throughout the U.S. and overseas. Its surveillance radar provides the capability to detect and track aircraft at any altitude over land and water. Data processing, display and communication equipment provide an effective command control facility. Three exercises were held in 1976 to evaluate the AWACS operational effectiveness. The ability of AWACS to perform surveillance, provide command and control communications, provide survivable command control for continental U.S. defense, and to operate with intensive ground and airborne ECM was evaluated. Reportedly the AWACS equalled or exceeded expectations in all exercises.

The first operational E-3A AWACS aircraft was delivered in March 1977. Currently Congress has approved purchase of 19 AWACS aircraft. The specific functions and utility of the AWACS are classified and therefore not discussed in this report.

3.5.5 <u>GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM</u> - The NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (GPS) will provide precise, three-dimensional position and velocity information to aircraft, ships and ground forces. The GPS development is currently in the validation phase, with early results indicating that the system can yield the accuracy anticipated. If full scale development is approved in 1978-79, production should begin in 1982. Eventually 24 satellites would be orbited for full global coverage by 1984.

A GPS receiver would compare the time a signal is transmitted to the time the signal is received in order to determine distance from three or four satellites. By knowing the satellites' positions very accurately, and by accurately determining the transmission time, the user's position can be accurately determined. Basic to this concept is the development of highly accurate and stable atomic clocks suitable for the space environment. Velocity information can be determined by measuring doppler shifts,

Also critical to the GPS concept is the development of the "Type X" receivers to function during high speed dynamics and severe jamming. Mechanizations considered for minimizing jamming are pseudo-random-noise coding, development of a high performance antenna array and addition of three geosynchronous-orbit satellites (to increase power levels).

The "Type Z" receiver may be introduced as an intermediate step in the full utilization plan for GPS. This receiver would replace the ARN-118 TACAN to provide three-dimensional navigation and position equivalent to or better than current 2-D navigational accuracy. The primary use of this equipment, however, would be for transports.

43

. CREW REQUIREMENTS

The primary objective of Task 2 is the generation of Crew Information Requirements, the multitude of data bits that must be displayed in an acceptable form to the crew in every phase of the mission. These information needs were determined for the types of missions and mission requirements determined in Task 1 (shown in Appendices A and B, respectively). The combination of crew requirements and information needs provided the basis for determining the promising display integration options considered in Task 3.

4.1 MISSION LIMITATIONS

A general discussion of combat mission limitations will serve to constrain the problem and focus the subsequent evaluation. The purpose of this discussion is to identify the common or basic limitations that exist throughout TACAIR missions. For the purpose of this study, the basic mission limitations are discussed below:

o <u>Fuel</u> - Historically, fuel is the most important mission planning consideration for fighter type aircraft. Fuel, in conjunction with airspeed and altitude options, determines the maximum effective combat radius, loiter time, and, sometimes, maximum weapons loading. Because of the many variables affecting fuel consumption, a pilot must be constantly aware of his current fuel state and continuously able to estimate future mission and fuel requirements. In many cases this estimate is no more than a "best guess" because of the unpredictable nature of combat missions. In any mission analysis, once an aircraft is airborne, fuel becomes a depleting resource that will frequently become a leading, if not prime consideration in the decisions an aircraft commander makes regarding the conduct of the mission.

o <u>Time</u> - Time can be the most critical factor in accomplishing a specific mission or individual task. However, time is not a physical parameter, per se, affecting performance of an "in-inventory" operational aircraft (in the same manner as stores, drag, etc.). It is recognized that in the aircraft preliminary design phase, task time and operational interaction times can drive the total aircraft design and pilot work load; but, these considerations are outside the scope of this evaluation, considered "given" for the scenario at hand, and will interact with specific mission flight profiles. For example, to defeat enemy bombers it is important to complete the intercept prior to bomber weapons release. A few minutes after weapons release could permit unacceptable damage to friendly forces. If a target is attacked by an integrated strike force, the results may be very dependent on the aircraft arriving together at a specific time and place. The synergistic advantages of a combat mission are frequently dependent on timing.

Some target types are time fatal, i.e., their value decreases as time continues. For example, the position of a truck convoy has been determined. The probability of a strike aircraft locating the target decreases as the time from the last position update increases. This is true for most mobile targets. (See Figure 21.) In some cases, a target's value may increase in



Figure 21 Time Delay Effect

a given period, but because the circumstances have changed the target is no longer suitable. Tanks advancing to attack friendly forces are much more attractive targets when in open fields some distance from the friendly positions. Once actively engaged in the close proximity to friendly forces, the entire mission has changed and the aircraft and weapons may no longer be suitable. In this case the mission has changed from Air Interdiction to a difficult Close Air Support Mission. Therefore, in real operations, many things depend on time: response time, time on target, elapsed time, time remaining, etc. In addition, many flight performance factors are measured against a time standard: airspeed (NMPH, MPH, FPS), fuel flow (GPH, PPH), turns (degrees/ sec), vertical velocity (FPM), etc. Thus, time, in one form or another, is an important element throughout a mission.

In summary, time and fuel are important mission constraints on all TACAIR missions. A careful evaluation of these fundamentals is necessary when considering crew requirements and information needs, since frequently these considerations will be the deciding factors in the aircrew's decision making process.

A mission can be divided into three major phases: Preflight, In-flight, and Post Flight (see Figure 12). These phases are each important for a variety of reasons, but the in-flight phase traditionally receives the most attention. By examining the crew information needs one can see that much of the information displayed in flight is also needed much earlier, such as in the flight briefing. This requirement can be likened to the huddle of a football team before a play. Imagine the results if the lineup was not

preceded by extensive training and well rehearsed plans (plays). In the tactical environment, planning and flexibility are even more critical. Unfortunately, the command, control, and coordination are not solved as easily as a few whispered instructions in a huddle. It becomes very important that an aircrew have as much information as possible prior to the actual inflight task. Giving the aircrew information only as it is needed does not allow sufficient time to mentally prepare for the decisions that must be made. This is an important concept frequently illustrated on the crew information charts. Similarly, debriefing requirements are important since they can be of great value to future missions. Much useful tactical knowledge is gained from the experiences of previous missions. Frequently, however, a disadvantage is the inability of the aircrew to manually record the information encountered in flight. Faulty memories or erroneous interpretations can also adversely affect the value of post flight debriefings. Therefore, a real improvement in future weapon systems would be improvements in interfacing preflight, in-flight, and post-flight information requirements.

Another consideration made apparent from processing the crew information r-quirements is that many current displays provide information that is only a more useful way for the aircrew to calculate or approximate yet another flight parameter. This is especially true for speeds, angle of attack, and time relationships. Frequently the aircrew needs to know timing effects or optimum aircraft performance, however, neither are displayed to the aircrew. Energy management and optimum performance displays appear attractive options well worth further study.

A return to basics may also prove useful in many areas, particularly in regard to the many automatic options being considered for advanced fighter/ attack aircraft. For example, it appears that different weapons such as guns, missiles, and bombs, have basic similarities. To be delivered, each requires an aim point and an indication of the weapons envelope. If an aim point is superimposed on the target or target symbol and the weapon is within the allowable release envelope, i.e., within range, g's, or velocity parameters, then the weapon will hit the target for that release condition, provided there are no system errors. Additional information does not significantly help weapons delivery, although some information may help maneuvering just prior to release or immediately thereafter. A look at basic information needs versus current displays could prove extremely interesting and could greatly simplify displays. Such a study could be approached in a method similar to how the crew information requirements were derived, that is, carefully specify the objectives of a display and then determine whether the display meets the requirement. If not, then other options should be considered. One such case uncovered in this study was the lack of a good primary attitude display. Many aircraft today (F-15 and F-16) can easily maneuver in the vertical; however, current displays give confusing indications when an aircraft is going straight up or straight down (controlled or mechanical precession). In addition, a vast majority of pilots will readily confess to having been confused at one time or another because of the attitude display. In any case, as currently implemented, attitude displays are not in keeping with the advances anticipated in future weapon systems.

4.2 AIRCREW FUNCTIONS

The functions an aircrew must perform in flight vary from manual skills, requiring a high degree of coordination, to decision making tasks involving quick thinking in a high risk environment. In addition, these functions must be skillfully integrated.

For the purpose of this study the Aircrew Functions are divided into two general categories: (1) mission related functions and (2) general or housekeeping functions. The general or housekeeping functions (tasks) are those common to all aircraft regardless of their mission. These categories, summarized in Figure 22, conveniently align with the elements of the mission profiles and mission requirements previously discussed.

Each general category can be further subdivided into detailed functional categories. This is illustrated in Figures 23 and 24. This approach connects the scenario, profiles, mission requirements and functions, and greatly simplifies the complex integration analysis. This categorization of functions is suitable for most analyses, however, it is important to recognize that the mission functions are frequently dependent on the routine or housekeeping functions. For example, communications increase the flexibility of air power in combat; accurate navigation skills are necessary to get to a target area; aircraft control is an important part of air combat. The converse of these relationships is not true: housekeeping functions are not dependent on the mission functions. For example, the lack of weapons, sensors, and command aids do not prevent an aircraft from navigating cross country.

o Mission*

o Housekeeping

- Monitor Systems

- Aircraft Control

- Communications

- Detection Navigation
- Location
- Identification
- Decision
- Execution
- Assessment
- Formation
- Threat Warning

*These functions were defined under mission requirements.

Figure 22 Aircrew Functions

- o Detection
 - Intelligence Report (Preflight)
 - Visual
 - Sensors
- o Location
 - Visual
 - Reference Systems o Assessment
 - o Correlation
 - o Coordinates
 - o Offsets
- o Identification

 - Sensors
 - o Dependent (Outside Aid)
 - o Independent (Autonomous)

Figure 23 and the second statement of Figure 23 and the method with a statement Aircrew Functions: Mission trol anath too of a section i gatasekseeme terr

- o Navigation
 - Self-contained
 - Externally Aides
 - Visual
- o Monitor Systems
 - Normal Operations
 - Malfunctions
 - o Communications
 - Transmit
 - Receive
 - Aircraft Control 0
 - Climb
 - Descents
 - Turns
 - Straight and Level

Figure 24 Aircrew Functions: Housekeeping

- Decision 0
 - Dependent (Outside Advice)
 - Independent
- o Execution
 - Self-contained
 - Aided

 - Visual
 - Sensors
- o Formation
- Visual Control
 - Positioning

48

An extrapolation of the previous logic to design integration tasks encourages a careful consideration of all functions in the demanding combat phases. In the more routine phases of flight, emphasis can be placed on the housekeeping functions.

A further breakdown of an individual function can be used to specify equipment or technology options to accomplish the task. For example:

o Navigation

- Self-contained
 - o INS
 - o TERCOM
 - o Doppler
 - o Air data.

This type of assessment can then focus on the specific aircrew functions and is more suitable for detailed equipment related time line analyses. Such an assessment can also be used in an analysis of crew requirements and crew information needs.

4.3 CREW INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS

In order to identify the crew information needs, each phase of flight previously identified for each TACAIR mission (see Mission Requirements, Appendix B) was evaluated to determine, first, the aircrew requirements (i.e., the tasks an aircrew must perform during the mission) and, second, the displayed information that aids the pilot in performing the mission tasks, such as heading, altitude, etc.

The results of this analysis are shown in matrix format in Figures 25, 26 and 27 for the Close Air Support, Air Interdiction and Counter Air missions, respectively. These tabulations present the information bit as REQUIRED = 1, FREQUENTLY REQUIRED = 2, and ADDITIONAL VALUE = 3.

A review of these detailed tabulations shows the urgent need for display hardware that will permit the crew to select, or be provided automatically at the appropriate time, the bits of information needed at any time during the mission. This strongly suggests the use of multifunction displays over dedicated displays, which have been traditional for many years in previous crew station designs. Even the cathode ray tube, which possesses the inherent capability of presenting almost unlimited bits of data if properly interfaced with a computer, has been utilized primarily as a dedicated display device (radar, TEWS, etc.).

Advancements in computer technology, which has had almost unbelievable developments in the last decade, especially in miniaturization of reliable, high-speed, large-memory airborne computers, have provided the crew station designer with new possibilities for providing crew information. In fact, suddenly the problem may have become one of providing TOO MUCH information. Indeed, that is viewed by MCAIR designers and test pilots as a very real problem to guard against in any crew station display design effort.

Figure 25 Crew Information Requirements Close Air Support Counter Air

50

Normality Normality Normality Normality Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Second state Image: Seco				•	-	š		-				1				1	1	ė	E	z		1				1	-			-		2	5	š
Functionand Functionand Construction According Served According Served Accord	Protection Provide a constraint Provide a	1.1 Massion Planning	Burn Hudiller	1.4 Taxi and System Chan	Bunnin B.I	Hoener	2.2 Climb to Lowel	5.3 Low "04	(5.4 Rende	S'S Count and An	S.6 Mession	Monsebnen	William Internal	Ca Detection	Silo Location	11 100001 112	unis Dedision	STA EXOCUTION	Viewsees al 2	Terminer Cite	STID EBROR	S.) Cium	2.19 Nendezvou	HAA bre weenseen 05.5	2.21 Moturn to Ba	2.23 Descent	5.23 Approach	Bugue	and the	ine) 55	3. Svatem Chart	3.5 Shurdown	3.6 Post-Flight	Leinger
Communication 1 1 2 <	Miscallamous Fuel OHF Loading Gross Weight Auruption Submodes Aurupting 9			~	-00	- ~	-~	- ~	~		-~	-~	-~	-~	- ~	-0	-0	-~	- ~	- ~	- ~	~	-~	- 0	2-2	0	0	2	~	- ~	7	and the second	0-	
Secure Communication Secure Communication Secure Communication Secure Communication Secure Communication Secure Communication Secure Secure Communication Secure Secure Communication Secure Se	Communications Controlling Agency Arrorat Call Sign Authentication Life Sign Clearnors		-00-0	-00 0	-000-	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~			44-44		00-00	~~~~~	44-44	44-44	44-44	00-00	~~~~~	00-00	~~~~~		00-00	~~~~~	NNNNN	~~~~~	-000-	00	~~	- 00				
Figure Alia Figure Alia Formation 1	Secure Communication Frequencies Intercom (If Required) Navigation Alds Identifiers Mission Reports			00-00	100-00	inn-nn		100-00	000	10NN	100-00	100-00	100-00	100-00	100-00	1	100-00	100-00	100-00	100-00	100-00	na	jan-nn	un-un	100-00	100-00	100-00	1 -N	- N	-0				
Mentanica I	Playt Aids Normal Checklists Norman Checklists Procedures Approach Aids Approach Aids Personal Techniques				-0-00-	-0-00-					-0- 0-														-0-00-									
Colde Store Colde Store Colde Store Colde Store Colde Store Colde Store Distance to Destination 1	Nevigeden Course Heading Flight Path Flich Steering Bank Steering	-																										~	~	-000	1		-00	
Dement Position 1 2 2 1 <td>Gide Stope Localitzer Distance to Destination Destination Service Beacon Marker Beacon</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>N</td> <td>m</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td> M</td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>nn</td> <td>~~</td> <td>~~~~~</td> <td>1.51.5</td> <td>1. 1. 1. 1.</td> <td>1.1.1</td> <td>1. 19 10</td>	Gide Stope Localitzer Distance to Destination Destination Service Beacon Marker Beacon				N	m			0			m	m	m	M	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m	m				nn	~~	~~~~~	1.51.5	1. 1. 1. 1.	1.1.1	1. 19 10
	Present Position Bestination Offsets Meganetic Variation Coordinates Named Route of Flight Platmad Route of Flight Minimum Descent Altritude				0				-N M						1									- ~ ~ ~		-u ulu-	to wla-	1 3 93		-uuuuu		all inter		Support .

Figure 25 (Continued) Crew Information Requirements Close Air Support Counter Air

51

5.00

Deputet					
S.S. POIL-Filmer	1 -1		-	-	
sheet sheet			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		NUNN
wants Er	The second s	and the second	for many providence	1000	-0000-
inel 20				1.00	auuuuuuu
De Arm			2	and the	
Ta Landing	↓				
Approved Approved			000-000	- ~ -	
Descent			000-000	u-	
Section 10			- w wwww	- n n	
AAA bre more need the	w 4- 40	- duada	44-46 H4444	w-uuu	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Budesman Bin		duana	uu-uu huuuu	w-uuu	
Cruise	w-w-w-w	- uuuuu	00-00 00000	w-uu-	000000
Edual Const	u-u-u-u	- uuuuu		m-nnn	
Termination C			NUNU WUNUU	- ana	
ASSOCIATION 21.5	~~~- ~- ~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		"	- ~ ~ ~	
S 14 Execution	~~~- ~~- ~~~.		00000 00000	- ~ ~ ~	
Sis Decision			00-00 00000	10-0-0	
212 Identifican	- a- a a.		~~~~~~~	10-0-0	
S12 Location	N.		NN-NM NNANN	10-0-0	
S'10 Detection	a-aa.		00-00 00000	10-0-0	
So I Maral Warning	- n- n n.	MNNNN	NUNNU WUNNU	- ~ ~ ~	
S. S		annan	NN-NN NNNNN	nnn-nnn	
2.7 Mission Room		- ANNAN	NN-NA MANNA	non-nan	
(NAA Disenition	a-a-al-a.	MANNA	wwww - wwww	-nn-	
S'2 Ston Zapuras	N-N-N-N.	NNNNN	NN-NM NNNNM	-nn-	
(5.4 B		- NANNA	NN-NN MNNMM	-nn-	mmmm
53 100 000 52	a-n-n-n-n.		NAPAN MANAN	M-NNN	
2.2 Comp to Lower of	n-n-n-n	- AMANAA	NN-NM MANAN	M-ANN	
SI Chiman	a-n n.		NAFAR FEAFF	M-AAA	
Bunnel 91	N-N F-		NN-NM		NNANN-
12 View		and the second	and the second		
Weiters pue to 1	- Manage -	and the second second second			
13 Standard		Part of the second s			
1.2 Preminent Menning		and have been	1		anna
COMENN 1.1					
		1		1	Contra 1
	tevel (AGL)	erformance)		1	5
	ude Above Ground Le ude Above Ground Le ude Above Mean Sea I ment Satting man Altitude mum Enroute Safe All in Altitude	in Clearance arty tion Speed to Speed b Speed (Normal) b Speed (Normal) b Speed (Maximum Pange Cruse mum Range Descent mum Range Descent mum Speed	mum Safe Speed — mum Controllable Spe ar Speed Airspeed arspeed and Speed and Speed Mate of Cimb Rate Rate of Cimb	Number	ent Flow Remaining Required to Destinati Management raulic
		Abitude Abitude Above Gro Attimute Above Mas Attimuter Setting Command Attitude Target Elevation Minimum Enroure S	Abitude Abitude Above Gro Attitude Above Mas Attimute Above Mas Attimute Struct Command Attitude Terrain Attitude Terrain Attitude Terrain Clearance Vehical Velocity Vertical Velocity Vertical Speed (Maxin Maximum Range Cr Maximum Range Cr Maximum Range Cr Maximum Range Cr Maximum Range Cr Maximum Range Cr Maximum Range Cr	Abitude Above Gro Altitude Above Gro Altitude Above Man Command Altitude Command Altitude Command Altitude Terrain Altitude Terrain Altitude Terrain Clearance Vehocity Ventical Velocity Ventical Velocity Ventical Speed Check Speed Check Speed Check Speed Maximum Range Co Maximum Range Co Maximum Range Co Maximum Range Co Maximum Sale Speed Maximum Sale Speed Maximum Sale Speed Maximum Sale Speed Maximum Controllal Unitations Corres Speed Maximum Sale Speed Maximum	Abitude Abore Gro Attitude Abore Gro Attitude Abore Mas Commune Attitude Target Elevation Gont Attitude Target Elevation Minimum Enroute S Faran Clearance Valacity Victical Velocity Victical Velocity Victical Velocity Victical Velocity Masimum Range De Abardon Speed Landing Speed (Maxin Maximum Range De Maximum Range De Maximum Sarge Of Climb Best Ange of Climb Best Ange of Climb Best Ange of Climb Best Ange of Climb Maximum Sarge

Figure 25 (Continued) Crew Information Requirements Classific Support Counter Air

52

		sak:					-				-				-				1			-			
	1.1 Mission Praning	enimik 2.1 Hoedal 3.1	2.2 Crimp to Level On	2.3 Loiter	S.S Coord and AA	2.6 Adiasion B.S.	2.8 Protestion	2.9 Delet Warming	SID Location	11 Identificant	2.13 Decision	2.14 Execution	2.15 Tormane	2.16 Egreen		S.19 Rendezvou	TYY Pue desuges 02'2	2.21 Descent to Base	222 Approach	Standing	33 De. Arm	170 E.E.	3'4 Shund Checks	3.5 Post Fin	3.6 Debrief
Menetration Alds Matering SAM Varming SAM Varming Threat Annidance Threat Annidance ECM Status ECM Status ECM Status Contra Support Secul Threat	2222	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	~~~~~											~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		~~~~				~~~~		nda		\
Mesone Information Bullatics Weapons Envelope Weapons Ready Weapons Release Weapons Selected Bombons Selected Meapons Obtions Selected Meapons Detivery Selected	22222	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	~~~~~~~~~		~~~~~~~									~~~~~~~~~	u-uuuuu		~~~~~			- ~ ~ ~ ~		000	~ 1		
Ar-to-Air Target Basing Basing Desriato Oversato Oversato Target Attitude Target Attitude Target Attitude Target Attitude Target Attitude Target Attitude Target Attitude Target Attitude Target Attitude Target Attitude	2 2 -					~~~~~~								and the second					and and the second		1	1	Constant of the second	1 - 1 - 1 - A ()	
Air to Chround Target Anguistican Air to Chround Target Anguistican Target Bearing Positive Target ID Positive Target ID Beak Anevy (Pulluc)		1.52	Sec. 2	1		The work	No. Stranger	1.1.1.1.1.1		and States W		Sales and		Sec.	13 June	1 Same		P. Contraction	E Partie	-	the strend	a start	an all all all all all all all all all a	and when	1 - Standard

Figure 25 (Concluded) Crew Information Requirements Crew Air Support Counter Air

	4	£ 14	E	I	4								-	3	-			11					1		J	E	ž
	1.1 Mission Planning	1.4 Text and System Chec	BURNIN B.I	S.1 Climb 10 1	With Million	ave pue snos zepue	(S.6 ANISSION BOR	Severation andersons)	2.9 Phone Warning	2.10 Location	2.11 Identic	2.12 Decision	S13 Execution	SI'S Assessment	2.16 PERMINARION	511 Cime	Nine Rendezvon	NAA MA ANA ANA ANA	2.21 Destants to Base	5.55 View	1533 LANDON	21 Do. Wa	az tem	3's shalow Cheve	3.5 Annual B.C	3.6 Dati Pillant	Journa
Aministrative Aministrative Ight Position Iccatt Assignment				- n -						-	-	-	-	~~	~~			N-	-~	N-	0		N	N			
reting scot are froaddures creat Configuration FSIF Procedures setter soulations	a- a-				 	1	ww	n-	- ~-	1- N-	6 -	-~ ~-	-0 0-	-0 0-			1						- 00 -				
rried Status rried Description ading Runway unway Length rries oproaches		000		1. Section	Sec. all		and a start of the			1	and a second	man man	- new		and the second	Press and a	i sen meregar	~ ~	~~~~~	N			-	~	-		
ried Elevator ried Elevator coston Herght Arrea ming Area arring Area	r	p	+ N	2 1	~		2	~	~	1 ~	1 .	1 2	1 ~	2		2	1	1 ~	nu u	+- N	FENENNN						
ma Being Time ston Time art Expine Time pit Check-In Time				deservice.	al a ser a se ar		Agen weeks		Mar Card House		and the second	Common?	and a start of the		Design the Colds	Martine .				aless .		and a second					
keoti Time —		-00		000		<u> </u>		~	~ M	N	N	1 2 23	ן - ר	~	N-N	N							-				
nding Time indezvous Time iroute Times me of Day		12	N	N										N	N	NN		N	~	~	N			-	-		and the second second
untion and Warning Systems aster Cauton Warnings persting Limitation Warning invery Systems Fail wel Low																								~!			
tygen Low wironmental Control System Warning wonsci Matunctions fittude Low istpreed Low																						m- m		-			

Figure 26 Crew Information Requirements Air Interdiction

54

		4			š	+		3	F	-		1		È		=		L				L		T		2	E.
	1.1 660000	1.3 Promotion	1.4 Taxi and System Chan	Summer Sil	S.I Cheson	SS Crimes to Level Of	WWW WWW ADMA	(S.C. WINNERS	2.7 Parette Rendezvous	2.8 Threat War	S'10 Delection	2.11 Ideation	2.12 Decision	S'13 Executive	2.14 Assessment	2.16 Formination	SIT Con	(2.18 Ronds	BAA bre suo ast	S.27 Roturn to	5.23 Descent	2.23 Approach	3.1 Deve	3.2 Taxi	3.3 System C	Standown Check	3.6 PORT-FHIGHT
. 1.		- ~-		~	-			1.00 (B) (b =	-	-	-		2 m -		-	-	-		1. In				Sector Sector	and the second	an series		-0 00
					-000-	-0000				~~~~	~~~~	~~~~	-0000	~~~~~	~~~~~	-0000	- ~ ~ ~		-4444	-0000	- 4444	-000-	- ~ ~	- ~ ~			- 0 0
nication quired) 1 (dentifiers		~~~~~	~~~~	~~~~	~~~~			~~~~	000 0	~ ~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~~~ ~	N N N	N N N N	~~~~	~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	~~~~	~~~~	~ ~	NN N	NN N	~~ ~	-
ett ettists heida ques		-0-00-	-0-00-	-0-00-						-0- 0-	- 0 - 0 -	- 2	-0- 0-	- 2 - 2 -	-0- 0-		-0- 0-	-0- 0-	-0-00-	-0-00-		-00-					-
	-1	N	N																				14 million (1997)	~	-000	and the second	-00
trination ination ected				www	N	N	a superior		N			~~~	NNN				NN-		~~~~~					Sec. Sec. 1	~~~~~	St. Parante	
t bets bion of Flight at Altitude Protect	000		5	1	n	N															10	0	an lease in the				

Figure 26 (Continued) Crew Information Requirements Air Interdiction

55

the set of the set of the set of the set of the

					1.01			Joinden	š
- 000 - 000								3.5 Post-Flight	14-140
		1 -	i		1			3.4 Shund Checks	•
	i	i -	1		1 -	-		3.3 5mm	4
	and many set				-		in the second	3.2 To Arm	
	10	i -	i		1			Buipus	
	5-35		1	-			- 1	5.23 Approach	+
	mm-n		1	-			-	535 Descent	
- man mm	1000	-n i n-	1 000	-			-	2.21 Meturn to	
	20	- 1 4	1 000					(RAA bre suo sabrah and AAR)	
mm	m [m	i -		-	- N		2 0	(S 10 Cimite	-
	~ ~~	in -		-	10		~	Elest	
n m- nnnn	n n n	inm-c	20		1		-	Terminent	Z
- ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ m ~ m	N 0	00-0	i	-	1	0	-	WOW SISSIN ALZ	H
m- ~ mmmm	~ ~	00-	in .		1		-	CIS Execution	ż
m' mmm'm	~ ~~		1	-	1	N	-	CIS Decision	T
m'- ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	~ ~		i				1	11 Identifier	
00000 0 0m	N 3			-				S'10 Location	
	5.3	- 1	i	-			1 2	5.9 Delevine	
		<u> </u>	1000	5			1	2.8 Threadon ans)	
		100-	i	2 (C)		1	1 -	2.7 Penon Rendezuer	
		1 -	- Andrews					(S.C. Ministerie	1
		1-	1	1-1-			-	pue snoazeque de la companya de la compa	
and the second second	1 1	. I.	i.		į į		*	1. Chines	+
	~ ~~	100-	1 00					2.1 Climber	=
	0 00 00	- 00	-17					Hoestel 8.1	3
uu luu uuu-uu	~ - ~ ~	~~ ~~	2 n		100	~ ~	-	1.5 Armine	2
aaaaaa	le.	1 -	1		!		1	Welsks pur inel +1	-1
aaaaaa	1 "		- Internet a		_			1.3 Start	4
		and the La	1				C. Franklar	1.2 Promine	and a
m mnm	e n-		1		-	N -	-	1.1 April 1.1	
5			rformance)		itude	I	vel (AGL)		
Rate of Climb Anyon of Climb Number	ner speed Arrack (AOA) fe of Attack (AOA) und Speed d Velocity and Directio n Rate Climb	roadin nave becan roadin Speed imum Safe Speed imum Controllable Spee interiors	nb Speed (Normal) nb Speed (Maximum Per imum Range Cruise imum Endurance	beity fical Velocity ation Speed coff Speed	imum Enroute Safe Alti ain Altitude ain Clearance	tude Above Mean Sea L meter Setting hmand Altitude et Elevation	tude tude Above Ground Lev		
Turn	Angle	Approx.	CIT OF CIT	Veloc Vertic Rotati Takeo	Minim	Altim	Altitu		

Figure 26 (Continued) Crew Information Requirements Air Interdiction

. to all and

Manual Sector Manual Sector Manual Sector Manual Sector 17 2			1		1		1	1		ľ	1		=	F	1	1	Γ			4	2	
Revention 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Animel noisely 1.1	1.3 Start and System Chart	Hooder D.1	S'S Crimes	2.4 Rendezvous	AAA Minister (12)	2.8 Threation	2.9 Detection	2.11 Identifican	2.12 Decision	2.14 Assess	2.16 Termination	2.17 Gruise	pue sno azepues pi	S.S. Bernu to	2.22 Append	2.23 Landing	3.2 00- Arm	3.3 System C	3.5 Shurdown	
Weapons Information 1 1 2 3 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1	Penetration Aida Marring SAM Warring AM Warring AM Warring Threat Aroidiance Threat Aroidiance ECM Status ECM Status ECM Tactics Special Threat		22	00000000	~~~~~	~~~~~								~~~~~~~~				~ ~ ~	10		~ ~	
Mirtic Air Tawar Rener	Mespons Information Ballistics Ballistics Mespons Ready Wespons Reads Wespons Impact Wespons Salected Wespons Salected Wespons Options Salected Wespons Options Salected		2 2 2	0000 0000 00 0 000	~~~~									0000 0000					and some since "			deeeee
Air te Ground Target Anguitison Target Range Target Basing Traget Basing Amission Target ID Amission Target	Air-te-Air Tanget Air-te-Air Tanget Baung Desing Oversida Oversida Tanget Urun Rase Tanget Antitude Tanget Antitude Ain Point Bandicamery										a second and the	100 1 1 1 1 4 4	Same and		manda in 18		- where a second		man in M		a set tore 1	
Break Away (Pullup)	Air-to-Ground Target Acquisition Target Boaring Target Boaring Poution Target ID Boak Away (Pullup) Boak Away (Pullup)		1 million	and a second			and a						- 10 m	No. Contraction	a service and				and the	STORE ST	No.	

Figure 26 (Concluded) Crew Information Requirements Air Interdiction

	Total Land	ministrative mation Call Signs Art Position	trait Assignment ting Spot re Procedures	craft Configuration quencies /SIF Procedures	utations	field Status field Description ding Runway way Length riers roaches and Anonach Instructions	held Elevation asson Height asson Height asson Height asson Height asson Height asson Arrited asson Arrited	we Ming Time The Time The Ame The Check In Time	eoff Time	itol Times	tion and Vlarning Systems Inclusion Vlarnings resting Limitation Vlarning resting Limitation Vlarning Li Low	gen Low roomenial Control System Warning onics Mattunctions tude Low
4	1.1 Abision Planning 1.2 Prefiliphi 1.3 Sc. 1		- 9			909 979			22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2		
	1.5 Armine		20			2202		ne 154	L	2 2 2		1
š	2.1 Chimb to 1	2 - 1		N		and have	2	anna -	0	2	-0	
+	(2: Cruise 2:3 Coltar		-				~ ~	an a	- ~ -			
	2.5 Coordination			N			1 0					
F	(2.7 Parastraus			~~~					~ -			
1	2.9 Detection	2	I	~~~				27.27.4		1 ~		
	2.11 Identifie	2-	i	-00				and the first	1		-0	
-	2.13 Exerior		-	- ~ ~		an and a second		article		7		
	2.15 Assessment	~-		- ~ ~		1. 19 49. J. 19 4		enteren 1	Care-	~		
	2.16 Egran	~-	i	N	~-	nen min		no ne la				
4	2.18 Rendez		1		==							
1	HAA bre (seensel) (S.S.		-	N		~	0 0 0					1
	535 Descent	~-	i			N	~	-	C. Seret	-10 - 0		1
L	2.23 Landing		-						-	-10 - 0		
Γ	3.2 Tent	~~	i		-	-			C SPAT	1 ~		m- m
	3.4 System Checks	~			-	~	1		100	1 ~		- ~
	3.5		1		-	-	1		1	1 ~		1

1

1

T

Figure 27 Crew Information Requirements Common Air Close Air Support

58

Figure 27 (Continued) Crew Information Requirements Counter Air Close Air Support

and the second second

ž	Herman											
1	3.6 Det 1916	1	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ATTACK SHOT	24	-		T	19 2.23	~ ~ ~	NNN
ž	3.5 Post	Spinster to	1	and the second	Same Sec.	-			1			NNN
•	3.4 Shund Checks	1.	1	Section 1	man Sa	-			i			NNN
	3.3 Swin-	-	1 -	a series and	and the second	-	14		1			
	32 192		i					~			mamma	
	11 De Vie		i									
	Way Land		1						-		~~~~~	ererer
-	Voba			-						1.1		~~~
	CAL Descent			-				aaa	1			~~~
	Secure to		1	-	0000		~	aaa	7	~~		~~~
	avy pue moused 61	m	14	-	~~~	-		20	~ J		m	
	(2 10 Kenderen	u	1	- 1		-	~	m	7		ww	
	S to Cruise	m	14		-	-	9	Barrey	7	N-		
	San Edites	N	14	-	-	m -	9	nn	7	~	ww	~~~
=	Ler mination		1		-	- ~	e	a u	~	N	NW	~~~
3	2.75 Assossment	00	1	- 1			3	3	~	2 2	000	993
è	2.14 Execution	"			and the second second	-	~	3	-	-	-000	000
	5.13 Decision			-0.0		- NN	~	20	-	N -	00000	~~~
	2.12 Contrincetion	N.		- 00 00	1	-	•	3	-	- NN	~~~~~	~~~
	211 Sugarou	N.	J	-		-	~	~	m	N N		
1	2.10 Selection	"	1		1.10	-	0	3	m	NN	0-000	000
	5.9 Parting	N.		-	1	- 1	~	m	d	~ ~		
4.13	5.8 (noten and 8.5		4	-market Va	1 march 1	-		2	m	N	- ~ mm	
	(5.7 Partion Randes		1	-	Contraction of	- 10	~	N	-	N	N00	
14	S.6 AL MANDERON		1	-2 - 64	and the second	-		2	m	N	N00	
	S'2 Coons and	1	1	-		-	0	3	m	N	N-000	
	IS & House		10			-	-		mi	N-		
	5.3 Foile				-	- N	~	-	-			
1	5'S Chine to Level Out		10	-			-	NN	-	NN	N	
Z	2.1 Clime		10			~-	-		~ ~	~~	~~~~~	
	Hoekel 8.1				-		-		~ 1	~~	N - N	
12	1.5 Armine	1	!									
-	Lexi Texi	1					1.51		1			
	1.3 Start and	1.1	1.17				1		1			1.1
	Buinner		1-		in a second second	-	1		1			
	O UDISSING I'		1			1 -	_		1		- 44	~
			1-				~		~			
			1					122.57	i			
		Above Ground Level (AGL) Above Mean Sea Level r Setting d Altitude	n Enroute Safe Altitude	Velocity Speed Speed Speed Speed Speed (Normal)	aed (Maximum Performance) n Range Cruise n Endurance n Range Descent Speed Speed	n Safe Speed	Attack (AOA)	Speed locity and Direction te e of Climb	le of Climb mber	opeeu cy Airspeeds Releate Speeds	w maining tuired to Destination agement	
		Altitude Altitude Altitude Comman	Minimur Terrain	Velocity Vertical Rotation Takeoff Check Sf	Approac Landing	Maximur Minimur Limitati Corner S	True Air Angle of	Ground Wind Ve Turn Ra Best Rat	Best An Mach Nu	Emergen Weapons IAS	Systems Fuel Flo Fuel Rei Fuel Mai	Electrica Oxygen Engine

Figure 27 (Continued) Crew Information Requirements Counter Air Close Air Sagport

60

•••••••• ••••• ••••• ••••••• ••••• ••••• •••••• ••••• ••••• ••••• ••••• ••••• ••••• •••• •••• •••• •••• •••• •••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• <	22 Control 23 Control 23 Control 23 Control 23 Control 24 Control 25 Con			t Point t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t		equilitition.
4000000000000000000000000000000000000	2.6 Coord And An 2.6 Merican Rendezrous (2) Parest Wenning 2.8 Threat Wenning 2.9					
	2.10 Location 2.11 Identification 2.12 Decision			2	-	
	2.16 Execution 2.15 Terminetion			33222	-	
	A hand a strate and a		2223	22222		
	2.23 Approach 2.21 Descent 2.21 Descent	00000000000000000000000000000000000000				

Peer-Flight

In-Flight

ProFlight

Figure 27 (Concluded) Crew Information Requirements Counter Air Close Air Support

10.112

61

and the second

Nevertheless, existing technology will now permit the display of enormous amounts of data to the crews at any given time, whether or not they actually need it. The design problem then becomes one of providing the precise information needed, at the precise time needed, in the precise format needed for assimilation, and in the precise location needed. Suddenly, the display integration problem has become an enormous task for the designer! Mission accomplishment and survivability in the 1985-1990 time period dictates that this job must be done well.

4.4 OBSERVATIONS AND FINDINGS

The following "Observations and Findings" are a result of the development of the crew information requirements. The observation/findings summarize ideas or facts noted in the course of this study task that proved useful in attaining the Task 3 and 4 objectives in conjunction with the mission profiles, mission requirements, and earlier data base.

(a) Time was identified as one of the more important variables in most mission phases. Many instruments measure distances against time in order to give the pilot a device to calculate arrival times at destinations and altitudes. For example, indicated airspeed (IAS) is satisfactory for flying and maneuvering an aircraft; however, true airspeed (TAS) is frequently included in a crew station because this gives the pilot his velocity over the earth, in a no wind condition, regardless of altitude and temperature changes. Mach indicators also give the pilot his speed in nautical miles per minute. Ground speed (GS) evolved because TAS, IAS, and Mach did not compensate for wind effects. In retrospect, these airspeeds were a natural evolution of an attempt to give the pilot a better way to measure the time he must fly to arrive at a destination. Frequently, these speeds were also valuable in helping the pilot fly his aircraft in maneuvering and instrument flight, and they have resulted in many equations that the pilot uses to mentally calculate other information needed to guide his aircraft. It is this "other information" that must be displayed, such as arrival times, lead points in turns, deceleration points.

(b) The principle of management by exception appears to be the logical method of handling a great deal of the aircrew's information needs. From the crew information summaries, it can be seen that a lot of information is required by the pilot. Regardless of format, it is very unlikely that all of this information can be simultaneously displayed in a usable format. In addition, there is no reason to ever display much of the information. For example, an emergency checklist will never be needed unless there is an emergency. Primary systems, such as hydraulics, electrical, and engine systems, need not be monitored unless there is a deviation from normal conditions. Because of the complexity of modern systems, most equipment operating parameters can be better monitored by a computer or built in test. Therefore, the logical approach is to not display the information unless there is a fault, in which case the fault and the proposed corrective action are both displayed. This is the basis of management by exception, i.e., all is well unless advised otherwise. There appears to be many control and display areas where this principal can be usefully employed.

(c) Energy management displays and systems appear an absolute must. In deriving the crew information needs, it was found that many traditional bits of information were difficult to justify. For example, some current displays simultaneously provide TAS, IAS, GS, Mach, and angle of attack (AOA). The question is which one does the pilot use? The answer is that it depends. All of the speeds are useful for some phase of flight, but only because they allow the pilot a parameter to approximate other information that is not displayed. This "other information" can vary and could be g's available, turn rate, final approach speed for a given gross weight, arrival time, etc. In many flight phases, an "energy" display is needed to give the aircrew a better approximation of his aircraft's capabilities. This was not explored in this study, but should be given consideration in follow-on work.

(d) The importance of the ground's proximity stood out as an important information requirement. In both the Close Air Support (CAS) and Air Interdiction Missions, the proximity of the ground was rated as a greater need than in the Counter Air mission, which is normally conducted at a higher altitude. Displays and caution devices for terrain avoidance are suggested as firm requirements for all aircraft, including air-to-air aircraft. (MCAIR simulation experience serves as a constant reminder of the disadvantages to those pilots not being properly alerted to potential ground impact situations.)

(e) The crew information analysis also suggested that information is needed prior to the actual event or phase of flight. This was demonstrated in several ways. First, a lot of information is required in the mission planning phase prior to flight. This mentally prepared the pilot for the job to be done. Secondly, events must be prepared far prior to their actual happening. Frequencies must be changed, courses set, sensors turned on and adjusted. The terminal flight phases are specific areas when anticipation is necessary. In pilot's jargon, "if you're not planning ahead, you're behind the aircraft." One immediately apparent requirement is the necessity to display the information required for the current flight phase plus the phase(s) approaching. This suggests more than one display and possibly sequenced displays or information.

(f) In keeping with previous comments, "low" and/or "slow" requires more information. In general, this is because the allowances necessary to avoid dangerous or unfavorable events are drastically reduced. For example, there are fewer options available to an aircrew maneuvering very slow near the ground. There are more options and more time to use them when higher or faster, therefore a reduced information display requirement.

(g) Many routine functions are important not because of their complexity, but because of their frequency (for example, changes in frequencies, IFF codes, course changes, navigation points, etc.). These tasks can compromise maneuvers such as formation and precision navigation tasks, or the tasks themselves may be performed incorrectly when hurried. (h) Some areas are extremely important and mission critical but not easy to define. Such things as in-flight clearances, procedures, regulations, rules of engagement and pilot techniques are very important, but are not easy to define as a displayed information requirement. Audio inputs, such as from a radio, are also unattractive for a variety of reasons (i.e., jamming, no written record, misunderstandings, compromise, etc.). In view of new command and control systems, such as the Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS), careful consideration must be given to the required information and information display. This requires further study.

(i) A more complete integration of the crew station, pilot, and operations and maintenance structure is required. This must include a natural and easily accomplished flow of the pilot through all flight phases with emphasis on mission planning and preflight up to and including post flight and debriefing. There must be a natural continuity. The pilot and the crew station are evolving as the center of many operations and maintenance functions. This may not always be the case in war time conditions, but appears a very real possibility for peace time and routine training requirements under austere budgets.

(j) The mission planning phase requires access to a great deal of information. The routes, frequencies, fuel calculations, plans, etc. that are required in flight evolve from this flight phase. There must be an efficient method of interfacing the briefing room with the crew station. As any experienced pilot will confess, "the mission begins and ends in the briefing room." Similarly there is a great deal of information available to an aircrew in flight, but impossible for him to record manually. Such things as equipment malfunctions, threats encountered, ECM, precise target locations, etc. could be stored electronically and are extremely valuable in debriefing, and subsequently, follow-on or similar missions. The same equipment envisioned also could be used in peace time to enhance training.

(k) Reassurance to the pilot is hard to quantify, but a necessary feature. A pilot will not blindly accept an aircraft unless the critical systems can be tested or monitored for faults prior to their use. In addition, a critical safety of flight system with only single automatic control is unacceptable. Even though systems are progressing towards more and more automatic control, the old question, "What happens if it fails?" must still be answered.

(1) Some systems and subsequent information requirements are complicated by conflicting objectives depending on the flight phase. For example, onboard weapons are designed to inflict damage on an enemy target; however, it is also necessary to protect friendly forces and facilities from inadvertent release of weapons. Therefore, weapons must be lethal but safe. "Dry passes" (no weapons delivered) or "inadvertent releases" (weapon dropped accidently) must be prevented, and weapon status displays are valuable in this regard. (m) Auto systems have the desired effect of reducing raw information requirements. Careful consideration must be given to a "design philosophy" that analyzes: How many and what kinds of automatic systems will the pilot accept? An equally important aspect of this question, in view of past experiments is: What kind of false alarm or failure rate will change the answer to the first question? A pilot will accept more if he is guaranteed that a system will never fail; however, even a single failure in a critical phase may deter his further use of the system. This results in a less capable weapon system.

(n) No study or improvement in displays is ever likely to satisfy all of the pilots, all of the time. Therefore, those information needs that cannot be standardized or accepted unanimously by all pilots, should be made pilot selectable. Many tasks related to flying can be accomplished in a variety of ways, i.e., pilot techniques. Frequently, the best way of doing things, and resulting procedures and regulations, are based on pilots who saw a different way of accomplishing the same task. This philosophy is also designed to the "be flexible" policy of the USAF. In addition, future needs can never be altogether anticipated. Therefore, selectable information displays are attractive alternatives.

(c) A "call up" display capability is required as a backup and, also, because some information needs cannot be sequenced automatically. This is apparent from the crew information needs that vary in priority or go from high priority to none at all. There will always be a case where something else is needed because of the difficulty we have projecting situations.

(p) Some of the air-to-air differences in crew information needs occur due to the uncertainties associated with the mission. For example, there is no reason to know target location or type unless there is a high degree of probability that the target will be when and where it was advertised. This is possible for the Air Interdiction class of targets and somewhat with CAS targets; however, it is impracticable with air-to-air targets where the environment is much more dynamic. Therefore, because of less precision associated with air-to-air missions, more flexibility and awareness is required. On the other hand, in Air Interdiction and CAS missions, attacks can be planned and improved by thorough and very detailed planning.

(q) There are many ideas that come to mind from this analysis, however, most of them are well beyond the scope of this study effort and some of them represent major studies in themselves. Some examples are listed to suggest ideas for follow-on work. No effort is made to examine these ideas in depth. Instead, they should be recognized as suggestions coming from this phase of the study.

(1) The pilot's "knee board," or a modern improvement, appears to be an attractive candidate for interfacing the mission planning through in-flight tasks. An electronic "wrist board" could program in calculator fashion, a wide variety of information useful to the pilot from briefing to debriefing. It could also serve as an interface to the on-board (crew station) computers and visa versa.

- (2) Traditional attitude displays do not display all of the information needed for advanced fighter aircraft. Many attitude displays are confusing when maneuvering in the vertical. Another or an additional display may be necessary for some of the maneuvers envisioned in future aircraft. One option is a 3-D portrayal of aircraft attitude and flight path.
- Autopilot submodes can greatly reduce pilot workload. Attractive (3) options are:
 - (a) Attitude hold
 - (b) Course select
 - (c) Automatic throttle control
 - (d) Altitude hold
 - (e) Automatic steering in air-to-air and ground weapons delivery
 (f) Flight path control

 - (g) Programmable profiles.
- (4) Improved clocks with a variety of timing options, such as time to go to destination, proposed arrival time at destination, time of day, elapsed time, time remaining for fuel on board, time before bingo fuel, etc., would greatly reduce pilot workload and numerous mental calculation prone to error.
- (5) Programmable (selectable) displays and audio inputs appear to be one of the most exciting options for optimizing crew stations. Let the individual pilot select (or create) his information needs. This would also increase the utility and flexibility of a system over its life time.
- (6) Standardize weapon delivery formats. Weapons need only to be directed at an aim point (target) and released within the weapons envelope. For ballistic weapons, the envelope is very small and precise for a given release condition. The envelope becomes larger for guided munitions. Weapons should be designed in this regard and computer algorithms developed to implement the displays.

"Keep it simple" is the guiding design philosophy from a pilot's (r) point of view.
5. **DISPLAY INTEGRATION OPTIONS**

To build a framework for developing and comparing display options, an analysis of the display integration problem was undertaken. As part of that framework the problem was divided into several facets, or subproblems, each of which limited the problem to a comparison of only two sets of evaluating parameters. Figure 28 shows the sets of evaluating parameters. Specific items were defined in each set, i.e., technology evaluation criteria, display technologies, types of formats and display functions. A survey of display technologies was made to determine the display integration options that could best provide the aircrew with the information requirements previously generated.

5.1 EVALUATION PARAMETER SETS

There are several ways to describe the displays in the crew station. These descriptors have been organized into sets, with each set consisting of similar items as listed in Figures 29 through 32. These sets thus act as the fundamental members in the framework for developing and comparing display options and serve as boundaries for the subproblems in that framework. The parameter sets for an advanced, and still not defined, crew station may include more or fewer parameters than are currently listed. Nevertheless, the lists are important for two reasons. First, they mark a starting point from which work can evolve. And, second, they provide a means of defining more precisely what is meant by the necessarily general, vague, or abstract set titles thus avoiding ambiguous interpretation and misapplication of the framework to developing display options.

5.1.1 <u>TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION CRITERIA</u> - These are a guideline whose application to evaluating a display technology are generally dependent on the type of format being generated. For example, the resolution parameter is a major concern when evaluating a Light Emitting Diode (LED) or Liquid Crystal (LC) used in a matrix format for generating characters, graphics, or full video. But, resolution is of minor concern when LED's or LC's are used in segmented alphanumeric formats. Most of the criteria can be measured with specific units (e.g., cm, W, or μ s), but selection of those units is deferred until the criteria are specifically applied. Likewise more detailed descriptors of parameters such as dynamic range, display size, and color are deferred to specific applications.

5.1.2 <u>DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES</u> - Candidate display technologies were surveyed. Results of the survey are presented in Section 5.3. While several of the technologies presented are high risk items for use in the 1985-1990 time period they are listed for completeness and to acknowledge their possible development for operational deployment at a later time. The latter reason is readily rationalized by reviewing the development of plasma, liquid crystals (LC's) and light emitting diodes (LED's) (which are now being placed into operational service) over a comparable time span. The technologies examined are listed in Figure 30.



- o Aspect viewing limits
- o Time constants (data rates)
- o Storage or refresh requirements
- o Reliability, maintainability
- o Technical status (i.e., operational, prototype, laboratory demonstration, theory)

Figure 29 Technology Evaluation Criteria

		0	Cathode ray tubes (CRT's)
		0	Liquid crystals (LC's)
		0	Plasma devices (PLD)
		0	Light emitting diodes (LED's)
of sta		0	Electroluminescence (EL)
		0	Electro/mechanical devices (EMD)
		0	Laser displays (LD)
			Figure 30 Display Technologies
te ste el estituto fallante	its thy to ei	On-off:	Figure 30 Display Technologies
A	its ely iv el	On-off: Alpha nu	Figure 30 Display Technologies legend merics: fixed alphabet
A B C1		On-off: Alpha nu Character generated	Figure 30 Display Technologies legend merics: fixed alphabet rs (symbols and alpha numerics), raster or matrix d: variable alphabet
A B C1 C2		On-off: Alpha num Character generater Character	Figure 30 Display Technologies legend merics: fixed alphabet rs (symbols and alpha numerics), raster or matrix d: variable alphabet rs, stroke generated: variable alphabet
A B C1 C2 D		On-off: Alpha nu Character generater Character Graphics	Figure 30 Display Technologies legend merics: fixed alphabet rs (symbols and alpha numerics), raster or matrix d: variable alphabet rs, stroke generated: variable alphabet and characters: fixed format and alphabet

- E2 Graphics and characters, stroke generated: variable format and alphabet
- Full video (or fixed image) F - -
- G Color coding -
- Size coding H
- Size coding Depth coding I -
- J Time coding (typically a software problem)

Figure 31 Display Format Types

- o Multipurpose displays (MPD)
 - o Moving map display (MMD)
 - Horizontal situation display (HSD) 0
 - Vertical situation display (VSD) 0
 - o Heads up display (HUD)
 - o Helmet mounted display (HMD)
 - Stereo-optic panoramic display (SPD) 0
 - 0 Dedicated or fixed, instruments and displays (DED's)

datus wiske ant ... enviored svan

Figure 32 Aircraft Display Functions

69

5.1.3 <u>DISPLAY FORMAT TYPES</u> - To help determine the applicability of each technology to the crew information requirements, a list was developed of the format types or display coding, that might be used to display those requirements. The types of formats are listed in Figure 31, Items A through F are a summary of the ways in which data can be two dimensionally, or shape, coded. While intensity, or gray shade, coding might be used it is not considered practical except for the full video which does include a range of gray shades randomly selectable on a picture element basis.

The color coding, Item G, could be broken down into discrete colors, continuous color tones and chromatic range limitations. However, since the availability of color is limited and its usefulness, at best, is in dispute, details of color, for each technology, are treated separately. Size coding is the capability to produce different size symbols or image scaling. It requires both a display capability and the software.

The depth, or binocular, coding cannot be achieved by an individual display technology, and the time coding is primarily accomplished with software. While size coding and other monocular techniques can be used to provide depth or range cues, binocular vision cues as obtained from a holographic or stereographic display might also be used by the pilot. Generating a holographic display will require use of a light source, a display technology (e.g., liquid crystals) for the hologram, and optics. A stereographic display requires duplicates of one display technology (to generate the stereopair) and transfer optics. Time coding (e.g., flashing a symbol) is accomplished with the software. However, some display technologies might limit the flashing rate or prevent it (e.g., electro/mechanical or photoprojection devices).

5.1.4 <u>AIRCRAFT DISPLAY FUNCTIONS</u> - The display functions are the types of display units that might be used to present visual information to the pilot. The most conventional examples are the dedicated, or fixed, instruments such as the ADI (attitude direction indicator) ball or a clock style altimeter. The more recent developments are the multipurpose display (MPD) or the headsup display (HUD). The most advanced displays are those such as the stereooptic panoramic display (SPD) which remain more of a concept than a specific display. The display functions are frequently referred to simply as displays or cockpit displays. A display function might consist of just the display technology (e.g., a CRT) with its inputs to form a VSD (vertical situation display). Or, it might include other technologies (e.g., holographic optics and conventional optics) along with a CRT and its inputs to form a HUD.

<u>Helmet Mounted Displays (HMD)</u> - One of the major unresolved problems in designing a cockpit layout is that of panoramic data presentation. How much and which data should be presented, and what panoramic coverage, i.e., 30° , 60° , 2π steradians, or 4π steradians, is needed? The answer, of course, is mitigated by what capability technology can provide. A current, working answer is the HUD's in use on todays aircraft. Helmet mounted displays are a way to considerably extend the coverage beyond the HUD limits, but HMD's have problems. The extra weight on the helmet is objectionable. They tend to limit peripheral vision. And, their angular aiming accuracy is

considerably poorer than that achievable with a HUD.

The first HMD systems developed used imagery projection from a CRT mounted on the pilot's helmet onto a combining glass. Initially CRT size and performance limited the practical application of HMD systems. Subsequently, very small CRT's (\approx 1 inch) have been developed which provide high quality video. A disadvantage, in addition to weight, of using a CRT on the helmet is the need for high voltage in close proximity to the pilot. Two different methods have been investigated to alleviate this problem. First, fiber optics can be used to project imagery from a CRT located in the crew station instead of on the helmet. Secondly, solid state media (LED or LCD) can be used to provide a lightweight, low voltage display source.

One example of the solid state display approach is a LED array comprised of 460 matrix points and 21 discretely addressable segments manufactured by Marconi Elliott Avionic Systems. This HMD weighs 6 oz and currently can achieve a brightness of 18,000 ft lamberts (Reference (5)).

Although LED displays are attractive for the display of symbology, their capability to display video is limited by the resolution and shades of gray capability. LCD would be somewhat better but would require a source of light. CRT's mounted somewhere in the cockpit with a fiber optics transmission to the helmet appears to be the most attractive method of displaying sensor video.

Terrain features, threat (both surface and airborne) and attitude data are the most natural to be presented on a HMD. Also, in question is the binocular versus monocular format for HMD's. Due to several factors, to date, there has often been more interest in application of HMD's to rotary wing than to fixed wing aircraft. Some of these factors are: 1) Rotary wing aircraft tend to operate closer to the surface so that properly positioned terrain data is more important. 2) The ranges to targets are less so that weapon miss distances are less susceptible to angular aiming errors. 3) The extra helmet weight is not as significant to the rotary wing pilot as to the fixed wing pilot because the rotary wing g loading is much less. 4) The slower operating speeds and greater maneuverability of rotary wing aircraft require them to react to targets or threats that are further displaced off the velocity vector thus making panoramic data more relevant to their mission success. Two reasons dictate a much closer look at the application of HMD's in advanced aircraft. First, advanced aircraft will probably be much more maneuverable than current models, and second, future attack missions might very likely be operating "in the trees" and with "pop-ups". The result is that advanced aircraft will have motivations for having HMD's that are in common with rotary wing aircraft.

An interim position to using HMD's is to expand the field of view (FOV) of HUD's in aircraft. Moderate FOV increases (to approximately 20° x 30°) can be obtained by using diffractive optics in the HUD combining glass. Other techniques for even greater FOV coverage were explored in Reference (6). One technique is to mount additional diffractive combining glasses on the cockpit canopy and use additional image sources to effect a segmented HUD. An alternative is to provide a substrate, internal to the canopy, for mounting the additional combining glasses. The last method is to use the helmet faceplate as a transmissive, diffraction combining glass and project the display information onto it from projectors in the cockpit. This is contrasted with the more conventional HMD concepts in which the face plate is used as a reflective optical component and the display is projected from a source on the helmet. It was generally concluded that: 1) Mounting combiners on the canopy could conceivably provide a display approximately $160^{\circ} \times 80^{\circ}$ but had many problems to be resolved, 2) Using an internal substitute for the combiners had both assets and liabilities, but further development of the concept was not being recommended, 3) Using the helmet face plate as a transmissive diffraction element was impractical, and 4) Development of more conventional HMD concepts should be pursued.

<u>Stereo-Optic Panoramic Display (SPD)</u> - Display of depth coding requires a display function like the SPD. Unfortunately, the technology has not yet been determined that will simultaneously provide stereo-vision, panoramic viewing, and acceptable physical characteristics. The general approach is to generate an image for each eye, then transfer the image to the appropriate eye through an optics chain, in a manner similar to a dual helmet mounted display (HMD). This approach limits the pilots peripheral vision. Schemes whereby the image generating devices are fixed (e.g., on the instrument panel) give the pilot peripheral vision but lose the panoramic application of the stereographic data. A holographic display would also provide the binocular depth cues but suffers from similar problems plus the burden that generating the hologram is more difficult than generating the stereopair.

In related MCAIR work the display formats are being developed for SPD that might best provide the necessary crew information under normal operational conditions, including air-to-air and air-to-ground combat conditions. SPD development is based on the principle that man can assimilate information in a fraction of the normal scan time if the information is presented to him in a natural scene. For example, detected targets are presented to the crew in the correct azimuth and elevation while providing relative range in a three-dimensional perspective that provides immediate orientation of all targets. By comparison, existing display functions cause the crew to spend considerable time mentally converting displayed target information to actual location in azimuth and elevation before visually locating the target.

Diffraction Optics - While diffraction optics is not a display function, a brief discussion is presented here to assist in understanding how the technology is applied to display functions such as HUD's or HSD's (horizontal situation display). Diffraction optics devices are being used to extend the capabilities of optical systems. Since the direction of light rays are determined by diffractive, instead of reflective or refractive properties, it is largely independent of the surface shape. Because the lens for diffraction optics is made using holographic recording techniques, this concept is sometimes referred to as holographic optics.

The optics work as a "lens" only over a very narrow spectral bandwidth. Therefore, both the transmission of broad band (ambient) light and reflectance of the desired (displayed) wavelength can have large values. For example, the Hughes Diffraction Optics HUD has transmittance of 90% and reflectance of 80% (P-43 phosphor).

Potential applications include Helmet Mounted Displays using the visor, increased HUD field of view, and canopy displays. A helmet-mounted holographic display was built by Hughes Aircraft with AMRL funding. The reticle was developed by the Naval Weapons Center at China Lake. A HUD with a 20° V x 35° H instantaneous field of view was built by Hughes Aircraft Company and flight tested on a Swedish Viggen. The canopy projection system is not a mature technology and appears to be beyond the technology cut-off date for this study.

Holographic processes can also be used to store aerial chart information. RCA, in support of the Navy Advanced Integrated Modular Instrumentation System (AIMIS) program developed a Holographic Horizontal Display System. (AIMIS has since evolved into Advanced Integrated Display System (AIDS)). This system consists of a holographic system for the display of multicolor aerial chart information and a rear projected CRT for real time presentation of data. Figure 33 (Reference (7)) summarizes the advantages of this system.

5.2 PARAMETER INTERRELATIONSHIPS

The problem facets represented by the large arrows in Figure 28 are only the initial concerns. Due to the very involved nature of the problem each set of parameters is dependent on not just one but all of the other sets to some degree. An example is previously cited in discussion of the technology evaluation criteria. The criteria are also used to evaluate the display functions and the format types even though these interrelationships are not indicated in the figure. In the total problem solution all interrelationships are considered. Analysis relating the displays to the crew requirements has not yet been completed as indicated by the question mark in the figure. The following are examples of how two interrelationships might be used in working the visual displays integration problem.

5.2.1 <u>TECHNOLOGY VS FORMATS</u> - Figure 34 shows a limited list of the display technologies and how they might be applied to achieving each of the various display formats. Figure 34 is an example description of the interface. Several of the items are too dependent on other factors so that a question mark must be used. An example is: whether or not a CRT can provide color coding (format type G) depends on what type colors and display brightness/ contrast are required. Thus, a question mark appears in that square. In an actual evaluation these factors would be known so that a yes/no decision could be made. The other formats can or cannot be achieved with reasonable certainty using a CRT.

5.2.2 <u>DISPLAY FUNCTIONS VS FORMATS</u> - Figure 35 gives the relationship of the display format types and the various display function options available. It can be used in either of two ways. First, assuming that the required

Map Data

- Storage Medium
 - Easily Duplicated
 - Inexpensive
 - High Density
 - High Durability
- High Brightness (Nonabsorptive Storage Medium)
 - Common Area Multiple Image Storage
 - Easily Annotated
- Registration and Address Data
 - High Redundancy
 - Image Immobility

GP78-0567-18

Figure 33

Advantages of Holographic Data Storage

da be endeard	ni hi	LD	EMD	IL	EL	LED	PLD	LC	CRT
denolislevinski	A	x	x	x	adat in 1	x	x	x	x
saal (la notal)	В	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	x
the antippop of	C ₁	x	2 00 0	x	00-070	x	x	x	X
te shideeding a	C2	x							
Display	D	x	X			X	x	x	x
Format Types	E1		1 5011	100	×	X	x	x	X
(See Figure 31)	E2	x	3=:510	at some to	0.68.4	S(*17) S.		「小学」を	x
Allerin a landare And administration	F	×	39610			7	7	x	x
RINTER PERSONAL PROPERTY IN THE PERSON NEW YORK	G	X	X		x	7	?	ap av 2	2
stool onlass	н	×	7		×	X	x	x	x
enderer dala ob	$\sim r^{3/2}$	96 90	neo Tu	7.0349 - 6	Permax 1	135/26	4.57	in Stand	
Neg The second of	J	×	7	X	×	X	x	x	X

Display Technologies

Figure 34 **Display Technology Applications**

Display Functions

		MPD	UW	HSD	VSD	HUD	UMH	SPD	DED's
es tolos plus	A	x		20. 6	122			1.30	x
	В	X	a tarihi	13. "	The second	18.1	1.60	1.03	x
	C1	x							1.00
	C ₂	X	all'an	- Contra	X	X	x		
a in al herait	D		1.3.2	T		1	199		X
Format	E1	x	19	X	i.see	101	6. 1	S. 17	
Types (See Figure 31)	E ₂	x	X	X	X	X	X	X	
	F	X	x		X		6.5		1000
	G	10 March			1.1.7				x
	Н		X	1.00	X		5.00	x	ald o
	I	1011	18.22	10,00	101.0	X	X		
	J	X	X	X	X	X	x	x	X

Figure 35 Formats/Functions

format types have been established, it can show which formats are required on each display function. Or, second, assuming that the display functions have been established, it can show the types of formats available on each of the functions. In a crew station development both uses are employed in an iterative fashion until agreement is reached and all the crew information requirements are satisfactorily presented.

5.3 DISPLAY TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION

Examples of display technology have been listed in preceding sections of this report. In this section, that list will be expanded and detailed information supplied about each technology or display device. While the evaluation data for each technology is extensive, it is not exhaustive. The data does provide the gamut of evaluation parameters that should be considered for all contending display technologies. The conventional electro-mechanical instruments are not evaluated. However, it must be remembered that they are generally well developed devices with excellent reliability that might still be the best choice for certain functions such as a reserve "get down" capability. Their primary disadvantage is a fixed function which, when all functions are aggregated, results in an overloading of the available instrument panel area. To circumvent panel space limits, advanced aircraft such as the F-18 are using multipurpose displays which are used like an "electronic tablet" to present a variety of information by time sharing panel space. The F-18 display technology, for the multipurpose and other electronic displays, is cathode-ray tubes (CRT).

The CRT has earned a position as the standard electronic display against which other technologies are judged. The CRT image has sufficient brightness/ contrast, size, resolution, and format flexibility to satisfy virtually all data display requirements. The only notable exception is a color capability. It has not yet been fully resolved if CRT's can provide color as required in a fighter cockpit. CRT's, however, are not perfect. They occupy a large volume, have a limited reliability, and impose power and weight penalties. Consequently, research has been pursued for decades to find a CRT replacement. Earliest efforts were with electro-luminescent devices. Then plasma, liquid crystal (LC), laser, and light emitting diodes (LED) devices were invented and followed by varying amounts of development. As predicted in an earlier study (Reference 8) the CRT has retained its pre-eminence for displaying a full video format. Also, as speculated, the matrix format technologies (plasmas, LC's, and LED's) have made significant inroads to the display market for alphanumerics and graphics as witnessed by the use of these technologies in a myriad of commercial and military products, including alphanumerics for point of sale equipment (sophisticated cash registers), automobile instruments, hand calculators, watches, electronic laboratory instruments, and full graphic formats with alphanumerics for computer terminals in military field use as well as commercial use. Even a video capability has been demonstrated with LC display panels. Additional technologies such as electrophoretics, magnetic particles and electrostatic particles (Gyricon) have been discovered and might be developed to provide future displays.

Technologies to be employed in future cockpit displays are not readily predicted. Anyone attempting such a prediction, evaluating technologies for applications in future aircraft, or selecting technologies for future development should consider the following items. Since a full video format will probably be required to present pictures obtained with imaging sensors, the image quality generated with a CRT must be retained on at least one display. There is a strong incentive to replace the CRT to reduce maintenance problems and save volume, power and weight. Much of the displayed data will require only a graphics format at most, or perhaps only an alphanumeric format. For this data, it is likely that the solid state, matrix technologies might be used. To date, non-CRT technologies have often been rejected for open cockpits because they lack sufficient brightness to challenge the 10,000 ft L ambience. It should be remembered that both display contrast and brightness affect performance. Thus display technologies with less than a 10K fr. L brightness and proper filtering might provide performance as good as a brighter CRT technology.

The following evaluation of individual technologies is oriented toward net performance characteristics. Considerably more detail is available in literature such as the Proceedings of the Society for Information Display or the IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices. Vendor data, while less formal and less state-of-the-art, provides generally reliable data on what is realistically available after all the design tradeoffs and compromises have been made. The data in Reference (8) give an older, tutorial review of several display technologies; and a contemporary overview comparison of displays is given in Reference (9). 5.3.1 <u>ELECTROLUMINESCENT (EL) DEVICES</u> - One of the original challengers to CRT supremacy, the EL devices fell out of contention during the early 1970's with the rapid development of the other technologies. Since then, the development and application of thin film transistor technology (TFT) has produced EL displays that are competitive. TFT provides individual picture element storage, thus improving brightness by increasing the active duty cycle. The element storage and TFT addressing characteristics also reduce the matrix addressing problem which has plagued non-CRT display devices. A review of the EL forms, or device applications, is given in Reference (10). In summary, the forms are AC and DC Powder Electroluminescence and AC and DC Thin Film Electroluminescence. The AC thin film EL (TFEL) is receiving most current emphasis. Several companies are investigating TFEL including Rockwell International, IBM (Reference (10)), Aerojet Electro Systems (Reference (11)), Sharp Corporation, Nara Japan (References (12) and (13)), and Westinghouse, (References (14), (15), and (16)). Typical display characteristics are:

Resolution - 20 to 50 epi have been built, and work is in progress on 100 to 128 epi units for larger panel displays. Small demonstration displays as CRT replacements in HMD's are being developed with 500 epi.

Brightness - 30 ft L. Values as large as 150 to 200 ft L should be available but the question remains as to whether these larger brightness values can be obtained without a life expectancy penalty.

Contrast - 10:1 or better. A full video capability has been demonstrated. Use of a black absorbing layer and other filtering techniques reportedly permit viewing even in 10K fed ambience.

Display Size - Maximum reported has been approximately 6 inches or 240 by 320 elements. The physically smaller devices being developed for HMD's (see Resolution) are approximately an inch by 1-1/3 inches but contain 485 x 645 total elements - sufficient for <u>all</u> the active elements in a standard 525 line TV frame with 4:3 aspect.

Color - Dependent on the phosphor selected, all colors are available so that a full color display is not impossible but would require several years of concentrated effort.

Power Requirements - Drive Voltage is in the 100-300v range and efficiencies of 3 1/w have been reported with a future possibility of 10 1/w. Sustaining power for the 240 r 320 element system is reported as 10w.

Thickness - Less than one inch, however, edge connectors might give local increases in the profile.

Weight - Not determined.

Environment - Due to solid state and sealed construction it is rugged and insensitive to temperature.

Aspect Viewing - Basically lambertian, like looking at a CRT.

Time Constants - Compatible with standard video rates.

Storage/Refresh - Internal storage provides CRT type brightness and frame "freeze" via the inherent memory.

Reliability/Maintainability - TFT performance of 1000h has been demonstrated and phosphor life times of 10Kh have been demonstrated. It is assumed that the display would be a line replaceable unit (LRU) but unlike a CRT it would not be refurbishable.

Technical Status - Laboratory demonstration models.

5.3.2 <u>LIGHT EMITTING DIODES</u> - Fundamentally LEDs are similar to EL in that they are a solid material that converts applied low frequency electrical energy into visible (or near visible) energy. A difference is that the LEDs are single crystal devices, while EL devices use bulk powders and polycrystalline films. The major application of LEDs has been in segmented alphanumeric character (ANC) displays where they are available on a commercial basis from several suppliers. A list of manufacturers is available in directories such as Reference (17). Performance data is available from the manufacturers or from technical publications such as References (18) and (19). As ANC displays, LEDs are widely used in the industrial and commercial worlds. A second large application of LEDs is as a replacement for incandescent light bulbs. Such design capitalizes on the relative ruggedness and long life of the LEDs. A more formal comparison of LED and light bulb characteristics is found in Reference (20). The practical application is found in References (21) and (22) with Reference (22) citing a LED that is a direct replacement for the T-1 3/4 incandescent bulb.

ANC characters are also available in LED devices using a dot matrix to form the characters. Typically these are 5×7 or 7×9 arrays. Larger modules, to 30 x 36 arrays, capable of simultaneously displaying multiple ANC are also available. For a 1 x 1 inch module it appears that a practical limit of 70 to 100 elements (LEDs) per row and per column might exist due to accumulating power loss in the row and column leads (Reference (23)). However, modules can be assembled to form larger displays. These module assemblies are available commercially in densities of 30 to 50 elements/inch and sizes as large as 6 x 5 inch with standard sizes of 2 x 4, 2 x 2 and 1 x 1 inches (Reference (24)).

Selective spectral or polarized filtering can be used with LEDs, as with most display devices, to retain adequate contrast in high ambience. A LED dot matrix ANC display employing selective filtering was built and tested, and demonstrated an ability to be effective in ambiences as large as 10K ftl (Reference (25)). Conventionally, 10K ft L is considered as the maximum cockpit brightness encountered. The LEDs in Reference (19) are advertised as viewable in bright sunlight. Reference (25) also makes a point about using bright displays - with contrast - when the observer is bright adapted (i.e., high ambience conditions) as opposed to simply supplying a high contrast display, albeit darker, as might be done with a passive (e.g., liquid crystal) display. A review of LED technology is available in Reference (26). Emphasis is on the red LEDs used in watch and calculator displays, but other emission colors are covered. Cost data and fabrication technology are also presented.

Typical LED display characteristics are:

Resolution - 30-50 epi for dot matrix, properly proportioned segmented characters from 0.3 to 0.8 inches high.

Brightness - up to 1000 ft L.

Contrast - 100:1 in moderate ambient conditions. Useful displays are available even with 10K ft L ambient. Primary applications have been on-off rather than full gray scale required for video.

Display Size - Maximum reported is 6 inches with approximately 200 to 300 elements. Larger sizes and more elements are possible by stacking more modules. Limits are total power consumption and required driving circuits.

Color - Primarily red but green and yellow are available. Work is progressing on blue LEDs (References (27) and (28)). Blue is not available commercially and efficiencies are low compared to other color emissions.

Power Requirements - 1.5 to 2.0v at $1A/cm^2$ (6.5A/in²) drive current for typical 1000 ft L brightness (400w for a 5 x 6 inch display). Current value determines brightness.

Thickness - Less than one inch and possibly as little as 1/10 inch.

Weight - As little as 1/2 ounce/in² excluding drive circuitry.

Environment - Rugged and temperature insensitive (0-70 C or greater operating temperature range).

Aspect Viewing - Tends to be slightly directional but not a problem for fighter aircraft cockpits (up to 150° viewing angle).

Time Constants - Basically they are compatible with standard video rates.

Storage/Refresh - No inherent storage is provided. Refresh must be frequent enough to avoid flicker.

Reliability/Maintainability - Life time is on the order of 10K to 100K h. The display is considered an LRU and not refurbishable.

Technical Status - Operational.

5.3.3 PLASMA DISPLAYS - Considered by many to be the leading contender for replacing CRTs, plasma displays are being used extensively in commercial and industrial systems and are being introduced to military systems. There are problems though that impede their acceptance into a fighter cockpit. Their

79

neon orange color is confused with the red warning lights used in cockpits. They have trouble holding up to full sunlight ambient conditions. And, they have not been able to produce a full video compatible to a standard TV format. An excellent summary and review of plasma displays was written by Alan Sobel (Reference 29) and is recommended as a starting point for those seeking further information.

Plasma has been used in segmented character formats and dot-matrix formats. Experimental applications have been made of the dot-matrix to video data presentations, but results are limited. Both dc and ac operation are used. The differences between dc and ac operation are decidedly more pronounced when evaluating the large dot-matrix formats available on devices such as the Burroughs "Self Scan" (Reference (30)) display which is a dc device.

Dc devices because of their self-scan feature (non-self scanning devices have only limited development and none have been commercially successful) require fewer drive circuits than do ac displays. Typically self-scan devices need a driver for each row of picture elements and three drivers for all of the columns. Due to minimum brightness requirements, practical current limits, and the duty factor effect on brightness, 200 columns appears to be a practical maximum. DC devices possess no inherent memory, but they can more easily be adapted to intensity modulation than can ac devices. Ac devices typically have inherent memory which permits bright displays even when the size grows to $512 \times 512 \text{ or } 1024 \times 1024$ elements. The memory also provides a flickerless, high resolution display for limited bandwidth sources. But the ac device memory makes it difficult to edit or access data on the display, or to generate a movable cursor on the display.

The neon orange color can be replaced with other colors by using phosphor in conjunction with each element or cell. Full color TV displays with limited brightness, fatigue, size and other characteristics have been made to demonstrate the color capability (References (31), (32), and (33)). Filtering can be and often is used on plasma displays to retain contrast in higher ambient conditions but there is no strong concern, by display manufacturers, about increasing brightness since it is sufficient for commercial application and even for a great number of military applications. Plasma displays are rugged and transparent. These characteristics along with sufficient brightness qualifies them for field army use (Reference (34)). Commercial units rugged enough for airborne or shipboard environments are also available (Reference (35)). Several approaches to producing full video displays have been attempted (as noted in References (31), (32), and (33)), but none have had more than limited success. Greater brightness is required to handle the dynamic range in video data. Development of that capability as well as the other requirements for a video display will probably be spurred by interest from consumer TV manufacturers, and development of military applications dependent on greater brightness, etc., will probably have to await successful development of the consumer devices (Reference (29)).

Typical plasma display characteristics are:

Resolution - 30-60 epi for dot-matrix, and properly proportional segmented characters from 0.25 to 6.0 inches high. Brightness - 30-50 ft L typical to values as great as 360 reported.

Contrast - 20:1 or greater in moderate ambient conditions. Achieving video gray scales is not easy, hence plasma is primarily used as an on-off display.

Display Size - For ac panels, size is almost unlimited (17×17 in. panels with 1024×1024 elements have been made and sold). DC panels are limited to approximately 200 columns in the horizontal and by the number of driver circuits in the vertical. Units with 40 x 12 character capability (280×108 elements) are available.

Color - Primarily neon orange but limited three color or other monochrome colors are available.

Power Requirements - Drive voltage is usually 200 to 300 volts and efficiency is a few tenths of a lumen per watt. Net power including drive circuits is 200-300w.

Thickness - The display panel itself is less than one inch but mounting hardware and electrical drive circuitry can increase the thickness for some models.

Weight - 55 lbs for a complete unit with $8 \frac{1}{2} \times 8 \frac{1}{2}$ in. display (includes frame and drive electronics and power supply).

environment - Rugged and temperature insensitive (operates in -20 to +55 C and 95% RH).

Aspect Viewing - Wide aspect viewing.

Time Constants - DC types can be operated at standard video rates. Ac types are available with a worst case vector writing time of 10 ms for 512 points.

Storage/Refresh - Dc types have no inherent storage thus need complete refreshment, much like a CRT. Ac types have inherent memory for each element.

Reliability/Maintainability - The plasma panel is considered a LRU with reliable operation of 100Kh and the only maintenance is to clean the display face.

Technical Status - Operational.

5.3.4 LIQUID CRYSTAL DEVICES - A major advantage of LC's is their low power consumption which is due to the fact that they emit none of their own radiation but modulate ambient light. They also retain contrast in bright ambient situations but have temperature and angular viewing limitations. There are several LC phenomena that can be used to provide light modulation. Dynamic scattering and nematic field effect are the two most prominent phenomena. An early review of LC phenomena concentrated on the dynamic scattering (Reference (36)) while a later review explains the twisted nematic field effect (Reference (37)). Both reviews (as well as Reference (8)) are good LC tutorial sources.

W and the Delay with a

Because of their low power drain LCs have received broad usage in consumer devices like electric watches and hand calculators. However, to be accepted LC's have had to meet minimum performance standards for temperature and reliability characteristics as well. An example of the design considerations is given in Reference (38). LC's are available commercially in a segmented format for alphanumerics that could be used in a wide variety of equipment (Reference (39)). Dot-matrix formats have been built but must still be considered in the "development" stage. Examples are given in References (40) through (44). Reference (41) is especially interesting when compared with Reference (44). It is apparent that the same TFT technology was used to address display matrix elements of either EL or LC material. This commonality underscores the importance of advances in large scale solid state devices and integrated circuitry techniques to the advancement of large matrix display technology.

LC technology has for most practical considerations solved the temperature limitation problem through materials research or by supplying supplemental heating to the display elements. However, the limitations must still be considered. The problem of limited aspect viewing remains but should not be as significant for a cockpit installation as for many other uses. Life expectancy for dc operated LC's is questionable but for ac operation is up to 20,000 hours. Response times are slower at low temperatures but units working at standard video rates have been demonstrated. To use LC's in a direct viewed cockpit display requires an overhanging light absorbing shield. The shield forms a black background to be "seen" by the pilot via the specular axis. Bright spots, symbols, etc., on the display are generated by incident light (in conjunction with the LC elements) which might include direct sunlight on the display. The shield might cause problems in the cockpit design by protruding into the ejection envelope or possibly occluding other displays. Another criticism of LC's is that they might not be sufficiently bright even though retaining contrast, for certain ambient conditions (References (45) and (46)). Such criticism can be countered by supplying a separate light source for the LC's to supplement the ambient actually reaching the display. The following parameters specify LC performance;

Resolution - 100 epi for the 3.5 inch panel displays. For smaller devices being developed as potential CRT replacements in HMDs, resolutions on the order of 588 epi are reported.

Brightness - Dependent on ambient.

Contrast - 20:1 or better. Both on-off and full video dynamic range have been demonstrated.

Display Size - Units as large as 350×350 elements have been made (4 units butted together, each composed of 175×175 elements, on 1.75×1.75 inches). The LCD being developed as a CRT replacement in a HMD is slightly larger than an inch and has on the order of 600 x 800 elements.

Color - Contrasting colors (as opposed to black-white) using color filters are available for the on-off devices (Reference 47)). Simultaneous display of blue, green, yellow, magenta and white (with gray scale) has been achieved in a projection device using an LC for a light valve (Reference (48)). Power Requirements - 5 w/cm² to 1.0 mw/cm² at 3-15 volts.

Thickness - Less than one inch.

Weight - Not determined but is approximately the same as EL, LED and plasma technologies.

Environment - Not quite as rugged as other devices but probably sufficiently rugged for cockpit use. Response times increase with decreasing temperatures.

Aspect Viewing - There are angular considerations that can be quite severe (Reference (49)) but they can probably be handled for a cockpit installation.

Time Constants - 10-500 ms. With a solid state scan converter these rates are fast enough for video data. Also the warmer displays operate with the faster times.

Storage Refresh - Some limited inherent storage is available (each element acts like a leaky capacitor) and mixtures of nematic-cholestric material provide storage.

Reliability/Maintainability - Up to 20,000 hours with ac driven devices. Dc driven devices have had shorter life times reported. LC are considered LRU's so that only display face cleaning is needed.

Technical Status - Segmented alphanumerics are operational, and video matrix displays are laboratory demonstrators.

5.3.5 <u>CATHODE-RAY TUBES</u> - CRT's are the "standard" because of the versatility, efficiency, low cost and availability. They do have limitations, however. The shadow mask tube, and its variations, provides good color images, however, it has not been ruggedized sufficiently for airborne applications. Consequently, color has depended on phosphor penetration (also called beam penetration) type CRT systems. Phosphor penetration systems might hold up to bright ambience but require spectral filtering to retain contrast. The ruggedness of airborne CRT displays is a questionable item with mean time between failure (MTBF) values ranging from 17 hours to 15,000 hours (Reference (50)). Typical MTBF numbers for fighter aircraft CRT displays are several hundred hours.

CRT displays do occupy significant volume. Volume and weight reduction are always goals sought in a fighter design, but the density for CRTs is not much different than for other avionics (approximately 50 lb/ft³). Unless more "efficient" designs are developed, "flat panel" technologies might require as much total weight and volume as CRTs. Only the distribution might be different. Generally the volume requirements are not too critical behind the instrument panel (there are significant exceptions such as the area immediately below the HUD (heads up display)), but instrument panel area is critical. While CRT displays can be mounted in very close edge-to-edge proximity, flat panel displays have edge connectors which occupy important instrument panel area. The significance of these facts remains to be established in light of system considerations, such as how much data will be put on helmet mounted displays and how much data will be simultaneously displayed on the instrument panel (as opposed to time sharing the displays). There is also some work directed toward using the cathodoluminescence of CRTs in a flat configuration "vacuum tube" device that eliminates the bulkiness of CRTs but retains many of the image producing capabilities (References (51) and (52)). Parameters given in the following listing are for a CRT used as a rather large head-down display; however, technology exists for the production of miniature CRTs that can be used as helmet mounted displays. These, typically, could have a 0.8 inch diagonal dimension, weigh less than a pound, and still have a limiting resolution of 1600 elements (or TV lines).

Typical CRT performance factors are:

Resolution - 120-200 epi

Brightness - 30 - 100 ft L average, peaks to 1000 ft L.

Contrast - 8:1 with 10,000 ft L diffused ambient full gray scale capability for video. With more moderate ambients, contrast is 20:1 or better.

Display Size - 480 to 830 elements on displays that range from a few inches to a 25-inch diagonal.

Color - Almost any monochrome color but yellow-green is preferred. Discrete colors (phosphor penetration) for airborne displays and a full color capability for commercial uses (shadow mask tube).

Power Requirements - 100w for the total display including power supplies. Internally high voltages of 20K to 25K volts are generated but the unit operates from standard 110 vac power.

Thickness - Approximately 12 to 18 inches, dependent on the display size and deflection angle used on the CRT.

Weight - 50 1b but dependent on size used.

Environment - Rugged and temperature insensitive, has been used in fighter aircraft cockpits and met military testing.

Aspect Viewing - Wide aspect, basically a lambertian emission pattern.

Time Constants - Normally operates with bandwidths of 3 - 10 MHz and standard video frame rates. Special units are available with bandwidth capabilities to several tens of megahertz to 100 MHz.

Storage/Refresh - While storage type CRTs are available, basically only non-storage tubes are being considered. A slight "storage" exists because of the phosphor persistence but this only serves to produce greater brightness rather than act as a time storage element. Reliability/Maintainability - CRTs can be very reliable when the display system is properly designed. However, airborne systems usually could stand an improvement in reliability. While CRTs can be refurbished it would be done at a depot or manufacturer level, and they are considered an LRU for field maintenance.

Technical Status - operational.

5.3.6 <u>ELECTROPHORETIC DISPLAYS</u> - As a passive display device using ambient illumination, EPIDS (electrophoretic image displays) might compete in the future with LCs. The basic EPID concept is charged pigment particles in a highly stable collodial suspension of a nonaqueous dyed liquid of contrasting color. The displayed data is controlled by applying an electric field via electrodes sandwiching the suspending liquid. The charged particles are moved to the front or back of the field. If the particles are moved to the front they determine the corresponding display element color, or reflected brightness. If the particles are moved to the back, the optical characteristics of the dyed suspending liquid determine the elemental color, or reflected brightness. Gray scale, or color shifts, can be obtained by using a field strength between the extreme values. Usually it is assumed that at least several particles comprise a display element.

Advantages of an EPID are as follows. It has a near lambertian viewing. The switching voltage is modest (15-50 volts). Power consumption is low $(5\mu w/cm^2)$. Resolution is good (approximately 120 epi). It can be used over a wide variety of sizes (1 in² to matrix addressing limits). It is operable over a wide temperature range (-60° to 70°C). It has inherent memory long enough for fighter cockpit application.

Disadvantages are as follows. The life time of EPIDs is questionable. Migration and accumulation of the pigment particles outside the effective electrode areas tends to reduce contrast after many (10^8) operation cycles but techniques are being developed to relieve this problem. Also stability of the collodial suspension is a problem.

While there is insufficient data for typical characteristics as provided for the other technologies the preceeding information provides a cursory look at the status of EPID. More data is available through References (53), (54) and (55).

5.3.7 <u>MAGNETIC PARTICLES DISPLAY</u> - Like EPID's and LC's, magnetic particles display (MPD) operate by reflecting ambient light. Small magnetic spherical particles are imbedded, or encapsulated, in a binder material. Wax, plastic or other binder material can be used depending on rigidity and optical properties required. Each particle is black on one hemisphere and silvered, or white coated, on the other hemisphere. All particles have the same orientation of silvered hemispheres to their magnetic polarity and are free to rotate, but not migrate, within the binder material. By applying an appropriate magnetic field to each image element that element will appear white, black, or an interim gray shade due to the resulting degree of rotation of the particles within the picture element. Like photographic film grains, MPD assumes several particles per resolution element (Reference (56)). It is also hypothesized that colored displays could be formed by coating segments of the particles with colors, but control of such a scheme is tenuous (Reference (57)).

Features of MPD's include the following. They are a flat panel (less than one inch) technology. Image parameters include resolution as good as 200 μ m spot size (approximately 130 particles per spot size), or 250,000 elements per picture (TV compatible), contrast up to 40:1 with continuous gray scale, and switching speeds suitable for video rates. Driving voltage and power are on the order of 2V and 1/4w for a 9 x 12 cm (3-1/2 x 4-3/4 inch) display, respectively. The device has inherent memory and can be operated over a wide temperature range. Its susceptibility to shock and vibration is not established, but it is described as mechanically rugged. Cost is expected to be low. While no specific data on life, or MTBF, is available, it is reasonably considered not to be a significant problem. MPDs are in the laboratory, prototype development state. More data is available from References (56) and (57).

5.3.8 <u>GYRICON</u> - In effect this display technique is much like the MPD just described with one major exception. Instead of using magnetic particles, Gyricon uses particles (or balls) that have an effective electrical charge, oriented with respect to the reflecting/absorbing hemispheres, and reflectivity control of each resolution element is obtained by applying an appropriate electrical, rather than magnetic, field to that element (Reference (58)). Studies with demonstration Gyricon units indicate the switching times are compatible with video frame rates for control voltages less than 30v. Power consumption is limited to the capacitive displacement current required to switch the balls comprising each element. Contrast has only been 3:1 but improvements are expected. The device has inherent storage. The fabrication and device materials are differert than those for the MPD even though the functional operations are similar. The Gyricon is in a laboratory prototype development stage.

5.3.9 <u>LASER DISPLAYS</u> - Seven to ten years ago when lasers were often referred to as "an answer looking for a question," laser displays were considered as a replacement for CRT's (Reference (8)). Studies of deflection and modulation devices and techniques (References (59) and (60)) were being conducted, and there was considerable interest in their application. Since then interest has waned due to low overall efficiency, including inefficient deflection and intensity modulation devices, and the large deflection volumes required. The generally decreasing interest in laser displays has been dramatized by the rise of LED, plasma and LC technology and their applications in the consumer/industrial market. Countering the trend a recent article (Reference (61)) indicates some current interest in using a laser display for the HUD in the Navy's Advanced Integrated Display System (AIDS). Laser displays might be considered if good full video color is needed in a cockpit display but they would probably have a significant cost in required power and volume.

5.3.10 <u>ELECTROCHROMICS</u> - Electrochromics operate by changing the opaqueness of an inorganic liquid by means of a voltage induced chemical change. One method of accomplishing this is by producing a colored, insoluble film on a metallic conductor immersed in the liquid. Electrochromics offer potential for good contrast, long lifetimes and low operating voltages. However, they are slow and are difficult to matrix into any size larger than 2 in. x 2 in. This is a very undeveloped technology that might eventually outperform LC's. More information is available in References (62) and (63).

5.4 SUMMARY OF DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES

Figure 36 presents, in matrix format, a summary of the display technologies considered in this study as candidates for cockpit display utilization in the 1985-1990 time period. The parameters used for evaluation are listed in the left column. It must be remembered that the data given is necessarily a summary and might not accurately represent all parameters when more than one is being considered. For example; the weight parameter would deviate depending on the display size selected; the availability of any item such as color might alter the status; or brightness might change the contrast which in turn might be dependent on the resolution. Also, due to the lack of generalizable data a comparison of technologies across a single parameter should be treated with care. For example, the power requirements for EL and LED's do not include power for required drive circuits so that total power might be comparable to or greater than the power for a plasma panel or a CRT. Contrast improvements can be obtained with spectral, or other type, filters with some display technologies. However, not all filtering techniques lend themselves to all display technologies and some contrast values already assume the incorporation of filtering.

The CRT is the fundamental display technology. Other technologies that could find specific applications in the aircraft are plasma devices, electroluminescent (EL) devices, light emitting diodes (LED), and liquid crystals (LC). There are also technologies that are only in the laboratory demonstration phase that offer long term possibilities. These are electrophoretic displays, magnetic particles displays, the Gyricon and electrochromatic displays, all of which are passive - that is they only reflect light rather than emit their own light. As passive devices these options would be more in competition with LCs than with the other promising options. However, if they were to supersede LCs with enough margin they might eventually even replace CRTs.

When evaluating displays, parameters such as in Figure 31 certainly need to be listed and compared, but the formats and information requirements need also to be considered. The Pilot's information requirements establish what kind of data he needs. These needs must be translated into the display formats required. The types of formats include simple alphanumerics, symbols, graphics, and full gray scale video. Consideration should also be given to whether, or not, the characters are to be generated with a dot matrix or a segmented format. Using color as a coding parameter can help to declutter saturated displays or direct the pilot's attention to particular display items. Although the esthetic value of color displays is well established, the improvements in crew performance using color as a coding dimension has not been consistently demonstrated. However, if one takes into account the purpose of display and the conditions under which it is to be used, more definitive conclusions can be reached. Christ, Reference (64) has shown that color is most effective in situations where the subject must deal with complex, multiple stimulus formats and when he must distinguish one class of stimuli from another. Potential benefits include improved aircrew efficiency, reduced operator fatigue and reduced training reugirements, Reference (65).

PARAMETER	PLASMA PANEL	ELECTROLUMINESCENCE (THIN FILM)	LIGHT EMITTING DIODES	L IQUID CRYSTAL	CRT
Resolution	30-60 epi	20-50 epi, large panels 500 epi, small panels	30-50 epi	100 epi, large panels 588 epi, small panels	120-1600 epi
Brightness	> 30-50 ft L	>30 ft L	>100 ft L	Ambient Dependent	>30-1000 ft L
Contrast	≥20:1 Binary	≥10:1 Full Video	100:1	20:1 Full Video	20:1 Full Video
Display Size	<pre><1024 elements T7 inch sq (ac driven)</pre>	240 elements, 6 inch 500 elements, 1 inch	250 elements, 6 in. sq.	350 elements, 3.5 in. 600 elements, 1 in.	480-830 elements 1-25 inch sq.
Color	Primarily Neon Orange Full Color Possible	Phosphor Dependent Full Color Possible	Red; green and yellow also available	Primary Black/White but Contrasting Colors are Available	Phosphor Dependent, Discrete Colors Also
Power Requirements	200-300W	10W	1.5-2.0W/cm ² @1.5-2.0 volts	$5\mu\text{w/cm}^2$ to 1.0 mW/cm^2 @3-15 volts	100 Watts
Thickness	<1 inch	inch	inch	inch	≈12-18 inch
Weight	50 1bs	and the supervised parts	12 2 (2 Car way	South - Station of	Up to 50 Lbs.
Environment	Rugged	Rugged	Rugged	Rugged but Tempera- ture Limits	Ruggedized
Aspect Viewing	Wide Aspect	Uniform, Wide Aspect	Slight Gain But Basically Uniform	Restricted	Uniform Wide
Time Constants	Std. Video (dc driven)	Compatible With Std. Video	Compatible With Std. Video	10-500 ms (with scan converter LC can display std. video)	3-10MHz Std. Video
Storage/ Refresh	yes (ac driven) no (dc driven)	Yes, but is config- uration dependent	No	Limited Storage	None (storage CRTs are available
Reliability/ Maintainability	100,000 hrs LRU	1,000 to 10,000 hrs, LRU	10,000 to 100,000 hrs, LRU	20,000 hrs, LRU	15 to 15,000 hrs. LRU
Status	Operational/ Commercially Available	Laboratory Demonstra- tion Models	Commercially Available/ Operational	Uperational/Labor- atory Demonstration Models	Operational

Figure 36 Display Technology Comparisons

5.5 SELECTED DISPLAY INTEGRATION OPTIONS

Display options were selected for their inherent capabilities and in the order in which it appears they will be available to accomplish the desired task (resenting crew information rapidly and efficiently with minimum workload) during the 1985 - 1990 time period. They are: 5.5.1 OPTION 1 - The cathode ray tube, black and white, or color, is considered the first selection, and the standard for comparison, because of the versatility of data that can be displayed with a full video format. It is expected that the CRT will retain its preeminence into the 1985-1990 time period.

5.5.2 OPTION 2 - The plasma flat display panel is the leading contender to replace the CRT in the near term (0 to 5 years) because of the rapid progress made with these units in commercial applications and the fact that they are commercially available.

5.5.3 OPTION 3 - Liquid crystal displays (LCD), while presented as the second choice to replace a CRT, may in fact become the first military cockpit replacement for a CRT as a result of the momentum generated by military funding of liquid crystal display development. Such spending is justified because LCDs have a low power drain and retain good contrast in a large ambience. LCDs for video or graphics, however, are not as mature, in the sense of commercial availability, as are plasma displays.

5.5.4 OPTION 4 - Electroluminescence displays, with thin-film-transistor (TFT) picture elements, although considered speculative, are selected as a long-term replacement for the CRT.

The CRT does such a good job for general applications (e.g., HUD's vertical situation displays (VSD), horizontal situation displays (HSD), etc.) that one might question why we attempt to replace it. Basically, the answer is we need to reduce the volume, weight and power required by CRTs. The technology most nearly ready to replace the CRT is the plasma display. But it too has unique problems. It has difficulty presenting gray scale. The typical neon orange color is not always acceptable. And, it cannot retain contrast in full sunlight. Nevertheless, over 200,000 units have been sold chiefly as computer terminals with a graphics capability. Often gray scale is added to these terminals by software that generates gray scale using schemes analogous to half-tones in printing.

Liquid crystal displays require minimal power and retain contrast in bright environments. They also may require supplemental illumination if the bright environment is not incident on the display (i.e., brightness as well as contrast is required when the eyes are bright adapted) or if the ambient conditions are too dark. Supplemental heating is usually required and viewing angle is often restricted.

For longer term speculation an EL device with thin-film-transistor (TFT) picture element addressing and control is selected to replace the CRT. The choice is speculative and open to dissenting opinions. The rationale for these conclusions follows: The primary advantage of the plasma display is its commercial availability which reflects a rather good state of development for the technology. The liability of plasma being used as a CRT replacement is its difficulty with generating video. LCDs are not as mature as plasma displays but seem to be the pick of military planners. LCDs require less power than EL-TFT devices but have similar problems in addressing and drive circuitry as EL-TFT, both of which have no fewer, perhaps even more, problems than the plasma displays. The EI-TFT devices are in the beginning of their development. Their major asset is the efficiency with which their phosphor converts input power to radiated lumens. EL is an active display device so it maintains image brightness thus providing a display easily viewed by an eye adapted to a bright ambient. Filtering techniques applicable to EL-TFT devices permit them to retain contrast even in bright ambience. And, EL-TFT devices are viewable over large aspect angles.

5...) (17134) : Signifuryetal Alepium (LCD), anile presented as the around choice, is realine 1.63, and the fact because the first willther conjute reflace for a UNT is a treation of the momentum protocold by military funding of light ergenal display development. Here, spending is partitled because LDD, have a low poor dobin and raise gain contract in a large aphenes, LDD, for vide us ergenite, LDD, at raise gain contract in a large aphenes, LDD, for vide of arm played display.

2.5%, republic - bia cronullarecence d'aplays, with these live croasiants (171) bittor - blassical dishoval considered acendiacine, and estecred an Pro-seare replications for the CPT

The child are survey a good ine for general equinations in a line is which is structive visuates (VSG, heritemoni streation divelues (HVM), are tool we much interfering a second we weight and power required by CAR, the is we need to reduce the second weight and power required by CAR, the is no need to reduce the second with the large transmitter is a interval are destined in a second with the large transmitter is to no need the second weight and power required by CAR, the is no need the second weight and the large transmitter is to no need the second weight and the large transmitter is the second are destined by the second with the second with the second rest transmitter and the second with a graphice reputies have been weight the second of these transmitter is not situate that graphice reputies and second and these transmitter is not situate that graphice reputies and second and these transmitter is not situate that graphice reputies and second and the second to be set transmitter in the second and second and the second to be set the second with the second and the second and second and the second to be set the second with the second and and the second to the second and the second and the second and the second to these transmitter is printed and and the second and and the second and and the second and the second and the second and the second and and and the second and the second and the second and the second and and and the second and the second and the second and the second and and and the second and the second and the second and the second and and and the second and and the second and the second and the second and the second and and the second and the second and the second and the second and and the second and the second and the second and the second and and the second and the second and t

blasti revisit scretch displays require minimal observation and revise journant in parate so transmiter that also any require experiments (i) othering () and tright severement is net instant of the display (), or, briefolden a suit as annitable to require when the eyes are brief () and all of if the embraic solutions are transfered when the eyes are brief () and all of if the embraic solutions are transfered when the eyes are brief () and all of if the embraic solutions are contracted when the eyes are brief () and all of if the embraic solutions are contracted and of the second brief () a solution required and of of additions of the required of the second brief () and all of the the second of the second of

The bonder both formulation on the termine with this there in a maintain (27) should a summary minimum and prevent is sufficient to reliant the tribute for these is summaries and only one to the second by Windows. The tribute for these results are collowed for states and state of the places divided for and to the limit of the limit of allowed being with the places divided for the to the limit of the limit of allowed being of the second and the termine for the the limit of allowed being of the second and the its difficult with generations shown being which are set of resident display the first second for the states of the second of the second of the first difficult with generations where the difference of the second of the first difficult with generations where a bill be set of the limit of the first difficult of the second for the second of the second of the first difficult with the second first of the second of the first difficult with the second first of the second of the first difficult with the second first of the second of the first difficult with the second first of the second of the first difficult with the second of the second of the first difficult of the second of the second of the first difficult with the second of the second of the first difficult of the second of the second of the first difficult of the second of the second of the first difficult of the second of the second of the first difficult of the second of the seco

6. EVALUATION FRAMEWORK

The evaluation framework, for a follow-on study, will incorporate systematic testing techniques as visual display integration concepts proceed through the developmental milestones of predesign, initial design, prototype production, and design verification. Experience gained in past evaluations of design concepts indicates that this approach of progressive evaluation acts as an efficient culling process in determining the feasible as well as the prohibiting factors of a design.

The screening process in this study was accomplished through an informal judgmental process. This process has resulted in the selection of candidate display technologies which showed promising compatibility with the display integration concepts of the near future. The evaluation framework in the foilow-on study proposes that the candidates be evaluated comparatively by using a combination of techniques such as trade studies, subjective ratings, mockup evaluations, part-task studies, abstract simulation, and man-in-theloop simulation.

6.1 TRADE STUDY PROCESS

At the termination of this study, some of the candidates may still be in the development stage with limited demonstration capability for crew station application. Most of the descriptive materials presenting design definitions were formulated from a research of published technical documentation. The evaluation technique most suitable for the development stage (possibly predesign) in a follow-on is the trade study. The trade study process is generally accomplished in a formal subjective manner. The concept alternatives are described in a data package complete with such data as weights, volume occupied, power requirements, reliability, maintainability, safety. Weighting factors are assigned to the different parameters by some combination of engineer, management, and user decisions. The weighting factors are then combined with the parameter values and a total value is derived for each of the alternatives. The higher the figure of merit thus derived, the more favored the alternative. In summary, the steps required to accomplish a trade study are:

- (1) Establish evaluation criteria
- (2) Develop data packages
- (3) Assign weights to the parameters in the evaluation criteria
- (4) Assign values to each of the parameters for all alternatives
- (5) Compute a figure of merit for each alternative.

The evaluation criteria (Step (1)) will consider engineering design parameters as well as human performance parameters. What is of direct interest to the engineer may be of indirect interest to the user, in this case, the pilot. Some parameters, of course, are of common interest to the engineer and the pilot. Suggested evaluation parameters are those discussed in Section 5 plus the following:

" Real is the second of



o Cost

o Mission Effectiveness

o Development Risk

o AGE Requirements

o Pilot Acceptability

o Growth Potential

The assembly of the data package (Step (2)) is an engineering function, and data package components will consist primarily of comprehensive information on each of the evaluation parameters, sufficient for the evaluator to assign judgmental values to each concept alternative.

The assignment of parameter weights and parameter values (Steps (3) and (4)) constitute a major activity of the evaluator. The evaluators will be drawn from the population of design engineers, human factors specialists, and pilots. Numerical points assigned to each evaluation parameter provide information on the relative importance of evaluation parameters and magnitude of preference of one alternative over another. For example, if cost, pilot acceptability and maintainability were assigned weighting factors of 40, 20 and 10 points respectively out of 100 possible, the weights indicate that the evaluators view the pilot acceptability factor to be more important than maintainability and less important than the cost factor. In a similar manner, preference ratings assigned to each parameter concept alternative, say, on a scale of 1 to 7, would indicate order of preference for the concepts under evaluation. The figure of merit (Step (5)) is derived by combining the rating values and weighting factors. Possible approaches are multiplication of rating values and weighting factors, or proportion of rating value assigned multiplied by the weighting factor.

Since all the parameters in the evaluation criteria will seldom have the same units of measurement, all data are converted to ratios of best to worse or some common dimension of goodness. Some typical methods of combining such normalized values and weighting factors are given in Figure 37.

6.2 SUBJECTIVE RATING TECHNIQUES

When the concept is in the design stage or beyond, drawings, schematics, and, possibly, a non-functional mockup are available. Either informal subjective or formal subjective evaluation techniques are appropriate. The informal subjective technique can be approached by open-ended review of drawings and schematics accomplished by a panel of experts, where comments cover all facets of the design. The formal subjective method is best handled by a carefully constructed paper and pencil exercise. There are many variations to informal-formal subjective technique, and any selection would be highly influenced by the level and amount of design information involved. If a static mockup is available, samples of alternate concept products can be presented to the evaluator for rating on a scale of acceptability. A sample rating form is shown in Figure 38.

charge and record and Theat

came, fire of to . Yours personators, and control, travel control "platest to the

	Description of Metho	「「「「「「」」」	tatio Best to Worse if Low bood, or Worst to Better if tigh is Good; Multiply by	atio Smallest to Larger ar Issign + if Low is Good, - i Good; Multiply by WF	ase (or A, or B) Value Div Y (Base + A + B) if High is reciprocals Used if Low is upportion WF Among Alte ves	latio Alternatives to Basel /alue if High is Good; Rati aseline to Alternative if L Good; Multiply by WF	tatio Alternatives to Baseli usign + if High is Good an ow is Good; Multiply by V	tank Order the Designs Be , Middle = 2, Worst = 3; B :arns <u>WF</u> , Middle Earns <u>M</u> Morst Earns <u>WF</u>	ind Best and Worst; Scale Vorst = 0, Best = 1, Middle vocordingly; Multiply by V	iame as Method 7, but WF iortioned among Alternati	ubjective Scaling of Viternatives, e.g., 400 Watt Vorth 10, 450 Watts is Cal V, 600 Watts is Called 5, M v WF
	P		k is WF	nd if High	vided s Good; Good; 1/400 erna-	ine Dw	ine; id - if WF	sst = best 2	ar to MF	- Ap-	ts is lied luitipiy
1. 34 1. 1. 2. 3 2.	Normalized	Base	<u>400</u> = 0.89	+0.89	1/450 + 1/450 + 1/600 = 0.349	<mark>450</mark> = 1.00	450 = -1.00	2	0.75	0.75	00.6
-	Values	*	<u>400</u> = 1.00	+1.00	0.397	$\frac{450}{400} = 1.13$	$\frac{400}{450} = -0.89$	ist teners German geven	1.00	1.00	10.00
Example	1 1 1	8	$\frac{400}{600} = 0.67$	+0.67	0.27	$\frac{450}{600} = 0.75$	$\frac{600}{450} = -1.33$	m	0	0	5:00
	-	-	8	8	8	3	8	8	8	50	50
and the second	A STATE	Base	17.8	+17.8	0.34 × 20 = 6.8	20.00	-20.00	10.00	15.00	$\frac{0.75}{1.75} \times 20 = 8.57$	18.00
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	latrix Entries	V	8	+20	7.8	22.60	-17.80	20.00	20.00	$\frac{1.00}{1.75} \times 20 = 11.43$	20:00
		8	13	+13	<u>ن</u>	15.4	-26	88		1.43 0	10.

Methods of Deriving Trade Study Matrix Entries (From Lintz, L., Askren, W. and Lott, W., Reference 66)

93

1.12 9

Mission Scenario Under Evaluation _

How Do You Rate the Visual		Visual Display Systems						
Displays in Terms of:	HUD	MMD1	MMD2	HSD	VSD			
1. Locating information								
2. Reading information	4							
3. Interpreting symbols		(And South						

19. Information Processing Workload (inter- preting, integrating, recalling)		-+	
20. Visual Workload as a function of displayed information			

Figure 38 Visual Display Evaluation Form

6.3 FUNCTIONAL MOCK-UP EVALUATION

Where the design details have been sufficiently finalized, a functional mockup can be fabricated for use in testing and evaluation. The functional mockup, if interfaced with adequate recording devices, permits the first opportunity for collection of empirical data. Two evaluation techniques, frequently used and highly successful, are discussed in the following paragraphs. These are part-task experimental designs and abstract simulations.

6.3.1 PART-TASK STUDIES - Park-task experimental designs are specially structured to measure selected facets of a concept. In the area of visual performance, such studies can be designed to assess effects of coding type, display density, memory load, display patterns, time sharing, and speed stress on human operator performance. Typical criterion measures are reaction time and error rate. A major consideration in constructing such experiments is providing adequate control mechanisms so that the variable selected for testing is measured during the conduct of the experiment relatively free of extraneous variable effects. Factorial designs with repeated measures are particularly applicable. For example, in a factorial design one can study the effects of coding and display density on operator performance, by combining coding and density in various test combinations. Such an approach would yield findings to identify performance effects due to coding independent of display density, display density independent of coding, and the interaction of coding and display density. Speed stress has been a viable variable for testing, and would appear more importantly so, as displays become more integrated. To attain some degree of confidence that the amount of information per unit time is not beyond the processing capabilities of the operator, information input rates can be varied and performance effects measured. Again, a factorial design would be appropriate.

Source of Variance	dF	MS	Popr (PP)
Density (D)	(0) (4 -5)	5.241	122.31**
Number of Colors (C)	9	1.298	30.32**
DxC	36	0.111	2.40*
Subjects	19	0.103	
Residual	931	0.043	
*P<0.01			
**P<0.001		1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	S. S. Sandard

Figure 39

Analysis of Variance of Effects of Display Density and Number of Colors upon Search Times (Transformed Data) (From Cahill, M. and Carter, N.; Reference 67)

A statistical output from a factorial design is shown in Figure 39. In this example case, there was greater variance in operator performance due to display density than number of colors; also, operator response time was affected by certain combinations of display density and number of colors.

Fortunately, visual performance is an area that has received good attention in the past from research scientists. While some past findings cannot be generalized to the integrated display concept technologies, the test methodologies employed in these studies are basically sound and appropriate for the follow-on evaluation framework. The interesting findings and methodologies of References (66) through (69) demonstrate the gains that can be achieved from part-task experimental designs.

6.3.2 <u>ABSTRACT SIMULATION</u> - A computer-based evaluation technique can be used to measure operator performance. In this type of simulation, the characteristics of the system and the event are reproduced symbolically through mathematical models, or algorithms. The MCAIR Pilot Simulation Model (PSM) is an example of this technique. It has been exercised generally in the design stage of concept development and requires a reasonable definition of the design before it can be applied. Input variables to drive the model include mission constraints, environmental setting, operator activity, system characteristics, and crew station configuration.

The PSM is an event-oriented digital simulation model that utilizes Monte Carlo random sampling techniques to model human performance.

The network which describes the progress of the mission event and operator activities from time zero to completion is comprised of connected sets of task blocks with six alternative exit gates which are controlled by inputted values of probabilities of occurrence for human probability, informationprocessing probability, equipment probability, and mission recycle probability. The task block structure is shown in Figure 40. Figure 41 provides a list of data item requirements for the PSM. Since the PSM models an event based on what is inputted, the function of the PSM is generally supported by historical data and part-task experimental data which serve as the main data sources. A combination of part-task studies and PSM exercise is considered an excellent evaluation framework for the functional mockup phase.



96

Data I tem Requirements as a Function of Pilot Simulation Model Routines	Data Item Requirements as a Function of System Under Evaluation			
Network Title	Block Title			
Number of Replications	Block Number			
Number of Blocks in Network	Design Reference			
Calendar Switch	Block Repeat Factor			
Maximum Block Number	Predecessor Switch			
Equipment Probability Switch	Predecessor Block			
Number of Personnel	Personnel Identification			
Personnel Titles	Block Interrupt			
Number of Cockpit Zones	Time Delay			
Cockpit Interzone Penalties	Probability Distribution			
Numeric Identification of Initial Cockpit Zone	Sensory Function			
Cockpit Visual Time Penalty	Human Probability			
Number of Equipments	Successor Block			
Equipment Title	Environmental Effects			
Available Mission Time	System Characteristics			
Network Completion Time Distribution	Crew Station Equipment			
Number of Calcomp Plots	Equipment Number			
	Equipment Probability			
	Mission Recycle Probability			
	Mission Constraints			

390

GP78-0567-4

Figure 41 Pilot Simulation Model (PSM) Input Data

A distinct advantage of evaluating operator performance by way of an abstract simulation model is that such a model is capable of simulating a complex number of variables without loss of processing efficiency such as would be expected when done by human processors.

6.4 MAN-IN-THE-LOOP SIMULATION

Man-in-the-loop or dynamic simulation is a sophisticated evaluation technique. For complex concepts, where previous performance data are non-existent, this method of design verification is highly recommended. A meaningful simulation can be performed if the following criteria is satisfied.

- o High Fidelity Operational Configuration
- o High Fidelity Procedural and Operating Requirements
- o Representative Subject Population

The more accurate the combat simulation, the higher the confidence that can be placed on the data as predictors of performance.

If the evaluation process has been a continuous one throughout the design process, some reduction in simulation scope may have been achieved. The physical simulation evaluation phase can then be speeded by simulation of selective system performance facets only. In pursuing such an evaluation philosophy for an independent research and development project in pilot information systems, the approach is to concentrate on high workload mission segments, and to evaluate performance of the pilots as they perform primary and secondary tasks in flying through the mission segments. Since the raison d'etre for any offensive-defensive system is its effectiveness in a combat role, it is logical to simulate weapon release performance for various terminal guidance modes such as radar seeker, anti-radiation homing, SAR-aided, EO/IR imaging, and laser tracking. Figure 42 is a generalized mission segment profile that can be detailed to evaluate aircraft control tasks, threat control tasks, target designation tasks, weapons delivery tasks, communications tasks, and navigation tasks.

A major consideration in establishing a study using man-in-the-loop simulation is determining the type of empirical data worthwhile collecting. If design iterations cannot be validly finalized in a functional mockup, performing subjective evaluations using man-in-the-loop simulation is recommended to disclose design problems. Once design iterations have been completed to a degree where changes in the design concept are highly improbable, it may be desirable to collect empirical data on pilot performance to corroborate efficacy of the design from the standpoint of pilot operability.



Figure 42 Sample Mission Profile

6.5 SUMMARY OF EVALUATION FRAMEWORK

There are no hard-and-fast rules for selecting one evaluation technique instead of another. Past success is the best criterion. Generally, the stage of the design process will constrain the selection of evaluation approaches. Subjective methods can sometimes be exercised for 100% of the evaluation framework. Other situations would dictate more authoritative figures of merits than judgments. In most cases a combination of all available techniques should be considered for best yield, in terms of the economics of the evaluation method and the gains in certainty that are expected for that method. However, in the final stages of design and prior to release for production, dynamic simulation has generally proved to be the most effective approach in terms of acquiring an overall assessment of the operator interfacing with a system. For this reason, a recommended simulation plan is provided in the succeeding paragraphs.

6.5.1 RECOMMENDED EVALUATION METHOD - The evaluation framework heretofore described in Section 6 presented multiple techniques and associated those techniques with potential areas of application during the design process. While it appears likely that some of these will be applied on a small scale, the recommended anchor point for the evaluation is man-in-the-loop simulation. This type of simulation comes closest to an integrated testing approach, i.e., where interdependence of man, hardware, and environment can be studied, and therefore can more fully exploit the potentials of a system than any other evaluation techniques previously described. Manned combat simulation is recognized as the closest complement to flight testing in terms of placing the system in an environment similar to the one in which it will ultimately perform. Design iterations generally occur in a much shorter time frame when simulations are utilized. The need for integrated system testing is necessary in order to achieve a valid pilot workload and effectiveness analysis, which is the primary reason for conducting manned combat simulation.

6.5.2 WORKLOAD AND EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS - The use of multimode displays for visual presentations of aircrew information in the 1985-1990 fighter/ attack crew station is a large and complex task. Considerable gains have been achieved in the study by a systematic investigation of projected mission and weapon requirements, the role of the pilot, and the information he will need to execute his role. This has been followed by a comprehensive review and analysis of visual display system technology, culminating in the selection of three concepts as comparative alternates in the follow-on study. This same level of penetration into pilot workload and effectiveness analysis cannot be achieved within the time frame of this study. An on-the-surface examination of the three technology concepts selected for a follow-on study --Electrolum.inescent Devices (ELs), Plasma Display (PLDs), and Cathode Ray Tube Displays (CPTs) -- indicate some similarity in their strong design points. However, in the actual development of display formats, variations will necessarily be introduced to accommodate design differences. Particularly, if these variations affect arrangement, coding and sizing schemes, as well as resolution, brightness and contrast, a valid measure of pilot workload and effectiveness cannot be achieved without assessing the impact of such

variations. A meaningful analysis entails the development of a crew station design complete with controls and displays layout and the generation of applicable multimode display formats for an entire mission. This in-depth analysis can best be accomplished in the evaluation phase of the follow-on study, where planned iterative processes of design and testing will precisely identify the scope of information required for mission accomplishment and the most acceptable formats in terms of low workload.

Typical cockpit layouts that would permit pilot workload analysis in a flight simulator, while also providing an evaluation of various methods of presenting pilot information, are shown in Figures 43 and 44. It is felt that the evaluation technique utilizing dynamic displays under simulated combat mission conditions is far superior to other evaluation techniques that do not present the fully integrated information or task to the flight crews.

6.6 SIMULATION PLAN

The purpose of this sub-section is to outline the objectives to be achieved in manned combat simulation and to describe the categories of planning activities that need to be addressed to satisfy the simulation objectives.

6.6.1 <u>MANNED COMBAT SIMULATION OBJECTIVES</u> - Two objectives will be accomplished through the employment of manned simulations as the primary evaluation technique.

o Verify conceptual utility of competing design configurations through appropriate selection of figures of merit.

o Provide design guide specifications on operator/display interface criteria.

Both these objectives are explicit in their emphasis on the role of the pilot.

To accomplish such a study, three specific categories of planning activities need to be formulated.



The Trib

+ son

Port Sal

45.


** s. -+

Part 1

1. 1. T.

Experimental Tasks - The experimental tasks will be selected from two air-to-ground missions, air interdiction and close air support, and one airto-air mission, counter air, since these represent the primary areas of emphasis in defining information display requirements and applying integrated concepts technology. An operational analysis conducted in the early months of this study has provided insight into the future role of tactical air power, the nature of the threat environment, and the weapons, sensors, and command, control and communication systems required to destroy, neutralize or delay the enemy's military potential.

Figures 45, 46 and 47 illustrate close air support, air interdiction, and counter air mission profiles that will be flown in the simulation. Using the pilot function identification scheme developed in an earlier study activity, the tasks performed for varied combinations of the following pilot functions will be assessed:

Housekeeping Functions

Mission Defined Functions

Navigation

Threat Warning Detection

Systems Monitoring

Location Identification

Communications

Aircraft Control

Execution Assessment

Decision

Termination

Figures 45, 46, and 47 identify the mission-defined functions proposed for evaluation. The housekeeping functions will be evaluated also during the same FEBA combat segments. Additional selected mission segments will be flown, such as descent, approach and land to evaluate pilot performance on housekeeping functions alone (see Figure 45). The major criterion to be applied in defining the experimental tasks is the selection of pilot functions that will test the effectiveness of visual display integration. Depending on the extent of the visual display integration, it may be necessary to have the evaluating pilots fly a complete mission -- close air support, air interdiction, or counter air. In this manner, the visual display integration concept in its entirety can be evaluated. The mission scenarios will consider deployment of variable weapon systems. Weapon types projected to be available in the 1985-1990 time frame were identified in an earlier activity. A list of these weapon types is provided in Section 3, Data Base. The weapons for the simulated missions will be selected from that list.

<u>Development of Visual Display Layout</u> - A number of test configurations should be developed. Each test configuration should represent unique differences in a mix of selected options, arrangement, and display formats. The option mixes should consider Electroluminescent Devices (ELs), Plasma Displays (PLDs), and Cathode Ray Tube Displays (CRTs). These were the final display options selected as most worthwhile to pursue for future cockpit





Additional mission segments will be selected from this group

Figure 47 Counter Air

applications. Considering the design properties of these options and the pilot information requirements, both of which have been defined in previous study tasks, arrangements in terms of overall cockpit geometry and display formats in terms of pilot information requirements will be evolved. These differences in test configurations identify some of the variables to be evaluated in the simulator. A complete list of test or independent variables to be considered in the simulation will be identified when the visual display layouts have been completed. Past research studies have found that operator performance on visually displayed information is affected by the following display variables. These, therefore, will be high on the list for consideration:

- o Resolution
 - Brightness
- o Contrast
- o Size

- o Formatting
- o Coding
- o Location

The general structure of the simulation test matrix is shown in Figure 48. This is a three factor simulation design which permits examination of comparative results for test configurations and mission scenarios as well as individual differences among the evaluators.

<u>Development of Evaluation Procedure</u> - Following the basic test matrix presented in Figure 48, the flight parameters for each mission profile will be defined and accompanied by a detailed pilot task analysis to identify the specific tasks and the sequence of performance. The missions will be segmented at predetermined start and end points, so that order of presentation during the actual testing can be randomized to eliminate carryover effects. Each evaluator, with qualifications representative of the military flying population, will fly all experimental combinations. For example, given test configuration A_1 , an evaluator will fly all mission segments. He will perform similarly for all test configurations. Prior to formal testing, all evaluators will be processed through a familiarization and learning program on the cockpit test configurations, the pilot's role in overall mission

11246	Mission Profile	Test Configuration A1	Test Configuration A ₂	Test Configuration A ₃	Test Configuration A4
nber n	Mission Scenario B ₁ Mission Segment B ₁₁ Mission Segment B ₁₂ Mission Segment B ₁₃ Mission Segment B _{1n}	150 94 221 55 125 44 5.52 54		entre te de Sectore	uneff & natsi E2 natsi E2 natsi E1 natsi E1 natsi 21.2 natsi 21.2
Number 1 to Nui	Mission Scenario B ₂ Mission Segment B ₂₁ Mission Segment B _{2n}	di ana trans trans trans trans trans	Each Cell W Evaluation S Dependent	Vill Contain cores for the Variables	entinutions
Evaluator	Mission Scenario B ₃ Mission Segment B ₃₁	international construction of the dational kinetic of th	Antos al red antos bes r antos tug rispico à al r antos bud	tradication tradication (spin contraction (spin contraction (spin contraction) (spin contraction) (s	na 1886 - Okid o les chasts es dene 1999 es dene 1995 Esteriol 1996 - O

Figure 48 Three-Factor Test Matrix

performance, and the general operating capabilities of the simulator facilities. Additionally, ample practice in the simulator will be afforded all evaluators as part of the learning process. In the formal experiment, it is planned to have the evaluators perform once under each test condition. The formal test performance will be recorded on magnetic tape for replay. While still in the cockpit, the pilot may request as many replays of his test performance as required to complete his evaluation.

6.6.2 <u>CREW EVALUATION TECHNIQUES</u> - Based on the successes of similar techniques in the past, a balanced combination of quantitative and qualitative metrics will be applied.

<u>Quantitative Metrics</u> - The simulation system can be configured to provide recording of performance measures. The selection of performance measures is dependent on what are expected to cause variations in pilot performance. The following is a list of performance measures most often used in pilot workload studies:

o Aircraft Control Tasks:

- 1. Altitude error Deviations from command aircraft altitude
 - 2. Heading error Deviations from command aircraft heading
 - 3. Airspeed error Deviations from command aircraft airspeed
 - o Threat Control Tasks:
 - Response latency Time lapse from onset of stimulus to test subject's response
 - Response accuracy Number of times a correct response was made or % of correct responses
 - 3. Omissions Number of times a response was not made or % of time test subject failed to respond to a test stimulus
 - o Target Designation Tasks and Weapons Delivery Tasks:
 - Target detection Time lapse between target display and test subject's verbal response
 - Target acquisition Time lapse between target acquisition and target designation
 - 3. Response accuracy Number of hits for weapons launched Number of targets accurately detected
 - o Communications Tasks:
 - 1. Percentage of time pilot speaking
 - 2. Percentage of time others speaking to pilot
 - 3. Percentage of time silent

o Navigation Tasks:

1. % of correct arrival times at test waypoints

2. Lag and offset ETA expressed in nautical miles

It would appear from the trend of the visual display integration study that selection of performance measures from the first 3 groups would be appropriate.

<u>Qualitative Metrics</u> - Rating forms similar to those discussed in Paragraph 6.2 are recommended to complement quantitative metrics. Factors such as pilot acceptability, pilot stress, or pilot fatigue and the like are best evaluated through the use of carefully structured rating forms because empirical assessment is difficult to achieve in the simulator. The rating forms can be designed to provide separate figures of merits for variables of interest as well as an overall figure of merit for a display option.

Free form interviews and questionnaires have the advantage of providing the pilot with an opportunity to express his opinion on design features not covered in the rating forms or the empirical data. It is a promising tool to supplement data acquired in rating forms and manned simulations, and is recommended to round out the simulation plan formulated thus far.

6.6.3 <u>METHOD OF ANALYZING/SUMMARIZING RESULTS</u> - Statistical analyses will be accomplished to determine means, standard deviations, and variances. Parametric and nonparametric statistical techniques will be applied to determine concordance of agreement among evaluators and to identify variations in pilot performance attributable solely to test configurations by sorting out the effects of pilot individual difference.

Pursuing further the generalized 3-factor test matrix shown in Figure 48, the decision problem is whether differences among test configuration means are statistically significant. The F-test can be applied to identify such differences. This test is based on a probability distribution, developed out of the concept of sampling from a well-defined universe, using the ratio of two variances computed from the test data to determine whether true differences exist. An extensive explanation of this statistical concept can be found in most statistical textbooks, one of which is cited (Reference (70)). Where more than two test configurations are involved, additional statistical testing needs to be applied to identify which pairs of test configurations are different. Duncan's Multiple Range Test is designed to make such multiple comparisons, using in its mathematical model the standard error of a single mean, degrees of freedom, and the square root of the error mean square of the analysis of variance (Reference (70)). The application of these tests is consistent with previous studies where similar simulation approaches were used.

o Navigation Tasks:

- 1. % of correct arrival times at test waypoints
- 2. Lag and offset ETA expressed in nautical miles

It would appear from the trend of the visual display integration study that selection of performance measures from the first 3 groups would be appropriate.

Qualitative Metrics - Rating forms similar to those discussed in Paragraph 6.2 are recommended to complement quantitative metrics. Factors such as pilot acceptability, pilot stress, or pilot fatigue and the like are best evaluated through the use of carefully structured rating forms because empirical assessment is difficult to achieve in the simulator. The rating forms can be designed to provide separate figures of merits for variables of interest as well as an overall figure of merit for a display option.

Free form interviews and questionnaires have the advantage of providing the pilot with an opportunity to express his opinion on design features not covered in the rating forms or the empirical data. It is a promising tool to supplement data acquired in rating forms and manned simulations, and is recommended to round out the simulation plan formulated thus far.

6.6.3 <u>METHOD OF ANALYZING/SUMMARIZING RESULTS</u> - Statistical analyses will be accomplished to determine means, standard deviations, and variances. Parametric and nonparametric statistical techniques will be applied to determine concordance of agreement among evaluators and to identify variations in pilot performance attributable solely to test configurations by sorting out the effects of pilot individual difference.

Pursuing further the generalized 3-factor test matrix shown in Figure 48, the decision problem is whether differences among test configuration means are statistically significant. The F-test can be applied to identify such differences. This test is based on a probability distribution, developed out of the concept of sampling from a well-defined universe, using the ratio of two variances computed from the test data to determine whether true differences exist. An extensive explanation of this statistical concept can be found in most statistical textbooks, one of which is cited (Reference (70)). Where more than two test configurations are involved, additional statistical testing needs to be applied to identify which pairs of test configurations are different. Duncan's Multiple Range Test is designed to make such multiple comparisons, using in its mathematical model the standard error of a single mean, degrees of freedom, and the square root of the error mean square of the analysis of variance (Reference (70)). The application of these tests is consistent with previous studies where similar simulation approaches were used.

Hypothesis testing using the F-Distribution, the Duncan Distribution, or others will identify whether such differences are significant at specified levels of probability. In summary, the statement of findings will describe 1) figures of merit for each mission segment as well as all missions combined, (as a function of test configuration), and 2) whether true differences in performance do exist because of the visual display integration concepts.

Many combinations of statistical methods are open for selection, and the selections will depend on how the actual simulation testing materializes.

6.6.4 <u>CANDIDATE EVALUATION CONTROL/DISPLAY LAYOUT</u> - Figures 43 and 44 illustrate typical control/display configurations which would be candidates for simulation testing. These, typically, evolve during the investigative process of developing a design concept. Control and display arrangements, particularly the visual display systems -- HUD, MMD, MFCU, VSD, and HSD -could conceivably produce different effects upon pilot performance. While special emphasis will be placed on the format structure within each display system, this will not exclude the identification of other variables such as cockpit arrangement. Each test configuration will describe those design attributes which uniquely distinguishes it from other test alternatives, wherein such differences represent potential factors in influencing pilot workload and pilot performance effectiveness.

(A) (b) (resp. allocations legislitements) (resp. sufficientiation requirements were generated if is (20 a 1/2 Close): a support Air intradiction and Tonucat air stations) (and set or provinces) needed and the intribute of the relight and fear-flight uname of the station's go even and go state it officially.

For each when There is a most feel along to hearing

7. SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

7.1 RESULTS

(a) <u>Mission Profiles</u> - Close Air Support, Air Interdiction and Counter Air mission profiles were generated for the Central European Theater battlefield.

(b) <u>Current/Projected Weapons</u> - The unclassified current and projected weapons considered available for 1985-1990 attack/fighter missions were determined. Reference (1) was determined as an excellent source of information on unclassified advanced weapons.

(c) <u>Mission Phases of Flight</u> - The phases of flight were divided into three basic categories: Preflight, In-flight and Post-flight. Each category was expanded to include each segment of a complete combat mission. (See Appendix A.)

(d) <u>Mission Requirements</u> - The mission requirements were determined for Close Air Support, Air Interdiction and Counter Air missions. (See Appendix B.)

(e) <u>Air Crew Functions</u> - Crew functions for typical air operations were generated under the two basic categories of Mission and Housekeeping.

(f) <u>Crew Information Requirements</u> - Crew information requirements were generated in matrix form for Close Air Support, Air Interdiction and Counter Air missions. Each bit of information needed during the Preflight, In-flight and Post-flight phases of the missions was assigned an order of priority for each phase. These three summaries, shown in Section 4, are considered significant results because it is this information that must be displayed in an integrated manner to the 1985-1990 combat crews.

(g) <u>Display Technologies</u> - The display technologies were surveyed from the standpoint of capability and availability for the 1985-1990 time period. This technology was summarized in matrix form to compare the pertinent characteristics of the most promising display options. The four most promising display options were selected.

(h) <u>Display Evaluation Framework</u> - Available display evaluation techniques were assessed for their capability in evaluating the selected display integration options in future related work. Manned combat simulation was selected as the most appropriate evaluation technique because of its ability to provide dynamic displays in real time under typical high workload conditions. (See Section 6.) Two suggested crew station layouts were generated for possible use in the follow-on work.

7.2 CONCLUSIONS

(a) <u>Selected Display Integration Options</u> - Display options selected on the basis of their capabilities and availability are:

Option 1: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT). The CRT was selected because of the versatility of data that can be displayed with a full video format. It is available now, is considered the standard for comparison, and is expected to retain its preeminence into the late 1980's. Undesirable Characteristics: Volume, weight and power requirement.

Option 2: Plasma Flat Display Panel. The plasma display is the leading contender, on a near term basis, because of the rapid progress made in developing this unit and the fact that it is now commercially available. Undesirable Characteristics: Has difficulty presenting shades of gray, the neon orange color is not always an acceptable color, and it cannot retain contrast in full sunlight.

Option 3: The liquid crystal display (LCD) is also a leading contender (to replace the CRT) for application in a military cockpit due to the development momentum created through military funding of LCD technology. LCDs do require minimal power and retain contrast in a bright ambient. <u>Undesirable</u> <u>Characteristics</u>: Requires supplemental lighting and heating, drive circuitry and wiring interconnect complexities, and (depending on implementation) viewing angle restrictions.

Option 4: Electroluminescense Flat Display Panel with Thin-Film-Transistor Picture Element (EL-TFT). Although speculative and in the early stages of development, EL-TFT is an active display that maintains image brightness and is easily viewable in bright ambient conditions. Their major asset is the efficiency with which their phosphor converts input power to radiated lumens. <u>Undesirable Characteristics</u>: Drive circuitry and wiring interconnect complexities.

(b) Optimum Evaluation Method: Manned Combat Simulations. In order to evaluate the display options such that crew actions and comments can be closely monitored and recorded, the manned combat simulation evaluation technique was selected as the most appropriate display evaluation method. This means, of course, that the following tasks must be accomplished before meaningful results could be obtained:

- (1) a control/display layout selected,
- (2) (typical) aircraft performance selected,
- (3) multimode display formats generated,
- (4) method designed/selected for controlling computergenerated display information,
- (5) simulation evaluation plan generated,
- (6) plan devised for control/assessment of data generated and an iterative design process.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are made to provide objectives and guidelines for future related visual display integration work. They are specifically directed toward the planning and preparations for conducting an evaluation of the selected display integration options.

(1) Use Manned Combat Simulation as a primary display evaluation method structured to permit crew evaluation of: (a) display arrangement;
(b) display formats; (c) display information content; (d) pilot workload;
(e) differences between competing display alternatives; (f) control of computer-generated information; and (g) probability of mission accomplishment and survival.

(2) Lay out the display arrangement and necessary controls for a fighter cockpit in preparation for an evaluation of display location, readability, information content, display format acceptability, pilot work-load, and mission accomplishment. Plan for continued use of CRT (Option 1).

(3) Develop display formats for each mission segment for all multifunction displays.

(4) Select an existing high performance aircraft that closely approximates the performance required for fighter mission accomplishment in the 1985-1990 time period. Use data for this aircraft to prepare a simulation program that would permit manned, real-time simulations under typical combat conditions.

(5) Develop a simulation plan to evaluate selected display options and compare competing alternatives during a typical combat mission. Identify required evaluation and scoring techniques.

(6) Establish common software and hardware designs of component functions for controls and displays in order to minimize costs.

(7) In conjunction with display format developments, determine the benefits of color coding for displays, stereo display presentations (i.e., binocular versus monocular), and panoramic information displays.

(8) Continue development of LCDs, particularily hybrid versions of LCs that employ dichroic or other materials that eliminate the undesirable viewing angle restrictions of many current LCDs.

(9) Continue search for, and development of, alternative passive display devices/materials (i.e., light modulating rather than emitting) that do not have the viewing angle and temperature range limits of LCD₅.

(10) Develop EL-TFT technology at an accelerated rate where practicable.

(11) Develop filtering technology (e.g., spectral, polarizing and directional) for contrast improvement.

APPENDIX A STATE OF THE STATE OF APPENDIX A

MISSION PROFILES

Phases of Flight, Aircrew Functions, and Close Air Support, Air Interdiction, and Counter Air Mission Profiles are summarized herein.

1. 12 S

Figure A 1 Mission, Fequirements: Phases of File(s 1. Preflight - All aircrew functions leading up to but not including takeoff.

- 1.1 Mission Planning
- 1.2 Preflight
- 1.3 Start and System Checks
- 1.4 Taxi
- 1.5 Arming
- 1.6 Takeoff
- In-Flight All flight activities beginning with takeoff and concluding at the termination of the landing roll.
 - 2.1 Climb to Level Off
 - 2.2 Cruise
 - 2.3 Loiter
 - 2.4 Rendezvous and Air-to-Air Refueling (AAR)
 - 2.5 Coordination
 - 2.6 Mission Rendezvous
 - 2.7 Penetration
 - 2.8 Threat Warning
 - 2.9 Detection
 - 2.10 Location
 - 2.11 Identification
 - 2.12 Decision
 - 2.13 Execution
 - 2.14 Assessment
 - 2.15 Termination
 - 2.16 Egress
 - 2.17 Cruise
 - 2.18 Rendezvous and Air-to-Air Refueling (AAR)
 - 2.19 Reengage
 - 2.20 Return to Base
 - 2.21 Descent
 - 2.22 Approach
 - 2.23 Landing
- Post-Flight All mission related activities beginning after the completion of the landing roll and ending when the aircrew is free to perform other duties or pursue personal interests.
 - 3.1 De-arm
 - 3.2 Taxi
 - 3.3 System Checks
 - 3.4 Shutdown
 - 3.5 Post-Flight
 - 3.6 Debrief

Figure A-1 Mission Requirements: Phases of Flight

o Mission*

o Housekeeping

- Navigation
- Monitor Systems
- Communications
- Aircraft Control

- Assessment

- Identification

- Formation

- Detection

- Location

- Decision - Execution

- Threat Warning

* These functions were defined under mission requirements.

Figure A-2 Aircrew Functions

- o Detection
 - Intelligence Report (Preflight)
 - Visual
 - Sensors
 - - Reference Systems
 - o Correlation o Coordinates o Off Sets
- o Identification
 - Visual
 - Sensors

o Dependent (Outside Aid) o Independent (Autonomous)

> Figure A-3 **Aircrew Functions: Missions**

> > Arenard

o Decision

- Dependent (Outside Advice) - Independent
- o Execution
 - Self-contained
 - Aided
- o Assessment
 - Visuai
 - Sensors
- o Formation
 - Control
 - Positioning

115

and the All and the second of

- o Location
 - Visual

- o Navigation
 - Self-contained

- Externally Aides

- Visual
- o Monitor Systems
 - Normal Operations
 - Malfunctions
 - o Communications
 - Transmit
 - Receive
 - o Aircraft Control
 - Climb
 - Descents
 - Turns
 - Straight and Level

Figure A-4 Aircrew Functions: Housekeeping



Figure A-5 Counter Air





APPENDIX B

MISSION REQUIREMENTS

Mission Requirements are summarized for all phases of Close Air Support, Air Interdiction, and Counter Air Missions.

A salta alla an an

- 1. Preflight All aircrew functions leading up to but not including takeoff.
 - 1.1 Mission Planning
 - 1.2 Preflight
 - 1.3 Start and System Checks
 - 1.4 Taxi
 - 1.5 Arming
 - 1.6 Takeoff
- 2. In-Flight All flight activities beginning with takeoff and concluding at the termination of the landing roll.
 - 2.1 Climb to Level Off
 - 2.2 Cruise
 - 2.3 Loiter
 - 2.4 Rendezvous and Air-to-Air Refueling (AAR)
 - 2.5 Coordination
 - 2.6 Mission Rendezvous
 - 2.7 Penetration
 - 2.8 Threat Warning
 - 2.9 Detection
 - 2.10 Location
 - 2.11 Identification
 - 2.12 Decision
 - 2.13 Execution
 - 2.14 Assessment
 - 2.15 Termination
 - 2.16 Egress
 - 2.17 Cruise
 - 2.18 Rendezvous and Air-to-Air Refueling (AAR)
 - 2.19 Reengage
 - 2.20 Return to Base
 - 2.21 Descent
 - 2.22 Approach
 - 2.23 Landing
- 3. Post-Flight All mission-related activities beginning after the completion of the landing roll and ending when the aircrew is free to perform other duties or pursue personal interests.
 - 3.1 De-arm 3.2 Taxi
 - 3.3 System Checks
 - 3.4 Shutdown
 - 3.5 Post-Flight
 - 3.6 Debrief



PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUI	PORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
l. Preflig	ter o			d ens
l.l Missio Planni	n 1.1 All tasks neces ng and effective condu mission. This incl minimum:	sary for safe ct of the udes at a	1.1 Same as Close Air Support (See Note 2)	1.1 Same as Close Air Support (See Note 3)
	 (a) Briefings: weat intelligence, fligh engagement, target, deliveries. 	her, t, rules of and weapon		n cateo
4	(b) Computations: t headings, fuel, and	ime, distance, ballistics.		24 84
	(c) Maps	2.2		il in a
	(d) Enroute Procedu tion, navigation, c	res: forma- ommunications.		innel
	(e) Air refueling p and call signs.	rocedures		taki Kalè
	(f) Alternate miss	ions		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	(See Note 1)			i add
NOTES: (1) Close force if a time	Air Support missions emphase are actively engaged and mission is scrambled from a vailable for mission plan	asize quick re in desperate an alert statu ning. In thes	sponse and receive the highest poneed of all available resources to or diverted from another mission cases the aircrew must rely on	ssible priority when friendly o counter the enemy. Therefore, n, there may be little or no experience, on board systems,
(2) Becau there inter gener	se Air Interdiction missio is frequently more time a diction mobile targets, a al, fewer unexpected decis.	ns are general vailable for d target's locat fons are neces	ly conducted against larger less etailed filght planning. With th ion and description are usually v sary than on a Close Air Support	the mission. mobile targets, (See Figure A7) e exception of the shallow ery accurately known. In mission.
2.8 0.1			Figure B-2	
		Miss	tion Requirements	

1. Profilight - All alrerow functions leading up to any not including takenoff.

PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
TES: (Continue	(P		
) Although Cou less detaile	nter Air missions are very demand d mission planning than the air-t	ing, requiring polished pilot s o-ground missions, but more in-	kills, they generally require flight decisions.
	the second s	and meter spectrate da a chair super article contrate the super supervise contrates an up	and a strange, and attaching one
	grangete s. grangetes s. superior an oper houses. The granes are france and the sec		
	BILOR CAN BEACCHARGE STRUCTURE FILTONIC WILLIAM STRUCTURE and SAND BUCKBUCK FRAME NO. (2014) MARCHARGE STRUCTURE BUCKBUCKTING FOR UNDER STRUCTURE (C) LINE BECCHARGE TO DIS (2014)		
	Large a state and second and a second s	•	
	A Contract of the second		
	and the machine and the second		•
International	and the construction of the	the weather in the	
and the second second	The set when the set of the set of the	MAR 1815401 1014	Star Bringer

1

.

ASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
light	 (a) Personal Equipment: all tasks associated with the inspection and donning of personal flight gear, i.e., g- suits, parachutes, helments, etc 	Same as CAS.	Same as CAS. (See Note 4)
	(b) Aircraft: assure aircraft is in safe physical condition for flight and that it is equipped properly for the proposed mission. Check items, such as fuel or hydraulic leaks, weapon configurations, reservoirs, tires, panels, etc.		
	<pre>(c) The aircrew is also responsible for checking the AFTO 781 to assure the aircraft has been properly serviced and released for flight by mainten- ance. Open discrepancies (items not repaired) and their affect on the proposed mission are evaluated at this time. (See Note 4)</pre>		
ost prefl. ormally pr herefore,	with tasks are performed in advance efformed just prior to the mission duces the resources available fo	of an aircraft going on alert. Alert status requires specified r other missions or duties.	Otherwise these tasks are aircraft and aircrews and,
		A DESCRIPTION OF A	

See Ex

di tra

PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
3 Start and System Checks	 3 Check normal system opera- tions. Verify equipment opera- tion applicable to mission. Make go/no go decision depending on equipment status. 	1.3 Same as CAS.	1.3 Same as CAS
.4 Taxi	1.4 (a) Receive clearance	1.4 Same as CAS (See Note 5)	1.4 Same as CAS (See Note 5)
	(b) Taxi aircraft from parking area to arming area exercising caution to avoid other aircraft and maintenance vehicles and equipment.		
	<pre>(c) Aircraft must be taxied in appropriate formation position regardless of position on ramp so that arming and takeoff can proceed as planned. (See Note 5)</pre>		
NOTE:	and the state of t		A CONTRACT OF A
(5) Ramp conges circumstanc damaged or	ion, damage, night operations, a can significantly confuse taxi wen destroyed aircraft.	strange airfield with unfamiliar perations. The results can vary	procedures, or other unusual from a delayed mission to
	Life watches 'n character's surget montaine per algemen a die montaine sing		

123

*

to a solution of the second

Hd	HASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
1.5 Armi	ân	 Aircraft weapons and munitions are armed and mechanically unsafed by ground crews. The aircrew is required to maintain his hands off of all switches and controls and, if possible, in a position visible to the ground crew. (See Note 6) 	1.5 Same as CAS	1.5 Same as CAS
1.6 Take	eoff	 1.6 (a) Receive clearance (b) Lineup checks (systems and configuration checks). 	1.6 Same as CAS (See Note 7)	1.6 Same as CAS except: (d) does not normally apply, because the munitions general weigh much less and therefore have a much less affect on the aircraft's performance.
		<pre>(c) Takeoff Roll - Jne of most critical phases of flight because of the criticality of major system malfunction, such as engine failure, fires, etc. (d) With live munitions on board, this phase of flight is further complicated because of different handling character- istics such as rotation and lift off speeds, and the slower acceleration of the aircraft.</pre>		
NULES: (6) Ar ma ma re fn fn ha ha	rming is g aintenance elatively neffective f the airc as properiuled or t	nerally conducted in a clear area can be performed on the aircraft simple procedure, it ranks very his mission or damage or loss of the maft's general configuration, it performed their tasks. There an a vertormed their tasks.	as close to the end of the runwa unless it is once again safed. I gh in importance. If done impro aircraft and aircrew. In some me s impossible for the aircrew to e no cockpit indications to indic	y as possible. No additional lthough arming is a erly, it can result in an dern aircraft systems, because onfirm that the ground crew ate that "pins" have been

AIR INTERDICTION COUNTER AIR	rt and jettison procedures may change. Nuclear weapons ren with an emergency.								「「「「「「「「」」」「「「「「「「「」」」」」」「「「」」」」」」」「「」」」」
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	ied) r veapons are carried, then takeoff, abo be jettisoned from a military aircraft e		ages are a literation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 Programment authority in a superior of the superi	The second start and the second of the second second start and second se			
PHASE	<pre>DTES: (Continue) If nuclear will not be</pre>	 (i) 1. and 2. 2. 2.					- are are the	 	

PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
2. In-Flight 2.1 Climb to Level Off	 2.1 (a) Monitor systems and perform additional system checks. (b) Monitor Formation. Formation considerations are required throughout the required throughout the enemy action. (The requirements related to leading a formation are so varied that it is impossible to briefly address them. They are, however, a primary consideration in multificollow-on studies.) (c) Follow clearance instructions (See Note 8) 	2.1 Same as CAS except: forma- mation considerations may not always be required. Some air interdictions are conducted single ship on independent attacks and are altogether dependent on their individual aircraft systems.	2.1 Same as CAS
NOTE:			
(8) Formation p become a hi judgement.	ocedures for a specific aircraft shly qualified flight leader, take	are very clearly defined and allo s years of experience and a demon	w for little deviation. To strated ability to use good
	Figu	re B-2 (Continued) sion Requirements	

COUNTER AIR	2.2 Same as CAS	The survey
AIR INTERDICTION	 2.2 Same as CAS. In addition: (a) Time spent in cruise conditions may be much greater than CAS or counter air. (b) Timing considerations are more critical than CAS or counter air. 	
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	 2.2 (a) Monitor systems (b) Review mission (c) Follow clearance instructions 	the strate the second
PHASE	2.2 Cruise	A NUMBER OF

the second second

Figure B-2 (Continued) Mission Requirements

· and · and · and · and · and · and ·

COUNTER AIR	2.3 Same as CAS	(2.4 Optional. Otherwise same as Air Interdiction. Would be used to extend loiter time awaiting engagements.)	antages, such as decreasing the the chance of an enagement. vantageous to keep it airborne xposure to risk, and support typosure This is a quicker more ities. This is a quicker more radar and communications and	
AIR INTERDICTION	2.3 Not normally required.	2.4 (a) Rendezvous consists of joining mission aircraft with the tanker face. This phase is not normally pre-briefed between tanker and mission air- crevs and relies heavily on standard procedures and the ability to arrive at a specific point, time, altitude, and air- speed. (b) This phase must be conducted in a "safe" area or the tankers must be provided cover against enemy aircraft.	(See Note 10) ssion time. This has several adv power onto a target or increasing board an aircraft, it is more ad lered are crew fatigue, aircrew e icrom ground radar control facil g, however, it relies heavily on	re B-2 (Continued)
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	2.3 Remain in a specified location awaiting further enemy activity or until additional instructions are received.	<pre>(2.4 Optional. Otherwise same as Air Interdiction. AAR would, normally, only be required if there were extensive delays getting onto a target or if the airbase is at a greater distance from the target area than generally desired.) (See Note 9)</pre>	and AAR are ways of extending mis me necessary to get friendly air adequate weapons are available of nflict. Other limits to be consid h as the tankers. o be conducted under radar vectors ethod which reduces aircrew taskin e subject to jamming or deception.	Figu
PHASE	2.3 Loiter	2.4 Rendezvous and Air teo- Air Refueling (AAR)	NOTES: (9) Both loiter response t: As long as avaiting co forces, suc is therefor is therefor	

1. 1. 1 A. 1.

1

1.2

and the second second

PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
2.5 Coordination	 2.5 (a) Aircrew receives general instructions and sufficient details to contact a specific ground or airborne forward air controller (FAC). (b) FAC provides details of target, such as type, number, specific target location, enemy defenses, and restrictions such as attack headings and friendly troop positions. He may also mark the target with smoke rockets. (c) The FAC, in many cases, will act as the controller and give 	 2.5 2.5 (a) Receive final clearance for primary mission or a change to a secondary mission. Recent information will be added, such as a weather update or the position of enemy fighters, if available. (b) Authenticate message if a change in mission. This may also be required to confirm go-ahead on mission. (c) Additional information may be relayed in the "blind" such as fighter escort call signs, tankers, and SAM warnings. 	 2.5 2.5 (a) Fighters will be directed to areas of suspected enemy activity. (b) Warnings will be trans mitted when enemy aircraft are observed maneuvering for an attack on friendly fighters. (c) SAM varnings will be issued when possible.
NOTES: NOTES: (11) The Tactic finnediate (vith Army c TAC, AF, ar	ordnance. This is particularly friendly forces are in very friendly forces are in very close contact to each other. (d) The mission aircraft will normally hold clear of the target area until this informa- tion is complete unless in a permissive air environment. (See Note 11) (See Note 11) I Air Control System (TACS) is the AS operations. This system provi- commands for the effective use of d'Army regulations.)	(See Note 12) e coordinating system that is use des hardware, command and contro CAS and some shallow interdiction	d with both preplanned and and an approved interface missions. (See appropriate

PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	ALP INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
NOTES: (Continu	(P		and a second
<pre>(12) Since many maintenanc directives complicate currency i</pre>	of the aircrews and aircraft ded the teams must also be knowledgeable are quite thorough and allow no the aircrews training and subseques trequired simultaneously in nucle	<pre>cated to this mission are nuclear of the applicable directives and room for deviation or error. In g uent crew information needs, espect ar and conventional munitions.</pre>	capable, the aircrews and safety requirements. These eneral, they further fally when qualification and
•	Not the state and the second of the second o		
			the state of the s
			and a second sec
			and the second se
	Figur	e B-2 (Continued)	

PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
2.6 Mission Rendezvous	<pre>2.6 Join up at a specified position and time or through radar vectors with other elements of the strike force such as figher cover and/or screening forces such as ECM or Chaff dispensing aircraft. Easy detection and identification is important during this phase in order to avoid compromising errors. Common radio frequencies facilitate this type of join up. (See Note 13)</pre>	2.6 (Optional: Not normally required on deep interdiction missions. May be required on shallow interdiction missions, in which case the same as portions of the close air support requirements would be necessary.)	 2.6 Optional: 2.6 Optional: (a) On many missions a counter air strike force operates independently. In this case, positive target identification is required prior to attack. (b) Joinings with other elementin in the strike force is required. Usually the counter air forces would be tasked to provide fighter cover. This join up could be with elements of either the GAS or Air Interdic- tion missions and can require very different tactics to maintain formation integrity with the strike force and provide effective cover.
NOTE: (13) Elements j may not ha of command what to an forces. T disastrous signals or	dining together in a common strik the formally briefed together and the This can make initial join up ver inicipate. It is possible, but ver its means recognition is necessary air battle. Therefore, rendezvo codes.	force may be from different unit herefore rely on instructions har ery interesting, since none of th y undesirable, that a join up car as early as possible to avoid w is relies heavily on radar, UHF co	(See Note 13) s or different bases. They ded down through the chain e elements may know exactly be attempted with unfriendly at could otherwise prove a mmunications, and pre-briefed

 2.7 2.7 Optional: It (a) Low: For deep interdiction (b) Low: For deep interdiction (c) Fighters. They a reas (c) Surprise and reduces the fighters. They a rarget area. This takes advantage area. This takes advantage of surprise and reduces the fighters. They a rarget area. This takes advantage of surprise and reduces the interdiction strict exposure to enemy defenses. They a rarget area for night or adverse weather conditions, but usually the mathematic adverse weather conditions, such as terrain following radar (b) Medium: Not shown in Figure A7 is the possibility of a medium altitude penetration. This is considered an unattractive option for future systems (b) Medium altitudes do not offer at the altrcraft and medium altitudes do not offer
any natural protection. However, advances in ECM and other self- defense mechanisms could make it an attractive option. (c) High: This option allows the aircraft to fly above most of the enemy threats and utilizes trelatively high speeds to reduce exposure to the remaining few enemy altraft capable of sustained high altitude opera- tion. Requires new uses of advanced technology.
any natural protection. However, advances in ECM and other self- defense mechanisms could make it an attractive option. (c) High: This option allows the aircraft to fly above most of the enemy threats and utilizes relatively high speeds to reduce exposure to the remaining few enemy altratic capable of sustained high altitude opera- tion. Requires new uses of advanced technology.

and strang

The second second second second

COUNTER AIR	 2.8 (a) SAY and eneny fighters are the two biggest threats to counter air aircraft since new counter air aircraft are extremely capable, they can either avoid or drivat eneny SAM or fighters provided they and their position is known. (b) Some countermeasures are necessary to provide additional protection and relieve the aircrews self-defense tasking. 		
AIR INTERDICTION	2.8 Basically the same require- ments as CAS aircraft. In general, air interdiction air- craft are sometimes more capable and therefore can more easily evade enemy defensive systems. However, since they operate at agreater distance from friendly forces, they can not always make use of friendly ground based alerts, or cautions.		ure B-2 (Continued) ssion Requirements
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	 2.8 (a) Aircraft must know well in advance of actual enemy air attacks. This is because CAS are usually slower and less capable aircraft especially when heavily louded with ordnance. This information may come from onboard systems or be relayed from ground radar. (b) The location of ground based enemy systems must be known preferrably in advance of a mission. CAS aircraft are very wulnerable to such systems, particularly SM's and heavy AAA, and therefore should altogether avoid or at least limit their exposure time to such defenses. 	(c) Inherent in a detection system should be the required action to defeat the detected enemy threat. This can range from maneuvering, to the use of chaff, flares, or ECM systems.	5° 3
PHASE	2.8 Threat Warning		

a state of the second second second second second

133

100

62

the and the second of the

CLOSE AIR SUPPORT .9 a) First phase of actual combat. This task may have been erformed prior to the mission and pre-briefed. b) This task can be complished by a ground based r airborne FAC. The FAC will hen advise the mission air- raft of the targets. c) In designated free fire ones, the flight leader may etect targets of opportunity ithout specific "detailed orces. d) CAS is concerned only with etecting enemy ground targets.	AIR INTERDICTION AIR INTERDICTION 9 This task, because of the teneral target types, is usually completed well in advance of the ifssion. The target type and haracteristics are used in the ifssion planning. The briefed arget for the final determination of the actual target on the ission. This is frequently done sing target or route folders hat graphically depict the arget. (d) Air interdication is concerned only with enemy iround targets.	COUNTER AIR 2.9 Since enemy aircraft are the only targets considered, information related to their position must be very near real time. Therefore there is a much greater emphasis on the mission aircraft having an on-board ability to detect enemy air- craft while the aircraft is in filight. Detailed flight plan- ning based on an enemy being at a certain place and time is of little value.	
 the second		1912 and Lands Fragmer	

and sens of

Pre-mission planning is usually updates are very beneficial in air-to-air tactics or weapons. must be known in order to use targets is usually a relative giving the counter air forces 2.10 Location for counter air a overview of the air battle. of limited value. In-flight position. This information f detailed mission planning is reduced because air targets can vary their location over a broad spectrum of altitudes and locations with ease. Therefore, well trained and disciplined equired that have the experience to take advantage of a very flexible battle arena. on concepts present options that may no longer require the CAS aincrew to make the final on of target and target location COUNTER AIR (See Note 15) of the target, is not adequate. of this mission is so demanding 2.10 Location must be precisely known relative to the aircraft direct the on-board sensors or weapons to the close proximity that any system that does not systems. Usually the tasking AIR INTERDICTION Figure B-2 (Continued) **Mission Requirements** in reference to friendly forces. (b) The aircrew must make final accurately known, particularly This task can be prepared for determinat on of the targets since targets may have moved must be completed in flight, before flight, but usually (a) Location must be very CLOSE AIR SUPPORT or can be camouflaged. (See Note 14) location. 2.10 The value of relatively b crews are ie positioned within the purpose is to ocate the target Future wear determinat: (Potencial target reference system. rith sufficient emaining funcdentification, placed into or xceution, and 2.10 Location a designated isessment.) ions, i.e., ccuracy to upport the PHASE ecision, NOTES: (14) (12)

The second of the second second

•

-			
COUNTER AIR	2.11 Identification in an air battle is extremely important, particularly in theatres mixed with different formations and different types of aircraft. Therefore, "beyond visual range" targets require another method to confirm them as either a friend or foe, such as IFF, operating character- istics, or radar signature. Close in combat is ideally suited for visual identifica- tion. Beyond visual range combat relies on other methods and is further restricted by rules of engagement.	as not only a tank, but also ntial and further complicatés equire positive target nommilitary collateral to the enemy.	A TOMA TO A TO
AIR INTERDICTION	2.11 Identification is important to mission success but less than that required for CAS. Since air interdiction attacks are usually conducted well behind enemy lines and are, therefore, less likely to affect friendly forces if an error is made. (See Note 17)	ant to identify a observed object difference, of course, is substa of engagement" (ROE) may still r in this regard is to either limit other countries alignment or aid other countries alignment or aid	re B-2 (Continued) tion Requirements
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	<pre>2.11 Positive identification is the single most important task in CAS. The target must be clearly identified as an enemy. If this cannot be done, the attack can not continue. Visual confirmation is frequently the only method to accomplish this task. (See Note 16)</pre>	eather CAS scenario, it is import is a friendly or enemy tank. The difficult mission. altogether true since the "rules ion comparable to CAS. The goal void acts that might precipitate o	Figur
PHASE	2.11 Identifica- tion (Potential targets are recognized and/or classified in sufficient detail to support decision making. This may require information from several sources or simple visual identification.)	NOTES: (16) In an all whether it whether it an already (17) This is not identificat damage or a	Jun L

are and
COUNTER AIR	 2.12 2.12 (a) Same as CAS except: Only air targets are considered. In close combat the decision is only "when to fire" as there is usually little doubt about the targets identification. (b) Beyond visual range targets must be identified by other methods and therefore the confidence of any decision is not as great or as quick as if visually confirmed. Positive identification, as carly as possible, is necessary for a timely decision. (See Note 18) 	ining, ROE's, previous lit second decision.
AIR INTERDICTION	2.12 Same as CAS. Additionally, because the attack may be conducted against targets not visually identified, the pilot's feelings may be more academic and less involved in the dynamics of seeing an enemy and subsequently attacking. (See Note 18)	not always easy to explain. Tre ny other factors can go into a s
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	2.12 The final decision regard- ing actual weapons release is made by the aircrew. He makes this decision based on the information received up to and including the time of release. (In real "perceived" pressure to real "perceived" pressure to release the ordnance and get out of the target area.) Frequently the action of air- crews in combat is not always initially predictable. An aircrews reliability increases as he becomes "combat seasoned." In all cases the aircreft commander makes the final decision as to the weapon release and the target selected. (See Note 18)	m to release weapons in combat is the way an aircrew feels, and m
PHASE	2.12 Decision (Potential targets and available strike assessed and a course of action is determined. This function requires the integration of many other functions, capabilities, and resources.)	NOTE: (18) The decisi experience

1.1

the same in the second s

-			
	1		
		-	-
		P	=
	5	3	5
	1	Ē	ž
	100	Ŧ	5
		E	E
		ŏ	3
		2	Z
	5	N	ž
	5	m	-
	1.	-	5
12	1	2	
	1	3	
	1	.0	5
		4	~

COUNTER AIR	2.15 Same as CAS	
AIR INTERDICTION	2.13 Sarre as CAS	
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	2.13 Execution requires that the final consent be given to the release mechanism to free the weapon from the aircraft. (In advanced weapons, the weapon may require additional guidance untid final impact.) Frequently the actual time of release is computed automatically and, provided there is consent, the weapon is released. Execution presumes all other arming functions are completed.	
PHASE	2.13 Execution (This is the function in which the chosen course of action is implemented. This action may be either a defensive or offensive action.)	

-			
COUNTER AIR	2.14 In close combat, assess- ment can vary from immediately obvious to unobserved. The task is further complicated since later reconnaissance flights are of little value. Therefore, aircrews observing the actual aircraft destruction is one of the best and easiest methods. Beyond visual range successes must be determined by other methods or subsequent evaluation.	ligence. This is frequently aircraft is specially	
AIR INTERDICTION	2.14 Same as CAS, except the FAC may not always be in a position to realistically assess the mission success.	uire a great deal of useful intel erve and take notes. Unless the with this secondary task.	B-2 (Continued)
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	2.14 This task requires objective evaluation of the damage done. The aircrew can contribute to the success of this task, however, sometimes conditions reduces the aircrew's ability to observe or assess ability to observe or assess ability to observe or assess admage in the target area, such as smoke and dust, enemy as smoke and dust, enemy activities, weather, etc. Therefore, this task is frequently better performed by the FAC or a data reconnais- sance flight. The importance of assessment is usually the record his assessment of damage or events and must rely on his memory to accomplish debriefing. Accuracy is the key element. (See Note 19)	mission has the potential to acc the ability of the aircrew to obs here are few aircrew aids to help	Figure
PHASE	2.14 Assessment (This is the function in which the impact of aerospace opera- tions upon enemy forces and capabilities is appraised. The purpose of this function is to provide an objective evalua- tion of the degree to which degree to which degree sasigned bbjectives.)	NOTE: (19) Each combat limited to equipped, (1	Mar I

And the second for a sector

1 1 19

to alter

AIR INTERDICTIO	<pre>trew 2.15 Same as CAS except: his delivery. Reatracks are n usually planned, however, than one target can be at (b) Shallow interdiction may allow for multiple at on the same target, in wh h as will apply. it it</pre>
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	 2.15 At some point the aircr must make the decision to terminate the engagement. Th decision is made based on th following variables. (a) Target destroyed (b) Fuel status (c) Ordnance remaining (d) Other circumstances such a mission recall, heavy enem a mission recall, heavy enem defenses, damaged aircraft, deteriorating weather, etc. On air-to-ground missions, i is easy for the aircraft to separate from the ground targets at will.

Name 1

32

12. 5

COUNTER AIR	2.16 Normally not required unless escorting other aircraft or involved in other operations over enemy territory. In these cases the altitudes are usually medium to high and rarely are low.
AIR INTERDICTION	2.16 Low: Depart target area in the same manner as penetration, (see 2.7a) except usually a different route is planned. High: Same as penetration (see 2.7c) except route and altitudes may vary.
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	2.16 Depart target area. This task includes all necessary evasion tactics required to avoid enemy defenses. Another fight members into formation. This task normally requires the aircrews of each aircreft to visually locate each other and therefore weather and other visibility restrictions complicate the task. If returning from over enemy territory, then "safe-passage" procedures may be required. The aircrew must rate route altitudes, and enemy defenses.
PHASE	2.16 Egress

COUNTER AIR	2.17 Same as CAS (See Note 20)	the aircrew must have a wide the weather, navigation with time and therefore, and therefore the data, ght aircraft.	
AIR INTERDICTION	2.17 Same as CAS (See Note 20)	anning in this phase of flight, t is of friendly airfields, such as value of this information decays her information frequently changes easily transmitted to the in-flu	e B-2 (Continued) ion Requirements
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	 2.17 Same as 2.2 except: More emphasis will be initially placed on system checks in order to detect damage or other problems. There will also be greater emphasis on planning the veather is marginal or a complicated approary particularly if ilown. Alternate or emergency plans are formulated depending on existing conditions. The emphasis is on selecting the appropriate course of action prior to leaving cruise or holding conditions so that fuel consumption can be limited. This is the most time for in-flight and therefore provides the most time for in-flight glanning. (See Note 20) 	accomplish worthwhile advanced pl information available on the statu ach procedures, etc. Much of the all if stored prior to flight. Oth mated in such a way that it can be	Figur
PHASE	2.17 Cruise	NOTE: (20) In order to variety of : aids, appropriation used must be for	

COUNTER AIR	2.18 Same as CAS. May require multiple AAR to maintain station time and combat fuel requirements.	
AIR INTERDICTION	2.18 (Optional. Sames as CAS.)	 A set of the set of
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	2.18 Same as 2.4 except: This AAR is much more critical. If there are any errors in planning or estimating fuel use, distances, or times, then all aircraft on the mission could be lost. If the mission is terminated too soon, then the effectiveness may be less than desired. Getting enough fuel to get home will be a leading factor in the aircrew's decision making process.	<pre>stitutut biotututututututututututututututututututu</pre>
PHASE	2.18 Air Refueling	

PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
2.19 Reengage	<pre>2.19 Optional: Additional attacks after AAR may be possible depending on fuel, ordnance, position, and aircraft systems.) (See Note 21)</pre>	2.19 Not required.	 2.19 Frequently required, but dependent on fuel, ordnance, crew fatigue, and aircrew systems. (See Note 21)
2.20 Return to Base	2.20 Final decision as to base is made. Knowledge of field condition and alternates is needed. This task is also dependent on aircraft systems, fuel, distances to the airfield, and other operations which might affect landings. These should also be some concern for being able to "turn" the <i>i</i> ircraft for additional missions.	2.20 Same as CAS. If additional missions are flown from an alternate base, then consideration must be given for specialized flight planning aids the aircrew might require. This is over and above specialized munitions and AGE needed by the aircraft. (See Note 22)	2.20 Same as CAS. (See Note 22)
NOTES: (21) The ability de maintenanc designed t (22) Even thoug possibilit possible c	<pre>/ to reengage in ccmbat after a b pends ou having enough ordnance t e, or additional ground related d o loiter longer with more ordnanc n this decision may have been mad y tiuat an aircraft may have to di ircumstances. Information needs</pre>	ief rest or replenishment of fue. still fight, but othe wise does lays. If AAR is not available of a are particularly attractive. before flight or during cruise, tert at the last possible minute (continue up to and through the fil	is very valuable. This not require ground facilitiés, possible, then aircraft there is always the ail landing.
	Figu	rre B-2 (Continued tion Requirements	

the second

PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
2.21 Descen	2.21 Requires planning to economically descend so as to arrive at a specific time and place. This can be required in a specified approach or in conjunction with an enroute radar descent. The key element is to save as much fuel as possible by trading altitude for airspeed and therefore increase range, endurance, or fuel reserve. If fuel is not a problem or is only a secondary consideration, then a speedy recovery is usually desired sequenced as necessary with other traffic.	2.21 Same as CAS.	2.21 Same as CAS.
	Ϊž	gure B-2 (Continued) ission Requirements	CONTER VIS

in the

E.M.

PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
2.22 Approach (All elements of aircraft maneuvering required to align directed to align the aircraft with the landing runway.)	2.22 This task requires maneuvering via instruments or radar vectors to align the aircraft with the landing run- way. It is also necessary to maintain safe separtation from other aircraft operations, but interface in such a manner that delays are avoided.	2.22 Same as CAS.	2.22 Same as CAS.
	Active is the star- starter of the start sector is the start secto		
	Figu	ire B-2 (Continued)	THE PERSON AND

1000	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
ji t	2.23 The final phase of flight that requires precise manipula- tion of flight trajectory onto the runway surface. It requires close adherence to airspeeds, angles of attack, rates of descent, and flight path along the ground. Smooth control is emphasized and sometimes unusual cross controlling techniques are required for a safe landing depending on winds, runway condition, or the approach to landing obstacles.	2.23 Same as CAS.	2.23 Same as CAS.
	and the state function of the state of the s		
	· has no set and	an inspirit	A CONTRACTOR AND

· COUNTER AIR	3.1 Same as CAS.	3.1 Same as 1.4. (See Note 23)		nt in routine training encountered in flight. Itten or are confused before meered in such a way that rtant task.
AIR INTERDICTION	3.1 Same as CAS.	3.2 Same as 1.4. (See Note 23)		epairing complex aircraft equipm nvey to maintenance the problems i verbaldebriefings are soon forg If-test equipment fails or is eng for improvement in this very imp
CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	3.1 Maintenance crews safe remaining weapons and/or ejector cartridges and advisc aircrew of safety status. If an aircraft cannot be "safed," it normally will be shut down in a safe area and will not be taxied among other aircraft. Other systems requiring dearming are flare and chaff ejectors or other explosive or highly combustible materials. Procedues and requirements differ for guns, rockets, missiles, bombs, etc.	3.2 Same as 1.4.	 3.3 (a) Perform all aircrew systems checks or self-tests required to evaluate the aircraft's condition for the next mission. These checks emphasize the mission essential equipment. (b) For broken equipment, the best diagnosis possible is required so that maintenance can understend and identify the problem. (See Note 23) 	t one of the toughest problems in is the ability of the aircrew to c operwork help, but slow operations the person that does the work; se sily used. Clearly there is room
PHASE	3. Post Flight 3.1	3.2 Taxí	3.3 System Checks	NCTE: (23) Consistent! operations Forms and p they get to it is not e

2

- North

.

148

chile "

42. 5

are a

in the second

PHASE	CLOSE AIR SUPPORT	AIR INTERDICTION	COUNTER AIR
3.4 Shutdown	3.4 Aircraft systems turned off and aircrev exit aircraft. All personnal equipment and aircraft provided debriefing aids, such as camera, magazines, are taken from the aircraft. Expended supplies, such as lunches and water bottles are also removed. These or similar tasks are frequently aircrew responsi- bilicies and not those of adintenance.	3.4 Same as CAS.	3.4 Same as CAS.
3.5 Post-Flight	3.5 Aircrew examines aircraft for battle damage or other unusual conditions, such as lost panels, bird strikes, etc.	3.5 Same as CAS.	3.5 Same as CAS.
A CONTRACT OF			

rief	3.6 Completion of all forms and documentation tasks required from the mission. The key element of this task is the ability to relay all useful information to maintenance, operations, intelligence, and higher headquarters functions. This information must be suitable for transmission and summatical significant observations and events that took place on the mission, such as damage assessment, enemy SAM's, troop concentration, tactics, ECM, etc.	3.6 Same as CAS. (See Note 23)	3.6 Same as CAS. (See Note 23)	

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. T.O. 1F-111D-34-1-1.
- 2. T.O. 1F-111D-1.
- The Technology of Precision Guidance Changing Weapon Priorities, New Risks, New Opportunities, James Digly, November 1975 (Rand Corporation, P-5537).
- NATO Interoperability and Standardization, Charles S. Epstein, AFATL/ DLICE

1. L. C. Lewis, Outaber 1917, Matrix Metrix Solid States Person States --Descing and Position Section, Front Food Pange Solidaser (Privit) Industrial and Folia States, Conference Sent, 122, Chicago, LL.

6. N. N. Lewis. at al. halo list. <u>Differences Optics Study</u>, AMML-78-76-119.

which here Meetry," Sid Syde, - She, Tobal mersel dite

a statut, souther 1975, " And State M. Juppins, Internetion Manager,

REFERENCES

- 1. Aviation Week and Space Technology, 21 March 1977.
- 2. Andrew J. Czuchry, et al, July 1976, <u>Mid-1980's Digital Avionics Informa-</u> tion System Conceptual Design Configuration, AFHRL-TR-76-59.
- G. Tsaparas and W. Mulley, April 1975, "Advanced Integrated Modular Instrumentation System (AIMIS)," <u>Second Advanced Aircrew Display Symposium</u>, NATC.
- 4. E. G. Smith, March 1978, "JTIDS: An Update," AESS Newsletter, pp. 25-29.
- C. J. G. Lewis, October 1977, <u>Light Weight Solid State Helmet Symbol</u> <u>Display and Position System</u>, Proc. Tech. Prog. EO/Laser 77, CP-77J27 Industrial and Scientific Conference Mgmt. Inc., Chicago, Ill.
- 6. W. N. Lewis, et al, June 1977, <u>Diffraction Optics Study</u>, AMRL-TR-76-119, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433.
- 7. G. T. Burton, April 1975, "Holographic Horizontal Display System," Second Advanced Aircrew Display Symposium, NATC.
- D. R. Kozlowski, R. A. Jauer, 19 March 1971, <u>Electronic Viewing for Image</u> <u>Interpretation, Final Report</u>, MDC A0783, McDonnell Aircraft Company, St. Louis, Mo.
- 9. F. J. Kahn, et al, 1977, "Introduction," Proc. SID, Volume 18, No. 1.
- W. E. Howard, October 1976, "Electroluminescent Displays," <u>1976 Biennial</u> <u>Display Conf.</u>, 76CH1124-7ED, IEEE/SID.
- 11. K. O. Fugate, 1977, "High Display Viewability Provided by Thin Film EL, Black Layer, and TFT Drive," Proc. SID, Volume 18, No. 2.
- 12. C. Suzuki, et al, May 1976, "Character Display Using Thin Film EL Panel with Inherent Memory," SID Symp. - Dig. Tech. Papers.
- 13. C. Suzuki, Spring 1977, "Thin Film EL Displays," <u>Information Display</u>, Volume 13, pp. 14-19.
- 14. T. P. Brody, et al, 1975, "A 6 x 6 in. 20 lpi Electroluminescent Display Panel," Proc. SID, Volume 16, No. 3.
- 15. E. W. Greeneich, 1977, "Thin Film Video Scanner and Driver Circuit for Solid State Flat Panel Displays," Proc. SID, Volume 18, No. 1.
- 16. Spring 1977, "Thin Film Video Performance: Pocket TV and Pictures on the Wall," Information Display, Volume 13, pp. 8-11.
- 17. November 1977, Electro-Optical Systems Design: Vendor Selection Issue for 1977-78, Volume 9, No. 11.

- January 1978, "Digital Readouts on a Stick Will Cut Display Costs in 1978," <u>Product Engineering</u>, Volume 49, No. 1, pp. 23-24.
- 19. March 2, 1978, "Advertisement," Electronics, Volume 51, No. 5, p. 1.
- 20. S. M. Spitzer, et al, 1975, "Multijunction AC or DC Integrated GaP Light Emitting Diode Array," Proc. SID, Volume 16, No. 2.
- February 2, 1978, "LEDs Are Only 1mm Wide," <u>Electronics</u>, Volume 51, No. 2., p. 156.
- 22. April 1976, "LED Lamp Directly Interchangeable with Incandescent," Information Display, Volume 12, No. 2, pp. 28-29.
- B. L. Frescura, May 1976, "Limitations on the Size of Monolithic XY Addressable LED Arrays," <u>SID Symp. Dig. Tech. Papers</u>.
- Integrated Microsystems, Inc. Data Sheet, Form No. 1000-3/77, "LEDscreen^{IM}," Integrated Microsystems, Inc., Mountain View, Calif.
- R. N. Tyte, et al, 1977, "An LED Numeric Display for the Aircraft Cockpit," <u>Proc. SID</u>, Volume 18, No. 2.
- M. G. Craford, 1977, "Recent Developments in Light Emitting Diode Technology," <u>Proc. SID</u>, Volume 18, No. 2.
- 27. S. Oda and H. Kukimoto, 1977, "Preparation and Characterization of Low Resistivity ZnS for Blue LEDs," Proc. SID, Volume 18, No. 2.
- H. Matsunami, et al, 1977, "SiC Blue LED's by Liquid Phase Epitaxy," <u>Proc. SID</u>, Volume 18, No. 2.
- 29. A. Sobel, 1977, "Gas Discharge Displays: The State of the Art," <u>Proc.</u> <u>SID</u>, Volume 18, No. 1.
- Burroughs Corp., May 1976, <u>Data Sheet, Bulletin No. 3502</u>, "Self-Scan ^K Panel Displays," Burroughs Corp., Schiller Park, Ill.
- 31. I. Ohishi, et al, 1975, "An Experimental Real Time Color TV Display with a DC Gas Discharge Panel," Proc. SID, Volume 16, No. 2.
- 32. M. Fukushima, et al, 1975, "A Flat Gas Discharge Panel TV with Good Color Saturation," Proc. SID, Volume 16, No. 2.
- 33. R. G. Kaufman, 1977, "Lamp Phosphors and Color Gamut in Positive Column Discharge Cells for TV Displays," Proc. SID, Volume 18, No. 1.
- J. O. Atkins, May 1976, "Tubular Transparent Plasma Graphics Panel," <u>SID Symp. Dig. Tech. Papers.</u>
- 35. Interstate Electronics Corp., Data Sheet, June 1977, "The PD Series of Military Display Terminals," Interstate Electronics Corp., Anaheim, Calif.

- R. A. Soref, 1972, "Liquid Crystal Display Phenomena," <u>Proc. SID</u>, Volume 13, No. 2.
- L. A. Goodman, 1975, "The Relative Merits of LEDs and LCDs," <u>Proc. SID</u>, Volume 16, No. 1.
- K. Nakada, et al, 1975, "A Design of Multiplexing Liquid Crystal Display for Calculators," <u>Proc. SID</u>, Volume 16, No. 3.
- 39. G. F. Ingle, September/October 1976, "Liquid Crystals: The Daylight Survivable Display," <u>Electronic</u> Warfare.
- K. Ono, et al, May 1976, "Large Scale Liquid Crystal Matrix Display," SID Symp. - Dig. Tech. Papers.
- 41. T. P. Brody, et al, November 1973, "A 6 x 6 inch 20 Lines Per Inch Liquid Crystal Display Panel," IEEE Tran. Electron Dev., Volume ED-20, No. 11.
- C. P. Stephens and L. T. Lipton, May 1976, "A Multichip MOS Video Rate Liquid Crystal Display," <u>SID Symp. - Dig. Tech. Papers</u>.
- 43. M. N. Ernstoff, April 1975, "Liquid Crystal Pictorial Display," <u>AIAA</u> <u>Digital Avionics Sys. Conf.</u>
- 44. L. T. Lipton and N. J. Koda, 1973, "Liquid Crystal Matrix Display for Video Applications," Proc. SID, Volume 14, No. 4.
- 45. R. N. Tyte, et al, October 1976, "An LED Numeric Display for the Aircraft Cockpit," 1976 Biennial Display Conf., 76CH1124-7ED, IEEE/SID.
- 46. J. H. Warf, et al, May 1976, "A Comparative Study of Active and Passive Displays for Cockpit Use," SID Symp. Dig. Tech. Papers.
- S. Kobayaski and F. Takeuchi, 1973, "Multicolor Field Effect Display Devices with Twisted Nematic Liquid Crystals," <u>Proc. SID</u>, Volume 14, No. 4.
- 48. J. Grinberg, et al, 1975, "Photoactivated Birefringent Liquid Crystal Light Valve for Color Symbology Display," Proc. SID, Volume 16, No. 3.
- 49. L. Goodman, 1972, "Angular Distribution of Light Scattering From Electric Field Driven Nematic Liquid Crystals," Proc. SID, Volume 13, No. 2.
- 50. P. Seats, February 1978, "CRTs Need Love Too!," Information Display.
- M. D. Sirkis, et al, May 1976, "A Hybrid Flat Display System," <u>SID Symp.</u> -Dig. Tech. Papers.
- 52. R. Schulman and J. W. Schwartz, May 1976, "A Novel Cathodoluminescent Flat Panel TV Display," SID Symp. - Dig. Tech. Papers.

- A. L. Dalisa, 1977, "Electrophoretic Display Technology," <u>Proc. SID</u>, Volume 18, No. 1.
- 54. W. S. Quon, October 1976, "Optical Switching Times in Electrophoretic Image Displays," <u>1976 Biennial Display Conf.</u>, 76CH1124-7ED, IEEE/SID.
- 55. D. W. Vance, October 1976, "Influence of Material Properties on Optical Characteristics of Electrophoretic Displays," <u>1976 Biennial Display</u> <u>Conf.</u>, 76CH1124-7ED, IEEE/SID.
- L. L. Lee, May 1976, "Some Recent Advances in the Development of the Magnetic Particle Display," <u>SID Symp. - Dig. Tech. Papers.</u>
- L. L. Lee, 1975, "A Magnetic Particles Display," <u>Proc. SID</u>, Volume 16, No. 3.
- N. K. Sheridon and M. A. Berkovitz, April 1977, "The Gyricon A Twisting Ball Display," <u>SID Symp. - Dig. Tech. Papers.</u>
- 59. J. Schlafer and V. J. Fowler, 1971, "A Low Voltage Multiple Wavelength Electro-Optic Modulator," Proc. SID, Volume 12, No. 2.
- 60. G. Hrbek, et al, 1971, "An Improved Laser Color TV System Using Acousto-Optic Interaction," Proc. SID, Volume 12, No. 2.
- H. J. Aronson and H. Green, October 1977. "Acousto-Optic Methods of Character Generation for Aircraft Laser Displays," <u>Proc. Tech. Prog.</u> EO/Laser 77, CP-77J27 Industrial and Scientific Conference Mgmt. Inc. Chicago, Ill.
- 62. A. G. Arellano, et al, April 1978, "A Refreshed Matrix-Addressed Electrochromic Display," <u>SID Symp. - Dig. Tech. Papers.</u>
- M. M. Nicholson, April 1978, "A Multicolor Electrochromic Display," <u>SID</u> Symp. - Dig. Tech. Papers.
- 64. Richard E. Christ, May 1976, "Analysis of Color and its Effectiveness," Third Advanced Aircrew Display Symposium, NATC.
- 65. L. D. Evans and S. Storper, May 1976, "Integrated Color Display System," Third Advanced Aircrew Display Symposium, NATC.
- 66. L. Lintz, et al, June 1971, <u>System Design Trade Studies: The Engineering</u> Process and Use of Human Resources Data, AFHRL-TR-71-24.
- 67. M. Cahill and N. Carter, 1976, "Color Code Size for Searching Displays of Different Density," Human Factors, Volume 18, No. 3.
- 68. M. S. Huntley, 1972, "Task Complexity and Serial Performance Under Steadily Increasing Input Rates," Human Factors, Volume 14, No. 1.

- 69. S. Sternberg, 1966, "High Speed Scanning in Human Memory," <u>Science</u>, Volume 153.
- 70. A. L. Edwards, 1972, Experimental Design in Psychological Research, New York, Holt Rinehart and Winston.

156 *U.S.Government Printing Office: 1978 - 657-002/301