



REPORT NO./ NADC-79008-60 SUB X THE FRACTIONATION OF PGB, BY MOLECULAR EXCLUSION CHROMATOGRAPHY. Herman W./Shmukler, Ph.D. Sara F./Kwong, M.S. 2 2 Aircraft and Crew Systems Technology Directorate 3 NAVAL AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER . AD AO 67 Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974 and Margaret G. Zawryt, B.A. Presbyterian-University of Pennsylvania Medical Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104 21 March 1979 Phase Report -LETASK NO RF51524003 Work Unit No. SJ201 APR 17 1979 F51524 16 APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. I FILE COPY Prepared for OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Department of the Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217 393 532 79 04 13 021 1 and all the

## NOTICES

REPORT NUMBERING SYSTEM - The numbering of technical project reports issued by the Naval Air Development Center is arranged for specific identification purposes. Each number consists of the Center acronym, the calendar year in which the number was assigned, the sequence number of the report within the specific calendar year, and the official 2-digit correspondence code of the Command Office or the Functional Directorate responsible for the report. For example: Report No. NADC-78015-20 indicates the fifteeth Center report for the year 1978, and prepared by the Systems Directorate. The numerical codes are as follows:

CODE

~ >

## OFFICE OR DIRECTORATE

- 00 Commander, Naval Air Development Center Technical Director, Naval Air Development Center 01 02 Comptroller 10 **Directorate Command Projects** 20 Systems Directorate 30 Sensors & Avionics Technology Directorate 40 Communication & Navigation Technology Directorate 50 Software Computer Directorate 60 Aircraft & Crew Systems Technology Directorate
- 70 Planning Assessment Resources
- 80 Engineering Support Group

PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT - The discussion or instructions concerning commercial products herein do not constitute an endorsement by the Government nor do they convey or imply the license or right to use such products.

APPROVED BY: School Sturm DATE: 3/21/29

UNCLASSIFIED

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
NADC-79008-60		l		
TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED		
The Fractionation of PGB <sub>x</sub> by	Molecular .	Dhago Doport		
Exclusion Chromacography		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
		. PERFORMING ONG. REPORT ROMBER		
AUTHOR(.)	and the second	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)		
H.W. Shmukler, S.F. Kwong, E. S	Soffer, M.G. Zawryt			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADD	DRESS /	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
Aircraft & Crew Systems Techr	nology Directorate	AIRTASK NO. RF51524003		
NAVAL AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER		Work Unit No. SJ201		
Warminster, PA 10974		12 858087 0475		
Office of News 1 Present		21 March 1070		
Department of the News		13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
Arlington, VA 22217		11		
A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II d	Illerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		
		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT	ION UNLIMITED.		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT	ION UNLIMITED.		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC APPROVED FOR PUBLIC 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract or 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT	ION UNLIMITED.		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC APPROVED FOR PUBLIC 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect of 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if neces	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT	ION UNLIMITED.		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC APPROVED FOR PUBLIC 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract of 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necese PGB <sub>X</sub>	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT	ION UNLIMITED.		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC APPROVED FOR PUBLIC 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract or 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necess PGB <sub>X</sub> Fractionation	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT	ION UNLIMITED.		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC APPROVED FOR PUBLIC 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract of 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necess PGB <sub>X</sub> Fractionation Molecular Exclusion	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT	ION UNLIMITED.		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC APPROVED FOR PUBLIC 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect or 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 5. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necess PGB <sub>X</sub> Fractionation Molecular Exclusion Chromatography	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT	ION UNLIMITED.		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC APPROVED FOR PUBLIC T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect of B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necess PGB <sub>X</sub> Fractionation Molecular Exclusion Chromatography	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT neered in Block 20, if different fro neary and identify by block number	ION UNLIMITED.		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC APPROVED FOR PUBLIC 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect er 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necess PGB <sub>X</sub> Fractionation Molecular Exclusion Chromatography 0. Afstract (Continue on reverse eide if necess Preparations of PGB <sub>x</sub> wer by molecular exclusion chroma optimum pH for this separatio some other molecular exclusio ranges. The molecular weight	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT stored in Block 20, if different for hery and identify by block number ary and identify by block number re separated into ac tography on Sephade n was 7.2. Similar n type packings hav of the most active	tive and inactive fractions x G-100 or G-150. The results were found with ing equivalent porosity fraction was 1539.		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC APPROVED FOR PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necess PGB <sub>X</sub> Fractionation Molecular Exclusion Chromatography Astronact (Continue on reverse side if necess Preparations of PGB <sub>x</sub> wer by molecular exclusion chroma optimum pH for this separatio some other molecular exclusion ranges. The molecular weight	RELEASE; DISTRIBUT stored in Block 20, if different for hery and identify by block number ary and identify by block number e separated into ac tography on Sephade n was 7.2. Similar n type packings hav of the most active	tive and inactive fractions x G-100 or G-150. The results were found with ing equivalent porosity fraction was 1539.		

inal match manual services and

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXPERIMENTAL	2
RESULTS	3
Molecular Exclusion Chromatography on Sephadex G-100 The Effect of pH on Gel Filtration of PGB <sub>x</sub> on	3
Sephadex G-100 Preparative Gel Filtration of PGB <sub>x</sub> Evaluation of Various Molecular Exclusion Packings	3 4 5
DISCUSSION	6
BIBLIOGRAPHY	7

# LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
I	The Effect of pH on the Distribution of PGB <sub>X</sub> Components From Gel Filtration on Sephadex G-100	4
II	The Recovery and Molecular Weights of PGB <sub>x</sub> Fractions Separated by Gel Filtration on Sephadex G-100	5
III	Survey of Packings Suitable for Exclusion Chromatography of PGB <sub>x</sub>	6

# LIST OF FIGURES

igure	Title	Page
1	PGB <sub>x</sub> Exclusion Chromatography of Sephadex G-100	8
2	The Effect of pH on Exclusion Chromatography of PGB <sub>X</sub> on Sephadex G-100	9
3	Absorption Spectra of PGB <sub>x</sub> Components Separated by Exclusion Chromatography	10
4	The Recovery of PGB <sub>x</sub> Activity in Sephadex G-100 Separated Fraction	11

- 1 -

79 04

· lat .

indicate and training



#### INTRODUCTION

In 1973, Polis et al<sup>1</sup> first reported the synthesis of  $PGB_X$ , a polymeric derivative of  $PGB_1$  with the unique property of conserving mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation under degenerative conditions, that usually resulted in a loss of phosphorylation efficiency. Later Polis and Angelakos<sup>2</sup> demonstrated that  $PGB_X$  markedly enhanced the survival of monkeys in cardiogenic shock from an experimentally induced myocardial infarction with fibrillation. In later studies, Polis and Kolata<sup>3</sup> showed that rabbits treated with  $PGB_X$  had a 70 percent complete recovery from the effects of experimentally induced global ischemia. More recently these findings were confirmed by similar results obtained in other laboratories<sup>4-6</sup>.

As yet the chemical structure of  $PGB_X$  has not been defined. This is primarily because  $PGB_X$  preparations prepared to date are a complex mixture of polymers of varying molecular sizes and configurations. Such a complex mixture is not amenable to the usual analytical techniques for molecular structure determination. To overcome this difficulty, studies have been undertaken to isolate and purify the active principle in the  $PGB_X$  complex. This report describes the separation of  $PGB_X$  into an active and inactive fraction by means of molecular exclusion chromatography (MEC).

#### EXPERIMENTAL

 $PGB_X$  was prepared from 15-diketo  $PGB_1$  according to the method of Polis et al<sup>7</sup>. This preparation was designated Batch 26.

Molecular exclusion chromatography was carried out at room temperature with adsorbents equilibrated with the specific mobile phase according to the manufacturer's directions. For analytical MEC, glass columns (1 x 50 cm) were slurry packed with the adsorbent under gravity. Flow rates for compressible packings, e.g., Sephadex, (Pharmacia, Inc., Piscataway, NJ) were maintained between 0.4-0.5 ml per minute by means of a constant pressure Mariotte flask reservoir, while flow rates for the noncompressible packings, e.g., Controlled Pore Glass beads (Corning Glass, Corning, NY), were maintained with a positivedisplacement solvent delivery pump (Minipump, Laboratory Data Control, Riviera Beach, FL). To determine the flow rate when compressible packings were used, the effluent was collected in a fraction collector fitted with a 5-ml siphon collector tube and event marker, so that as each 5 ml was collected the event was printed on the strip chart recorder. The flow rate was then calculated from the chart speed and the distance between fraction index marks. The UV absorbance of the effluent stream was monitored on-line, with a UV absorbance detector (Duomonitor, Laboratory Data Control, Riviera Beach, FL) and plotted on the same recorder chart. Since the positive displacement pump used in this study delivered the solvent with an accuracy of 1 percent, then the chart speed alone was sufficient to indicate the effluent flow rate and the eluted volumes. The quantitative distribution of the separated fractions as well as the relative response at different wavelengths were calculated by measuring the area under each peak with a planimeter. Molecular weights were determined by vapor pressure osmometry at 60° using a Wescan Molecular Weight Apparatus (Wescan, Santa Clara, CA) on the free acids dissolved in methanol.

In vitro assays of the  $PGB_X$  activity in the separated fractions were carried out with aged rat liver mitochondria preparations by methods described in a previous report<sup>7</sup>,<sup>8</sup>.

#### RESULTS

#### Molecular Exclusion Chromatography on Sephadex G-100

In previous attempts to purify  $PGB_x$  by MEC, Polis et al used Sephadex LH-20 in methanol<sup>7</sup> and Sephadex G25 in aqueous 25 percent methanol<sup>6</sup>. In both studies PGB<sub>x</sub> chromatographed as a single component indicating no fractionation had occurred. Recently in the course of studies on the possible synergistic action of  $PGB_X$  and serum albumin on the in vitro  $PGB_X$  mitochondrial effect, it was found necessary to separate  $PGB_x$  from serum albumin in mixtures of the two. Since Sephadex G-100 is commonly used to separate serum albumin from low molecular weight substances, this technique was used to solve the above separation problem. In addition, since alcohols cannot be used with proteins at room temperature, the above separation was studied in an aqueous medium at neutral pH. When the sodium salt of PGB<sub>x</sub> alone was chromatographed on Sephadex G-100 in 0.05 M phosphate buffer pH 7.2, two distinct chromatographic peaks were found (figure 1). The component eluted with the void volume suggesting an apparent molecular weight of between 5000-100,000, based on the elution profile of proteins and dextrans. The retained components therefore exhibited a smaller molecular weight, i.e., < 5000. In addition, the distribution of the two components based on their area measurements were 18 percent for the first component and 82 percent for the second. The areas of the two components at  $\lambda 254$  nm and  $\lambda 280$  nm were calculated, and the ratio of area  $\lambda 254$  nm/area  $\lambda 280$  nm was 2.32 for component 1 and 2.60 for component 2, indicating very little difference in the structure of the chromophoric groups found in the two components.

#### The Effect of pH on Gel Filtration of PGB<sub>x</sub> on Sephadex G-100

In order to determine the optimal conditions for the MEC of  $PGB_X$  on columns of Sephadex G-100, the effect of pH on this separation was studied. This was carried out by first equilibrating the column with the buffer under study, by passing 10 column-packing volumes of the buffer through the column and then injecting the  $PGB_X$  for MEC. In this study the pH range investigated was between pH 6.0 and pH 8.0, because below pH 6.0,  $PGB_X$  began to precipitate out and above pH 9.0,  $PGB_X$  was slowly inactivated. Therefore, three 0.05 M phosphate buffers: pH 6.0, pH 7.2 and pH 8.0 were used to define the effect of pH on the MEC of  $PGB_X$ . These results are shown in figure 2. At pH 8.0 only one component was found, which eluted in the range of the low molecular weight substances. At pH 7.2 and pH 6.0 two components were found, one eluted in the high molecular weight range while the other eluted in the low molecular weight range. In addition, the ratio of the concentration of the high to low molecular weight substances at pH 6.0 was almost the inverse of that found at pH 7.2. These data are summarized in table I.

- 3 -

P. 1. 21 m 1 1 1

### Table I

### The Effect of pH on the Distribution of PGB<sub>x</sub> Components From Gel Filtration on Sephadex G-100

рН	Percent Component #1 (High M.W.)	Distribution Component #2 (Low M.W.)
6.0	84	16
7.2	18	82
8.0	0	100

#### Preparative Gel Filtration of PGB.

The results obtained on the analytical columns of Sephadex G-100 indicated that component 1 had a molecular weight exceeding 5000. This finding was in complete disagreement with previous gel filtration studies on Sephadex LH-207 and  $G-25^6$  in methanol and 25 percent aqueous methanol, as well as from the molecular weight determinations by vapor pressure osmometry using methanol as a solvent<sup>7,8</sup>. In order to decide if the gel filtration separation obtained in the aqueous medium was indeed real, a large amount of PGB<sub>x</sub> (200 mg) had to be chromatographed so that enough of each fraction (100 mg) could be isolated for molecular weight measurements. The PGB<sub>x</sub> (200 mg) from preparation 26 was dissolved in 1.0 ml of 0.05 M phosphate buffer pH 7.2 and applied to the top of a preparative Sephadex G-100 column (2.5 x 50 cm). The solution was allowed to enter the column by gravity. The sides of the column were then rinsed with buffer, and the washings also allowed to enter the column. The washing step was carried out two more times and finally the buffer was layered over the top of the column and the buffer reservoir attached. During the sample addition and washing steps, extreme care was taken so that no air entered the column packing. The column effluent was monitored by recording the UV absorbancy at  $\lambda$ 254 nm. From this recording, fractions of the effluent stream were combined in order to obtain PGB<sub>x</sub> separated fractions 1 and 2 in purified form. Because of the overlap of the chromatographic peaks in the column eluate during gel filtration, three combinations were made: combination (a) contained component 1, combination (b) contained a mixture of components 1 and 2, and combination (c) contained component 3. In order to desalt and/or concentrate the purified PGB<sub>x</sub> components for further study, each combination was acidified with HCl to pH 3.0 and extracted into isobutanol. The isobutanol layer was separated and washed with water; 3 water extractions were sufficient to remove the contaminating carrier buffers and excess acid. Finally the isobutanol layer was separated and evaporated at 50° under reduced pressure. The dried PGB<sub>X</sub> fractions were weighed and then dissolved in methanol for molecular weight measurements. Following these measurements the solutions were recovered, the methanol evaporated off and the residues dissolved in ethanol for UV absorption spectral analysis. For biological assay in aged mitochondria, aliquots of the PGB<sub>x</sub> fractions in ethanol were diluted in NaHCO<sub>3</sub> at final concentration of 2.5 mM NaHCO3 and 25 percent ethanol. The data for the weight of PGBx recovered in each fraction and their molecular weights are shown in table II. Figure 3 shows the UV spectra of the PGB, fractions separated by MEC and measured in

### Table II

### The Recovery and Molecular Weights of $PGB_X$ Fractions Separated by Gel Filtration on Sephadex G-100

	Weight	Percent Recovery	<u>M.W.</u>
Preparation #26	200 mg		
Fraction 1	58.8	29.5	2437
2	91.7	46.0	2384
3	48.9	24.5	1539

ethanol. The spectra appear to be identical in that on a similar weight basis all the UV absorption curves are super-imposable. It is apparent from this that the chromophoric groups responsible for the UV absorption of PGB<sub>X</sub> are similar if not identical to those in the MEC separated fractions. Figure 4 shows the results of PGB<sub>X</sub> assays in which the phosphorylation ability of aged mitochondria was restored by additions of PGB<sub>X</sub>. The figure covers the range of 1-5 µg of test sample and includes the results of the standard PGB<sub>X</sub> for comparison. Fraction 1, the high molecular weight fraction is completely inert in this in vitro system. On the other hand fractions 2 and 3 are active, with fraction 3, the lowest molecular weight fraction being more active than fraction 2 with median molecular weight.

#### Evaluation of Various Molecular Exclusion Packings

sheet manimum s

A major disadvantage in the use of ME packings of the Sephadex type, is the compressibility of the gel that eventually slows down or completely blocks the solvent flow. To overcome this difficulty only low pressure heads may be used with the result that gel filtration chromatography becomes time consuming. Even using low pressure heads the gel gradually compresses so that constant flow rates cannot be maintained. Therefore for quantitative area measurements the column flow must be continually monitored by, for example, the system described under "Experimental." A survey of various molecular exclusion packings was then undertaken looking for a packing material that would give satisfactory chromatographic resolution of PGB<sub>x</sub> components, yet would not be compressible under the pressure of more rapid flow rates. The results of this survey are shown in table III that lists the packing, the manufacturer, the composition, the manufacturer's exclusion limits, the system used for solvent delivery and the number of components found on MEC. These results show that in the Sephadex series only the G-100 and G-150 in the molecular exclusion range of 4-150K and 5-300K were effective in separating PGB<sub>x</sub> fractions. Sephadex G packings with smaller and larger exclusion limits were not effective. On the other hand, separations of PGB<sub>x</sub> were also achieved with Controlled Pore Glass packings CPG 125 and 175 having exclusion limits of 0.5-35K and 11-60K while CPG 240 with exclusion limits 2.5-125K did not separate the PGB<sub>x</sub> fractions.

### Table III

Packing	Manufacturer	Packing Composition	Weight Range	Solvent Delivery	of PGB <sub>x</sub> Components
Sephadex G-25	Pharmacia (Piscataway, NJ)	Dextran	1-5K	gravity	1
Sephadex G-100	"	"	4-150K	"	2
Sephadex G-150	"	"	5-300K	"	2
Sephracryl G-20	0 "		3-60K	"	1
Biogel P-60	Biorad (Richmond, CA)	Polyacryl- amide	3-60K	"	1
CPG 125	Corning Glass (Corning, NY)	Porous glass	0.5-35K	pump	2
CPG 175	"	"	11-60K	"	2
CPG 240	"	"	2.5-125K	"	1

### Survey of Packings Suitable for Exclusion Chromatography of PGB<sub>x</sub>

#### DISCUSSION

The data presented in this study show that MEC is an effective means of separating the  $PGB_X$  complex into biologically active and inactive fractions. The importance of this lies in the fact that the active fraction has a smaller molecular weight and should be less complex and therefore more amenable to molecular structure analysis. The possible incorporation of this methodology into the general scheme for the preparation of PGB<sub>X</sub> must now await the assay, of the <u>in vitro</u> biologically active material, in <u>in vivo</u> systems similar to those used by Polis et al<sup>2</sup>,<sup>3</sup>.

The mechanism by which the ME separation of the  $PGB_x$  fractions occurs is not clear at this time. From the results shown here the "apparent" molecular weight of the  $PGB_x$  fraction measured by MEC must be different in aqueous medium from that in methanol, since the  $PGB_x$  fraction emerging from the Sephadex column in the void volume should have a molecular weight in the gel exclusion limits (4-300K), while this fraction when analyzed by vapor phase osmometry in methanol gives a value of 2437. It may be hypothesized that in aqueous media, hydrogen bonding of the small polymers may result in the formation of a complex exhibiting a high molecular weight and, that in methanol the hydrogen bonding is suppressed; consequently, the complex exhibits a low molecular weight. Alternatively, the failure of the "larger molecule" of  $PGB_x$  to enter the pores of the gel may be a function of the shape of the molecule in that it is stretched linearly so that the molecule physically cannot enter the pores of the gel.

In alcohol this stretching is reduced, or the molecule becomes more globular, and thus can pass through the gel pores to emerge in the low molecular weight range. Whatever the mechanism involved, aqueous MEC does afford an additional step in the elucidation of the PGB, complex.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

<sup>1</sup>Polis, B.D., Grandizio, A.M. and Polis, E.: Some <u>In vitro</u> and <u>In vivo</u> Effects of a New Prostaglandin Derivative. Neurohumoral and <u>Metabolic</u> Aspects of Injury. Adv. in Exper. Med. and Biol., Plenum Press, New York 33:213-220 (1973).

<sup>2</sup>Angelakos, E.T., Riley, R.L., and Polis, B.D.: Recovery of Monkeys From Cardiogenic Shock After Myocardial Infarction With Ventricular Fibrillation. Effects of PGB<sub>x</sub>, Report No. NADC-77308-60, (1977).

<sup>3</sup>Kolata, R.J.: The Effect of PGB<sub>x</sub> on Neurological Recovery From Cerebral Ischemia in Rabbits. Masters Thesis, Univ. Penna. Veterinary School, (1977).

<sup>4</sup>Devlin, T.: PGB<sub>x</sub> In vitro Action. Personal communication. Biochemistry Department, Hahnemann Medical College, Philadelphia, PA.

<sup>5</sup>Moss, G. Immediate Restoration of CNS Autonomic Cardio-pulmonary Control. Survival of "Lethal" Cerebral Hypoxia by Treatment with PGB<sub>x</sub>. Surgical Forum 29, 535B (1978).

<sup>6</sup>Polis, B.D.: Unpublished results.

enter an annual a second

<sup>7</sup>Polis, B.D., Polis, E. and Nelson, G.: Studies on  $PGB_X$  I- Synthesis and Purification of  $PGB_X$ , NADC Report No. 78235-60, (1978).

<sup>8</sup>Polis, B.D., Polis, E. and Kwong, S.: Protection and Reactivation of Oxidative Phosphorylation in Mitochondria by a Stable Free Radical Prostaglandin Polymer (PGB<sub>x</sub>). Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA), in press (1979).



Figure 1 - PGB<sub>x</sub> Exclusion Chromatography of Sephadex G-100.

PGB<sub>x</sub> (0.66µg) was injected on a column (1 x 50 cm) and chromatographed with 0.05 M phosphate buffer pH 7.2. The flow rate was 0.5 ml per minute measured with a 5 ml volumetric siphon. The collected fractions are indicated on the record by the volume of effluent. The effluent was monitored with a 2 wave length UV detector at  $\lambda$ 254 nm (solid line) and  $\lambda$ 280 nm (broken line). The detector was set at 0.64 AUFS on a 10 mv strip chart recorder.

- 8 -



Figure 2 - The Effect of pH on Exclusion Chromatography of PGB<sub>x</sub> on Sephadex G-100.

 $PGB_{x}$  (0.66µg) was injected on column (1 x 50 cm) and chromatographed with 0.05 M phosphate buffer: (A) pH 6.0, (B) pH 7.2, and (C) pH 8.0. The experimental details are the same as in figure 1.

- 9 -

+14.



Figure 3 - Absorption Spectra of PGB<sub>X</sub> Components Separated by Exclusion Chromatography

Spectra were measured in a Cary recording spectrophotometer using 1 cm path length cuvettes. Sample concentration was 30  $\mu$ g per ml dissolved in ethanol.



Figure 4 - The Recovery of PGB<sub>X</sub> Activity in Sephadex G-100 Separated Fraction.

Test conditions for recovery of phosphorylation of aged rat liver mitochondria by the addition of  $PGB_X$  were carried out as previously described<sup>7</sup>,<sup>8</sup>. Standard  $PGB_X$  is solid line; Fraction 1 (high molecular weight)...; Fraction 2 (medium molecular weight)-x-x; and Fraction 3 (low molecular weight)---.

- 11 -

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

## REPORT No. NADC-79008-60

## AIRTASK NO. RF51524003 Work Unit No. SJ201

# No. of Copies

5

(8)

1

1

NPI (Code 2027)	6
	6
	-
ONR (Code 442)	3
CO NAVMED R&D COMMAND, NAVMEDCEN	1
NAVMEDRESINST NAVMEDCEN (Tech Ref Library)	1
ONR, Boston, Mass	1
ONR, Chicago, Ill	1
ONR, Pasadena, Cal	1
Operations Officer, US Army Sci & Tech Ctr,	
Far East Office	1
NATLIBMED	1
NAVAEROMEDRESINST (Scientific Library)	1
CDR, ARO, Durham	1
AFOSCIRES (Directorate of Life Sciences)	1
CG ARMED R&D COMMAND	1
ONR (Code 200)	1
DDC	12

and the set of the second s