UNCLASSIFIE	AUG 78	THE USE OF STRIP THEORY IN THE DYNAMICS OF DEFORMABLE AIRCRAFT.(U) AUG 78 D L WOODCOCK RAE-TM-STRUCTURES-933 DRIC-BR-66481 NL										
OF A0670	2						E E	BUTTER	BUILT -			
			1134 11811 11811 21810 218 218 218 218 218									
							ALL CONTRACTOR			- 1994 -	INTERACT	
					U SESHI U SESHI U SESHI	inne.	1993 1997 1997 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998					
										in the second se		
								There are a second at second at a second a				
			The second secon	THE PARTY								





ROYAL AIRCRAFT ESTABLISHMENT

4

Technical Memorandum Structures 933 Received for printing 26 August 1978

THE USE OF STRIP THEORY IN THE DYNAMICS OF DEFORMABLE AIRCRAFT

by

D. L. Woodcock

SUMMARY

A detailed formulation of the equations of motion of a deformable aircraft is given. The development is from Lagrange's equations for an inertial frame, and is made in terms of the position, orientation, force and inertia properties of narrow strips of the aircraft which lie fore and aft in the unperturbed state. The latter is one of constant linear velocity and zero angular velocity. Particular account is taken of the deformation and loading in the unperturbed state.

NTIS	to the Dection	1
DC	B.If Section	
NAMACIN	CTD 1	
USTI ICAT	17N	
RY DIST DIST	IN INVALIAN ANTI CODES	
BY DISTRISUI	ICH/AYA' ABI'ITY COPES Speci	AL

Copyright © Controller HMSO London 1978

04 04 05

LIST OF CONTENTS

		Page				
1 INT	RODUCTION	3				
2 THE	DATUM MOTION	3				
3 THE	SEMI-RIGID MODEL	4				
4 THE	AERODYNAMIC FORCES	13				
4.1	The velocities	13				
4.2	The forces on a strip	16				
	4.2.1 Their representation	16				
	4.2.2 An equivalent form	23				
	4.2.3 In terms of the generalised coordinates	30				
4.3	The overall forces and moments on the aircraft	32				
4.4	The generalised forces	40				
4.5	Strip interference	49				
	4.5.1 Using two-dimensional theory	50				
	4.5.2 And in terms of the generalised coordinates	60				
5 THE	OTHER CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE GENERALISED FORCES	62				
5.1	The gravitational contribution	64				
5.2	The structural contribution	69				
5.3	The propulsive contribution	71				
6 THE	GENERALISED EFFECTIVE FORCES	76				
7 THE	EQUATIONS OF MOTION	81				
8 CON	CLUDING REMARKS	83				
Appendix A	A A generalisation of the generalised force expression obtained in Ref 1	85				
Appendix 1	B Determination of the location of the principal axes of inertia	93				
Appendix	C A brief consideration of the use of strip theory in a body-fixed axes context	95				
Glossary	of terms	105				
List of s	ymbols	108				
Reference	s	117				
Illustrat:	ions Figures 1 an	nd 2				
Report do	Report documentation page inside back cover					

TM Str 933

2

INTRODUCTION

1

There are two ways of trying to understand a complicated problem. One is, as it were, to stand back and see the thing as a whole without being confused by all the detail - to look at the wood and not the trees. The other is to pick on a basic unit - the tree of the phrase - and look at that first. In each case one has ultimately of course to bring in the details - to look closer at the wood or to build up the wood from the trees. In Ref 1 the first approach was adopted; but a recent note by Baldock⁵ showed that there are some, and they belong to the category of those who do it rather than those who show you how to do it, who want to use the other route. The consequence in this paper, which is a considerable generalisation of what Baldock did, written in a notation which harmonises with that of Ref 1 (and indeed of Refs 3 and 4).

3

2 THE DATUM MOTION

We wish to consider perturbations from a datum motion. What then should be the unperturbed state? How general a motion should it be? What restrictions should be placed upon it? One view would be to select it to suit flight conditions encountered in practice; but alternatively one could choose it to ensure the minimum of complication in the analysis, and then, if necessary, generalise when required. We have taken the latter approach and in particular have said that the datum motion shall be such that the shape of the aircraft remains constant throughout. In particular, this ensures considerable simplification of the aerodynamics and kinematics of the system. To ensure, in general, that there is no change of shape during the datum motion we therefore specify that:

- (i) the aircraft's mass and mass distribution are constant,
- (ii) the atmosphere and the earth's gravitational field are uniform, and
- (iii) the motion is one of constant linear velocity and zero angular velocity relative to earth fixed axes.

We also assume that the aircraft is symmetric in the unperturbed state.

Consequently, we take a set of constant-velocity axes $0_c x_c y_c z_c$ which has zero angular velocity and constant linear velocity V_f in a direction in the plane $0_c x_c z_c$, and is such that it is fixed in the aircraft, $0_c x_c$ being fore and aft, throughout the datum motion. Thus the datum motion is defined by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{f}} \\ \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{f}} \\ \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{f}} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \left(\Theta_{\mathbf{f}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{f}}\right) \\ 0 \\ \sin \left(\Theta_{\mathbf{f}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{f}}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$
(2-1)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{\mathbf{f}} \\ \Theta_{\mathbf{f}} \\ \Psi_{\mathbf{f}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \Theta_{\mathbf{f}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2-2)

where Θ_{f} is the angle of inclination, γ_{f} is the angle of climb, the angle of bank is zero; and, with no loss of generality for our purpose, we have taken the nose-azimuth angle and the angle of track both also to be zero*. Thus the constant-velocity axes coincide, during the datum motion, with the body-fixed axes used to define the attitude of the aircraft. One could choose the latter axes to be the principal axes of inertia of the aircraft and consequently obtain some simplification in the expressions for the reversed effective and generalised gravitational forces at the expense of having to find out where the principal axes of inertia are (*cf* Appendix B). Another choice, which may appeal to some, would be to take body-fixed axes which, for the particular datum motion being considered, coincided with the body-path axes having the same origin. In this case one would have the angles of climb and inclination equal ($\gamma_{f} = \Theta_{f}$).

3 THE SEMI-RIGID MODEL

4

We assume the aircraft consists of a number of strips each of which is based on a mean line which, in the datum motion, is normal to $O_{c}y_{c}$, passes through the strip centre of gravity, and is more or less fore and aft. Each strip is made up of two rigid portions** joined by a hinge at a point on the mean line. The plane separating a strip from an adjacent strip is not necessarily normal to $O_{c}y_{c}$, but instead is chosen to be roughly normal to the external surface of the aircraft at their line of intersection. We also arrange that the

^{*} The three attitude angles (angle of bank, angle of inclination, nose-azimuth angle) define the attitude of the aircraft, *ie* of some axes fixed in the aircraft, relative to normal earth-fixed axes. The two flight-path angles (angle of climb, angle of track) define the direction of flight relative to the same axes (*cf* Ref 3, sections 5 and 6).

^{**} This image includes the case of a single rigid portion as the case where one of the two portions is of zero length.

mean line of a strip does not extrude from the strip through either of its separating planes. Such a strip models a wing (or tailplane or fin) chordwise strip with a control surface; or, with rather more engineer's licence, even an engine pod or a store or a fuseIage.

In Ref 1 an intermediate frame of reference, defined by the no-deformationbody-fixed axes $0_n x_n y_n z_n$, was used in the specification of the perturbed position and shape of the aircraft. In the present context it is convenient also to use sets of strip-fixed axes, one set being associated with each strip. Let the strip-fixed axes for a typical strip be $0_{si} x_{si} y_{si} z_{si}$ where $0_{si} x_{si}$ is the mean line mentioned above, the hinge is on $0_{si} x_{si}$, *ie* at, say,

$$\left\{x_{si}^{(s)}, y_{si}^{(s)}, z_{si}^{(s)}\right\} = \left\{x_{hsi}^{(s)}, 0, 0\right\}$$
(3-1)

and the axes are fixed in one of the rigid portions of the strip such that the hinge axis of rotation is parallel to the direction $0_{si}y_{si}$, and $0_{si}x_{si}z_{si}$ is a mean plane between the planes dividing the strip from the adjacent strips. Thus for a wing section with a trailing edge control one would take 0_{si} to be some reference point such as the quarter chord point, $0_{si}x_{si}$ to be forward along the chord line, and x_{hsi} to be the (negative) value of x_{si} at the control surface hinge*. We will call the portion of a strip, in which the stripfixed axes are fixed, the main part; and the other portion, when it exists, the flap (cf Appendix B).

As in Ref 1 we represent the perturbation of the aircraft, from the unperturbed position and shape it would have had at the same instant during the datum motion, as being made up of rigid body translations and rotations which move a set of body-fixed axes from coincidence with the constant-velocity axes to coincidence with the no-deformation-body-fixed axes, followed by some further perturbations which we call deformations. The first part of these perturbations is therefore (cf Ref 1) made up of the two successive steps:

- (i) translations, as a rigid body, $x_1^{(c)}$, $y_1^{(c)}$, $z_1^{(c)}$ in the directions of the respective constant-velocity axes;
- (ii) successive rotations ψ , θ , ϕ about the carried axes Oz, Oy, Oz where Oxyz are the above-mentioned body-fixed axes.

^{*} The control surface hinge and the hinge of the strip model do not necessarily coincide. If the control surface has a swept hinge and the strip is fore and aft they will not.

The second part of the perturbations - the deformations - we write in a somewhat different form from that of Ref 1.

The transformation from the no-deformation-body-fixed axes to the stripfixed axes for the ith strip is achieved by:

(a) Translations $x_i^{(n)}$, $y_i^{(n)}$, $z_i^{(n)}$ in the directions of the respective no-deformation-body-fixed axes where these translations are compounded of the datum state separation between the strip reference point 0_{si} and the point 0_c , and a deformational contribution, viz:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{if} \\ \mathbf{y}_{if} \\ \mathbf{z}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{K} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3-2)

K is a modal matrix whose elements are functions of the strip being considered, and $q_1 \rightarrow q_n$ are the generalised coordinates for the deformational freedoms. For example if, for a wing, the strip reference points are at the quarter chord point, then K describes the deformational shapes of the quarter chord line in the various modes*.

(b) Successive rotations ψ_i , θ_i , ϕ_i , about the carried axes, which are written in a form similar to (3-2):

[^{\$} i]	$= \left[\phi_{if} \right] +$	F [q] ·	(3-3)
θ _i	θ _{if}		
Ψi	Ψif	q _n	

Thus F is a modal matrix. It can be thought of as a 'torsional' modal matrix along with K as a 'flexural' modal matrix. The condition that a strip mean line $0_{si}x_{si}$ is normal to $0_{c}y_{c}$ during the datum motion is satisfied by making $\psi_{if} = 0$, and this does not impose any other restriction, as we will show following equation (3-21). For, say, a wing whose reference axis (line of strip reference points) is parallel to the plane $0_{n}x_{n}y_{n}$ (*ie* z_{if} = constant), whose

* All the values of K can be thought of as a three-dimensional array of numbers. It is this array which describes, in this case, the deformational shapes of the quarter chord line.

6

Str 933

TM

only flexibility is in torsion about the reference axis (*ie* the matrix K is zero for all wing strips), and whose strips are fore and aft (*ie* $0_{si}x_{si}$ parallel to $0_nx_nz_n$) during the datum motion, ϕ_{if} and ψ_{if} will be zero and θ_{if} will be the sum of the strip jig 'incidence' and the strip 'incidence' due to twist in the datum motion*.

In addition to the displacements and deformations represented by these two axes transformations we have deformation in the strip itself. The main part of the strip, by definition, cannot be displaced relative to the strip-fixed axes, but the flap part can. We therefore have on the flap part of the strip**

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{si}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{P}_{\delta_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} + (\delta_{i} - \delta_{if}) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{sie} - \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sie} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sie} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\mathbf{x}_{sie} - \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sie} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sie} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\mathbf{x}_{sie} - \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sie} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sie} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\mathbf{x}_{sie} - \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sie} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sie} \end{bmatrix}$$

where δ_i is the angle of flap rotation, δ_{if} is the flap rotation in the datum motion, x_{sie} , etc are the values of x_{si} , etc, when there is no flap rotation, and $P_{\delta_{if}}$ is the axes transformation matrix (attitude deviation matrix) for the single rotation δ_{if} about $0_{si}y_{si}$ which is given by[†]

 $P_{\delta_{if}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \delta_{if} & 0 & -\sin \delta_{if} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \delta_{if} & 0 & \cos \delta_{if} \end{bmatrix} .$ (3-5)

- * Strictly speaking these angles should be called angles of inclination (in the no-deformation-body-fixed axes reference frame) rather than angles of incidence (cf Ref 3, sections 5 and 6).
- ** We have used the general symbol δ , specified by Hopkin (Ref 3, section 8) for a motivator rotation rather than decide the primary purpose of our flap (say to produce a rolling, pitching or yawing moment) and take the appropriate symbol (ξ , η or ζ).

[†] Thus
$$\frac{d}{d\delta_{if}} (P_{\delta_{if}}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P_{\delta_{if}}$$
.

CM Str 933

7

(For a general rotation the axes transformation matrix S is of the form $S = R_{\phi}P_{\theta}Y_{\psi} - cf \text{ Ref 4}$, Appendix A.) The above expression (3-4), assumes that $\delta_i - \delta_{if}$ is small. Putting $\delta_i = \delta_{if}$, as in the datum motion, we therefore find that*, on the flap,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sif}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{P}_{\delta}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{sie} - \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sie} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sie} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3-6)

The perturbation in the flap rotation is related to the generalised deformational coordinates by a flap modal vector f :

$$\delta_{i} - \delta_{if} = f^{T} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3-7)

and so, on the flap

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{si}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sif}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{P}_{\delta_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{sie} - \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sie} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sie} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3-8)

Combining the successive deformations (equations (3-2), (3-3) and (3-8)) we find that, for a point on the flap, its position relative to the origin of the no-deformation-body-fixed axes is given by

* The superscript (us) is here introduced to show that these are the coordinates referred to the unperturbed (datum motion) orientation of the strip-fixed axes.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ni}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{ni}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{ni}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{if} \\ \mathbf{y}_{if} \\ \mathbf{z}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{K} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sif}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{P}_{\delta_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{sie} - \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sie} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sie} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\dots \dots (3-9)$$

where S_{ϕ_i} (= $R_{\phi_i}P_{\theta_i}Y_{\psi_i}$) is the axes transformation matrix for the change in orientation from the no-deformation-body-fixed axes to the strip-fixed axes (*cf* Ref 4, Appendix A)

$$R_{\phi_{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{i} & \sin \phi_{1} \\ 0 & -\sin \phi_{i} & \cos \phi_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3-10)

9

(3-13)

$$P_{\theta_{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{i} & 0 & -\sin \theta_{i} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_{i} & 0 & \cos \theta_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3-11)

and

 $= Q_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_i - \phi_{if} \\ \theta_i - \theta_{if} \\ \psi_i - \psi_{if} \end{bmatrix} = Q_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix}$

α_i β_i

10

where

 $Q_{\phi_{if}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\sin \theta_{if} \\ 0 & \cos \phi_{if} & \sin \phi_{if} \cos \theta_{if} \\ 0 & -\sin \phi_{if} & \cos \phi_{if} \cos \theta_{if} \end{bmatrix}$ (3-14)

it can be shown that*

$$s_{\phi_{i}} \approx (I - A_{\alpha_{i}}) s_{\phi_{if}}$$
 (3-15)

where

 $A_{\alpha_{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\gamma_{i} & \beta_{i} \\ \gamma_{i} & 0 & -\alpha_{i} \\ -\beta_{i} & \alpha_{i} & 0 \end{bmatrix} .$ (3-16)

Thus to first order, making use of the fact that, for matrices of the latter type,

we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ni}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{ni}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{ni}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{if} \\ \mathbf{y}_{if} \\ \mathbf{z}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sif}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{cases} \mathbf{K} - \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{if} \mathbf{x}_{sif}}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{(us)} \mathbf{q}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{if} \mathbf{b}}^{T} \mathbf{p}_{\delta_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{sie} - \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sie} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sie} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(3-18)$$

* Thus the angles α_i ... are an approximation to the Euler angles which produce the orientation transformation from the unperturbed position of the strip-fixed axes to their perturbed position when the perturbations ϕ , θ , ψ are zero (*cf* equation (4-40)). This expression gives the position of a particle on the flap part of a strip relative to the origin of the no-deformation-body-fixed axes and resolved along these axes. For a particle on the main part of a strip one merely takes the modal vector f to be zero. This equation compares with expression

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{n}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{n}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{n}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{f} \\ \mathbf{y}_{f} \\ \mathbf{z}_{f} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3-19)

used* in Ref 1 (equation (1)). Thus for the strip model, the modal matrix R has the form:

$$R = K - S_{\phi \text{ if } x_{\text{sif}}}^{T} A_{\phi \text{ if } x_{\text{sif}}} Q_{\phi \text{ if } x_{\text{sif}}}^{T} P_{\phi \text{ if } x_{\text{sif}}}^{T} P_{\phi \text{ if } x_{\text{sif}}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_{\text{sie}} - x_{\text{hsi}} \\ y_{\text{sie}} \\ z_{\text{sie}} \end{bmatrix} f^{T} (3-20)$$

at the ith strip, and the unperturbed doordinates of a particle, relative to and resolved along the body-fixed axes, in the datum state, are:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{x}_{f} \\ \mathbf{y}_{f} \\ \mathbf{z}_{f} \end{array} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{if} \\ \mathbf{y}_{if} \\ \mathbf{z}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{(us)}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sif} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3-21)

for a particle on the ith strip. If this strip is, as required, in a plane normal to $0_{c}y_{c}$ then, for a point on $0_{si}x_{si}$ (*ie* $y_{sif} = z_{sif} = 0$), y_{f} must be constant. Consequently the 12 term of $S_{\phi if}$ must be zero and so (*cf* equations (3-10), (3-11) and (3-12))

$$\cos \theta_{if} \sin \psi_{if} = 0 \quad . \tag{3-22}$$

* There is however a difference of some significance: (3-19) is a precise statement of the deformation used in Ref 1 while (3-18), for our present model, is only a first order approximation in the generalised coordinates q_i (cf Appendix A).

933

Str

IM

12

This is satisfied by $\theta_{if} = (\pi/2)$ or $\psi_{if} = 0$. However, any transformation with $\theta_{if} = (\pi/2)$ is equivalent to another transformation with $\psi_{if} = 0$, and so we will always take

$$\Psi_{if} = 0$$
 . (3-23)

This makes

$$S_{\phi_{if}} = R_{\phi_{if}} P_{\theta_{if}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{if} & 0 & -\sin \theta_{if} \\ \sin \phi_{if} \sin \theta_{if} & \cos \phi_{if} & \sin \phi_{if} \cos \theta_{if} \\ \cos \phi_{if} \sin \theta_{if} & -\sin \phi_{if} & \cos \phi_{if} \cos \theta_{if} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(3-24)$$

There is one other expression for the position of a particle on a typical (the ith) strip that we may require, and that is for its position relative to the origin of the constant-velocity axes and resolved along those axes. This is easily seen to be

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{c}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{c}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{c}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{c}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{if} \\ \mathbf{y}_{if} \\ \mathbf{z}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sif}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{R} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} + \mathbf{S}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}_{if} \mathbf{x}_{sif}}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{(us)} \mathbf{S}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}_{if}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\phi} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta} \\ \boldsymbol{\psi} \end{bmatrix}$

..... (3-25)

where R is given above (equation (3-20)).

In this section, we have introduced the generalised coordinates $q_1 \rightarrow q_n$. To complete the set we take $\{x_1^{(c)}, y_1^{(c)}, z_1^{(c)}, \phi, \theta, \psi\}$ as the other six generalised coordinates $\{q_{n+1}, \ldots, q_{n+6}\}$ and subsequently we will use either notation capriciously.

4 THE AERODYNAMIC FORCES

4.1 The velocities

The main reason for the adoption of the strip model described in section 3 is of course to facilitate the use of strip theory aerodynamics so beloved by many. The air forces on a strip will depend on the velocity of the air relative to the strip. The velocity of a particle referred to the strip-fixed axes is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{mi}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{v}_{mi}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{w}_{mi}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{w}_{mi}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \dot{\mathbf{y}}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \dot{\mathbf{z}}_{si}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\dot{\mathbf{S}}_{\phi_{i}}^{T} + \mathbf{S} \dot{\mathbf{S}}^{T} \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{s}_{i} \\ \mathbf{y}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{si}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \dot{\mathbf{y}}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \dot{\mathbf{z}}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \dot{\mathbf{z}}_{i}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}$$

x _i ⁽ⁿ⁾	+ S	$\mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)}$	+ S	^u f
$y_i^{(n)}$;(c) y ₁		vf
$z_i^{(n)}$;(c)		We
	$x_{i}^{(n)}$ $y_{i}^{(n)}$ $z_{i}^{(n)}$	$ \begin{array}{c} x_{i}^{(n)} + S \\ y_{i}^{(n)} \\ z_{i}^{(n)} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c c} x_{1}^{(n)} + S & \dot{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ y_{1}^{(n)} & \dot{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ z_{1}^{(n)} & \dot{z}_{1}^{(c)} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} x_{1}^{(n)} + S & \dot{x}_{1}^{(c)} + S \\ y_{1}^{(n)} & \dot{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ z_{1}^{(n)} & \dot{z}_{1}^{(c)} \end{array}$

This expression can be obtained either by taking the expression for the position of a particle, relative to the origin of the normal earth-fixed axes and resolved along the constant-velocity axes, differentiating, and then premultiplying the result by $S_{\phi_i}S$ to refer the velocity to the strip-fixed axes; or by adding together the various relative velocities making use of the facts that (*cf* Ref 4, Appendix A):

(i) If some axes $0_{a}x_{a}y_{a}z_{a}$ have angular velocity $\left\{p_{b}^{(a)}q_{b}^{(a)}r_{b}^{(a)}\right\}$ relative to axes $0_{b}x_{b}y_{b}z_{b}$ and resolved along $0_{a}x_{a}y_{a}z_{a}$, then the linear velocity of a point relative to 0_{b} resolved along the a-axes is

$$\begin{pmatrix} ID + A \\ p_{b} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{a} \\ y_{a} \\ z_{a} \end{bmatrix} + S_{\phi_{ab}} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{(b)} \\ \dot{x}_{ab} \\ \dot{y}_{(b)} \\ \dot{y}_{ab} \\ \dot{z}_{(b)} \\ \dot{z}_{ab} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-2)

IM Str 933

14

where ϕ_{ab} etc are the standard Euler rotations which transform to the a-directions from the b-directions, and $x_{ab}^{(b)}$ etc are the coordinates of 0_{ab} relative to 0_{b} and resolved along the b-axes.

(ii) In the situation described in (i)

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{b}^{(a)} \\ q_{b}^{(a)} \\ r_{b}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} = Q_{\phi_{ab}} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi}_{ab} \\ \dot{\theta}_{ab} \\ \dot{\theta}_{ab} \\ \dot{\psi}_{ab} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-3)

(cf equation (3-14)), and

$$A_{p_{b}}^{(a)} = S_{\phi} s_{ab}^{ST} s_{\phi}^{T} s_{ab}$$
$$= - \dot{S}_{\phi} s_{ab}^{\phi} s_{ab}$$
(4-4)

and (iii) If $\{x \ y \ z\}$ is any vector and S any axes transformation matrix then*

In equation (4-1) $\left\{ x_{si}^{(s)} y_{si}^{(s)} z_{si}^{(s)} \right\}$ is given by equation (3-8), f being put equal to zero for a particle not on the flap portion of the strip, $\left\{ \phi_i \ \theta_i \ \psi_i \right\}$ by equation (3-3), and $\left\{ x_i^{(n)} y_i^{(n)} z_i^{(n)} \right\}$ by equation (3-2). Substituting these expressions, and making use of (3-15), (3-17) and (4-5), we have

* (4-5) is of course, also true with S replaced by S^T, ie

 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{s}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{s} \quad .$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{mi}^{(s)} \\ v_{mi}^{(s)} \\ w_{mi}^{(s)} \\ w_{mi}^{(s)} \\ w_{mi}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx s_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{f} \\ v_{f} \\ w_{f} \end{bmatrix} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f}^{S} \delta_{if}^{\varphi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{cases} s_{\phi_{if}}^{K-A} u_{g}^{(us)} \varphi_{\phi_{if}}^{F+P_{\delta}^{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{sie} - x_{hsi} \\ y_{sie} \\ z_{sie} \end{bmatrix} f^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{sie} - x_{hsi} \\ y_{sie} \\ z_{sie} \end{bmatrix} f^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} A & u_{sif} \\ x_{sif} \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \end{bmatrix} f^{T} = s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} f^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} u_{f} \end{bmatrix} f^{T} = s_{\phi_{if}}^{A$$

The matrix factor $S_{\phi_{if}}^{T}Q_{\phi_{if}}$, in the second term, has, consequent upon (3-14), (3-23) and (3-24), the particularly simple form

$$\mathbf{s}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \mathbf{Q}_{\phi_{if}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{if} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{if} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} .$$
(4-7)

It will also be noticed that the term in the $\{\}$ is $S_{\phi_{if}}R$ (*cf* equation (3-20)). The square of the resultant speed of the strip reference point is easily seen to be

$$\begin{aligned} v_{i}^{2} &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_{mi}^{(s)} & v_{mi}^{(s)} & w_{mi}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{mi}^{(s)} \\ w_{mi}^{(s)} \\ w_{mi}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} u_{f} & v_{f} & w_{f} \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_{f} \\ v_{f} \\ w_{f} \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \dot{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \dot{z}_{1}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} - A_{x_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\phi} \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} + K \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q} \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{q} \\ m \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= v_{f}^{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{v_{f}^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{f} & v_{f} & w_{f} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ v_{f} \\ w_{f} \end{bmatrix} - A_{x_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\phi} \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} + K \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q} \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{q} \\ m \end{bmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$
(4-8)

4.2 The forces on a strip

4.2.1 Their representation

۱

Consider a vortex whose axis lies along Oy in a frame of reference Oxyz which is moving with linear velocity {u v w}, referred to the same axes, and zero angular velocity, through a fluid. Then the force on that vortex is

$$\begin{array}{c} \rho A_{\mathbf{u}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \Gamma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \rho \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \Gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\Gamma & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (4-9)

referred to 0xyz, where Γ is the vortex strength. In applying strip theory we represent the strip by a set of bound vortices* whose axes are parallel to

^{*} Plus also a semi-infinite layer of free vortices which move with the fluid and so have no force exerted upon them.

 $0_{si}y_{si}$. The strengths of these vortices will be functions of the boundary condition and so of the velocity of the strip normal to its surface. We will approximate to this on the main part of the strip by $w_{mi}^{(s)}$ at points on $0_{six_{si}}$; and on the flap part of the strip by the velocity normal to $0_{si}y_{si}$ and to the line (cf equations (3-6) and (3-8)) given by $y_{sie} = z_{sie} = 0$, ie the line

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{si}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + (\mathbf{x}_{sie} - \mathbf{x}_{hsi}) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \cos \delta_{if} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ -\sin \delta_{if} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \sin \delta_{if} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \cos \delta_{if} \end{bmatrix} f^{T} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

$$(4-10)$$

This latter velocity is therefore the last element of $P_{\delta_{i}}$ $u_{mi}^{(s)}$ $v_{mi}^{(s)}$ $v_{mi}^{(s)}$

at points on

mi

(4-10), which to the desired accuracy is (cf equations (3-5) and (3-7))

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} P_{\delta_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{mi}^{(s)} \\ w_{mi}^{(s)} \\ w_{mi}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P_{\delta_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{mi}^{(s)} \\ w_{mi}^{(s)} \\ w_{mi}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} x^{(s)} = \begin{cases} r_{mi}^{(s)} \\ r_{mi}^{(s)} \\ r_{mi}^{(s)} \\ r_{mi}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} P_{\delta_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{i}^{(s)} \\ v_{i}^{(s)} \\ w_{i}^{(s)} \\ w_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} - \left\{ x_{hsi} \cos \delta_{if} + (x_{sie} - x_{hsi}) \right\} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{i}^{(s)} \\ p_{i}^{(s)} \\ q_{i}^{(s)} \\ r_{i}^{(s)} \\ r_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P_{\delta_{if}} S_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{f} \\ v_{f} \\ w_{f} \end{bmatrix} f^{T} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} - (x_{sie} - x_{hsi}) f^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

..... (4-11)

where $\{u_i^{(s)}, v_i^{(s)}, w_i^{(s)}\}\$ and $\{p_i^{(s)}, q_i^{(s)}, r_i^{(s)}\}\$ are given below. It will be seen that $w_{mi}^{(s)}$ at a point on $0_{si}x_{si}$ on the main part of the strip is the same expression with f and δ_{if} both zero. The abbreviations used are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{v}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{w}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{w}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = S_{\phi_{if}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{f} \\ \mathbf{v}_{f} \\ \mathbf{w}_{f} \end{bmatrix} + A_{\mathbf{u}_{f}} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}} F \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + K \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \dot{\mathbf{y}}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \dot{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + A_{\mathbf{u}_{f}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} - A_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$(4-12)$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{q}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{r}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{Q}_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} .$ (4-13)

TM Str

933

The boundary condition is therefore made up of certain multiples of four basic conditions (at the points described above):

normal velocity of air = 1
" =
$$x_{sie}$$

" = $H(x_{hsi} - x_{sie})$
" = $(x_{hsi} - x_{sie})H(x_{hsi} - x_{sie})$

where H is the Heaviside step function.

The multiples are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{w}_{i}^{(s)} \\ & \mathbf{q}_{i}^{(s)} \\ & \mathbf{u}_{i}^{(s)} \sin \delta_{if} + \mathbf{w}_{i}^{(s)} (\cos \delta_{if} - 1) + \mathbf{q}_{i}^{(s)} \mathbf{x}_{hsi} (1 - \cos \delta_{if}) \\ & + \left(\mathbf{u}_{if}^{(us)} \cos \delta_{if} - \mathbf{w}_{if}^{(us)} \sin \delta_{if} \right) (\delta_{i} - \delta_{if}) \end{aligned}$$

and

δ_i

(us denotes unperturbed-strip-fixed axes).

The strength of the vortex will therefore be a function, for a given datum state, of these four scalars* and of its position relative to the wake and to the points where the boundary condition is applied. Thus we can say equivalently that the vortex strength is a function of

$$u_i^{(s)}$$
, $w_i^{(s)}$, $q_i^{(s)}$ and δ_i

Thus, for small perturbations the strength of a vortex has the form

$$\Gamma \approx \Gamma_{f} + \Gamma_{u} \left(u_{i}^{(s)} - u_{if}^{(us)} \right) + \Gamma_{w} \left(w_{i}^{(s)} - w_{if}^{(s)} \right) + \Gamma_{q} q_{i}^{(s)} + \Gamma_{\delta} (\delta_{i} - \delta_{if})$$

$$\dots \dots (4-14)$$

where Γ_f , Γ_u , etc are functions** of $u_{if}^{(us)}$, $w_{if}^{(us)}$, and δ_{if} . The velocity of the vortex relative to the fluid will be in the direction of the boundary line. The enforcement of the boundary condition ensures that there is no relative velocity normal to this line. The force on the vortex is therefore normal to this line. The relative velocity of the vortex, and consequently the force on the vortex will be a function of the same four scalars as the vortex strength (*cf* equation (4-14)). Thus the local aerodynamic force at a point on the strip will have the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{f}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{g}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{g}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \chi_{if} \begin{bmatrix} \sin \delta_{if} \\ 0 \\ \cos \delta_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{iu} \sin \delta_{if} & \chi_{iw} \sin \delta_{if} & \chi_{iq} \sin \delta_{if} & \chi_{i\delta} \sin \delta_{if} + \chi_{if} \cos \delta_{if} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \chi_{iu} \cos \delta_{if} & \chi_{iw} \cos \delta_{if} & \chi_{iq} \cos \delta_{if} & \chi_{i\delta} \cos \delta_{if} - \chi_{if} \cos \delta_{if} \end{bmatrix}$$

×	$\begin{bmatrix} u_i^{(s)} - u_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix}$	(4-15)
	$w_i^{(s)} - w_{if}^{(us)}$	
	q(s)	
	$\delta_i - \delta_{if}$	

* We are taking the view that the strength at an instant is determined by the boundary condition (and a finite number of its derivatives with respect to time) at the same instant.

933

Str

M

** Γ_u , Γ_w , Γ_{q_1} , Γ_{δ} may contain terms involving the differential operator $D = \frac{d}{dt}$.

where δ_{if} (in the trig. functions) and χ_{if} (in the perturbation part) are put equal to zero off the flap portion, and the coefficients χ_{iu} , χ_{iw} , χ_{iq} , $\chi_{i\delta}$ may involve the differential operator D. In addition, there will be a leading edge 'suction' force, as will be seen if one imagines the infinitely thin leading edge, of our aerofoil model, as the limit of a rounded edge. The Kutta-Joukowski theorem tells us that for a two-dimensional aerofoil moving with constant and uniform velocity the overall force on the aerofoil is normal to the direction of motion. The leading edge 'suction' force, which has only a component in the $0_{si} x_{si}$ direction, can be written as*

$$x_{i0}^{(s)} = x_{if0}^{(2)} + \left[\hat{x}_{ix0}^{(2)} \ \hat{x}_{iz0}^{(2)} \ \hat{x}_{i00}^{(2)} \ x_{i00}^{(2)} \right] \begin{bmatrix} u_{i}^{(s)} - u_{if}^{(s)} \\ u_{i}^{(s)} - u_{if}^{(s)} \\ w_{i}^{(s)} - w_{if}^{(s)} \\ q_{i}^{(s)} \\ \delta_{i} - \delta_{if} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-16)

and so it can easily be shown that

$$x_{if0}^{(2)} = -\frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{u_{if}^{(us)}} \left(\sum' x_{if} + \sum'' x_{if} \cos \delta_{if} \right) - \sum'' x_{if} \sin \delta_{if}$$
(4-17)

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{ix0}}^{(2)} = \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{if}}^{(\mathrm{us})}}{\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{if}}^{(\mathrm{us})}\right)^2} \left\{ \sum' \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{if}} + \sum'' \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{if}} \cos \delta_{\mathbf{if}} \right\} - \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{if}}^{(\mathrm{us})}}{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{if}}^{(\mathrm{us})}} \left\{ \sum' \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{iu}} + \sum'' \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{iu}} \cos \delta_{\mathbf{if}} \right\} - \sum'' \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{iu}} \sin \delta_{\mathbf{if}} + \mathbf{0} (\mathbf{D}) \qquad (4-18)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{0}}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{u_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}^{(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{s})}} \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}}^{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}} + \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}}^{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}} \cos \delta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \right\} - \frac{w_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}^{(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{s})}}{u_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}^{(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{s})}} \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{w}}}^{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{w}}} + \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{w}}}^{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{w}}} \cos \delta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \right\} - \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{w}}}^{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{w}}} \sin \delta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{w}}} + \mathbf{0}(\mathbf{D}) \quad (4-19)$$

* The (2) superscript indicates two-dimensional values, and the circumflex suprascript has been used to indicate the affinity of these coefficients with the body-fixed axes coefficients of Ref 1.

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i}\delta0}^{(2)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{if} \cos \delta_{if} + \frac{\mathbf{w}_{if}^{(us)}}{\mathbf{u}_{if}^{(us)}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{if} \sin \delta_{if}$$
$$-\frac{\mathbf{w}_{if}^{(us)}}{\mathbf{u}_{if}^{(us)}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i\delta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i\delta} \cos \delta_{if} \right\} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i\delta} \sin \delta_{if} + O(D)$$
$$\dots (4-20)$$

21

where \sum indicates a summation over the main part of the strip, and \sum indicates a summation over the flap part.

The overall forces on a strip are (cf (4-16)) written as*, referred to the strip-fixed axes (see Fig 1)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{if}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ix}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ix}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\delta}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ix}^{(s)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\delta}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ix}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\delta}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\delta}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\delta}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}$$

and so we find that

$$z_{if}^{(2)} = \sum' x_{if} + \sum'' x_{if} \cos \delta_{if}$$
 (4-22)

$$\hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} = \left\{ \sum' \chi_{iu} + \sum'' \chi_{iu} \cos \delta_{if} \right\} + O(D)$$
(4-23)

$$\hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} = \left\{ \sum' x_{iw} + \sum'' x_{iw} \cos \delta_{if} \right\} + 0(D)$$
(4-24)

$$\hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} = \left\{ \sum' x_{i\delta} + \sum' x_{i\delta} \cos \delta_{if} - \sum'' x_{if} \sin \delta_{if} \right\} + O(D) \quad (4-25)$$

* The subscript i has been used to indicate a typical strip whereas, in Ref 1, X_i, e.g. was the force due to unit displacement in the ith mode.

$$x_{if}^{(2)} = -\frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{u_{if}^{(us)}} z_{if}^{(2)}$$
(4-26)

$$\hat{x}_{ix}^{(2)} = -\frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{u_{if}^{(us)}} \hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} + \frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{\left(u_{if}^{(us)}\right)^2} z_{if}^{(2)} + 0(D)$$
(4-27)

$$\hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} = -\frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{u_{if}^{(us)}} \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{u_{if}^{(us)}} z_{if}^{(2)} + 0(D)$$
(4-28)

$$\hat{x}_{i\delta}^{(2)} = -\frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{u_{if}^{(us)}}\hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} + 0(D) \quad . \tag{4-29}$$

Some further consideration is given to this aspect in section 4.22 where a further relationship (4-50) is obtained (see also equations (4-54) to (4-58). Similarly the overall moments on the strip are written as, referred to the strip-fixed axes*,

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{i}^{(s)} \\ M_{i}^{(s)} \\ N_{i}^{(s)} \\ N_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{M}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{M}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{M}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \hat{M}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{M}_{i\delta}^{(2)} & \hat{M}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{i}^{(s)} - u_{if}^{(us)} \\ u_{i}^{(us)} - u_{if}^{(us)} \\ u$$

There will be no contribution to these from the leading edge 'suction', and so we find that

$$M_{if}^{(2)} = -\sum_{x_{if}} x_{sie} - \left(\sum_{x_{if}} x_{hsi}(\cos \delta_{if} - 1)\right)$$
(4-31)

$$\hat{M}_{ix}^{(2)} = -\sum \chi_{iu} x_{sie} - \left(\sum_{iu} \chi_{iu} \right) x_{hsi} (\cos \delta_{if} - 1)$$
(4-32)

TM Str 933

* In accordance with our practice the symbols for the moments should also have a subscript s to show that the moments are about the origin of the strip-fixed axes but this has been omitted to avoid confusion with the subsequent use of subscript s to denote structural.

22

$$\hat{M}_{iz}^{(2)} = -\sum_{x_{iw}} x_{sie} - \left(\sum_{x_{iw}} x_{sie}\right) x_{hsi} (\cos \delta_{if} - 1) \qquad (4-33)$$

$$\hat{M}_{i\theta}^{(2)} = -\sum_{x_{iq}} x_{sie} - \left(\sum_{x_{iq}} x_{sie}\right) x_{hsi} (\cos \delta_{if} - 1) \qquad (4-34)$$

$$\hat{M}_{i\delta}^{(2)} = -\sum_{i\delta} x_{i\delta} x_{sie} - \left(\sum_{i\delta} x_{i\delta}\right) x_{hsi} (\cos \delta_{if} - 1) + \left(\sum_{i\delta} x_{if}\right) x_{hsi} \sin \delta_{if} \quad .$$
(4-35)

The strip hinge moment can be obtained as a particularisation of this obtained by imagining the reference point (of the strip) to be at the hinge and performing the summations for the flap part only. Thus it is

$$B_{i} = B_{if}^{(2)} + \begin{bmatrix} B_{ix}^{(2)} & B_{iz}^{(2)} & B_{i\theta}^{(2)} & B_{i\delta}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{i}^{(s)} - u_{if}^{(us)} \\ w_{i}^{(s)} - w_{if}^{(us)} \\ q_{i}^{(s)} \\ \delta_{i} - \delta_{if} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-36)
$$B_{if}^{(2)} = -\sum^{"} x_{if}^{(x_{sie} - x_{hsi})} \\ B_{ix}^{(2)} = -\sum^{"} x_{iu}^{(x_{sie} - x_{hsi})} \\ \vdots \\ B_{i\delta}^{(2)} = -\sum^{"} x_{i\delta}^{(x_{sie} - x_{hsi})} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-37)

4.2.2 An equivalent form

It is of interest to express the aerodynamic force on a strip in terms of coordinates which describe the position and orientation of the strip relative to its position in the datum motion. The position of the strip reference point,

where

23

relative to its position in the datum motion, resolved along the constantvelocity axes is (cf section 3 and equation (3-2))

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ui}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{ui}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{ui}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{ui}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{in}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{in}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{in}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{if} \\ \mathbf{y}_{if} \\ \mathbf{z}_{if} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{K} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} .$$
(4-38)

The axes transformation matrix, for the change in orientation going from the unperturbed position of the strip-fixed axes to the strip-fixed axes, is

$$S_{\phi_{ui}} = S_{\phi_i} S_{\phi_{if}}^T \approx S_{\phi_i} S_{\phi_{if}}^T - S_{\phi_i} A_{\phi} S_{\phi_{if}}^T$$
(4-39)

and, so, making use of equations (3-15) and (4-5), the standard Euler rotations which achieve this change in orientation are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{ui} \\ \theta_{ui} \\ \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix} \approx Q_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix} + S_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} .$$
 (4-40)

It follows therefore from equations (4-12) and (4-13) that

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{i}^{(s)} - u_{if}^{(us)} \\ v_{i}^{(s)} - v_{if}^{(us)} \\ w_{i}^{(s)} - w_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} \approx S_{\phi_{if}} \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{ui}^{(c)} \\ u_{ui}^{(c)} \\ \dot{z}_{ui}^{(c)} \\ \dot{z}_{ui}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + A_{u_{f}} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{ui} \\ \theta_{ui} \\ \psi_{ui} \\ \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix} \\ = S_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{ic}^{(c)} \\ u_{i}^{(c)} \\ \dot{z}_{ui}^{(c)} \\ \dot{z}_{ui}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} - A_{\phi_{ui}} S_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{f} \\ v_{f} \\ w_{f} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-41)
$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{i}^{(s)} \\ p_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{ui} \\ \phi_{ui} \end{bmatrix} .$$
(4-42)

and

TM Str 933

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{q}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{r}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi}_{ui} \\ \dot{\theta}_{ui} \\ \dot{\psi}_{ui} \end{bmatrix} \cdot (4-42)$

Now, since ψ_{if} is zero, $S_{\phi_{if}}$ is given by equation (3-24), and so

 $\begin{bmatrix} u_{i}^{(s)} - u_{if}^{(us)} \\ w_{i}^{(s)} - w_{if}^{(us)} \\ q_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \cos (\theta_{if} + \Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) & -\sin (\theta_{if} + \Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) \\ \cos \phi_{if} \sin(\theta_{if} + \Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) & \cos \phi_{if} \cos (\theta_{if} + \Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{array}{c} -\cos \phi_{if} \sin (\theta_{if} + \Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) \\ \cos (\theta_{if} + \Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) \\ \frac{1}{V_{f}} D \end{array} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{ui} \\ \dot{x}_{ui} \\ \dot{z}_{ui} \\ \dot{z}_{dp} \\ v_{f} \theta_{ui} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \sin \phi_{if} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sin (\theta_{if} + \Theta_f - \gamma_f) \\ -1 & -\sin (\theta_{if} + \Theta_f - \gamma_f) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{y}_{ui} \\ V_f \phi_{ui} \\ V_f \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix}$$

..... (4-43)

where we have written

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ui}^{(dp)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{ui}^{(dp)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{ui}^{(dp)} \end{bmatrix} = P_{\Theta_{f}}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{f}^{(c)} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ui}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{ui}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{ui}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} .$$
 (4-44)

The single rotation $(\gamma_f - \Theta_f)$, whose effect is represented by this last equation, has been made so that $x_{ui}^{(dp)}$ is the perturbation of the strip reference point in the direction of the datum motion - the superscript (dp) can be thought of as meaning datum path*. Now since, using (3-24)

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{if}^{(us)} \\ v_{if}^{(us)} \\ w_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} = V_{f} S_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \cos (\Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) \\ 0 \\ \sin (\Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= V_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \cos (\theta_{if} + \Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) \\ \sin \phi_{if} \sin (\theta_{if} + \Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) \\ \cos \phi_{if} \sin (\theta_{if} + \Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-45)

we can rewrite (4-43) as

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{i}^{(s)} - u_{if}^{(us)} \\ w_{i}^{(s)} - w_{if}^{(us)} \\ q_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \frac{1}{V_{f}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{i}^{(us)} - w_{if}^{(us)} \sec \phi_{if} & -w_{if}^{(us)} \\ w_{if}^{(us)} & u_{if}^{(us)} \cos \phi_{if} & u_{if}^{(us)} \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{i}^{(dp)} \\ \dot{x}_{ui}^{(dp)} \\ \dot{z}_{ui}^{(dp)} \\ v_{f} \theta_{ui} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+\frac{\tan \phi_{if}}{v_{f}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & w_{if}^{(us)} \\ -v_{f} \cos \phi_{if} & -w_{if}^{(us)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{(dp)} \\ y_{ui} \\ v_{f} \phi_{ui} \\ v_{f} \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix}$$
..... (4-46)

TM Str 933

* See the definition of datum-path earth axes in the Glossary of terms.

26

Incidentally, the angles ϕ_{if} , $\theta_{if} + \Theta_f - \gamma_f$ are one of the pairs of angles (*cf* Ref 3, section 6.2) which can be used to specify the incidence of the strip in the datum motion. That is

$$\theta_{if} + \Theta_{f} - \gamma_{f}$$
 is the 'incidence magnitude'
 ϕ_{if} is the 'incidence-plane angle'

Thus (4-43) is an expression purely in terms of incidence angles, while (4-46) is its transcription in terms of velocity components and one incidence angle. The latter could have been written purely in terms of velocities at the expense of the inconvenience at a $\sqrt{-}$.

From equations (4-21) and (4-46), the overall forces on a strip can then be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{i}^{(s)} \\ i \\ y_{i}^{(s)} \\ z_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ z_{if}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} \\ 0 \\ \hat{z}_{ix}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} \\ 0 \\ \hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} \\ - \hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} \\ \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} \\ - \hat{x}_{ix}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{x}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} w_{ie}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} w_{ie}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} w_{ie}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} w_{ie}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} D \\ \hat{z}_{ie}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \tan \phi_{if} \begin{bmatrix} -\hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} v_{f} \cos \phi_{if} & -\hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} & \hat{x}_{ix}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} v_{f} \cos \phi_{if} & -\hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} & \hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{y}_{ui}^{(dp)} / v_{f} \\ \phi_{ui} \\ \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix}$$

(Similar expressions can be obtained for the moments and hinge moment, from equations (4-30) and (4-36), but we will not detail them here.)

27

To compare with the form taken by some other authors (eg Baldock⁵) it is necessary to take the quasi-steady form - all the terms containing the operator D in the above rectangular matrices are omitted and we make use of the relationships (4-27) to (4-29) - in the case where the incidence plane angle ϕ_{if} of the strip in the datum motion is small. The force on the strip then has the magnitude (to a first order approximation).

 $z_{if}^{(2)} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{u_{if}^{(us)}}\right)^2} \times$

$$\times \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{z_{if}^{(2)}} \left[\hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} - z_{if}^{(2)} \frac{u_{if}^{(us)}}{u_{if}^{(us)}} + \left(-\hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} \right) - \hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \right] \left[\frac{\frac{\dot{x}_{ui}^{(dp)}}{v_{f}}}{\frac{\dot{z}_{ui}^{(dp)}}{v_{f}}} \right] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\dot{x}_{ui}^{(dp)}}{v_{f}} \\ \frac{\dot{z}_{ui}^{(dp)}}{v_{f}} + \theta_{ui} \\ \frac{\dot{z}_{if}^{(dp)}}{v_{f}} + \theta_{ui} \\ \delta_{i} - \delta_{if} \end{array} \right\} \right\}$$

..... (4-48)

TM Str 933

and to the same degree of accuracy, it acts in a direction normal to the direction of motion. Note that in (4-48) $\hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)}$, and similarly with the other coefficients, must be understood as the part of that coefficient which is independent of D. Baldock⁵ wrote the same forces as

$$\left(v_{f} + \dot{x}_{ui}^{(dp)}\right)^{2} \times a \text{ linear function of } z_{ui}^{(dp)}, \theta_{ui} \text{ and } (\delta_{i} - \delta_{if})$$

Assuming that he does not dispute the usual conclusions that, in the quasisteady state, in his notation

 $\begin{aligned} \lambda_{z} &= 0 \\ \lambda_{\alpha} &= \lambda_{z} \end{aligned}$ (4-49)

then his form is equivalent to (4-48) with the proviso that (quasi-steady)

$$\hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)}u_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)}w_{if}^{(us)} = 2Z_{if}^{(2)} . \qquad (4-50)$$

This of course what one gets from two-dimensional potential flow theory. For example for a two-dimensional aerofoil, in an incompressible fluid, moving with speed ($V_f + \delta V$) at any incidence α , the lift is proportional to $(V_f + \delta V)^2 \sin (\alpha - \alpha_0)$ where α_0 is the no-lift incidence. Consequently, the normal force on the aerofoil is*

$$z \approx z_{f} + z_{x}(u - u_{f}) + z_{z}(w - w_{f})$$

$$= C \left\{ - V_{f}^{2} \sin \alpha_{0} - 2V_{f} \sin \alpha_{0} (u - u_{f}) + V_{f} \cos \alpha_{0} (w - w_{f}) \right\}$$

$$(4-51)$$

where C is a constant, and so, since

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{f} &= V_{f} \\ w_{f} &= 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (4-52)

$$Z_{x}^{\bullet u}f + Z_{z}^{\bullet w}f = -2CV_{f}^{2} \sin \alpha_{0}$$
$$= 2Z_{f} \quad . \tag{4-53}$$

The relationship (4-50) did not appear from the reflections of section 4.2.1 since there we took account only of our knowledge of the direction of the resultant force on the aerofoil rather than its magnitude. If (4-50) is combined with equations (4-26) to (4-29) we have the relationships

$$x_{if}^{(2)} = -\frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{u_{if}^{(us)}} z_{if}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} + \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} \frac{(w_{if}^{(us)})^2}{u_{if}^{(us)}} \right\} + 0(D) \quad (4-54)$$

$$\hat{x}_{ix}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -\frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{w_{if}^{(us)}} \hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} + \left(\frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{w_{if}^{(us)}} \right)^2 \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} \right\} + 0 (D)$$
(4-55)

* We are taking $\alpha_f = 0$ for simplicity, but this involves no loss in generality. The same relationship (4-53) is obtained for any α_f .

$$\hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -3 \frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{u_{if}^{(us)}} \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} - \hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} \right\} + 0(D)$$
(4-56)

$$\hat{x}_{i\delta}^{(2)} = -\frac{w_{if}^{(us)}}{u_{if}^{(us)}}\hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} + O(D) . \qquad (4-57)$$

A similar relationship to (4-53) holds for the pitching moment - this will be readily appreciated if one again considers the two-dimensional incompressible flow case*, *ie* we have

$$M_{if}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \hat{M}_{ix}^{(2)} u_{if}^{(us)} + M_{iz}^{(2)} w_{if}^{(us)} \right\} + 0(D) \quad . \tag{4-58}$$

Finally, one would remark that one can allow, to some extent, for such things as viscous effects, by permitting infringements of the above relationships (4-54) to (4-58).

4.2.3 In terms of the generalised coordinates

From equations (3-7), (4-12) and (4-13):



* The pitching moment, about the centre of the circle from which a profile is generated by conformal transformation, is proportional to $(V_f + \delta V) \sin \{2(\alpha - \alpha_1)\}.$

30

(of equations (3-14), (3-24) and (4-7)) and so, from (4-21), the overall forces on a strip, referred to the strip-fixed axes, are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1f}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{cases} \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{1x}^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{1z}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1z}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1x}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{1e}^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1z}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1x}^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1z}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1e}^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1e}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1e}^{(2)} & \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1e}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{1e}^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1e}^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{\phi}_{1f}} & \mathbf{FD} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{\phi}_{1f}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{1e}^{(2)} & \mathbf{fT} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{\hat{z}}_{1e}^{(2)} & \mathbf{fT} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \\ \mathbf{x}_{1e}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1e}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1e}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1e}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1e}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1e}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf$$

Similarly the overall moments on the strip, about the strip reference point, referred to the strip-fixed axes, become, from (4-30)

$$\begin{cases} P_{0} \\ P_{0} \\ P_{0} \\ P_{1} \\ P$$

..... (4-61)

TM

Str 933

From (4-36) we see that the hinge moment B_i has the same form as the pitching moment $M_i^{(s)}$ - all the M coefficients are merely replaced by B coefficients.

The final forms of the above expressions can of course be used even when one is not using two-dimensional strip theory - $X_{if}^{(2)}$, $Z_{if}^{(2)}$, $M_{if}^{(2)}$ (with the 2 replaced by a 3), and the row vectors $X_{iq}^{(2)}$ etc, being given appropriate three-dimensional values.

4.3 The overall forces and moments on the aircraft

θ

The overall forces on a strip, referred to the constant-velocity axes, are, using the relationships (3-15) and (4-5) along with equation (4-60)

32

 $L_{i}^{(s)}$ $M_{i}^{(s)}$ $N_{i}^{(s)}$

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} &= \mathbf{s}_{\phi}^{T} \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\approx \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}^{(2)}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\phi_{if}} \mathbf{F} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{i} \\ \mathbf{q}_{i} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\approx \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{if}^{(2)} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{i2}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{u_{f}} \mathbf{S}_{i}^{T} & \mathbf{Q}_{u_{f}} \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{KD} & \mathbf{ID} & \mathbf{A}_{u_{f}} - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{if}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{if}^{(2)} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{i2}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\phi_{if}} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{S}_{if} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{i2}^{(2)} \mathbf{p}^{T} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\phi_{if}} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{i2}^{(2)} \mathbf{p}^{T} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\phi_{if}} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{if} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{X}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{X}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{X}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{if}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{X}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{X}_{ig}^{(g)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{if}^{(g)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{if}^{(g)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{X}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{X}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{if}^{(g)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{if}^{(g)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{if}^{(g)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{X}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{X}_{ig}^{(g)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} & \mathbf{Z}_{ig}^{(g)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{S}_{\phi_$$

IM Str 933

33

..... (4-62)
Similarly the overall moments on a strip about the strip reference point*, referred to the constant-velocity axes, are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{i}^{(\mathbf{C})} \\ \mathbf{M}_{i}^{(\mathbf{C})} \\ \mathbf{N}_{i}^{(\mathbf{C})} \\ \mathbf{N}_{i}^{(\mathbf{C})} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\approx}{=} \mathbf{S}_{\Phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{*}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{*}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_{ig}^{(2)} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{i6}^{(2)} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\Phi_{if}} \stackrel{\mathbf{F}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{S}_{\Phi_{if}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_{i6}^{(2)} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\Phi_{if}} \stackrel{\mathbf{F}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{S}_{\Phi_{if}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{if}^{(2)} \stackrel{\mathbf{T}}{=} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf$$

* In accordance with our practice the symbols for the moments should also have a subscript s to show that the moments are about the origin of the strip-fixed axes but this has been omitted to avoid confusion with the subsequent use of a subscript s to denote structural.

The overall forces on the aircraft, referred to the same axes, are given simply by summing (4-62) for all strips, viz

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix}^{(c)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{f}}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{f}}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{q}^{(c)} & \mathbf{x}_{x}^{(c)} & \mathbf{x}_{\phi}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{q}^{(c)} & \mathbf{y}_{x}^{(c)} & \mathbf{y}_{\phi}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{q}^{(c)} & \mathbf{z}_{x}^{(c)} & \mathbf{z}_{\phi}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{6}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-64)

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{f}} \\ \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{f}} \\ \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{f}} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{(2)}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{f}} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{z}_{(2)}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{f}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-65)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{q}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{q}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{q}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{q}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{q}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{q}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{iq}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{iq}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{z}_{iq}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{i}_{q} \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}^{(2)} \mathbf{q}_{\phi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}}^{\mathsf{F}}$$
(4-66)
$$(4-66)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{x}^{(c)} \\ y_{x}^{(c)} \\ z_{x}^{(c)} \\ z_{x}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} x_{ix}^{(s)} \\ ix \\ 0 \\ z_{ix}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-67)

 $z_{iq}^{(s)}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{\phi}^{(c)} \\ y_{\phi}^{(c)} \\ z_{\phi}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_{i\phi}^{(s)} \\ i\phi \\ 0 \\ z_{if}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} - A_{x_{if}^{(2)}} s_{\phi_{if}} \right) .$$
(4-68)

TM Str 933

(4-65)

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{c}^{(c)} \\ M_{c}^{(c)} \\ N_{c}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} L_{i}^{(c)} \\ M_{i}^{(c)} \\ N_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix}^{i} + A_{x_{i}^{(c)}} \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}^{(c)} \\ y_{i}^{(c)} \\ x_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix}^{i} \right\}$$
(4-69)

where

$$\approx \begin{bmatrix} x_{if} \\ y_{if} \\ z_{if} \end{bmatrix} - A_{x_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} + K \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(c)} \\ 1 \\ y_1^{(c)} \\ z_1^{(c)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-70)

TM Str 933

(cf equation (4-38)), and so

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} &\approx \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} &+ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{K} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{z}_{if}}^{(2)} \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{K} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{z}_{if}}^{(2)} \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{K} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{if}^{(2)} \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{K} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{A}_{\phi_{if}}^{(c)} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(c)} \\$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{c}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{M}_{c}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{N}_{c}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{N}_{c}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{f} \\ \mathbf{M}_{f} \\ \mathbf{N}_{f} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} L_{eq}^{(e)} & L_{ex}^{(e)} & L_{e\varphi}^{(e)} \\ M_{eq}^{(e)} & M_{ex}^{(e)} & M_{e\varphi}^{(e)} \\ N_{eq}^{(e)} & N_{ex}^{(e)} & N_{e\varphi}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \\ \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{\psi} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{f}} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{f}} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{f}} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{(2)}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{i}\mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
(4-73)

TM Str 933

(4-72)

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} L_{cq}^{(c)} \\ H_{cq}^{(c)} \\$$

TM Str 933

38

and the second

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{cx}^{(c)} \\ R_{cx}^{(c)} \\ M_{cx}^{(c)} \\ N_{cx}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i} \left(\left\{ S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{M}_{ix}^{(2)} & 0 & \hat{M}_{iz}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + A_{x_{if}} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{X}_{ix}^{(2)} & 0 & \hat{X}_{iz}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{Z}_{ix}^{(2)} & 0 & \hat{Z}_{iz}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \right\}^{s_{\phi_{if}}} D \\ = \sum_{i} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M_{ix}^{(s)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + A_{x_{if}} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} X_{ig}^{(s)} \\ 0 \\ Z_{ix}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} - S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{x_{if}}^{(2)} S_{\phi_{if}} \right)$$
(4-75)

and

TM Str 933

1

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} L_{a\phi}^{(0)} \\ M_{a\phi}^{(0)} \\ M_{a\phi}^{(0)} \\ M_{a\phi}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{i} \left(s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left\{ A_{x_{if}}^{(2)} s_{\phi_{if}}^{A} x_{if}^{if} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{M}_{ix}^{(2)} & 0 & \hat{M}_{iz}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} s_{\phi_{if}}^{(A_{u_{f}} - A_{x_{if}})} \right) \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -M_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 & \hat{M}_{ib}^{(2)} D & 0 \\ M_{if}^{(2)} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} s_{\phi_{if}}^{if} \\ &+ A_{x_{if}} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{ix}^{(2)} & 0 & \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{x}_{ix}^{(2)} & 0 & \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} s_{\phi_{if}} \left(A_{u_{f}} - A_{x_{if}} \right) \right) \\ &+ \left[0 & z_{if}^{(2)} + \hat{x}_{ib}^{(2)} D & 0 \\ \hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} & 0 & \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} s_{\phi_{if}} \left(A_{u_{f}} - A_{x_{if}} \right) \right) \\ &+ \left[0 & z_{if}^{(2)} + \hat{x}_{ib}^{(2)} D & 0 \\ - z_{if}^{(2)} & 0 & x_{if}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} s_{\phi_{if}} \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left\{ S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M_{i\phi}^{(s)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - A_{L_{if}^{(2)}} S_{\phi_{if}} + A_{X_{if}^{(2)}} S_{\phi_{if}}^{A} x_{if} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + A_{x_{if}^{s}} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_{i\phi}^{(s)} \\ 0 \\ z_{i\phi}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} - A_{X_{if}^{(2)}} S_{\phi_{if}} \\ x_{if}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
(4-76)

4.4 The generalised forces

The generalised aerodynamic forces could be determined using the expressions derived in Ref 1, and generalised in Appendix A, which are in terms of the overall and local forces referred to the constant velocity axes (*cf* equations (A-18) and (A-19)). However, for the particular semi-rigid and aerodynamic models being considered in this paper it is more convenient to proceed rather differently.

The linear velocity of the strip reference point, and the angular velocity of the strip-fixed axes, both resolved along the strip-fixed axes, are, for the ith strip, respectively (cf equations (4-1), (4-3), (4-41) and (4-42))

and, by a well known relationship (cf for example, Ref 4)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{q}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{r}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{r}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Q}_{\phi_{ui}} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi}_{ui} \\ \dot{\theta}_{ui} \\ \dot{\theta}_{ui} \\ \dot{\psi}_{ui} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-78)

where the rotations ϕ_{ui} etc satisfy equation (4-39), $Q_{\phi_{ui}}$ is defined by equation (3-14), and it can be shown that, using (4-39)

where

$$Q_{j}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \begin{bmatrix} x_{ci}^{(s)} \\ y_{ci}^{(s)} \\ z_{ci}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix}^{-A} x_{ci}^{(s)} Q_{\phi_{ui}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{ui} \\ \theta_{ui} \\ \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix} \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} T \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}^{(s)} \\ y_{i}^{(s)} \\ z_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}^{(s)} \\ y_{i}^{(s)} \\ z_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \\ + \begin{cases} Q_{\phi_{ui}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{ui} \\ \theta_{ui} \\ \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix} \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} L_{i}^{(s)} \\ H_{i}^{(s)} \\ H_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + B_{i} \frac{\partial \delta_{i}}{\partial q_{j}} \quad . \qquad (4-82)$$

By analogy with (4-78) and (4-79) we have, for any column vector $\{x \ y \ z\}$, and indeed as regards this equation the other vector $\{\phi_{ui} \ \theta_{ui} \ \psi_{ui}\}$ is also arbitrary,

$$-A_{\mathbf{x}}Q_{\phi_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{i}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\mathbf{j}}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{i}} \\ \theta_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{i}} \\ \theta_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{i}} \\ \psi_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{i}} \end{bmatrix} = S_{\phi_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{i}}} \frac{\partial S_{\phi_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{i}}}^{\mathsf{T}}}{\partial q_{\mathbf{j}}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-\partial S_{\phi_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{i}}}}{\partial q_{\mathbf{j}}} S_{\phi_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{i}}}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-83)

Substitution therefore verifies that, for ϕ_{ui} etc, satisfying (4-38), we have*

$$Q_{\phi_{ui}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{ui} \\ \theta_{ui} \\ \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix} = Q_{\phi_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{i} \\ \theta_{i} \\ \psi_{i} \end{bmatrix} + S_{\phi_{i}} Q_{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-84)

TM

Str 933

Bearing in mind equation (3-15), and the fact that

^{*} This expression can be deduced considering the angular velocity of the stripfixed axes as the sum of the angular velocity of the no-deformation-body-fixed axes, and the angular velocity, relative to these axes, of the strip-fixed axes.

$$A_{p_{i}^{(s)}} = S_{\phi_{ui}} \overset{S^{T}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}{\overset{\phi_{ui}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$$

41

The position of the strip reference point, relative to the origin of the constant-velocity axes, resolved along the strip-fixed axes, is given by, say

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{ci}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = S_{\phi_{\mathbf{i}}} \begin{cases} S \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \end{cases}$$
(4-80)

and so

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{i}^{(s)} \\ i \\ v_{i}^{(s)} \\ u_{i}^{(s)} \\ w_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \dot{y}_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \dot{z}_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \dot{z}_{ci}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} - A_{\substack{x_{ci}^{(s)} \\ x_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \dot{z}_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \dot{z}_{ci}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + S_{\phi_{i}}^{s} \begin{bmatrix} u_{f} \\ v_{f} \\ w_{f} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-81)

Consequently, from the work done in time δt , going to the limit $\delta t = 0$, we find that the virtual work done by the forces on the strip in small displacements $\delta q_1, \ldots, \delta q_{n+6}$ is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+6} Q_j^{(i)} \delta q_j$$

$$Q_{\phi_{i}} \approx Q_{\phi_{if}} - \cos \theta_{if} \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \right)^{-1} J_{\phi_{i} - \phi_{if}}$$

$$= Q_{\phi_{if}} - \cos \theta_{if} \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \right)^{-1} J_{\left(\begin{matrix} F \\ \vdots \\ q_{u} \end{matrix} \right)}$$
(4-85)

where J is defined below (equation (4-88)), we see that, using (4-83)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{3q_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ci}^{(e)} & \mathbf{y}_{ci}^{(e)} & \mathbf{z}_{ci}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{3}{3q_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ci}^{(e)} & \mathbf{y}_{ci}^{(e)} & \mathbf{z}_{ci}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{3}{3q_{n+6}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ci}^{(e)} & \mathbf{y}_{ci}^{(e)} & \mathbf{z}_{ci}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{\phi}_{i}}^{T} & \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{\phi}_{i}}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}^{(e)} \\ \mathbf{r}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{\phi}_{i}}^{T} \\ \mathbf{r}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{\phi}_{i}}^{T} \\ \mathbf{r}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{\phi}_{i}}^{T} \\ \mathbf{r}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{\phi}_{i}}^{T} \\ \mathbf{r}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{r}^{T} \\ \mathbf{r}^{T} \\ \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{r}^{T} \\ \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{r}^{T} \\ \mathbf{r}^{T} \\ \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{r}^{T} \\ \mathbf{r}^$$

44

where α_i etc is given by (3-13), $\phi_i - \phi_{if}$ by (3-3),

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau \\ \nu \\ \pi \end{bmatrix} = S_{\phi_{if}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(c)} \\ y_1^{(c)} \\ z_1^{(c)} \end{bmatrix}^2 + K \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
(4-87)
$$J_{\phi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \theta \\ 0 & 0 & -\phi \\ 0 & \phi & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-88)

0

φ

and

Similarly, using (4-84), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{ui} \theta_{ui} \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix} Q_{\phi_{ui}}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} F^{T} Q_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{ui} \theta_{ui} \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix} Q_{\phi_{ui}}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{n+6}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{ui} \theta_{ui} \psi_{ui} \end{bmatrix} Q_{\phi_{ui}}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^{T} \mathbf{Q}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -\cos \theta_{if} \mathbf{F}^{T} \mathbf{J}_{\phi_{i}}^{T} - \phi_{if} \mathbf{Q}_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{J}_{\phi}^{T} \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha_{i}} \end{bmatrix} .$ (4-89)

Furthermore, since, from (4-80), (3-2) and (3-15),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{ci}^{(s)}} &= \mathbf{S}_{\phi}_{i} \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{1}^{(s)} \mathbf{S}^{T} + \mathbf{A}_{x_{if}}^{(s)} + \mathbf{A}_{x_{if}}^{(s)} \mathbf{A}_{x_{if}^{(s)}}^{(s)} \mathbf{A}_{x_$$

..... (4-90)

we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} \left[x_{ci}^{(s)} & y_{ci}^{(s)} & z_{ci}^{(s)} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} \left[\psi_{ui} & \psi_{ui} \right] Q_{\phi_{ui}}^{T} x_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{2}} \left[x_{ci}^{(s)} & y_{ci}^{(s)} & z_{ci}^{(s)} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{2}} \left[\psi_{ui} & \psi_{ui} \right] Q_{\phi_{ui}}^{T} x_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{n+6}} \left[x_{ci}^{(s)} & y_{ci}^{(s)} & z_{ci}^{(s)} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{n+6}} \left[\psi_{ui} & \psi_{ui} \right] Q_{\phi_{ui}}^{T} x_{ci}^{(s)} \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ - \begin{bmatrix} x^{T} s_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \\ s^{T} s_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \\ s^{T} s_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \\ Q_{\phi}^{T} \left\langle s_{\phi_{i}}^{T} x_{ci}^{(s)} - A \\ \left(s_{i}^{T} \left[x_{ci}^{(s)} \right] \right\rangle \left[x_{ci}^{T} \right] \right] \right\rangle \begin{bmatrix} x^{T} s_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \\ x_{if}^{T} \phi_{if} \\ A_{xif} \phi_{if}^{T} A_{ai} + A \\ x_{if} \phi_{if}^{T} A_{ai} + A \\ \left(x_{if}^{T} \right) \right\rangle \begin{bmatrix} x^{T} s_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \\ y_{i}^{T} \\ y_{i} \\ y_{i} \\ y_{i} \\ z_{i} \\$$

TM Str 933

45

..... (4-91)

The forces and moments which appear in the strip contribution to the generalised force, equation (4-82), are given by equations (4-60) and (4-61), and so using these equations, along with (4-89) and (4-91), we have, writing

$$Q_{j} = \sum_{i} Q_{j}^{(i)} \approx Q_{jf} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+6} Q_{jk} q_{k}$$
 (4-92)

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{1f} \\ \vdots \\ Q_{n+6,f} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \kappa^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \\ S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \\ A_{x_{if}} \phi_{if} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ z_{if}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \\ 0 \\ S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \\ 0 \\ S_{if}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

= (see equations (4-64) and (4-73)):

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i} \left\{ \kappa^{T} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} x_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ z_{if}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + f B_{if}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
(4-93)
$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{f} \\ y_{f} \\ z_{f} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{f} \\ y_{f} \\ z_{f} \end{bmatrix}$$

TM Str 933

46

and the second second

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{jk} \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{i} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{k}^{T} \mathbf{s}^{T}_{\phi_{if}} \\ \mathbf{s}^{T}_{\phi_{if}} \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}_{if}} \mathbf{s}^{T}_{\phi_{if}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{iq}^{(a)} & \mathbf{z}_{ix}^{(a)} & \mathbf{z}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix}^{+} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}^{T} \mathbf{q}^{T}_{\phi_{if}} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{s}^{T}_{\phi_{if}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{s}^{T}_{\phi_{if}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{s}^{T}_{\phi_{if}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{s}^{T}_{\phi_{if}} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{iq}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{ix}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{iq}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{ig}^{(a)} & \mathbf{p}_{i\phi$$

..... (4-94)

where \mathcal{L}_{ϕ} is the lower triangular matrix formed from the elements of $\{\phi \ \theta \ \psi\}$ viz

and so

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} - \mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{A}_{\phi} \quad . \tag{4-96}$$

In addition $Y_{if}^{(2)}$, $L_{if}^{(2)}$, $N_{if}^{(2)}$ are each zero and so

TM Str 933

and

$$\mathbf{x}_{if}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -z_{if}^{(2)} & 0 \\ z_{if}^{(2)} & 0 & -x_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 & x_{if}^{(2)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-97)

and

$$A_{if}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & M_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -M_{if}^{(2)} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$
 (4-98)

The second and third rows of submatrices in the matrix $[Q_{ij}]$ are, as expected (*cf* Ref 1, equations (74) to (78) and Table 3), closely related to the coefficients in the expressions (see equations (4-64), (4-72), (4-74) to (4-76) and (4-62)) for the overall forces and moments. Making use of the general relationships (4-96), (4-5), and

A

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{x} S_{\phi}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = A_{x}^{A} \begin{pmatrix} S_{\phi}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} - A \begin{pmatrix} S_{\phi}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{A_{x}}$$

$$= A_{x} S^{T} A_{x} S_{\phi} - S_{\phi}^{T} A_{x} SA_{x}$$

$$(4-99)$$

we find that



These expressions for the aerodynamic contributions to the generalised forces (equations (4-93), (4-94) and (4-100)) are not restricted to the two-dimensional strip theory aerodynamic approximation. As remarked in section 4.23 they can be used in general provided the appropriate meaning is given to the various aero-dynamic coefficients.

4.5 Strip interference

An important factor affecting the dynamical behaviour of an aircraft, particularly when it is moving largely as a rigid body, is the aerodynamic interference between wing and tail. This is a particular manifestation of the fact that there is in general such interference between any two parts of the aircraft, *ie* in our representation, between any two strips. So far, in our analysis, we have largely ignored such interference. The various formulae that we have obtained can, as we have pointed out, be used with three-dimensional values for the aerodynamic coefficients, and so full account* can be taken of the interference effects. For example, the expression (4-21) for the overall forces on a strip referred to the strip-fixed axes could be extended to include terms

* Provided of course, that one has a useable aerodynamic theory for the whole configuration. Refs 8 and 9, for example, go a good way towards providing this for the subsonic case.

proportional to the perturbations of the velocities and control angle of the other strips - one or more of them - and the perturbations of their relative positions and orientation. Thus we can write

$$\begin{split} \begin{split} & \left[\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}$$

..... (4-101)

and similar expressions for the moments and hinge moment on the ith strip. In these expressions Δx_{ij} etc are measures of the change in the relative position and orientation of the ith and jth strips. The coefficients $\hat{x}_{ixj}^{(s)}$ etc will be functions of the position and orientation of the ith strip (ith strip-fixed axes) relative to the other strips (in the other strip-fixed axes) in the unperturbed state. The coefficients in the last term, $\hat{x}_{ixj}^{(s)}$ etc, can be taken as constants the coefficients in the middle term, $\hat{x}_{ixj}^{(s)}$ etc, will in general be differential operators - since any differential operator terms can be included in the other coefficients.

4.5.1 Using two-dimensional theory

Equation (4-101) indicates the possibility of making use of two-dimensional theory for interfering surfaces when one hasn't an adequate three-dimensional theory. It is, however, difficult to do this in general. A rather crude

approximate way of doing this is therefore suggested below. In certain circumstances it will become a good approximation, and in any case it should be in the right street.

If the y strip-fixed axes, of the ith and jth strips, were parallel, and the x strip-fixed axes were coplanar, in the datum state, and the datum flight path direction was parallel to that plane, then we could consider these strips as part of a two-dimensional configuration and obtain the aerodynamic interference accordingly. However, this is an exceptional situation. The way the aircraft has been divided into strips ensures that the last two conditions are satisfied when

$$y_{if} = y_{if}$$
, (4-102)

but to satisfy the other condition, we must have

$$\begin{cases} \text{either} & \phi_{\text{if}} = \phi_{\text{jf}} = 0 \quad (4-103) \\ \\ \text{or} & \phi_{\text{if}} = \phi_{\text{if}} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{\text{if}} = \theta_{\text{if}} \quad (4-104) \end{cases}$$

It is therefore suggested, as a rough approximation, that one should, for any pair of strips which satisfy (4-102), obtain (two-dimensional) interference aerodynamic forces, assuming (4-103) was true, and then multiply these* by $\cos(\phi_i - \phi_i)$. Thus (4-101) is rewritten as (*cf* equation (3-3))

^{*} The difference, between a force in the isolated and tandem configurations, is multiplied by $\cos(\phi_i - \phi_j)$ and then added to the force for the isolated configuration.

$$\begin{split} \bar{x}_{i}^{(s)}_{i} &\approx \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ z_{if}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix}^{\ast} + \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{x}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{x}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \hat{z}_{ix}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \hat{z}_{ix}^{(s)} & \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \hat{z}_{ix}^{(s)} & \hat{z}_{iz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \hat{z}_{iz}^{(s)} & \hat{z}_{ijz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \hat{z}_{ijf}^{(s)} & \hat{z}_{ijz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\delta}^{(2)} \\ \hat{z}_{ijx}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{ijz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} \\ \hat{z}_{ijx}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{ijz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} \\ \hat{z}_{izk}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{izk}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\deltak}^{(2)} \\ \hat{z}_{i\deltak}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\deltak}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\deltak}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\deltak}^{(2)} \\ \hat{z}_{i\deltak}^{(2)} &$$

52

+
$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{ijf}^{(2)} - x_{if}^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ z_{ijf}^{(2)} - z_{if}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (F)_{i-j} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix}$ sin $(\phi_{if} - \phi_{jf})$ (4-105)

where the jth strip is one which satisfies equation (4-102) - we are assuming, as will almost certainly be the case, that there is only one such strip - the subscript (i - j) denotes the difference between the values of the modal function at the ith and jth strips, and

$$\Delta \mathbf{x} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(n)} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{i} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{j}^{(n)} - \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} \sin \theta_{i} \right\}$$
$$- \left\{ (\mathbf{x}_{jf} - \mathbf{x}_{if}) \cos \theta_{if} - (\mathbf{z}_{jf} - \mathbf{z}_{if}) \sin \theta_{if} \right\}$$
$$\dots (4-106)$$

$$\Delta z = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_{j}^{(n)} - z_{i}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{i} + \begin{pmatrix} x_{j}^{(n)} - x_{i}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} \sin \theta_{i} \right\}$$
$$- \left\{ (z_{jf} - z_{if}) \cos \theta_{if} + (x_{jf} - x_{if}) \sin \theta_{if} \right\}$$
$$(4-107)$$
$$\Delta \theta = (\theta_{j} - \theta_{i}) - (\theta_{jf} - \theta_{if}) \qquad (4-108)$$

The use of equations (3-2), (3-3), (3-7) and (4-59) will enable one to write (4-105) entirely in terms of the generalised coordinates. The expressions for the moments and hinge moment at the ith strip will be similar to equation (4-105). The interference coefficients in the expressions, such as $x_{ijf}^{(2)}$, $\hat{x}_{ixj}^{(2)}$, $\hat{z}_{ixj}^{(2)}$ etc, will be functions of x_f^{ij} , z_f^{ij} , θ_f^{ij} , u_{if} , w_{if} , δ_{if} , δ_{jf} , *inter alia (cf* Fig 2), where

$$\mathbf{x}_{f}^{ij} = (\mathbf{x}_{jf} - \mathbf{x}_{if}) \cos \theta_{if} - (\mathbf{z}_{jf} - \mathbf{z}_{if}) \sin \theta_{if} \qquad (4-109)$$

$$z_{f}^{ij} = (z_{jf} - z_{if}) \cos \theta_{if} + (x_{jf} - x_{if}) \sin \theta_{if} \qquad (4-110)$$

$$\theta_{f}^{ij} = \theta_{jf} - \theta_{if} \quad . \tag{4-111}$$

To avoid ambiguity, the coefficients with subscripts ijx, ijz or $ij\theta$ $(eg \ \hat{x}^{(2)}_{ijx})$ are taken to be constants. The other coefficients may be differential operators.

For some the significance, in some respects, of these descriptions, such as (4-105), for the forces and moments on a strip, may be illuminated if we consider the 'purely two-dimensional' case, when*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{if} &= \psi_{if} &= 0 \\ \phi_{i} &= \psi_{i} &= 0 \\ \psi_{f} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$
 (4-112)

* From our specification of the datum motion (section 2) and the division into strips (section 3), ψ_{if} and v_{f} are always zero.

and similarly at the jth strip, and the perturbations are expressed as displacements relative to an inertial frame. No loss in generality is achieved by taking this frame to be the unperturbed strip-fixed axes (for each strip) and the body freedom displacements $x_1^{(c)}$, ..., ϕ , ..., to be zero. Then (*cf* equations (4-59), (3-2), (3-3), (3-7), (3-15) and footnote)

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{i}^{(s)} - u_{if}^{(us)} \\ w_{i}^{(s)} - w_{if}^{(us)} \\ q_{i}^{(s)} \\ \delta_{i} - \delta_{if} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 & -(w_{f} \cos \theta_{if} + u_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) & 0 \\ 0 & D & (u_{f} \cos \theta_{if} - w_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}^{(us)} \\ z_{i}^{(us)} \\ \theta_{i} - \theta_{if} \\ \delta_{i} - \delta_{if} \end{bmatrix}$$

..... (4-113)

Also

 $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta z \\ \Delta \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -z_{f}^{ij} & \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} & \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & x_{f}^{ij} & -\sin \theta_{f}^{ij} & \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}^{(us)} - x_{if}^{(us)} \\ z_{i}^{(us)} - z_{if}^{(us)} \\ \theta_{i}^{-} \theta_{if} \\ x_{j}^{(us)} - x_{jf}^{(us)} \\ z_{j}^{(us)} - z_{jf}^{(us)} \\ \theta_{j}^{-} \theta_{jf} \end{bmatrix}$

..... (4-114)

and so, for example, the forces on the ith strip, in the direction of the unperturbed strip-fixed axes, are:

 $+ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ijx}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ijz}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} \end{bmatrix} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i\dot{x}j}^{(2)} \mathbf{D} & \left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ijz}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ijx}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} \right) + \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i\dot{x}j}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 \\ \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ijx}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} - \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ijz}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} \right) + \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\dot{x}j}^{(2)} \mathbf{D} & \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ijx}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} + \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ijx}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} \right) + \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i\dot{x}j}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(us)} & \sim & \mathbf{s}_{a_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} \left[\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(s)} \right] & \sim & \mathbf{x}_{ijf}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(us)} & & \mathbf{y}_{i}^{(s)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(us)} & & \mathbf{z}_{i}^{(s)} & \mathbf{z}_{ijf}^{(s)} \end{array}$

 $+ \begin{bmatrix} -\hat{x}_{ijx}^{(2)} + \hat{x}_{iki}^{(2)} & -\hat{x}_{ijz}^{(2)} + \hat{x}_{iki}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 \\ -\hat{z}_{ijx}^{(2)} + \hat{z}_{iki}^{(2)} & -\hat{z}_{ijx}^{(2)} + \hat{z}_{iki}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$

This can be written as

 $\begin{bmatrix} x_{i}^{(us)} \\ i \\ y_{i}^{(us)} \\ z_{i}^{(us)} \\ i \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} x_{ijf}^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ z_{ijf}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=i,j} \begin{bmatrix} x_{ixk}^{(2)} & x_{i2k}^{(2)} & x_{i\delta k}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_{ixk}^{(2)} & z_{i\delta k}^{(2)} & z_{i\delta k}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{k}^{(us)} - x_{kf}^{(us)} \\ z_{k}^{(us)} - z_{kf}^{(us)} \\ \theta_{k} - \theta_{kf} \end{bmatrix}$ (4-116)

$$\begin{split} z_{ijf}^{(2)} &- \left(\hat{x}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} + z_{f}^{ij} \hat{x}_{ijz}^{(2)} - z_{f}^{ij} \hat{x}_{ijz}^{(2)} \right) - \hat{x}_{i\hat{x}i}^{(2)} (w_{f} \cos \theta_{if} + u_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) + \hat{x}_{i\hat{z}i}^{(2)} (u_{f} \cos \theta_{if} - w_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) + \hat{x}_{i\hat{\theta}i}^{(2)} D - \hat{x}_{i\hat{\theta}i} \right) \\ & 0 & 0 \\ & - x_{ijf}^{(2)} - \left(\hat{z}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} + z_{f}^{ij} \hat{z}_{ijx}^{(2)} - z_{i\hat{x}i}^{ij} \hat{z}_{i\hat{x}i}^{(2)} \right) - \hat{z}_{i\hat{x}i}^{(2)} (w_{f} \cos \theta_{if} + u_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) + \hat{z}_{i\hat{z}i}^{(2)} (u_{f} \cos \theta_{if} - w_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) + \hat{z}_{i\hat{\theta}i}^{(2)} D - \hat{z}_{i\hat{\theta}i} \right) \\ & \theta_{i} - \theta_{if} \\ & \delta_{i} - \delta_{if} \end{split}$$

 $\hat{x}_{ij0}^{(2)} - \hat{x}_{i\bar{x}j} (w_f \cos \theta_{j\bar{f}} + u_f \sin \theta_{j\bar{f}}) + \hat{x}_{i\bar{k}j}^{(2)} (u_f \cos \theta_{j\bar{f}} - w_f \sin \theta_{j\bar{f}}) + \hat{x}_{i\bar{k}j} D \quad \hat{x}_{i\bar{k}j}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 \\ \hat{z}_{ij0}^{(2)} - \hat{z}_{i\bar{x}j}^{(2)} (w_f \cos \theta_{j\bar{f}} + u_f \sin \theta_{j\bar{f}} + \hat{z}_{i\bar{k}j}^{(2)} (u_f \cos \theta_{j\bar{f}} - w_f \sin \theta_{j\bar{f}}) + \hat{z}_{i\bar{k}j}^{(2)} D \quad \hat{z}_{i\bar{k}j}^{(2)} \\ \theta_j - \theta_{j\bar{f}} \\ \theta_j - \theta_{j\bar{f}} \\ \theta_j - \theta_{j\bar{f}} \end{bmatrix}$

in the 'purely two-dimensional case', where, with $j \neq i$

$$x_{ixi}^{(2)} = -\hat{x}_{ijx}^{(2)} + \hat{x}_{ixi}^{(2)} D \qquad (4-117)$$

$$x_{jxj}^{(2)} = \hat{x}_{ijx}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} - \hat{x}_{ijz}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} + \hat{x}_{ixj}^{(2)} D \qquad (4-118)$$

Str 933 IM (4-115)

$$\begin{aligned} x_{izi}^{(2)} &= -\hat{x}_{ijz}^{(2)} + \hat{x}_{izi}^{(2)} D \end{aligned} (4-119) \\ x_{izj}^{(2)} &= \hat{x}_{ijz}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} + \hat{x}_{ijx}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} + \hat{x}_{izj}^{(2)} D \end{aligned} (4-120)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{i\theta i}^{(2)} &= \mathbf{z}_{ijf}^{(2)} - \left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} + \mathbf{z}_{f}^{ij} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ijx}^{(2)} - \mathbf{x}_{f}^{ij} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ijz}^{(2)} \right) \\ &- (\mathbf{w}_{f} \cos \theta_{if} + \mathbf{u}_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i\dot{x}i}^{(2)} + (\mathbf{u}_{f} \cos \theta_{if} - \mathbf{w}_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i\dot{z}i} \\ &+ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i\dot{\theta}i}^{(2)} \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$$
(4-121)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\theta\mathbf{j}}^{(2)} &= \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}\theta}^{(2)} - (\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}} \cos \theta_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{f}} + \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{f}} \sin \theta_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{f}}) \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{j}}^{(2)} + (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{f}} \cos \theta_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}} \sin \theta_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{f}}) \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{j}}^{(2)} \\ &+ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{\theta}\mathbf{j}}^{(2)} \mathbf{D} \end{aligned}$$
(4-122)

and similarly for the 'Z coefficients with in particular

$$z_{i\theta i}^{(2)} = -x_{ijf}^{(2)} - \left(\hat{z}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} + z_{f}^{ij}\hat{z}_{ijx}^{(2)} - x_{f}^{ij}\hat{z}_{ijz}^{(2)}\right) - (w_{f} \cos \theta_{if} + u_{f} \sin \theta_{if})\hat{z}_{i\dot{x}i}^{(2)} + (u_{f} \cos \theta_{if} - w_{f} \sin \theta_{if})\hat{z}_{i\dot{z}i}^{(2)} + \hat{z}_{i\dot{\theta}i}^{(2)}D \qquad (4-125)$$

These equations enable one to derive the 'circumflexed' coefficients from the 'uncircumflexed' coefficients. If we introduce the notation*

$$\varepsilon() = \text{steady* part of ()}$$
 (4-126)

$$\vartheta() = (1 - \varepsilon)()D^{-1}$$
 (4-127)

* Thus for $F = F_0 + F_1 D + F_2 D^2$ $\varepsilon(F) = F_0$ $\vartheta(F) = F_1 + F_2 D$.

and write, $j \neq 1$

-

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{i\theta i}^{\star} &= \mathbf{x}_{i\theta i}^{(2)} + (\mathbf{w}_{f} \cos \theta_{if} + \mathbf{u}_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) \partial \left(\mathbf{x}_{ixi}^{(2)} \right) - (\mathbf{u}_{f} \cos \theta_{if} - \mathbf{w}_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) \partial \left(\mathbf{x}_{izi}^{(2)} \right) \\ &- \mathbf{z}_{f}^{ij} \varepsilon \left(\mathbf{x}_{ixi}^{(2)} \right) + \mathbf{x}_{f}^{ij} \varepsilon \left(\mathbf{x}_{izi}^{(2)} \right) - \mathbf{z}_{ijf}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(4-128)$$

$$x_{i\theta j}^{*} = x_{i\theta j}^{(2)} + (w_{f} \cos \theta_{jf} + u_{f} \sin \theta_{jf}) \partial \left(x_{ixj}^{(2)} \right) - (u_{f} \cos \theta_{jf} - w_{f} \sin \theta_{jf}) \partial \left(x_{izj}^{(2)} \right)$$

$$\dots \dots (4-129)$$

$$Z_{i\theta i}^{*} = Z_{i\theta i}^{(2)} + (w_{f} \cos \theta_{if} + u_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) \partial (Z_{ixi}^{(2)}) - (u_{f} \cos \theta_{if} - w_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) \partial (Z_{izi}^{(2)}) - z_{f}^{ij} \varepsilon (Z_{ixi}^{(2)}) + x_{f}^{ij} \varepsilon (Z_{izi}^{(2)}) + x_{ijf}^{(2)}$$

$$(4-130)$$

$$Z_{i\theta j}^{*} = Z_{i\theta j}^{(2)} + (w_{f} \cos \theta_{jf} + u_{f} \sin \theta_{jf}) \partial \left(Z_{ixj}^{(2)}\right) - (u_{f} \cos \theta_{jf} - w_{f} \sin \theta_{jf}) \partial \left(Z_{izj}^{(2)}\right)$$

$$(4-131)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{ijx}^{(2)} & \hat{x}_{ijz}^{(2)} & \hat{x}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{z}_{ijx}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{ijz}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon \begin{bmatrix} -x_{ixi}^{(2)} - x_{izi}^{(2)} - x_{i\theta i}^{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -z_{ixi}^{(2)} - z_{izi}^{(2)} - z_{i\theta i}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \epsilon \begin{bmatrix} x_{ixj}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} + x_{izj}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} & x_{izj}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} - x_{ixj}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} & x_{i\theta j}^{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_{ixj}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} + z_{izj}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} & z_{izj}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} - z_{ixj}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} & z_{i\theta j}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{i\mathbf{\hat{x}k}}^{(2)} & \hat{x}_{i\mathbf{\hat{z}k}}^{(2)} & \hat{x}_{i\mathbf{\hat{\theta}k}}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{z}_{i\mathbf{\hat{x}k}}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\mathbf{\hat{z}k}}^{(2)} & \hat{z}_{i\mathbf{\hat{\theta}k}}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} x_{i\mathbf{x}k}^{(2)} & x_{i\mathbf{z}k}^{(2)} & x_{i\mathbf{\theta}k}^{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_{i\mathbf{x}k}^{(2)} & z_{i\mathbf{z}k}^{(2)} & z_{i\mathbf{\theta}k}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} .$$
(4-133)

These two equations, along with (4-123), (4-124) and their partners, give all the 'circumflexed' coefficients required in (4-105). Similar equations will give the coefficients appearing in the expressions for the moments and hinge moment.

For this 'purely two-dimensional' case the moment about the strip reference point referred to the unperturbed strip-fixed axes is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\mathbf{s})} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\mathbf{s})} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-134)

and so the moment about the origin of the unperturbed strip-fixed axes is

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{ui}^{(us)} \\ ui \\ M_{ui}^{(us)} \\ N_{ui}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{i}^{(us)} \\ M_{i}^{(us)} \\ N_{i}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} - A_{X_{i}^{(us)}} \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}^{(us)} - x_{if}^{(us)} \\ 0 \\ z_{i}^{(us)} - z_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\approx \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M_{i}^{(s)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_{ijf}^{(2)} \{ z_{i}^{(us)} - z_{if}^{(us)} \} - Z_{ijf}^{(2)} \{ x_{i}^{(us)} - x_{if}^{(us)} \} \end{bmatrix} . \quad (4-135)$$

We write this in a form similar to (4-116), ie

$$M_{ui}^{(us)} \approx M_{ijf}^{(2)} + \sum_{k=i,j} \left[M_{ixk}^{(2)} & M_{izk}^{(2)} & M_{i\theta k}^{(2)} & M_{i\delta k}^{(2)} \right] \left[x_k^{(us)} - x_{kf}^{(us)} \\ z_k^{(us)} - z_{kf}^{(us)} \\ \theta_k - \theta_{kf} \\ \delta_k - \delta_{kf} \right]$$
..... (4-136)

TM Str 933

while writing $M_i^{(s)}$ in the form of (4-105) and using (4-113) and (4-114) gives, after some analysis, the relationships:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{M}_{ijx}^{(2)} & \hat{M}_{ijz}^{(2)} & \hat{M}_{ij\theta}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = -\varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} M_{ixi}^{*} & M_{izi}^{*} & M_{i\theta i}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} M_{ixj}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} + M_{izj}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} & M_{izj}^{(2)} \cos \theta_{f}^{ij} - M_{ixj}^{(2)} \sin \theta_{f}^{ij} & M_{i\theta j}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\dots (4-137)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} \\ \mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^{(2)} & \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{\theta}\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \partial \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}^{\star} & \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{k}}^{\star} & \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{\theta}\mathbf{k}}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{k}}^{(2)}$$

$$(4-138)$$

$$(4-139)$$

where

 $M_{ixk}^{*} = M_{ixi}^{(2)} + Z_{ijf}^{(2)} \qquad (k = i)$ = $M_{ixk}^{(2)} \qquad (k \neq i) (4-140)$

$$M_{izk}^{*} = M_{izi}^{(2)} - X_{ijf}^{(2)} \qquad (k = i)$$

= $M_{izk}^{(2)} \qquad (k \neq i) (4-141)$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\theta\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{*}} &= \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\theta\mathbf{i}}^{(2)} + (\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}} \cos \theta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} + \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{f}} \sin \theta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}) \partial \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{i}}^{(2)} \right) \\ &- (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{f}} \cos \theta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}} \sin \theta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}) \partial \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{i}}^{(2)} \right) \\ &- \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}} \left\{ \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}\mathbf{f}}^{(2)} + \varepsilon \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{i}}^{(2)} \right) \right\} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}} \left\{ \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}\mathbf{f}}^{(2)} - \varepsilon \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{i}}^{(2)} \right) \right\} \quad (\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{i}) \\ &= \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\theta\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} + (\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}} \cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{f}} + \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{f}} \sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{f}}) \partial \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} \right) \\ &- (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{f}} \cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}} \sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{f}}) \partial \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} \right) \cdot (\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{i}) \quad (4-142) \end{split}$$

The corresponding relationships for the hinge moment coefficients are easily seen to be

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{B}_{i\dot{x}k}^{(2)} & \hat{B}_{i\dot{z}k}^{(2)} & \hat{B}_{i\dot{\theta}k}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \partial \begin{bmatrix} B_{ixk}^{(2)} & B_{izk}^{(2)} & B_{i\theta k}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-144)
$$\hat{B}_{i\delta k}^{(2)} = B_{i\delta k}^{(2)}$$
(4-145)

where

$$B_{i\theta k}^{\star} = B_{i\theta i}^{(2)} + (w_{f} \cos \theta_{if} + u_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) \partial \left(B_{ixi}^{(2)}\right)$$

$$- (u_{f} \cos \theta_{if} - w_{f} \sin \theta_{if}) \partial \left(B_{izi}^{(2)}\right)$$

$$- z_{f}^{ij} \varepsilon \left(B_{ixi}^{(2)}\right) + x_{f}^{ij} \varepsilon \left(B_{izi}^{(2)}\right) \qquad (k = i)$$

$$= B_{i\theta k}^{(2)} + (w_{f} \cos \theta_{kf} + u_{f} \sin \theta_{kf}) \partial \left(B_{ixk}^{(2)}\right)$$

$$- (u_{f} \cos \theta_{kf} - w_{f} \sin \theta_{kf}) \partial \left(B_{izk}^{(2)}\right) \qquad (k \neq i) \qquad (4-146)$$

4.5.2 And in terms of the generalised coordinates

From equations (3-2) and (3-3), it is easily seen that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{x} \\ \Delta \mathbf{z} \\ \Delta \mathbf{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \approx \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{if} & 0 & -\sin \theta_{if} \\ \sin \theta_{if} & 0 & \cos \theta_{if} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{(\mathbf{K})} \mathbf{j} - \mathbf{i} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{(\mathbf{F})} \mathbf{j} \\ - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & z_{f}^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & -x_{f}^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{(\mathbf{F})} \mathbf{i} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix} .$$

TM Str 933

..... (4-147)

Non- Andrew Street of the

Equation (4-59) gives the other coordinates that we require in terms of the generalised coordinates. The coefficients in equation (4-105) are given by equations (4-123), (4-124), (4-132) and (4-133). Putting all these together we obtain the following expression for the overall forces on the ith strip:

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} i_{1}^{(i)} \\ i_{1}^{(i)} \\ i_{1}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{*} \begin{bmatrix} x_{12}^{(i)} \\ 0 \\ z_{12}^{(i)} \\ z_{12}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{(1 - \cos (s_{1\ell} - s_{1\ell}))} + \begin{bmatrix} x_{1j\ell}^{(i)} \\ z_{1j\ell}^{(i)} \\ 0 \\ z_{1j\ell}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{(i)} \\ & + \begin{bmatrix} \left[\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{12}^{(i)} & 0 & x_{12}^{(i)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_{12}^{(i)} & 0 & z_{12}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} s_{i,\ell} \begin{bmatrix} A_{i,\ell} s_{i,\ell}^{s_{i,\ell}} s_{i,\ell}^{0} s_{i,\ell}^{(\ell')} i + (k) i^{0} & 10 & A_{i,\ell} - A_{i,\ell} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_{12}^{(i)} & 0 & z_{13}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \begin{bmatrix} s_{i,\ell}^{(\ell')} i^{0} & 0 & s_{i,\ell} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{12}^{(i)} & 0 & x_{12}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} & 0 & 0 \\ z_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & 0 & x_{12}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} & 0 & 0 \\ z_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{12}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & 0 & x_{12}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} & 0 & 0 \\ z_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & 0 & x_{12}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} & 0 & 0 \\ z_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & 0 & x_{12}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} & 0 & 0 \\ z_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{s} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_{10}^{(i)} & x_{10}^{(i)} \\ x_{10}^{$$

The interference coefficients (*ie* all the ones with a triple subscript) in the above expression will be functions of x_f^{ij} , z_f^{ij} , θ_f^{ij} , u_{if} , w_{if} , δ_{if} and δ_{jf} (*cf* equations (4-109) to (4-111). Similar expressions can be obtained for the moments and hinge moment on a strip, to take some account of the aerodynamic interference between strips, using the relationships (4-137) to (4-139) and (4-143) to (4-145).

5 THE OTHER CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE GENERALISED FORCES

The contributions to the generalised forces* from the other forces* (gravitational, structural, etc) acting on the aircraft can be obtained, as is most convenient, either by obtaining expressions for the translational forces, moments and hinge moment on a strip and proceeding as we have done for the aerodynamic forces (*cf* section 4.4 and in particular, equations (4-82), (4-89) and (4-91)), or by substituting the expressions for the local forces and modal functions in the formula obtained in Ref 1 (section 6.1) and extended, for our form of deformation, in Appendix A of this paper (equations (A-12) and (A-13). With the latter approach, the modal functions required are (see equations (3-20) and (A-7)):

$$R = K - S_{\phi if x_{sif}}^{T} A_{(us)} Q_{\phi if y_{sif}}^{Q} F + S_{\phi if \delta}^{T} P_{\delta}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{sie} - x_{hsi} \\ y_{sie} \\ z_{sie} \end{bmatrix} f^{T} (5-1)$$

at the ith strip ,

$$M_0 = S_{\phi_{if}}^T Q_{\phi_{if}}^F$$
 (5-2)

* The word force, without the adjective effective, is to be understood as meaning applied force. The distinction is between applied forces and reversed effective forces (cf section 6).

62

63

(5-9)

$$M_{1} = S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} P_{\delta_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ f^{T}\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-4)

$$N_{1} = -S_{\phi \text{ if }}^{T} P_{\delta \text{ if }}^{T} A_{\text{sie}} - x_{\text{hsi}} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ f^{T}\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-5)

and

$$U = \cos \theta_{if} S_{\phi_{if} x_{sif}}^{T} (us) \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \right)^{-1} . \qquad (5-6)$$

With the former approach, that is proceeding as in section 4.4, if typical forces, moments and hinge moment on a strip are, referred to the strip-fixed axes,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \bar{y}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \bar{z}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{if}^{(us)} \\ \bar{y}_{if}^{(us)} \\ \bar{z}_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+6} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{ik} \\ \bar{y}_{ik} \\ \bar{y}_{ik} \\ \bar{z}_{ik} \end{bmatrix} q_{k}$$
(5-7)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{L}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \overline{M}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \overline{N}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \overline{N}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{L}_{ig}^{(us)} \\ \overline{M}_{if}^{(us)} \\ \overline{N}_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+6} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{L}_{ik} \\ \overline{M}_{ik} \\ \overline{M}_{ik} \\ \overline{N}_{ik} \end{bmatrix} q_{k}$$
(5-8)
$$= \overline{B}_{i} = \overline{B}_{if} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+6} \overline{B}_{ik} q_{k}$$
(5-9)

and

$$\bar{q}_{j}^{(i)} \approx \bar{q}_{jf}^{(i)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+6} \bar{q}_{jk}^{(i)} q_{k}$$
 (5-10)

100

where

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \overline{Q}_{1f}^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{Q}_{n+6,f}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \\ S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \\ A_{x_{if}} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{x}_{i(us)}^{(us)} \\ \overline{y}_{if}^{(us)} \\ \overline{z}_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \\ 0 \\ S_{if}^{T} \\ S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{u}_{i(us)}^{(us)} \\ \overline{n}_{if}^{(us)} \\ \overline{n}_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} f\overline{B}_{if} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-11)

and

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{jk}^{(i)} & - \begin{bmatrix} \kappa^{T} \mathbf{s}_{if}^{T} \\ \mathbf{s}_{if}^{T} \\ \mathbf{a}_{xif}^{T} \mathbf{s}_{if}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \cdots \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i,n+6} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \cdots \cdots \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^{T} \mathbf{q}_{if}^{T} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{s}_{if}^{T} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \cdots \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i,n+6} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \cdots \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^{T} \mathbf{q}_{if}^{T} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \cdots \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}^{T} \mathbf{q}_{if}^{T} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \cdots \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i,n+6} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \cdots \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \kappa^{T} \mathbf{s}_{if}^{T} \\ \mathbf{x}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \cdots \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{q}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \kappa^{T} \mathbf{s}_{if}^{T} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \cdots \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \kappa^{T} \mathbf{s}_{if}^{T} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \kappa^{T} \mathbf{s}_{if}^{T} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} \\ - \mathbf{s}_{if}^{T} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{i1} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{s}_{if}^{T} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{x}}_{if}$$

..... (5-12)

5.1 The gravitational contribution

The gravitational force on a particle is

referred to the constant-velocity axes where $\ell_{\Phi_{f}}$ is the last column of $S_{\Phi_{f}}$ and the vector $\{\Phi_{f} \ \Theta_{f} \ \Psi_{f}\}$ is given by equation (2-2). Thus

$${}^{\ell}\Phi_{f} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin\Theta_{f} \\ \sin\Phi_{f}\cos\Theta_{f} \\ \cos\Phi_{f}\cos\Theta_{f} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin\Theta_{f} \\ 0 \\ \cos\Theta_{f} \end{bmatrix} .$$
(5-14)

Consequently the local gravitational force referred to the strip-fixed axes is

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{gi}^{(s)} \\ f_{gi}^{(s)} \\ g_{gi}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \delta mg S_{\phi_i} S \ell_{\Phi_f}$$
(5-15)

and the overall forces, moments, and hinge moment on a strip are, respectively, making use of equations (3-15) and (3-8), and defining m_i as the mass of the ith strip (*cf* equation (6-17)).

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{gi}^{(s)} \\ y_{gi}^{(s)} \\ z_{gi}^{(s)} \\ z_{gi}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix}^{\approx} m_{i}g \begin{cases} s_{\phi_{if}} \ell_{\Phi_{f}} + \begin{bmatrix} s_{\phi_{if}} \ell_{\ell_{\Phi_{f}}} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}} & 0 & s_{\phi_{if}} \ell_{\ell_{\Phi_{f}}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n+6} \end{bmatrix} \end{cases}$$
(5-16)

TM Str 933

a second and a second second

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{gi}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{g}_{i}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{M}_{gi}^{(a)} \\ \mathbf{N}_{gi}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix} = g\left(\sum_{\mathbf{s} t \mathbf{r} i \mathbf{p}} \delta \mathbf{m} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{s} i}\right) S_{\phi_{i}} S_{i} \phi_{f}$$

$$\approx \mathbf{m}_{i} g\left\{ \mathbf{A}_{a_{i0}} S_{\phi_{if}} \delta \phi_{f}^{(a)} + \left[\mathbf{A}_{a_{i0}} S_{\phi_{if}} \delta_{i} \phi_{f}^{(a)} \delta_{i} f^{(a)} \delta_{i} f^{(a)}$$

..... (5-17)

 $\mathbf{g_{gi}^{(a)} - g[0 \ 1 \ 0]} \left(\sum_{f \mid ap} \delta_{mA}_{x_{si}^{(s)} - x_{hsi}} \right) S_{\phi_i} S_{\phi_i}^{i} \Phi_f$ $\approx \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{g}} \left\{ - \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{3}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{f} + \left[- \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{3}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{b$

..... (5-18)

TM Str 933

where, when the flap angle is zero, referred to the strip-fixed axes

the strip cg is at $\left\{ \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}0} \quad 0 \quad 0 \right\}$

and the flap cg* is at ${x_{hsi} + x_{i2} = 0 = 0}$,

and where m_i , $\pi_i m_i$ are respectively the mass of the strip and of the flap part of the strip, and

* For an unmassbalanced flap one will expect x₁₂ to be negative.

$$\mathbf{a}_{i1} = \pi_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-19)

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}3} = \pi_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}2} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-20)

$$a_{i0} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{i0} - \pi_{i} x_{i2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + p_{\delta if}^{T} a_{i1} .$$
 (5-21)

In this case, it is clearly much simpler to use the formulae of Appendix A (equations (A-12) and (A-13)) rather than carry out a similar analysis to that of section 4.4. Using (5-13) we then find that the gravitational contribution to the generalised force in the jth degree of freedom is

$$-G_{j} = -G_{jf} - \sum_{k=1}^{n+6} G_{jk}q_{k}$$
 (5-22)

where
$$\begin{bmatrix} G_{1f} \\ \vdots \\ G_{n+6,f} \end{bmatrix} = -g \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i} m_{i} \left(K^{T} + F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i0}} S_{\phi_{if}} - f a_{i3}^{T} P_{\delta_{if}} S_{\phi_{if}} \right) \\ mI \\ \sum_{i} m_{i} A_{x_{if0}} \end{bmatrix} \ell_{\Phi_{f}}$$
(5-23)

with

 $\begin{bmatrix} x_{if0} \\ y_{if0} \\ z_{if0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{if} \\ y_{if} \\ z_{if} \end{bmatrix} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} a_{i0}$ (5-24)

and I a 3 × 3 unit matrix, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_{jk} \end{bmatrix} = -g \sum_{i} m_{i} \begin{bmatrix} G_{qq}^{(i)} & 0 & G_{q\phi}^{(i)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G_{\phi q}^{(i)} & 0 & G_{\phi \phi}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-25)

and the non-zero submatrices in G_{jk} are $G_{qq}^{(i)} = F^{T} \left\{ Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i0}} S_{\phi_{if}}^{A} A_{e} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}} - \cos \theta_{if} \mathcal{L} \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} A_{a_{i0}} S_{\phi_{if}} A_{e} f_{f} \right) \right\} F$ $- F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} P_{\delta_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i3}}^{P} S_{\delta_{if}} S_{\phi_{if}} A_{e} f_{f}^{T}$ $- fa_{i3}^{T} P_{\delta_{if}} S_{\phi_{if}} A_{e} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}} F$ $- fa_{i1}^{T} P_{\delta_{if}} S_{\phi_{if}} A_{e} f_{f}^{T}$ (5-26)

$$G_{q\phi}^{(i)} = \left\{ \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{Q}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A}_{ai0} \mathbf{S}_{\phi}_{if} - f \mathbf{a}_{i3}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{P}_{\delta if} \mathbf{S}_{\phi}_{if} \right\} \mathbf{A}_{\varrho \Phi_{f}}$$
(5-27)

$$G_{\phi q}^{(i)} = G_{q\phi}^{(i)^T}$$
(5-28)

$$G_{\phi\phi}^{(i)} = A_{x_{if0}} A_{\ell} - f_{(A_{x_{if0}} \Phi_f)}$$
(5-29)

In obtaining these expressions use has been made of the general relationship, for any vectors $\{\phi\ \ldots\}$ and $\{x\ \ldots\}$,

TM Str 933

$$Q_{\phi}^{T}A_{x}Q_{\phi} = \cos \theta A_{\phi} \left[\begin{matrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{matrix} \right]$$
$$= \cos \theta \left\{ \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{Q_{\phi}^{-1}} \left[\begin{matrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{matrix} \right] - \mathcal{L}_{Q_{\phi}^{-1}}^{T} \left[\begin{matrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{matrix} \right] \right\} .$$
(5-30)

69

5.2 The structural contribution

In this case it is simplest to use equations (4-91), (4-89) and (4-82) where the forces and moments in the latter equation are the structural forces, moments and hinge moment on a strip. These forces will be independent of the rigid body perturbation of the aircraft and so we can write them respectively as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{Y}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{si}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{sif}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{Y}_{sif}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{sif}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{sik} \\ \mathbf{Y}_{sik} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{sik} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{k}$$
(5-31)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{sif}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{sif}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{sif}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{M}_{sif}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{M}_{sif}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{N}_{sif}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{sik} \\ \mathbf{M}_{sik} \\ \mathbf{N}_{sik} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{k}$$
(5-32)

and

$$B_{si}^{(s)} = B_{sif}^{(s)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{sik}q_{k}$$
 (5-33)

Now the structure cannot exert any overall force or moment on itself and so it is easily shown that

$$\sum_{i} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} x_{sif}^{(s)} = 0$$
 (5-34)

IM Str 933
$$\sum_{i} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} X_{si1} \cdots X_{sin} \\ Y_{si1} \cdots Y_{sin} \\ Z_{si1} \cdots Y_{sin} \end{bmatrix}^{-A} X_{sif}^{(s)} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{(s)} F = 0 \quad (5-35)$$

$$\sum_{i} \left\{ S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} L_{sif}^{(s)} + A_{x_{if}}^{(s)} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} X_{sif}^{(s)} \right\} = 0 \quad (5-36)$$

and

$$\sum_{i} \left\langle S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} L_{si1} \cdots L_{sin} \\ M_{si1} \cdots \\ N_{si1} \cdots \\ N_{si1} \cdots \\ K_{if} \end{bmatrix}^{-A} L_{sif}^{(s)Q_{\phi_{if}}} F^{-A} L_{sif}^{(s)S_{\phi_{if}}} K \right\} \\ + A_{x_{if}} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} X_{si1} \cdots X_{sin} \\ Y_{si1} \cdots \\ Z_{si1} \cdots \\ Z_{si1} \cdots \\ M_{sif} \end{bmatrix}^{-A} L_{sif}^{(s)Q_{\phi_{if}}} F \right\} = 0 \quad . \quad (5-37)$$

We then find that the structural contribution to the generalised forces is typically

$$-E_{j} = -E_{jf} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{jk}q_{k}$$
 (5-38)

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{1f} \\ \vdots \\ E_{n+6,f} \end{bmatrix} = -\sum_{i} \begin{bmatrix} K^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} X_{sif}^{(s)} + F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} L_{sif}^{(s)} + f B_{sif}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-39)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{k}} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} E_{qq} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-40)

TM Str 933

70

and the submatrix E_{qq} is

$$E_{qq} = \sum_{i} \left\langle K^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} X_{si1} \cdots X_{sin} \\ Y_{si1} \cdots \\ Z_{si1} \cdots \\ Z_{si1} \cdots \\ \end{bmatrix}^{-A} X_{sif}^{(s)} F \right\} + F^{T} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} L_{si1} \cdots L_{sin} \\ M_{si1} \cdots \\ N_{si1} \cdots \\ N_{si1} \cdots \\ \end{bmatrix}^{-\cos \theta_{if}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} L_{sif}^{(s)} \\ Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} L_{sif}^{(s)} \\ \end{array} \right\} + f \left[B_{si1}^{(s)} \cdots B_{sin}^{(s)} \right] \right\}$$

$$(5-41)$$

This all appears very simple, but of course quite a lot of work may be involved in getting the structural forces, $X_{si}^{(s)}$ etc, on a strip. If the strip can be considered as a slice of a beam then these forces and moments are the increments in the shearing forces, bending moments, etc, going from one side to the other of the strip.

5.3 The propulsive contribution

In Refs 1 and 2 a very simple model of the propulsive force was used. Improvement of that model is being considered, amongst other things, in another paper⁶ currently being written. We will therefore in the present paper stick to the simple model though for convenience it is not quite the same model as that used previously^{1,2}. Thus we assume that the propulsive force acting on a strip is such that it has constant components in the direction of the strip-fixed axes, and similarly for the propulsive moment about the strip reference point. That is, we assume

=	X ^(s) pif	
	Y ^(s) pif	
	Z ^(s) pif	
	-	$ = \begin{bmatrix} x_{pif}^{(s)} \\ y_{pif}^{(s)} \\ z_{pif}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} $

(5-42)

L ^(s) pi	-	L(s) pif
M ^(s) pi		M(s) Mpif
N ^(s) pi		N(s) pif

We also assume that there is no propulsive force or moment on the flap part of any strip.

The propulsive contribution to the generalised force in the jth degree of freedom is then easily seen to be

$$-P_{j} = -P_{jf} - \sum_{k=1}^{n+6} P_{jk}q_{k}$$
 (5-44)

where (cf section 4.1)

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{1f} \\ \vdots \\ P_{n+6,f} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i} \begin{cases} k^{T} S_{\phi if}^{T} \\ x^{(s)}_{pif} \\ z^{(s)}_{pif} \end{cases} + F^{T} Q_{\phi if}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} L_{pif}^{(s)} \\ M_{pif}^{(s)} \\ x^{(s)}_{pif} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-45)
$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{n+6,f} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k^{T} S_{\phi if}^{T} \\ x^{(s)}_{pif} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{pf} \\ y_{pf} \\ z_{pf} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{pf} \\ y_{pf} \\ z_{pf} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L_{pf} \\ M_{pf} \\ N_{pf} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-46)
$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{jk} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} P_{qq} & 0 & 0 \\ P_{xq} & 0 & P_{x\phi} \\ P_{\phi q} & 0 & P_{\phi \phi} \end{bmatrix}$$

72

TM Str 933

(5-43)

the non-zero submatrices are

$$P_{qq} = \sum_{i} \left\{ -\kappa^{T} s_{\phi_{if} X_{pif}}^{T} A_{(s)} q_{\phi_{if}}^{F} - \cos \theta_{if} F^{T} \ell \left(q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} L_{(s)}^{(s)} \\ P_{pif} \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\}$$
(5-47)

$$P_{xq} = \sum_{i} \left\{ -s_{\phi_{if} X_{pif}}^{T} A_{(s)} q_{\phi_{if}}^{F} \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{pq}^{(a)} \\ P_{qq} \\ y_{pq}^{(a)} \\ z_{pq}^{(a)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-48)

$$P_{\phi q} = \sum_{i} \left\{ -s_{\phi_{if} X_{pif}}^{T} A_{(s)} s_{\phi_{if}}^{K} K_{pif}^{K} \right\}$$
(5-48)

$$- \begin{pmatrix} A_{x_{if}} S_{\phi if}^{T} A_{pif} + S_{\phi if L_{pif}}^{T} \end{pmatrix} Q_{\phi if}^{F} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{pq}^{(c)} \\ M_{pq}^{(c)} \\ N_{pq}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-49)

$$P_{x\phi} = \sum_{i} \left\{ - s_{\phi_{if} X_{pif}}^{T} s_{\phi_{if}} \right\} = - A_{X_{pf}}$$
(5-50)

$$P_{\phi\phi} = \sum_{i} \left\{ -\mathcal{L}_{\left(\begin{array}{c} A_{sf} \\ x_{if} \\ y_{if} \\ y_{if} \\ y_{if} \\ z_{if} \\ z_{i$$

and the overall propulsive forces and moments, about the origin of the constant-velocity axes, and referred to those axes are (cf section 4.3)

TM Str 933

and a state of the second se

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{p}^{(c)} \\ y_{p}^{(c)} \\ z_{p}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i} S_{\phi if}^{T} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_{pif}^{(s)} \\ y_{pif}^{(s)} \\ z_{pif}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} - A_{x_{pif}^{(s)}} \begin{bmatrix} q_{\phi if}^{F} & 0 & s_{\phi if} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n+6} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$= (say) \begin{bmatrix} x_{pf} \\ y_{pf} \\ z_{pf} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_{pq}^{(c)} & x_{px}^{(c)} & x_{p\phi}^{(c)} \\ y_{pq}^{(c)} & y_{px}^{(c)} & y_{p\phi}^{(c)} \\ z_{pq}^{(c)} & z_{px}^{(c)} & z_{p\phi}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n+6} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5-52)
$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{ep}^{(c)} \\ z_{pf}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + A_{x_{if}} \frac{s_{if}^{T}}{s_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} x_{pif}^{(e)} \\ x_{pif}^{(c)} \\ z_{pf}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{i} \left(\begin{bmatrix} s_{ii}^{T} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} + A_{x_{if}} \frac{s_{if}^{T}}{s_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} x_{pif}^{(e)} \\ x_{pif}^{(e)} \\ z_{pif}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} s_{i}^{T} \\ s_{ii}^{(c)} \\ s_{ii}^{(c)} \\ s_{ii}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_{ij}^{(e)} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ z_{pif}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ z_{pif}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{s_{ii}^{T} \\ s_{ii}^{(e)} \\ s_{$$

The corresponding matrix of coefficients P_{jk} given in Ref 1 (Table 3) contained the matrix P_q of modal slopes at the aircraft reference point, *ie*

$$P_{q} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{f}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{f}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{f}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
(5-54)

TM Str 933

74

With our modal matrix R, equation (3-20), it is easily shown that

$$P_{q} = \left(S_{\phi \text{ if }}^{T} Q_{\phi \text{ if }}^{F}\right)_{0}$$
(5-55)

where the subscript 0 indicates that the expression is evaluated for the strip containing the reference point. If therefore, the only propulsive forces acting on the aircraft are acting on the main part of this strip, the submatrices in (5-46) have the particular values:

$$P_{qq} = - \left(\kappa^{T} A_{X_{pf}} P_{q} - \cos \theta_{if} F^{T} \mathcal{L} \right) \left(\sqrt{\frac{Q_{\phi if}}{M_{if}} \varphi_{if}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{Q_{\phi if}}{M_{pf}}} - A_{x_{if}} \left[\frac{X_{pf}}{X_{pf}} \right] \right) \right) \right) \right)$$
(5-56)

$$P_{xq} = -A_{x_{pf}}P_{q}$$
(5-57)

$$P_{\phi q} = -A_{X_{pf}}(K)_{0} - \left\{A_{L_{pf}} + A_{X_{pf}}(A_{x_{if}})_{0}\right\}P_{q}$$
(5-58)

$$P_{x\phi} = -A_{x_{pf}}$$
(5-59)

$$P_{\phi\phi} = -\mathcal{L}_{L_{pf}}$$
 (5-60)

Thus, in this particular case, when the present assumption and that of Ref 1, as regards the propulsive forces, become identical, all these, except for P_{qq} , are, as expected, the same as the expressions* obtained in Ref 1, Table 3. The difference in the P_{qq} , as in the other contributions to the generalised forces, arises from the fact that the expressions for the deformations, here and in Ref 1, only agree to first order in the generalised coordinates (*cf* Appendix A).

* On the main part of the strip containing the reference point $R = \begin{pmatrix} K - \begin{cases} A_{x_{f}} - A_{x_{if}} \end{cases} P_{q} \end{pmatrix}_{0}.$

6 THE GENERALISED EFFECTIVE FORCES

The velocity of the constant-velocity axes, resolved along the strip-fixed axes for the ith strip, is, using (3-15),

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{f}^{(is)} \\ v_{f}^{(is)} \\ w_{f}^{(is)} \end{bmatrix} = S_{\phi_{i}} S \begin{bmatrix} u_{f} \\ v_{f} \\ w_{f} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\approx S_{\phi_{if}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} u_{f} \\ v_{f} \\ w_{f} \end{bmatrix} + A_{u_{f}} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}} F \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ q_{1} \end{bmatrix} + A_{u_{f}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} \right\} . \quad (6-1)$$

Consequently, the velocity of a particle relative to the constant velocity axes, and resolved along the strip-fixed axes, is, from (4-6),

TM Str 933

76

1

- 8

The kinetic energy W , of the system, relative to the constant velocity axes, is given by

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \sum \delta m \left[u_{mi}^{(s)} - u_{f}^{(is)} + v_{mi}^{(s)} - v_{f}^{(is)} + w_{mi}^{(s)} - w_{f}^{(is)} \right] \left[u_{mi}^{(s)} - u_{f}^{(is)} + u_{f}^{(i$$

and so it is easily seen that the generalised effective forces are

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \dot{q}_{1}} \right) - \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_{1}} \approx \sum_{\delta m} \begin{bmatrix} R^{T} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \\ s_$$

Now, we have from (5-21) and (5-24)

$$\sum \delta m A_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{f}}} \equiv \sum \delta m \left(A_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}} + S_{\phi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}}^{\mathbf{T}} A_{(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{s})} S_{\phi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}}} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} m_{\mathbf{i}} A_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}0}}$$
(6-5)

and we write*

st

$$-\sum \delta m A_{x_{f}}^{2} \equiv -\sum \delta m \left(A_{x_{if}} + S_{\phi_{if} x_{sif}}^{T} A_{(us)} S_{\phi_{if}} \right)^{2} = I_{n} \quad . \quad (6-6)$$

Thus, the inertia matrix is the symmetric matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{jk} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum \delta m R^{T} R & \sum \delta m R^{T} & -\sum \delta m R^{T} A_{x_{f}} \\ \sum \delta m R & mI & -\sum \delta m A_{x_{f}} \\ \sum \delta m A_{x_{f}} R & \sum \delta m A_{x_{f}} & I_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(6-7)

where R is given by equation (3-20) and $\{x_f \ y_f \ z_f\}$ by equation (3-21). We can write the various submatrices in (6-7) in terms of the inertia properties of the strip though when transcribed at length the result is rather messy. To do so we write

$$\sum_{\text{strip}} \delta m A_{\text{sif}}^{2} = -I_{i0} \equiv -\begin{bmatrix} I_{(i0)}^{(i0)} & -I_{xy}^{(i0)} & -I_{xz}^{(i0)} \\ -I_{xy}^{(i0)} & I_{y}^{(i0)} & -I_{yz}^{(i0)} \\ -I_{xz}^{(i0)} & -I_{yz}^{(i0)} & I_{z}^{(i0)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(6-8)
$$\sum_{\text{rip flap}} \delta m A_{(x_{\text{sie}}^{-s}_{\text{hsi}})}^{2} = -I_{i1} \equiv -\begin{bmatrix} I_{(i1)}^{(i1)} & -I_{xy}^{(i1)} & -I_{xz}^{(i1)} \\ -I_{xy}^{(i1)} & -I_{yz}^{(i1)} & -I_{yz}^{(i1)} \\ -I_{xy}^{(i1)} & -I_{yz}^{(i1)} & -I_{yz}^{(i1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(6-9)

and make use of the definitions of section 5.1, equations (5-21) and (5-19), which give

* If the constant-velocity axes coincide with the principal axes of inertia during the datum motion then I_n will be diagonal (= diag{ $I_x I_y I_z$ }) where I_x , I_y , I_z are the principal moments of inertia of the aircraft in its datum state.

78

TM Str 933

$$\sum_{\text{strip}} \delta m A_{\substack{\text{us} \\ \text{sif}}} = m_i A_{\substack{a \\ i0}}$$
(6-10)

$$\sum_{\text{strip flap}} \delta_{\text{mA}}(x_{\text{sie}}^{-x} - x_{\text{hsi}}) = m_{i}A_{i1} \qquad (6-11)$$

In passing, we note that this means that

-

$$\sum_{\text{strip}} \delta m A_{x_{\text{sie}}}^2 = -I_{10} - m_i \left(A_{x_{\text{hsi}}} \left\{ P_{\delta_{\text{if}}}^T A_{a_1} P_{\delta_{\text{if}}} - A_{a_{11}} \right\} + \left\{ P_{\delta_{\text{if}}}^T A_{a_1} P_{\delta_{\text{if}}} - A_{a_{11}} \right\} A_{x_{\text{hsi}}} \right) + \left(P_{\delta_{\text{if}}}^T I_{11} P_{\delta_{\text{if}}} - I_{11} \right) - (6-12)$$

and so, as with $a_{i0}^{}$, $I_{i0}^{}$ is a function of $\delta_{if}^{}$

$$I_{i0} = (I_{i0})_{\delta_{if}=0} - m_{i} \left(A_{x_{hsi}} \left\{ P_{\delta_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i1}}^{P} \delta_{if} - A_{a_{i1}} \right\} + \left\{ P_{\delta_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i1}}^{P} \delta_{if} - A_{a_{i1}} \right\} A_{x_{hsi}} \right) + \left(P_{\delta_{if}}^{T} I_{i1}^{P} \delta_{if} - I_{i1} \right) A_{x_{hsi}} \right) + \left(P_{\delta_{if}}^{T} I_{i1}^{P} \delta_{if} - I_{i1} \right) A_{x_{hsi}} \right)$$

With the definitions of equation (6-8) to (6-11) we find that the terms involving the deformation modes in (6-7) are

$$\sum_{\delta mR} = \sum_{i} m_{i} \left\{ K - S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i0}} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{F} - S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} P_{\delta_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i1}} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ f^{T}\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
(6-14)

TM Str 933

the second se

$$\begin{aligned} \delta 0 \\ &\sum_{j=0}^{\delta mA} x_{f}^{R} = \sum_{i} \left(m_{i} \left\{ \left(A_{x_{if}} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i0}} S_{\phi_{if}} \right) K - A_{x_{if}} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i0}} Q_{\phi_{if}} F \right. \\ &- \left(A_{x_{if}} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{x_{hsi}} \right) P_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i1}} \left[\frac{0}{f} \right] \right\} \\ &+ s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left\{ l_{i0} Q_{\phi_{if}} F + P_{\phi_{if}}^{T} I_{i1}} \left[\frac{0}{f} \right] \right\} \right) \end{aligned} (6-15) \\ &\sum_{j=0}^{\delta mR^{T}R} = \sum_{i} \left(m_{i} \left\{ K^{T}K - K^{T} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i0}} Q_{\phi_{if}} F \right. \\ &+ F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i0}} Q_{\phi_{if}} F \right. \\ &+ F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i0}} Q_{\phi_{if}} F \\ &+ F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i0}} S_{\phi_{if}} K - \left(K^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} + F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{x_{hsi}} \right) P_{\delta_{if}}^{T} A_{a_{i1}} \left[\frac{0}{f} \right] \\ &+ \left[0 \quad f \quad 0 \right] A_{a_{i1}} P_{\delta_{if}} \left(S_{\phi_{if}} K + A_{x_{hsi}} Q_{\phi_{if}} F \right) \right] \\ &+ \left\{ F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} I_{i0} Q_{\phi_{if}} F + F^{T} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} P_{\delta_{if}}^{T} I_{i1} \left[\frac{0}{f} \right] \\ &+ \left[0 \quad f \quad 0 \right] I_{i1} P_{\delta_{if}} Q_{\phi_{if}} F \right] \end{aligned}$$

(6-16)

 $+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{i1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f^T \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

81

The other terms are given by (6-5),

$$m = \sum_{i} m_{i}$$
(6-17)

and

$$I_{n} = \sum_{i} \left(s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} I_{i0} s_{\phi_{if}} - m_{i} \left\{ A_{x_{if}}^{2} + A_{x_{if}} s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a} s_{\phi_{if}} + s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{a} s_{\phi_{if}} A_{a} s_{\phi_{if}} \right\} \right). \quad (6-18)$$

7 THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Lagrange's equations merely equate the generalised forces and the generalised effective forces*. Stating this equality for our (n + 6) degrees of freedom under the assumption of small perturbations gives two sets of (n + 6) simultaneous equations. With the datum motion that we have taken - briefly constant linear velocity, zero angular velocity in a uniform atmosphere $(cf \ section \ 2)$ - the first set state that the values of the generalised forces in the datum motion are all zero, ie

$$\bar{Q}_{rf} = 0$$
 $r = 1 \rightarrow (n+6)$ (7-1)

or in terms of the separate contributions (aerodynamic, gravitational, etc)

$$-Q_{rf} - G_{rf} + P_{rf} + E_{rf} = 0 \qquad r = 1 \rightarrow n + 6.$$
 (7-2)

Expressions for the individual elements in these equations have been obtained above - equations (4-93), (5-23), (5-45) and (5-39).

The second set of equations express the continued satisfaction of D'Alembert's principle during small perturbations of the datum motion. They are written as the matrix equation

* Often they are expressed on the equality of (on the left hand side) the generalised effective forces minus the conservative generalised forces, and (on the right hand side) the non-conservative generalised forces. This is the form when the equations are written in terms of the Lagrangian function.

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A_{rs} \end{bmatrix} D^{2} + \begin{bmatrix} G_{rs} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} P_{rs} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} E_{rs} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} Q_{rs} \end{bmatrix} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n+6} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
 (7-3)

where the constituent matrices are:

- (i) the inertia matrix [A_{rs}], equation (6-7), where the various submatrices are given by equations (6-16), (6-14), (6-15), (6-17) and (6-18);
- (ii) the gravitational matrix $[G_{rs}]$, equation (5-25), where the non-zero submatrices are given by equations (5-26) to (5-28);
- (iii) the propulsive matrix [P_{rs}], equation (5-45), where the various submatrices are given by equations (5-47) to (5-51);
- (iv) the structural matrix $[E_{rs}]$, equation (5-40), which has one non-zero submatrix given by equation (5-41);
- (v) the aerodynamic matrix $-[Q_{rs}]$, equations (4-94) and (4-100), see also sections 4.5, 4.5.1 and 4.5.2.

Equation (7-3) is almost the same* as the equation given in Table 3 of Ref 1 except that it has been written in terms of sectional properties and in terms of modal functions appropriate to a sectional description of the configuration. In particular a minor restriction is imposed on the form of deformation in that what might be called 'chordwise deformation' is forbidden apart from that due to a flap rotation; but more noticeable are the additional terms in the qq submatrices resulting from different ways used to express the deformation (cf Appendix A). Thus in Ref 1 the submatrix G_{qq} , for example, is null, whereas in the present development it is given by equation (5-26). There are consequently some corresponding differences in the transformation to other forms of the equations of motion. These differences have been demonstrated in detail in Appendix C.

* When the choice of constant-velocity axes is the same. In Ref I they have been chosen to coincide with the principal axes of inertia during the datum motion. This is equivalent to putting $\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{ii} = 0$, and

 $I_n = diag \{ I_x I_y I_z \}$, in the present development.

8 CONCLUDING REMARKS

This paper has been written as a companion document to Ref 1. In each case the equations of motion of an aircraft, for small perturbations from flight with constant linear and zero angular velocity, have been developed in detail. The constrast has been that, whereas in Ref 1 we took an overall view of the aircraft, we have here taken a fore and aft strip of the aircraft as our basic unit, considered the forces, etc on the strip, and built up from that. As a consequence, the representation of the aircraft deformation in the present paper cannot be made to correspond exactly with that of the earlier paper. The deformational representation is basically more complicated; and other complications, such as local axes for each strip, are also introduced by strip approach. Of course a lot of these complications will disappear in the simplest cases (*cf* Ref 5) but even so, one would not recommend the use of the present method unless, as may quite possibly be the case, it means that adequate basic data can be obtained much more simply, as for example if two-dimensional aerodynamic theory can be used.

Appendix A

A GENERALISATION OF THE GENERALISED FORCE EXPRESSION OBTAINED IN REF 1

In Ref 1 it was assumed (equation (1)) that the deformation of the aircraft was given precisely by a first order expression, viz:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{n}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{n}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{n}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{f} \\ \mathbf{y}_{f} \\ \mathbf{z}_{f} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A-1)

This assumption simplified some of the subsequent development, while making no significant restriction to the freedom to represent, to a good approximation, any deformation of the aircraft. However, in certain cases, such as a deformation which involves rotation of part of the aircraft as a rigid body, it is not possible to represent the deformation exactly by (A-1) and still keep the number of degrees of freedom, n, finite. The deformations assumed in this paper are indeed of this type, and with the chosen degrees of freedom, their representation is (cf section 3)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ni}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{ni}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{ni}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{if} \\ \mathbf{y}_{if} \\ \mathbf{z}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{K} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \mathbf{q}_{1} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{i}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{(s)}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{s}_{i} \\ \mathbf{y}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{si}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} .$$
(A-2)

If we make use of the expansions

Str 933

TM

$$S_{\phi_{i}}^{T} = S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{cases} I + A \\ Q_{\phi_{if}} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{i} - \phi_{if} \\ \theta_{i} - \theta_{if} \\ \psi_{i} - \psi_{if} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} A_{Q_{\phi_{if}}}^{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{i} - \phi_{if} \\ \theta_{i} - \theta_{if} \\ \psi_{i} - \psi_{if} \end{bmatrix} - \cos \theta_{if} A \begin{pmatrix} Q_{\phi_{if}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} J \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{i} - \phi_{if} \\ \theta_{i} - \theta_{if} \\ \theta_{i} - \theta_{if} \\ \psi_{i} - \psi_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \cdots \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\dots \dots (A-3)$$

$$P_{\delta_{i}}^{T} = P_{\delta_{if}}^{T} \left\{ I + (\delta_{i} - \delta_{if}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{i} - \delta_{if})^{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \dots \right\}$$

$$\dots \dots (A-4)$$

along with the relationships

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{si}^{(s)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{si}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{hsi} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{\delta}^{T} \\ \mathbf{sie} \\ \mathbf{v}_{sie} \\ \mathbf{y}_{sie} \\ \mathbf{z}_{sie} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A-4a)

on the flap part of the strip

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{i} \\ \theta_{i} \\ \psi_{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{if} \\ \theta_{if} \\ \psi_{if} \end{bmatrix} + F \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A-5)
$$\delta_{i} = \delta_{if} + f^{T} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A-6)

then the second order approximation to the deformation is seen to be (using (3-6))

and

Appendix A

25

and the second second

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{ni}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{ni}^{(n)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{ni}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{if} \\ \mathbf{y}_{if} \\ \mathbf{z}_{if} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{(us)}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{sif}^{(us)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{if} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{K} & - & \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{\mathbf{if}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{if}}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{if}} \mathbf{Q}_{\phi_{\mathbf{if}}}^{\mathbf{F}} + & \mathbf{S}_{\phi_{\mathbf{if}}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{P}_{\delta}^{\mathbf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{ie}} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{s}\mathbf{i}} \\ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{ie}} \\ \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{e}} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}^{\mathbf{T}} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{n}} \end{bmatrix}$$

+
$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} A & S^{T}_{\phi_{if}} \\ S^{T}_{\phi_{if}} \\ I \\ I \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix}^{F} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix}^{S^{T}_{\phi_{if}}} \times$$

٢

×

$$\begin{cases} -A_{x_{sif}}^{(us)} Q_{\phi_{if}}^{F} + 2P_{\delta}^{T}_{if} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{sie} - x_{hsi} \\ y_{sie} \\ z_{sie} \end{bmatrix} f^{T} \\ \begin{cases} -A_{sif}^{T} & P_{\delta}^{T}_{if} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f^{T} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{cases} \varphi_{if}^{F} & \varphi_{$$

..... (A-7)

_1

This equation is a refinement of (3-18). It will be seen that the additional (cf with Ref 1) second order term has the form

TM Str 933

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mathbf{x}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{y}} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix}^{(\text{say})} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{M_0} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix}^{N_0} + A_{M_1} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix}^{N_1} + UJ_F \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix}^F \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix}^F \qquad (A-8)$$

where M_0 , N_0 , M_1 , N_1 and U are functions of location. Now,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} & \tilde{\mathbf{y}} & \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} & \tilde{\mathbf{y}} & \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} & \tilde{\mathbf{y}} & \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{n}} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} & \tilde{\mathbf{y}} & \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{e}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{s=0}^{1} \begin{pmatrix} M_{s}^{T} A_{s} \\ \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{g} \end{bmatrix} \\ + F^{T} \begin{pmatrix} J_{F}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} - K_{F}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} U^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{e}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{s=0}^{1} \begin{pmatrix} N_{s}^{T} A_{\bar{\mathbf{e}}} M_{s} - M_{s}^{T} A_{\bar{\mathbf{e}}} N_{s} \end{pmatrix} \\ + F^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{U}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{e}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}} \end{bmatrix} + L_{U}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{e}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} F \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A-9)

and so we can see that the additional terms (A-8) in the expression for the deformation produce additional terms only in the top left hand submatrix of the matrix of generalised force coefficients and this additional submatrix is

$$\sum_{s=0} \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{1} \left(N_{s}^{T} A_{\overline{e}_{f}} M_{s} - M_{s}^{T} A_{\overline{e}_{f}} N_{s} \right) + F^{T} \left(\mathcal{L}_{U}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{e}_{f} \\ \overline{f}_{f} \\ \overline{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{L}_{U}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{e}_{f} \\ \overline{f}_{f} \\ \overline{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \right) F \right\} . \quad (A-10)$$

Appendix A

and the second second

Thus, from equation (73) of Ref 1 the matrix of generalised coefficients resulting from a typical local force vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{e}^{(c)} \\ \overline{f}^{(c)} \\ \overline{g}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{e}_{f} \\ \overline{f}_{f} \\ \overline{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \overline{e}_{1} & \cdots & \overline{e}_{n+6} \\ \overline{f}_{1} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \overline{g}_{1} & \cdots & \overline{g}_{n+6} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n+6} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A-11)

is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\mathbf{R}^{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{1} & \cdots & \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{n+6} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{1} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{1} & \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{\mathbf{R}^{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{1} & \cdots & \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{n+6} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{1} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{1} & \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{\mathbf{R}^{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{1} & \cdots & \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{n+6} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{1} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{1} & \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \sum_{s=0}^{1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{T} \left(\sum$$

TM Str 933

..... (A-12)

TM Str 933

For convenience we repeat, from Ref 1 (equation (22)) the formula for the datum motion values of the generalised forces, viz:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\bar{Q}_{1})_{f} \\ \vdots \\ (\bar{Q}_{n+6})_{f} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{R}^{R} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{e}_{I} \\ \bar{f}_{I} \\ \bar{f}$$

The additional second order terms (A-8) in the expressions for the deformation (A-7) will of course, make no difference to the first order approximations to the overall forces and moments on the aircraft and so, from Ref 1 (equations (74) to (77))

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}^{(c)} \\ \bar{y}^{(c)} \\ \bar{z}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{f} \\ \bar{y}_{f} \\ \bar{z}_{f} \end{bmatrix}^{+} \sum_{j=1}^{n+6} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{j}^{(c)} \\ \bar{y}_{j}^{(c)} \\ \bar{z}_{j}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix}^{q_{j}} \qquad (A-14)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{j}^{(c)} \\ \bar{y} \\ \bar{y}_{j}^{(c)} \\ \bar{z}_{j}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{l=1}^{n+6} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{j} \\ \bar{e}_{j} \\ \bar{f}_{j} \\ \bar{e}_{j} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (A-15)$$

where

Appendix A

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{L}_{c}^{(c)} \\ \overline{M}_{c}^{(c)} \\ \overline{N}_{c}^{(c)} \\ \overline{N}_{c}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{L}_{f} \\ \overline{M}_{f} \\ \overline{N}_{f} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^{n+6} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{L}_{j}^{(c)} \\ \overline{M}_{j}^{(c)} \\ \overline{M}_{j}^{(c)} \\ \overline{N}_{j}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} q_{j}$$
(A-16)

where
$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{L}_{1}^{(c)} & \dots & \bar{L}_{n+6}^{(c)} \\ \bar{M}_{1}^{(c)} & \dots & \dots \\ \bar{N}_{1}^{(c)} & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{x_{f}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{1} & \dots & \bar{e}_{n+6} \\ \bar{f}_{1} & \dots & \dots \\ \bar{g}_{1} & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -\sum_{k} A_{\bar{e}_{k}} & -A_{\bar{x}_{k}} & \sum_{k} A_{\bar{e}_{k}} & A_{\bar{x}_{f}} \\ \bar{e}_{f} & A_{\bar{x}_{f}} \end{bmatrix}$$

We can therefore rewrite (A-12) and (A-13) as

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\bar{Q}_{1})_{f} \\ \vdots \\ (\bar{Q}_{n+6})_{f} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{R}^{R} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{f} \\ \bar{f}_{f} \\ \bar{g}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{f} \\ \bar{y}_{f} \\ \bar{z}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{f} \\ \bar{y}_{f} \\ \bar{z}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{L}_{f} \\ \bar{M}_{f} \\ \bar{N}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A-18)

L

and

92

$$\begin{split} & [\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{ij}] = \left[\begin{array}{c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \ \cdots \ \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{n+6} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{1} \ \cdots \ \cdots \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{1} \ \cdots \ \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{n+6} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{1}^{(c)} \ \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{n+6}^{(c)} \ \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{n+6}^{(c)} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{n+6}^{(c)}$$

..... (A-19)

Appendix B

DETERMINATION OF THE LOCATION OF THE PRINCIPAL AXES OF INERTIA

The determination of the location of the principal axes of inertia of the aircraft in the datum state is basically simple but for completeness it was considered worthwhile to restate it here.

Let us take an arbitrary set of body-fixed axes as a first guess at the principal axes of inertia. Having done so we can then divide the aircraft into strips, locate the strip-fixed axes for each strip, and evaluate the quantities x_{if} etc, ϕ_{if} etc, δ_{if} for each strip (*cf* section 3). Note that under our definition the strip-fixed axes are found by moving, in one's imagination, the flap part of the strip until the position is found where the line joining the cg of the strip and the cg of the flap part of the strip-fixed axis. A point is chosen on this line as the origin of these axes (*ie* the strip reference point), and the y axis is 'drawn', as near as possible, normal to both the sides of the strip.

One can then evaluate the quantities (cf equations (6-5), (6-6), (6-18) and (6-19))

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{b} \\ \mathbf{y}_{b} \\ \mathbf{z}_{b} \end{bmatrix} (\operatorname{say}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} \delta \mathbf{m} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{f} \\ \mathbf{y}_{f} \\ \mathbf{z}_{f} \end{bmatrix}$$
(B-1)
$$\mathbf{u}_{b} (\operatorname{say}) = -\sum_{i} \delta \mathbf{m} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}}^{2} + \mathbf{m} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}}^{2} \qquad (B-2)$$

If our guess of axes had been correct, then $\{x_b, y_b, z_b\}$ would be zero and I_b would be diagonal. If this is not so, then we find the axes transformation matrix $S_{\phi b}$ which makes $S_{\phi b}I_bS_{\phi b}^T$ diagonal. Thus the rows of $S_{\phi b}$ are the eigenvectors of I_b normalised so that $S_{\phi b}^T = S_{\phi b}^{-1}$. This however leaves some ambiguity - there are six possible matrices $S_{\phi b}$ - due to the facts that any of the three principal axes could be called the x-axis, and having done so, there is still the choice to be made as to which is the positive x direction. One suggests therefore making the choice which makes the three diagonal elements of $S_{\phi b}$ most nearly unity. The first row and last column of $S_{\phi b}$ are as shown below

Appendix B

TM Str

933

$$S_{\phi_{b}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{b} \cos \psi_{b} & \cos \theta_{b} \sin \psi_{b} & -\sin \theta_{b} \\ \dots & \cos \theta_{b} \sin \phi_{b} \\ \dots & \cos \theta_{b} \cos \phi_{b} \end{bmatrix}$$
(B-3)

and so, when S_{ϕ_b} is known, one can, taking the principal values, in general*, uniquely determine the three Euler angles ϕ_b , θ_b , ψ_b . The translation x_b , y_b , z_b , followed by the Euler rotations ψ_b , θ_b , ϕ_b , will then move our guessed axes into coincidence with the principal axes of inertia of the aircraft. Of course, one does not really need to determine the angles ϕ_b etc; the matrix S_{ϕ_b} suffices to give the orientation of the principal axes of inertia.

When the location and orientation of the principal axes of inertia has been determined one repeats the procedure:

- (i) Divide the aircraft into strips.
- (ii) Locate the strip-fixed axes for each strip.

(iii) Evaluate for each strip $\begin{cases} x_{if} & y_{if} & z_{if} \\ \phi_{if} & \theta_{if} & \psi_{if} \\ \delta_{if} & \delta_{if} \end{cases}$

* The exception is when $\cos \theta_b = 0$. It then turns out that one can get only either the sum or the difference of ϕ_b and ψ_b . This does not matter of course for this is the case when the carried axis about which the third rotation is made coincides with the original axis about which the first rotation was made.

A BRIEF CONSIDERATION OF THE USE OF STRIP THEORY IN A BODY-FIXED AXES CONTEXT

To use Lagrange's equation for a non-inertial frame one requires that the generalised coordinates for which it is used must not influence directly the position, etc of the non-inertial frame. Taking the non-inertial frame to be the body-fixed axes, and denoting the strip in which the body-fixed axes are fixed as strip 0, we therefore choose generalised coordinates $\hat{q}_1 \rightarrow \hat{q}_n$ for the deformational freedoms such that the aircraft's perturbation from its datum state can be achieved by the following successive steps:

- (i) Translations $\hat{x}_{1}^{(c)}$, $\hat{y}_{1}^{(c)}$, $\hat{z}_{1}^{(c)}$, in the directions of the constant-velocity axes (also called $\hat{q}_{n+1} \rightarrow \hat{q}_{n+3}$ respectively).
- (ii) Euler rotations $\hat{\psi}$, $\hat{\theta}$, $\hat{\phi}$ (in that order), about the carried (bodyfixed) axes (also called \hat{q}_{n+6} , \hat{q}_{n+5} , \hat{q}_{n+4} respectively).
- (iii) Deformations relative to the body-fixed axes such that the position and orientation of the strip-fixed axes of strip i, relative to the body-fixed axes, are given by (cf equations (3-2) and (3-3))

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{i}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \\ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \\ \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \end{bmatrix} + \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{K}} - (\hat{\mathbf{K}})_{0} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{I}} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{n}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(C-1)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{i}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}} \end{bmatrix} + \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{F}} - (\hat{\mathbf{F}})_{0} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{n}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(C-2)

In addition, the flap angle perturbation is (cf equation (3-7))

$$\delta_{i} - \delta_{if} = f^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix} . \qquad (C-3)$$

Consequently, due to a typical force distribution which is such that the overall forces, moments and hinge moment on the ith strip are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1}^{(\mathbf{s})} \\ \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_{1}^{(\mathbf{s})} \\ \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_{1}^{(\mathbf{s})} \\ \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}^{(\mathbf{s})} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1\mathbf{f}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \\ \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_{1\mathbf{f}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \\ \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1\mathbf{f}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{11} & \cdots & \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1,n+6} \\ \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{I}_{1,n+6} \\ \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{11}^{(\mathbf{s})} \\ \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{1}^{(\mathbf{s})} \\ \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{1}^{(\mathbf{s})} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{1\mathbf{f}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \\ \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{1\mathbf{f}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \\ \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{1\mathbf{f}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \\ \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{1\mathbf{f}}^{(\mathbf{us})} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{11} & \cdots & \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{1,n+6} \\ \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{11} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{11} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{11} & \cdots & \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,n+6} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{n+6} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(C-6)$$

the contributions to the generalised forces for the deformational freedoms are, calculated by the principle of virtual work assuming the body-fixed axes stationary.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\hat{Q}}_{1}^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{\hat{Q}}_{n}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} = (\hat{K} - (\hat{K})_{0})^{T} s_{\hat{\phi}_{i}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{i}^{(s)} \\ i \\ \bar{y}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \bar{z}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + (\hat{F} - (\hat{F})_{0})^{T} Q_{\hat{\phi}_{i}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{L}_{i}^{(s)} \\ i \\ \bar{M}_{i}^{(s)} \\ \bar{N}_{i}^{(s)} \end{bmatrix} + f\bar{B}_{i} . \quad (C-7)$$

Now (cf equations (3-13), (3-15) and (4-85))

$$S_{\hat{\phi}_{i}}^{T} \approx S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left(I + A_{\hat{\alpha}_{i}}\right)$$
 (C-8)

96





$$q_{\hat{\phi}_{i}}^{T} \approx q_{\phi_{if}}^{T} - \cos \theta_{if} J^{T} \left(\left\{ \hat{F} - (\hat{F})_{0} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1}}$$
(C-9)

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\bar{q}}_{1}^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{\bar{q}}_{n}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{\ast} = (\hat{\bar{x}} - (\hat{\bar{x}})_{0})^{T} \bar{s}_{\phi_{1f}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\bar{x}}_{16}^{(us)} \\ \bar{\bar{x}}_{16}^{(us)} \\ \bar{\bar{z}}_{16}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix}^{\ast} + (\hat{\bar{r}} - (\hat{\bar{r}})_{0})^{T} \bar{s}_{\phi_{1f}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\bar{x}}_{10}^{(us)} \\ \bar{\bar{x}}_{10}^{(us)} \\ \bar{\bar{x}}_{11}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix}^{\ast} + \left\{ (\hat{\bar{x}} - (\hat{\bar{x}})_{0})^{T} \bar{s}_{\phi_{1f}}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\bar{x}}_{11} \\ \bar{\bar{x}}_{11} \\ \bar{\bar{x}}_{11} \\ \bar{\bar{x}}_{11} \\ \bar{\bar{z}}_{11} \\$$

 $\hat{\alpha}_{i} = Q_{\phi_{if}} \{\hat{F} - (\hat{F})_{0}\} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$

..... (C-11)

Lagrange's equations for a non-inertial frame, taking the non-inertial frame to be the body-fixed axes, will only provide us with the n equations for the deformational freedoms. The other six equations are, as in Ref 1, obtained from consideration of the rates of change of the linear momentum, and angular momentum about the aircraft reference point, resolved along the body-fixed axes. Thus we require the resolutes along the body-fixed axes of the total applied force and moment about the reference point. The contributions to these from a typical force distribution and from the ith strip are (*cf* equations (C-4) and (C-5))

TM Str 933

(C-10)

TM Str 933

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\tilde{k}}_{i} \\ \bar{\tilde{k}}_{i}$$

It is not as easy to relate the derivation of this Appendix to that for constant-velocity axes (in the main part of this paper) as it was in Ref 1 when the deformation was not written in a form attractive for strip theory.

98

The reader will have noted that in equations (C-1) and (C-2), we could not put $\{K - (K)_0\}$ and $\{F - (F)_0\}$, where K and F represented the same modal functions as in the main part of the paper, but had to add the distinguishing circumflexes. In particular it will be appreciated that the term $(F)_0$ $\{\hat{q}_1 \dots \hat{q}_n\}$, in (C-2), does not represent a rigid body rotation, not even to a first approximation. It is an approximately constant rotation about axes which vary from strip to strip, or in other words it is, about one set of axes, the rotation

$$s_{\phi_{if}}^{T} q_{\phi_{if}}(\hat{F})_{0} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \text{ higher order terms}$$
 (C-14)

which varies from strip to strip (*cf* equations (3-13) and (3-15)). From equation (4-7) it will be seen that this rotation is approximately constant if θ_{if} is the same for all strips.

If the deformation of section 3 is associated with just sufficient rigid body motion to make the displacement and slope, at the aircraft reference point, due to the deformation, zero, it means that the rigid body displacements are:

rotations \$\$ satisfying*

$$S_{\phi} = S_{\phi_0}^{T} S_{\phi_{0f}}$$
(C-15)

and translations*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1}^{(c)} \end{bmatrix} = - \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{0f}}^{T} \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{0}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{0}}^{T} \mathbf{s}_{\phi_{0}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{0f} \\ \mathbf{y}_{0f} \\ \mathbf{z}_{0f} \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad (C-16)$$

* This means there is no change in orientation or position in going from the unperturbed strip-fixed axes to the strip-fixed axes, for strip 0 (cf equations (4-38) and (4-39)).

(C-17)

ie

100

and

$$x_{1}^{(c)} \approx - \left\{ (K)_{0} + A_{x_{0f}}^{P} q \right\} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} \\ \vdots \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (C-18)

where P_q is given by equation (5-55).

Thus, if we take

$$\hat{F} - (\hat{F})_0 = F - Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} S_{\phi_{if}}^P q = F - Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} S_{\phi_{if}}^T Q_{\phi_{0f}}^T (F)_0$$
 (C-19)

 $\phi \approx - \Pr_{\mathbf{q}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\hat{K} - (\hat{K})_0 = K - (K)_0 - (A_{x_{0f}} - A_{x_{if}})P_q$$
 (C-20)

which for arbitrary F , K can be achieved by taking

$$\hat{F} = F - Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} S_{\phi_{if}} S_{\phi_{0f}}^{T} Q_{\phi_{0f}}(F)_{0}$$
(C-21)

(and so, as expected, taking $(\hat{F})_0 = 0$), and

$$\hat{K} = K + A P_{x_{if}} q \qquad (C-22)$$

then, to a first approximation, the modes of deformation relative to the stripfixed axes will be the same with both representations (that of section 3 and that of this Appendix). This suggests that with the modal relationships given above the following relationship might not be far from the truth

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\tilde{Q}}_{1}^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{\tilde{Q}}_{n}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & -\left\{ (K)_{0} + A_{x_{0f}} P_{q} \right\}^{T} & -P_{q}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_{1}^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{Q}_{n}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} \cdot (C-23)$$

From (5-11) and (C-11) it is easily seen to be true for the datum motion values of these generalised forces. For both models to represent, to a good approximation, the same perturbation there will be a similar relationship, corresponding to (C-23), between the generalised coordinates, which we can deduce to be

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n+6} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ -\left\{ (K)_0 + A_{x_0 f} \right\}^P \\ -P_q & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{q}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{q}_{n+6} \end{bmatrix} \cdot (C-24)$$

Comparing the expressions for the overall forces moments and hinge moment on a strip - equations (C-4) to (C-6) with equations (5-7) to (5-9) we then immediately obtain the relationships

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\tilde{x}}_{i1} & \cdots & \bar{\tilde{x}}_{i,n+6} \\ \bar{\tilde{y}}_{i1} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \bar{\tilde{z}}_{i1} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \bar{\tilde{z}}_{i1} & \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ -\{(K)_0 + A_{x_0f} - P_q\} & I & 0 \\ -P_q & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$
..... (C-25)

etc, when the modal functions are related by equations (C-19) and (C-20). Substituting these relationships into equations (C-12) and (C-13), and writing

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\hat{x}}_{i} \\ \bar{\hat{x}}_{i} \\ \bar{\hat{x}}_{i} \\ \bar{\hat{z}}_{i} \\ \bar{\hat{z}}_{i} \\ \bar{\hat{z}}_{i} \\ \bar{\hat{x}}_{i} \\ \bar{\hat{x}}_{i}$$

we then find, after some analysis, that (of equations (5-11))

FM Str 933

(C-27)

 $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\hat{Q}}_{n+1,f} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{\hat{Q}}_{n+6,f} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_{n+1,f}^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{Q}_{n+6,f}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}$

and

..... (C-28)

TM Str 933

This is exactly the same relationship as that obtained in Ref 1 (equation (161)). The relationship of Ref 1 also holds for those coefficients which express the influence of the body freedoms on the deformational freedoms, but not, as one would expect because of the differences displayed in Appendix A, for the 'deformation-deformation' coefficients. Comparing (C-11) and (5-12) we find that, for the modal relationships of (C-19) and (C-20),

in a state of the second

Contractory of the second second

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{11}^{(1)} \dots \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{1,n+6}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{n1}^{(1)} \dots \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{n1}^{(1)} \dots \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{n1}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{1} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 \\ -\{(\kappa)_{0} + \kappa_{v_{0}}r_{q}\}^{\mathsf{T}} - r_{q}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} + \\ \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{1k}^{(1)} \\ -\{(\kappa)_{0} + \kappa_{v_{0}}r_{q}\}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ -\{(\kappa)_{0} + \kappa_{v_{0}}r_{q}\}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} + \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -r_{q} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{s_{t_{1}}^{\mathsf{T}} \kappa_{x}(us)}{s_{t_{1}}r_{x}^{\mathsf{T}}(us)} s_{t_{1}}r_{s_{1}}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{s_{t_{1}}^{\mathsf{T}} \kappa_{x}(us)}{s_{t_{1}}r_{x}^{\mathsf{T}}(us)} s_{t_{1}}r_{s_{1}}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} + \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{s_{t_{1}}^{\mathsf{T}} \kappa_{x}(us)}{s_{t_{1}}r_{x}(us)} s_{t_{1}}r_{s_{1}}} \\ \frac{s_{t_{1}}^{\mathsf{T}} \kappa_{x}(us)}{s_{t_{1}}r_{x}} \end{bmatrix} + \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 &$$

where

$$\begin{split} \delta &= P_{q}^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{if}^{(us)} S_{\phi_{if}}^{P} q + K^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{if}^{(us)} S_{\phi_{if}}^{P} q - P_{q}^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} A_{if}^{(us)} S_{\phi_{if}}^{P} q \right) - \left((K)_{0} + A_{x_{0f}}^{P} q \right) \right\} \\ &+ \cos \theta_{if} \left\{ F^{T} \mathcal{L} \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{L}_{ig}^{(us)} \\ \tilde{R}_{if}^{(us)} \\ \tilde{N}_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} \right) Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} S_{\phi_{if}}^{P} q + P_{q}^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} \right)^{T} \mathcal{L}^{T} \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{L}_{ig}^{(us)} \\ \tilde{R}_{ig}^{(us)} \\ \tilde{N}_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{F} \right. \\ &- P_{q}^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} \right)^{T} \mathcal{L} \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{L}_{ig}^{(us)} \\ \tilde{R}_{ig}^{(us)} \\ \tilde{N}_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} \right) Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} q \right) \right\} \\ &- P_{q}^{T} S_{\phi_{if}}^{T} \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} \right)^{T} \mathcal{L} \left(Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{L}_{ig}^{(us)} \\ \tilde{R}_{ig}^{(us)} \\ \tilde{N}_{if}^{(us)} \end{bmatrix} \right) Q_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} S_{\phi_{if}}^{-1} q \right) \right\}$$

TM Str 933

..... (C-30)

In Ref 1, because of the slightly different model, the expression for & is different and is $\& = \&_{77}$ where (*cf* equation (161) of Ref 1)

the summation is over the ith strip, and R is given by equation (3-20). One term is clearly common to (C-30) and (C-31).

The Land
GLOSSARY OF TERMS

(i)	Frames of reference (all right-handed orthogonal cartesian)					
	body-fixed axes	axes whose origin and orientation are fixed in a small material portion of the body. (The ones used are such that the origin is in the main part of strip 0 during the datum motion.)*				
	constant-velocity axes	axes having constant linear and angular velocity relative to an inertial frame. (The ones used have zero angular velocity and are taken to be coincident with the datum-attitude earth axes during the datum motion.)				
	datum-attitude earth axes	axes with which the body-fixed axes coincide during the datum motion				
	datum-path earth axes	axes with the x-axis in the direction of the datum velocity, the xz plane vertical, and the z axis downwards				
	no-deformation-body- fixed axes	an axes system, arbitrary except insofar as it is of the same order of nearness to the body-fixed axes and the datum-attitude earth axes. (These three frames of reference are all assumed to remain close together during the perturbed motion.)				
	normal earth-fixed axes	axes fixed relative to the earth with the z-axis vertically downwards				
	principal axes of inertia	axes with origin at the centre of gravity of the body and such that the three products of inertia about the axes are zero				
	strip-fixed axes	axes fixed in a strip of the aircraft such that their origin is at the strip reference point the x-axis passes through a point on the strip hinge (and in the unperturbed state, the strip cg), the y-axis is approximately normal to the planar sides of the strip,				

* Whether the body-fixed axes remain orthogonal, during perturbations of the datum motion, or not, is irrelevant to the present development.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS (continued)

strip-fixed axes	and the positive x-direction is towards the strip
(continued)	leading edge - cf Appendix B. (For a strip without
	a hinge one would take the x-axis to be some chord
	line and the y-axis to be, as near as possible,
	normal to the planes dividing the strip from the
	adjacent strips.)
unperturbed-strip-	axes whose position coincides with the position
fixed axes	the strip-fixed axes would occupy if there was no

(ii) Orientation

Attitude angles defining the attitude of the aircraft relative to the (a) normal earth-fixed axes.

perturbation from the datum motion.

angle between the z-axis, of the body-fixed axes and angle of bank the vertical plane containing the x-axis of the same frame

- angle of inclination angle between the x-axis of the body-fixed axes and the horizontal plane
- nose-azimuth angle angle between the projection of the x-axis, of the body-fixed axes, on the horizontal plane, and the x-axis of the normal earth-fixed axes.
- (b) Flight path angle defining the direction of flight relative to the normal earth-fixed axes.

angle of climb angle between the tangent to the flight path and the horizontal plane, positive when climbing angle of track angle between the x-axis of the normal earth-fixed axes and the projection on the horizontal plane of the tangent to the flight path, positive when a right-

path projection.

handed rotation about the downward vertical takes

one from the normal earth-fixed x-axis to the flight

GLOSSARY OF TERMS (concluded)

	(c) Incidence angles defining the direction of flight relative to the air				
	incidence magnitude*	magnitude of angle between the x-axis of the body- fixed axes and the direction of the velocity of the aircraft relative to the air			
	incidence-plane angle*	angle between the incidence plane and the zx plane of the body-fixed axes.			
(iii)	Miscellaneous				
	aircraft reference point	the material point on the aircraft which is the origin of the body-fixed axes			
	attitude deviation matrix	the matrix which by premultiplying a column vector changes the axes directions in respect to which the vector is resolved into components			
	axes transformation matrix	synonymous with attitude deviation matrix			
	incidence plane	the plane defined by the x-axis of the body-fixed axes and the direction of the velocity of the aircraft relative to the air			
	reference axis	a line, not necessarily straight, joining the strip reference points of the strips of a component, such as the wing, of the aircraft			
	reference point	synonymous with aircraft reference point			
	strip reference point	a chosen material point on the main part of a strip taken as the origin of the strip-fixed axes.			

TM Str 933

* These definitions can similarly be used for a strip or aerofoil section, in terms of the strip-fixed axes.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A_{ϕ} etc	skew-symmetric matrices involving ϕ , θ , ψ , etc (see equation (3-16))
Ajk	ponderous inertia coefficient
B _i	aerodynamic hinge moment on the ith strip
B _{ix} ,B _{ið} etc	aerodynamic hinge moment coefficients for ith strip
B _{ixj} , ^B ixj etc	aerodynamic hinge moment coefficients of, say, a velocity of strip j, in the expression for the hinge moment on strip i
B*i0j	a modified $B_{i\theta j}^{(2)}$ - see equation (4-146)
^B ijx ^{, B} ijθ ^{etc}	aerodynamic hinge moment coefficients expressing the effect, on the hinge moment on strip i, of a change in relative position and orientation of strips i and j
D	differential operator d/dt
- E _j	generalised structural force
Ejk	structural stiffness coefficient
F	torsional modal matrix (see equation (3-3))
- _G j	generalised gravitational force
Gjk	gravitational stiffness coefficient
н	Heaviside step function
I	unit matrix
I_x, I_v, I_z	principal moments of inertia of undeformed aircraft
In	matrix of moments and products of inertia of undeformed aircraft (see equation (6-6))
^I i0	matrix of moments and products of inertia of the ith strip (see equation $(6-8)$)
I _{i1}	matrix of moments and products of inertia of the flap of the ith strip (see equation $(6-9)$)
J_{ϕ} etc	matrices formed from the elements of $\{\phi \ \theta \ \psi\}$ etc, see equation (4-88)
К	flexural modal matrix (see equation (3-2))
L	aerodynamic rolling moment
ĩ	typical rolling moment
^L g, ^L p	gravitational, propulsive rolling moments
L _i ,L _{gi} etc	rolling moments on ith strip
М	aerodynamic pitching moment
M	typical pitching moment

1

^M O ^{,M} 1	modal matrices, see Appendix A
Mg,Mp	gravitational, propulsive pitching moments
M _i ,M _{gi} etc	pitching moments on ith strip
M,M. etc	aerodynamic pitching moment coefficients for ith strip
M,Mij etc	aerodynamic pitching moment coefficients of, say, a velocity of strip j, in the expression for the pitching moment on strip i
M* ixj, ^M * izj	modified M _{ixj} etc - see equations (4-140) to (4-142)
M _{ijx} ,M _{ij0} etc	aerodynamic pitching moment coefficients expressing the effect, on the pitching moment on strip i, of a change in the relative position and orientation of strips i and j
N	aerodynamic yawing moment
Ñ	typical yawing moment
^N 0 ^{, N} 1	modal matrices, see Appendix A
Ng,Np	gravitational, propulsive yawing moments
N _i ,N _{gi} etc	yawing moments on ith strip
- P _j	generalised propulsive force
Pq	matrix of modal slopes at reference point (see equations (5-54) and (5-55))
Ρ _θ	matrix which is ' θ factor' of axes transformation matrix (see equation (3-11))
^P jk	propulsive stiffness coefficient
Q _j	generalised aerodynamic force
₫ _j	total generalised force, or typical contribution to generalised force
Q _¢	matrix relating angular velocities and orientation (see equations $(3-14)$ and $(4-3)$)
$q_j^{(i)}$	contribution to generalised aerodynamic force from strip i
ą̃(i)	typical contribution to generalised force from strip i
Q _{jk}	aerodynamic coefficient
R	modal matrix (see equation (3-20))
R _φ	matrix which is ' ϕ factor' of axes transformation matrix (see equation (3-10))

s,s _¢ i	axes transformation matrix (see section 3). The subscript is added when it is necessary to specify the arguments - in this case ϕ_i , θ_i , ψ_i . Absence of a subscript means the arguments are ϕ , θ , ψ
U	modal matrix (see Appendix A)
v	airspeed
W	kinetic energy relative to the constant-velocity axes
х	overall aerodynamic force resolute
x	typical overall force resolute
Xg,Xp	gravitational, propulsive overall force resolutes
X _i ,X _{gi} etc	overall force resoluted on strip i
$X_{ix}, X_{i\theta}$ etc	aerodynamic force resolute coefficients for ith strip
X _{ixj} ,X _{izj} etc	aerodynamic force resolute coefficients of, say, a velocity of strip j , in the expression for the overall force on strip i
X*i0j	modified $X_{i\theta j}$ - see equations (4-128) and (4-129)
X _{ijx} ,X _{ij0} etc	aerodynamic force resolute coefficients expressing the effect, on the overall force on strip i , of a change in the relative position and orientation of strips i and j
Y	overall aerodynamic force resolute
Ŧ	typical overall force resolute
Yg,Yp	gravitational, propulsive overall force resolutes
Υ _ψ	matrix which is ' ψ factor' of axes transformation matrix (see equation (3-12))
Y _i ,Y _{gi} etc	overall force resolutes on strip i
Z	overall aerodynamic force resolute
z	typical overall force resolute
^z g, ^z p	gravitational, propulsive overall force resolutes
Z _i ,Z _{gi} etc	overall force resolutes on strip i
Z _{ix} ,Z _{iθ} etc	aerodynamic force resolute coefficients for the ith strip
Z _{ixj} ,Z _{izj} etc	aerodynamic force resolute coefficients of, say, a velocity of strip j , in the expression for the overall force on strip i
Ζ * iθj	modified $Z_{i\theta j}$ - see equations (4-130) and (4-131)

TM Str 933

and and a second second

ű,

in the

^a i0, ^a i1, ^a i3	certain column vectors - see equations (5-19) to (5-21)
^e i' ^e gi	x-component of local aerodynamic, gravitational force vector at ith strip
f	flap modal vector (see equation (3-7))
^f i' ^f gi	y-component of local aerodynamic, gravitational force vector at ith strip
g	acceleration due to gravity
^g i' ^g gi	z-component of local aerodynamic, gravitational force vector at ith strip
^l ^{\$} f	third column of S_{Φ} (see equation (5-14))
l_{α}, l_{z}, l_{z}	aerodynamic derivatives - obsolescent notation (see section 4.22)
m	mass of aircraft
mi	mass of strip i of the aircraft
δm	mass of a particle
n	number of deformational degrees of freedom
р	angular velocity resolute
^p i	angular velocity resolute of ith strip
q	angular velocity resolute
^q i	angular velocity resolute of ith strip
9 _r	generalised coordinate
r	angular velocity resolute
r _i	angular velocity resolute of ith strip
t	time
u	linear velocity resolute
^u i	linear velocity of ith strip reference point
u _{mi}	particle velocity resolute at ith strip
v	linear velocity resolwte
"i	linear velocity resolute of ith strip reference point
v _{mi}	particle velocity resolute at ith strip
w	linear velocity resolute
w _i	linear velocity resolute of ith strip reference point
Wmi	particle velocity resolute at ith strip

TM Str 933

x	particle position resolute
$x_{1} (\equiv q_{n+1})$	resolute of reference point position, relative to its position in datum motion, excluding deformational contribution
×i	resolute of strip reference point position
×i0	x-coordinate of strip cg, referred to strip-fixed axes, when the flap angle is zero
× _{i2}	x-coordinate of strip cg, in datum motion, in body-fixed axes frame of reference
× _{hsi}	x-coordinate of strip hinge, referred to strip-fixed axes
x_{f}^{ij}	see equation (4-109)
У	particle position resolute
$y_{1} (\equiv q_{n+2})$	resolute of reference point position, relative to its position in datum motion, excluding the deformational contribution
y _i	resolute of strip reference point position
^y if0	y-coordinate of strip cg, in datum motion, in body-fixed axes frame of reference
z	particle position resolute
$z_1 (= q_{n+3})$	resolute of reference point position, relative to its position in datum motion, excluding the deformational contribution
^z if0	z-coordinate of strip cg, in datum motion, in body-fixed axes frame of reference
z _f ^{ij}	see equation (4-110)
Eqq	submatrix of structural stiffness coefficients
$G_{qq}, G_{q\phi}, G_{\phi q}, G_{\phi \phi}$	submatrices of gravitational stiffness coefficients
$L_{cq}, L_{cx}, L_{c\phi}$	submatrices of coefficients in expression for aerodynamic rolling moment about origin of constant-velocity axes
^{L}cpq , ^{L}cpx , $^{L}cp\phi$	submatrices of coefficients in expression for propulsive rolling moment about origin of constant-velocity axes
$M_{iq}, M_{ix}, M_{i\phi}$	submatrices of coefficients in expression for aerodynamic pitching moment on ith strip
Mcq, Mcx, Mcq	submatrices of coefficients in expression for aerodynamic pitch- ing moment about origin of constant-velocity axes
Mcpq, Mcpx, Mcpq	submatrices of coefficients in expression for propulsive pitching moment about origin of constant-velocity axes
^N cq, ^N cx, ^N cq	submatrices of coefficients in expression for aerodynamic yawing moment about origin of constant-velocity axes

100

1

The second

^N cpq ^{,N} cpx ^{,N} cpø	submatrices of coefficients in expression for propulsive yawing moment about origin of constant-velocity axes
$\left.\begin{array}{c}P_{qq},P_{xq},P_{\phi q}\\P_{x\phi},P_{\phi \phi}\end{array}\right\}$	submatrices of propulsive stiffness coefficients
x_q, x_x, x_{ϕ}	submatrices of coefficients in expression for overall aero- dynamic force resolute
^X iq, ^X ix, ^X iq	submatrices of coefficients in expression for overall aero- dynamic force resolute on ith strip
Xpq,Xpx,Xp\$	submatrices of coefficients in expression for overall propulsive force resolute
^Y q, ^Y x, ^Y q	submatrices of coefficients in expression for overall aerodynamic force resolute
^Y pq, ^Y px, ^Y рф	submatrices of coefficients in expression for overall propulsive force resolute
^Z q, ^Z x, ^Z q	submatrices of coefficients in expression for overall aero- dynamic force resolute
^Z iq ^{, Z} ix ^{, Z} iq	submatrices of coefficients in expression for overall aero- dynamic force resolute on ith strip
^Z pq, ^Z px, ^Z p\$	submatrices of coefficients in expression for overall propulsive force resolute
Г	vortex strength
^r u, ^r w, ^r q, ^r f	coefficients in expression for vortex strength (of equation $(4-13)$)
$\Delta x, \Delta z, \Delta \theta$	see equations (4-106) to (4-108)
Θ	angle of inclination
ф	angle of bank
Ψ	nose-azimuth angle
α	incidence of aerofoil
α ₀	no lift incidence of aerofoil

α no pitching moment incidence for aerofoil - pitching moment is about centre of the circle from which the profile is generated by conformal transformation
α first element of column vector given by equation (3-13)
β second element of column vector given by equation (3-13)
γ angle of climb

Υi	third element of column vector given by equation (3-13)
δ _i	angle of flap rotation at strip i
δm	mass of a particle
$\theta (\equiv q_{n+5})$	orientation angle of no-deformation-body-fixed axes relative to constant-velocity axes
θi	orientation angle of strip-fixed axes relative to no-deformation- body-fixed axes
^θ ui	orientation angle of strip-fixed axes relative to unperturbed- strip-fixed axes
θ_{f}^{ij}	see equation (4-111)
ρ	air density
τ	see equation (4-87)
$\phi (\equiv q_{n+4})$	orientation angle of no-deformation-body-fixed axes relative to constant-velocity axes
[¢] i	orientation angle of strip-fixed axes relative to no-deformation- body-fixed axes
^{\$} ui	orientation angle of strip-fixed axes relative to unperturbed- strip-fixed axes
$\left. \begin{array}{c} \chi_{if}, \chi_{iu}, \chi_{iw} \\ \chi_{iq}, \chi_{i\delta} \end{array} \right\}$	coefficients in expression for local aerodynamic force $(cf \text{ equation } (4-15))$
$\psi (\equiv q_{n+6})$	orientation angle of no-deformation-body-fixed axes relative to constant-velocity axes
Ψi	orientation angle of strip-fixed axes relative to no-deformation- body-fixed axes
Ψ _{ui}	orientation angle of strip-fixed axes relative to unperturbed- strip-fixed axes
8	see equation (C-30)
8	see equation (C-31)
9	operator defined by equation (4-12/)
ε	operator signifying the 'steady part of' (cf equation (4-126))

 \mathcal{L}_{ϕ} etc lower triangular matrix formed from the elements of $\{\phi \ \theta \ \psi\}$ etc - cf equation (4-95)

115

Dressings

(i) Subscripts

A quantity is relative to or about the origin of a particular set of axes where

- c denotes the constant-velocity axes
- n denotes the no-deformation-body-fixed axes
- s denotes the strip-fixed axes (this subscript is often omitted to avoid confusion with s for structural)
- u denotes the unperturbed-strip-fixed axes

This subscript, when present, is always placed first.

- i indicates point or force on ith strip
- g indicates gravitational
- p indicates propulsive
- s indicates structural
- 0 indicates the strip i = 0 (the one containing the aircraft reference point), or a leading edge suctional force, or a quantity associated with the strip cg

The following two, when present, are always placed last except when followed by a nought.

- e datum value
- f value during datum motion
- (ii) Superscripts

..

933

Str

LM

- applied to $\sum_{indicates a summation over the main part of a strip}$ applied to $\sum_{indicates a summation over the flap part of a strip}$
- denotes certain modified coefficients
- T denotes the transpose of a matrix
- (2) denotes the two-dimensional value
- (i) denotes the contribution from strip i

Other bracketed superscripts denote the axes of resolution, viz:

- (c) constant-velocity axes
- (dp) datum-path earth axes
- (is) strip-fixed axes of the ith strip

LIST OF SYMBOLS (concluded)

- (n) no-deformation-body-fixed axes
- (s) strip-fixed axes (where it is clear which strip)
- (us) unperturbed-strip-fixed axes

(iii) Suprascripts

- . (dot) denotes derivative with respect to time
- (bar) denotes typical or total

 (circumflex) refers to body-fixed axes, encastré modes, displacement body freedoms

~ (tilde) see equation (A-8)

REFERENCES

No.	Author	<u>Title, etc</u>
1	D.L. Woodcock	Divers forms and derivations of the equations of motion of deformable aircraft and their mutual relations hip. RAE Technical Report 77077 (1977)
2	D.L. Woodcock	Several formulations of the equations of motion of an elastic aircraft as illustrated by a simple example. RAE Technical Memorandum Structures 912 (1977)
3	H.R. Hopkin	A scheme of notation and nomenclature for aircraft dynamics and associated aerodynamics. ARC R&M 3562 (1966)
4	D.L. Woodcock	Mathematical approaches to the dynamics of deformable aircraft - Part II The dynamics of deformable aircraft. ARC R&M 3776 (1971)
5	J.C.A. Baldock	The equations of motion of a flexible aircraft symmetric motion, small perturbations from trimmed straight and level flight. Unpublished note (1977)
6	D.L. Woodcock	Formulation of the equations of motion of a deformable aircraft using Lagrange's equations in an arbitrary non-inertial frame of reference. RAE paper to be issued
7	D.L. Woodcock	A formulation of the equations of motion of a semi-rigid deformable aircraft when only the deformations are small. RAE Technical Memorandum Structures 914 (1977)
8	D.E. Davies	Calculation of generalised airforces on two parallel lifting surfaces oscillating harmonically in subsonic flow. ARC R&M 3749 (1974)
9	D.E. Davies	Generalised aerodynamic forces on a T-tail oscillating harmonically in subsonic flow. ARC R&M 3422 (1966)

REPORTS QUOTED ARE NOT NECESSARILY AVAILABLE TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC OR TO COMMERCIAL ORGANISATIONS



inge manul Fig 2 Subscript & datum state values ic fores the velocities (m) Z [m] Z/-Z(m) (NB que = que = 0) M (m) 1 we (1) Z(1) B arres N X - (m) - (m) = AEROFOIL : 1 - - X(C) $Z_{i}^{(us)} = Z_{ij}^{(s)} + \sum_{k=i}^{(2)} \left\{ Z_{ixA}^{(s)} \left(z_{kj}^{(us)} + Z_{ixA}^{(2)} \left(z_{k}^{(us)} - z_{kj}^{(us)} \right) + Z_{iBA}^{(2)} \left(\theta_{k} - \theta_{kj} \right) + Z_{idA}^{(2)} \left(\delta_{k} - \delta_{kj} \right) \right\} \right\}$ + $\sum_{k=i_{1,j}} \left\{ \hat{Z}_{ixk}^{(a)} (u_{k}^{(a)} - u_{k}^{(ad)}) + \hat{Z}_{ixk}^{(a)} (u_{k}^{(a)} - u_{k}^{(ad)}) + \hat{Z}_{ibk}^{(a)} q_{k}^{(b)} + \hat{Z}_{i,k}^{(a)} (f_{k} - f_{k}) \right\}$ Fig 2 Two-dimensional tandem aerofoils - notation erturbed position of reference point $Z_{ij}^{(s)} = Z_{ijs}^{(s)} + \hat{Z}_{ijx}^{(s)} \left(z^{ij} - z^{ij}_{ij} \right) + \hat{Z}_{ijz}^{(s)} \left(z^{ij} - z^{ij}_{ij} \right) + \hat{Z}_{ij\theta}^{(s)} \left(\theta^{ij} - \theta_{j}^{ij} \right)$ (the trop (Imizreference poin N (S)X (S) N 1-0- 6 AEROFOIL)

.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Overall security classification of this page

UNLIMITED

As far as possible this page should contain only unclassified information. If it is necessary to enter classified information, the box above must be marked to indicate the classification, e.g. Restricted, Confidential or Secret.

1. DRIC Reference (to be added by DRIC)	2. O RAE	riginator's Reference TM Structures 933	3. Agency Reference N/A	4. Report Security Classification/Marking UNLIMITED		
5. DRIC Code for Originator 6. Originator (Corporate Author) Name and Location 850100 Royal Aircraft Establishment Faraborough Hants IIK						
bolio koyar Alerare Establishment, Farmbolough, hants, ok						
5a. Sponsoring Agency's Code 6a. Sponsoring Agency (Contract Authority) Name and Location						
N/A		L	N	/A		
7. Title The use of	stri	p theory in the	dynamics of	deformable	aircraft	
7a. (For Translations) Title	in For	eign Language				
7b. (For Conference Papers)	Title,	Place and Date of Confe	rence			
8. Author 1. Surname, Initials	9a.	Author 2	9b. Authors 3	, 4	10. Date Pages Refs.	
Woodcock, D.L.		-	-		August 118 9	
11. Contract Number N/A	12. I	Period N/A	13. Project		14. Other Reference Nos.	
15. Distribution statement (a) Controlled by -	L		1		L	
(b) Special limitations	(if any	() -				
16. Descriptors (Keywords)		(Descriptors marked	* are selected from	om TEST)		
Aeroelasticity*. Eq	uatio	ons of motion*.	Dynamics*.			
17. Abstract						
A detailed formulation of the equations of motion of a deformable aircraft is given. The development is from Lagrange's equations for an inertial frame, and is made in terms of the position, orientation, force and inertia properties of narrow strips of the aircraft which lie fore and aft in the unperturbed state. The latter is one of constant linear velocity and zero angular velocity. Particular account is taken of the deformation and loading in the unperturbed state.						

RAE Form A143