

AFGL-TR-78-0304
ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PAPERS, NO. 648

12
LEVEL

A053164



Modeling of the Geosynchronous Orbit Plasma Environment —Part 2. ATS-5 and ATS-6 Statistical Atlas

HENRY B. GARRETT, Capt, USAF
E. G. MULLEN
E. ZIEMBA
S. E. DeFOREST



30 November 1978

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

SPACE PHYSICS DIVISION PROJECT 7661
AIR FORCE GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY
HANSCOM AFB, MASSACHUSETTS 01731

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND, USAF



79 04 05 003


AD A067018

DDC FILE COPY

This report has been reviewed by the ESD Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

FOR THE COMMANDER



Chief Scientist

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Documentation Center. All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
AFGL-TR-78-0304	AFGL-ERP-648		
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
MODELING OF THE GEOSYNCHRONOUS ORBIT PLASMA ENVIRONMENT, PART 2, ATS-5 AND ATS-6 STATISTICAL ATLAS.		Scientific. Interim.	
7. AUTHOR(s)		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
Henry B. Garrett, Capt. USAF E. G. Mullen, S. E. / DeForest E. / Ziembra		ERP No. 648	
8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)			
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (PHG) Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731		76610803 62101F	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE	
Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (PHG) Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731		30 November 1978	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
(12) 35p.		34	
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
		Unclassified	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
9 Environmental research papers			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
Spacecraft charging Electrons Geosynchronous environment Ions Energetic particles Space physics Environmental model			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
A preliminary statistical analysis of the ATS-5 and ATS-6 geosynchronous plasma data has been carried out. Variations in the electron and ion currents and temperatures, as represented by the single Maxwellian component temperatures T(AVG) and T(RMS), are evaluated with respect to local time and geomagnetic activity. The potential on the satellites is similarly evaluated. Results indicate that the geosynchronous plasma cannot be adequately represented by a simple Maxwellian distribution and that, at the very least, a two component Maxwellian is necessary. The electron current and eclipse potential were found			

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

→ next page

409578

LB

sub P
Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. (Cont)

to vary strongly with K_p while the temperatures only varied weakly. Local time variations in temperature and current were observed but these were outweighed by a significant local time variation in satellite potential which peaked near midnight. An explanation of these results is proposed in terms of a simple model of the time evolution of the plasma following an injection.

ACCESSION for

NTIS	White Section	☒
BOC	Buff Section	☐
UNANNOUNCED		☐
JUSTIFICATION		

BY

DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES

or SPECIAL

A

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

Preface

Many different people and organizations have contributed to this study. B. Johnson of UCSD reduced all of the ATS-6 data and potentials. C. McPhadden, also of UCSD, provided the computer tapes and spectrograms used in the study. C. Pike provided the motivation for the study. J. Fink drew many of the figures and provided significant analytic support. A. Lacroix of ASEC carried out most of the tape reduction. Our special appreciation, however, goes to R. McNerney of AFGL/SUA whose tireless, uncomplaining, and understanding assistance made the study possible. One of us (S. E. D.) would like to acknowledge support under contract F19628-77-C-0014.

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	7
2. DATA BASE	8
3. THE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION	10
4. RESULTS	13
4.1 Introduction	13
4.2 Statistical Distribution	14
4.3 Local Time Variation	17
4.4 Variation With Geomagnetic Activity	22
4.5 Current vs Temperature	27
5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	29
6. CONCLUSION	32
REFERENCES	33

Illustrations

1. Occurrence Frequencies of T(AVG), T(RMS), and Current for the Geosynchronous Electron and Ion Plasma Measured by ATS-5 and ATS-6	15
2. Occurrence Frequency of the Geomagnetic Index K_p for the 10-min Intervals of ATS-5 and ATS-6 used in the Analysis	17

Illustrations

3. Occurrence Frequency of Non-zero Potentials for ATS-6 When in Sunlight	18
4. Occurrence Frequency of ATS-5 (1969-1972) and ATS-6 (1976) Eclipse Potentials (10-min intervals)	19
5. Contour Plot of Occurrence Frequency of T(AVG) as a Function of Local Time and Amplitude for the Electron Data from ATS-5	19
6. Same as Figure 5 for ATS-6	20
7. The Average Values of T(AVG), T(RMS), and Current as Functions of Local Time for ATS-5 and ATS-6 (the ATS-6 data are provisional)	20
8. Average Potential as a Function of Local Time for ATS-6	21
9. The Average Electron Temperature [T(AVG) and T(RMS)] for ATS-5 and ATS-6 as a Function of the K_p Intervals Indicated	24
10. The Average Ion Temperature [T(AVG) and T(RMS)] for ATS-5 and ATS-6 as a Function of the K_p Intervals Indicated	24
11. The Electron Current, J_e , for ATS-5 and ATS-6 as a Function of the K_p Intervals Indicated	25
12. Same as Figure 11 for the Ion Current, J_i	25
13. Averages of the Daylight Potential in the Indicated K_p Intervals Observed by ATS-6	26
14. Averages of the Eclipse Potentials Observed by ATS-5 (1969-1972) and ATS-6 (1976) for the Indicated K_p Values	26
15. Contour Plot of Occurrence Frequency of Electron Current vs T(RMS) as Observed by ATS-5	27
16. Same as Figure 15 for the Ions	28
17. Same as Figure 15, Except for an Enlarged Section	28
18. ATS-5 Spectrogram for Day 337, 1970 (3 December 1970) Illustrating Several Plasma Injections, Culminating in a Major Injection Near 0700 UT	30
19. Qualitative Representation of the Evolution of the Particle Flux at Geosynchronous Orbit Following an Injection When the Satellite was Near Midnight	31

Tables

1. Days for Which ATS-5 and ATS-6 Data Were Obtained	9
2. Average and Standard Deviations for T(AVG), T(RMS), and the Current for the Geosynchronous Electron and Ion Plasma Populations Measured by ATS-5 and ATS-6	16

Modeling of the Geosynchronous Orbit Plasma Environment - Part 2. ATS-5 and ATS-6 Statistical Atlas

1. INTRODUCTION

The quantitative statistical description of the geosynchronous plasma environment is an important issue from both an engineering and a scientific standpoint as it is necessary for the evaluation of the spacecraft charging phenomenon. At geosynchronous altitudes, which is the primary region of spacecraft charging, only a limited number of low energy (0-100 keV) plasma environment studies exist.^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5} None of these studies have detailed together the statistical occurrence of electron and ion temperatures, currents and, of particular concern to the engineering community, spacecraft potentials (see, however, References 6, 7, and 8). These parameters constitute the minimum set of quantities considered necessary to model the effects of the ambient environment on space charge buildup. This report presents a detailed statistical analysis of these parameters as determined from data recorded by the ATS-5 and ATS-6 geosynchronous satellites. Particular emphasis is placed on the needs of the spacecraft charging community.

Firstly, this report reviews the satellites and instrumentation that provided the data. Next, the problems involved in defining the ambient temperatures, satellite potentials, and ambient currents to the spacecraft are discussed. The statistical

(Received for publication 29 November 1978)

(Because of the large number of references cited above, they will not be listed here. See Reference Page 35, for References 1 through 8.)

distributions of these quantities are then presented. The ATS-5 and ATS-6 data are compared, and results of a study of the local time and geomagnetic activity variations of the potential, currents, temperatures, and their joint probability of occurrence are given. A simple quantitative model is then advanced in order to explain the results.

2. DATA BASE

The University of California at San Diego (UCSD) plasma experiments on the geosynchronous satellites ATS-5 and ATS-6 were the data sources for this study. Although a brief description of both satellites and instruments is given, the reader is referred to DeForest and McIlwain² for a more detailed description of ATS-5 and Mauk and McIlwain⁹ for ATS-6. This section also describes the type of data returned and discusses some of the uncertainties in the data. The primary data used for this study are the 10-min averages for the 50 days of ATS-5 and 46 days of ATS-6 data listed in Table 1. Also listed are the 3-hr K_p values of geomagnetic activity for the same time period.

The ATS-5 satellite was launched into geosynchronous orbit in August 1969. Since September of 1969 it has been maintained near 105°W and at an inclination of 2.3°. It is currently spinning with its spin axis parallel to the earth's at a rate of 0.79 sec/cycle. ATS-5 is a right circular cylinder having dimensions of 1.8 m in length and 1.5 m in diameter. Two pairs of electron and positive ion cylindrical plate spectrometers (that is, electrostatic or ESA detectors) are directed parallel and perpendicular to the spin axis. The ATS-5 plasma experiment measured the particle population between 51 eV and 51 keV in 64 energy steps (2 background channels and 62 logarithmically spaced energy channels) in 20 seconds. The energy steps are each 112 percent of the previous step giving an approximation digitization error of ± 5 percent.

The ATS-6 satellite was launched into geosynchronous orbit in May 1974. Initially, the satellite was located at 94°W longitude with an inclination of 2.5° (the 1974 measurements were made at this position). Subsequently, the satellite was moved to 107°E longitude where the 1976 measurements were made. The ATS-6 satellite differs fundamentally in shape from the ATS-5 satellite. It is essentially a 10-m diameter dish antenna. The UCSD instrument is located on the back of the antenna and, as the satellite is 3-axis stabilized, designed to rotate. For the data reported here, however, only the so-called north-south pointing electron and ion detector pair, when they were stationary, are considered. The detectors are basically the same design as those on ATS-5, but the energy range is 0-80 keV, with a 16-sec cycle and energy step size of ~ 113 percent.

9. Mauk, B.H., and McIlwain, C.E. (1975) ATS-6 UCSD auroral particles experiment, IEEE Trans. Aerospace and Electronics Systems, AES-11(No. 6):1125-1130. ~~~~~

Table 1. Days for Which ATS-5 and ATS-6 Data Were Obtained. Also shown are the 3-hr geomagnetic indices for the same days. The conversion values to K_p (or a_p) are: $0 = 0_0$, $1 = 0+$ ($=2$), $2 = 1 -$ ($=3$), and $27 = 9_0$ ($=400$)

ATS-5		ATS-6	
3 HOUR GEOMAGNETIC INDICES		3 HOUR GEOMAGNETIC INDICES	
YEAR DAY	YEAR DAY	YEAR DAY	YEAR DAY
69 311	74 187	74 187	74 187
69 312	74 188	74 188	74 188
69 313	74 189	74 189	74 189
69 314	74 190	74 190	74 190
69 315	74 191	74 191	74 191
69 316	74 192	74 192	74 192
69 317	74 193	74 193	74 193
69 318	74 194	74 194	74 194
69 319	74 195	74 195	74 195
69 320	74 196	74 196	74 196
69 321	74 197	74 197	74 197
69 322	74 198	74 198	74 198
69 323	74 199	74 199	74 199
69 324	74 200	74 200	74 200
69 325	74 201	74 201	74 201
69 326	74 202	74 202	74 202
69 327	74 203	74 203	74 203
69 328	74 204	74 204	74 204
69 329	74 205	74 205	74 205
69 330	74 206	74 206	74 206
69 331	74 207	74 207	74 207
69 332	74 208	74 208	74 208
69 333	74 209	74 209	74 209
69 334	74 210	74 210	74 210
69 335	74 211	74 211	74 211
69 336	74 212	74 212	74 212
69 337	74 213	74 213	74 213
69 338	74 214	74 214	74 214
69 339	74 215	74 215	74 215
69 340	74 216	74 216	74 216
69 341	74 217	74 217	74 217
69 342	74 218	74 218	74 218
69 343	74 219	74 219	74 219
69 344	74 220	74 220	74 220
69 345	74 221	74 221	74 221
69 346	74 222	74 222	74 222
69 347	74 223	74 223	74 223
69 348	74 224	74 224	74 224
69 349	74 225	74 225	74 225
69 350	74 226	74 226	74 226
69 351	74 227	74 227	74 227
69 352	74 228	74 228	74 228
69 353	74 229	74 229	74 229
69 354	74 230	74 230	74 230
69 355	74 231	74 231	74 231
69 356	74 232	74 232	74 232
69 357	74 233	74 233	74 233
69 358	74 234	74 234	74 234
69 359	74 235	74 235	74 235
69 360	74 236	74 236	74 236
69 361	74 237	74 237	74 237
69 362	74 238	74 238	74 238
69 363	74 239	74 239	74 239
69 364	74 240	74 240	74 240
69 365	74 241	74 241	74 241
69 366	74 242	74 242	74 242
69 367	74 243	74 243	74 243
69 368	74 244	74 244	74 244
69 369	74 245	74 245	74 245
69 370	74 246	74 246	74 246
69 371	74 247	74 247	74 247
69 372	74 248	74 248	74 248
69 373	74 249	74 249	74 249
69 374	74 250	74 250	74 250
69 375	74 251	74 251	74 251
69 376	74 252	74 252	74 252
69 377	74 253	74 253	74 253
69 378	74 254	74 254	74 254
69 379	74 255	74 255	74 255
69 380	74 256	74 256	74 256
69 381	74 257	74 257	74 257
69 382	74 258	74 258	74 258
69 383	74 259	74 259	74 259
69 384	74 260	74 260	74 260
69 385	74 261	74 261	74 261
69 386	74 262	74 262	74 262
69 387	74 263	74 263	74 263
69 388	74 264	74 264	74 264
69 389	74 265	74 265	74 265
69 390	74 266	74 266	74 266
69 391	74 267	74 267	74 267
69 392	74 268	74 268	74 268
69 393	74 269	74 269	74 269
69 394	74 270	74 270	74 270
69 395	74 271	74 271	74 271
69 396	74 272	74 272	74 272
69 397	74 273	74 273	74 273
69 398	74 274	74 274	74 274
69 399	74 275	74 275	74 275
69 400	74 276	74 276	74 276
69 401	74 277	74 277	74 277
69 402	74 278	74 278	74 278
69 403	74 279	74 279	74 279
69 404	74 280	74 280	74 280
69 405	74 281	74 281	74 281
69 406	74 282	74 282	74 282
69 407	74 283	74 283	74 283
69 408	74 284	74 284	74 284
69 409	74 285	74 285	74 285
69 410	74 286	74 286	74 286
69 411	74 287	74 287	74 287
69 412	74 288	74 288	74 288
69 413	74 289	74 289	74 289
69 414	74 290	74 290	74 290
69 415	74 291	74 291	74 291
69 416	74 292	74 292	74 292
69 417	74 293	74 293	74 293
69 418	74 294	74 294	74 294
69 419	74 295	74 295	74 295
69 420	74 296	74 296	74 296
69 421	74 297	74 297	74 297
69 422	74 298	74 298	74 298
69 423	74 299	74 299	74 299
69 424	74 300	74 300	74 300
69 425	74 301	74 301	74 301
69 426	74 302	74 302	74 302
69 427	74 303	74 303	74 303
69 428	74 304	74 304	74 304
69 429	74 305	74 305	74 305
69 430	74 306	74 306	74 306
69 431	74 307	74 307	74 307
69 432	74 308	74 308	74 308
69 433	74 309	74 309	74 309
69 434	74 310	74 310	74 310
69 435	74 311	74 311	74 311
69 436	74 312	74 312	74 312
69 437	74 313	74 313	74 313
69 438	74 314	74 314	74 314
69 439	74 315	74 315	74 315
69 440	74 316	74 316	74 316
69 441	74 317	74 317	74 317
69 442	74 318	74 318	74 318
69 443	74 319	74 319	74 319
69 444	74 320	74 320	74 320
69 445	74 321	74 321	74 321
69 446	74 322	74 322	74 322
69 447	74 323	74 323	74 323
69 448	74 324	74 324	74 324
69 449	74 325	74 325	74 325
69 450	74 326	74 326	74 326
69 451	74 327	74 327	74 327
69 452	74 328	74 328	74 328
69 453	74 329	74 329	74 329
69 454	74 330	74 330	74 330
69 455	74 331	74 331	74 331
69 456	74 332	74 332	74 332
69 457	74 333	74 333	74 333
69 458	74 334	74 334	74 334
69 459	74 335	74 335	74 335
69 460	74 336	74 336	74 336
69 461	74 337	74 337	74 337
69 462	74 338	74 338	74 338
69 463	74 339	74 339	74 339
69 464	74 340	74 340	74 340
69 465	74 341	74 341	74 341
69 466	74 342	74 342	74 342
69 467	74 343	74 343	74 343
69 468	74 344	74 344	74 344
69 469	74 345	74 345	74 345
69 470	74 346	74 346	74 346
69 471	74 347	74 347	74 347
69 472	74 348	74 348	74 348
69 473	74 349	74 349	74 349
69 474	74 350	74 350	74 350
69 475	74 351	74 351	74 351
69 476	74 352	74 352	74 352
69 477	74 353	74 353	74 353
69 478	74 354	74 354	74 354
69 479	74 355	74 355	74 355
69 480	74 356	74 356	74 356
69 481	74 357	74 357	74 357
69 482	74 358	74 358	74 358
69 483	74 359	74 359	74 359
69 484	74 360	74 360	74 360
69 485	74 361	74 361	74 361
69 486	74 362	74 362	74 362
69 487	74 363	74 363	74 363
69 488	74 364	74 364	74 364
69 489	74 365	74 365	74 365
69 490	74 366	74 366	74 366
69 491	74 367	74 367	74 367
69 492	74 368	74 368	74 368
69 493	74 369	74 369	74 369
69 494	74 370	74 370	74 370
69 495	74 371	74 371	74 371
69 496	74 372	74 372	74 372
69 497	74 373	74 373	74 373
69 498	74 374	74 374	74 374
69 499	74 375	74 375	74 375
69 500	74 376	74 376	74 376
69 501	74 377	74 377	74 377
69 502	74 378	74 378	74 378
69 503	74 379	74 379	74 379
69 504	74 380	74 380	74 380
69 505	74 381	74 381	74 381
69 506	74 382	74 382	74 382
69 507	74 383	74 383	74 383
69 508	74 384	74 384	74 384
69 509	74 385	74 385	74 385
69 510	74 386	74 386	74 386
69 511	74 387	74 387	74 387
69 512	74 388	74 388	74 388
69 513	74 389	74 389	74 389
69 514	74 390	74 390	74 390
69 515	74 391	74 391	74 391
69 516	74 392	74 392	74 392
69 517	74 393	74 393	74 393
69 518	74 394	74 394	74 394
69 519	74 395	74 395	74 395
69 520	74 396	74 396	74 396
69 521	74 397	74 397	74 397
69 522	74 398	74 398	74 398
69 523	74 399	74 399	74 399
69 524	74 400	74 400	74 400
69 525	74 401	74 401	74 401
69 526	74 402	74 402	74 402
69 527	74 403	74 403	74 403
69 528	74 404	74 404	74 404
69 529	74 405	74 405	74 405
69 530	74 406	74 406	74 406
69 531	74 407	74 407	74 407
69 532	74 408	74 408	74 408
69 533	74 409	74 409	74 409
69 534	74 410	74 410	74 410
69 535	74 411	74 411	74 411
69 536	74 412	74 412	74 412
69 537	74 413	74 413	74 413
69 538	74 414	74 414	74 414
69 539	74 415	74 415	74 415
69 540	74 416	74 416	74 416
69 541	74 417	74 417	74 417
69 542	74 418	74 418	74 418
69 543	74 419	74 419	74 419
69 544	74 420	74 420	74 420
69 545	74 421	74 421	74 421
69 546	74 422	74 422	74 422
69 547	74 423	74 423	74 423
69 548	74 424	74 424	74 424
69 549	74 425	74 425	74 425
69 550	74 426	74 426	74 426
69 551	74 427	74 427	74 427
69 552	74 428	74 428	74 428
69 553	74 429	74 429	74 429
69 554	74 430	74 430	74 430
69 555	74 431	74 431	74 431
69 556	74 43		

The data consist of differential count rates as a function of time. As described below, these count rates are converted to differential flux spectra from which the ambient currents and temperature can be determined. The spectra also directly reveal the satellite potential. Briefly, the low energy ion (electron) population is accelerated if the satellite is charged negative (positive) with respect to the ambient plasma. This produces a pronounced cut-off in the ion (electron) spectra immediately below the energy channel corresponding to the satellite potential. Thus, independent of the characteristics of the ATS-5 and ATS-6 detectors, the satellite potential can be determined.

3. THE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

As demonstrated in Garrett,⁴ the plasma distribution function (or phase-space density) F is the most widely accepted function for describing the plasma. Mathematically, F is given by:

$$F(X, Y, Z, V_X, V_Y, V_Z) \quad (1)$$

such that

$$F(X, Y, Z, V_X, V_Y, V_Z) dX dY dZ dV_X dV_Y dV_Z$$

is the number of particles in the velocity space $dV_X dV_Y dV_Z$ and the spatial volume $dX dY dZ$ where

X, Y, Z = spatial coordinates

and

V_X, V_Y, V_Z = velocity components.

Assuming that the plasma is isotropic and Maxwellian, in spherical coordinates

$$F(X, Y, Z, V_X, V_Y, V_Z) = f(X, Y, Z, V) 4\pi V^2 dV$$

where

$$V = (V_X^2 + V_Y^2 + V_Z^2)^{1/2},$$

$f(V_i)$ = isotropic Maxwellian distribution function

$$= n_i \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi kT_i} \right)^{3/2} e^{-m_i V_i^2 / 2kT_i},$$

n_i = number density of species i ,

m_i = mass of species i ,

T_i = temperature of species i ,

V_i = velocity of species i ,

k = Boltzmann constant.

Taking the first four moments⁴ we find

$$\langle N_i \rangle = 4\pi \int_0^\infty (V^0) f_i V^2 dV = n_i \quad (2)$$

$$\langle NF_i \rangle = \int_0^\infty (V^1) f_i V^2 dV = \frac{n_i}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2kT_i}{\pi m_i} \right)^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

$$\langle EN_i \rangle = 4\pi \left(\frac{1}{2} m_i \right) \int_0^\infty (V^2) f_i V^2 dV = 3/2 nkT_i \quad (4)$$

$$\langle EF_i \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2} m_i \right) \int_0^\infty (V^3) f_i V^2 dV = \frac{m_i n_i}{2} \left(\frac{2kT_i}{\pi m_i} \right)^{3/2} \quad (5)$$

where

$\langle N_i \rangle$ = number density for species i (number/cm³),

$\langle NF_i \rangle$ = number flux for species i (number/cm²-sec-sr),

$\langle EN_i \rangle$ = energy density (eV/cm³),

$\langle EF_i \rangle$ = energy flux for species i (eV/cm²-sec-sr).

As described in Garrett,⁴ the four moments can be derived directly from the differential flux spectra. Garrett also demonstrates that the distribution function or the four moments (unlike the plasma temperature, T_i) can be averaged together to give a physically meaningful average quantity. We can define a two Maxwellian distribution function f_{2i} (that is, the sum of two distinct Maxwellian plasma components for a single particle species) in terms of the densities n_{1i} and n_{2i} and the temperature T_{1i} and T_{2i} ,

$$f_{2i}(V_i) = \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{n_{1i}}{T_{1i}^{3/2}} e^{-m_i V_i^2 / 2kT_{1i}} + \frac{n_{2i}}{T_{2i}^{3/2}} e^{-m_i V_i^2 / 2kT_{2i}} \right). \quad (6)$$

The plasma "temperature", if the plasma is described by a single Maxwellian, is easily obtainable from Eqs. (2-5) by

$$T_i (\text{AVG}) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\langle EN_i \rangle}{k \langle N_i \rangle} = T_i, \quad (7a)$$

$$T_i (\text{RMS}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle EF_i \rangle}{k \langle NF_i \rangle} = T_i, \quad (7b)$$

$$T_i (\text{AVG}) = T_i (\text{RMS}). \quad (7c)$$

If, however, the plasma consists of two or more components such as described by Eq. (6), we find

$$T_i (\text{AVG}) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\langle EN_i \rangle}{k \langle N_i \rangle} = \frac{n_{1i} T_{1i} + n_{2i} T_{2i}}{n_{1i} + n_{2i}}, \quad (8a)$$

$$T_i (\text{RMS}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle EF_i \rangle}{k \langle NF_i \rangle} = \frac{n_{1i} T_{1i}^{3/2} + n_{2i} T_{2i}^{3/2}}{n_{1i} T_{1i}^{1/2} + n_{2i} T_{2i}^{1/2}}. \quad (8b)$$

So that in general

$$T_i (\text{AVG}) \neq T_i (\text{RMS}). \quad (8c)$$

This represents a serious dilemma in defining the temperature. A description of the plasma in terms of n_1 , n_2 , T_1 , and T_2 is thus preferable to either $T(\text{AVG})$ or $T(\text{RMS})$. Unfortunately, few of the existing spacecraft charging codes can input more than a single Maxwellian. Technically, since $T(\text{AVG})$ is the mean energy of the particle distribution, it is the preferable definition if a single temperature is desired. It does not necessarily give the "best" estimate of the potentials since

it is always less than T(RMS) which may be the more conservative estimate. In this report we will compare T(AVG) and T(RMS). Their difference is a measure of the deviation of the plasma from a single Maxwellian. It must be remembered, however, that they do not provide an accurate description of the plasma.

The current to the spacecraft (actually the current per unit area) is the other quantity most often required for potential calculations. Unlike the temperature, the current, J_i , can be derived directly from the four moments,⁴

$$\begin{aligned} J_i &= q_i \int_0^\infty V_i \cdot \vec{n} f d^3 V \\ &= \pi q_i \langle NF_i \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$\vec{n}$ = unit normal to area,

q_i = charge on species (C),

J_i = current per unit area (A/cm²).

This assumes the particle flux to be omnidirectional. If, as is observed on occasion, the plasma flux is directional, then the integral of Eq. (9) would not be exactly $\pi q \langle NF_i \rangle$ (observations indicate that the correction factor is of the order of unity)—a factor that should be taken into account in considering our results.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Introduction

As outlined in Garrett⁴ the differential energy flux was integrated to give the four moments defined in Eqs. (2-5). These in turn were averaged for ATS-5 and ATS-6 to give 10-min averages. The ATS-5 data for the parallel and perpendicular detectors (from the UCSD master tapes) were averaged together. It was this data base of approximately 50 days of ATS-5 and ATS-6* plasma data that was then analyzed. T(AVG) and T(RMS) were calculated from these data assuming Eqs. (7a) and (7b).

*The ATS-6 data were contaminated by a large photoelectron return current and large differential potentials. An attempt was made to correct for these effects but errors still remain so the ATS-6 data must be considered provisional.

The potential data, although also from ATS-5 and ATS-6, were obtained in a different manner. For eclipses, the potential was measured at 10-min intervals during each eclipse in the 1969-1972 time frame for ATS-5. Only one eclipse period (spring 1976) was available for ATS-6. Daylight potential values were provided only on the ATS-6 data tape and at approximately 16-sec intervals (see Johnson and Whipple, 1978, for a description of original tape). These were averaged to give 10-min values.

In this section, using the data base just defined, we will seek to describe $T(\text{AVG})$, $T(\text{RMS})$, the current, and the potential in terms of three variations:

- (1) Statistical distribution—that is, a histogram of the occurrence frequency of the parameter as a function of its amplitude,
- (2) Local time variation—the occurrence frequency as a function of local time and amplitude,
- (3) Geomagnetic variations—the occurrence frequency as a function of the geomagnetic activity index K_p .

The current and temperature are also intercompared and a simple model advanced that attempts to explain the observed relationships. Further, ATS-5 and ATS-6 data are compared and contrasted in order to draw a consistent picture of the geosynchronous orbit. It should be noted, however, that ATS-5 and ATS-6 do not sample identical regions of space as their orbits are not identical. Hence, some differences, particularly in local time variation, are expected.

4.2 Statistical Distribution

The simplest analysis of a variable that can be accomplished is to plot the occurrence frequency of different values of the variable. Figure 1 shows the occurrence frequencies of various values of $T(\text{AVG})$, $T(\text{RMS})$, and current for electrons and ions for both ATS-5 and ATS-6. All distributions can be described in terms of standard statistical distributions for data randomly distributed around some mean (that is, either a Poisson or Gauss distribution). Table 2 lists approximate averages and standard deviations for each distribution.*

* Note: As previously discussed, the ATS-6 values are provisional.




Figure 1. Occurrence Frequencies of $T(\text{AVG})$, $T(\text{RMS})$, and Current for the Geosynchronous Electron and Ion Plasma Measured by ATS-5 and ATS-6. The ATS-6 results are provisional

Table 2. Average and Standard Deviations for T(AVG), T(RMS), and the Current for the Geosynchronous Electron and Ion Plasma Populations Measured by ATS-5 and ATS-6. The ATS-6 data are provisional

		T(AVG) in keV	T(RMS) in keV	J in n A/cm ²
Electrons	ATS-5	1500*	3480 ± 2070	0.045*
	ATS-6	2290 ± 1800	5640 ± 2700	0.08*
Ions	ATS-5	5030 ± 2010	8750 ± 1860	0.0043 ± 0.0021
	ATS-6	7880 ± 4900	16000 ± 3400	0.0026 ± 0.0012

* Based on exponential probability P where: $P(x) = \frac{1}{B} e^{-x/B}$, B given in Table 2.

The most significant feature of Figure 1 is the approximate factor of 2 increase between T(AVG) and T(RMS). The cause of this can be interpreted in terms of T_1 and T_2 mentioned earlier if we assume the plasma to consist of two separate components. From Garrett⁴ values of N_1 , T_1 , N_2 , and T_2 for the electrons and ions, for ATS-5 median conditions, give T(AVG) and T(RMS) values of:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 e^-: & N_1 = 0.83/\text{cm}^3 & T_1 = 500 \text{ eV} & \left. \begin{array}{l} T(\text{AVG}) = 1435 \text{ eV} \\ T(\text{RMS}) = 2780 \text{ eV} \end{array} \right\} \\
 & N_2 = 0.17/\text{cm}^3 & T_2 = 6000 \text{ eV} & \\
 I^+: & N_1 = 0.5/\text{cm}^3 & T_1 = 100 \text{ eV} & \left. \begin{array}{l} T(\text{AVG}) = 4550 \text{ eV} \\ T(\text{RMS}) = 8150 \text{ eV} \end{array} \right\} \\
 & N_2 = 0.5/\text{cm}^3 & T_2 = 9000 \text{ eV} &
 \end{array}$$

These results are in agreement with Table 2 and offer a clear example of the effect that two or more plasma populations have in limiting the usefulness of a definition of the plasma in terms of a single Maxwellian distribution.

Another obvious feature is the near-doubling of the electron and ion temperatures from 1969 and 1970 (ATS-5) to 1974 and 1976 (ATS-6). The electron current also doubles while the ion current actually decreases between ATS-5 and ATS-6. It is not possible to say with certainty how much of this effect is due to orbital differences between ATS-5 and ATS-6. It appears likely, however, that a change in geomagnetic activity between the ATS-5 and ATS-6 data is the primary cause. In Figure 2, the occurrence frequency of the K_p index for the corresponding 10-min time periods is presented. As expected, there is a significant increase in geomagnetic activity from ATS-5 to the ATS-6 time frame.

In Figures 3 and 4 we have plotted the occurrence frequency of the potential. Figure 3 is for ATS-6 daylight charging events only (note: the plot is only for the 1026 charging intervals and does not include the 5242 zero potential intervals). Figure 4, which is a plot of the occurrence frequency of the potential during eclipse, is binominal (Note: 0 potential values are included in this figure). The primary




Figure 2. Occurrence Frequency of the Geomagnetic Index K_p for the 10-min Intervals of ATS-5 and ATS-6 Used in the Analysis

cause of this is not known though a possible explanation is that there is a critical electron temperature for charging to occur (see Garrett and Rubin).¹⁰ Figure 4 also supports the previous observations that the electron temperature of the plasma has doubled between the time of the ATS-5 and the ATS-6 observations as the electron temperature is roughly proportional to the potential on the satellite (see Garrett and Rubin).¹⁰ This does not, however, rule out a change in plasma due to different orbits rather than geomagnetic activity.

4.3 Local Time Variation

Of critical importance to mission planning as regards spacecraft charging (particularly differential charging) is the local time variation of the plasma and potential. There are, however, several problems in studying these variations. Figures 5 and 6 are occurrence frequency plots of $T(\text{AVG})$ as a function of local time for the electrons as observed by ATS-5 and ATS-6 (these are examples of contour plots where the contours are at intervals of constant percentage). The interpreta-

10. Garrett, H. B., and Rubin, A. G. (1978) Spacecraft Charging at Geosynchronous Orbit-solution for Eclipse Passage, AFGL-TR-78-0122.

tion of such plots is greatly hampered as the local time variation is a simultaneous function of geomagnetic activity, latitude, and longitude with respect to the earth (in this study we will not attempt to pursue this issue but merely remind the reader of its importance) making their use somewhat limited. In order to simplify the presentation, only average values are presented. As Figures 5 and 6 indicate, however, average values can be misleading. In Figure 7 we have plotted the average values of $T(AVG)$, $T(RMS)$, and the currents for ATS-5 and ATS-6 as a function of local time. The $\pm 1\sigma$ values are not shown but are the order of those given in Table 2. Several meaningful observations can be made from Figure 7 provided we are careful to also consider Figures like 5 and 6. Specifically, we have plotted all the functions in both formats, but will normally only discuss the results in terms of the average values, pointing out differences when they exist.




Figure 3. Occurrence Frequency of Non-zero Potentials for ATS-6 When in Sunlight. (Note: Percent is for total of non-zero values — that is, 1026 values out of 6268 total observations)




Figure 4. Occurrence of Frequency of ATS-5 (1969-1972) and ATS-6 (1976) Eclipse Potentials (10-min intervals)




Figure 5. Contour Plot of Occurrence Frequency of $T(AVG)$ as a Function of Local Time and Amplitude for the Electron Data From ATS-5. Contours correspond to percentage of all 10-min intervals studied




Figure 6. Same as Figure 5 for ATS-6




Figure 7. The Average Values of T(AVG), T(RMS), and Current as Functions of Local Time for ATS-5 and ATS-6 (the ATS-6 data are provisional)

Returning to the data, Figure 7 for the $T(\text{RMS})$ temperatures shows agreement between the ATS-5 and ATS-6 data which is evident in the corresponding contour plots. The electron temperatures peak near 1500-1600 LT and reach minimum between 2100-0300 LT. The ions peak near 1000-1500 and reach minimum between 0300-0600 LT. Unlike $T(\text{RMS})$, $T(\text{AVG})$ is not consistent between ATS-5 and ATS-6. In fact, $T(\text{AVG})$ for ATS-5 is roughly in phase with $T(\text{RMS})$ whereas $T(\text{AVG})$ for ATS-6 is 12 hr out of phase. The contour plots for $T(\text{AVG})$, however, show somewhat better qualitative agreement between the electron $T(\text{AVG})$ for ATS-5 and ATS-6 than the average values indicate. Similarly, the contour plot for the ions show agreement. The reason for the disagreement between the average plots and the contour plots is not apparent but can be traced to the division of the plasma into several distinct components following injection (see later).

In Figure 7 good agreement exists between the ATS-5 and ATS-6 ion and electron current data which is also reflected in their contour plots. The electron currents minimize between 1500-1800 LT and peak between 2100-0300 LT. The ion currents peak between 1800-2400 LT and minimize between 0600-1200 LT. The factor of ~ 50 difference between the levels of the electron and ion currents is due to the mass difference between the ions and electrons which enters the equation for the current as the square root of the masses.

The average potential as a function of local time for daytime charging is shown in Figure 8. The dots correspond to the average values (only for non-zero values) in the respective intervals. Unlike the other parameters, there is a pronounced local time variation peaking near midnight. This local time variation was revealed in the same data earlier,^{5,6,8} and is known to be anti-correlated with encounters of the low-energy plasmasphere.




Figure 8. Average Potential as a Function of Local Time for ATS-6. The averages correspond to only the 1026 10-min values for which a potential was observed

4.4 Variation With Geomagnetic Activity

Geomagnetic activity and the phenomena related to it are believed to occur in association with the injection of hot plasma at geosynchronous orbit.^{1, 2, 11} The subsequent dispersion of the plasma cloud^{12, 13} forms a very important area in the study of magnetospheric physics. For the engineer or mission planner, the details of the process are not important. Primarily they desire information on the outcome—that is, how does geomagnetic activity change, on the average, the conditions at geosynchronous orbit. Unfortunately, a satellite at geosynchronous orbit exists in a three-dimensional volume and any movement of the magnetosphere in response to geomagnetic activity (see Garrett et al)¹⁴ is liable to carry the satellite into widely differing plasma regions. On the whole, a geosynchronous satellite is believed to move from a relatively cold, dense plasma (the plasmasphere) into a hot, less dense plasma (the plasmasheet) during magnetic storms. At such times the satellite can find itself in magnetospheric regions containing almost no plasma (the high latitude tail) or even in the solar wind. This greatly confuses any attempt at a statistical analysis and only in the sense of a detailed magnetospheric model can we hope to understand such phenomena. Such a study will be left to a future report. For this report only geomagnetic variations in the current, temperature, and potential in statistical terms will be discussed. We realize that for this variation in particular such a method is less meaningful and that large error bars are to be expected.

In Figures 9 through 12 we have plotted the averages of the temperatures and currents as a function of the 3-hr geomagnetic K_p index. None of the temperatures or current show a simple dependence on K_p . There is, however, a trend for the current and $T(\text{AVG})$ to increase with K_p . As this may be in part an artifact of the occurrence frequency of K_p (Figure 2), it is best to exclude the data for $K_p \geq 5$. Once this is done, the electron current shows a strong linear relation with K_p and excellent agreement between ATS-5 and ATS-6. The temperature, on the other hand, still shows only a weak correlation. This is in agreement with the simulation model⁴ which predicts a significant increase with K_p of the ion and, particularly,

11. Mauk, B. H., and McIlwain, C. E. (1974) Correlation of K_p with the substorm plasma sheet boundary, J. Geophys. Res. 79:3193-3196.
12. McIlwain, C. E. (1972) Plasma convection in the vicinity of the geosynchronous orbit, in Earth's Magnetospheric Processes, edited by B. M. McCormac, p 268, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
13. Roederer, J. G., and Hones, E. W., Jr. (1974) Motion of magnetospheric particle clouds in a time-dependent electric field model, J. Geophys. Res. pp 1932-1438.
14. Garrett, H. B., Pavel, A. L., and Hardy, D. A. (1977) Rapid Variations in Spacecraft Potential, AFGL-TR-77-0132.

the electron currents, a slight increase in T(AVG) for the electrons, and no increase in T(AVG) for the ions or in T(RMS) for either species. Any interpretation is complicated, however, by the above mentioned problem of the possible movement of widely different regions over the satellite and by the added complication of whether K_p is even an adequate indicator of geomagnetic activity at geosynchronous altitudes (a point we will not pursue in this report).

The potential variations with geomagnetic activity are likewise subject to the changes in the magnetospheric regions. In Figures 13 and 14 we have plotted the average potential for charging events (daylight or eclipse) as a function of K_p . The eclipse data, which represent a much more localized region of space (namely within a few degrees of local midnight), show a significant linear correlation with geomagnetic activity even though the error bars are very large. The daylight charging events which were previously shown to be localized in the midnight-dawn quadrant, also increase with geomagnetic activity. The coarseness (3-hr resolution) of the K_p index hampers this interpretation as changes in plasma and the potential can take place in seconds. Even so, an explanation in terms of the observed temperature and current variations¹⁵ adequately explains these variations.

15. Rubin, A.G., Garrett, H. B., and Rothwell, P. L. (1978) ATS-5 and ATS-6 potentials during eclipse, Proceedings of the Second Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference (to appear as AFGL/NASA publication).




Figure 9. The Average Electron Temperature [T(AVG) and T(RMS)] for ATS-5 and ATS-6 as a Function of the K_p Intervals Indicated. A typical error bar is indicated




Figure 10. The Average Ion Temperature [T(AVG and T(RMS)] for ATS-5 and ATS-6 as a Function of the K_p Intervals Indicated. A typical error bar is indicated




Figure 11. The Electron Current, J_e , for ATS-5 and ATS-6 as a Function of the K_p Intervals Indicated. A typical error bar is indicated




Figure 12. Same as Figure 11 for the Ion Current, J_I




Figure 13. Averages of the Daylight Potential in the Indicated K_p Intervals Observed by ATS-6. Averages are only for non-zero values




Figure 14. Averages of the Eclipse Potentials Observed by ATS-5 (1969-1972) and ATS-6 (1976) for the Indicated K_p Values. The eclipse potentials were the averages for each eclipse observed. Zero values were included

4.5 Current vs Temperature

The relationship between temperature and current is an important prerequisite for charging theory. Figure 15 is a contour plot of the temperature $T(\text{RMS})$ vs the current for ATS-5 electron observations. Figure 16 is the same plot for the ions (as the results for ATS-6 and for $T(\text{AVG})$ are nearly identical to those in Figures 15 and 16, we will only discuss the ATS-5, $T(\text{RMS})$ data). The ion contour plot shows essentially a random distribution around an average value whether $T(\text{RMS})$ or $T(\text{AVG})$ is considered. The electron data, on the other hand, show a distinct well shaped statistical profile in which there is a weak, but direct relationship between the current and temperature at high currents (that is, current increases as $T(\text{RMS})$ increases). Figure 17 is a "blow-up" of the lower left-hand corner of the $T(\text{RMS})$ plot demonstrating a strong inverse relation between the electron current and temperature at low current levels. In Section 5, this peculiar effect will be discussed in some detail.




Figure 15. Contour Plot of Occurrence Frequency of Electron Current vs $T(\text{RMS})$ as Observed by ATS-5. Contours correspond to percentage of all 10-min intervals studied




Figure 16. Same as Figure 15 for the Ions




Figure 17. Same as Figure 15, Except for an Enlarged Section

5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Our observations can be generalized as follows:

- (1) $T(\text{AVG}) \sim 1/2 \cdot T(\text{RMS})$,
- (2) $T_{\text{ATS-5}} \sim 1/2 \cdot T_{\text{ATS-6}}$,
- (3) $\text{Potential}_{\text{ATS-5}} \sim 1/2 \cdot \text{Potential}_{\text{ATS-6}}$ (for eclipse).
- (4) $T(\text{RMS})$ peaks near 1200 local time,
- (5) $T(\text{AVG})$ for ATS-6 and ATS-5 differ in their local time variation,
- (6) Both electron and ion currents peak near midnight,
- (7) Pronounced local time peaks in potential exist near midnight,
- (8) T increases slightly for the electrons with K_p ,
- (9) Current (particularly electron current) increases with K_p ,
- (10) Potential (near midnight) increases with geomagnetic activity,
- (11) A peculiar relation exists between electron current and temperature that is dependent on the range of the values discussed,
- (12) Ion current and temperature are not correlated.

Although no theory we could currently advance would explain all of the above phenomena, a simple interpretation is possible in terms of well-known magnetospheric theory^{12, 16} and charging theory.^{10, 15}

The basic theory is illustrated in Figure 18 where we have presented a spectrogram from ATS-5 for December 1970 (see DeForest and McIlwain¹⁷ for a detailed explanation). The features we desire to call attention to are:

- (1) The plasma injection encountered near local midnight (0630),
- (2) The rapid decay with local time of the flux,
- (3) The high energy drifting particles or drift echoes following injection.

These three observations are fairly representative of most plasma injections.

The intense fluxes near midnight associated with the injection readily explains observation (6). Likewise, observation (9) also follows as injections are believed to be closely associated with increases in geomagnetic activity. As stated earlier, the fact that the magnetosphere can be greatly perturbed by geomagnetic activity accounts for the lack of an exact 1 to 1 correspondence.

As discussed by Roederer¹⁶ and others, simple magnetospheric models indicate that as a result of particles drifting in the earth's magnetic field, the average particle energy or temperature increases slightly near noon [observation (4)]. This is not a

16. Roederer, J.G. (1970) Dynamics of geomagnetically trapped radiation, Physics and Chemistry in Space, Vol. 2, edited by J.G. Roederer and J. Zahringer, Springer, New York.
17. DeForest, S.E., and McIlwain, C.E. (1971) Plasma clouds in the magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res. 76:3587:3611.

great percentage change—certainly not as great as the electron current exhibits in local time and should not be confused with plasma changes following an injection.




Figure 18. ATS-5 Spectrogram for Day 337, 1970 (3 December 1970) Illustrating Several Plasma Injections, Culminating in a Major Injection Near 0700 UT. Local midnight is at 0630 UT

Figure 19 is a qualitative plot of Figure 18. Basically, the flux (number or energy) varies in time with the characteristic pattern of Figure 18. The initial injection of particles near local midnight is approximately Maxwellian (see De-Forest¹⁷ and Garrett and Rubin.)¹⁰ This initial cloud rapidly disperses with the higher energy particles gradient drifting and the lower energy particles drifting or being convected away. At moderate energy (10-40 keV) the particles are rapidly lost due to these mechanisms and pitch angle scattering. Higher energy particles remain longer (~ 24 hr or longer), drifting around the earth in the so-called ring current. The low energy population appears to be either replenished or simply does not disperse very rapidly (we cannot tell in this simple model). These processes lead to two or more populations of particles from what was originally a single population. The effects of this division of the plasma population is crucial to our understanding of observation (1). A two Maxwellian distribution appears to adequately represent this division of the plasma in many cases. In fact, as previously discussed, distinct values of N_1 , N_2 , T_1 , and T_2 exist that give the observed $T(\text{AVG})$ and $T(\text{RMS})$ average values and readily explain the factor of 2 difference between $T(\text{AVG})$ and $T(\text{RMS})$.




Figure 19. Qualitative Representation of the Evolution of the Particle Flux at Geosynchronous Orbit Following an Injection When the Satellite was Near Midnight

According to the model, the plasma at midnight following an injection is Maxwellian and at a high density for both the electrons and ions. As indicated in Figure 19, the population quickly evolves into 2 or more populations which are approximately equal in density for the ions (favoring somewhat the high energy component) but unequal for the electrons (favoring the low energy component). This difference in evolution of the electron and ion populations may explain the relationships

that exist between the currents and temperatures. This possibility is based on the fact that the current ($\sim NT^{1/2}$) is more dependent on the density than temperature. Initially, for the electron plasma, as the plasma is Maxwellian, an increase in either density or temperature increases the current. As time progresses, the temperature would increase [T(RMS)] or stay the same [T(AVG)] as the high energy component temperature (T2) increases, whereas the density falls rapidly accounting for the inverse relation between current and temperature. For the ions, the evolution into many, approximately equivalent temperature components could explain the lack of any relationship between the current and temperature.

6. CONCLUSION

In the preceding sections we have described the data base which was used in the study. Various difficulties in studying the data, particularly the inability of a single Maxwellian to adequately model the plasma were discussed. The data were then analyzed by statistical means. Variations in the current, potential, and temperature [T(AVG) and T(RMS)] were studied as functions of local time and K_p . An attempt was made to explain these variations in terms of a simple model of the evolution of the plasma following injection. Finally, although the study clearly indicates the inadequacies of T(AVG) and T(RMS) in describing the plasma, the results reported in the study should still prove to be useful in analyzing the effects of spacecraft charging.

References

1. Vasyliunas, V. M. (1968) A survey of low-energy electrons in the evening sector of the magnetosphere with OGO 1 and OGO 3, J. Geophys. Res. 73:2839-2884.
2. DeForest, S. E. (1977) Specification of the Geosynchronous Plasma Environment, AFGL-TR-77-0031.
3. Sy, S.-Y., and Konradi, A. (1977) Description of the plasma environment at geosynchronous altitude, NASA Johnson Technical Note, p. 10.
4. Garrett, H. B. (1977) Modeling of the Geosynchronous Orbit Plasma Environment - Part I, AFGL-TR-77-0288.
5. Lennartsson, W., and Reasoner, D. L. (1978) Low-energy plasma observations at synchronous orbit, J. Geophys. Res. 83:2145-2156.
6. Reasoner, D. L., Lennartsson, W., and Chappell, C. R. (1976) Relationship between ATS-6 spacecraft-charging occurrences and warm plasma encounters, Spacecraft Charging by Magnetospheric Plasmas, AIAA Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics Series, Vol. 42.
7. Johnson, B., and Quinn, J. (1977) Correlation of plasma parameters with spacecraft charging on ATS6, EOS, 58:1215.
8. Johnson, B., Quinn, J., and DeForest, S. (1978) Spacecraft charging on ATS-6, Proceedings of the Symposium "The Effect of the Ionosphere on Space Systems and Communications", Washington, D. C.
9. Mauk, B. H., and McIlwain, C. E. (1975) ATS-6 UCSD auroral particles experiment, IEEE Trans. Aerospace and Electronics Systems, AES-11(No. 6):1125-1130.
10. Garrett, H. B., and Rubin, A. G. (1978) Spacecraft Charging at Geosynchronous Orbit-solution for Eclipse Passage, AFGL-TR-78-0122.
11. Mauk, B. H., and McIlwain, C. E. (1974) Correlation of K_p with the substorm plasma sheet boundary, J. Geophys. Res. 79:3193-3196.
12. McIlwain, C. E. (1972) Plasma convection in the vicinity of the geosynchronous orbit, in Earth's Magnetospheric Processes, edited by B. M. McCormac, p 268, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Netherlands.

References

13. Roederer, J.G., and Hones, E.W., Jr. (1974) Motion of magnetospheric particle clouds in a time-dependent electric field model, J. Geophys. Res. pp 1932-1438.
14. Garrett, H.B., Pavel, A.L., and Hardy, D.A. (1977) Rapid Variations in Spacecraft Potential, AFGL-TR-77-0132.
15. Rubin, A.G., Garrett, H.B., and Rothwell, P.L. (1978) ATS-5 and ATS-6 potentials during eclipse, Proceedings of the Second Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference (to appear as AFGL/NASA publication).
16. Roederer, J.G. (1970) Dynamics of geomagnetically trapped radiation, Physics and Chemistry in Space, Vol. 2, edited by J.G. Roederer and J. Zahringer, Springer, New York.
17. DeForest, S.E., and McIlwain, C.E. (1971) Plasma clouds in the magnetosphere, J. Geophys. Res. 76:3587-3611.