







REPORT 79-2

Effect of water content on the compressibility of snow-water mixtures



For conversion of SI metric units to U.S./British customary units of measurement consult ASTM Standard E380, Metric Practice Guide, published by the American Society for Testing and Materials, 1916 Race St., Philadelphia, Pa. 19103.

CRREL Report 79-2



02 024

Effect of water content on the compressibility of snow-water mixtures

Gunars Abele and F. Donald Haynes

January 1979

UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS COLD REGIONS RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING LABORATORY HANOVER, NEW HAMPSHIRE, U.S.A.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

	1. REPORT JUNGER 2. GOVT ACCESSIO	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FOR
CRREL Report 79-2 4. TYTE (and Subditio) EFFECT OF WATER CONTENT ON THE COMPRESSIBILITY OF SNOW-WATER MIXTURES 5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enforced in Block 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reve		N NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
A. TITLE (and Subility) FFFECT OF WATER CONTENT ON THE COMPRESSIBILITY OF SNOW-WATER MIXTURES A. UTHOR(a) A. DEPROPRING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS J.S. ATMY Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 J.S. ATMY Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 J.S. ATMY Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 J.S. ATMY Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 J.S. ATMY Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 J.S. ATMY Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 J.S. ATMY Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 J.S. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboress()) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. J. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aboreset and femility by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Nater Density Snow ABUTRACT (Continue on reverse of the Researcy and Identify by block number) T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C. Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Nater Density Snow ABUTRACT (Continue on reverse of the Researcy and Identify by block number) T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C. OLSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aboresset and Identify by block number) C.	CRREL Report 79-2	
EFFECT OF WATER CONTENT ON THE COMPRESSIBILITY OF SNOW-WATER MIXTURES	A. TITLE (and Subtitie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVE
AUTHOR(2) Gunars/Abele IF. Donald/Haynes PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 Lost Research of the Aboress U.S. Contract of the Aboresteep and Identify b	EFFECT OF WATER CONTENT ON THE COMPRESSIBILITY OF SNOW-WATER MIXTURES	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMB
Gunars/Abele F. Donald/Haynes S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 D. PROCRAM ELGIENT, PROJECTION STATEMENT 03755 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS D. Project LA1[51][0] A9 Work Unit 248 D. A Project LA1[51][0] A9 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS D. A Project LA1[51][0] A9 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Image: Control Co	7. AUTHOR(#)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(e)
	Gunars Abele II F. Donald Haynes	(16)
U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S. Cold Regions Artement (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. U.C. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) Is. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U.S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds If necessary and Identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Density Snow ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elds If necessary and Identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in with the dist of deformation. An increase in the stress-density relationships of snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in the stress-density relationships of snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in the stress-density relationships of snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in the stress-density relationships of snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in the stress-density and rate of deformation. An increase in the stress-density relationships of snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in the stress-density and rate of deformation. An increase in the stress-density and rate of deformation. An increase in the stress-density and rate of deformation. An increase in the stress-dens	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T.
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this n Unclassified 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of w content, initial snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of w	U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755	DA Project 4A161191A91D Work Unit 248
U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this re Unclassified 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this re Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWN SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, II different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow went mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content.	11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Tanover, New Hampshire 03/55 29 14. MONITORING AGENCY HAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this r. Unclassified) 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this r. Unclassified) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, II different from Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, II different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde If necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow 4. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N necessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of w. content, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in y.	U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory	January 19
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this n Unclassified 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow 4. ABTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 mecessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of w. content, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in y	Hanover, New Hampshire 03755	29
Unclassified 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of w content, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in y	14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Of	ice) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWN 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow 4 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 mecessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of w. content, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in years	(12) 330.	Unclassified
14. SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow 4. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of the M messessory and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of w. content, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in y	0-10	154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWN GRADI
 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow 4. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N necessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of w. content. initial snow density. initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in y 		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse other it necessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow density, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in y		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow 4. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N necessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of w. content, initial snow density, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in y	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block number) Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow density, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in w	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of M necessary and identify by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow density, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in w	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	6
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds N necessary and identity by block number) The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow density, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in w	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block m	amber)
The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investigated and are shown as functions of we content, initial snow density, initial snow-water mixture density and rate of deformation. An increase in w	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block nu Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow	mber)
content in snow at a particular density or a decrease in the rate of deformation (or strain rate) decr	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
content in snow at a particular density or a decrease in the rate of deformation (or strain rate) decreases the out apparently not the specific energy required to reach a specific mixture density.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and Identify by block multiplication of the stress of the stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investig content, initial snow density, initial snow-water mixture density and identify and interesting and initial snow water mixture density and initial snow-water mixture density and initial snow	mber) ated and are shown as functions of wate rate of deformation. An increase in wat
	 ISTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block mu Cold regions Strain rate Compressibility Water Density Snow ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block mu The stress-density relationships of snow-water mixtures were investig content, initial snow density, initial snow-water mixture density and a content in snow at a particular density or a decrease in the rate of defibut apparently not the specific energy required to reach a specific mix 	amber) ated and are shown as functions of water rate of deformation. An increase in water formation (or strain rate) decreases the stre sture density.

ŀ

PREFACE

This study was conducted by Gunars Abele, Research Engineer, and F. Donald Haynes, Materials Research Engineer, of the Applied Research Branch, Experimental Engineering Division, U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory. The work was performed under DA Project 4A161101A91D, *In-House Laboratory Independent Research*, Work Unit 248.

Dr. Samuel Colbeck and Dr. William St. Lawrence technically reviewed the manuscript of this report.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising or promotional purposes. Citation of brand names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

ii

	ACCESSION for	
	NTIS Wulle Scotion	
	DOC B # Section	
	UNASHO UNCID	
CONTENTS	JUSFE TOA 1014	
	EY	Page
Abstract	DISTRIBUTION (SYST ARTITY, DODES.	i
Preface	V SPECIAL.	ii
Nomenclature		v
Introduction		1
Description of study		1
Sample preparation		1
Test equipment and procedure	······································	1
Test sample characteristics		2
Discussion of results		6
Data presentation format		6
Effect of water content and mixture	density	6
Effect of water content and initial sr	now density	6
Effect of deformation rate		9
Effect of water content and rate of d	leformation on specific energy	11
Summary		12
Literature cited		13
Appendix A. Derivation of equations.		15
Appendix B. Load-deformation traces		17

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

1.	Test setup	2
2.	Relationships between initial density of snow, density of snow-	
	water mixture, water content and saturation	2
3.	Density range of test samples	3
4.	Snow density and water content range of test samples	3
5.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(s)} \simeq 0.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $v = 0.042 \text{ cm} \text{ s}^{-1}$).	4
6.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(s)} \simeq 0.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $v = 0.42 \text{ cm} \text{ s}^{-1}$).	4
7.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(s)} \simeq 0.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $v = 4.2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$).	4
8.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(s)} \simeq 0.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $v = 0.042 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$).	4
9.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(s)} \simeq 0.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $v = 0.42 \text{ cm} \text{ s}^{-1}$).	5
10.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(r)} \simeq 0.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $v = 4.2 \text{ cm} \text{ s}^{-1}$).	5
11.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(s)} \simeq 0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $v = 0.042 \text{ cm} \text{ s}^{-1}$).	5
12.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(s)} \simeq 0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $v = 0.42 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$)	5

24

a.

Figu	re	Page
13.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(s)} \simeq$	
	$0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, v = 4.2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$	6
14.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(s)} \simeq$	
	$0.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, v = 0.042 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$)	7
15.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(s)} \simeq$	
	$0.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, v = 0.42 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$)	7
16.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(s)} \simeq$	
	$0.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, v = 4.2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$	7
17.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\rho_{0(s)} \simeq$	
	$0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, v = 0.042 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$	7
18.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\rho_{0(4)} \simeq$	
	$0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, v = 0.42 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$	8
19.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\rho_{0(4)} \simeq$	
	$0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, v = 4.2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$	8
20.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\rho_{0(4)} \simeq$	
	0.7 g cm^{-3} , $v = 0.42 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$).	8
21.	Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\rho_{0(1)} \simeq$	
	$0.7 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, v = 4.2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$	8
22.	Major principal stress vs density for various initial snow densities	
	and water contents ($v = 0.04s \text{ cm s}^{-1}$)	9
23	Major principal stress vs density for various initial snow densities	
	and water contents ($v = 4.2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$)	9
24	Major principal stress required to reach a snow-water mixture den-	
	sity of 0.8 cm ⁻³ vs water content for various initial snow densities	
	$(v = 0.042 \text{ cm s}^{-1})$	9
25	Major principal stress vs density for two rates of deformation ($w =$	
	$0: \rho_{0(4)} \simeq 0.4 \text{ and } 0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$	10
26.	Major principal stress vs density for two rates of deformation ($w \simeq$	
	$0.1: \rho_{0(1)} \simeq 0.4 \text{ and } 0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$	10
27.	Major principal stress vs density for two rates of deformation (w \simeq	
	$0.2: \rho_{\rm eff} \simeq 0.4 \text{ and } 0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$	10
28.	Major principal stress vs density for two rates of deformation (w ?	
	0.4 : $\rho_{\rm eff} \simeq 0.4$ and $0.5 \mathrm{g \ cm^{-3}}$	10
29	Stress vs water content envelope at which the difference between	
	the 0.042 and 4.2 cm s ⁻¹ deformation rates becomes noticeable	11
30	Specific energy vs water content for various initial snow densities	11
31	Specific energy vs water content for various rates of deformation	11
32	Generalized stress-density curves for snow-water mixtures	12
52.	concluined success density curves for show water inixtures	

TABLE

Table	
1. Test sample characteristics	3

See

NOMENCLATURE

7 Temperature (°C)

V Rate of deformation (cm s⁻¹) $v(slow) = 0.042 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ $v(med) = 0.42 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ $v(fast) = 4.2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$

 $Q_{0(4)}$ initial density of snow (g cm⁻³ = Mg m⁻³)

Q_{0(m)} initial density of snow-water mixture (g cm⁻³)

e density of snow-water sample at any time during load application (g cm⁻³)

 σ_1 major principal stress (bar) (kg cm⁻² = 0.98 bar = 9.8 × 10⁴ Pa)

E, specific energy (MJ m⁻³) (MJ m⁻³ \approx 10 bars)

w water content (ratio)

 $w = W_{M}$

where W_{*} = weight of water added to sample (g) W_{*} = weight of snow before addition of water (g)

S saturation (ratio)

 $S = V_{v}V_{v}$

where $V_w =$ volume of water added to sample (cm³) $V_v =$ volume of voids (cm³)

Note

Water content w and saturation S in this report are expressed as ratios, not as percentage values (to convert w and S into the conventional percent values, multiply by 100).

Relationships (for derivations see App. A)

 $w = S[1/q_{o(s)} - 1/q_i]q_{w}$

EFFECT OF WATER CONTENT ON THE COMPRESSIBILITY OF SNOW-WATER MIXTURES

Gunars Abele and F. Donald Haynes

INTRODUCTION

The addition of water to snow to increase its density, and therefore its ultimate strength, has been widely used in snow road and runway construction and foundation preparation in deep snow areas. The compressibility characteristics of dry snow over a wide range of densities and temperatures have been studied by Abele and Gow (1975, 1976). Colbeck (1973, 1976, 1978) has conducted extensive theoretical and experimental studies on the behavior of wet snow and has analyzed the mechanisms of densification under a constant load, particularly in relation to the thermodynamic principles that affect the deformation of snow containing liquid water.

Hanamoto et al. (1976) conducted a study on the feasibility of using a snow-water slurry for backfilling truss enclosures at the DYE radar sites in Greenland. In support of this study, tests were conducted to investigate the effect of water content on the compressibility of confined snow-water mixtures under load applied at constant rates of deformation.

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY

Sample preparation

The snow used in these tests had been stored in sealed plastic bags in a coldroom at a temperature of -35° C for a period of a few months. Several days before the tests, the snow bags were placed in the sample preparation room at a temperature of -3° C.

The sample containers were aluminum cylinders with an inside diameter of 20.3 cm and a height of 5.0 cm, coated with Teflon on the inside walls and on the removable baseplate. Snow was sieved into the containers by rubbing snow blocks through a no. 20 sieve (0.84-mm mesh size) and then leveled even with the top of the container. The resulting snow density for this procedure was approximately 0.4 g cm⁻³. To obtain densities around 0.5 g cm⁻³, manual compaction with a thick metal plate was required. A Materials Testing System (MTS) machine was used to precompact the snow to approximately a 0.6-g cm⁻³ density.

A predetermined amount of 0°C water from an "ice bath" was poured slowly, and as evenly as possible, into the snow sample, which was at a temperature of -3°C. The sample was immediately placed in an insulated Styrofoam container, carried to the test room, removed from the container, and placed in the cold chamber (-3°C) of the test apparatus. The elapsed time between the addition of water and the start of the compression test was approximately 2 min.

Test equipment and procedure

The compression tests were conducted with the 10,000-kg load capacity servocontrolled MTS machine equipped with an environmental test chamber (temperature controllable to -50°C) and a calibrated ram speed control; the MTS is







Figure 2. Relationships between initial density of snow, density of snow-water mixture, water content and saturation.

capable of applying deformation rates to the test specimen from 0 to 40 cm s^{-1} The load vs ram displacement trace is displayed and stored on the oscilloscope screen; a Polaroid photograph of the trace is taken after each test (see App. B). The load and deformation data are obtained from the photographs.

After the snow sample was positioned in the test chamber, the load plate attached to a load cell was moved down to the top of the sample and the oscilloscope trace adjusted to the zero position. The MTS was set to the desired deformation rate, and the temperature in the chamber was checked.

To eliminate any friction between the load plate and the inside of the cylinder, a radial clearance of 0.15 cm was provided (Fig. 1). Small holes were drilled through the load plate to permit air and water escape from the sample during compression. A fitted sheet of Pellon, a material easily permeable to air and water but not to snow particles, was placed between the surface of the snow sample and the load plate.

All tests were performed to the maximum load capability of the testing system. The maximum final stress on the 20.3-cm-diam sample was approximately 2.5 MPa (25 bars).

Three rates of deformation were used: 0.042, 0.42 and 4.2 cm s⁻¹. The maximum available rate of 42 cm s⁻¹ caused a considerable splash of water within the test chamber, implying that deformation rates of this magnitude may be less effective for compacting snow-water mixtures than slower rates.

After removal of the sample from the test chamber, the excess water, which had been squeezed out during the test, was poured off and the remaining sample returned to the coldroom where it was weighed and measured. The density of the sample at any stress during the test was computed from the deformation data obtained from the oscilloscope photographs.

A total of 62 tests were performed. The first 12 were trial tests to get a feel for the behavior of snow with various water contents under various compaction rates and to establish a suitable test procedure.

Test sample characteristics

The characteristics of the test samples (initial snow density, density of the snow-water mixture and water content) and the rate of deformation are shown in Table I.

Figure 2 shows the relationship between snow density, water content, saturation, and the resulting density of the snow-water mixture. The equations for these relationships are shown in the Nomenclature.

The amount of water in each sample was originally computed in terms of water content by weight (weight of water/weight of snow). To make the equations and relationships numerically correct, the water content (and saturation) figures used in this report are in terms of their actual numerical values (ratios), instead of the usual percentage values used in soil mechanics.

To conveniently illustrate the range of the test sample properties, a graphical presentation of all the samples, arranged according to their density characteristics, is shown in Figure 3. Figure 4 shows the test samples arranged according to their snow density and water content characteristics.

Table I. Test sample characteristics.

	Rate of	Der	sity	Water
	deform	Snow	Mixture	content
	v	Qotal	Qo(-)	w
Test	(cm s ⁻¹)	(g cm-3)	(g cm-3)	(ratio)
42	0.042	0.42	0.42	0
38	0.042	0.41	0.45	0.10
39	0.042	0.40	0.50	0.23
40	0.042	0.42	0.60	0.43
41	0.042	0.42	0.70	0.00
22	0.042	0.41	0.02	1.01
53	0.42	0.41	0.41	0
22	0.42	0.39	0.43	0.10
21	0.42	0.41	0.51	0.24
20	0.42	0.41	0.54	0.32
19	0.42	0.44	0.67	0.53
18	0.42	0.38	0.70	0.85
54	4.2	0.41	0.41	0
17	4.2	0.41	0.44	0.07
16	4.2	0.42	0.49	0.18
15	4.2	0.42	0.57	0.35
14	4.2	0.43	0.68	0.59
13	4.2	0.40	0.74	0.88
32	0.042	0.52	0.52	0
28	0.042	0.49	0.55	0.12
29	0.042	0.48	0.59	0.23
30	0.042	0.51	0.72	0.41
31	0.042	0.50	0.83	0.67
61	0.042	0.51	0.91	0.81
37	0.42	0.51	0.51	0
33	0.42	0 53	0.60	014
34	0.42	0.51	0.63	0.25
35	0.42	0.50	0.71	0.40
36	0.42	0.51	0.83	0.62
27	4.2	0.50	0.50	•
22	4.2	0.50	0.50	0 11
24	4.2	0.49	0.54	0.11
25	4.2	0.51	0.72	0.47
26	42	0.50	0.83	0.66
62	4.2	0.52	0.91	0.79
	0.040			
4/	0.042	0.60	0.60	0
45	0.042	0.60	0.66	0.10
44	0.042	0.60	0.74	0.23
45	0.042	0.62	0.00	0.40
40	0.042	0.02	0.91	0.45
60	0.42	0.63	0.63	0
56	0.42	0.62	0.69	0.12
57	0.42	0.58	0.73	0.25
58	0.42	0.61	0.87	0.42
59	0.42	0.61	0.89	0.46
52	4.2	0.60	0.60	0
48	4.2	0.62	0.67	0.09
49	4.2	0.61	0.75	0.22
50	4.2	0.59	0.83	0.39
51	4.2	0.59	0.89	0.51







Figure 4. Snow density and water content range of test samples.



Figure 5. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(s)} \simeq 0.4$ g cm⁻³, v = 0.042 cm s⁻¹).





Figure 7. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(s)} \simeq 0.4$ g cm⁻³, v = 4.2 cm s⁻¹).



Figure 8. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(r)} \simeq 0.5$ g cm⁻³, v = 0.042 cm s⁻¹).



Figure 9. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(s)} \approx 0.5$ g cm⁻³, v = 0.42 cm s⁻¹).



Figure 10. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(x)} \stackrel{\sim}{,} \simeq 0.5$ g cm⁻³, v = 4.2 cm s⁻¹).



Figure 11. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents $(Q_{0}(\epsilon) \cong 0.6 \text{ g} \text{ cm}^{-3}, v = 0.042 \text{ cm} \text{ s}^{-1}).$



Figure 12. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(s)} \simeq 0.6$ g cm⁻³, v = 0.42 cm s⁻¹).



Figure 13. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($\varrho_{0(r)} \simeq 0.6$ g cm⁻³, v = 4.2 cm s⁻¹).

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Data presentation format

The stress-density test data are shown in the following graphs. The format of all the graphs (except Fig. 24 and 29) is the same: the major principal stress σ , is plotted vs the density of the sample ϱ at any point during the compression test.

Below each graph, the sample properties (snew density, water content and the resulting mixture density) are shown in an auxiliary graph. This seemed to be a more meaningful method of identifying the initial sample properties than to indicate the three properties numerically on each curve. This method also permits a convenient comparison of the similarities or differences between the samples in a specific group shown on each graph. The samples are identified by test number for cross-referencing. (Test data of some samples with a high snow-water mixture density were below the range of the stress scale on the y axis and, therefore, do not appear on the stress vs density plot.)

Effect of water content and mixture density

Figures 5-13 show the influence of water content on the compressibility of snow-water mixtures with approximately the same initial snow density and at the same rate of deformation. That is, on each figure showing the stress-density relationship, the initial snow density $Q_{0(x)}$ and rate of deformation v are constant, with the water content w (and thus the mixture density $Q_{0(m)}$) being the variable. The samples were divided into three groups with snow densities of approximately 0.4, 0.5, and 0.6 g cm⁻³. Three rates of deformation (0.042, 0.42, and 4.2 cm s⁻¹) were used for each density group (refer to Table 1).

It is quite evident that an increase in the water content increases the compressibility of the snow-water mixture. That is, an increase in the water content decreases the stress required to reach a particular density of the mixture, as has been also demonstrated by Colbeck et al. (1978). During rapid deformation of a snow-water mixture no shear forces can be supported by the interparticle contact, because the ice surfaces are separated by a water film of sufficient thickness to prevent ice-to-ice contact (Colbeck 1976).

Effect of water content and initial snow density

The effect of water content can be better illustrated by treating the initial snow density $Q_{0(r)}$ as a variable and the mixture density $Q_{0(m)}$ as a constant, thus comparing the stress-density relationship of dry snow vs wet snow at the same density, as shown in Figures 14-21. The samples were again divided into three groups with mixture densities of approximately 0.5, 0.6, and 0.7 g cm⁻³. (Only 24 out of 50 samples could be classified in these three groups.) For various snow-water mixtures of the same density, the one with the highest water content will require the least stress to reach a particular density, the dry snow being the most difficult to compact.

The effects of both the initial snow density q_{01s1} and water content w on the stress-density relationship are summarized for the slow (0.042-cm s⁻¹) and fast (4.2-cm s⁻¹) deformation rates in Figures 22 and 23. Some of the curves were obtained by interpolation of data, since a complete set of samples with the exact density



Figure 14. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(m)} \simeq 0.5$ g cm^{-3} , v = 0.042 cm s⁻¹).



Figure 15. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(m)} \simeq 0.5$ g cm⁻³, v = 0.42 cm s⁻¹).



Figure 16. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(m)} \simeq 0.5$ g cm⁻³, v = 4.2 cm s⁻¹).







Figure 18. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(m)} \cong 0.6$ g cm⁻³, v = 0.42 cm s⁻¹).



Figure 19. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0(m)} \simeq 0.6$ g cm⁻³, v = 4.2 cm s⁻¹).

internet to a los



Figure 20. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents ($Q_{0}(m) \approx 0.7$ g. cm⁻³, v = 0.42 cm s⁻¹).



Figure 21. Major principal stress vs density for various water contents $(Q_{0(m)} \cong 0.7 \text{ g} \text{ cm}^{-3}, v = 4.2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}).$



Figure 22. Major principal stress vs density for various initial snow densities and water contents (v = 0.042 cm s⁻¹).



Figure 23. Major principal stress vs density for various initial snow densities and water contents (v = 4.2 cm s⁻¹).

and water content characteristics shown was not available. These graphs give an indication of the decrease in stress with an increase in water content for any particular density condition.

Another method of illustrating this effect is shown in the example in Figure 24 where the stress required to obtain a density of 0.8 g cm⁻³ at the slow (0.042-cm s⁻¹) deformation rate is plotted vs the water content of the three snow density groups (from Fig. 22).



Figure 24. Major principal stress required to reach a snow-water mixture density of 0.8 g cm⁻³ vs water content for various initial snow densities (v =0.042 cm s⁻¹).

Effect of deformation rate

To observe the influence of the deformation rate on the stress-density relationship at a specific water content, one pair of samples (one at the 0.042-cm s⁻¹ rate, the other at 4.2-cm s⁻¹) was selected at a low initial density and another pair at a high initial density, both pairs having the same or similar water contents. The results for four groups of water contents (0, approx. 0.1, approx. 0.2, and approx. 0.4) are shown in Figures 25-28.

After a certain stress level, an increase in the rate of deformation increases the stress required to reach a specific density, the initial density and water content being the same. That is, a snow-water mixture can be compacted more easily at a slower compaction rate. The significance of this effect increases with an increase in water content.

Figure 29 shows the approximate stress vs water content envelope at which the difference between the slow (0.042-cm s^{-1}) and the fast (4.2-cm s^{-1}) deformation rates becomes noticeable. Below this envelope, the stressdensity relationship is not influenced by the deformation rate. Above the envelope, the stress required to reach a certain density at a low deformation rate is lower that that required at a high deformation rate.





Figure 29. Stress vs water content envelope at which the difference between the 0.042 and 4.2 cm s⁻¹ deformation rates becomes noticeable.

In previous studies (Abele and Gow 1975, 1976) on dry snow, the rate of deformation was found to have very little influence on the stress-density relationship.

Effect of water content and rate of deformation on specific energy

To determine the energy required to compact snow with various water contents, the specific energy was computed for each test. The area under the load-deformation curve was calculated by using an Autech A-12 Planimeter with a 102-A Measurement Scanning Camera. The error involved in using this optical method for determining areas was within 2%. The energy corresponding to the area under the loaddeformation curve was then divided by the initial snow volume to obtain the specific energy. Since this type of a compressive test does not result in what could be considered specimen failure, the term "specific energy" is used here to indicate the required energy at the maximum available compressive load, 8618 kgf.

Figure 30 shows the specific energy as a function of water content for three initial snow densities, 0.4, 0.5 and 0.6 g cm⁻³, at the three different rates of deformation (0.042, 0.42 and 4.2 cm s⁻¹, with the corresponding approximate strain rates being 0.01, 0.1 and 1 s⁻¹, respectively). The same data are replotted in Figure 31 with the rate of deformation (instead of initial density) being constant in each plot.

The following tentative observations can be made from the available data on how the







Figure 31. Specific energy vs water content for various rates of deformation.

specific energy varies with water content in snow which is being subjected to a maximum stress of 25 bars:

1. The specific energy (E,) required to compact dry snow (w = 0) is sensitive to the rate of deformation (v) between 0.042 and 0.42 cm s⁻¹, but not noticeably sensitive between 0.42 and 4.2 cm s⁻¹ (Fig. 30), and it is insensitive to an initial snow density (ϱ_0) between 0.4 and 0.6 g cm⁻³ (Fig. 31). That is, for dry snow E, decreases with an increase in v (or an increase in the strain rate), the initial snow density having no particular influence.

2. The specific energy for a snow-water mixture is sensitive to the rate of deformation below a water content of approximately 0.2 (20%). As w is increased to 0.2, E_s decreases for the low v (0.042 cm s⁻¹), but increases for the medium and high v (0.42 and 4.2 cm s⁻¹); this phenomenon occurs for all three initial snow densities (0.4, 0.5 and 0.6 g cm⁻³). For water contents above 0.2, E_s decreases gradually with an increase in w at the higher v and $Q_{0(s)}$ values (Fig. 31b and 31c), or remains approximately the same in the case of the lower v and $Q_{0(s)}$ values (Fig. 31a and 31b).

It appears, therefore, that the addition of water to snow for the purpose of decreasing the energy required for compaction is of no benefit, except when a very low strain rate (approx. 0.01 s⁻¹) is used (Fig. 31a). And even in this case, the required energy level would not be decreased below that required for compacting dry snow at a higher strain rate (approx. 1 s⁻¹, see Figs. 31b and 31c). However, the addition of water is certainly beneficial for increasing the density, and thus the ultimate strength, of the snow-ice mixture.

Considering the mechanisms involved in snow compaction, Colbeck* has indicated the importance of surface energy change. This concept involves the assumption of a spherical snow grain being transformed into a cubical shape to maximize the packing density. The change in energy per unit volume is

 $\Delta E_{i} = \Delta A o_{i} n$

where $\Delta A = change in surface area$

- o_n = surface energy for the water-ice boundary
- n = number of particles per unit volume.

*S. Colbeck, CRREL, personal communication, 1977



Figure 32. Generalized stress-density curves for snow-water mixtures.

Based on the estimated average grain size of 0.2 mm in the test samples used, the ΔE , value is 0.046 MJ m⁻³, which is only 1 to 2% of the specific energy for snow being subjected to a maximum stress of 25 bars and therefore resulting in a final density approaching that of ice. This indicates that other mechanisms such as regelation are apparently more important factors in the snow compaction mechanism.

SUMMARY

The general influence of water content and rate of deformation on the stress-density relationship of snow-water mixtures is summarized in Figure 32.

Figure 32a shows the general shape of the stress-density curves at two rates of deformation for two snow samples having the same initial snow density but different water contents, thus resulting in different mixture densities $(Q_{0}(m))$.

Figure 32b shows the same type of relationship, except that the initial snow densities are different and appropriate amounts of water are added to produce the same mixture density.

Figure 32c shows the stress-density relationship for two samples with different densities but the same water content at two different rates of deformation. In general, an increase in water content in snow at a particular density (and thus an increase in mixture density) or a decrease in the rate of deformation (or strain rate) decreases the stress required to reach a specific density of a snow-water mixture, the water acting as a lubricant between the ice particles.

The addition of water to snow does not help to decrease the specific energy required for compacting snow to a maximum stress of 25 bars, except for low deformation rates (strain rates in the order of magnitude of 0.01 s⁻¹) and water contents below 0.2 (20%). At higher deformation rates (strain rates on the order of 0.1 and 1 s⁻¹), the specific energy increases with an increase in water content of up to approximately 0.2, and then gradually decreases with further increase in water content.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abele, G. and A.J. Gow (1975) Compressibility characteristics of undisturbed snow. CRREL Research Report 336. AD A012113.
- Abele, G. and A.J. Gow (1976) Compressibility characteristics of compacted snow. CRREL Report 76-21. AD A028622.
- Colbeck, S. (1973) Theory of metamorphism of wet snow. CRREL Research Report 313. AD 772692.
- Colbeck, S. (1976) Thermodynamic deformation of wet snow. CRREL Report 76-44. AD 033830.
- Colbeck, S., K. Shaw and G. Lemieux (1978) The compression of wet snow. CRREL Report 78-10.
- Hanamoto, B., D. Haynes, and M. Mellor (1976) Methods for backfilling, truss enclosures at DYE-2 and DYE-3. CRREL Internal Report 497 (unpublished).

APPENDIX A. DERIVATION OF EQUATIONS

- V, total volume of snow sample
- V, volume of voids
- V_i volume of ice

 $V_s = V_s + V_i$

- V. volume of water
- V. volume of air

$$V_v = V_w + V_a$$

 $V_s = V_w + V_a + V_i$

V_m volume of snow-water mixture

$$V_m = V_w + V_a + V_i = V_s$$

W, weight of snow W, weight of ice

$$W_i = W_i$$

 W_{m} weight of water W_{m} weight of snow-water mixture

$$W_m = W_i + W_w$$

e. density of snow
e. density of ice
e. density of snow-water mixture
e. density of water
w water content
S saturation

1. Maximum water content for snow at any density

$$w = f(q_i)$$

 $w = W_{*}/W_{*}$

$$W_{w} = \varrho_{w}V_{w}; \text{ for } w(\max): V_{w} = 0; V_{w} = V,$$

$$\therefore W_{w} = \varrho_{w}V,$$

$$\varrho_{v} = W_{v}/V_{v}; W_{v} = \varrho_{v}V,$$

$$w = V_{v}\varrho_{v}/\varrho_{v}V, \qquad (2)$$

$$V_{v} = V_{v}-V_{v}; V_{v} = W_{v}/\varrho_{v}; W_{v} = W_{v} = \varrho_{v}V,$$

$$\therefore V_{v} = \varrho_{v}V_{v}/\varrho_{v}; V_{v} = V_{v} - (\varrho_{v}V_{v}/\varrho_{v})$$

$$w = (V_{v}-\varrho_{v}V_{v}/\varrho_{v})\varrho_{w}/\varrho_{v}V_{v} = (1-\varrho_{v}/\varrho_{v})\varrho_{w}/\varrho_{v},$$

$$w(\max) = [(1/\varrho_{v})-(1/\varrho_{v})]\varrho_{w} \qquad (3)$$

2. Water content at any saturation

$$S = V_{w}/V_{v} \qquad (4)$$

$$V_{w} = SV_{w}; W_{w} = \varrho_{w}V_{w}$$

$$w = W_{w}/W_{v} = \varrho_{w}SV_{v}/W_{v} = \varrho_{w}SV_{v}/\varrho_{v}V,$$

$$w = S[(1/\varrho_{v})-(1/\varrho_{v})]\varrho_{w} \qquad (5)$$

$$w/S = [(1/\varrho_{v})-(1/\varrho_{v})]\varrho_{w} \qquad (6)$$

$$S = 1 \text{ at } w(\max)$$

3. Mixture density

$$\varrho_{m} = W_{m}/V_{m} = (W_{w}+W_{w})/V_{m} \qquad (7)$$

$$W_{v} = w W_{v} = w \varrho_{v}V,$$

$$W_{v}+W_{v} = \varrho_{v}V_{v} (w+1)$$

$$V_{m} = V,$$

$$\varrho_{m} = \varrho_{v}(w+1) \qquad (8)$$

15

(1)

APPENDIX B. LOAD-DEFORMATION TRACES



Sample diameter = 20.3 cm Sample area = 323.5 cm² Sample height = 5.0 cm $g_{0[s]}$ = initial density of snow (g cm⁻³) w = water content (weight of water/weight of snow) $g_{0[m]}$ = initial density of snow-water mixture (g cm⁻³) v = rate of deformation (cm s⁻¹)



Test	42	38	39
Q0[3]	0.42	0.41	0.40
w	0	0.10	0.23
Qo(m)	0.42	0.45	0.50
			v = 0.042



Test	40	41	55
Qotal	0.42	0.42	0.41
w	0.43	0.66	1.01
Qo(m)	0.60	0.70	0.82



Stat on a



L

.



Test	32	20	
Qo(s)	0.52	28	29
w	0	0.12	0.48
Qo[m]	0.52	0.55	0.23
			v = 0.042





Test	37	33	34
Qoist	0.51	0.53	0.51
w	0	0.14	0.25
Qo[m]	0.51	0.60	0.63
			v = 0.42



Test	35	36
Qo[+]	0.50	0.51
w	0.40	0.62
Qo(m)	0.71	0.83
		v = 0.42



Test	27	23	.24
Q01=)	0.50	0.49	0.49
w	0	0.11	0.24
Qo[m]	0.50	0.54	0.61
			v = 42



Test	25	26	62
Q01+1	0.51	0.50	0.52
w	0.42	0.66	0.79
Qo(m)	0.72	0.83	0.91



Test	47	43	44
Qo[+]	0.60	0.60	0.60
w	0	0.10	0.23
Qo[m]	0.60	0.66	0.74
			v = 0.042



Test	45	46
Qo[+]	0.62	0.62
w	0.40	0.45
Qo(m)	0.88	0.91
		v = 0.042



Test	60	56	57
Qo[s]	0.63	0.62	0.58
w	0	0.12	0.25
Qo[m]	0.63	0.69	0.73
			v = 0.42



Test	58	59
Qo[+]	0.61	0.61
w	0.42	0.46
Qo[m]	0.87	0.89
		v = 0.42



Test	52	48	49
Qo[s]	0.60	0.62	0.61
w	0	0.09	0.22
Q0[m]	0.60	0.67	0.75
			v = 42



Test	50	51
Qot+1	0.59	0.59
w	0.39	0.51
Qo[m]	0.83	0.89
		v = 12

A facsimile catalog card in Library of Congress MARC format is reproduced below.

Abele, Gunars

Effect of water content on the compressibility of snowwater mixtures / by Gunars Abele and F. Donald Haynes. Hanover, N.H.: U.S. Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory; Springfield, Va.: available from National Technical Information Service, 1979.

v, 29 p., illus.; 27 cm. (CRREL Report 79-2.) Bibliography: p. 13.

1. Cold regions. 2. Compressibility. 3. Density. 4. Snow. 5. Strain rate. 6. Water. I. F. Donald Haynes. II. United States. Army. Corps of Engineers. III. Series: Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, N.H. CRREL Report 79-2.

\$U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1979 = 600-748/308