/	AD-A	066 55	5 NAT AN JAN	IONAL EVALUA 79 B FAA	GOLDBE	TURN RG, D	LITIES ANTICIE ELDREDO	EXPERIN ATION E. W C	MENTAL TECHNIG RIMBRIN A-RD-78	CENTER DUES AND	ATL-	ETC F	/6 17/7 NGETC	(U)
		0F   AD A088555	14 C	ayu ginaaa									Destantas Destantas Destantas Destantas	
	1. TA	1		P			Jum							2
	52	22			T BARRADO			12			ii gaanse			
							DESSEARCH CONSIGNATION				2			Anna anna anna anna anna anna anna anna
				and the second s	(Juliului)	BEZERBRANK GROAMALSISS MILLION	La caracteria Martina Martina Martina	5	1	$\sum$	2	<b>WWW</b>		
			The second secon	Martin Constant	1 = 1		iimi			Renarranse				Tunn Tunn Tunn
	Turm'	tuin tuin	<u>10700</u> 10700		Turm Turm	think think		END DATE FILMED 5 -79 DDC						
1.														1





# NOTICE

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

Annua

The second states of the second s

I Neport No. 12 Construct According No.	3 Recipient's Catalog No.
18 FAA-RD-78-114	o. Recipient's coloring no.
Ditle and Subtitle	5. Report Date
AN EVALUATION OF TURN ANTICIPATION TECHNIQUES AND	
OFFSET FLYING PROCEDURES USING A SINGLE-WAYPOINT	6. Performing Organization Code
RNAV SYSTEM	ANA-200
<	8. Performing Organization Report No.
Presidentil Presidentility for the	T (14)
Sernard/Goldberg, Donald/Eldredge/ william/Crimbring	10 Weth Unit No. (TPAIS)
Federal Aviation Administration	
National Aviation Facilities Experimental Center	11. Contract or Grant No.
Atlantic City, New Jersey 08405	044-326-350
	13 Type of Report and Period Covere
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address	(7) Final rept
U.S. Department of Transportation	May 2007 - Nov
Federal Aviation Administration	14 Spansarian Annary Cada
Washington D C 20500	ARD-333
15. Supplementary Notes	79 (12) 89
The purpose of this report is to document the resul simulation which was conducted to evaluate turn ant for use with a single-waypoint, general aviation ty Techniques were evaluated for both centerline and o measured for two variables: total system crosstrack technical error (FTE). The major findings were: (1 tested could be used for centerline tracking; (2) n be discovered between offset steady state and offset	ts of a three-phased cockpin icipation techniques applica pe area navigation (RNAV) sy ffset tracking. Performance error (TSCT), and flight ) all turn anticipation tech o significant differences co t turn data: (3) a weaching
The purpose of this report is to document the resul simulation which was conducted to evaluate turn ant for use with a single-waypoint, general aviation ty Techniques were evaluated for both centerline and o measured for two variables: total system crosstrack technical error (FTE). The major findings were: (1 tested could be used for centerline tracking; (2) n be discovered between offset steady state and offse technique for turn anticipation during offset track greatly to the pilot workload.	ts of a three-phased cockpin icipation techniques applica pe area navigation (RNAV) sy ffset tracking. Performance error (TSCT), and flight ) all turn anticipation tech o significant differences co t turn data; (3) a useable ing is complex and contribut
The purpose of this report is to document the result simulation which was conducted to evaluate turn ant for use with a single-waypoint, general aviation ty Techniques were evaluated for both centerline and of measured for two variables: total system crosstrack technical error (FTE). The major findings were: (1 tested could be used for centerline tracking; (2) n be discovered between offset steady state and offset technique for turn anticipation during offset track greatly to the pilot workload.	ts of a three-phased cockpin icipation techniques applica pe area navigation (RNAV) sy ffset tracking. Performance error (TSCT), and flight ) all turn anticipation tech o significant differences co t turn data; (3) a useable ing is complex and contribut
The purpose of this report is to document the resul simulation which was conducted to evaluate turn ant for use with a single-waypoint, general aviation ty Techniques were evaluated for both centerline and o measured for two variables: total system crosstrack technical error (FTE). The major findings were: (1 tested could be used for centerline tracking; (2) n be discovered between offset steady state and offset technique for turn anticipation during offset track greatly to the pilot workload.	ts of a three-phased cockpin icipation techniques applica pe area navigation (RNAV) sy ffset tracking. Performance error (TSCT), and flight ) all turn anticipation tech o significant differences co t turn data; (3) a useable ing is complex and contribut
The purpose of this report is to document the resul simulation which was conducted to evaluate turn ant for use with a single-waypoint, general aviation ty Techniques were evaluated for both centerline and o measured for two variables: total system crosstrack technical error (FTE). The major findings were: (1 tested could be used for centerline tracking; (2) n be discovered between offset steady state and offset technique for turn anticipation during offset track greatly to the pilot workload.	ts of a three-phased cockpin icipation techniques applica pe area navigation (RNAV) sy ffset tracking. Performance error (TSCT), and flight ) all turn anticipation tech o significant differences co t turn data; (3) a useable ing is complex and contribut
The purpose of this report is to document the resul simulation which was conducted to evaluate turn ant for use with a single-waypoint, general aviation ty Techniques were evaluated for both centerline and or measured for two variables: total system crosstrack technical error (FTE). The major findings were: (1 tested could be used for centerline tracking; (2) n be discovered between offset steady state and offset technique for turn anticipation during offset track greatly to the pilot workload.         17. *ey Words       A         Area Navigation (RNAV)       I8. Distribution         Total System Crosstrack Error (TSCT)       Document through Service,         Flight Technical Error (FTE)       Omni Bearing Selector (OBS)       Document through Service,         Y. Security Clessif. (of this report)       20. Security Clessif. (of this page)         Unclassified       Unclassified	ts of a three-phased cockpin icipation techniques applica- pe area navigation (RNAV) sy ffset tracking. Performance error (TSCT), and flight ) all turn anticipation tech o significant differences co t turn data; (3) a useable ing is complex and contribut scomplex and contribut Statement is available to the U.S. put the National Technical Infor Springfield, Virginia 2210 21. No. of Pages 22. Price 86

	ļ	ss=\$1	3¥7	8 2	* x + 82 %	1
C Mesures	]	inches inches feet yards miles	square inches square yards square miles acres	conces pounds abort tons	fluid aunces prints quarts gallens cubic yards cubic yards	Fahranhait Fahranhait Inspection 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160
rsions from Metri	Meltiply by LENGTH	0.04 3.3 0.6 1.1 0.6	2.6	0.005 2.2 1.1	0.03 1.04 0.25 35 1.1 3	
Appreximate Conve	Wer Yes Keen	millimeters continuers meters hilometers kilometers	square continuators square miners square kilomens hectares (10,000 m <sup>2</sup> )	grams kilograms tommas (1000 kg)	Allilites Allilites Iten Iten Cobic meas Cobic meas	Celtura Celtura temperatura La transcentra La trans
	ļ	1 5 e e 5	ìrì1	• ¥ -	ī%%	
9 	8 		e 1 			
	ļ	5 5 e 5	Ĩttī 2	. 2.	77 <b>7</b> 7	e. 288.
Measures	]	contimetors contimetors motors kilometors	square centimeters square meters square meters square kilometers bectares	grams kilograms tonnes	millitera millitera Millitera Itera Itera Itera Itera	Cuolo meres Calaius samperatura tables, ese MSS Misc. Pd
versions to Metric	Maniphy by LENGTH	30 30 0.9 1.6	AMEA 6.5 0.09 0.4 0.4	(ASS (weight) 28 0.45 0.9 VOLUME	8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	U.P. EATURE (esser) 5.9 (after advancing 20) 22) 23) 24) 24) 24) 25) 24) 24) 25) 24) 25) 25] 25] 25] 25] 25] 25] 25] 25] 25] 25]
Approximate Con	]	1111		ounces pounds short tons (2000 tb)	A Contraction of the contraction	TEAP TEAP Fahrenhait Mayarahai Mayarahai Mayarahai Mayarahai Mayarahai Mayarahai Mayarahai
	1	1031	1211	10	11:I23	-1 in = 2.54 (e

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

akid

「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」

and in a

anny:

.

## PREFACE

Acknowledgement is given to Messrs. Douglas A. Elliott and Joseph E. McCall who developed the equations for computing turn anticipation distance values presented in the appendix to this report.

The authors wish to advise the reader that this final technical report summarizes the information contained in the following data and interim reports which were prepared and issued as the work on this program progressed. For additional details, the reader may wish to consult the following:

1. Data Report -- "Procedural Turn Anticipation Techniques, Experiment No. 2, GAT-2A, Phase I--On-course Tracking," July 1977.

2. Data Report -- "Procedural Turn Anticipation Techniques, Experiment No. 2, GAT-2A, Phase II--Preliminary Offset Tracking Procedures," November 1977.

3. Interim Report -- "Procedural Turn Anticipation Techniques, Experiment No. 2, GAT-2A, Phase III--Offset Tracking Procedures--2- and 4-nmi Offsets," September 1978.

NTIS DDC UNANNOUM JUSTIFICATI	While Section C Buff Section C CED
IY DISTINGUTION Ist. A A	VANAR AMINY CODES
A	SPECIAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
Background	(Table 1
Purpose	î
DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT	2
Cockpit Simulator	2
RNAV System	2
Data Collection System	2
PHASE I METHOD OF APPROACH (PROCEDURAL TURN ANTICIPATION TECHNIQUES FOR ONCOURSE TRACKING)	7
Objective	. 7
Route Structure	8
Experimental Design	8
Subjects Experimental Procedures	8
Data Collection Parameters	11
Discussion of Data	11
PHASE II METHOD OF APPROACH (PRELIMINARY OFFSET TRACKING PROCEDURES)	22
Objective	22
Route Structure	23
Experimental Design	25
Subjects	25
Experimental Procedures	25
Discussion of Data	28
PHASE III METHOD OF APPROACH (2- AND 4-NMI OFFSET PROCEDURES)	37
Objective	37
Route Structure	40
Experimental Design	40
Subjects	42
Experimental Procedures	42
Data Collection rarameters	45
RESULTS	60
CONCLUSIONS	61

Are was

v

The Ily

G. i

Transfer , States

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

## REFERENCES

## APPENDIX

The second states in the

the se

Computation of Turn Anticipation Distance

v

Page 62

an the

vi

.

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Exterior ViewGAT-2A Simulator	3
2	KNC-610 RNAV Unit Installed in a GAT-2A Simulator	3
3	KNC-610 RNAV Control Head	4
4	CDI Instrument (4-nmi right offset)	4
5	GAT-2A/King RNAV/XDS-530A Computer Interface Diagram	.5
6	XDS-530A Computer	6
7	Route B1 Configuration	9
8	Composite Plot (Six Subjects) of the Total System Crosstrack Error (Turn Data) for the AC 90-45A Turn Anticipation Technique (Phase I)	16
9	Composite Plot (Six Subjects) of the Total System Crosstrack Error (Turn Data) for the UI/CTI Turn Anticipation Technique (Phase I)	17
10		
10	Composite Plot (Six Subjects) of the Total System Crosstrack Error (Turn Data) for the NAFEC Turn Anticipation Technique (Phase I)	18
11	Horizontal View of Experimental Flight Courses (Phase II)	24
12	Placard Used to Assist the Pilot in Applying the OBS Set Logic (Phases II and III)	27
13	Horizontal View of the Experimental Flight Course (Phase III)	41
14	RMS TSCT Error Turn Data (+2- and +4-nmi Error Window) and Steady State Data (Phase III)	51
15	Composite Plot for 2-nmi Left Offset (Phase III)	52
16	Composite Plot for 4-nmi Left Offset (Phase III)	53
17	Composite Plot for 2-nmi Right Offset (Phase III)	54
18	Composite Plot for 4-nmi Right Offset (Phase III)	55
19	Diagram of Transition at Waypoint L	58

Ber Di

The product of the state of the second second parts

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Flight Experience of the Pilot Subjects (Phase I)	10
2	Mean OBS Set/Start Turn Distances from Waypoint as a Function of Turn Anticipation Technique (Phase I)	12
3	Mean OBS Set/Start Turn Distances from Waypoint as a Function of Turn Angle and Turn Anticipation Technique (Phase I)	13
4	Mean, Variance, and RMS Total System Crosstrack Error (Turn Data) as a Function of Turn Anticipation Technique (Phase I)	15
5	Mean, Variance, and RMS Total System Crosstrack Error (Turn Data) as a Function of Turn Angle and Turn Anticipation Technique (Phase I)	19
6	Statistical Summaries for Final Approach Data (TSCT versus FTE versus ILS (Phase I)	21
7	Basic Logic for Setting the OBS During Offset Tracking (Phases II and III)	26
8	OBS Set/Start Turn Distances for Left offsets Using the CDI Turn Method (Phase II)	29
9	OBS Set/Start Turn Distance for Right Offsets Using the CDI Turn Method (Phase II)	30
10	Calibration Values for the CDI Instrument Used in This Study (Phase II)	32
11	DTW Distances Used for Test of Turn Anticipation Computations (Phase II)	32
12	OBS Set/Start Turn Distances for Left Offsets Using the DTW Turn Method (Phase II)	34
13	OBS Set/Start Turn Distances for Right Offsets Using the DTW Turn Method (Phase II)	35
14	DTW Distance for OBS Set Prior to Intercepting the Final Approach Course (Phase II)	36
15	RMS (+4.0 nmi) Turn Data (Phase II)	36

# State

Congress and A an Friday I .

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table		Page
16	Mean and RMS Steady State Tracking Data (TSCT) (Phase II)	38
17	Mean and RMS Steady State CDI Displacement (FTE) (Phase II)	39
18	Experimental Design Configurations (Phase III)	40
19	Summary of the Flight Experience of the Eight Subjects (Phase III)	42
20	OBS Set/Start Turn Distances for 2- and 4-nmi Left and Right Offsets (Phase III)	46
21	Turn Data TSCT Error (+2.0-nmi Window) (Phase III)	48
22	Turn Data TSCT Error (+4.0-nmi Window) (Phase III)	48
23	Mean, Sigma, and RMS Steady State Tracking Data (TSCT) (Phase III)	49
24	Mean, Sigma, and RMS Steady State Tracking Data (FTE) (Phase III)	56
25	Elapsed Time Between OBS Set and Start Turn for Shallow Angle Turns at Waypoints L and F (Phase III)	59
26	Summary of the Overall 2-RMS ( <u>+</u> 2.0-nmi Window) TSCT Errors (Phase III)	59

ix

The grow is the second s

.

## INTRODUCTION

## BACKGROUND.

This activity is one of several projects conducted by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in order to assist in the orderly introduction of Area Navigation (RNAV) into the National Airspace System (NAS). This final report summarizes and analyzes the data from three separate experiments which were conducted to investigate turn anticipation procedures. This three-phased activity was conducted at the FAA's National Aviation Facilities Experimental Center's (NAFEC) Cockpit Simulation Facility between May and November of 1977. The first phase examined turn techniques while operating on course. Phase II investigated various offset tracking procedures while phase III was conducted to expand the offset tracking data base.

## PURPOSE.

The purpose of phase I of this experiment was to obtain pilot tracking data on three RNAV procedural turn anticipation techniques using the General Aviation Trainer (GAT)-2A equipped with a King (KNC-610) single-waypoint analog RNAV unit. Since Federal Air Regulations (FAR's) require that pilots be able to execute turns within the protected airspace at airway intersections, techniques for anticipating and executing turns were evaluated during this phase. All data were collected while navigating oncourse (i.e., with no offset). Phase I provided baseline data for three turn anticipation techniques which formed the basis for selecting one technique for measuring offset tracking performance during the follow-on activities.

The purposes of phase II were: (1) to develop and test a specific logic to determine when to set the Omni Bearing Selector (OBS) during offset tracking, (2) to evaluate the feasibility of using pilot judgment of Course Deviation Indicator (CDI) distance (and/or rate of movement) to transition from segment to segment while maintaining a desired offset, and (3) to determine the feasibility of using computed values of Distance to Waypoint (DTW) distances calculated on the basis of true airspeed (TAS) and the turn's magnitude for turn anticipation. Tables were constructed using an algorithm which has the capability to be implemented as an automated turn anticipation technique.

Lie purpose of phase III was to expand the data base for offset tracking which was begun in phase II and to evaluate the use of a noncentered CDI needle to fly to and maintain 2-and 4-nautical mile (nmi) offsets in a terminal area environment. Prior to collecting data, it was necessary to develop a technique to permit transition from an offset course to a final approach course.

## DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT

## COCKPIT SIMULATOR.

All testing was done using the Singer-Link GAT-2A/Xerox XDS-530A computer facility which represented a twin-engine, general aviation aircraft (figure 1). This facility and equipment were the same as those used in experiment No. 1 of this series which is described in FAA Report No. FAA-RD-78-27 (reference 1). For these tests, the GAT-2A was equipped with conventional instruments, dual Navigation/Communication (NAV/COM), King KNC-610 RNAV computer, and a standard CDI. Figure 2 shows how this equipment was installed in the GAT-2A. Figure 3 is a closeup view of the KNC-610 control head while figure 4 depicts the CDI instrument and shows a 4-nmi right offset.

## RNAV SYSTEM.

The RNAV system used in these tests was a single-waypoint, station-oriented computer which, in effect, moved the very high frequency omnirange (VOR) position to a phantom location called a "waypoint." The desired course to the waypoint was set with the OBS control knob on the CDI as is done in conventional VOR navigation. A corresponding course error signal was then shown on the CDI. The magnitude of the deviation was represented in miles rather than degrees (°) as is the case with conventional VOR system.

The CDI needle used in these tests moved through a range of  $\pm 5$  dots  $(\pm 5/8 \text{ inch})$ . When operating in the en route ("RNAV") mode, the distance between each dot (1/8 inch) represented 1 mile of course deviation. In the approach ("APPR") mode, each dot was equal to 1/4 mile. A sine/cosine resolution potentiometer was incorporated into the CDI which permitted the accurate measurement of the OBS settings made by the pilots. Aircraft DTW was displayed directly on the RNAV unit. Waypoint selection was accomplished by tuning the number 2 NAV unit to the proper VOR frequency and entering the proper range (rho) and bearing (theta) for the desired waypoint through the RNAV control head. The GAT-2A, RNAV computer, flight instruments, and XDS-530A computer were interfaced as shown in figure 5.

## DATA COLLECTION SYSTEM.

Lossen in the lost of

The Xerox XDS-530A computer (figure 6) software interfaced with the GAT-2A cockpit simulator and read into computer memory analog and digital signals using analog-to-digital (A/D) conversion equipment and direct input/output (DIO) equipment. The data were collected on magnetic tape with a 1-second clock interrupt used to control system timing. The format on the data collection tape consisted of a header record at the beginning of the tape and sequential data records, one record for each second of simulation run time. Both record types were 180 words in length. The header record was created from card input at the beginning of each run in order to identify the data at reduction time.



FIGURE 1. EXTERIOR VIEW--GAT-2A SIMULATOR



FIGURE 2. KNC-610 RNAV UNIT INSTALLED IN A GAT-2A SIMULATOR

Margar March 1 4



FIGURE 3. KNC-610 RNAV CONTROL HEAD



FIGURE 4. CDI INSTRUMENT (4-NMI RIGHT OFFSET)

all of the



\*

and the second second

THE SECTION

FIGURE 5. CAT-2A/KING RNAV/XDS-530A COMPUTER INTERFACE DIAGRAM

-

5



Each data item within a record was represented by a 16-bit, fixed-point word recorded from raw-form analog and digital voltages as acquired from the GAT-2A interfaces.

PHASE I METHOD OF APPROACH (PROCEDURAL TURN ANTICIPATION TECHNIQUES FOR ONCOURSE TRACKING

#### OBJECTIVE.

The objective of phase I of this activity was to collect baseline data on three turn anticipation techniques and to select one which could be used to measure offset tracking performance during phase II.

Data was collected on pilots flying a specific route using the following three procedural turn anticipation techniques.

FAA ADVISORY CIRCULAR (AC) 90-45A TECHNIQUE. The pilots were expected to "lead" their turns by approximately 1 mile for each 100 knots of true airspeed. The pilots were required to use the DTW display on the King RNAV unit for determining the actual turn start point.

# UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS/CHAMPLAIN TECHNOLOGY INDUSTRIES (UI/CTI) TECHNIQUE.

This technique is outlined in FAA Report No. FAA-RD-76-99, "Simulator Tests of Pilot Performance in Terminal Area Navigation Operations: Effects of Various Airborne System Characteristics." This method required pilots to monitor the DTW until the 2-nmi point. At this time, the pilots were expected to set the OBS to the next course. Once the OBS had been set, the airspeed of the aircraft determined the rate at which the CDI needle returned to center. The pilots were required to use their judgment (based on the rate of CDI movement) to initiate their turns. As the aircraft was turned onto the next course, the pilots were required to follow the needle until it centered.

NAFEC TECHNIQUE. In order to try this method of turn anticipation, the pilots were required to monitor airspeed, DTW, and CDI. The following steps were involved:

1. Calculate TAS and compute the CDI distance at which the turn should be initiated. In order to calculate the desired distance, the following logic was used.

a. Use 0.5 nmi for each 100 knots of TAS for turns of approximately 90°.

b. For turns of approximately 60° or less, use 0.25 nmi for each 100 knots of TAS.

c. For turns of approximately 120°, use 0.75 nmi for each 100 knots of TAS.

2. Set the OBS for the next course at a distance between 2 to 3 nmi (DTW) prior to the waypoint.

3. Initiate turn at the computed CDI distance.

## ROUTE STRUCTURE.

All RNAV data flights were flown using the route Bl configuration presented in figure 7. Route Bl provided a Standard Instrument Departure (SID), a route leg to transition to a Standard Terminal Arrival Route (STAR), and an RNAV approach procedure to runway 4 at Atlantic City (ACY), New Jersey. The route Bl configuration has been used in previous simulation and flight tests conducted at NAFEC and at the University of Illinois for RNAV baseline studies. The data obtained from flying this route can be correlated with the data obtained from a similar study conducted at the University of Illinois (reference 2).

## EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN.

The experimental design used for phase I of this experiment was based on the premise that the three different turn anticipation techniques could be evaluated by assigning each of the techniques to a separate group of subjects. Assignments were made in this manner in order to minimize the confusion resulting from an individual having to learn more than one turn anticipation technique. Therefore, the 18 subjects were divided into three groups of six. Each group was assigned to perform only one of the three turn anticipation techniques.

## SUBJECTS.

Subjects for this experiment were chosen from instrument-rated pilots available at NAFEC. The 18 pilots who participated ranged in flight experience from 350 to 7,400 total hours. The subject pilots' experience with the GAT-2 ranged from 0 to 100 hours. Table 1 presents the flight experience in hours for the pilot subjects.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.

All pilots were given written and oral instructions regarding experimental objectives, use of the navigation equipment, and specific flight task requirements. The instructions stressed adherence to specific airspeeds which were designated for climb, cruise, and final approach. In addition, the route geometry was discussed and route charts and approach plates were given to the pilots. The specific turn anticipation technique to be used was explained in detail and the pilots were instructed to use the turn anticipation technique exactly as specified and not to modify the technique under any circumstance.





9

Trangenty State of States to a state of the

	Subject				Previ	ous Hours
	No.	License	Total Hours	Instrument Hours	RNAV	GAT-2
	1	Comm Inst/	1800	300	6	40
		Multi				
CROUR 1	2	Comm Inst	500	70	8	30
GROUP I	3	Comm Inst	500	200	20	50
AC 90-45A	4	Comm Inst/ Multi	1600+	170	20	. 10
	5	Comm Inst/ Multi	4000	700	10	100
	6	Comm Inst/ Multi	900+	180	20	30
•	1	Comm Inst/ Multi	2000+	250	30	50
GROUP 2	2	Comm Inst/ Multi	550	150	. 0	0
Univ. of	3	Comm Inst	560	94	0	0
111./CTI	4	Comm Inst/ Multi	3100+	N/A	0	0
	5	ATP/Multi	4000+	N/A	0	0
	6	Comm Inst	350	60	0	0
	1	Pvt Inst/ Multi	800	150	0	0
	2	Comm Inst	980	65	0	40
Group 3	3	Comm Inst	7400	175	10	0
MAFEC	4	Comm Inst/ Multi	N/A	N/A	0	0
	5	Comm Inst/ Multi	2350	500	0	0
ene esere	6	Comm Inst/ Multi	N/A	N/A	0	0

TABLE 1. FLIGHT EXPERIENCE OF THE PILOT SUBJECTS (PHASE I)

N/A--Not Available

The state of the state

The i

1

10

After completing the initial training and briefing session, each subject was given a familiarization flight (using a route that was different from the data route). During the familiarization flight, the instructor interrupted as often as required in order to assult or explain the use of the turn anticipation technique. After completing the initial training and familiarization flight, each subject was given another familiarization flight (without the instructor interrupting or assisting) in order to assure that the pilot understood the technique and was indeed using it. If the instructor felt that an additional familiarization flight was necessary to reinforce the technique, it was provided. Upon completion of the familiarization flights, the subject was immediately given the data flight using the rout Bl configuration.

## DATA COLLECTION PARAMETERS.

The data items extracted included:

a. Total system crosstrack (TSCT) turn data related to the transition from one segment to the next. These data were defined by envelopes  $(\pm 2 \text{ and/or } \pm 4 \text{ nmi})$  before and after a waypoint. These envelopes were sufficiently large to encompass all activities related to transitioning from one segment to the next.

b. Steady state TSCT and flight technical error (FTE) data.

c. Actual start turn distance (DTW, Distance to Wayline (DWYLIN), and time) used by the pilot. The heading time history data was used to establish this point.

d. Actual turn end distance (DYW, DWYLIN, and time) used by the pilot. The heading time history data was used to establish this point.

e. OBS setting (DYW, DWYLIN, and time).

## DISCUSSION OF DATA.

Carl Strate Day and the US

MANUAL DATA REDUCTION -- OBS SET/START TURN TIMES. The first level of data reduction was directed toward extracting operational data concerning the method by which the pilots performed their RNAV-related navigation isks in order to comply with the assigned turn anticipation procedures.

The data were subjected to analyses of variance in order to determine if any systematic difference existed among the three turn anticipation techniques and if the magnitude of the turn angle affected the manner in which the turn anticipation techniques were utilized. The results of these analyses of variances for the main effect of turn anticipation technique are presented in table 2. It can be seen that significant differences did occur in the data for both the distance (DTW) at which the OBS was set (F = 19.546, degrees of freedom = 2 and 15, p < 0.001) and for the distance at which the pilots elected to start their turns (F = 10.992, degrees of freedom = 2 and 15, p < 0.001). Both the OBS set distance and the start turn distance, however, were directly influenced by the particular instructions that were given to the pilots for each of the turn anticipation techniques, and as such it is necessary to analyze the data in detail in order to determine differences among the turn anticipation techniques.

ris continue; bio, cine entriect	AC 90-45A Technique	UI/CTI <u>Technique</u>	NAFEC Technique
OBS Set	1.440	1.998	2.798
Start Turn	1.714	0.762	0.914

## TABLE 2. MEAN OBS SET/START TURN DISTANCES FROM WAYPOINT AS A FUNCTION OF TURN ANTICIPATION TECHNIQUE (PHASE I)

On the average, the pilots using the AC 90-45A recommended turn anticipation technique set their OBS approximately at the same time, or just after, they initiated the turn to the new course, and not prior to the turn as they had been briefed in the instructions to the subjects. AC 90-45A does not give any guidance to the pilots as to when to set the OBS for the next leg; it assumes that it is the pilot's responsibility to set the OBS at a point where it provides useful information concerning rate of closure to the new course in terms of CDI needle movement. For the UI/CTI and NAFEC-recommended turn anticipation procedures, the pilots set the OBS at points 1.236 nmi and 1.884 nmi, respectively, prior to initiating the required turn. In the case of the UI/CTI-recommended technique, the pilots were instructed to set the OBS at a point 2.0 nmi prior to the waypoint, using the DTW. The resultant average distance of 1.998 nmi indicates that they followed the instructions very well. However, there is evidence that this distance was not adequate for anticipating and starting the turn based on CDI motion. The pilots, in their informal comments, indicated that sufficient time was not available to sense the CDI motion correctly. For the NAFEC-recommended turn anticipation technique, the pilots were allowed to use a greater distance to set the OBS and were instructed to set the OBS at a point 2.0 to 3.0 nmi prior to the waypoint. The resultant average distance of 2.798 nmi indicates that the pilots recognized the need for more time in order to sense the CDI needle motion correctly and to apply the required turn distance formula.

From table 3 it is apparent that for both the UI/CTI-and NAFEC-recommended turn anticipation techniques, the pilots were influenced by the angle of the turn, and in fact used more distance in setting the OBS for larger turn angles. Based on the data presented in this table, it may further be conjectured that for larger turn angles (i.e., 120° or greater) and/or higher airspeeds (i.e., greater than 200 knots TAS), greater distance (for setting the OBS) will be required in order that the pilots will have sufficient distance to correctly assess CDI motion in order to determine the proper place to initiate their turns.

	AC 90 <u>Tech</u> r	)-45A nique	UI, <u>Tec</u> ł	/CTI mique	NAFEC <u>Technique</u>	
Turn Angle ( <u>Degrees</u> )	OBS Set	Start Turn	OBS Set	Start <u>Turn</u>	OBS Set	Start <u>Turn</u>
15	1.533	1.333	2.017	0.233	2.217	1.217
28	1.967	1.833	1.700	0.033	2.717	0.067
111	1.533	1.900	2.183	1.233	3.067	1.350
48	1.900	1.783	1.800	0.867	2.633	0.850
96	1.700	1.767	2.367	1.033	3.100	0.933
29	1.567	1.667	1.633	0.767	2.900	1.083
93	0.117	1.667	2.283	1.167	2.950	0.900

TABLE 3. MEAN OBS SET/START TURN DISTANCES FROM WAYPOINT AS A FUNCTION OF TURN ANGLE AND TURN ANTICIPATION TECHNIQUE (PHASE 1)

The start turn distance for the AC 90-45A-recommended turn anticipation technique was based on computed true airspeed. Table 3 shows that for the most part, the pilots used the technique exactly as they were instructed. The average start turn distance was 1.714 nmi prior to the waypoint. The start turn distances for the UI/CTI-and NAFEC-recommended techniques were oth based on CDI needle displacement and as such would be expected to be different from the distances used for the AC 90-45A technique. The average start turn distances were 0.762 nmi and 0.914 nmi prior to the waypoint. For he NAFEC turn anticipation procedure, the data indicate that the pilots did ot follow the required procedure in that they were inconsistent on the smaller turn angles in terms of when they chose to make their turns. It would appear that the workload involved in computing the proper turn point for shallow-angle turns was not considered to be necessary by the pilots, or the method does not work for this type of turn. However, for turn angles approaching 90°,

a start of a nor the

the pilots appear to be able to understand the use of the proper distance. Table 3 indicates that for the UI/CTI-recommended turn anticipation technique, the distance used for start turn was directly related to the magnitude of the turn angle (i.e., the greater the turn angle, the greater the start turn distance).

TOTAL SYSTEM CROSSTRACK ERROR -- TURN DATA. Analyses of variance tests were completed on the mean  $(\bar{\mathbf{x}})$ , variance ( $\sigma$ ), and root mean square (RMS) total system crosstrack error statistics based on the time series data from 4.0 nmi prior to the waypoint to 4.0 nmi after the waypoint. The results of these analyses of variance for the main effects of turn anticipation technique are presented in table 4. From this table it can been seen that the mean total system crosstrack error data were not significantly different from each other even though the mean total system crosstrack error ranged between 0.028 nmi and 0.150 nmi for the three turn anticipation techniques. The variance and the RMS data, however, both resulted in significant differences being determined. In both cases, the AC 90-45A-recommended turn anticipation technique was significantly more variable (in terms of total system crosstrack error) than either of the other two turn anticpation techniques. This finding indicates that the required turn distance (for most turn angles) is too large and as such introduces a greater amount of variability into the tracking data due to the fact that the pilots significantly undershoot the turn and must initiate additional turns in order to reach the desired course. The additional variability associated with the AC 90-45A-recommended turn anticipation technique was influenced almost entirely by the procedure which the pilot elected to use to return to the desired course. In the instructions to the subjects, the pilots were told to "continue turn until on next leg heading, then if you need to correct to get back on course, do so." These instruction were given specifically to insure that the pilots did use the AC 90-45A technique in order that the technique could be evaluated. Under actual Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) conditions, the pilots probably would have been cross-checking the CDI needle movement and would not have completed the turn at the specified point, but would have elected to continue flying on the present heading until the CDI needle would have started to give guidance with respect to the next course, assuming, of course, that the OBS had been set to the next course.

# PLOTTED TURN DATA (+4 nmi). Figures 8, 9, and 10 present the +4.0-nmi data

for each of the three turn anticipation techniques. Each figure consists of the six pilots' data for each of the techniques. These figures show that each of the techniques resulted in reasonably consistent on-course tracking during the transition from one segment to the next. The data in figure 8, the AC 90-45A technique, however, showed the most variability due to the fact that the pilots started their turns earlier than necessary and in the process of transitioning from one segment to the next had to correct back to the course due to the fact that they had <u>undershot</u> the intended course. Table 5 presents  $\overline{X}$ , sigma, and RMS total system crosstrack error data as a function of turn anticipation technique and turn angle. This table shows that turn angle as well as turn anticipation technique influenced the variability associated with transitioning from one segment to the next segment.

TABLE 4.	MEAN, VARIANCE, AND RMS TOTAL SYSTEM CROSSTRACK	
	ERROR (TURN DATA) AS A FUNCTION OF TURN ANTICI-	
	PATION TECHNIQUE (PHASE I)	

	T.A. Technique No. 1 AC 90-45A	T.A. Technique No. 2 UI/CTI	T.A. Technique No. 3 NAFEC
X TSCT ( <u>+</u> 4.0 nmi)	0.028	0.083	0.150
Sector and	F = 2.733	Degrees of freedom = 2 and 15	P 0 <b>.096</b>
TSCT ( <u>+</u> 4.0 nmi)	0.326	0.207	0.238
	F = 9.642	Degrees of freedom = 2 and 15	0.002
RMS TSCT (+4.0 nmi)	0.478	0.343	0.390
	F = 4.218	Degrees of freedom = 2 and 15	0.034

15

the second second second second second





They will be a state to a second





The second state of the second states of a

# TABLE 5.MEAN, VARIANCE, AND RMS TOTAL SYSTEM CROSSTRACK<br/>ERROR (TURN DATA) AS A FUNCTION OF TURN ANGLE<br/>AND TURN ANTICIPATION TECHNIQUE (PHASE I)

ini sili bok	AC 90-45A <u>Technique</u>			UI/CTI Technique		NAFEC <u>Technique</u>			
Turn Angle (Degrees)	x	<u></u>	RMS	x	σ	RMS	<u> </u>	<u>a</u>	RMS
15	0.437	0.198	0.481	0.214	0.144	0.326	0.589	0.209	0.628
28	0.724	0.342	0.804	0.284	0.195	0.399	0.479	0.227	0.558
111	-0.005	0.423	0.443	0.252	0.198	0.340	0.082	0.414	0.480
48	-0.492	0.397	0.640	0.056	0.190	0.531	-0.279	0.176	0.352
96	-0.314	0.323	0.458	0.058	0.341	0.352	0.106	0.276	0.330
29	-0.096	0.262	0.307	-0.171	0.153	0.255	-0.031	0.134	0.173
93	0.046	0.279	0.301	0.015	0.212	0.260	0.155	0.272	0.338
84	-0.075	0.383	0.393	-0.048	0.225	0.282	0.098	0.193	0.258

it point where the OSS had been ast and the point this the distance botters assessed and storted to provide ture unidance was not sufficient, based using the 2.0-and USW point to set the OSS to the new source. Furthermo the priors indicated that at different strepends the problem work become over (i.e., as airspeeds insteader, the required lead distance must be in reased).

Transmith Strates The May

FINAL APPROACH DATA. In this experiment the pilots were instructed to use the RNAV approach mode (1 dot = 1/4 mile) for the final approach to runway 4. Data were collected on the final approaches in order to investigate the question of nonprecision (RNAV) approaches versus Instrument Landing System (ILS) approaches using a single-waypoint analog KNAV system in the terminal area. Even though the pilots flew the final approaches using RNAV approach mode, the pilots were also instructed to tune in the localizer frequency on NAV set No. 1. The CDI display for NAV No. 1 was covered in order that the pilot would not be able to see the CDI localizer needle deflections.

From the data in table 6 it can be seen that if the pilots had followed the ILS signal their approaches would have been more accurate in that the ILS localizer signal was more accurate in terms of mean displacement and variability than the RNAV guidance. However, the table data indicate that the 2-sigma variability was within the criteria established by AC 90-45A for terminal area guidance, and as such, the nonprecision approaches using the RNAV approach mode were sufficiently accurate to be useable in the terminal area.

QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS. The pilots who used the AC 90-45A-recommended turn anticipation technique offered the following comments:

1. The pilots were aware of the fact that in using this turn anticipation technique, constant undershoots occurred due to turning too early.

2. The pilots were aware that this turn anticipation technique did not take into account the angle of the turn required to transition between two segments.

3. The pilots indicated that the workload involved in computing TAS was excessive. The inexperienced (i.e., lower instrument time) pilots indicated that they would have difficulty in a busy terminal area in implementing this procedure.

The pilots who used the UI/CTI-recommended turn anticipation technique offered the following comments:

1. The pilots expressed the concern that the distance between the point where the OBS had been set and the point at which the CDI needle movement had started to provide turn guidance was not sufficient, based on using the 2.0-nmi DTW point to set the OBS to the new course. Furthermore, the pilots indicated that at different airspeeds the problem would become worse (i.e., as airspeeds increase, the required lead distance must be increased).

	Variable	TSCT	FTE	ILS	
	_			and a subsection	
AC 90-45A	X	-0.005	0.066	-0.040	
Technique	σ	0.254	0.315	0.164	
fflefant Lend	RMS	0.271	0.341	0.182	C. concel
	P1	-0.794			
	P2	0.823			
that future	63	-0.704			1.5
tro he wolffing	Variable	TSCT	FTE	ILS	1.57
UT/CTT	Ŧ	0 000	0.029	0.017	-
Technique	л 7	0.099	0.036	0.017	
recumrque	PMC	0.149	0.240	0.115	
	01	-0.630	0.195	0.145	IQ INT
	02	-0.030			100.00
are the farm	P3	-0.635			
	Variable	TSCT	FTE	ILS	
NAFEC	Ŧ	0 142	-0.110	0.0/7	
Technique	A 7	0.142	0.221	0.047	korp 1 m
rechnique	DWC	0 194	0.254	0.072	
A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT	RPID 01	-0 462	0.234	0.064	1
NORTH THE TRUE	02	0. 893			100
	· P 3	-0.334			

## TABLE 6. STATISTICAL SUMMARIES FOR FINAL APPROACH DATA (TSCT VERSUS FTE VERSUS ILS) (Phase I)

## Legend

1

P1 = TSCT/FTE
P2 = TSCT/ILS

 $\rho 3 = FTE/ILS$ 

Note:

The second s

P = Product Moment Correlation Coefficient

FTE = CDI needle displacement

ILS = Localizer needle displacement

2. The pilots were aware that after setting the OBS they were using the CDI needle to measure the distance to the next course and not to the current waypoint.

The pilots who used the NAFEC-recommended turn anticipation technique offered the following comments:

1. The pilots were aware that the OBS had to be set at a point greater than 2.0 nmi prior to the waypoint in order to have sufficient lead time to use the CDI movement effectively.

2. The pilots were aware when using this technique that interpolation was required based on airspeed and turn angle. They also commented that in order for this type of technique to work, the rules had to be modified by interpolation based on external conditions of wind, turbulence, traffic density, and other workload-oriented problems.

3. The pilots were aware of the fact that this technique provided a guideline or place at which they could start their turn. (The basic difference between the UI/CTI-recommended turn anticipation technique and this technique was that for the UI/CTI technique, pilot experience and judgment were critical in determining the point at which to start the turn, whereas, in the NAFEC technique the start turn point was calculated based on the established rules.)

4. Only one of the six pilots indicated that he would not use this technique because it was too difficult.

In general, the pilots rated all three recommended turn anticipation techniques as being fairly easy to implement, and except in one or two cases indicated that they could and would use these techniques in flying under IFR conditions.

PHASE II METHOD OF APPROCH (PRELIMINARY OFFSET TRACKING PROCEDURES)

#### OBJECTIVE.

The objective of phase II was to examine two different methods of executing turns from segment to segment while tracking in the offset mode. There are two fundamentally different turn anticipation techniques which are described below:

OFFSET TURN TECHNIQUES USING PILOT JUDGEMENT OF CDI MOVEMENT. In order to define the methodology required to fly continuous offsets using a singlewaypoint RNAV noncentered CDI needle system, it must first be determined how to anticipate turns and to transition to the next course segment while maintaining the desired offset. The intent of phase II was, therefore, to establish an OBS setting logic and define a turn anticipation method that would be useable and understandable by the pilot while at the same time could be applied in a consistent manner for the purposes of separation and control.

Therefore, the first rule to be established dealt with a combination of two factors: (1) whether the offset was left or right of the parent course, and (2) whether the next course segment required a left turn or a right turn for the transition.

Once this protocol had been established, it was then necessary to formulate an OBS setting logic that would complement the transition requirements. The primary consideration which guided the OBS set logic was that the point (or time) at which the OBS was set had to provide sufficient time (or distance) for the pilot to sense the rate of movement of the CDI needle in order to apply his judgment as to when to initiate the transition to the next segment.

OFFSET TURN TECHNIQUES BASED ON COMPUTED DTW TURN ANTICIPATION DISTANCES. If the AC 90-45A-recommended method of turn anticipation had been selected to be utilized for offset tracking, the pilot would have had to calculate: (1) the angle of the turn, (2) the distance to the apex (of the offset) from the parent course, and (3) the geometry necessary to provide the proper DTW (miles) to start turn distance. All of these calculations are time consuming, require mental concentration by the pilot, and, therefore, would not be feasible for a single pilot operation in a busy terminal area unless it was an automated feature of the RNAV unit. Therefore, in order to implement an offset turn anticipation method based on DTW, it was necessary to consider the mathematics involved in the basic computations for an automated turn anticipation procedure, and to initiate the work outlined in the appendix of this report. This technique, however, was not intended for application in subsequent studies.

#### ROUTE STRUCTURE.

All RNAV data flights were flown using the route Bl configuration presented in figures 7 and 11. Route Bl provided a SID, a route leg to transition to a STAR, and an RNAV approach procedure to runway 4 at ACY. Figure 11 presents the 2-, 4-, and 5-mile left and right offsets used in this phase.

II JEAN THE SOUTHER WIND OF EXPERIMENTAL FLICHT COURSES (PARSE 11





24

The second s
#### EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN.

For this phase II data collection effort, two separate experiments were conducted. The first experiment dealt with testing an OBS setting logic and a turn anticipation technique based on pilot judgment of CDI distance (and/or movement). This technique was evaluated for offsets of 2 nmi left, 4 nmi left, 5 nmi left, 2 nmi right, 4 nmi right, and 5 nmi right.

The second experiment tested a turn logic based on computed DTW turn anticipation distances. This technique was also evaluated using the same offset configurations as above.

The six offset flights which used pilot judgment of CDI distance (and/or movement) as the turn anticipation technique were run first. The second set of six offset flights which used the computed DTW turn anticipation distances were run second. No formal factorial design was established for this sequence of test runs since this test was not designed to distinguish which of the two methods of turn anticipation was the better, but was designed to evaluate the feasibility of using these two techniques for turn anticipation while maintaining an offset using the single-waypoint (noncentered CDI needle) RNAV system.

#### SUBJECTS.

The two subjects used in this experiment were highly experienced pilots who were the GAT-2 instructors for the RNAV project. These two subjects were used because this was an exploratory effort which required a high degree of competence in using the King RNAV unit in the GAT-2A simulator. It was not felt necessary to conduct a full-scale factorial experiment with additional subjects at this time to determine the feasibility of using either: (1) the pilot judgment of CDI distance (and/or movement) technique, or (2) the computed DTW distance technique for turn anticipation.

#### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.

The pilots were briefed regarding experimental objectives and specific flight task requirements. In addition, the route geometry was discussed and route charts and approach plates were given to the pilots. The OBS setting logic and the specific turn anticipation techniques to be used were explained in detail and the pilots were instructed to use the OBS set logic and turn anticipation techniques exactly as specified and not to modify the techniques under any circumstances. The pilots were instructed to begin flying the offset course after passing waypoint C. The procedure at this point was to establish a 45° intercept track and then transition to the offset course, then fly the constant offset until intercepting the final approach course. During the offset flight the pilot was expected to maintain an altitude of 12,000 feet and 160 knots indicated airspeed (i.e., approximately 195 knots TAS). The pilot was instructed to begin his descent to 2,000 feet at a point approximately 5 nmi beyond waypoint F. <u>METHOD I; OFFSET TURN TECHNIQUES USING PILOT JUDGMENT OF CDI MOVEMENT</u>. This technique consisted of two distinct required actions: (1) implementation of the OBS set logic, and (2) implementation of the turn logic. Table 7 presents the basic logic to be used for setting the OBS to the next course during offset tracking.

TABLE 7. BASIC LOGIC FOR SETTING THE OBS DURING OFFSET TRACKING (PHASES II AND III)

	Course Change	OBS Set Logic
a.	Right offset/turn right	Twice offset value
ь.	Right offset/turn left	TO/FROM indicator
c.	Left offset/turn left	Twice offset value
d.	Left offset/turn right	TO/FROM indicator

In general, the rules for setting the OBS (for the next course) during offset tracking are as follows:

1. When on an offset and the next course turn occurs after passing the waypoint, use the TO/FROM indicator as a cue for setting the OBS to the next course (table 7, cases b and d). Therefore, when the aircraft crosses the wayline (a line perpendicular to the course through the waypoint) and the TO/FROM flag is neither TO nor FROM, but is "buried out of view," the OBS should be set.

2. When on an offset and the next course turn occurs prior to the waypoint, use a value (of distance to waypoint) that is twice the offset distance for setting the OBS to the next course. For example, if a 4-nmi offset is being flown, the OBS will be set when DTW reads 8 nmi (table 7, cases a and c).

Figure 12 presents a placard which is mounted in the cockpit directly above the RNAV unit. This placard can be used by the pilot to assist in applying rules 1 and 2. These rules for the OBS setting logic were derived by experimentation and actual testing and appeared to offer a reliable means of establishing when the OBS should be set.

# FIGURE 12. PLACARD USED TO ASSIST THE PILOT IN APPLYING THE OBS SET LOGIC (PHASES II and III)

<b>OFFSET</b>	TURN	LOGIC
DIGUT	RIGHT	DTW
KIGHI	LEFT	T/F
IFET	LEFT	DTW
	RIGHT	T/F
	0.000	78-41-12

FOR OBS SET

Once the OBS has been set, the CDI needle will move from its present position and will either be pegged (at the extremes of the display) or will move toward the center of the display, except when using the TO/FROM indicator on shallow angle turns (then it will either move very little or not at all). The pilot will continue to maintain his present heading until the CDI needle approaches the desired offset distance. As the CDI needle approaches the desired offset distance, the pilot will have to use his judgment based on the CDI distance (and/or rate of movement) to initiate his turn to the next course. As the aircraft is turning onto the next course, the pilot will monitor the CDI needle until it reaches the desired offset distance. Pilots are expected to turn at a rate not to exceed 3° per second (i.e., standard rate turn). The pilot should bear in mind that the faster the airspeed, the faster the CDI movement, and the more the pilot will have to lead his turn. METHOD 2; OFFSET TURN TECHNIQUES BASED ON COMPUTED DTW TURN ANTICIPATION DISTANCES. The pilot was instructed to use a turn anticipation technique based on tabled DTW distances. These distances were determined by the equations outlined in the appendix. The values derived from these equations were provided to the pilot on copies of the route Bl map. The pilot was expected to use the DTW values to initiate the transition from one segment to the next. Positive values of DTW distance represented a distance TO the offset wayline and negative values of DTW distances represented a distance FROM the offset wayline. In this experiment the pilots were requested to set the OBS after they had initiated the turn to the next leg. The reason for this was to insure that the pilots did indeed use the computed turn anticipation distance and were not influenced by CDI needle distance (and/or movement).

#### DATA COLLECTION PARAMETERS.

The data items extracted included:

a. TSCT turn data related to the transition from one segment to the next. These data were defined by envelopes  $(\pm 2 \text{ and/or } \pm 4 \text{ nmi})$  before and after a waypoint. These envelopes were sufficiently large to encompass all activities related to transitioning from one segment to the next.

b. Steady state TSCT and FTE data.

c. Actual start turn distance (DTW, DWYLIN, and time) used by the pilot. The heading time history data was used to establish this point.

d. Actual turn end distance (DTW, DWYLIN, and time) used by the pilot. The heading time history data was used to establish this point.

e. OBS setting (DTW, DWYLIN, and time).

#### DISCUSSION OF DATA.

miner & Kapang

DATA REDUCTION -- OBS SET/START TURN DATA. The first level of data reduction was directed toward extracting operational data concerning the method by which the pilots performed their RNAV-related navigation tasks in order to comply with the assigned turn anticipation procedure.

Offset Turn Techniques Using Pilot Judgment of CDI Movement. Tables 8 and 9 present the OBS setting times and distances (including DTW, and CDI displacement) and actual start turn times and distances (including DTW, DWYLIN, and CDI displacement). Also included in these tables are the position of the TO/FROM indicator and the magnitude of the turn angle. These data are from the runs which used pilot judgment of CDI distance (and/or rate of movement) for turn anticipation. Tables 12 and 13 present the same set of data from the runs which used the tabled values of DTW distances for turn anticipation. OBS SET/START TURN DISTANCES FOR LEFT OFFSETS USING THE CDI TURN METHOD (PHASE II) TABLE 8.

特别能力和自

many and a station of

ł

The Scheme Sta	the state with					OBS Set				L	Curn Sta	rt	
- much -	Waypoint	Turn Angle (Degrees)	OBS Logic	Start Time	DTW Dist.	CDI	TO/ FROM/ OFF	Start Time	DTW Dist.	Wayline Dist.	CDI	TO/ FROM/ OFF	Elapsed Time
2.0 nmi Left	H X J X F O	28 111 29 29 23 33		0730 1004 1393 1837 2311 2771	1.4 4.4 4.7 4.7 7.4 7.7 7.6	1.7 3.6 3.3 3.3 1.7 3.8	2222 <sup>4</sup> 6	0749 1033 1422 1844 2317 2795	1.9 3.5 3.2 3.2 1.9 3.5	0.4 0.5 1.3 0.5 (27.7)* 0.6	1.9 2.3 2.2 2.8 2.8	OFF FROM OFF FROM TO FROM	19 29 24 24 24
4.0 mmi Left	T X J M F O	28 111 48 96 29 33	100010	0718 0914 1214 1481 1965 2339	4 8 4 5 9 4 8 6 6 9 5 3 5 9 5 3 5 9 5 5 5 9 5 5 5 5	4.0 6.0 4.7 8 4.5	5 2 5 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0724 0932 1220 1518 1973 2390	4.3 7.9 4.9 6.5 7.1	1.1 1.3 0.3 0.1 1.8	4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	TO FROM OFF FROM TO FROM	5186 3366 5188
5.0 mmi Left		28 111 48 96 23	199999	0770 0955 1127 1464 1908 2305	5.4 10.3 10.2 10.1 5.9 9.0	5.5 7.0 10.2 7.5 6.2 7.3	TO FROM TO TO FROM	0784 0978 1233 1500 1919 2329	5.6 9.5 6.4 8.7 8.1 8.0	1.1 1.2 (15.7)* (19.8)* 0.0 0.0	5.5 5.9 5.4 6.1 6.1	TO FROM OFF FROM TO	14 14 106 36 11 24

\*The aircraft had passed the angle bisector and the value represented the distance TO the next wayline.

29

OBS SET/START TURN DISTANCES FOR RIGHT OFFSETS USING THE CDI TURN METHOD (PHASE 11) TABLE 9.

The group and the second of a

	Tur				OBS Set	707				furn Sta	rt To/	
Ħ	Angle (Degrees)	0BS Logic	Start Time	DTW Dist.	CDI	FROM/ OFF	Start Time	DTW Dist.	Wayline Dist.	CDI	FROM/ OFF	Elapsed Time
	28 111 96 93 93		0697 1038 1501 1942 2332 2712	3.9 1.9 3.5	-3.6 -1.7 -2.3 -1.3 -2.4 -0.6	222022	0761 1057 1507 1507 1947 2337 2721	2.8 2.7 2.5 2.4 2.1	(16.3)* 2.3 (27.7)* 1.0 1.0 1.3	-2.4 -0.7 -2.5 -1.5 -2.3 -1.1	1222222	401 0 N N O
	28 111 96 23 33		0791 1232 2011 2513 3020 3682	8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5		88 <b>5</b> 588	0927 1370 2023 2556 3126 3126	5.2 5.5 5.5 5.5	(19.0)* 0.4 (30.1)* 0.9 (32.7)* 1.0	-5.0 -3.9 -4.6 -3.2	10 2 2 2 0 0 CL	136 138 138 138 138 138 69
	28 111 48 96 93 93		0635 1091 1789 2303 2740 3222	10.1 4.8 5.4 5.2 5.2 5.2	-9.0 -1.3 -5.2 -8.5 -8.5 0.1	222222	0771 1207 1815 2359 2814 3264	5.2 5.4 5.8 6.0	1.1 2.5 2.3 2.3 2.5		*****	136 116 26 25 44 42

The aircraft had passed the angle bisector and the value represented the distance TO the next waypoint.

.

\*\* Caused by RNAV system malfunction which resulted in an erroneous DTW reading.

For the most part, the pilot did apply the rules established for the OBS setting logic. Table 8 shows that for the left offsets, four of the six turns required that the OBS be set at a distance that was two times the offset value. From these data, it appears that the pilot did not have a problem with using the required rule. The other two turns (at waypoints T and F) required that the OBS be set upon <u>noticeable movement</u> of the TO/FROM indicator. It is evident that the required action resulted in OBS being set at a DTW distance equivalent to the offset distance, which in turn required the pilot to initiate the transition to the next segment almost immediately.

Table 9 data indicate that for the right offsets, four of the six turns required that the OBS be set upon noticeable movement of the TO/FROM indicator. From these data (except for one case which was affected by an RNAV system malfunction), it appears that the pilot did not have a problem with using the required rule. The other two turns (at waypoints T and F) required that the OBS be set at a distance that was two times the offset value. From the data in table 9, it can be seen that the required action was implemented at the desired DTW distance.

It is interesting to note the manner in which the CDI needle operates once the OBS has been set. The data in table 8 show that for those turns (i.e., left offsets ) that required the OBS to be set at twice the distance of the offset (waypoints K, L, M, and G) the CDI needle would move to the right of the display (for 4-and 5-nmi offsets, essentially, the needle would be pegged at the extreme right of the display). As the aircraft approached the next course segment, the needle would move toward the appropriate distance (toward the center) and the pilot would initiate the turn based on his judgment of the needle movement. The five-dot limit of the CDI instrument caused a problem (with a noncentered needle) in that the pilot (for the 4and 5-nmi offsets) could not perceive the needle movement until it was approximately 1 mile from the fifth dot, because the needle did not start to move until it was in the range from 6.4 to 6.1 nmi. Of course, this fact made the 5-nmi offset more difficult to fly since there was very little distance in which to sense the needle movement. In fact, at higher aircraft speeds than those used in this simulation (i.e., greater than 200 knots TAS), the 5-nmi offset would not be practical due to the five-dot limitation on the CDI instrument of only approximately 1-mile lead time between the pegged position and the fifth dot. In addition, the CDI instrument used in this study was not symmetric but had a bias toward the right side which affected the pilot's capability to minimize flight technical error during a left offset. Table 10 presents the calibration values for the CDI instrument used in this study. It can be seen that a bias was evident for the right needle deflection. The effect of this bias will be explored in relation to the steady state TSCT and FTE data later in this section.

Table 9 data indicate that for those turns (i.e., right offsets) that required the OBS to be set upon <u>noticeable movement</u> of the TO/FROM indicator (waypoints K, L, M, and G) the CDI needle would either move toward the center of the display or for the shallower angle turns (waypoint L) might not move

TABLE 10.	CALIBRATION	VALUES	FOR	THE	CDI	INSTRUMENT	USED	IN	THIS	STUDY
	(PHASE II)									

	2012		Left			Center			Right		
Dots	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5
Volts*	-5.25	-4.15	-3.06	-2.00	-1.03	0.010	1.00	1.99	3.10	4.30	5.65

\*Voltage is equivalent to nautical mile displacement.

a the they ay

1590

# TABLE 11. DTW DISTANCES USED FOR TEST OF TURN ANTICIPATION COMPUTATIONS (PHASE II)

Waypoint	2.0 nmi	4.0 mmi	5.0 nmi	2.0 mmi	4.0 nmi	5.0 mmi
	left	left	left	right	right	right
т	2.0	4.1	5.1	2.2	4.2	5.3
	(FROM)	(FROM)	(FROM)	(TO)	(TO)	(TO)
K	5.0	8.4	10.4	2.4	5.8	7.8
	(TO)	(TO)	(TO)	(FROM)	(FROM)	(FROM)
L	2.4	4.5	5.6	2.0	4.2	5.2
	(TO)	(TO)	(TO)	(FROM)	(FROM)	(FROM)
м	3.9	7.0	7.9	2.2	5.2	6.3
	(TO)	(TO)	(TO)	(FROM)	(FROM)	(FROM)
T	2.0	4.1	5.1	2.2	4.2	5.2
	(FROM)	(FROM)	(FROM)	(TO)	(TO)	(TO)
G	3.7	6.4	7.9	2.2	4.9	6.3
	(TO)	(TO)	(TO)	(FROM)	(FROM)	(FROM)

at all (especially if the aircraft was off course). As the aircraft approached the next course segment, the needle would move <u>out</u> (from the center) toward the appropriate distance and the pilot would initiate the turn based, once again, on his judgment of the needle movement.

Offset Turn Techniques Based On Computed DTW Turn Anticipation Distance. For the DTW-based turn anticipation method, the pilot was specifically requested not to set the OBS until after the turn had been initiated and he was established on the new heading. Therefore, the OBS setting logic was not applied and the OBS setting time and distance was not as critical, and, in fact, was not as necessary as it was for the CDI-based turn anticipation method. The data for the OBS setting times and distances, however, are presented in tables 11 and 12 in order to show the relationships between the start turn data and the OBS set data.

Table 11 presents the interpolated values of DTW distances and the status of the TO/FROM indicator used in this study to test the concept of using an automated turn anticipation method with a single-waypoint RNAV system. The interpolated values were calculated based on the tabled values presented in tables A-4 and A-5 of the appendix. Tables 12 and 13 present the start turn data derived from this study. The data in tables 11 and 12 indicate that, for the most part, the pilot did use the tabled values at the appropriate times. The effect of using both the CDI-based and DTW-based turn anticipation methods will be examined later in this section.

For the DTW method of turn anticipation, it was not possible for the pilot to intercept the final approach course using the values provided by the turn anticipation equations. Therefore, the pilot was provided with a set of DTW values at which point he was to set the OBS in order to intercept the final approach course. The OBS set values are presented in table 14. Once the OBS had been set at these points, the CDI needle movement was used to intercept the final approach course.

TOTAL SYSTEM CROSSTRACK ERROR -- TURN DATA. The second level of data reduction was directed toward measurement of crosstrack error for both of the turn anticipation systems tested. Table 15 presents the RMS statistics based on the time series data from 4 nmi prior to the waypoint to 4 nmi after the waypoint.

From the data in table 15, it can be seen that considerable variability existed in the turn data. For the CDI-based turn anticipation method, the shallow angle turn at waypoint F resulted in the greatest changes in variability during the 5-nmi offsets. In fact, for the 5-nmi right offset, the 2-RMS criteria of +2 nmi was exceeded. For the DTW-based turn anticipation method, the acute angle turn (111°) at waypoint K resulted in the greatest overall variability. The resultant variability for this acute angle transition may reflect a weakness in the algorithm used for the DTW distance computations, and, as such, should be evaluated further. OBS SET/START TURN DISTANCES FOR LEFT OFFSETS USING THE DTW TURN METHOD (PHASE II) TABLE 12.

The state of the s

Waypoi T Z.O mmi Left L	Turn								and the second se		
2.0 nmi Left L	nt (Degrees)	OBS Logic	Start Time	DTW Dist.	CDI	TO/ FROM/ OFF	Start Time	DTW Dist.	Wayline Dist.	CDI	TO/ FROM/ OFF
2.0 nmi Left L	28	1	0841 1120	2.5	2.2 3.1	FROM	0822 1077	2.3	0.4	2.0	110
X	48	~ ~	1438	2.6	2.5	21	1421	5.2	0.7	1.8	21
	22	• - •	2258	3.2	2.6	FROM	2232	2.5	(26.7)*	1.7	FROM
5	8	7	2717	3.8	2.3	2	2686	3.9	1.0	2.0	2
L	28	1	0799	4.7	4.8	OFF	0786	4.6	0.0	6.4	0FF
M	III	2	1011	8.6	5.2	2	0975	8.5	2.3	4.4	22
4.0 mm Left	48	2	1285	4.9	4.0	10	1256	4.7	(18.0)*	4.3	2
	8	2	1594	7.4	3.3	10	1541	7.2	1.3	4.5	2
× •	52	-	2018	4.9	5.2	OFF	2000	4.7	(25.8)*	4.5	OFF
3		2	2463	9.9	4.2	8	2430	6.6	0.7	4.4	8
	28	-	DRK 7	(15.0)*	.,	Ę	7687	0 2			aac
X	III	2	8660	10.0	5.5	2	2860	10.5			5
5.0 mmi Left L	48	2	1257	6.1	6.4	2	1231	6.5	(16.1)*	5.7	2
	96	2	1609	14.8	-10.2	2	1495	7.8	(20.6)*	5.7	2
	29	1	1910	5.6	5.7	OFF	1916	5.8	(25.0)*	5.9	2
0	93	2	2325	8.6	5.2	10	2331	8.3	1.0	6.6	FROM

AThe aircraft had passed the angle bisector and the value represented the distance TO the next vayline.

- Arena

34

OBS SET/START TURN DISTANCES FOR RIGHT OFFSETS USING THE DTW TURN METHOD (PHASE II) TABLE 13.

the second and the second second

•

134	140 140 121	11 - 3 - 5 - 41 - 41	200	80	S Set				1	rn Set		Γ
	Waypoint	Turn Angle (Degrees)	OBS Logic	Start Time	DTW Dist.	CDI	TO/ FROM/ OFF	Start Time	DTW Dist.	Wayline Dist.	CDI	TO/ FROM/ OFF
2.0 nmi Kight	でドンドドロ	28 111 48 96 29 33	811181	0784 1233 1717 2170 2677 3190	2.3 2.6 2.9 2.9 2.9	-2.3 -1.8 -2.3 -2.9 1.6	TO FROM FROM TO OFF	0769 1189 1692 2126 2661 3184	2.2	0.9 2.4 (27.2)* 1.4 0.1 0.8	-2.1 -2.0 -1.9 -2.0 -2.0 -2.4	10 017 017 10 10 017
4.0 ami Right	H X J Y K O	28 111 48 96 29	191122	0848 1315 1363 2356 2921 3432	4.4 6.5 6.3 5.1 5.1	4444	10 170 0FF 170 0FF 0FF	0831 1293 1860 1860 2349 2908 3430	4.5 4.5 4.9 4.8 8.9	0.5 2.2 (29.8)* (28.4)* 0.1 1.0	4-4- 4.4- 1.4- 2.4- 0.4-	TO FROM OFF OFF OFF
5.0 mmi Right	. HAJZFO	28 111 48 93 93	121112	0862 1360 1919 2424 2979 3502	5.6 5.7 5.3 6.9	-5.8 -5.4 -5.8 -5.0 -5.0	TO FROM OFF FROM TO OFF	0844 1352 1912 2411 2976 3496	5.7 5.6 5.5 6.7	1.2 1.6 0.4 (28.9)* 1.3		TO OFF FROM OFF OFF

AThe aircraft had passed the angle bisector and the value represented the distance TO the next wayline.

20

35

TABLE	14.	DTW	DISTAN	ICE	FOR	OBS	SET	PRIOR	TO	INTERCEPTING
		THE	FINAL	AP	PROAC	CH CO	DURSE	(PHA	SE	II)

2.0 nmi	4.0 mmi	5.0 mmi	2.0 nmi	4.0 nmi	5.0 nmi
left	left	left	right	right	right
3.0 miles	1.4 miles	6.0 miles	3.0 miles	4.3 miles	6.3 miles
to H	to I	to H	to H	to H	to H

.

the good a stand of the

340

TABLE 15. RMS (+4.0 nmi) TURN DATA (PHASE II)

Technique	Turn Angle (Degrees)	Way- point	2.0 nmi left	4.0 nmi left	5.0 nmi left	2.0 nmi right	4.0 nmi right	5.0 nmi right
	111	ĸ	0.436	0.484	0.448	0.685	0.876	0.717
	48	L	0.401	0.471	0.766	0.354	0.821	0.483
CDI	96	G	0.367	0.427	0.830	0.402	0.315	0.761
	.29	F	0.149	0.173	0.900	0.521	0.173	1.058
	93	G	0.331	0.399	0.284	0.476	0.249	0.672
	111	ĸ	0.919	0.940	1.003	0.647	0.560	0.525
	48	L	0.792	0.448	0.883	0.664	0.494	0.639
DTW	96	M	0.306	0.620	0.868	0.475	0.721	0.800
	29	F	0.327	0.333	0.341	0.208	0.141	0.129
	93	G	0.247	0.299	0.110	0.315	0.381	0.154

36

STEADY STATE DATA -- TOTAL SYSTEM CROSSTRACK ERROR AND FLIGHT TECHNICAL ERROR. Table 16 presents the mean and RMS statistics for the offset steady state TSCT data for all segments between waypoints C' and H (or H'). The statistics were computed based on the time-series data from 4 nmi after the waypoint to 4 nmi prior to the waypoint. This range caused steady state data not to exist for the 4-nmi left offset between waypoints G and H and for the 5-nmi left offset between waypoints T and K, and between waypoints G and H. The data between these points were included in the turn data statistics.

The data in these tables can be combined for both the CDI and DTW conditions since: (1) the tracking data should not be influenced, over the long run, by the turn anticipation method, and (2) the  $\pm 4$ -nmi window used for the turn data should delete all transition data from the steady state data.

Table 16 shows that the 5-nmi <u>left</u> and <u>right</u> offsets resulted in TSCT values that either approach or exceeded the 2-RMS criteria of  $\pm 2$  nmi. The 2- and 4-nmi offset TSCT error data in all cases was less than the  $\pm 2$  nmi criteria.

Table 17 presents the mean and RMS statistics for the offset steady state CDI displacement (FTE) data for all segments between waypoints C' and H. The statistics were computed on the same basis as the TSCT data. Table 17 indicates that a bias did indeed exist for the 5-nmi left offset (i.e., right CDI needle displacement) which in turn influenced the TSCT data especially for the segments between waypoints K to L and waypoints L to M.

In general, these data indicate that the tracking proficiency for the 5-nmi offsets did not fall within the criteria established by AC 90-45A. Analog single-waypoint RNAV systems of the type used in this study which utilize a noncentered needle for offsets would probably violate the airspace utilization criteria in the terminal area and not be useable for offsets greater than 4 nmi.

PHASE III METHOD OF APPROACH (2- AND 4-NMI OFFSET PROCEDURES)

#### OBJECTIVE.

The objective of phase III was to expand the offset tracking data base which was established during phase II, and to evaluate the use of a noncentered CDI needle to fly 2- and 4-nmi offsets in a terminal area environment.

The phase II tests demonstrated that the pilots could use a procedural turn anticipation method based on an OBS set logic and CDI distance (and/or rate of movement) to anticipate turns while maintaining the desired offset using a noncentered needle CDI. The phase II study also pointed out that the procedure did not work for the transition to the final approach course, and that a procedure (or logic) would have to be derived which would allow the pilots to successfully transition from the offset course to the final course.

The rationale for the CDI-based turn anticipation technique was presented in the corresponding section of phase II. TABLE 16. MEAN AND RMS STEADY STATE TRACKING DATA (TSCT) (PHASE 11)

the grant of the second of a

ollio Sile Sas	00 50 50 30	2.0 lei	ft ft	4.0 lef	L.	5.0 lei	i i	2.0 ri	nmi ght	4.0 rig	Pt I	5.0 rig	12
TECHNIQUE	SEGNENT	×	RMS	×	RMS	x	RMS	x	RMS	X	RMS	M	BMS
	C'-T	0.591	0.601	0.266	0.512	0.400	0.509	0.077	0.185	-0.398	0.626	-0.937	1.048
115	ž	0.534	0.542	0.475	0.475			-0.023	0.211	0.411	0.460	-0.237	0.286
	K-L	-0.261	0.454	-0.383	0.444	-1.032	1.033	-0.594	0.632	-0.557	0.670	-0.935	0.985
160	H-1	-0.446	0.457	-0.746	1/1.0	-1.023	1.043	-0.959	1.019	-0.432	0.675	-1.326	1.385
	<b>H-F</b>	-0.182	0.317	-0.380	0.534	-0.230	0.373	-0.498	0.533	-0.230	0.267	-0.651	0.770
63 103	9-4	0.011	0.187	-0.388	0.423	-0.866	0.887	-0.375	0.416	-0.405	0.447	-0.823	0.893
	H9	0.398	0.399					-0.217	0.223	0.103	0.213	-0.693	0.732
	C'-T	0.587	0.605	0.198	0*2*0	0.235	0.584	0.222	0.331	-0.115	0.256	-0.087	0.280
	ž	0.817	0.818	0.769	0.770			0.260	0.288	-0.154	0.159	-0.273	0.282
	K-L	-0.317	0.331	116.0-	0.926	-1.258	1.261	-0.902	406.0	-0.732	0.752	-0.933	0*6*0
10	1-#	-0.759	0.794	-0.689	0.703	-1.025	1.051	-0.793	0.798	-0.846	0.879	-0.857	0.870
2	4	-0.070	0.138	0.053	0.190	0.434	0.958	-0.234	0.293	-0.183	0.243	-0.073	0.219
30)	9-4	0.053	0.170	0.205	0.282	-0.273	0.296	-0.066	0.130	-0.369	0.434	-0.068	0.176
-	G-R	0.318	0.318					-0.025	0.224	-0.020	0.230	-0*562.	0.568

38

ANNE SHE

TABLE 17. MEAN AND RMS STEADY STATE CDI DISPLACEMENT (FTE) (PHASE II)

There are a stand of the stand of the

		2.0 L	Ĩ	4.0 m left	4	5.0 m left	7	2.0 righ		rig	pt m	5.01	p t
Technique	Segment	×	RMS	IX	RMS	IX	RMS	I×	RMS	١×	RMS	.I×	RMS
	C'-T	1.761	1.817	4.412	4.428	5. 372	5, 378	-2.035	2.053	-3.615	3.887	-4.461	4.497
	T-K	1.794	1.798	4.419	4.420			-2.164	2.171	-3.416	3.978	-4.069	4.675
E	K-L	1.753	1.801	4.225	4.231	5.913	5.914	-2.076	2.087	-4.173	4.291	-5.094	5.106
1	T-M	2.160	2.165	4. 507	4.513	5.856	5.862	-1.799	1.861	-4.354	4.388	-4.656	4.688
	M-F	2.012	2.098	4.017	4.644	4.920	5. 379	-1.498	1.537	3.876	4.225	-4.724	4.772
	F-G	1.916	1.924	4.573	4.575	6.112	6.119	-1.925	1.936	-4.158	4.224	-4.758	4.805
-	H-Ð	1.545	1.546					-2.098	2.100	-4.074	4.086	-4. 584	4.768
				•	20.0	10	193		1.5				
	C'-T	2.066	2.095	4.293	4.305	5.455	5.482	-1.938	1.992	-4.168	4.186	-5.209	5.223
	T-K	1.740	1.743	4.033	4.034			-2.129	2.131	-4.391	4.391	-5. 389	5. 391
	K-L	1.839	1.857	4. 525	4. 526	6.022	6.023	-1.970	1.974	-4. 327	4.338	-5.235	5.275
MIG	T-M	2.258	2.349	4.480	4.483	5.892	5. 898	-1.928	1.933	-4.246	4.254	-5. 324	5. 327
	M-F	2.124	2.143	4.196	4.203	4.950	5.067	-2.087	2.098	-4.234	4.347	-5.468	5.473
	F-G	2.059	2.066	4.120	4.127	5.518	5. 519	-2.126	2.139	-4.176	4.268	-5.354	5. 393
	G-H	1.821	1.821					-2.072	2.090	-3.933	4.299	-3.789	4.932

4

39

20

## ROUTE STRUCTURE.

All RNAV flights were flown using the route Bl configuration presented in figure 7 and 13. Figure 13 shows the 2- and 4-nmi left and right offset configurations. The phase III study was conducted using only the 2- and 4-nmi left and right offsets. The phase II tests showed that 5-nmi offsets were not practical due to equipment limitations.

#### EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN.

The experimental design used for phase III was specifically devised to test whether or not significant differences in horizontal tracking performance existed between the four offset configurations (2- left, 4-nmi left, 2- right, and 4-nmi right) for steady state tracking; and to determine if the angle or direction affected tracking performance.

The experimental design matrix for this study is presented in table 18. It can be seen that a familiarization flight preceded the data collection flights, and that half of the subjects were tested on the left offsets first, while the remainder were tested on the right offsets first. In addition, the order of presentation of the offsets was counter-balanced so that the 2- and 4-nmi offsets were presented first an equal number of times.

Subject No.	FAM*	<u>4 nmi left</u>	<u>2 nmi left</u>	FAM	2 nmi right	4 nmi right
1	1	2	3	4	5	6
2	1	3	2	4	6	5
3	4	5	6	1	2	3
4	4	6	5	1	3	2
5	1	2	3	4	5	6
6	1	3	2	4	6	5
7	4	5	6	1	2	3
8	4	6	5	1	3	
		-				

TABLE 18. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN CONFIGURATIONS (PHASE III)

Data Flights

\* Familiarization Flight

and all the states in

#### Data Flights



FIGURE 13. HORIZONTAL VIEW OF THE EXPERIMENTAL FLIGHT COURSE (PHASE III)

W States ??

### SUBJECTS.

The eight subject pilots in this experiment were chosen from instrument rated pilots (at NAFEC) who had participated in previous GAT-2A RNAV projects. The eight pilots ranged in flight experience from 350 to 1,800 total hours and 6 to 55 hours of GAT-2 experience. Table 19 presents a summary of their flight experience.

Subject No.	License	Total Hours	Instrument Hours	Previou <u>GAT-2</u>	IS Hours RNAV
1	Comm/Inst/Mult	1800+	310	45	10
2	Comm/Inst/Mult	960	90	50	20
3	Pvt/Inst	800+	150	6	5
4	Comm/Inst/Mult	1700+	300	55	35
5	Comm/Inst	980	65	45	5
6	Comm/Inst	360	60	6	5
7	Comm/Inst/Mult	560	94	6	5
8	Comm/Inst	500	80	10	6

TABLE 19. SUMMARY OF THE FLIGHT EXPERIENCE OF THE EIGHT SUBJECTS (PHASE III)

#### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.

The pilots were briefed regarding experimental objectives and specific flight task requirements. In addition, the route geometry was discussed and route charts and approach plates were given to the pilots. The OBS setting logic and the specific turn anticipation techniques to be used were explained in detail and the pilots were instructed to use the OBS set logic and turn anticipation technques exactly as specified and not to modify the technique under any circumstances. The pilots were instructed to begin flying the offset course after passing waypoint C. The procedure at this point was to intercept the offset course at a 45° angle, then fly the constant offset until interception of the final approach course.

During the offset flight, the pilot was expected to maintain an altitude of 12,000 feet and 160 knots indicated airspeed (i.e., approximately 195 knots TAS). The pilot was instructed to begin his descent to 2,000 feet at a point approximately 5 nmi after waypoint F.

The charts defined the OBS, theta, rho, and frequency for each waypoint. After the pilots set the OBS, theta, rho, and frequency for a given waypoint, they were flying TO that waypoint. The TO flag was displayed on the CDI and DTW was decreasing. When they reached the wayline, the TO flag (within approximately +0.5 nmi) disappeared and the DTW stopped decreasing (DTW did not reach zero, but approximated the offset distance).

The pilots were instructed to make an extra effort to fly with the CDI needle at the selected offset distance at all times. Furthermore, when starting the flight, the pilots were instructed to make sure that the RNAV Mode Selector switch was in <u>RNAV Mode</u>. The only time the pilots were allowed to use the Approach Mode was on final approach. Each pilot was given two familiarization flights before starting actual data collection (table 18). Specific charts were provided for the familiarization flights. The pilots were instructed that they could expect to encounter some mild turbulence with winds aloft during the flight. The simulator operator advised the pilots of these winds and other weather phenomena while also issuing air traffic control (ATC) clearances. Upon completion of the familiarization flight, the subject pilot was immediately tested on the appropriate offset flights (reference table 18).

SPECIFIC TECHNIQUE FOR OFFSET TRACKING USING A CDI-BASED TURN ANTICIPATION TECHNIQUE. The technique used in this phase III effort consisted of three distinct required actions:

- 1. Implementation of the OBS set logic
- 2. Implementation of the turn logic
- 3. Implementation of the final approach intercept logic

#### LOGIC FOR SETTING OBS DURING OFFSET TRACKING.

syner for get they ag

Course Change	Logic
(1) Right offset/turn right	Twice offset value
(2) Right offset/turn left	TO/FROM indicator
(3) Left offset/turn left	Twice offset value
(4) Left offset/turn right	TO/FROM indicator

In general, the rules for setting the OBS (for the next course) during offset tracking are as follows:

1. When on an offset and the next course turn occurs after passing the waypoint, use the TO/FROM indicator as a cue for setting the OBS to the next course (reference cases b and d above). It should be noted that when crossing the wayline (a line perpendicular to the course through the waypoint) the TO/FROM flag is neither TO nor FROM but is "buried out of view." At this point, set the OBS for the next course.

2. When on an offset and the next course turn occurs prior to the waypoint, use a value that is twice the offset distance for setting the OBS to the next course. For example, if a 4.0-nmi offset is being flown, the OBS will be set when DTW reads 8.0 nmi (reference cases a and c above). Figure 12 represents the placard which was mounted directly above the RNAV unit. This placard was to be used by the pilot to assist in applying rules 1 and 2.

<u>TURN LOGIC</u>. Once the OBS has been set, the CDI needle will move from its present position and will either be pegged (at the extremes of the display) or will move toward the center of the display. The pilot will continue to maintain his present heading until the CDI needle approaches the desired offset distance. As the CDI needle approaches the desired offset distance, the pilot will have to use his judgment (based on the rate of CDI movement) to initiate his turn to the next course. As the aircraft is turning to the next course, the pilot will monitor the CDI needle until it reaches the desired offset distance. Pilots are expected to turn at a rate not to exceed 3° per second which is the standard rate turn. The pilot should bear in mind that the faster the airspeed, the faster the CDI needle movement, and the more he will have to lead his turn.

#### TURN LOGIC FOR FINAL APPROACH TECHNIQUE.

1. Left offset (or right offset) inside base leg (i.e., offset track is between the final approach fix and the initial approach fix for the final approach course).

#### Rules:

a. After completing turn at GOLF, update to INDIA waypoint.

b. After updating waypoint, set OBS to 038° final approach course.

c. Maintain current heading to intercept final approach course.

d. Use CDI as guide for turning onto final approach course.

2. Right offset (or left) outside base leg (i.e., offset track is beyond the initial approach fix).

#### Rules:

a. After completing turn at GOLF, update to HOTEL waypoint.

b. After updating waypoint (track offset CDI needle) until twice the offset distance (DTW) to set OBS to the next course.

C. Use CDI as guide for turning onto final approach course.

These rules for the OBS setting logic were derived by experimentation and actual testing, and appeared to offer a reliable means of establishing when the OBS should be set.

#### DATA COLLECTION PARAMETERS.

The data items extracted included:

a. TSCT turn data related to the transition from one segment to the next. These data were defined by envelopes ( $\pm 2$  and/or  $\pm 4$  nmi) before and after a waypoint. These envelopes were sufficiently large to encompass all activities related to transitioning from one segment to the next.

b. Steady state TSCT and FTE data.

c. Actual start turn distance (DTW, DWYLIN, and time) used by the pilot. The heading time history data was used to establish this point.

d. Actual turn end distance (DTW, DWYLIN, and time) used by the pilot. The heading time history data was used to establish this point.

e. OBS setting (DTW, DWYLIN, and time).

#### DISCUSSION OF DATA.

DATA REDUCTION -- OBS SET/START TURN DATA. The first level of data reduction was directed toward extracting operational data concerning the ability of the pilots to perform their RNAV-related navigation tasks in order to comply with the assigned turn anticipation procedures.

Table 20 contains the OBS setting and start turn values for the following parameters: (1) DTW distance, (2) Wayline distance, and (3) CDI displacement. The statistical values in this table are means. These values are presented as a function of turn angle (i.e., waypoint location and transition angle) and offset configuration, and are a summary of the operational data from all eight subjects.

The data show that, for the most part, the pilots did apply the rules established for the OBS setting logic. For the left offsets, four of the six turns required that the OBS be set at a distance that was two times the offset value. From these data, it appears that the pilots did not have a problem with using the required rule. The other two turns (at waypoints T and F) required that the OBS be set upon movement of the TO/FROM indicator. From these data, it can be seen that the required action resulted in the OBS being set at a DTW distance equivalent to the offset distance, which, in turn, required the pilots to initiate the transition to the next segment almost immediately.

From table 20, it is evident that for the right offsets, four of the six turns required that the OBS be set upon movement of the TO/FROM indicator. It appears that the pilots did not have a problem with using the required rule. The other two turns (at waypoints T and F) required that the OBS be set at a distance that was two times the offset value. The data indicate that the required action was implemented at the desired DTW distance. OBS SET/START TURN DISTANCE FOR 2- AND 4-MILE LEFT AND RIGHT OFFSETS (PHASE III) TABLE 20.

angene inter the

Thinks: 4

	1 10	The second second		DTW D	istance	Wayline D	lstance	CDI D1s	placement
2.0 mi Left Offset	Waypoint	Turn Angle (Degrees)	*OBS Logic	OBS Set	Start Turn	OBS Set	Start Turn	OBS Set	Start Turn
	T	28	1	2.59	2.71	1.03	6.26	1.99	2.26
	K	111	2	4.15	3.84	1.11	0.75	2.71	2.38
	L .	48	2	4.51	2.85	2.83	0.80	4.23	2.61
	W	96	2	4.30	8.05	1.43	3.40	3.35	2.44
	8	29	1	2.45	2.56	7.09	17.20	2.13	2.38
	9	93	2	4.83	3.64	1.95	1.74	4.18	2.66
	H	84	3	7.21	4.56	5.88	0.99	5.85	66.0
4.0 mil									
Left Offset	T	28	1	4.35	4.44	2.24	3.86	4.04	4.10
	K	ш	2	7.79	7.18	3.36	2.65	5.11	4.76
	r	48	2	8.54	5.81	4.98	3.24	8.66	5.63
	H	96	2	8.45	6.74	2.64	6.13	7.04	4.70
	4	29	1	4.48	4.59	0.79	10.21	4.20	4.40
	9	93	2	8.28	7.29	3.19	1.90	6.80	5.53
	H	84	3	5.51	2.60	4.46	1.23	4.78	0.91
2.0 ml		2000							
Right Offset	T	28	2	3.95	2.68	2.31	4.49	-3.43	-2.41
	×	111	1	2.45	3.03	2.76	1.54	0.18	-0.93
	L	48	1	2.33	2.96	0.60	20.26	-1.78	-2.64
	¥	8	1	2.45	2.71	2.00	1.18	-0.44	-1.15
	<b>64</b>	29	2	4.24	2.81	2.63	12.26	-3.49	-2.50
	9	93	1	3.98	2.69	1.96	2.59	-0.11	-4.66
	H	84	9	4.23	2.41	3.00	0.99	3.20	0.88
4.0 m1									
Right Offset	•	28	2	7.80	5.39	5.06	4.74	-7.08	4.84
	K	111	1	4.60	6.29	5.90	2.04	1.01	-2.81
	ľ	48	1	4.46	5.38	4.93	7.49	-3.51	-4.69
	¥	96	1	4.34	5.43	4.31	4.90	-0.30	-3.01
	4	29	2	7.34	4.85	8.26	16.70	-6.54	4.59
	0	66	1	4.40	5.30	4.08	1.43	-0.11	-2.78
	H	84	3	8.58	5.63	6.53	1.46	1.00	1.38

\* 1 - Used To/From Logic
2 - Used Two Times Offset Distance
3 - Used Final Approach Logic

驗

46

The turn at waypoint H was not considered in the above explanations since the logic for the transition to the final approach course was different than the other two turn logics. The data in table 20, however, indicate that the pilots followed the required logic.

Even though the pilots adhered to the OBS setting logic for both the left and right offsets, the turn logic appears to have caused considerable variability in times and distances for the right offsets. The left offset CDI displacement values are consistent with that which was expected. Also, the pilots did perform as expected and initiated the transition to the next course at the appropriate point. An explanation concerning the expected CDI needle movement was included in the phase II section of this report.

TOTAL SYSTEM CROSSTRACK ERROR -- TURN DATA. The second level of data reduction was directed toward measurement of crosstrack error during the transitions at waypoints T, K, L, M, F, and G in order to determine if the turn logic resulted in turns which exceeded a 2-RMS value of +1.5 nmi. Table 21 presents the mean, sigma, and RMS statistics based on the timeseries data from 2 nmi prior to the waypoint to 2 nmi after the waypoint. Table 22 presents the mean, sigma, and RMS statistics based on the timeseries data from 4 nmi prior to the waypoint to 4 nmi after the waypoint. It is evident that the RMS variability was greater for the left offsets than for the right offsets, and that the 4-nmi left offset transition at waypoint L exceeded a 2-RMS value of ±1.5 nmi. The difference in variability between the left and right offsets may be attributable to the right CDI needle bias (table 10). The total system crosstrack error for the turn data at waypoint H was consistent with the turn data at the other waypoints and did not exceed a 2-RMS value of +1.5 nmi. None exceeded a 2-RMS value of +2 nmi.

STEADY STATE DATA -- TOTAL SYSTEM CROSSTRACK ERROR AND FLIGHT TECHNICAL ERROR. Table 23 presents the mean, sigma, and RMS statistics for the offset steady state tracking data (i.e., TSCT) for all segments between waypoints C' and H (or H'). The same statistics were also computed for all tracking data between waypoint H (or H') and waypoint J; however, these data were based upon using the RNAV approach mode (i.e., 1 dot=1/4 mile). The statistics were computed based on the time-series data from 2 nmi after the waypoint to 2 nmi prior to the waypoint. An evaluation of the turn and steady state tracking data indicated that the <u>+</u>2-nmi turn data window was sufficient to encompass all of the turn data and that the steady state data was not affected by the turn data.

The data show that in three segments tracking performance resulted that exceeded a 2-RMS value of  $\pm 1.5$  nmi. Two of these occurred during the 4-nmi left offset, and the third occurred during the 2-nmi left offset. Only one of these three exceeded a 2-RMS value of  $\pm 2$  nmi.

In general, the left offsets were more variable (in terms of TSCT error) than the right offsets. Once again, this may have been due to the CDI needle bias.

TABLE 21. TURN DATA -- TSCT ERROR (+2.0-NMI WINDOW) (PHASE III)

Thomas And And Ballin I .

	2-mil	Left Of	fset	4-mail	Left Of	fset	2-runi R	1ght Of	fset	4-rm1	Right O	ffset		Sumary		
Segment	×1	ъI	RMS	1 ×1	ol	RMS	I×I	PI	RMS	1×1	pj	RMS	1×1	0	RMS	2 RMS
T 28° K 111°	0.451	0.185	0.550	0.395	0.190	0.586 0.619	0.306	0.159	0.388	0.539	0.223	0.631	0.452 0.021	0.189	0.539	1.077
L 48°	-0.331	0.351	0.437	-0.959	0.234	0.992	-0.196	0.282	0.458	-0-307	0.178	0.425	-0.449	0.217	0.593	1.186
F 29° G 93°	-0.350	0.201	0.441	-0.158	0.070	0.212 0.675	-0-272 0-159	0.107	0.338	-0.269	0.160	0.365	-0.262 0.076	0.135	0.335	0.670
Average RMS			0.483			0.591			0.396			0.478				
Н 84°	0.277	0.407	0.504	-0°130	0.364	0.652	-0.086	0.250	0.418	0.169	0.299	0.474	-0.027	0.330	0.512	1.024

TABLE 22. TURN DATA -- TSCT ERROR (+4.0-NMI WINDOW)

	2-cm1	Left Of	faet	1.m.1-4	Left Of	fset	2-mil	tight of	ffset	1-1-1	Right 0	ffset		Sumary		
Segnent		ÞÍ	RMS	1×1	٥I	RMS	=	0	RHS	#1	ol	RMS	×	PI	SHR	2 RMS
T 28° K 111°	0.516	0.203	0.589	0.594	0.258	0.656	0.349	0.168	0.416	0.404	0.296	0.550	0.019	0.231	0.555	1.110
L 48°.	0.087	0.215	0.489	-0.899	0.246	0.935	-0.285	0.305	0.381	-0.361	0.214	0.459	-0.468	0.245	0.600	1.200 0.952
F 29° G 93°	0 333	0.231	0.453	-0.129	0.123	0.248	-0.290	0.114 0.215	0.328	-0.348	0.219	0.320	-0.275	0-172	0.369	0.738 0.944
Average RMS			0.503			0.621			0.418			0.481				
н 84°	0.369	0.418	0.564	0,068	0.390	0.536	0,012	0.263	0,350	0.053	0.303	0.413	0.093	0.343	0.466	0.932

400

48

H
(PHASE
(TSCT)
DATA
TRACKING
STATE
STEADY
RMS
AND
SIGMA,
MEAN,
TABLE 23.

64. ·

managener ( Mart Bay ang

THE MARTINE

tracking. needle center was and mile) = 1/4 dot 5 Mode Approach RNAV the using 5 based segments was data for these \*The

49

These data indicate that the tracking proficiency (TSCT error), except for three offset cases, do fall within either a 2-RMS value of ±1.5 nmi or a 2-RMS value of ±2 nmi for the steady state data. These data, however, are influenced by one pilot's initial flights which were the 2-nmi and 4-nmi left offsets. This pilot was a minimum-time IFR pilot (approximately 60 hours) and he had the lowest overall time (approximately 360 hours). This particular subject improved his performance over subsequent flights, and by his last flight (i.e., sixth - including two familiarization flights) it was difficult to distinguish his performance from the other seven pilots.

Figure 14 presents RMS TSCT error for the steady state data and the turn data (both  $\pm 2$ - and  $\pm 4$ -nmi error window). The difference in variability between the left and right offsets exists for both the steady state and the turn data; furthermore, it is evident that there is no difference in the magnitude of the steady state and turn data. This similarity in both data sets would suggest that the turn logic works and does not result in errors larger than those incurred for the steady state tracking.

Figures 15 through 18 present the composite plots for the 2-nmi left, 4-nmi left, 2-nmi right, and 4-nmi right offsets.

Table 24 presents the mean, sigma, and RMS statistics for the offset steady state CDI displacement (FTE) for all segments between waypoints C' and H (or H'). The same statistics were also computed for all tracking data between waypoint H (or H') and waypoint J; however, these data were based upon using the RNAV approach mode (i.e., 1 dot = 1/4 mile). The statistics were computed on the same basis as the TSCT data. It can be seen that for the steady state tracking data there was no difference between the left and right offsets in terms of CDI displacement. The bias apparent in the calibration measurements (table 23), does not appear to have affected the pilots' tracking capability for the steady state segments.

The steady state TSCT error for the final approach course was based on the centerline course (i.e., deviations were for a centered CDI needle), and, as such, was more precise than that for the offset tracking.





51

The approximation of the second s



and an and the state of the

(Pre-

Se 22



1740 18 31

and the second



manageneric and a second second second second second second



55

The Part of the Part of the

MEAN, SIGMA, AND RMS STEADY STATE TRACKING DATA (FTE) (PHASE III) TABLE 24.

The grant in the first

Stat Mar

4.

10	2-mi	Left Off	set	4-nmi	Left Of	fset	2-nmi Ri	ght Off	set	4-nmi	Right Of	fset
Segment	×I	01	RMS	I ×I	٥I	RMS	I×I	٥Į	RMS	I×I	١σ	RMS
С* - Т - Т	1.867	0.413 0.291	1.918 1.889	3.757 4.051	0.769 0.606	3.839	-2.167	0.583 0.827	2.245 2.208	-4.145	0.860 1.804	4.236 3.996
K - L	2.111	0.354	2.145	4.519	0.310	4.532	-1.932	0.352	1.967	-4.193	0.555	4.235 4.058
	1.967	0.378	2.009	4.124	0.849	4.289	-2.018	0.504	2.084	-4.089	1.443	4.351
F - G .	2.094	0.318	2.123	4.218	0.642	4.329	-1.899	0.716	2.066	-3.878	1.100	4.032
G - H*	2.121	1.121	2.423	2.599	1.875	3.505	-1.753	1.526	2.362	-4.176	1.348	4.399
Average RMS			2.085			4.162			2.134			4.186
Н – Ј**	-0.113	0.204	0.244	-0.034	0.240	0.264	-0.143	0.259	0.311	-0.102	0.265	0.286

\* Due to the final approach course intercept procedures, these data are not reliable and are subject to large errors.

**\*\*** The data for these segments was based on using the RNAV Approach Mode (1 dot = 1/4 mile) and was center needle tracking.

+ MA

56 .

PROBLEMS INHERENT IN THE USE OF OFFSET TURN ANTICIPATION LOGIC. The TO/FROM logic does allow the pilot to "get into trouble" during the transition at the shallow angle turns (waypoints T, L, and F) in that upon setting the OBS, the CDI needle has little or no movement which results in a lack of time to observe CDI needle motion since the pilot passed the bisector angle before starting the turn.

Figure 19 depicts the geometry at waypoint L, and is presented in order to explain a problem with the TO/FROM logic for right or left offsets. The rules for the TO/FROM logic state that the OBS should be set at a point where the TO/FROM flag is "buried out of view." The CDI distance at this point (assuming that the aircraft is on course) will be approximately the distance of the offset (i.e., 2 or 4 nmi in this study). For a right offset, the pilot must immediately initiate the turn to the next segment (within approximately 8 to 16 seconds after setting the OBS) because the CDI needle either moves very little or not at all and, therefore, the pilot cannot use needle movement as a cue for initiating the turn. The problems stated above contributed to two blunders at waypoint L.

The first occurred during a 2-nmi right offset and resulted in a TSCT error of 2.74 nmi right of course. The pilot commented that the CDI needle did not change when he set the OBS and he became confused. In this case, the CDI needle eventually drifted out to 5 dots left; however, the pilot did not attempt to recenter the needle for approximately 76 seconds. For the second case (a 4-nmi right offset) the pilot also expected the needle to show some movement and became confused. The problem in this case was compounded by the fact that the needle pegged to the left. The pilot stayed on course, waiting for the needle to move, and eventually committed a blunder of 5.73 nmi right of course. The pilot had to be directed to return to course.

In a similar case, another pilot flying a 2-nmi left offset incurred and error of 1.45 nmi left of course while transitioning at waypoint F (29° transition). This occurred as a result of the pilot waiting to observe the CDI needle movement. The pilot initiated the turn when the CDI read 3 nmi right.

These three cases point out a weakness in the TO/FROM OBS set logic and subsequent turn logic that could result in blunders when used under actual IFR conditions. The problem would become even more critical at higher speeds and the logic of OBS SET/TURN would not be useable for shallow angle turns, and would require the implementation of a DTW based logic which would take into account the turn angle and speed of the aircraft.

The problem with the shallow angle turns is further demonstrated by the data in table 25.



4. A. M.

# TABLE 25.ELAPSED TIME BETWEEN OBS SET AND START TURN FOR SHALLOW ANGLETURNS AT WAYPOINTS L AND F (PHASE III)

	Left (	ffset	Right Offs	et
Waypoint	<u>2-nmi</u>	<u>4-nmi</u>	<u>2-nmi</u>	<u>4-nmi</u>
L (48°)	41 seconds (2x)	67 seconds (2x)	16 seconds (T/f)	11 seconds (T/f)
F (29°)	ll seconds (T/f)	8 seconds (T/f)	39 seconds (2x)	71 seconds (2x)
2x = T/f =	Two times distance TO/FROM logic	an to tary well to far avridud	in allo (CAS) and n Simono mara n	to etoes 001. Nos eticses

An alternative solution would be to train the pilots to react to the shallow angle turns as quickly as possible, and to start the turn almost immediately after setting the OBS to the next course.

In this study, the steady state TSCT error for offset tracking was the same magnitude as the offset turn data TSCT error. The reason for this is that the turns were made using a set of logic that required the pilot to pay close attention to the CDI needle and the pilots did a reasonable job of transitioning between segments with minimum TSCT error as shown by table 26.

# TABLE 26. SUMMARY OF THE OVERALL 2-RMS (+2.0 NMI WINDOW) TSCT ERRORS (PHASE III)

	2-nmi Left Offset	4-nmi Left Offset	2-nmi Right Offset	4-nmi Right Offset
Steady State Data	1.066	1.294	0.882	0.986
Turn Data	0.966	1.182	0.792	0.956

A potential problem area exists which is directly attributable to the noncentered CDI needle. The pilot's workload is increased with the noncentered CDI needle because he has to fly away from the needle and in other cases he has to fly toward the needle. Under high workload conditions, this could cause confusion which might cause the pilots to fly in the wrong direction. In fact, in this study there were three instances of this wrong needle sensing. One occurred at waypoint T which resulted in an error of 2 nmi right of course. Another occurred at waypoint G and resulted in the pilot flying 4 nmi off course, but parallel to the intended offset course between waypoints G and H. A third case occurred at waypoint F where the pilot sensed the needle wrongly; however, he realized his error and returned to the offset course. In this case the pilot corrected to the needle instead of away from the needle.

The Bran Property Marry

#### RESULTS

1. The "on course" turn anticipation technique recommended by AC 90-45A produced significantly more variability in terms of TSCT than did the University of Illinois/CTI and NAFEC "on course" turn anticipation techniques.

2. Nonprecision approaches, using a single waypoint, analog RNAV system in the approach mode, were sufficiently accurate to be used in a terminal environment.

3. The objective data indicated that for centerline tracking, at speeds of 200 knots or less (TAS), all three turn anticipation techniques were found useable and no blunders were recorded.

4. Offsets of 2-and 4-nmi (left and right of centerline) did not exceed the +2-nmi criteria established for steady state tracking capability.

5. The 5-nmi offsets (left and right of centerline) resulted in TSCT and FTE variabilities that exceeded the  $\pm 2$ -nmi criteria established for steady state tracking capability.

6. During offset transition, the CDI-based turn anticipation technique produced the greatest amount of TSCT variability in shallow turns; whereas, the DTW-based turn anticipation technique produced the greatest TSCT variability in acute turns.

7. For shallow angle turns, the OBS set logic (which used the TO/FROM rule) did not allow enough time for the pilot to observe CDI needle motion and initiate the turn.

8. Based on the computer plots of the routes flown, centerline turn data exhibited less variability in terms of TSCT than did offset turn data.

9. For the 2- and the 4-nmi offsets, the offset turn data was not significantly different from offset steady state data in terms of TSCT variability.

10. Using a noncentered needle to fly offsets increased the pilot's workload because some circumstances required that the pilot fly toward the needle while other circumstances required the pilot to fly away from it.

11. The pilot's attempt to resolve the logic of flying to or from the CDI needle resulted in two operational blunders and one procedural error.

12. A placard detailing the OBS set logic procedures is essential for the pilots to properly implement the correct turn logic while flying offsets.

wagne while you at the
#### CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the results of this simulation, the following conclusions are made concerning the useability of a single-waypoint area navigation system in a terminal area environment:

1. For centerline tracking, all three turn anticipation techniques worked, and no blunders were recorded in terms of procedural errors or misinterpretations using any of the techniques.

2. Of the three turn anticipation techniques tested for centerline tracking, the CDI needle method of turn anticipation provides the least variability in terms of TSCT.

3. Procedural turn anticipation techniques based on individual pilot judgment of CDI needle distance (and/or rate of movement) provide no guidelines for advising the pilot when to start his turn. Because of pilot variability, there is a fundamental weakness in the time and distance selection for turn anticipation for both centerline and offset tracking which affects TSCT variability.

4. The magnitude of the offsets that can be flown effectively while using a noncentered needle will be limited by the scale of the CDI instrument's display, its sensitivity, and the speed of the aircraft.

5. None of the turn anticipation techniques tested can provide adequate guidance for transition from an offset condition to the final approach course.

6. An automated turn anticipation method based upon computed DTW distance and turn angle would be superior to the manual methods developed and tested during this simulation for both centerline transitions and for maintaining constant offsets around turns.

7. An automated turn anticipation procedure based on "good" human engineering would eliminate many of the workload-inducing procedures and would provide a consistent application of a set of rules without requiring complex interpretations and decisions on the part of the pilot.

### REFERENCES

1. Eldredge, D., Goldberg, B., and Crimbring, W., <u>An Evaluation of Modified</u> <u>RNAV Terminal Procedures Using a Single-Waypoint RNAV System</u>, Federal Aviation Administration, Report No. FAA-RD-78-27, Washington, D. C., April 1978.

2. Jensen, R.S., and Marsh, R. W., <u>Simulator Tests of Pilots Performance</u> in Terminal Area Navigation Operations: Effects of Various Airborne System <u>Characteristics</u>, Federal Aviation Administration, Report No. FAA-RD-76-99, Washington, D. C., May 1976.

### APPENDIX

## Flight Simulation Technical Note

FSTN No. 6

# COMPUTATION OF TURN ANTICIPATION DISTANCE

Douglas A. Elliott Joseph E. McCall ANA-241

August 1977

Trangent in the second second

the second

### COMPUTATION OF TURN ANTICIPATION DISTANCE

When making a turn from one RNAV course to another at a waypoint, the necessary Turn Anticipation Distance (TAD) at which to initiate a standard-rate turn is developed in the derivations which follow. Expressions without offset and with offset are derived.

Altitude and slant range effects have no influence on these relations, except for the unlikely case of a waypoint so close to a VOR that the RHO value is less than the flight altitude. In normal cases, slant range will cause the apparent waypoint to shift slightly toward the VOR, unless the RNAV computer provides slant range correction. In either case, distance-to-waypoint will read zero when the apparent waypoint is reached, and turns will be made in relation to the apparent waypoint, regardless of altitude.

I. TAD WITHOUT OFFSET:

The following derivation shows the development of a basic expression for Turn Anticipation Distance when no offset is present. A standard-rate turn of 3 deg/sec is assumed, but no allowance is made for time required to establish the turn. This may add approximately 1/4 mile to all distance values.

Turn Anticipation Distance Calculations:

The turn anticipation distance (TAD) is dependent upon airspeed (V), turn angle ( $\mathcal{\Psi}$ ), and rate of turn ( $\mathcal{\Psi}$ ). From Figure 1 it can be seen that TAD is expressed by the relation:

$$TAD = R \tan \left| \frac{96}{2} \right|^2$$

Where R is the turn radius. A value for the turn radius can be calculated from the values of airspeed and rate of turn.

$$R (NMI) = \frac{57.3 V (KT)}{(3600 sec/hr) (\psi deg/sec)}$$

If we assume a standard rate turn  $(3^{\circ}/sec)$  then:

$$(NMI) = \frac{V(KT)}{188.48}$$

Substitution of this relation for R into the equation for TAD provide the relation:

1

$$TAD = \frac{V (KT) \tan |\psi|2}{188.48}$$

Table 1 shows the values for TAD (NMI) at airspeeds from 120KT to 200KT and at turn angles from  $15^{\circ}$  to  $120^{\circ}$ .

.

-

TURN									
ANGLE		AIRSPEED (V) KNOTS							
	120	140	160	180	200				
15*	.084	.098	.112	.126	.140				
30°	.171	.199	.227	.256	.284				
45°	.264	.308	.352	.396	.440				
60°	.368	.429	.490	.551	.613				
75°	.489	.570	.651	.733	.814				
90°	.637	.743	.849	.955	1.061				
105°	.830	.968	1.106	1.245	1.383				
120°	1.103	1.287	1.470	1.654	1.838				

TABLE A-1. TURN ANTICIPATION DISTANCE (NMI)

Mangar & Hilly and The

(13) 9 = (1)=0 : F# 881



### II. TURN ANTICIPATION WITH PARALLEL OFFSETS:

A. COTAD (Centered-Needle Offset Turn Anticipation Distance):

When using RNAV equipment that is capable of computing offset course parallel to RNAV routes, an "offset waypoint" is computed along the wayline at the offset distance from the basic waypoint. (The wayline is a line perpendicular to the selected course, passing through the basic waypoint). With this equipment, the offset course is flown in relation to the offset waypoint with the CDI needle centered. Distance-to-waypoint is also measured relative to the offset waypoint.

The intersection of the initial and final offset courses is displaced from the offset waypoint. Turns are made in relation to this displaced intersection. As shown by the derivations presented in Figures 2 and 3, this intersection distance (C) is added to the previously derived expression for TAD when the turn is in the same direction as the offset, and subtracted from the TAD when the turn is opposite to the offset. A resulting positive value represents a distance "To" the offset waypoint, and a negative value represents a distance "FROM" the offset waypoint.

The general expression for the Centered-Needle Offset Turn Anticipation Distance developed by this derivation is:

$$\cot AD = TAD + C$$

$$\cot AD = \boxed{\frac{V \tan \psi}{188.48}} + \boxed{\frac{0}{2}} + \boxed{\frac{0}{\sin \psi}} + \frac{0}{\tan \psi}$$

Where:

C = Distance of intersection from offset waypoint, nautical miles.

V = True airspeed, knots.

 $\Psi$  = Angle of course change.

OS1 = Offset of initial course, nautical miles.

COTAD = Distance from offset waypoint, nautical miles.

OS2 = Offset of final course, nautical miles.

Positive COTAD represents a "TO" indication.

Negative COTAD represents a "FROM" indication.

Positive values are to right for  $\psi$ , OS1, OS2.

Negative values are to left for  $\Psi$ , OS1, OS2.

When  $\psi$  and OS1 are both in the same direction (both left or both right), the value of intersection displacement, C, will be positive, and will add to the TAD value, as shown in FIGURE 2.

The computation of NOTAD remains the same as previously developed, except that the value of COTAD is calculated using the above expression for the particular case of equal offsets. The resulting values of COTAD and NOTAD are listed in Tables 2 through 5 for various turn angles and airspeeds.

THE PART OF THE PART OF THE



When  $\frac{y}{y}$  and OS1 are opposite (one left and one right) the value of C will be negative, and will subtract from TAD, as shown in Figure 3.

B. NOTAD (Non-Centered-Needle Offset Turn Anticipation Distance):

With RNAV equipment that has no provision for offset computations, an offset course must be flown with an off-center CDI needle displacement equal to the desired offset. Distance-to-waypoint indications represent distances relative to the basic waypoint.

Although the geometry of the turn remains the same as for the COTAD case, all distance measurements of turn anticipation must be made in relation to the basic waypoint. As shown by the derivations of Figures 2 and 3, NOTAD is simply the hypotenuse of a triangle whose sides are COTAD and OS1. This may be expressed as:

NOTAD =  $\pm \sqrt{(COTAD)^2 + (OS1)^2}$ 

Where the sign of NOTAD is the same as that of COTAD.

NOTAD is "TO" for positive COTAD.

NOTAD is "FROM" for negative COTAD.

C. PARTICULAR CASE OF EQUAL OFFSETS:

When the initial and final offset distances, OS1 and OS2, are the same, the general expression for COTAD reduces to the following relation:

 $COTAD = \frac{V}{188.48} \tan \left| \frac{V}{2} \right| + OS \tan \frac{V}{2}$ 

Where: COTAD = Distance from offset waypoint, nautical miles.

V = True airspeed, knots.

 $\Psi$  = Angle of course change.

OS = Offset magnitude, nautical miles.

Positive COTAD represents a "TO" indication.

Negative COTAD represents a "FROM" indication.

For # and OS, positive values are to the right, negative values to the left.

When " and OS are the same direction, C is added to TAD. When opposite, C is subtracted from TAD.



COTAD, INSIDE (SAME) TURN - RIGHT OFFSET, RIGHT TURN OR LEFT OFFSET, LEFT TURN TABLE A-2.

The second state of the second of the

4

A-9

-

TABLE A-2. COTAD, INSIDE (SAME) TURN - RIGHT OFFSET, RIGHT TURN OR LEFT OFFSET, LEFT TURN (CONTINUED)

10	1.442 2.935 4.538 6.325 8.406 10.955 14.277 18.974	10 1.456 2.964	4.282 6.386 8.487 11.061 14.415 19.158
5	.784 1.596 2.467 3.438 4.569 5.955 7.761 10.314	5 . 798 1.624	2.511 3.499 4.651 6.061 7.899 10.498
4	.652 1.328 2.052 2.861 3.802 4.955 6.457 8.582	4 .666 1.356	2.096 2.922 3.883 5.061 6.596 8.766
OFFSET (MILES) 3	.521 1.061 1.638 2.283 3.035 3.955 5.154 6.850	OFFSET (MILES) 3 .535 1.088	1.682 2.345 3.116 5.292 7.034
2	.389 .792 1.224 1.706 2.267 3.851 3.851 5.118	2 . 403 . 820	1.268 1.767 2.349 3.061 3.989 5.302
1	.257 .524 .810 1.129 1.500 1.955 2.548 3.386	1 .271 .552	.854 1.190 1.581 2.061 2.686 3,570
TURN ANGLE (DEGREES)	15 30 45 45 60 75 75 105 120	TURN ANGLE (DECREES) 15 30	45 60 75 105 120
180 KNOTS		200 KNOTS	

1

11. 1

N 18

TABLE A-3. COTAD, OUTSIDE (OPPOSITE) TURN - RIGHT OFFSET, LEFT TURN OR LEFT OFFSET, RIGHT TURN

Congress Hard States - Hard States

10	- 1.233 - 2.509 - 3.878 - 3.878 - 5.406 - 7.140 - 1.406 - 7.140 - 1.203 - 16.218	10	- 1.219 - 2.480 - 3.834 - 5.345 - 7.103 - 9.257 -16.034	10	- 1.205 - 2.452 - 3.791 - 5.283 - 7.022 - 1.1.926 -11.926 -15.850
S	574 -1.169 -1.807 -2.519 -3.348 -4.363 -5.686 -7.558	5	560 -1.141 -1.763 -2.458 -3.267 -4.257 -5.548 -7.374	5	547 -1.112 -1.719 -2.397 -3.185 -4.151 -5.410
4	443 901 -1.393 -1.942 -1.942 -2.581 -4.383 -5.826	4	429 873 -1.349 -1.881 -2.499 -3.257 -4.245 -5.642	4	415 844 -1.305 -1.819 -2.418 -3.151 -4.107 -5.458
OFFSET (MILES) 3	311 633 979 -1.365 -1.813 -2.363 -3.080 -4.094	OFFSET (MILES) 3	297 605 935 -1.732 -1.732 -2.257 -2.942 -3.910	OFFSET (MILES) 3	283 576 891 -1.242 -1.651 -2.151 -2.803 -3.726
2	179 365 565 787 -1.046 -1.363 -1.777 -2.361	8	166 337 521 726 965 -1.257 -1.639 -2.178	3	152 308 477 477 665 883 -1.151 -1.500 -1.994
	048 097 151 210 279 474 629	T	034 069 107 149 257 335 446	. 1	020 041 063 063 087 116 151 197 262
TURN ANGLE (DEGREES)	15 30 45 66 75 90 105	TURN ANGLE (DECREES)	15 30 10 10 10 12 0	TURN ANGLE (DEGREES)	15 86 75 105 105 120
120 KNOTS		140 KNOTS		160 KNOTS	

COTAD, OUTSIDE (OPPOSITE) TURN - RIGHT OFFSET, LEFT TURN OR LEFT OFFSET, RIGHT TURN (CONTINUED) TABLE A-3.

•

the second state of the state of the second st

\*

	161	124	147	222	141	145	188	67			111	395	103	191	359	39	50	83
10	- 1.1	- 2.4	- 3.7	- 5.2	- 6.9	- 9.0	-11.7	-15.6		10	- 1.1	- 2.3	- 3.7	- 5.1	- 6.8	- 8.9	-11.6	-15.4
S	533	-1.084	-1.676	-2.335	-3.104	-4.045	-5.272	-7.006		5	519	-1.055	-1.632	-2.274	-3.022	-3.939	-5.133	-6.823
4	401	816	-1.261	-1.758	-2.337	-3.045	-3.968	-5.274		4	387	788	-1.217	-1.697	-2.255	-2.939	-3.830	-5.090
OFFSET (MILES) 3	269	548	847	-1.181	-1.569	-2.045	-2.665	-3.542		OFFSET (MILES) 3	255	520	803	-1.119	-1.488	-1.939	-2.527	-3.358
2	138	280	433	603	802	-1.045	-1.362	-1.810		2	124	252	389	542	721	939	-1.224	-1.626
<b>, 1</b>	006	012	019	026	035	045	059	078		1	.008	.016	.025	.035	.047	.061	.080	.106
TURN ANGLE (DEGREES)	15	30	45	09	75	90	105	120	NAILE	ANGLE (DEGREES)		30	45	99	75	66	105	120
180 KNOTS										200 KNOTS								

1

#15H

TABLE A-4. NOTAD, INSIDE (SAME) TURN - RIGHT OFFSET, RIGHT TURN OR LEFT OFFSET, LEFT TURN

Cango - California - Alter Con

ę.

TURN ANGLE 120 KNOTS (DEGREES)	2 % % % % %	105 120 3 120 3 TURN ANGLE 140 KNOTS (DEGREES)	3838888 282 282 292 292 292 292 292 292 292	TURN ANGLE 160 KNOTS (DEGREES) 15	8 2 8 2 8 9 9
-	1.023 1.092 1.208 1.376 1.605 1.918	2.356 3.006 1	026 104 233 419 670 009 180	1	
2	2.030 2.121 2.279 2.513 2.845 3.309	3.976 4.985 2	2.032 2.131 2.300 2.551 2.903 3.394 4.096 5.154	2	2.035 2.141 2.322 2.589 3.481
OFFSET (MILES) 3	3.038 3.154 3.357 4.097 4.197	5.609 6.977 0FFSET (MILES) 3	3.040 3.163 3.377 3.697 4.153 4.797 5.726 7.143	OFFSET (MILES) 3	3.042 3.172 3.397 4.210 4.880
4	4.046 4.188 4.437 4.813 5.353	7.247 8.972 4.	4.048 4.197 4.456 4.847 5.408 6.204 9.137	4	4.051 4.206 4.476 4.882 5.463 6.286
5	5.055 5.223 5.518 5.966 6.611	2.5.7 8.88 10.969	5.057 5.231 5.537 5.999 6.665 7.614 7.614 11.133	S	5.059 5.240 5.556 6.033 6.719 7.695
Ę	10.09 10.92 10.92 11.73	14.59962	10.100 10.406 10.945 11.767 11.767 12.960 14.677 17.205 21.124	9	10.101 10.414 10.963 11.800 13.011

NOTAD, INSIDE (SAME) TURN - RIGHT OFFSET, RIGHT TURN OR LEFT OFFSET, LEFT TURN (CONTINUED) TABLE A-4.

the sector of the sector to

10	10.103 10.422 10.981 11.832 13.064 14.833 17.431 21.448	10	10.105 10.430 11.000 11.865 13.116 14.911 17.544 21.611
S	5.061 5.248 5.575 6.068 6.773 7.775 9.232 11.462	'n	5.063 5.257 5.595 6.103 6.829 9.348 9.348
4	4.053 4.215 4.496 4.918 5.519 6.368 6.368 9.469	4	4.055 4.224 4.516 4.954 5.575 6.451 7.714 9.635
OFFSET (MILES) 3	3.045 3.182 3.418 3.770 4.267 4.964 7.478	OFFSET (MILES) 3	3.047 3.191 3.439 4.326 6.084 7.647
2	2.037 2.151 2.151 2.629 3.023 3.568 4.339 5.459	N	2.040 2.162 2.368 3.669 3.656 4.462 5.667
1	1.033 1.129 1.287 1.508 1.508 1.803 2.196 2.737 3.531		1.036 1.142 1.315 1.554 1.871 2.291 3.707
TURN ANGLE (DEGREES)	15 45 66 105 105 120	TURN ANGLE (DEGREES)	
180 KNOTS		200 KNOTS	

2

TABLE A-5. NOTAD, OUTSIDE (OPPOSITE) TURN - RIGHT OFFSET, LEFT TURN OR LEFT OFFSET, RIGHT TURN

The property and the second

I.N

10	-10.076 -10.310 -10.726 -11.368 -12.313 -13.699 -15.777 -19.053	10 -10.074 -10.303	-11.235 -11.266 -13.627 -18.897 -18.897	-10.072 -10.296 -10.694 -11.310 -12.219 -13.555 -13.555
S	-5.033 -5.135 -5.317 -5.599 -6.017 -6.636 -7.572 -9.062	5 -5.031 -5.128 -5.128	-5.973 -6.567 -7.469 -8.909 -8.909	-5.030 -5.122 -5.287 -5.287 -5.928 -6.499 -7.367 -8.758
4	-4.024 -4.100 -4.236 -4.446 -4.760 -5.226 -5.934 -7.067	4 -4.023 -4.094 -4.221	-4-120 -5.158 -5.833 -6.916 4	-4.021 -4.088 -4.208 -4.394 -5.092 -5.733
OFFSET (MILES) 3	-3.016 -3.066 -3.156 -3.156 -3.296 -3.506 -3.819 -4.300 -5.075	OFFSET (MILES) 3 -3.015 -3.060 -3.142 -3.71	-3.464 -3.754 -4.202 -4.928 -4.928 0FFSET (MILES) 3	-3.013 -3.013 -3.055 -3.130 -3.47 -3.424 -3.424 -4.106 -4.106
2	-2.008 -2.033 -2.078 -2.149 -2.257 -2.421 -2.421 -2.675 -3.095	2 -2.007 -2.028 -2.067	-2.221 -2.585 -2.557 -2.957	-2.006 -2.024 -2.056 -2.108 -2.186 -2.308 -2.308 -2.824
I	-1.001 -1.005 -1.011 -1.022 -1.038 -1.064 -1.182	1 -1.001 -1.002 -1.006	-1.019 -1.033 -1.095 -1.095	-1.000 -1.001 -1.002 -1.004 -1.007 -1.011 -1.0134
TURN ANGLE (DEGREES)	15 30 45 75 105 105	TURN ANGLE (DEGREES) 15 30 45 60	75 90 105 120 120 120 120 Angle Angle (Degrees)	120 85 105 105 120 120
120 KNOTS		140 KNOTS	160 KNOTS	

TABLE A-5. NOTAD, OUTSIDE (OPPOSITE) TURN - RIGHT OFFSET, LEFT TURN OR LEFT OFFSET, RIGHT TURN (CONTINUED)

The state of the second second

1

10	-10.071 -10.290 -10.679 -11.281 -12.473 -13.484 -15.458	10.069	-10.283 -10.663 -11.253 -12.126 -13.413 -15.353 -15.353
'n	-5.028 -5.116 -5.273 -5.519 -5.885 -6.431 -7.266	5 -5 .027	-5.110 -5.259 -5.493 -5.843 -6.365 -6.365 -7.166
4	-4.020 -4.082 -4.194 -4.369 -4.369 -5.027 -5.635 -6.619	- 4 - 019	-4.077 -4.181 -4.345 -4.592 -4.964 -5.538 -6.474
OFFSET (MILES) 3	-3.012 -3.050 -3.117 -3.224 -3.2386 -3.631 -4.642	OFFSET (MILES) 3 -3.011	-3.045 -3.106 -3.202 -3.349 -3.572 -4.503
7	-2.005 -2.020 -2.046 -2.089 -2.155 -2.155 -2.420 -2.698	2 -2 <b>.</b> 004	-2.016 -2.037 -2.072 -2.126 -2.126 -2.345 -2.578
1	-1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.001 -1.001 -1.002 -1.003	1.000	1.000 1.000 1.001 1.001 1.002 1.003 1.003
TURN ANGLE (DEGREES)	15 8 5 8 6 5 3 3 1 5 10 5 8 5 5 6 6 5 1 3 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1	TURN ANGLE (DEGREES) 15	30 45 66 75 90 120 120
180 KNOTS		200 KNOTS	

.

1

e

43- 11

A-16

de l'A