

NOSC TR 361

## **Technical Report 361**

# MARCONI AERIAL OUTFIT H-33-5500-01 EVALUATION

Comparison of this active antenna with two previously evaluated active antennas

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92152

1 UJ 20 UIU

AD AQ 66402

FILE COPY

**EA Thowless** 

25 October 1978

INSUSI

A

DC

Test and Evaluation: April - September 1978

Prepared for Naval Electronic Systems Command (ELEX 5103)



NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER, SAN DIEGO, CA 92152

#### AN ACTIVITY OF NAVAL THE MATERIAL COMMAND RR GAVAZZI, CAPT USN

Commander

**HL BLOOD** 

**Technical Director** 

### ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Work was performed under Work Request N65980-78WR-80060, NOSC Task CM02, by members of the Antennas and Rf Systems Branch, for Naval Electronic Systems Command (ELEX 5103).

Sincere appreciation is due GW Irvine of Communications Research Centre, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, for his assistance in the loan of a Marconi Aerial Outfit to NOSC for evaluation.

Released by CA Nelson, Head Surface/Shore Systems Division

Under authority of HD Smith, Head **Communications Systems** and Technology Department

BDG BUTI Section D BRANNAUTICED D JUSTIFICATION ST BISTREQUTION/AVAILABILITY COURS BISTREQUTION/AVAILABILITY COURS BISTREQUTION/AVAILABILITY COURS	DE Buff Section KANNEDTED USTIFICATION N DISTRUCTION / AFAILADILITY COURS BISL AFAIL CTA/OF SPECIAL A 1	TIE	Rivite Souther
BRANNAUTICED DISTIFICATION DISTICATION DISTICATION DISTICATION DISTICO DI DISTICO DI DISTICO DI DIST	RANNORCED E USTIFICATION OFFERIQUENDR/AVAILABILITY COURS BISE AVAIL CRA/OF SPECIAL A 1	106	Butt Section
USTIFICATION IV DISTRUUTION/RMAILABILITY CODES DISL AVAIL SUL/RE SPECIAL	USTIFICATION T DISTRUCTION/ATAILADILITY COURS BISL AFAIL CIT/OF SPECIAL A 1	NANNY CROED	0
ST BISTRIQUTIOR/ATAILABILITY GODES Dist. APAIL COLOR SPECIAL	N DISTRUCTION/AVAILADILITY COURS BISL AVAIL CIT/OF SPECIAL A 1	INSTIFICATION	
		# 1 m W 24 1 m 11 7 1 f8 1	AVAILABILITY GODES
		Aist.	AVAIL SIA/OF SPECIAL

	POPT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSIO	BEFORE COMPLETING FOR N NO. 3. RECIBIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NOSC Technical R	teport 361 (TR 361)	(and)
4. TITLE (and Subtitle	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD CON
MARCONI AERI	AL OUTFIT H-33-5500-01 EVALUATION	Test Vevaluation rept.
Comparison of thi	s active antenna with two previously evaluated	April - September 1978
active antennas .		PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUM
7. AUTHOR(+)	1	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER
EA Thowless	E. A. Thowless	
PERFORMING ORG	ANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Naval Ocean Syste San Diego, CA 92	ms Center /	Work Request N65980-78WR-8
CONTROLLING O	FFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	// 25 Oct 1078
Washington DC	ystems Command (ELEX 5103)	13: NUMBER OF PAGES
Washington, DC 2		
14. MONITORING AGE	ENCY NAME & ADDRESSIT different from Controlling OF	is. Security CLASS. (or this report)
	(12)320.	Unclassified
		15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRAD
16. DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of this Report)	
	(III Alace Imp. 762	4
	MUSLIM-SC-	
Approved for put	lic release; distribution unlimited	
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if differ	ent from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if differ	ent from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ	ent from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ	ent from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if differ y NOTES	ent from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ	ent from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if differ y NOTES	ent from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if differ y NOTES	ent from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST 18. SUPPLEMENTAR 19. KEY WORDS (Cont Marconi Aerial Ot	ATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if differ y NOTES inue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block n htfit H-33-5500-01	ent from Report) umber)
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Cont Marconi Aerial Ou Active antennas</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if differ y NOTES Inue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block n utfit H-33-5500-01	ent from Report) umber)
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Cont Marconi Aerial Ou Active antennas Hf antennas – shi Internas – shi</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ y NOTES inue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block n utfit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications	ent from Report) umber)
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Cont Marconi Aerial Ou Active antennas Hf antennas – shi Intermodulation p Receiving antenna</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ Y NOTES Inue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block n itfit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications product interference S	ent from Report) umber)
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>Marconi Aerial Ou Active antennas Hf antennas – shi Intermodulation y Receiving antenna</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Conti</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ y NOTES inue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block n htfit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications product interference s nue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block nu	ent from Report) umber)
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Control of the second second</li></ol>	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ y NOTES inue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block n utfit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications product interference s nue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block nu coni H-33-5500-01 antenna has significantly better	ent from Report) umber) mber) r noise figure and gain than either the Bay
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>Marconi Aerial Ou Active antennas Hf antennas – shi Intermodulation p Receiving antenna</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Conti The Marcon Or DUK antenna;</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ Y NOTES Inve on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block n itfit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications product interference s nue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block nue coni H-33-5500-01 antenna has significantly better but, as with all active antennas, self-generated inter the phone of the second se	ent from Report) umber) mber) noise figure and gain than either the Bay rmodulation product interference is likely
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>Marconi Aerial Ou Active antennas Hf antennas – shi Intermodulation p Receiving antenna</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Conti The Marcor Or DUK antenna; several nearby rf t engineered and pr</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ y NOTES Inve on reverse side if necessary and identify by block n atfit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications product interference s nue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block nu coni H-33-5500-01 antenna has significantly better but, as with all active antennas, self-generated inte ransmissions occur simultaneously. Despite this si poperly designed and optimized for integration inte	umber) mober) noise figure and gain than either the Bay rmodulation product interference is likely usceptibility, this antenna is judged to be off receiving systems. Because of its eme
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY</li> <li>Marconi Aerial Ou Active antennas Hf antennas – shi Intermodulation p Receiving antenna</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Contr The Marco or DUK antenna; several nearby rf t engineered and pr it could serve as a</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Inve on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block n offit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications product interference s nue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block nu coni H-33-5500-01 antenna has significantly better but, as with all active antennas, self-generated inter ransmissions occur simultaneously. Despite this so operly designed and optimized for integration into backup receiving antenna for hf and lower frequer	ent from Report) umber) noise figure and gain than either the Bay rmodulation product interference is likely usceptibility, this antenna is judged to be off receiving systems. Because of its sma noise aboard Navy ships. Relationships ar
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>Marconi Aerial Ou Active antennas Hf antennas – shi Intermodulation p Receiving antenna</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Conti The Marcor Or DUK antenna; several nearby rf t engineered and pr it could serve as a sented for apparent</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ Inve on reverse side if necessary and identify by block n atfit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications product interference s nue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block nu coni H-33-5500-01 antenna has significantly better but, as with all active antennas, self-generated inter ransmissions occur simultaneously. Despite this so operly designed and optimized for integration into backup receiving antenna for hf and lower frequent at effective height, antenna noise power output, ar	ent from Report) umber) mober) noise figure and gain than either the Bay rmodulation product interference is likely usceptibility, this antenna is judged to be o hf receiving systems. Because of its smal ncies aboard Navy ships. Relationships ar ntenna noise figure, antenna gain, operatir
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>Marconi Aerial Ou Active antennas Hf antennas – shi Intermodulation p Receiving antennas</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Contr The Marcor Or DUK antenna; several nearby rf t engineered and pr it could serve as a sented for apparent figure of a completion</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ NOTES Inve on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block n intfit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications product interference s nue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block nue coni H-33-5500-01 antenna has significantly better but, as with all active antennas, self-generated inter ransmissions occur simultaneously. Despite this su operly designed and optimized for integration into backup receiving antenna for hf and lower frequent at effective height, antenna noise power output, ar the receiving system, and intermodulation intercep	ent from Report) umber) noise figure and gain than either the Bays rmodulation product interference is likely usceptibility, this antenna is judged to be off receiving systems. Because of its smal noise aboard Navy ships. Relationships are netenna noise figure, antenna gain, operation t points, ratios, and product levels.
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>Marconi Aerial Ou Active antennas Hf antennas – shi Intermodulation p Receiving antennas</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Conti The Marcor Or DUK antenna; several nearby rf t engineered and pr it could serve as a sented for apparent figure of a completed</li> <li>PORM 1 JAN 73 1473</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ Inve on reverse side if necessary and identify by block n thit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications product interference s nue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block nu coni H-33-5500-01 antenna has significantly better but, as with all active antennas, self-generated inter ransmissions occur simultaneously. Despite this su operly designed and optimized for integration into backup receiving antenna for hf and lower frequent at effective height, antenna noise power output, ar the receiving system, and intermodulation intercep EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-LF-014-6601	ent from Report) umber) noise figure and gain than either the Bay: rmodulation product interference is likely usceptibility, this antenna is judged to be o hf receiving systems. Because of its smal noise aboard Navy ships. Relationships are natenna noise figure, antenna gain, operatin t points, ratios, and product levels. UNCLASSIFIED
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION ST</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTAR</li> <li>Active antennas</li> <li>Active antennas – shi Intermodulation y Receiving antennas</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Conti The Marc or DUK antenna; several nearby rf t engineered and pr it could serve as a sented for apparent figure of a completion</li> <li>D SORM 1473</li> </ol>	ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ Inve on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block n intfit H-33-5500-01 pboard communications product interference s nue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block nue coni H-33-5500-01 antenna has significantly better but, as with all active antennas, self-generated inter ransmissions occur simultaneously. Despite this su operly designed and optimized for integration into backup receiving antenna for hf and lower frequent atter receiving system, and intermodulation intercep EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-LF-014-6601 SECURITY	ent from Report) umber) mober) noise figure and gain than either the Bay rmodulation product interference is likely usceptibility, this antenna is judged to be o hf receiving systems. Because of its smal ncies aboard Navy ships. Relationships ar ntenna noise figure, antenna gain, operatir t points, ratios, and product levels. UNCLASSIFIED Y CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Det



#### OBJECTIVE

Determine the suitability of the Marconi H-33-5500-01 antenna for use aboard CGN 42 and other Navy ships. To this end, make measurements and calculations of its 2-30 MHz performance characteristics. Compare its characteristics with those previously determined for active antennas from Bayshore Systems Corporation and Dieckmann und Klapper (DUK). Present the results of this evaluation in a form that is readily usable by either antenna or communication systems engineers.

#### RESULTS

1. The Marconi H-33-5500-01 antenna has significantly better noise figure and gain than either the Bayshore or the DUK antenna. A receiving system that uses it will have a satisfactory noise threshold if the receiver of the system has a low noise figure. This antenna is worth considering for use at locations where no strong interfering rf signals exist.

2. Where several hf transmitters are in simultaneous use, as is typical aboard larger Navy ships, interference from intermodulation products generated within the active Marconi H-33-5500-01 antenna (as well as the active Bayshore and DUK antennas) is likely. In susceptibility to the generation of intermodulation products by strong incident signals, the improvement of this antenna over the DUK antenna is not as great as the values of the intermodulation intercept points would seem to indicate. When a specified standard level of two fundamental incident signals is fed to each of these three active antennas, a more realistic comparison of intermodulation product levels and intermodulation ratios is obtained.

3. Measurements of apparent effective height, noise power output, and intermodulation products are necessary; but they alone do not indicate the performance quality of an active antenna. Calculations of antenna gain, antenna noise figure, and intermodulation intercept points based upon those measurements provide an indication of performance quality, but they do not indicate the quantitative performance of a complete receiving system. The noise figure of a complete receiving system includes the noise contributions and gains or losses (as appropriate) of atmospheric radio noise, the active antenna, the transmission line and its components, and the receiver. The intermodulation characteristics of various active antennas may be quantitatively compared by plotting intermodulation product level vs intermodulation ratio at a specified level of two fundamental incident signals.

4. The Marconi H-33-5500-01 is judged to be a well-engineered antenna that is properly designed and optimized for integration into hf receiving systems despite its susceptibility to intermodulation distortion from strong incident signals.

5. Because of its small size, this antenna could serve as a backup receiving antenna for hf and lower frequencies aboard Navy ships.

9 03 26 010

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Make additional measurements on the Marconi H-33-5500-01 antenna to characterize the generation of higher than second- and third-order intermodulation distortion products.

2. To provide a baseline threshold against which intermodulation products of active antennas can be compared, investigate the magnitude of shipboard-generated intermodulation products.

3. Develop and promulgate standards of measurements and technical specifications for active antennas. This will provide information for comparing antennas developed or manufactured by various organizations and for incorporating these antennas into communications receiving systems.

#### CONTENTS

ANTENNA DESCRIPTION ... page 5

**EXTENT OF EVALUATION ... 5** 

**APPARENT EFFECTIVE HEIGHT ... 5** 

**EFFECTIVE AREA...7** 

NOISE OUTPUT ... 8

NOISE LEVELS AT ANTENNA OUTPUT ... 9

NOISE FIGURE ... 12

GAIN OF ACTIVE ANTENNA ... 14

**OPERATING NOISE FIGURE OF A COMPLETE RECEIVING SYSTEM ... 16** 

DISTORTION PRODUCTS AND INTERCEPT POINTS ... 17

SIGNAL COMPRESSION ... 21

CONCLUSIONS ... 22

**RECOMMENDATIONS...22** 

**REFERENCES...23** 

APPENDIX A: ELEVATED MOUNTING ON A METALLIC TOWER ... 24 APPENDIX B: GAIN DEFINITION FOR THE NOISE FACTOR EQUATION ... 27

#### **ILLUSTRATIONS**

1 Measured apparent effective height vs frequency ... page 6

- 2 Measured output noise power vs frequency ... 9
- 3 Noise levels at the output of the Marconi antenna ... 10
- 4 Noise levels at the 2-30 MHz output of the Bayshore UPS-191 active antenna ... 11
- 5 Noise levels at the output of the DUK active antenna ... 11
- 6 Noise figure vs frequency (calculated from measurements) ... 13
- 7 Calculated antenna gain vs frequency ... 15
- 8 Calculated operating noise figure (F) of complete receiving system ... 18
- 9 Measured distortion products and intercept points for Marconi Aerial Outfit H-33-5500-01 . . . 18
- 10 Comparison of intermodulation characteristics of three active antennas (calculated from measurements)...19

#### ANTENNA DESCRIPTION

The Marconi H-33-5500-01 active antenna consists of a rod antenna mounted atop a stout aluminum casting enclosing an rf amplifier and a power supply. Its overall height is about 2.4 m and its total weight is about 46 kg. The antenna assembly is intended for shipboard deck mounting. Although its frequency range is 10 kHz-30 MHz, the NOSC evaluation was limited to 2-30 MHz.

The amplifier consists basically of three Mullard E55L tubes in parallel in a cathode follower circuit. Output impedance is 50 ohms.

#### EXTENT OF EVALUATION

Tests and evaluation of antenna performance were based upon treating the Marconi antenna as a black box with appropriate input and output signals. The results of this evaluation are readily usable by either antenna engineers or communication systems engineers. Analysis of the internal design of the active antenna was not attempted. Performance of this antenna was compared with that of two other previously measured active antennas (ref 1): Bayshore UPS-191A and Dieckmann und Klapper (DUK) STA-10A24-40/0.01-30 with a 0.5 m rod antenna.

All tests, evaluations, and discussions pertain to the active antenna mounted upon an extensive, virtually lossless ground, unless otherwise indicated.

The rms values of voltage, current and power are used herein.

#### **APPARENT EFFECTIVE HEIGHT**

Figure 1 shows the measured apparent effective height,  $h'_e$ . For an active antenna,  $h'_e$  is defined as follows:

 $h'_e = 2E_L/E$ ,

(1)

where  $E_L$  is the signal voltage across the designed load resistance, for a vertical electric field, **E**, along the antenna. (Assume the same polarization for **E** and the antenna.)

The output impedance of the active antenna and the designed load impedance are assumed to be conjugate. (In this case,  $R_L = 50$  ohms.) Then

<sup>1.</sup> NOSC TN 194, Evaluation of Two Active Antennas, by EA Thowless, 14 July 1977. NOSC TNs are informal documents intended chiefly for internal use.



Figure 1. Measured apparent effective height vs frequency.

$$\mathbf{h}'_{e} = \mathbf{E}_{oc} / \mathbf{E} \text{ or } \mathbf{E}_{oc} = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{h}'_{e} \quad , \tag{2}$$

where  $E_{OC}$  is the antenna open-circuit output signal voltage. Equation (2) is similar to the equation that holds for passive antennas except for the distinction of "apparent effective height." The distinction is made because the apparent effective height is a function of more than merely the physical length of the vertical element, as is the case for a passive vertical antenna. For active antennas of the type being evaluated, the apparent effective height is a function of rod length, ratio of shunt capacitance to antenna (rod) capacitance, amplifier gain, and any impedance transformation between the rod and the input to the amplifier.

The apparent effective height of an active antenna was determined by creating a known incident surface wave field at the antenna and measuring the output signal voltage. The field was generated by exciting an electrically short vertical monopole. Both the monopole and the active antenna were underlaid by an extensive wire mesh ground plane. With the height of the monopole known along with the current at its base (by measurement) and the distance from the monopole to the active antenna, the vertically polarized surface wave field strength incident upon the active antenna was calculated by the following expression:

$$f_{\theta}$$
 (in V/m) = 30  $\beta^2$  IL  $\left[\frac{1}{(\beta r)^2} + j\left(\frac{1}{\beta r} - \frac{1}{(\beta r)^3}\right)\right]$ 

where

 $\beta = 2\pi/\lambda$ 

I = antenna base current (A)

L = overall length of radiating short monopole (m)

The calculated field strengths were verified by measurements made with a field strength meter. This modification of the equation for the rms electric-field component  $\mathbf{E}_{\theta}$  of a short dipole, from Jasik (ref 2), is also applicable for a short monopole over perfect ground, with a linear current distribution.

An elevated location of the antenna above any nonresonant ground platform will alter the apparent effective height by a factor which is a function of the electrical height of the supporting structure and of the location of the active antenna on that structure. Because these active antennas are basically voltage probes, raising the antenna above a nonresonant ground platform (such as onto a metallic tower or mast) will alter the pickup response of the antenna – manifested as a change in  $h'_e$ . This change in  $h'_e$  due to elevated mounting, not given a particular name or symbol, is indicated as a multiplying factor.

The changes in  $h'_e$  when the Marconi antenna was located upon a metallic tower were not measured. Appendix A discusses this change in  $h'_e$  in more detail, with graphical data for the Bayshore and DUK antennas.

#### **EFFECTIVE AREA**

The effective area  $(A_e)$  of any antenna is the ratio of  $P_L$ , the maximum available power deliverable to a matched load impedance, to  $P_D$ , the incident power density, assuming matched polarization:

$$A_e (in m^2) = P_L / P_D$$

(4)

Maximum available power

$$P_{L} = E_{L}^{2}/R_{L}$$
$$= E_{oc}^{2}/4 R_{L}$$

where

 $E_{L}$  = voltage across the load

2. Antenna Engineering Handbook, 1st edition, p 2-2, HJ Jasik, ed, McGraw-Hill, 1961

(3)

 $R_L$  = load resistance (antenna output resistance)

 $E_{oc}$  = open-circuit output voltage of antenna.

Power density

$$P_{\rm D} = E^2 / 120\pi$$

where

**E** = field along the antenna

 $120\pi$  = impedance cf free space.

Thus

$$A_{e} (\text{in } \text{m}^{2}) = \frac{E_{oc}^{2}/4 R_{L}}{E^{2}/120\pi}$$
$$= \frac{30\pi h_{e}^{2}}{R_{L}} ,$$

where

$$h_e = E_{oc}/E$$

Equation (5) is applicable to all antennas. The effective area of active antennas being evaluated, where  $h_e$  is  $h'_e$  and  $R_L = 50$  ohms, is as follows:

$$A_{e_{aa}} (in m^2) = \frac{30\pi h_e'^2}{R_L}$$
(6)  
= 1.885 h\_e'^2 . (6)

(5)

The effective area of an electrically short monopole over perfect ground is

$$A_{e_{m}}(in m^{2}) = D\lambda^{2}/16\pi$$
, (7)

where D is monopole directivity (= 3).

#### **NOISE OUTPUT**

Figure 2 shows measured output noise power. With no incident external noise or signal, the noise output was found to be 6.7 dB above thermal noise at 2 MHz, decreasing to 6 dB above thermal noise from 3 to 30 MHz.

The noise measurements were performed with the antenna in a large screen room. Noise output from a standard noise source of known noise power output and a matched attenuator was made equal to the noise output of the active antenna.



Figure 2. Measured output noise power vs frequency.

#### NOISE LEVELS AT ANTENNA OUTPUT

The relationships of the principal noise contributors of a simple receiving system employing the Marconi antenna are shown in figure 3. The reference plane for the noise values is at the interface between the active antenna and a receiver with a 16 dB noise figure. The quasiminimum atmospheric radio noise level (ref 3) is also transformed to the receiver input.

Figure 3 shows that a receiving system using a receiver with a 10 dB noise figure would be predominantly atmospheric noise limited.

16 dB was an arbitrary choice for receiver noise figure. It represents a receiver with a sensitivity of 0.5  $\mu$ V measured at the input terminals or 1V open-circuit voltage from a 50  $\Omega$  antenna, which would yield a signal-plus-noise to noise ratio of 10 dB with a 3 kHz bandwidth.

Quasiminimum atmospheric noise is a representation of typically low atmospheric noise conditions (ref 3, 4) that may be used as design criteria for noise considerations of a receiving system in the hf range and for the design of any rf components of that system.

<sup>3.</sup> NOSC Technical Report NELC TR 1786, TRED Hf Communication System Analysis, by WM Chase and CW Tirrel, 24 September 1971

<sup>4.</sup> ECAC-CR-76-074, ECAC Analysis Report to ITEMA Program in FY-7T, by D Baran and SJ Caprio, October 1976



Figure 3. Noise levels at the output of the Marconi antenna.

Quasiminimum atmospheric noise, like the atmospheric radio noise portrayed in CCIR Report 322 (ref 5), represents the maximum available noise power from a lossless short vertical antenna. The level of quasiminimum atmospheric noise,  $P_Q$ , is expressed mathematically as follows:

$$P_Q$$
 (in dB above kT<sub>0</sub>B) = 60.3 - 27.3 log<sub>10</sub> f , (8)

where f is in MHz.

Figures 4 and 5, reproduced from NOSC TN 194 (ref 1), show comparable curves for the Bayshore and DUK active antennas each in a simple receiving system. Figure 5 shows the receiving system to be severely limited by noise from the Bayshore antenna. Figure 4 shows the receiving system to be equipment noise limited. In fact, quasiminimum noise transformed to the DUK antenna output is below thermal noise for frequencies above about 7 MHz.

<sup>5.</sup> CCIR Report 322, World Distribution and Characteristics of Atmospheric Radio Noise, 1963



Figure 5. Noise levels at the output of the DUK active antenna.

#### **NOISE FIGURE**

Noise figures are shown in figure 6 for the Marconi and other active antennas. Noise figure of an active antenna of this type is defined as

F (in dB) = 
$$10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{D\lambda^2 R_L}{480\pi^2 h_e'^2} \cdot \frac{n_o}{k T_0 B} \right)$$
, (9)

where

 $\lambda$  = wavelength, m

 $R_L = load$  resistance,  $\Omega$ 

 $h'_e$  = apparent effective height, m

 $n_0 = noise output power$ 

 $kT_0B$  = thermal noise power

k = Boltzmann's constant =  $3.98 \times 10^{-21}$  W

 $T_0$  = standard temperature of 290 K

B = bandwidth, Hz

The derivation of equation (9) follows. The noise factor of a device is defined basically as

$$=\frac{n_0}{g n_i} , \qquad (10)$$

where

f

 $n_0 = output noise power$ 

 $n_i$  = input noise power. In this case  $n_i$  = thermal noise or  $kT_0B$ 

g = gain

For the purpose of noise figure determination,

$$g = A_{e_{aa}}/A_{e_{m}} , \qquad (11)$$



Figure 6. Noise figure vs frequency (calculated from measurements).

where

 $A_{e_{aa}} = \text{maximum effective aperture of the active antenna}$ = P<sub>L</sub>/P<sub>D</sub> from equation (4) (applicable to any antenna)  $A_{e_{m}} = \text{maximum effective aperture of a short vertical monopole}$ = D $\lambda^{2}/16\pi$  (from equation (7))

The rationale for using  $A_{e_m}$  as a gain reference in the definition of noise figure for active antennas is discussed in appendix B. Thus equation (11) becomes

$$g = \frac{A_{e_{aa}}}{A_{e_{m}}}$$
$$= \frac{P_{L}/P_{D}}{D\lambda^{2}/16\pi}$$

13

$$= \left[ (E_{oc}^{2}/4 R_{L})/(E^{2}/120\pi) \right] / (D\lambda^{2}/16\pi)$$
  
$$= \frac{480\pi^{2} h_{e}^{\prime 2}}{D\lambda^{2} R_{L}} , \qquad (12)$$

where  $h'_e = E_{oc}/E$ .

Combining equation (12) with equation (10) gives the noise factor for active antennas:

$$f = \frac{D\lambda^2 R_L}{480\pi^2 h_e^{\prime 2}} \cdot \frac{n_0}{kT_0 B} \quad .$$
(13)

The noise figure is expressed in dB:

$$F = 10 \log_{10} f$$
 (14)

#### GAIN OF ACTIVE ANTENNA

Calculated gains of the active antennas are shown in figure 7. The gain, g, (12) as used in the noise factor equation (10) is not that of the active antenna. The gain reference for g is the gain of "a short vertical antenna over a perfectly conducting ground-plane," which is used for defining the atmospheric noise factors of CCIR 322 as well as for quasiminimum atmospheric noise.

A valid expression for active antenna gain,  $g_c$ , is obtained by letting D = 1 in equation (12). Thus, the expression for the gain of an active antenna relative to an isotropic antenna is

$$g_{c} = \frac{480}{R_{L}} \left(\frac{\pi h'_{e}}{\lambda}\right)^{2} \quad .$$
(15)

Since the designed load impedance,  $R_L$ , of these active antennas is 50  $\Omega$ ,

$$g_{c} = 94.75 \left(\frac{h'_{e}}{\lambda}\right)^{2} .$$
 (16)

Expressing active antenna gain in dB,

$$G_c = 10 \log g_c \tag{17}$$

$$= 19.77 + 20 \log (h_{o}'/\lambda) dBi .$$
 (18)



Figure 7. Calculated antenna gain vs frequency.

Gain and effective area are related by comparing equations (5) and (15):

$$A_{e_{aa}} = 30\pi h_e'^2/R_L$$
$$g_c = \frac{480}{R_L} \left(\frac{\pi h_e'}{\lambda}\right)^2.$$

Solving for  $h_e^\prime$  in each equation and equating the results,

$$A_{e_{aa}} = \frac{g_c \lambda^2}{16\pi} . \tag{19}$$

15

#### **OPERATING NOISE FIGURE OF A COMPLETE RECEIVING SYSTEM**

For a cascaded network, the noise factor equation becomes

$$f = f_1 + \frac{f_2 - 1}{g_1} + \frac{f_3 - 1}{g_1 g_2} + \dots + \frac{f_n - 1}{g_1 g_2 \dots g_{n-1}}$$
(20)

The cascaded network for a complete receiving system, including atmospheric noise and an active antenna, is portrayed as follows:



where

- $f_a = effective antenna noise factor which results from the external noise power available from a lossless antenna (ref 3)$
- $P_n$  = noise power available from an equivalent lossless antenna

 $kT_0B$  = thermal noise power (-204 dBW, 1 Hz bandwidth)

- $g_c = gain of active antenna$
- $f_c$  = noise factor of active antenna
- $\ell_t = \text{loss associated with transmission line and associated passive components}$ (=  $\ell/g_t$ )
- $f_r = receiver noise factor$

The noise factor expression for a complete receiving system (ref 6) is (after eq 20)

$$f = f_a + f_c - 1 + \frac{\ell_t f_r - 1}{g_c}$$
, (21)

and the noise figure, expressed in dB, becomes

$$F = 10 \log_{10} f$$
 (22)

<sup>6.</sup> NBS Technical Note 102, Performance Predictions for Single Tropospheric Communication Links and for Several Links in Tandem, by AP Barsis, KA Norton, PL Rice, and PH Elder, August 1961

Figure 8 shows the noise figure for a complete receiving system for each of the three active antennas. Quasiminimum atmospheric noise is used for  $P_n$ , and the receiver noise figure was arbitrarily assumed to be 16 dB. Figure 8 shows that a receiving system with a Marconi antenna comes close to being limited by atmospheric noise. Figure 3 shows that the receiving system would be mostly noise limited if a receiver with a noise figure of 10 dB or less were to be used.

#### DISTORTION PRODUCTS AND INTERCEPT POINTS

Figure 9 shows the slopes of the measured distortion products and the resultant intermodulation intercept points for the Marconi antenna for two fundamental tones. Table 1 lists the intercept points for the three antennas measured to date.

Antenna	2nd order [2], dBm	$3$ rd order $\begin{bmatrix} 3\\I \end{bmatrix}$ , dBm	
Bayshore (1)	+36	+29	
DUK (1)	+43.5	+38	
Marconi (2)	+82	+60	

Table 1. Intermodulation intercept points.

Notes: (1) Fundamental frequencies: 2.1 and 3.0 MHz (2) Fundamental frequencies: 5.5 and 8.0 MHz

Unfortunately, the frequencies used for the Marconi antenna were not the same as those used for the Bayshore and DUK antennas. However, the intermodulation intercept points are not expected to change appreciably for different frequencies.

A comprehensive way of comparing intermodulation characteristics of the three m antennas is by plotting the intermodulation ratios, R, and the corresponding intermodulation product levels, P, that occur for a specified standard incident field strength of the two fundamental signals. This is shown in figure 10.

The standard incident field strength of the fundamental signals is assumed here to be 1.0 V/m.

The intermodulation ratio, R, is the level (in dB) of the generated intermodulation product below the fundamental signals at the output of the antenna. This ratio should be as great as possible. It is expressed as follows:

$${}^{m}_{R}(in dB) = (m-1) {\binom{m}{I} - S},$$
 (23)

where

m = order of the intermodulation product



Figure 8. Calculated operating noise figure (F) of complete receiving system.





18



Figure 10. Comparison of intermodulation characteristics of three active antennas (calculated from measurements).

(24)

 $I^{m}$  = intercept point of the m<sup>th</sup> order, dBm

S = output signal level of the fundamental tones, dBm

S, dependent upon the incident field strength and  $h'_e$  of the antenna, is calculated as follows:

$$S(in dBm) = 30 + 10 \log_{10} \frac{(h'_e E)^2}{4R_L}$$
,

where

h' = apparent effective height, m

E = incident field strength of the fundamental, V/m

 $R_L$  = load resistance (50  $\Omega$  for these antennas)

The intermodulation product level, P, is the output power (in dBm) of the generated intermodulation product. The desire is to have the intermodulation product level as small as possible. It is expressed as follows:

$${\stackrel{m}{P}}(in dBm) = {\stackrel{m}{I}} - m \left( {\stackrel{m}{I}} - S \right) .$$
 (25)

Note that the sum of  $\stackrel{\text{m}}{P}$  and  $\stackrel{\text{m}}{R}$  is the output power (dBm) of each of the fundamental signals (S).

Using the 3rd order intermodulation product of the Marconi antenna as an example, we have

E = 1.0 V/m (standard fundamental incident field strength)

$$S = 0.52 \text{ dBm}$$
 (from equation 24;  $h'_e = 0.475 \text{ m}$ ,  $E = 1 \text{ V/m}$ )

m = 3 (3rd order)

 $\frac{3}{1} = 60 \text{ dBm} \text{ (from figure 9)}$ 

Thus

$$\frac{m}{R} = \frac{3}{R}$$

$$= (3 - 1) [60 - (+ 0.52)]$$

 $= + 118.95 \, dB$ 

S = -118.43 dBm + 118.95 dB = +0.52 dBm

The intermodulation characteristics of the three active antennas are also summarized in table 2.

Antenna	2 R, dB	P, dBm	3 R,dB	3 P, dBm
Bayshore	42.2	-48.3	70.3	-76.5
DUK	57.0	-70.4	102.9	-116.4
Marconi	81.5	-81.0	119.0	-118.4

Table 2. Intermodulation characteristics for three active antennas (for 1 V/m field strength of incident fundamental signals).

The amount of improvement of the Marconi antenna over either the Bayshore or the DUK to the susceptibility to generation of intermodulation products by strong incident

signals is not as great as the values of the intermodulation intercept points ( $\stackrel{7}{1}$  and  $\stackrel{7}{1}$  of table 1) would seem to indicate. Using the third-order intermods as an example, Marconi  $\stackrel{3}{1}$  shows 22 dB improvement over DUK  $\stackrel{3}{1}$  (60-38). Yet Marconi  $\stackrel{3}{P}$  is only 2 dB lower than DUK  $\stackrel{3}{P}$  [-118.4 - (-116.4)], and Marconi  $\stackrel{3}{R}$  is but 16 dB better than DUK  $\stackrel{3}{R}$  (119.0 - 102.9).

#### SIGNAL COMPRESSION

Signal compression of the Marconi antenna was measured by observing the decrease by 1 dB of a nominal -70 dBm output, 12 MHz signal as a 10 MHz interfering cw signal was increased in amplitude. This 1 dB compression occurred at a 10 MHz interfering signal level of 9.2 V at the 50  $\Omega$  output.

Because signal compression measurements for the Marconi antenna were not done the same way as for the Bayshore and DUK antennas, exact comparisons are not valid. The 1 dB signal compression values for the Bayshore and DUK antennas were obtained by observing where the respective input-output curves rolled off 1 dB, measured using a 3 MHz cw signal.

These test method differences having been pointed out, the results are summarized in table 3.

Table 3.	1 dB signal	compression of	of active	antennas.
----------	-------------	----------------	-----------	-----------

Antenna	1 dB Compression Level Measured at Output (in 50 Ω System), dBm	Equivalent Incident Field Intensity, V/m	Notes
Bayshore	$(E_L = 0.92 \text{ V})$	9.3	(1)
DUK	$(E_L = 3.05 V)$	72	(1)
Marconi	32.3 (E <sub>L</sub> = 9.2 V)	26.8	(2)

Notes: (1) 1 dB roll-off of input-output curve (3 MHz cw signal) (2) 1 dB decrease of low-level, 12 MHz cw signal, caused by a highlevel 10 MHz output signal (9.2 V)

#### **CONCLUSIONS\***

1. The Marconi H-33-5500-01 antenna has significantly better noise figure and gain than either the Bayshore or the DUK antenna. A receiving system that uses it will have a satisfactory noise threshold if the receiver of the system has a low noise figure. This antenna is worth considering for use at locations where no strong interfering rf signals exist.

2. Where several hf transmitters are in simultaneous use, as is typical aboard larger Navy ships, interference from intermodulation products generated within the active Marconi H-33-5500-01 antenna (as well as the active Bayshore and DUK antennas) is likely. In susceptibility to the generation of intermodulation products by strong incident signals, the improvement of this antenna over the DUK antenna is not as great as the values of the intermodulation intercept points would seem to indicate. When a specified standard level of two fundamental incident signals is fed to each of these three active antennas, a more realistic comparison of intermodulation product levels and intermodulation ratios is obtained.

3. Measurements of apparent effective height, noise power output, and intermodulation products are necessary; but they alone do not indicate the performance quality of an active antenna. Calculations of antenna gain, antenna noise figure, and intermodulation intercept points based upon those measurements provide an indication of performance quality, but they do not indicate the quantitative performance of a complete receiving system. The noise figure of a complete receiving system includes the noise contributions and gains or losses (as appropriate) of atmospheric radio noise, the active antenna, the transmission line and its components, and the receiver. The intermodulation characteristics of various active antennas may be quantitatively compared by plotting intermodulation product level vs intermodulation ratio at a specified level of two fundamental incident signals.

4. The Marconi H-33-5500-01 is judged to be a well-engineered antenna that is properly designed and optimized for integration into hf receiving systems despite its susceptibility to intermodulation distortion from strong incident signals.

5. Because of its small size, this antenna could serve as a backup receiving antenna for hf and lower frequencies aboard Navy ships.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Make additional measurements on the Marconi H-33-5500-01 antenna to characterize the generation of higher than second- and third-order intermodulation distortion products.

2. To provide a baseline threshold against which intermodulation products of active antennas can be compared, investigate the magnitude of shipboard-generated intermodulation products.

3. Develop and promulgate standards of measurements and technical specifications for active antennas. This will provide information for comparing antennas developed or manufactured by various organizations and for incorporating these antennas into communications receiving systems.

\*These apply to 2-30 MHz only.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. NOSC TN 194, Evaluation of Two Active Antennas, by EA Thowless, 14 July 1977.
- 2. Antenna Engineering Handbook, 1st edition, p 2-2, HJ Jasik, ed, McGraw-Hill, 1961.
- 3. NOSC Technical Report NELC TR 1786, TRED Hf Communication System Analysis, by WM Chase and CW Tirrel, 24 September 1971.
- 4. ECAC-CR-76-074, ECAC Analysis Report to ITEMA Program in FY-7T, by D Baran and SJ Caprio, October 1976.
- CCIR Report 322, World Distribution and Characteristics of Atmospheric Radio Noise, 1963.
- 6. NBS Technical Note 102, Performance Predictions for Single Tropospheric Communication Links and for Several Links in Tandem, by AP Barsis, KA Norton, PL Rice, and PH Elder, August 1961.

#### APPENDIX A: ELEVATED MOUNTING ON A METALLIC TOWER

An active antenna of the type undergoing evaluation is basically an rf voltage probe that samples the electric field strength at the location of the antenna, where the antenna is mounted on any metallic structure. The voltage distribution in a metallic tower is a function of the physical geometry of the tower and its electrical length. Thus placement of the antenna high on a metallic tower or mast will have an altered pickup response, manifested as a change in  $h'_e$ . ( $h'_e$  is defined and measured with the active antenna located on a flat, extensive, nonresonant, virtually lossless ground plane.) This unnamed change of  $h'_e$  due to elevated mounting is indicated as a multiplying factor applied to  $h'_e$  ( $Xh'_e$ ). The multiplying factor may be greater or less than unity, depending upon frequency.

The Marconi antenna was not measured while mounted on a metallic tower since it is intended for deck mounting. However, for completeness of this report, figures A1 and A2 show the changes in  $h'_e(Xh'_e)$ , parametric in frequency, as a function of tower height for the Bayshore and the DUK antenna, respectively, mounted atop the tower. Figure A1 shows the results of measurements at NOSC. Figure A2 is from technical information provided by DUK.









Note the similar, though not identical, behavior at 30 MHz. The upper peaks of the curves correspond to tower heights of odd quarter wavelengths. The lower peaks correspond to integral half-wavelength tower heights.

In a specific frequency or a narrow range of frequencies, an advantageous optimum location might be selected. Otherwise an active antenna should not be mounted on a structure exhibiting detrimental resonant characteristics.

Figure A2 (DUK) however, shows the improvement in received power (or increase in apparent effective height) obtained by placing the active antenna on a tower of about 2.5 m. At 30 MHz, a gain of 30 dB is realized, whereas a minimum improvement of about 15 dB occurs at the lowest frequencies. It is important to note, however, that the 2nd-order intermod interference level increases 20 dB for every 10 dB increase in signal output levels, and the 3rd-order intermod interference level increases 30 dB for every 10 dB increase in signal output levels.

This increased apparent effective height could be utilized where intermods are no problem, since the sensitivity of the active antenna improves by 6 dB for every doubling of apparent effective height  $(Xh'_e)$ .

#### **APPENDIX B: GAIN DEFINITION FOR THE NOISE FACTOR EQUATION**

The following definition is used here for noise factor:

$$f = n_0/g n_i$$
,

where

 $n_0 = output noise power$ 

 $n_i$  = input noise power (in this case  $n_i = kT_0B$ )

g = gain

Under discussion is the definition for gain, g, when used in this circumstance for the noise factor of an active antenna. Gain is defined as the ratio of the effective area of an active antenna,  $A_{e_{aa}}$  to the effective area of a short vertical lossless monopole  $A_{e_m}$ :

$$g = A_{e_{aa}}/A_{e_{m}}$$
 (B2)

This short vertical lossless monopole is identical to the "short vertical antenna over a perfectly conducting ground-plane" used for atmospheric noise in CCIR Report 322 and for quasiminimum atmospheric noise.

The definition of g is not rigorous. Its validity will be shown by calculating noise figure,  $F (= 10 \log f)$ , by two different methods and obtaining identical results.

#### **METHOD 1**

$$g = A_{e_{aa}}/A_{e_{m}}$$
$$= \frac{P_L/P_D}{D\lambda^2/16\pi} ,$$

where

$$A_{e_{aa}} = P_L/P_D$$
  
 $A_{e_m} = D\lambda^2/16\pi$ 

 $P_{I}$  = maximum available power deliverable to matched load resistance  $R_{I}$ 

 $P_D$  = power density incident upon antenna (matched polarization assumed)

D = directivity of monopole (= 3)

(B3)

(B1)

 $\lambda$  = wavelength of frequency of interest.

Further,

$$P_{\rm L} = E_{\rm oc}^{2}/4 R_{\rm L}$$
 (B4)

$$P_{\rm D} = E^2 / 120\pi$$
 , (B5)

where

 $E_{oc}$  = open-circuit output voltage of active antenna

 $R_L$  = designed load impedance (= 50  $\Omega$  for the antennas being evaluated)

 $\mathbf{E}$  = field strength along the antenna

 $120\pi$  = impedance of free space

Combining equations (B4) and (B5) into (B3):

$$g = \frac{480 \pi^2 h_e'^2}{D R_L \lambda^2} , \qquad (B6)$$

where  $h'_e = E_{oc}/E$ .

Combining equations (B6) and (B1) yields the following expression for the active antenna noise factor:

$$f = \frac{D \lambda^2 R_L}{480 \pi h_e^{\prime 2}} \cdot \frac{n_0}{k T_0 B}$$

Expressed in dB, the noise figure

 $F = 10 \log f$ .

The following is a numerical example of the use of method 1 for obtaining the noise figure of the Marconi antenna at 10 MHz.

D = 3 (directivity of monopole)

 $\lambda = 30 \text{ m} (10 \text{ MHz frequency arbitrarily chosen})$ 

 $R_{L} = 50 \Omega$  (designed load resistance)

$$n_0/kT_0B = 6 dB above kT_0B (from figure 2)$$

 $h'_e = 0.39 \text{ m} (\text{from figure 1})$ 

Thus

$$f = \frac{3 \times 30^2 \times 50}{480 \pi^2 \times 0.39^2} \times 3.98$$
  
= 746

F = 28.7 dB (also see fig 6 in the text)

#### **METHOD 2**

This method for determining F is based upon reasoning about noise levels at the output of a Marconi antenna as well as knowledge of the level of atmospheric noise.

Three noise contributions are seen at the output of the active antenna (and input of the receiver): (1) quasiminimum atmospheric noise at the output of the active antenna as transformed by the active antenna, (2) self-generated noise from only the active antenna, and (3) the noise level equivalent of the receiver noise figure.

The ratio of the self-generated output noise power of the active antenna  $(N_0)$  to the quasiminimum atmospheric noise power as perceived at the output of the active antenna  $(N_a)$  can be obtained from figure 3 of the text. At 10 MHz,

 $N_0 = 6 dB above kT_0B$  $N_a = 10.3 dB above kT_0B$ 

Quasiminimum atmospheric noise,  $P_Q$ , relative to thermal noise is known (or can be calculated). Thus it follows that the noise figure of the active antenna is the sum of the amount that the noise of the active antenna is above (or below) atmospheric noise, plus the amount that atmospheric noise is above thermal noise.

 $F = (N_0 - N_a) + P_0$  (All units are in dB relative to  $kT_0B$ .)

.

 $P_Q$  (see eq (8) in the text) = 60.3 - 27.3 log<sub>10</sub> f<sub>MHz</sub>

= 33.0 dB above  $kT_0B$  for 10 MHz.

Thus

F = 33.0 + [(6) - (+10.3)]

= 28.7 dB, the noise figure of the Marconi antenna at 10 MHz.

This result is identical to that obtained by method 1, which used the gain definition,  $g = A_{e_{aa}}/A_{e_{m}}$ .

29

#### INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

NAVAL ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS COMMAND CODE 51034 (RW PRIDE) (6)

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY CODE 7551 (RICHARD K ROYCE) CODE 7585 (BW GRAHAM)

CHIEF OF NAVAL MATERIAL NMAT-08A01 (M MUSSELMAN)

RCA CORP 2000 CLEMENTS BRIDGE ROAD DEPTFORD, NJ 08096 RM WILSON

BAYSHORE SYSTEMS CORP PO BOX 1424 SPRINGFIELD, VA 22151 JR JAHODA

.

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER (12)