CLAS	SIFIED	MASS, DEC 78	JRM NPS68-7	ND HEAT ASON 8-007	TRANSP	PORT ACF	ROSS FOR	JR LATI	TUDE CI	RCLES I	NETO	:(U)	
	1 OF 2							The second secon	Partie				<ul> <li>Comparison of the second second</li></ul>
	<section-header>          • Find           • The second second</section-header>		Territorio 1	• Provide The State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State of the State State of the State of the State of the State of the State State of the State	<section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header>			<ul> <li>Terminal and the second second</li></ul>				<text></text>	
			<text><text><text></text></text></text>	<text></text>					1000 B				
	M		擨	PARTIES	TT		$( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $	Antes Reserved Balling Balling Balling	1000 1000 1010 1010 1010	1 1000 1 10000 1 10000 1 1000 1 1000 1 1000 1 1000 1 1000 1 1000 1 1000			
	<text><text><text></text></text></text>		<text><text><text></text></text></text>	<text></text>	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>					<text></text>		A second se	- ing - ing and a set of the set
												A Construction of the second s	

NPS68-78-007

AD AO 66368

DOC FILE COPY.







# THESIS

MASS, SALT, AND HEAT TRANSPORT ACROSS FOUR LATITUDE CIRCLES IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC OCEAN

by

J. Robert Mason

December 1978

Thesis Advisor:

G. H. Jung

79,03,26,067

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California

Rear Admiral Tyler F. Dedman Superintendent Jack R. Borsting Provost

This thesis is prepared in conjunction with research supported in part by OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH under #N00014-78-WR-80032 issued 1 October 1977.

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

Released as a Technical Report by:

n. Idle Research Dean of



79 03 26 067

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER NPS68-78-007 TITLE (and Subtitle) S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Mass, Salt, and Heat Transport Across Four Latitude Circles in the South Atlantic Ocean, 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.) 7. AUTHOR(a) 9 Master's thesis J. Robert/Mason S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK Naval Postgraduate School N00014-78-WR-80032 Monterey, California 93940 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS ALPORT DATE Naval Postgraduate School December 978 NUMBER OF PAGES Monterey, California 93940 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of Unclassified 154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract antered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) South Atlantic Ocean, general circulation, heat transport, mass transport, salt transport, geostrophic ocean currents, level of no motion. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) >In this report classic dynamic height calculations were made from International Geophysical Year (1957-1958) and adjacent 1959 oceanographic data to obtain geostrophic currents and estimates of mass, salt, and heat transports in the South Atlantic Ocean. The cross sections extend from South America to Africa along the 8°S, 16°S, 24°S, and 32°S latitude lines, providing temperature and salinity data from the surface DNI to near bottom. DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-014-6601 | SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Deta Entered) 1 251 450 JOB

#### SECUMTY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE/Man Date Entered.

>> A level of no motion was determined by establishing mass and salt continuity across each of the latitudinal cross sections. This level varied from 1100 meters at 8°S to 1270 meters at 32°S. It is approximated by the 27.57 sigma-t surface and corresponds closely to the boundary between the Antarctic Intermediate Water and the South Atlantic Deep Water masses.

The resulting meridional heat transport was then examined and compared with other estimates. Northward (equatorward) heat transports resulted at each latitude, which would seem to oppose the conventional view of the role of the ocean in the earth's heat budget as a means to transfer heat from equator to poles. However, the northward direction of the net absolute heat transport agrees with the consensus of previous work and is attributed to the warmer surface currents with a net northward transport dominating the cooler deeper currents and their net southward flow.

A general circulation pattern was developed from mass transport values for each of three layers of water: Upper, Intermediate, and Deep and Bottom Water. These derived circulation patterns are then compared to general descriptive circulation patterns found in the literature. General agreement was found with the notable exception of lacking a strong Brazil current in the surface and central waters. Vertical cross sections of velocity, mass, salt, and heat transport were contoured to examine the eddy field circulation pattern and further describe general circulation patterns.

DD Form 1473 5/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Then Date Entered)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Mass, Salt, and Heat Transport Across Four Latitude Circles in the South Atlantic Ocean

by

J. Robert Mason Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S., United States Naval Academy, 1972

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL December 1978

Robert Mason Author Approved by: Thesis Advisor THWING Second Reader artment of Oceanography Chairman of Science and Engineering Dean

#### ABSTRACT

In this report classic dynamic height calculations were made from International Geophysical Year (1957-1958) and adjacent 1959 oceanographic data to obtain geostrophic currents and estimates of mass, salt, and heat transports in the South Atlantic Ocean. The cross sections extend from South America to Africa along the 8°S, 16°S, 24°S, and 32°S latitude lines, providing temperature and salinity data from the surface to near bottom.

A level of no motion was determined by establishing mass and salt continuity across each of the latitudinal cross sections. This level varied from 1100 meters at 8°S to 1270 meters at 32°S. It is approximated by the 27.57 sigma-t surface and corresponds closely to the boundary between the Antarctic Intermediate Water and the South Atlantic Deep Water masses.

The resulting meridional heat transport was then examined and compared with other estimates. Northward (equatorward) heat transports resulted at each latitude, which would seem to oppose the conventional view of the role of the ocean in the earth's heat budget as a means to transfer heat from equator to poles. However, the northward direction of the net absolute heat transport agrees with the consensus of previous work and is attributed to the warmer surface currents with a net northward transport dominating the cooler deeper currents and their net southward flow.

A general circulation pattern was developed from mass transport values for each of three layers of water: Upper, Intermediate, and Deep and Bottom Water. These derived circulation patterns are then compared to general descriptive circulation patterns found in the literature. General agreement was found with the notable exception of lacking a strong Brazil current in the surface and central waters. Vertical cross sections of velocity, mass, salt, and heat transport were contoured to examine the eddy field circulation pattern and further describe general circulation patterns.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	- 10					
11.	BACKGROUND	- 12					
	A. ENERGY TRANSPORT	- 12					
	B. DETERMINATION OF THE LEVEL OF NO MOTION	- 13					
<b>III</b> .	STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	- 15					
IV.	PROCEDURE	- 16					
	A. DATA SOURCE	- 16					
	B. COMPUTING TRANSPORTS	- 19					
	C. BOTTOM AREA CONTRIBUTIONS	- 23					
	D. ESTIMATING TRANSPORTS FOR THE PERIPHERAL AREAS ADJACENT TO LAND	- 29					
	E. IDENTIFICATION OF WATER MASSES	- 33					
	F. GENERAL CIRCULATION	- 39					
v.	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	- 43					
	A. THE LEVEL OF NO MOTION	- 43					
	B. MASS AND SALT TRANSPORT	- 46					
	C. HEAT TRANSPORT	- 46					
	D. GENERAL CIRCULATION BASED ON MASS TRANSPORT	- 58					
	1. The Circulation in the Upper Water	- 62					
	2. The Circulation in the Intermediate Water	- 64					
	3. The Circulation in the Deep and Bottom Water	- 64					
VI.	CONCLUSIONS	- 66					
APPEN	DIX A: GEOSTROPHIC DATA	- 67					
APPENDIX B: VERTICAL CROSS SECTIONS 79							
APPENDIX C: CQMPUTER PROGRAM 96							
BIBLIOGRAPHY							
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST							

# LIST OF TABLES

I.	Oceanographic Station Data 17
11.	Estimated Transports of Mass, Salt, and Heat in the Peripheral Zones at 8°S, East End 31
111.	Estimates of Mass, Salt, and Heat Transports for Peripheral Areas at Each Latitude 32
IV.	Temperature and Salinity Criteria for Water Mass Identification in the South Atlantic Ocean 34
۷.	Level of No Motion Obtained for Each Latitudinal Cross Section 44
VI.	Transports of Mass and Salt by Water Mass Type: 8°S 47
VII.	Transports of Mass and Salt by Water Mass Type: 16°S 48
VIII.	Transports of Mass and Salt by Water Mass Type: 24°S 49
IX.	Transports of Mass and Salt by Water Mass Type: 32°S 50
x.	Transports of Heat by Water Mass Type at $8^{\circ}$ S, $16^{\circ}$ S, $24^{\circ}$ S, and $32^{\circ}$ S 52

## LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Chart of the Tracks and Station Numbers for Research Vessels Crawford and Atlantis 18	
2.	Illustration of the Averaging Process Used to Obtain a Central Mean Value for Velocity, Density, Salinity, and Temperature for the Rectangular Cross-Sectional Area	
3.	Bottom Peripheral Areas: 8°S Latitude Section 24	
4.	Bottom Peripheral Areas: 16°S Latitude Section 25	
5.	Bottom Peripheral Areas: 24°S Latitude Section 26	
6.	Bottom Peripheral Areas: 32°S Latitude Section 27	
7.	Illustration of the Technique for Estimating the Transports of Mass, Salt, and Heat in the Peripheral Zones 30	
8.	Water Masses and Level of no Motion: 8°S 35	
9.	Water Masses and Level of no Motion: 16°S 36	
10.	Water Masses and Level of no Motion: 24°S 37	
11.	Water Masses and Level of no Motion: 32°S 38	
12.	Integrated Mass Transports for Five Degree Increments: Upper Water 40	
13.	Integrated Mass Transports for Five Degree Increments: Intermediate Water 41	
14.	Integrated Mass Transports for Five Degree Increments: Deep and Bottom Water 42	
15.	Comparison of Derived Level of no Motion with Previous Estimate by Neumann 45	
16.	Comparison of Heat Transports Across Various Latitudes with Previous Works 53	
17.	Circulation Patterns Based on Mass Transport Vectors: Upper Water 59	

- 18. Circulation Patterns Based on Mass Transport Vectors: Intermediate Water - - - - - - - - 60
- 19. Circulation Patterns Based on Mass Transport Vectors: Deep and Bottom Water - - - - - - - - 61

the distance of the second s

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Dr. Glenn H. Jung for his guidance during the preparation of this thesis.

The author also wishes to thank his wife, Gail M. Mason, for her support in this project.

æ

### I. INTRODUCTION

For the total earth-atmosphere system, the amount of heat received from the sun at the upper boundary of the system must, in the long term average, equal closely the amount of heat lost by reflection and radiation to space. This approximate balance must exist, since observed short term changes in the mean annual temperature of the atmosphere and oceans are small enough to be neglected. Therefore, over a time period of several years, an energy balance may be assumed and the short wave radiation absorbed by the land, the oceans, and the atmosphere is considered balanced by the long wave radiation to space from the entire system. Part of the arriving energy is transformed into the kinetic energy which drives ocean and atmosphere circulations.

The arriving short wave radiation does not strike the earth uniformly. Due to the geometry of the earth's orbit, the lower latitudes receive more short wave energy than is lost by long wave radiation; at higher latitudes the reverse is true. There is consequently a net gain of heat in the tropics and a net loss in the higher latitudes. Since, for a given latitude the mean annual temperatures remain unchanged, there must be energy transport from lower to higher latitudes. The air-ocean circulation systems are primarily responsible for this redistribution of energy between the latitudes.

In the early part of this century it was popularly assumed that the transport of heat by oceanic currents was small or negligible when compared with that transported by the atmosphere. Bjerknes <u>et al.</u> (1936) and Sverdrup <u>et al.</u> (1942) proceeded under this assumption, but provided

the caveat that the question had not been thoroughly examined.

In examining the question further, Jung, in 1952, proposed that the oceans could indeed provide a significant contribution to the heat balance of the earth. Previous works considered only the horizontal surface current systems in heat transport studies whereas Jung proposed closed vertical circulations in the north-south direction which could transport significantly large amounts of heat between equator and pole. Jung's hypothesis was extended in a 1955 study of geostrophic currents in the North Atlantic derived from the METEOR Expedition data which resulted in computations of significant oceanic heat transport meridionally. Other studies have verified further the importance of ocean circulations in the transport of energy. Budyko (1956), Sverdrup (1957), Bryan (1962), Sellers (1965), Emig (1967), Vander Haar and Oort (1973), and Bennett (1978) all estimated significant meridional heat transports in various oceans.

This study attempts a nearly synoptic look at four latitudinal sections in the South Atlantic Ocean between 8°S and 32°S using temperature and salinity data from the International Geophysical Year (1957-1958) and 1959. A computer program developed by Greeson (1974) is used to calculate volume, mass, salt, and heat transports across the various latitude sections. The computer program was modified to include previously hand calculated transports in areas below the deepest sounding and to identify water masses by salinity and temperature criteria. By requiring mass and salt continuity across each section conclusions were drawn concerning the level of no motion, general geostrophic circulation, and net heat flux characteristics of the South Atlantic Ocean during this period.

#### II. BACKGROUND

#### A. ENERGY TRANSPORT

The redistribution of energy in the earth-atmosphere system is accomplished primarily by advection of sensible heat within the ocean's current systems and transport of latent and sensible heat within atmospheric circulations. Ordinarily, the processes of conduction throughout continental land masses and through the ocean floor are ignored. Whether the ocean or the atmosphere is the dominant mechanism for energy transport has been a source of debate over the past century.

Maury (1856) and Ferrel (1890) maintained that the ocean was the chief source of energy transport meridionally because even though oceanic velocities are an order of magnitude smaller than atmospheric velocities and the atmosphere has a greater volume exchange than the ocean, the mass exchange and heat capacity of the ocean is greater. An opposing view was held by Bjerknes et al. (1933) and Sverdrup et al. (1942), both of whom assumed that the transport of energy from lower to higher latitudes by ocean currents is negligible when compared to the atmospheric contribution for worldwide averages, but can be of importance locally in certain regions. A study by Angstrom (1925) indicated a rough equality between ocean and atmospheric contributions to energy transport. Jung (1952, 1955) showed that meridional transport by the oceans, while not as large as the atmosphere, was not insignificant. Neumann et al. (1966) stresses the importance of the ocean, particularly in transferring energy to the region between 20°N and 40°N wherein it is made available to the atmosphere in the form of latent heat for further northward transport.

This study attempts a quantitative analysis of the ocean transports of mass, salt, and heat across vertical cross sections of the ocean in the South Atlantic Ocean at four latitudes.

B. DETERMINATION OF THE LEVEL OF NO MOTION

The procedure for computing transports in this study uses the dynamic method for calculating relative geostrophic velocities between oceanographic station pairs. The procedure is described in Section IV-B. In order to obtain quantitative estimates of the transports, however, the relative geostrophic velocities calculated by the dynamic method must be converted to absolute velocities. To accomplish this, a level of no meridional motion was required against which the relative velocities were referenced and thereby converted to absolute velocities.

Since current measurements are not taken along with the standard oceanographic station cast, indirect methods of determining the level of no motion have been developed over the past 60 years. A comprehensive summary of these methods is found in Sherfessee (1978) and Baker (1978) and includes descriptions of techniques developed by Jacobsen (1916), Parr (1938), Hidaka (1949), Defant (1941), Sverdrup <u>et al.</u> (1942), Stommel (1956), and Stommel and Schott (1977).

The method used to determine the level of no motion in this study was that from Sverdrup <u>et al</u>. (1942). The method entails imposing the requirement of mass and salt continuity across a given latitude section that extends completely across an ocean basin. The level of no motion is placed at the depth where the transport above the reference level is equal to and opposite to the transport below the reference level. This method requires data across an entire cross section of the ocean and

from the surface to the near bottom. This method proved to be the most reasonable for the comprehensive data used herein.

#### III. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The objectives of this study were sixfold: (1) to add to an existing computer program, which computes ocean transports through a vertical cross section, a subroutine which automatically classifies the water masses and sums their transport contributions by individual water mass type; (2) to modify the computer program to include in the transport calculations the cross sectional areas below the deepest sounding adjacent to the bottom whose effects previously were hand calculated; (3) to determine quantitatively the level of no motion in the South Atlantic such that the net mass and salt transport across each of the four sections is approximately zero; (4) to use the resulting mass transport to compare and describe the general circulation of the South Atlantic for Upper, Intermediate, and Deep and Bottom Water layers; (5) to compute the transport of sensible heat from the selected vertical cross sections, and (6) to estimate eddy activity by examining eddy patterns revealed in vertical cross sections of velocity and mass, salt, and heat transport which were contoured by the computer.

#### IV. PROCEDURE

#### A. DATA SOURCE

To apply the classic method of determining dynamic depths in the ocean, detailed temperature and salinity observations at known geometric depths below the actual sea surface were required for a given time period. In practice, simultaneous observations are not available, especially for an area the size of the South Atlantic Ocean, but it may be assumed that time changes in the pressure distribution are so small that observations taken within a given time frame may be considered synoptic. This is the assumption most often made in studies of broad oceanic circulations, especially prior to the satellite era.

The most comprehensive set of data meeting these criteria was found in <u>Atlantic Ocean Atlas</u> published by F. C. Fuglister in 1960. It is a compendium of data taken as part of International Geophysical Year (IGY, 1957-1958). To obtain these data, the classic oceanographic station measurements were carried out involving serial observations from surface to near bottom using Nansen bottles and reversing thermometers for temperature and salinity information. Data for the South Atlantic are in transects at four latitudes extending from South America to Africa with stations at roughly one degree intervals. The data were collected between March 1957 and June 1959. Table I shows additional information on these latitudinal cross sections.

Figure 1 shows the tracks along which the data were taken. Although the data were collected over slightly more than a two-year time period, they are considered synoptic for the purpose of studying the general circulation patterns.

# TABLE I

Average Latitude	Vessel	Station Numbers	Dates	Tracks
8°15' S	Crawford	86-92 94-120	March 1-22, 1957	Brazil to Angola
15°45' S	Crawford	121-153	April 1-22, 1957	Brazil to Angola
24°15' S	Crawford	416-458	October 2-26, 1958	Brazil to Southwest Africa
32°30' S	Atlantis	5798 5806-5843	April 11, 1959 April 26 - June 3, 1959	Brazil to Union of South Africa

# OCEANOGRAPHIC STATION DATA



#### B. COMPUTING TRANSPORTS

Transports of volume, mass, salt, and heat across a vertical cross section were computed using velocities derived by the Helland-Hansen formula [equation (4)] and the procedure from Sverdrup <u>et al</u>. (1942). In general, the method consists of application of the geostrophic approximation. Since the vertical shear of geostrophic velocity is proportional to the horizontal density gradient, a relative velocity profile may be calculated by assuming or measuring a velocity at one level and then vertically integrating measured horizontal density gradients converted to dynamic heights.

Specifically, a computer program was used from a master's thesis by Greeson (1974) which computed dynamic heights for standard depths at each ocean station by the Sverdrup procedure in the following manner.

The IGY temperature and salinity data taken at various depths were interpolated to standard depths using a combination linear and parabolic scheme. Then specific volume and the specific volume anomaly were computed for each standard depth. Next, an average specific volume anomaly for the center of the layer between standard depths is calculated using the equation:

$$\overline{\delta} = \frac{\delta_z + \delta(z + \Delta z)}{2} , \qquad (1)$$

where  $\overline{\delta}$  is the average specific volume anomaly, and  $\delta_z$  and  $\delta_{(z+\Delta z)}$  are the computed specific volume anomalies at standard depths z and  $z+\Delta z$ respectively.

Dynamic height difference, AD, for each layer is computed by:

$$\Delta D = \overline{\delta}[z - (z + \Delta z)] . \tag{2}$$

A vertical summation is made to obtain the total dynamic height of each station relative to the sea surface:

$$\sum_{o}^{z} \Delta D = D .$$
 (3)

Next, another subroutine is employed to compute L, the distance between each station pair as a function of latitude and longitude.

Geostrophic relative velocity differences at a location midway between each station pair were calculated for each standard depth using the Helland-Hansen equation:

$$v_1 - v_2 = \frac{10}{fL} (D_A - D_B)$$
 (4)

where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are the velocities at standard depths 1 and 2,  $D_A$  and  $D_B$  are the dynamic heights of the two stations, and f is the coriolis parameter.

The ocean surface was considered a geopotentially level surface with zero inclination between the pressure surface and the level surface for the purpose of calculating these relative velocities.

In order to convert from relative to absolute velocities, some criterion was required by which to establish the actual surface which has zero inclination, and thus, zero velocity. This surface is the level of no motion discussed in Section II. The method used in this study to determine that depth was simply to impose the requirement that the resulting net mass transport and net salt transport across the entire latitude sections of ocean be zero when based on the selected reference level:

$$\int \rho_{s} v_{n_{s}} do = 0$$
$$\int \rho_{s} sv_{n_{s}} do = 0$$

where S is salinity in parts per thousand and  $v_n$  is the velocity component perpendicular to the cross section.

(5)

The procedure followed was experimentally to vary the depth of the level of no motion in the computer program until the total net mass and salt balances across the sections were as small as could be obtained. The velocities for the remaining standard depths computed relative to this level of no motion were then considered absolute. The velocities thus obtained apply to a point midway between each station for each standard depth.

From these absolute velocities, transports of volume, mass, salt, and heat for the cross sectional area between the station pairs were next calculated for each layer between the standard depths. The velocities were available at the midpoints between the stations; values of density, salinity and temperature were interpolated for each standard depth.

To obtain a value for velocity, density, salinity, and temperature representative of the entire cross sectional area of the layer between the two stations an averaging process was performed to arrive at a central value for each parameter. The averaging process used by the computer program is illustrated in Figure 2.

These central values and the cross sectional area of the layer are used to compute the transports. The product of area, velocity, and density gives mass transport, which is then multiplied by the salinity and temperature, respectively, to obtain heat transport and salt transport.



Figure 2. Illustration of the averaging process used to obtain a central mean value for velocity, density, salinity, and temperature for the rectangular cross-sectional area.

Mass, salt, and heat transports are summed in the vertical for each station pair and also in the horizontal for each layer.

Due to the procedures for data interpolation techniques and limitations in the accuracy of the computer, it was impossible to obtain exact zero mass and salt fluxes simultaneously for a single level of no motion. For the purposes of this study, mass balance was considered the primary criterion and salt an important, although secondary, balance consideration for continuity. Once mass and salt continuity was achieved as closely as possible, for the entire section, the corresponding heat transport for the section was recorded.

#### C. BOTTOM AREA CONTRIBUTIONS

The method described above determines the transports for the crosssectional area down to the greatest common depths for each station pair. Figures 3 through 6 show the area below the greatest common depths which also must be included. In addition, an estimate must be made for the peripheral areas between the last station on either end of the section and land. An estimate for the latter will be discussed in Section IV-D.

The existing computer program was modified to account for the effects of these areas adjacent to the bottom which in the past were hand calculated. Bathymetric profiles for each latitude section were provided by Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution and the cross-sectional area between the ocean floor and the deepest common depth was measured for each station pair (the near-bottom area). Next, a linear decrease in velocity was assumed from the deepest common level to a zero velocity at the ocean floor; that is, a value of one-half the deepest calculated absolute velocity was used as the average velocity value for each area. Mass transport across the near-bottom area was found by multiplying this velocity by the



Figure 3. Bottom Peripheral Areas: 8°S Latitude Section.



Figure 4. Bottom Peripheral Areas: 16°S Latitude Section.



State of the state of the

Bottom Peripheral Areas: 24°S Latitude Section.



Figure 6. Bottom Peripheral Areas: 32°S Latitude Section.

deepest interpolated mean density and the near-bottom area. The mass transport was multiplied by the deepest average temperature and salinity values to find the corresponding heat and salt transports. The modified program then automatically added these results to the respective transports for the station pair. Consequently, these near-bottom areas are taken into consideration automatically during the search for the level of no motion for which net mass and salt fluxes across the entire latitude section must approach zero.

One potential problem with the method described above is in the accounting for the proper direction of the Antarctic Bottom Water. No Antarctic Bottom Water was identified from the oceanographic data, not because it was not present, but because the Nansen cast did not extend deep enough to sample it. Consequently, the deepest sampled water in the deep ocean stations is always South Atlantic Deep Water, which usually flows southward (poleward). By the method described above, the water below the deepest sounding is assigned a velocity of one half the average velocity at the deepest sounding. Therefore, the direction assigned to the water in the area adjacent to the bottom is usually southward also. The usually northward transports of the Antarctic Bottom Water may be missed entirely by this technique.

The cross-sectional area through which Antarctic Bottom Water flows is small by comparison to the remaining cross section, but not insignificant. A study by Greeson (1974) showed that the bottom peripheral area amounted to approximately ten percent of the total cross-sectional area, with some unknown portion of this area being attributed to the flow region of the Antarctic Bottom Water.

A volume transport of three million  $m^3$ /sec is estimated for Antarctic Bottom Water flowing northward across 30°S by Sverdrup <u>et al.</u> (1942). Even smaller values would be expected for Antarctic Bottom Water at lower latitudes. These values are considered negligible when compared with typical transports for even a single station pair in the cross section. Consequently, any bias in transports caused by not detecting the Antarctic Bottom Water in the Nansen casts was considered negligible.

D. ESTIMATING TRANSPORTS FOR THE PERIPHERAL AREAS ADJACENT TO LAND

The portion of the cross-sectional area as yet not accounted for was that of the peripheral areas between the last station on either end of the section and land. Values of mass, salt, and heat transport for these peripheral zones were calculated as follows.

The transport (volume, mass, salt, and heat) within each standard layer in the peripheral zone was considered to be a fraction of the transport for the same layer in the first station pair nearest the end. The fraction was determined by assuming a linear decrease in current velocities toward shore for each horizontal layer, with zero velocity at the beach. Therefore, a value of one-half the layer volume transport for the first station pair was considered representative for the peripheral zone. Next, this estimate was corrected for the difference in cross-sectional area between the first station pair and the periphery by multiplying by the ratio of areas, layer by layer. Finally, the layers were summed to obtain total transports for the peripheral zone.

This method was devised to take advantage of the observed salinity and temperature data for each layer. For purposes of comparison the results were qualitatively evaluated against climatological temperature and salinity data obtained from Fleet Numerical Weather Central's

"Hydroclimatological Data Retrieval Program" (HYDAT) and current velocities from pilot charts. The statistics from HYDAT were consistent with the data of this study. but were not detailed enough for the layer-bylayer estimates obtained by the ratio method. Several of the peripheral areas had negligible cross-sectional areas and their contribution to transport was discarded.

To demonstrate the procedure described above, transports were calculated for a single end zone. Figure 7 illustrates the geometry of the problem and Table II lists the results. As can be seen from Table II, the mass, salt, and heat transports for each 50-meter layer of the adjacent station pairs were multiplied by the ratio of lengths and then by one-half to account for the assumed linear decrease in velocity toward shore.

Table III shows the results for each end zone, the cumulative contribution of the two end zones for each latitude, and a grand total net result for all eight peripheral areas. For the purpose of evaluating total net transports the results were considered negligible since compensating for even the largest value obtained changed the level of no motion across the latitude section by less than one meter.



Figure 7. Illustration of the technique for estimating the transports of mass, salt, and heat in the peripheral zones;  $d = a \tan \alpha$ .

## TABLE II

<u>a (km)</u>	<u>R<sup>1</sup></u>	(.5R)x Mass (g/sec)	(.5R)x Salt (g/sec)	(.5R)x Heat (cal/sec)
. 300	2.4955	22435 <sup>2</sup>	-7.64393 <sup>3</sup>	-66.92056 <sup>2</sup>
.250	2.0796	.02946	1.04579	8.61866
.200	1.6636	.08013	2.85529	23.18796
.100	1.2477	.02327	0.81847	1.57821
.050	0.8318	.00368	0.12073	1.05214
.000	0.4159	.00099	03458	28034
Total	0.0	08880	-2.82923	-32.76393

ESTIMATED TRANSPORTS OF MASS, SALT, AND HEAT IN THE PERIPHERAL ZONES AT 8°S, EAST END

<sup>1</sup>R is the ratio of lengths for each 50 meter layer; d = a tan  $\alpha$ ; R = d/1 = a tan  $\alpha/1$ . <sup>2</sup> (all values times 10<sup>12</sup>) <sup>3</sup> (all values times 10<sup>9</sup>)

# TABLE III

# ESTIMATES OF MASS, SALT, AND HEAT TRANSPORTS FOR PERIPHERAL AREAS AT EACH LATITUDE

Latitude		West End	East End	Total
8°S	Mass <sup>1</sup> Salt <sup>2</sup> Heat <sup>3</sup>	06432 - 3.41850 -27.66394	08880 - 2.82923 -32.76393	15312 - 6.24773 -60.42787
16°S	Mass Salt Heat	09711 - 3.53711 -29.37412	.23887 8.49962 69.57869	.14176 4.96251 40.20457
24°S	Mass Salt Heat	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
32°S	Mass Salt Heat	Negligible	16417 - 5.76357 -47.13947	16417 - 5.76357 -47.13947
	Mass	17553		

	mass	1/333
Grand	Salt	- 7.04879
Total	Heat	-67.36277

 $\begin{array}{c}1\\g/sec x 10^{12}\\g/sec x 10^{9}\\3 cal/sec x 10^{12}\end{array}$ 

#### E. IDENTIFICATION OF WATER MASSES

An additional modification of the existing computer program was effected in order to provide automatic identification of water masses in the South Atlantic Ocean based on salinity, temperature, and depth criteria and additionally to identify and sum mass, salt, and heat transports according to water mass type.

The criteria used to identify the various water masses in the South Atlantic Ocean were found in Defant (1961), Sverdrup <u>et al</u>. (1942), Williams <u>et al</u>. (1973), and Bialek (1967). The specific temperature and salinity for each water mass used in this study were extracted from these works and expanded somewhat to include the transition waters between each water mass type. Table IV lists the temperature and salinity values used in this study to identify the water masses in the South Atlantic Ocean.

No specifications for surface water were listed in the literature; thus, a temperature criterion was established to delineate the mixed layer adjacent to the sea surface.

Figures 8 through 11 depict the various water masses found in the South Atlantic and the level of no motion through the cross section. No Sub-Antarctic Water, Antarctic Circumpolar Water or Antarctic Bottom Water was found. It is reasonable to assume that Sub-Antarctic Water and Antarctic Circumpolar Water were not identified due to the low latitude of the sections. Antarctic Bottom Water, however, was undoubtedly present but went undetected because the data available did not extend deep enough to sample it. Rather than arbitrarily specifying that any water below the last sounding was Antarctic Bottom Water, this water was instead assigned to a Deep and Bottom Water category collectively.
# TABLE IV

# TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY CRITERIA FOR WATER MASS IDENTIFICATION IN THE SOUTH ATLANTIC OCEAN

Watermass	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (0/00)	Reference
Antarctic Bottom Water	< 0	34.65 to 34.67	Defant
Antarctic Circumpolar Water	0-2.5	34.68 to 34.80	All
Sub-Antarctic Water	7.0-9.0	34.10 to 34.68	Defant
South Atlantic Deep Water	7.0-9.0	34.70 to 34.97	Defant
Antarctic Intermediate	2.8-7.0	33.80 to 34.71	Sverdrup and Defant
South Atlantic Central	5.0-18.0	34.45 to 36.10	Williams

Surface > 18.0



.





Figure 10. Water Masses and Level of no Motion: 24°S.



#### F. GENERAL CIRCULATION

In order to study the general circulation of the South Atlantic Ocean the mass transports were separated into three layers: Upper Water (consisting of Surface and Central Waters), Intermediate Water and Deep and Bottom Water. The absolute mass transport for each layer and for each station pair was computed and recorded on the chart at the proper location.

These integrated mass transport figures for each layer at each station pair were combined into a composite value for increments of five degrees of latitude. Figures 12 through 14 give a graphical idea of the net transports involved for each increment. A general circulation pattern was then devised for the Upper, Intermediate, and Deep and Bottom Waters consistent with net mass transports across each latitude circle. To provide continuity of mass and to match observed circulations, series of cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies were constructed. Robinson (1976) reports extensive mid-ocean eddy activity at all scales in the ocean from the sea surface to the bottom thus lending credence to the eddy concept used here in approximating the circulation.

Areas of convergence and divergence are shown as symbols for gain and loss to the layers of water depicted in Figures 17 through 19. These indicate the general areas of upwelling and downwelling required for continuity in the vertical. To further identify the general circulation and examine it in the vertical, geostrophic current velocities and transports of mass, salt, and heat were interpolated in the computer to a rectangular matrix representing a vertical cross section of the ocean and then contoured at various levels by a computer subroutine named CONTUR. An attempt was made to describe quantitatively by size and frequency distribution any eddy features identified by this procedure. The results of this effort are found in Appendix III.



Figure 12. Integrated Mass Transports for Five Degree Increments: Upper Water; (Fig. 17 shows circulation pattern).



Figure 13. Integrated Mass Transports for Five Degree Increments: Intermediate Water (Fig. 18 shows circulation pattern).



Integrated Mass Transports for Five Degree Increments: Deep and Bottom Water (Fig. 19 shows circulation pattern). Figure 14.

### V. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

#### A. THE LEVEL OF NO MOTION

The procedure for determining the level of no motion was taken from Sverdrup <u>et al</u>. (1942) as described in Section II. The resulting depths of the level of no motion obtained in this study for each section are listed in Table V and illustrated in Figures 8 through 11.

Previous evaluations of the level of no motion for the Southern Hemisphere are found in Defant (1961) and Neumann (1954, 1955). A comparison of those obtained in this study with those of Neumann shows the same general trend of deepening with increasing latitude. However, this study showed a deeper level of no motion for the region from the equator to 20°S and a shallower level of no motion for the region between 20°S and 40°S. Figure 15 illustrates the results of each study.

A comparison of the level of no motion surface with isothermal and isohaline surfaces diagrammed in the <u>Atlantic Ocean Atlas</u> (Fuglister, 1960) revealed that the level of no motion followed salinity surfaces between 34.55 °/oo and 34.70 °/oo and temperature surfaces between 3° and 4.1°C. The corresponding sigma-t surface averaged about 27.57 for all of the latitudes in this study. This isopyncnal surface might prove useful as a first estimate for the level of no motion at other latitudes.

Defant (1941) and Sverdrup <u>et al</u>. (1942) after an examination of the METEOR profiles to the south of 20°S state that the level of no motion is approximately 1100 meters at 20°S and deepens somewhat toward the south, coinciding with the boundary between Antarctic Intermediate Water and South Atlantic Deep Water. The level of no motion found in this study is also approximately 1100 meters at 20°S and coincides very closely with the boundary between the Intermediate and Deep Water masses for all latitudes studied.

## TABLE V

# LEVEL OF NO MOTION OBTAINED FOR EACH LATITUDINAL CROSS SECTION

Latitude	Level of No Motion
8°S	1100 m
16°S	1300 m
24°S	1145 m
32°S	1270 m



### B. MASS AND SALT TRANSPORT

A mass and salt transport balance was attempted at each section as a prerequisite to estimating the heat transport. Attaining a zero net transport value for both mass and salt proved impossible; consequently, zero mass flux was chosen as the primary consideration, with zero salt flux as secondary. Excellent mass continuity and satisfactory salt continuity was attained for each section. Tables VI through IX lists the resulting transports of mass and salt for each latitude section by water mass type and the cumulative total net transport.

#### C. HEAT TRANSPORT

Meridional heat transport across a latitude section may be represented by the following equation:

$$\Sigma c_{p} \bar{T} \rho V_{1} A .$$
 (6)

By assuming the specific heat of seawater at constant pressure,  $C_p$ , to be unity, the expression reduced to

$$\Sigma \bar{T} \rho V_{1} A$$
, (7)

where A is the cross-sectional area between the station pairs,  $\rho V_1$  is the north or south mass transport at each station pair, and  $\overline{T}$  is the average absolute temperature for the station pair. The summation is across all the station pairs.

Because mass continuity was required, the net mass transports  $\rho V_1$ (north) and  $\rho V_1$  (south) must cancel, that is,

$$\Sigma \rho V_1 \text{ (north)} + \Sigma \rho V_1 \text{ (south)} = 0$$
 (8)

### TABLE VI

# TRANSPORTS OF MASS AND SALT BY WATER MASS TYPE AT 8°S

(Negative values indicate northward transport; positive values indicate southward transport)

(all values times 10<sup>12</sup>)

		Transports
Water Mass	Mass (gm/sec)	Salt (gm/sec)
Surface	- 6.21528	-232.87947
Central	- 1.32696	- 47.18784
Intermediate	12.78718	440.85053
Deep and Bottom	- 5.22625	-178.54060
Total for 8°S	.01869	- 17,75700

## TABLE VII

# TRANSPORTS OF MASS AND SALT BY WATER MASS TYPE AT 16°S

(Negative values indicate northward transport; positive values indicate southward transport)

(all values times 10<sup>12</sup>)

	Trans	ports
Water Mass	Mass (gm/sec)	Salt (gm/sec)
Surface	-11.24442	-413.63354
Central	-13.13231	-461.25879
Intermediate	- 5.12388	-176.45419
Deep and Bottom	29.49049	1029.91431
Total for 16°S	01012	- 21.43221

# TABLE VIII

# TRANSPORTS OF MASS AND SALT BY WATER MASS TYPE AT 24°S

(Negative values indicate northward transport; positive values indicate southward transport)

(all values times 10<sup>12</sup>)

	Trans	sports
Water Mass	Mass (gm/sec)	Salt (gm/sec)
Surface	- 3.40335	-122.69826
Central	-16.98189	-597.16504
Intermediate	- 1.99157	- 68.17680
Deep and Bottom	22.35007	779.98511
Total for 24°S	02674	- 8.05499

## TABLE IX

# TRANSPORTS OF MASS AND SALT BY WATER MASS TYPE AT 32°S

(Negative values indicate northward transport; positive values indicate southward transport)

(all values times 10<sup>12</sup>)

## Transports

Water Mass	Mass (gm/sec)	Salt (gm/sec)
Surface	85776	- 29,92361
Central	-25.17276	-881.97144
Intermediate	-16.78152	-576.14258
Deep and Bottom	42.82959	1494.25366
Total for 32°S	.01755	6.21603

However, a balance of the heat transport was not anticipated as a by-product of mass continuity due to the varying temperature properties of the water masses involved. The heat transports calculated by this method were taken as representative of the direction and magnitude of the actual oceanic heat transports across these latitude sections. The resulting heat transports by the various water mass types and the total heat transports across each section are listed in Table X.

Methods of computing heat transports have been proposed by Model (1950), Jung (1955), Sverdrup (1957), Bryan (1962), Sellers (1965), Emig (1967), Vander Haar and Oort (1973), and Bennett (1978). Of these, Model, Sverdrup, Emig, Bryan, and Bennett report estimates for at least one latitude in the Southern Hemisphere (see Figure 16).

Model (1950) uses an empirical and dynamical apporach to estimate transports of absolute heat through a latitude section. He estimates the heat transported by main ocean currents using volume transport and temperature information from Sverdrup <u>et al</u>. (1942). By determining the effects of slope currents using oceanographic station data and wind drift currents using monthly wind charts of the South Atlantic an average transport was estimated. Model obtained a figure of  $150 \times 10^{12}$  calories per second towards the north across  $30^{\circ}$ S in the South Atlantic Ocean.

Sverdrup (1957) used the heat budget equation to obtain heat transport results. He took into account heat exchange by currents, evaporation, condensation, sensible heat, and radiation excess at a given latitude through use of radiation data from Kimball (1928) and evaporation and turbulent heat flux from charts by Jacob (1957). Meridional heat transport for an ocean basin was then calculated by integrating the field of net heating with respect to latitude. A constant of integration was selected to give

### TABLE X

TRANSPORTS OF HEAT BY WATER MASS TYPE AT 8°S, 16°S, 24°S AND 32°S

Heat Transports (cal/sec) across four latitude cross sections (all values times 10<sup>12</sup>)

(Negative values indicate northward transport; positive values indicate southward transport)

Watermass	<u>8°S</u>	<u>16°S</u>	<u>24°5</u>	<u>32°S</u>
Surface	-1853.79395	-3328.71582	- 993.78101	- 250.10114
Central	- 382.53979	-3745.13647	-4861.37500	-7184.11328
Intermediate	3553.36621	-1424.19434	- 554.42651	-4663.28906
Deep and Bottom	-1380.01392	8131.60547	6170.85547	11799.19141
Total	- 62.98145	- 366.44116	- 238.72705	- 298.31207

and a state of the state of the





what he deemed as reasonable results. Sverdrup obtained an estimate of net heat transport across the equator of 67 x  $10^{12}$  calories per second toward the north.

Bryan (1962) uses a dynamic method for combining hydrographic station data and climatological estimates of surface wind stress to calculate meridional heat transport directly. Basically the method provides an estimated value for the transport integral as given by

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{-H}^{0} C_{p} \theta \rho \ v dz dx , \qquad (9)$$

where x is the coordinate in the east-west direction, z is the vertical coordinate, v is the meridional velocity,  $\theta$  is the potential temperature, and  $\rho$  is the density.

The method requires hydrographic data from which the derivative of the geostrophic volume transport is calculated. The method involves measuring the integral of the covariance of the meridional velocity and temperature over an entire vertical cross section of the ocean. Bryan divided this heat transport integral into two parts. One part can be calculated from the hydrographic data alone and is independent of any reference level of no motion. The other part of the integral is calculated from the field of surface wind stress and does require a fixed reference level. According to Bryan, Sverdrup's formula for computing the total integrated transport from the curl of the wind stress vector as used in this portion of the integral provides the most objective way to fix the reference level of no motion. This part of the integral is most important when the transport is influenced by a strong western boundary current

flowing over a shallow shelf which is compensated for by a return flow in deeper water. Bryan attempted to minimize this portion of the integral by choosing cross sections which avoided this effect.

Bryan (1962) calculated heat transports for three South Atlantic sections, all of which indicated a strong northward transfer of heat toward the equator for two 16°S and one 24°S sections. The IGY section at 16°S has a heat transfer twice that of the METEOR section at 16°S taken many years earlier. Bryan noted that circulations in the vertical meridional plane played the most important role in transports, thus confirming Jung's (1952) proposal that heat transports by such circulations in the ocean could be significantly different from those by similar atmospheric circulations at mid-latitudes.

Bennett (1978) employed Bryan's (1962) method using IGY data in the South Atlantic with differing results. Bennett begins with the same total energy transport integral as Bryan, but separates the integral into a sum of five integrals for evaluation. Bennett also employs an L parameter characterizing the width of the western boundary current. His different values of heat transport for the same latitude as illustrated in Figure 16 are due to his different guesses for the width of the boundary current. For all values of L chosen, however, Bennett's results showed strong northward (equatorward) heat transports at 24°S and 32°S.

Emig (1967) evaluated heat transports in the Atlantic Ocean by using the heat flux charts of Budyko (1962). The heat flux divergence for a latitude band was calculated as a residual by Sverdrup's heat budget method and then integrated to yield the heat transports. The boundary condition imposed was that all heat transport across 70°S be zero. The results of Emig's study are illustrated in Figure 16 and are the only estimates

which indicate a southward (poleward) heat flux across the latitude circles in the Southern Hemisphere.

The results of the present thesis study show heat transports of the same order of magnitude and same direction (northward) as in the majority of the previous cited works. The results for 16°S agree quite closely with Bryan's results using the same data and a different method. The results for 24°S and 32°S, however, are almost twice as large as those of Bryan. Results from Bryan, Bennett, and Model, however, all agree with the direction of heat transport obtained herein.

It is surprising to note the equatorward flux of heat across these Southern Hemisphere latitude sections as obtained by Model, Sverdrup, Bryan, Bennett, and Mason. The usual concept of the earth's heat budget would seem to suggest just the opposite result. Ordinarily, the heat balance is described as a poleward flux of heat in both atmosphere and ocean to offset the sun's excess radiation in the tropical regions and deficit in the polar regions. Indeed, this must be the case averaged worldwide, since, over time periods of a century or so, the tropics are not getting warmer nor the poles colder. However, the results of this study and the consensus of previous works indicates that for the South Atlantic at least the oceanic heat flux is in a direction opposite to that expected within the entire fluid envelope.

Bryan (1962) and Bennett (1978) examined several reasons for the unexpected results. Bryan (1962) implied that many of the earliest estimates of heat flux concentrated on transports by horizontal currents and ignored circulations in the vertical plane associated with the thermohaline circulations as originally proposed by Jung (1952). Bryan's results show that while vertical circulations are weak in terms of volume transport,

they dominate the heat transport. It is, therefore, the warmer surface currents with a net northward flux which dominate the net southward flux of cooler deeper water in terms of absolute heat content. However, Bryan does state that the spacing of hydrographic stations is not dense enough to define the role of transient meanders which may have a significant effect on heat transport. For example, Newton (1961) reports that a single Gulf Stream meander can lead to a meridional heat transport of 1 to 2 x 10<sup>14</sup> calories per second, a value larger than many of the net heat transports for an entire latitude section. Meanders and eddies in the South Atlantic are not defined sufficiently so as to estimate their effect on the METEOR or IGY data.

Bennett (1978), in agreement with Bryan states that conventionally spaced stations do not resolve the mid-ocean eddy field; however, he does attempt some estimate of eddy flux contributions to heat flux. He concludes that even though the eddy contributions are not negligible, they do not account for the unexpected northward heat flow. It is the large scale flow which is responsible for the northward heat flux, and, although eddies introduce variability into the heat flux estimates, they do not dominate the results.

It appears that this northward oceanic heat transport must be compensated by either the atmospheric heat transport of the Southern Hemisphere, or by oceanic transports southward in other Southern Hemispheric oceans.

Another possibility is that the northward oceanic heat transport is a seasonal effect which may be compensated by a reversal in another part of the year. It is to be noted that three of the cross sections were associated with the Southern Hemispheric autumn season and only the 24°S cross section was from the Southern Hemisphere spring season. It is

possible that the oceanic heat transports may be equatorward during these transition seasons and poleward at other times.

#### D. GENERAL CIRCULATIONS BASED ON MASS TRANSPORT

The general circulation pattern was drawn according to the procedures described in Section IV-F. The resulting eddy circulations are consistent with the pattern of mass transport vectors illustrated in Figures 17 through 19.

Eddy circulations in the North Atlantic have been studied extensively by Iselin (1936, 1940), Fuglister (1947, 1963, 1971), Iselin and Fuglister (1948), Fuglister and Worthington (1951), Barrett (1963), Richardson (1976), and Parker (1971). The eddy fluctuations discussed in the literature are usually associated with the Gulf Stream, but eddies of similar characteristics occur in the other oceans. The typical eddy is a low frequency mesoscale phenomenon with a diameter between 100 and 200 kilometers. Robinson (1976) described the mid-ocean eddy as a feature orders of magnitude more energetic than the main flow. These eddies exist as cyclonic and anti-cyclonic rings extending from the surface to the bottom as measured in the MODE-I experiment.

Only eddies the diameter of one station pair or greater are detectable by the method used in this thesis. Most of the eddies persist with depth through the surface, central and intermediate water, and then reverse their direction of rotation in the deeper regions. This reversal of circulation with depth has been reported in the Northern Hemisphere by McCartney, Worthington, and Schmitz (1978).

Figures 17 through 19 indicate the derived circulation system, depicting a hypothetical gyre pattern for the South Atlantic which best explains some of the observed features. All mass units are in terms of  $10^{12}$  gm/sec.







Figure 18. Circulation Patterns Based on Mass Transport Vectors: Intermediate Water.



and the second second



### 1. The Circulation in the Upper Water

The Benguela Current, powered mainly by the prevailing southeast trades, is a slow-moving current flowing north along the western coast of Africa. It is most constant in speed and direction between Cape Agulhas and 25°S with well-defined nearly stationary boundaries. North of this region there is a confused coastal part and a steady oceanic part of the current (Boisvert, 1967). Figure 17 shows the narrow flow at 30°S broadening and becoming more zonal as it progresses northward. A net northward flow of 15.6 units is comparable with 16 units derived by Sverdrup et al. (1942) for the same current. Some convergence and sinking, 2.3 units, is seen in the area of the Subtropical Convergence Zone. The Atlantic South Equatorial Current is clearly seen as the more zonal westward flow between 16°S and 24°S. North of 15°S a more confused gyre pattern is pictured with large convergence, 16.8 units, between 15°S and 8°S. The cyclonic gyre centered at 16°S, 17°E matches geostrophic calculations by Moroshkin et al. (1967). The less distinct westward flow of the Atlantic South Equatorial Current between 8°S and 20°S matches the flow described by Mazeika (1968) who detected both surface and subsurface geostrophic currents flowing eastward in this region.

Notably absent in this depiction is the expected strong Brazil Current which flows southwest parallel to the Brazil coast. Sverdrup et al. (1942) estimated 10 units of transport in a southerly direction across 30°S for the Brazil Current as compared to only one unit in Figure 17. Indeed, further north the Brazil Current even appears reversed. In view of the fact that the surface currents for the area compare favorably with Sverdrup's estimates, and yet the volume transports do not, the disagreement may stem from the great variability in the Brazil Current.

The Brazil Current is the southward extension of the Atlantic South Equatorial Current which divides at approximately 10°S. The seasonal boundaries and speeds are more variable than most other major currents and its variation in speed and direction is greater than the Atlantic South Equatorial Current from which it originates. Numerous counter-currents exist from seasonal increases in the river discharge of the Rio de la Plata, a coastal extension of the Falkland Current, and strong tidal rotations. The surface currents particularly exhibit both clockwise and counterclockwise rotations from tidal influences with reversals and diurnal inequalities adding to the confusion (Boisvert, 1967).

The variability of the Brazil Current could affect this study in several ways. If the Brazil Current were exceptionally weak at the time of measurement the lack of influence in the surface and central waters would be explained. This study of circulation patterns by mass transport vectors indicates that the strength of the southward flowing western ocean boundary current is concentrated in the Deep and Bottom Water (Figure 19).

Secondly, if the Brazil Current were very narrow in the upper reaches of the water column, its contribution to the mass transport would be small due to the reduced cross sectional area through which it flows. The depiction of circulation through the vertical cross sections at each latitude are to be found in Appendix III; it is apparent that the southward flowing currents in the region of the Brazil Current are of high velocity but small in areal extent.

Thirdly, a local anomaly in the level of no motion would cause an error in the absolute velocities which would reduce the effect of the Brazil Current. It is doubtful that such an error would extend across the entire cross section since the remainder of the circulation picture closely matches observations and previous estimates of volume transports.

Finally, the more northward extension of the Falkland Current and more southward extension of the Guiana Current during the local autumn (March-May) season, when observations for the 8°S, 16°S, and 32°S cross sections were conducted, may explain the reduced value for southward transport.

Sverdrup's values for transport are in terms of volume transport converted to  $cm^3/sec$ , whereas this study used mass transport, with gm/sec units. A comparison by Cummings (1977) showed less than a 2.7% error in equating these two transports.

#### 2. The Circulation in the Intermediate Water

Quantitative volume transport information below the surface of the South Atlantic is scarce. Sverdrup <u>et al</u>. (1942) estimates a net northward transport across 30°S of 9 units for the intermediate level compared with the 16.7 units obtained in this study across 32°S. For the remaining latitudes general trends are apparent. Some deeper elements of the Benguela Current and Atlantic South Equatorial Current systems are evident in the western and middle portion of Figure 18 at these depths. The transports are generally weaker in this layer than for any other and circulation patterns are not well defined.

#### 3. The Circulation in the Deep and Bottom Water

The primarily southward transport of deep water normally observed in current studies is verified in Figure 19. There is a distinct southward mass transport along the westward boundary and a total net southward transport across 16°S, 24°S, and 32°S. Sverdrup's (1942) estimate of a southward transport of 18 units by the deep water is less than one half of the estimate of 42 units obtained here. The northward flowing Antarctic Bottom Water was not detected by the Nansen casts and is not seen in Figure 19. Consequently, the computer attributed its contribution

to the more southerly flow of the Deep Water by default. The northward contribution from Antarctic Bottom Water is only approximately 3 units at 30°S according to Sverdrup <u>et al</u> (1942). Therefore, the abnormally high estimate of mass transport for Deep and Bottom Water combined is not explained by lack of detecting geostrophic currents in the Bottom Water. It does represent an approximation for geostrophic transport based on accurate station data.

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

This study used the classical dynamic approach for calculating geostrophic currents to determine mass, salt, and heat transports using oceanographic station data. The results showed an equatorward heat flux in the subtropical South Atlantic at all the latitudes studied. The direction of the flow agreed with the majority of previous estimates by Sverdrup <u>et al</u>. (1942), Bryan (1962), Bennett (1978), and Model (1950). The magnitude, however, was in most cases greater than previous works, with rough agreement with Bryan (1962) at 16°S, and values almost twice as large as the average for Bennett's results for 24°S and 32°S. It is concluded that this unexpected equatorward heat transport is due to warmer surface currents with a net northward flux carrying more energy northward than the deeper cooler waters carry southward.

A level of no motion was experimentally determined in the subtropical South Atlantic which had a trend of deepening with increasing latitude similar to previous results, but did not deepen as sharply with increasing latitude as that of Neumann (1966). The level of no motion was closely related to the sigma-t surface of  $\sigma_t = 27.57$  and was most often located near the bottom-most boundary of the Antarctic Intermediate Water mass.

The method employed also provided a useful picture of the absolute geostrophic velocities to be expected in the region. The derived circulation based on mass transport figures corresponds closely with observed circulations, and for the first time demonstrate a quasi-synoptic view of the major transport mechanisms in the South Atlantic.

## APPENDIX A: GEOSTROPHIC DATA

	STATI ON TOTAL	98004190899970198899900094909900999 098047919698999790999099990999999999999999999999	0. 01 869
	DEEP AND BOTTOM		-5.22625
AMS/SECI X E12	ANTARCTIC INTERMED	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	12.78718
MASS TRANSPURI AL	S .ATLANT IC CENTRAL	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	-1.32696
-	SURFACE	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	-6.21528
	RS		IALS

	STATION TOTAL		-17.75691
	DEEP AND BOTTOM	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000	-178.54060
GRAMS/SEC) X E12	ANTARCTIC INTERMED	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	440.85083
SALT TRANSPORT UNITS ARE	S . AT LA NT IC CENT RAL	1 1 1 1 1 1   1	-47.18784
	SURFACE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-232.87947
	AT ION MBERS	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	TOTALS

OminOm.ow

STAT 

	STATI ON TOTAL	1 1	-62.98145
I	DEEP AND BOTTOM	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	-1360.01392
AT 8 CEGREES SOUT (CAL/SEC) X E12	ANTARCTI C INTERMED		3553.36621
HEAT TRANSPORT UNITS ARE	S . AT LANT IC CENT RAL		-382.53979
	SURFACE	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	-1 853.793 95
	STATION NUMBERS		TOTALS
	STAT ION NUMBERS		TOTALS
--------------------	-------------------------	---	-----------
	SURFACE	4464703400600000000000000000000000000000	-11.24442
MASS TRANSPORT A	S . ATLANTIC CENTRAL	600488867404800447000400040014009847010889 0049000404000000000000000000000000000	-13.13231
GRAM S/ SECI X E12	ANTARCTIC INTERMED	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	-5.12388
	DEEP AND BOTTOM	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	29.49045
	STATION TOTAL	и по	-0.01010

La contra c

	STATION TOTAL	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-21.43213
	DEEP AND BOTTOM	1       1       1       1       1       1       0         1       1       1       1       1       1       1       0         1       1       1       1       1       1       1       0 <td>1029.91431</td>	1029.91431
T 16 DEGREE S SUTH	ANTARCTIC	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	-176.45419
SALT TRANSPORT A UNITS ARE (G	S. ATLANTIC CENTRAL	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-461.25879
	SURFACE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-413 .63354
	NS	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	<b>NLS</b>

STAT JOI TOTA 

	STATION TOTAL		-366.43750
T	DEEP AND	1       1	8131.60547
ICAL/SEC) X E12	ANTARCTIC INTERMED	11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	-1424.19434
HEAT TRANSPORT	S. ATLANTIC CENTRAL	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-3745.13647
	SURFACE	иссоновиосисский продиктика продоктисти продиктисти продиктисти продиктисти продоктисти продиктисти продоктисти продоктисти продоктисти продоктисти продоктисти продоктисти продоктисти продоктисти продокти продокти продоктисти продоктисти продоктисти продо	-3328.71582
	ST AT ION NUMBERS	30220987655490200876554902009876554922 55555444222008765549220 777554444422008765549200 777554444422008765549200 7775544444220098765549220 777554444422009876554920 77755444442000876554920 7775544442000876554920 7775544442000876554920 7775544442000876554920 7775544442000876555 777557475757575 77755757575757575 777557575757	TOT ALS

	STATION TOTAL	040-0400-000-000-000-000-000-00-00-00-00	-0.02672
	DEEP AND BOTTOM	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	22.35007
HT			
24 DEGREES SOUMES SEC) X E12	ANTARCT IC INTERMED	90000000000000000000000000000000000000	-1.99157
ASS TRANSPORT AT UNITS ARE (GRA	S. ATLANTIC CENTRAL	■ 8 → 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-16.981 89
-	SURFACE	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	-3.40335
	ATION		<b>TOTAL S</b>
	1-7		

	STATION TOTAL	Hereiner 1     H	-8.05493
	DEEP AND BOTTOM	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	779.98511
AT 24 DEGREES SOUTH GRAMS/SECI X E12	ANTARCTIC	0       1	- 68.17680
SALT TRANSPORT	S . ATLANTIC CENTRAL	80020000044469000000000000000000000000000	-557.16504
	SURFAC E		-122.69826
	TAT ION LMBERS	ащалалалалалалалалалалалалалалалалалала	TOTALS

	STATION	41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	38.72266
			7 -2
ОИТН	DEEP AND BOTTOM	<sup>1</sup>	6170.8554
AT 24 DEGREES SI (CAL/SEC) X E12	ANT ARCT IC INTERMED	601       1	-554.42651
HEAT TRANSPORT UNITS ARE	S. ATLANTIC CENTRAL	+       -	-4861.37500
	SURFACE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-993.78101
	ATION	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	TOTALS

C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 
 C 

	STATION	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.01755
	DEEPANC	1-1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	42.82959
SRAMS/SEC) X E12	ANTARCT IC INTERMED	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	-16.78152
MASS I KANSPUKI	S. ATLANTIC CENTRAL	ластически составление с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с	-25.17276
	SURFACE	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	-0.85776
	ERS	ຠຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎ	TALS

	STATION		-2 58.30859
	DEEP AND BOTTOM		11755.15141
AT 32 CEGREES SOUTH	ANTARCTIC	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	-4663.28906
HEAT TRANSPORT UNITS ARE	S-ATLANTIC CENTRAL	1       1	-7184.11323
	SURFACE	11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10000000000000000	-250.10114
	zu	のののしてしょうのうのしてのないのであってのないのでののののでしょう 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	LS L

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{N}\mathsf{C} \\ \mathsf{N} \\ \mathsf{N}\mathsf{C} \\ \mathsf{N}\mathsf{C}$ 

	STATION	00000       000000       00000       00000       00000       00000       00000       0000000       0000000       0000000       0000000       000000       0000000       0000000       0000000       0000000       0000000       0000000       0000000       0000000       0000000       0000000       0000000       0000000000       00000000000       0000000000000000000	6.21606
Ŧ	DEEP AND BOTTCM		1494.25366
AT 32 DEGREES SOL GRAMS/SEC) X E12	ANT ARCT IC IN TERMED	11 200000000000000000000000000000000000	-576.14258
SAL T TRANSPORT	S. ATLANTIC CENTRAL	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	-881.97144
	SURFACE		19639.92361
	ERS	ຆຑຎຏຎຏຎຎຎຎຎຎຏຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຏຏຎຎຎຎຎຎຏ ຺຺ຎຎຎຎຎຬຎຬຎຬຎຬຎຬຎຬຎຬຎຬຎຬຎຬຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎ ຒຎຎຎຒຎຎຎ	TALS

and the second state of the second state

## APPENDIX B

## VERTICAL CROSS SECTIONS

Appendix B illustrates vertical cross sections of velocity, and transports of mass, salt, and heat for each latitude. These data were first interpolated to a rectangular grid covering the cross section by a computer subroutine named IBCIEU and then contoured by a subroutine named CONTUR. In executing CONTUR, the data field was first scanned for the highest and lowest values and then contour levels were drawn between them at thirteen intervals. The central values of maxima or minima were labeled, as were exterior contour segments. Since the contour intervals are determined by a data scan in each case, they are not identical for each chart but can be determined from the labels.

The one-inch grid superimposed on the diagrams represents depths in the vertical of 50, 1084, 2118, 3152, 4186, and 5220 meters for every chart. However, the horizontal extent represents the length of the ship's track and is different for each latitude. The values in kilometers per inch for 8°s, 16°s, 24°s, and 32°s are 163, 290, 314, and 169 respectively.

Units are: velocity, cm/sec; mass transport, gm/sec x  $10^2$ ; salt transport, gm/sec x  $10^9$ ; and heat transport, cal/sec x  $10^9$ .

Negative values indicate northward transports.



and the state water water and the state of the





•

8°S MASS TRANSPORT

81



8°S SALT TRANSPORT

•



8°S HEAT TRANSPORT



16°S VELOCITY



16°S MASS TRANSPORT





16°S SALT TRANSPORT





24°S VELOCITY

88



A STATE OF

24° MASS TRANSPORT



24°S SALT TRANSPORT



24° HEAT TRANSPORT



32°S VELOCITY



32° MASS TRANSPORT



32°S SALT TRANSPORT





HEAT TRANSPORT

APPENDIX C: COMPUTER PROGRAM

15/02/25 78326 H DATE MAIN 9-UL + 1=1 14 N 2000 LEVEL \$ 9 2 FURTRAN 1000 5700 0020 5 100

C MAX NUMBER GF STANCARD DEPTHS IS 48 C KEAL THE NC. CF GECSTROPHIC CURRENTS AND TRANSPURIS TO BE CALCULATED READ (5.13) NGC READ (5.13) NGC BELOW THE NUMBER OF SCURRES CURRESPONDING TO THE AREA	50 FORMAT(16F5.1) FORMAT(16F5.1) READ(555)(R(1),1=1,4) READ(555)(R(1),1=1,4) A10 NFA(0641)=0 C 41 L=1.60 READ HEADING CARD, CHECK FOR END OF DATA, THEN	<pre>c READ [1,13,ENC=32) NOV.(NSTA(L,K).K=1,3).ALT(L).ALM(L).ALN(L). 1AhP(L).(IDATE(L,K).K=1.3) 1F (NOV) 32.32.24 24 DC 25 I=1.ACV 24 DC 25 I=1.ACV 1 (1.15) D(1).T(1).IT(1).S(1).IS(1).02(1).IO(1). c 1(INFC(1.J).J=1.4)</pre>	C SGISVA IS SUBROUTINE TC COMPUTE SIGMA-T, SPECIFIC VOLUME C AND SPECIFIC VOLUME ANCMALY. C 25 CALL SGISVA (T(1),S(1),D(1),SGP(1),SVND,SVND) C LGTP IS SUBRGUTINE TC COMPUTE INTERPOLATED VALUES	Call LGT F(NOV. D. S. NSD. SC : SS . NB) Call LGT FINOV. D. S. NSD. SC : SS . NB) CC 27 I= 1. NA CC 27 I= 1. NA CC 27 I= 1. NA CC 250C I= 1. NA STEMF(I : L) = ST(I) + 273. IS SSC00 CC MTINUC CC 2510 I= 1. NA SSC00 CC 1. I = 1. NA CC 2510 I= 1. NA CC 2510 I
3500 9630	000000 000000 0000000 0000000 00000000	0044 0045 0045 0045	1C46	00000000000000000000000000000000000000

```
30 Cc 31 F=15XA(1)+50(1,1)

11 b5XA(1)+50(1,1)

12 b5XA(1)+50(1,1)

13 b5XA(1)+50(1,1)

14 b5XA(1)+50(1,1)

15 b5XA(1)+50(1,1)

15 b5XA(1)+50(1,1)

16 b5XA(1)+50(1,1)

17 b5XA(1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)

18 b5XA(1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)+50(1,1)

      wRITE
      [6,16]

      CC
      9

      LC
      9

      LE
      9

      MRITE
      (8,21)

      D(1).T(1).T(1).T(1).S(1).IS(1).SGP(1).C2(1).T0(1).

      1(INFC(1.J).J=1.4)

      MRITE

      MRITE

VII(I,L)=(XTEMP(I,L)+XTEMP(I+1,L))*.5

VSL(I,L)=(XSAL(I),L)+XSAL(I+1,L))*.5

CCATINUE

NLT=ALT(L)

NLA=ALT(L)

NLA
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         53
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    2510
```

BLAT=ALTIN2]:ALWIN1/60. CCCC\_SUN1:AWWIN1/60. CCCC\_SUN1:AWWIN1/60. S00 ECCCCALNNN1-AWWIN1/60. ECCCCALNNN1-AWWIN1/60. S01 ELCCALNNN1-AWWIN1/60. S01 ELCCALNN21-AWNU1/60. S01 ELCCALNN21-AWNU1/60. AWNUFF ELENAR ARTER STATUS:AWN.21/60. AWNUFF ELENAR ARTER STATUS:AWN.21/60. AWNUFF ELENAR AND AND AWN.21/2001.50. AWNUFF ELENAR AND AWN.1. AWNUFF ELENAR AWNUFF ELENAR AWNUFF ELENAR AWNUFF ELENAR AWNUFF AWNUFF AWNUFF AWNUFF AWNUFF AWN.1. AWNUFF 565E 

алерия иножелерия иссеено воло с соссорсисти сосооссоровово особово обоб с соссорсистисс

	AL. I	
SUP (48) UP (48) MSUM (48)	C. CRAND TCT	
TP SUP = T N SUP + XP T S SUM = T S SUM + SS T F SUP = T F / A SUM + T E	FCFMAT(.C.13) FCFMAT(.C.13) FRITE (6.6001) STCP	
	33	

.

1-41

12/20/33 1.1.LT.0(2))).0R. (1).LT.0(N)))) GC TC 154 1ATE = 78320 1114 1114 1115 .: > JATAN IN 1 100 9100 5100 0021 6023 2200



Steruin Scrub (1:5.5,50,5); (1:5.5,50) Start (1:1.5.5,50) Start (1:1.5.5,50) Start (1:0.053+0.614555-000643\*7+20.61667; (1:2830,1/11+67,283) Start (1:0.053+0.614555-006643\*7+20,00643\*7+20) Start (1:0.050-501664; (1:1+283), (1:0.452), (1: 0ATE = 78526SUTSVA -IV G LEVEL FURTRAN 

15/02/25

	12/05/11				SUM ( 4.4 ) .	EMP (43);	15x	ITY TEM	10.2,4X,FI	NUARD DEPT	THANSPORT SVERTELES	IN LAYER	S SALT. 7X KANSPORT.	IVE TOTALS			
1000 - 1100	1015 = 10339	MASE, X2. VEL , NNN, DIST	L(48, 5C), WK(165)	48, 601, ESALTI (48, 60	/ T(48).XMSUP(48).TE4	I, BUT, AVLENSIGEL, AVT	(48),V5L(48),AM3(43) DIFF H1 REL V GF WATER WASS'/	CM/SEC DENS	,2X1/67X,F12.5,3X,F	T DE EULAL TC A STA	MUTION: //5x, TOTAL	CEPA ESENT TRANSPORTS	X. TRANSPCRT	4X, *** , 2uX, CUMULAT			
0 10010	PI ULL	HA ACH NB BCH SD B	U), XL (48), YL (60), F	60), CL (20), EMASST (	KUETKUETKUE. ) ). ASALTT(48).AHEAT	YTTIZE, 601, YSU 48	ОН(46), SD(44), RVEL DYN HT DYN HT FRAGE AES AVERA	Y B-A CM/SEC	,3(F5.5,1X),2(F8.2	L CF NC MCTION MUS	AL VCLLME TRANSPOR Above level CF NO He plane CF THE ST	S IN THIS COLUMN -	HI. ICX. ABS VOL. S	X,7(F16.5)	50	1/2.	
	-	EKLUTINE GECCUP L	NENSIGN A (46) Y (6	LAITIAN OUN LAITIAN	PENSICN SCUMPEIGU	LM(40), YUE (46, 60)	MERSICK ADH (46).8 FMAT (134.00074 Averace av	STA A STA B FALUKE SALINIT	Frit (12X, F5.C, 2X	KMAT ( ****** LEVE	ENTAL TRANSPURTS	HAPINI TU. * H5.0.	FWAT (//13%, CEPT	FMAT (122, F5.0/22	(L61. 1) GC TC	1 J=1,47 )=(50(J)+50(J+1) (1)=50	<pre>% I = 1, 4/ % I + 1 = (I * 11C.)+50 % Cout I = 1, 46 % Cout J = 1, 40</pre>
•	EVEL 2	SL			120	155	10 60			12 70	14	15 561 15 FC	I. FC	13 FL			8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	5																
	2																
	FLFIFAN	0001	5005	4000	0000	i	0008		JUIC	Iloc	2100	6100	0014	2015	6017 6018	0019 0020	LC22 00253 00254 00254






1(124.700 • LE•AVSAL(J)) • AND• (AVSAL(J)• LE• 54•975)) 6G T0 4004 11(1275•67•LE• AVTEMP(J)) • AND• (AVTEMP(J)• LE• 260-0)) • AND• 1133 60 - E• AVSAL(J) • AND• (AVSAL(J)• LE• 260-0)) • AND•	IF(10276.0 + LE AVTEMP(J)) AND. (AVTEMP(J) LE 291.0)) ANC.	I F ( ( 273.0 . LE. AVTEMP(J)) . AND. ( AVTEMP(J) . LE. 275.5) . ANC.	IFI([280.00 LE. AVTEMP(J]), AND. [AVTEMP[J]] LE. 282.0]) ANC. I(24.1C LE. AVSAL(J)) AND. (AVSAL(J) LE. 34.68])] GU TO 4005	IF (AVTEMP(J) GE 291.0) GG TO 4006 IF ((272.00.4E. AVTEMP(J)) ANU. (AVTEMP(J) LE. 300.0), AND.	4000 MRITE (6.11) SOUJ, ADM(J), BDE(J), AMB(J), RVEL(J), VEL(J), AVDENS(J), ADD(J), ADD(J), AVDENS(J), ADD(J), AD	krite (6, 30)	30 FCFMAI ( +• 109X, ••A. ELIICP) BCTMAS =BCTPAS +AMASSI() BCTMAS =BCTLAS +AMASSI()		TETETETETETETT(J) TETETETETETT(J) TETETETETETT(J)	IF (ILT. N) GO TO 39 FITMAS =HOTMAS +AMASST (48)	6C1H1 = 801H1 + AHEAT1(4E) PCTSLT = 801SLT + ASALT1(4E)	TEIMAS=TETMAS+AMASST (48)	16111=161114ANCAL11401	4001 WRITE (6,111, SU(3), ADH(J), BDH(J), AMB(J), RVEL(J), VEL(J), AVDENS(J),	ARITE (6.31) ACCOUNT OF CONTRACT ADD.	JI FURMAL L'T' JUJAT' A.A. CINUNTLEAN' I
2	1	12	e	410	2	21	800	2-1	v.n.v	50		500	2-1	N.0	-	2



 

 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000
 10.000



I=1, N (6,17) SD(1), AVT(1), AMASST(1), ASALTT(1), AHEATT(1), XMSUM(1), S ), TEMSUM(1) RTTE (6,17) SD(1),AVT(48),AMASST(48),ASALTT(48),AHEATT(48),XMSUM [46].SSUP[46].TEMSUM(48) krte(6,1005) crte(6,1005) .Krte(6,1001) IF STATION B IS EAST OF STATICN A, A NEGATIVE SIGN IN THE "ABS VEL" COLUMN IMPLIES A SOUTHWARD FLCWING CURRENT. 1LhhT=TUhHT+AhEATT(J)1LhSLT=TUhHT+AhEATT(J)1F (1 - L1 - N) GO TO 3GLokkmas = unkkmasLokkmas = unkkmasLokk • 4 X • • -. \* X \* \* . -41X. -- 
 hRITE
 (6.16)

 hRITE
 (6.16)

 hRITE
 (6.16)

 hRITE
 (6.16)

 hRITE
 (6.16)

 brance
 (6.16)

 brance
 (6.16)

 brance
 (6.16)

 brance
 (6.16)

 brance
 (6.17)

 brance
 (6.17)

 brance
 (6.17)
 **1UUL FCRMAT** +1= (35)\* FC R 11 600 1005 -000

ADVISUNE C SOUNDER INN	NACING	17.50	0000
	00001	0000	00000
NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	NNNNN	NNN	NNNN
הטנההניניההה ההההה היה	00000	000	0000

<pre>heite (c.icul, STMASS, STSALT, STHEAT houd FCFMAT('C'.ilx, NET TOTALS'.l5x,3(F15.5)) heite(c.15) heite(c.15) heite(c.16) heite(c.109) heite(c.109) lus FCFMAT(//13x, TRANSPORTS BY WATER MASS TYPE') fCFMAT(//13x, TRANSPORTS BY WATER MASS TYPE') heite(c.110)60TMASS, BOTSLT, BCTHT, CTRMASS, CTRSLT, CTRT, ATNWAS, 25CHSLT, SCHHI, SCENAS, SFCSTT, SCHT, UNAMAS, CHRSLT, CLRHT, SUBMAS, 25CHSLT, SCHHI, SCENAS, SFCSTT, SCHT, UNAMAS, CHRSLT, UNAMT</pre>	110 FCRMATI/JSX, WATERAASS, 16X, MASS, 14X, SALT, 13X, HEAT, 1//17x, 4. A. BOTTOM3FI8.5.//15X, 4.A.CIRCUPPOLAR 25F14.5.//17X, 0EEP, 8X, 3F18.5.//17X, SUBANTARCTIC27F8.5.	UPPE SECONDERVESSION SECONDERV	116 FORMATC//13X. TRANSPORTS EY EACH OF THREE LAYERS') MRITE(6,117)UPMAS,UPSLT,UPHT,HAFMAS,HAFSLT,HAFHT,UBMAS,UBSLT,DBHT 117 FCFWAT(//15X. MATERMASS',16X, MASSS',14X, SALT',13X, HEAT', 1//21X, UPPER', 7X,3F18.5.//20X, MIDDLE',7X,3F18.5.//14X, UEFP ANG B	51CT=UPMAS+HAFPAS+DBMAS 51AT=UPAAFHAFAS+DBMAS 51AT=UPHT+HAFAT+CBHT 511T=UPHT+HAFHT+CBHT MRITE(6.1001)	131     FCFMAT(//16X, SUB TTAL, 5X, 3F16.5)       15     1       16     1       17     12       16     1       17     12	ILO WRITEIC.114)
1000 1000 CCC	Jest	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	03105	05150	100	1220

ないたいというないのであるとなっていい

11+ 

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Angstrom, A. K., "Evaporation and precipitation at various latitudes and the horizontal eddy convectivity of the atmosphere," <u>Arkiv for</u> <u>Matematik</u>, Astronomi och Fysik, v. 20, 12 pp., 1925.
- Baker, T. L., <u>Mass, Salt and Heat Transport Across Seven Latitude</u> <u>Circles in the North Atlantic Ocean: A Description of the General</u> <u>Circulation Based on Geostrophic Calculations from International Geophysical Year and Adjacent Data</u>, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, 1978.
- Bennett, A. F., "Poleward Heat Fluxes in Southern Hemisphere Oceans," Journal of Physical Oceanography, v. 8, no. 5, 1978.
- 4. Bialek, E. L., Handbook of Oceanographic Tables, U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office, 1966.
- 5. Bjerknes, V.F.K., and others, <u>Physicalische Hydrodynamic</u>, Julius Springer, 1933.
- 6. Boisvert, W. E., <u>Major Currents in the North and South Atlantic Oceans</u> between 64°N and 60°S, Naval Oceanographic Office, TR-193, 1967.
- Bryan, K., "Measurement of Meridional Heat Transport by Ocean Currents," Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 67, no. 9, pp. 3403-3414, 1962.
- Budyko, M. I., N. Epinova, L. Zubenok, and L. Strokina, "The Heat Balance of the Earth's Surface," Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, no. 1, 1962.
- Budyko, M. I., The Heat Balance of the Earth's Surface, U. S. Department of Commerce, 1956.
- Cummings, W. J., <u>A Description of the General Circulation in the</u> North Atlantic Ocean Based on Mass Transport Values Derived from IGY (1957-1958) Temperature and Salinity Data, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, 1977.
- 11. Defant, A., <u>Die absolute Topographic des physisikalischen Meeresni-veaus und der Druckflachen, sowie die Wasserbewegungen im Atlantischen Ozean. Wiss. Ergebn. D. Deutschen Atlantischen Exped. auf d. Forschungs u. Vermessungsschiff 'Meteor'- 1925-1927, v. VI (2 Teil., 5 Leif.), Walter De Gruyter and Co., 1941.</u>
- 12. Defant, A., Physical Oceanography, v. 1, Pergamon Press, 1961.
- Emig, M., "Heat Transport by Ocean Currents," Journal of Geophysical Oceanography, v. 72, no. 10, 1967.

- 14. Ferrel, W., A Popular Treatise on the Winds, p. 163-164, Wiley, 1890.
- Fomin, L. M., <u>The Dynamic Method in Oceanography</u>, pp. 117-148, Elsevier Publishing Co., 1964.
- 16. Fuglister, F. C., <u>Atlantic Ocean Atlas of Temperature and Salinity</u> <u>Profiles and Data from the International Geophysical Year of 1957-1958</u>, v. 1, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 1960.
- Fuglister, F. C., Gulf Stream '60, Ref. No. 64-4, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Mass., pp. 265-383, 1964.
- 18. Greeson, T. D., <u>Mass, Salt, and Heat Transport Across 40°N Latitude</u> in the Atlantic Ocean Based on IGY Data and Dynamic Height Calculations, <u>Master's Thesis</u>, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, 1974.
- Haltiner, G. J. and Martin, F. L., <u>Dynamic and Physical Meteorology</u>, p. 13, McGraw-Hill, 1957.
- Hidaka, K., "Depth of motionless layer as inferred from the distribution of salinity in the ocean," <u>Trans. Am. Geophys. Union</u>, v. <u>30</u>, no. 3, 1940.
- Ivers, W. D., The Deep Circulation in the North Atlantic, with Especial Reference to the Labrador Sea, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, San Diego, 1975.
- 22. Iselin, C.O'D., "Preliminary report on long period variations in the transport of the Gulf Stream System," <u>Papers in Physical Oceanography</u> and Meteorology, no. 8, 1940.
- Iselin, C.O'D., A Study of the Circulation of the Western North Atlantic, <u>Papers in Physical Oceanography and Meteorology</u>, <u>4</u>, (4), 101 pp., 1936.
- Jacobsen, J. P., "Contribution to the hydrography of the Atlantic," Medd. Komm. Havundersgelser, Ser. Hydrografi, v. 2, 1916.
- 25. Jung, G. H., "Note on the Meridional Transport of Energy by the Oceans," Journal of Marine Research, v. XI, no. 2, pp. 139-146, 15 Nov 1952.
- 26. Jung, G. H., <u>Heat Transport in the North Atlantic Ocean</u>, Ph.D. Thesis, The A.& M. College of Texas, College Station, TX, 1955.
- Kimball, H. H., "Radiation Charts," <u>Monthly Weather Review</u>, v. <u>56</u>, 1928.
- Maury, M. F., <u>The Physical Geography of the Sea</u>, 6th ed., Harper, 1856.
- Mazeika, P. A., "Eastward Flow within the South Equatorial Current in the Eastern South Atlantic," <u>Journal of Geophysical Research</u>, v. <u>73</u>, no. 18, 1968.

- McCartney, M. S., Worthington, L. V., and Schmitz, W. J., "Large Cyclonic Rings from the Northeast Sargasso Sea." <u>Journal of Geophysical Research</u>, v. 83, no. C2, pp. 901-914, 20 February 1978.
- 31. Model, F., "Berechnungsmethode fur die Uberfragung von Warme durch Wassermassen (Stromungen) unter verschiedenen physikalischen Bedingungen," <u>Deutsche Hydrographische Zeitschrift</u>, Unpublished Scientific Report No. 71, 1950.
- Moroshkin, K. V., V. Bubnov, and R. Bulatov, "Water Circulation in the Eastern South Atlantic," <u>Oceanology</u>, v. 10, no. 1, pp. 27, 1970.
- 33. Neumann, G., Ocean Currents, Elsevier, 1968.
- Neumann, G. and Pierson, W. J., Jr., Principles of Physical Oceanography, Prentice-Hall, pp. 244-247, 1966.
- 35. Newton, C. W., "Estimates of Vertical Motions and Meridional Heat Exchange in the Gulf Stream Eddies, and a Comparison with Atmospheric Disturbances," Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 66, 1961.
- 36. Parker, C. E., "Gulf Stream Rings in the Sargasso Sea," <u>Deep-Sea</u> <u>Research</u>, v. <u>18</u>, pp. 981-993, 1971.
- Parr, A., "Analysis of current profiles by a study of pycnomeric distortion and identifying properties," <u>Journal of Marine Research</u>, v. <u>4</u>, 1938.
- Richardson, P., "Gulf Stream Rings," <u>Oceanus</u>, v. <u>19</u>, no. 3, pp. 65-68, 1976.
- Robinson, A. R., "Eddies and Ocean Circulation," <u>Oceanus</u>, v. <u>19</u>, no.
   3, pp. 2-17, 1976.
- Sellers, W. D., <u>Physical Climatology</u>, University of Chicago Press, 1965.
- 41. Sherfesee, L., <u>Mass, Salt, and Heat Transport in the South Pacific</u>, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, 1978.
- Stommel, H., "On the determination of the depth of no meridional motion," <u>Deep Sea Research</u>, v. 3, pp. 273-278, 1956.
- 43. Stommel, H. and Schott, F., "The Beta Spiral and the determination of the absolute velocity field from hydrographic station data," <u>Deep-Sea Research</u>, v. <u>24</u>, pp. 325-329, 1977.
- Sverdrup, H. U., Johnson, M. W., and Fleming, R. H., <u>The Oceans</u>: <u>Their Physics</u>, <u>Chemistry</u>, and <u>General Biology</u>, Prentice-Hall, 1942.
- Sverdrup, H. U., <u>Oceanography</u>, <u>Handbuch der Physik</u>, Springer Verlag, 1957.



## INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. Copies 1. Department of Oceanography, Code 68 3 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940 2. Commander 1 Naval Oceanography Command NSTL Station, Mississippi 39529 3. Office of Naval Research 1 Code 481 NSTL Station, Mississippi 39529 4. Dr. Robert E. Stevenson 1 Scientific Liaison Office, ONR Scripps Institution of Oceanography La Jolla, California 92037 5. SIO Library 1 University of California, San Diego P. O. Box 2367 La Jolla, California 92037 6. Department of Oceanography Library 1 University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 7. Department of Oceanography Library 1 Oregon State University Corvallis, Oregon 97331 8. Commanding Officer 1 Fleet Numerical Weather Central Monterey, California 93940 9. Commanding Officer 1 Naval Environmental Prediction Research Facility Monterey, California 93940 10. Department of the Navy 1 Commander Oceanographic System Pacific Box 1390 Pearl Harbor, Hawaii 96860 11. Defense Documentation Center 2 Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314

- 12. Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940
- 13. Director Naval Oceanography Division (OP-952) Office of the Chief of Naval Operations Washington, D. C. 20350

1

1

2

1

2

1

1

3

1

1

1

- 14. NORDA NSTL Station, Mississippi 39529
- 15. Dr. Glenn H. Jung, Code 68Jg Department of Oceanography Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940
- 16. Dr. J. J. von Schwind, Code 68Vs Department of Oceanography Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940
- 17. LT. J. R. Mason, U.S.N. 4190 Fairfax Drive Columbus, Ohio 43220
- 18. Dr. Abraham H. Oort Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory/NOAA Princeton University P. O. Box 308 Princeton, New Jersey 08540
- Director of Defense Research and Engineering Office of the Secretary of Defense ATTN: Office, Assistant Director (Research) Washington, D. C. 20301
- 20. Office of Naval Research ATTN: Code 480 ATTN: Code 460 ATTN: Code 102-OS 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217
- 21. Director Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Library, Code 2620 Washington, D. C. 20390
- 22. Dean of Research, Code 012 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940.

- 23. NODC/NOAA Rockville, Maryland 20882
- 24. ONR Branch Office 1030 E. Green Street Pasadena, California 91106
- 25. Dr. Kern Kenyon A-030 University of California Scripps Institution of Oceanography La Jolla, California 92093

1

1

1

26. Prof. J. L. Reid, Jr. A-030 University of California Scripps Institution of Oceanography La Jolla, California 92093