

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Contract NØØØ14-75-C-Ø397 6 AD AO 6567 Task No. NR 051-258 TECHNICAL REPORT, NO. 6 (New Series) 6 Diffusion Limit for the Transport of Quasifree Electrons in Liquids. by 10, P. J./Paes Leme FILE COPY MAR 13 1979 অদ্রায ä New York University Department of Chemistry/ New York, NY 12 February 201 1979 TR-6 Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited 406 817 79 03 12 062

	y Classification		
	DOCUMENT C	ONTROL DATA - R&D	
(Security classi	lication of title, body of abstract and inde	xing annotation must be entered when	the overall report is classified)
ORIGINATING ACT	IVITY (Corporate author)	2. REP(	MERICURITY CLASSIFICATION
	NEW YORK UNIVERSITY		Unclassified
		20 GRO	JP
REPORT TITLE			
	DIFFUSION LIMIT FOR THE IN LIQUIDS	TRANSPORT OF QUASIFRE	E ELECTRONS
DESCRIPTIVE NOT	ES (Type of report and inclusive dates)		
AUTHOR(S) (Last o	Technical Report, Febru	ary 20, 1979	
AUTHOR(3) (Lest h			
	PAES LEME, P. J.		
REPORT DATE		7. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS
	FEBRUARY 20, 1979	2	6
. CONTRACT OR G	N00014-75-C-0397	94. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NU	MBER(S)
5. PROJECT NO.	NR 051-258	6 (New Ser	ies)
c.		95. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (An this report)	y other numbers that may be assigned
_		N.A.	
AVAILABILITY	LIMITATION NOTICES		
	This document has been a	approved for public rea	lease and sale;
L SUPPLEMENTARY	NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACT	
~	None	N.A.	
,	A model of photoelectron of a transport problem.	n emission by liquids : Its asymptotic behav:	is treated in terms
	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that pres	free path becomes small red. This solution is viously assumed in the	ior is studied in ll and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes smalled. This solution is riously assumed in the	ior is studied in 11 and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes small red. This solution is viously assumed in the	ior is studied in 11 and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes smalled. This solution is riously assumed in the	ior is studied in 11 and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
•	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes small red. This solution is riously assumed in the	ior is studied in ll and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes smalled. This solution is riously assumed in the	ior is studied in 11 and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes smalled. This solution is riously assumed in the	ior is studied in 11 and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes smalled. This solution is riously assumed in the	ior is studied in ll and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes smalled. This solution is riously assumed in the	ior is studied in 11 and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
•	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes smalled. This solution is viously assumed in the	ior is studied in ll and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes smalled. This solution is riously assumed in the	ior is studied in ll and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes small red. This solution is viously assumed in the	ior is studied in ll and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.
D FORM 1	the limit when the mean recombination is neglect agreement with that prev	free path becomes smalled. This solution is viously assumed in the	ior is studied in ll and the geminetric then shown to be in Nemec theory.

-

4.		LINI	K A	LINK B		LINKC	
	KEY WORDS	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
:	Diffusion						
	Energy transfer						
:	Liquid						
(	Quasifree electrons						
5	Fransport equation						

ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

95. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS). (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.

Unclassified

Security Classification

# DIFFUSION LIMIT FOR THE TRANSPORT OF QUASIFREE ELECTRONS IN LIQUIDS P. J. Paes Leme\* Department of Chemistry, New York University 4 Washington Place, New York, NY 10003

### Abstract

A model of photoelectron emission by liquids is treated in terms of a transport problem. Its asymptotic behavior is studied in the limit when the mean free path becomes small and the geminetric recombination is neglected. This solution is then shown to be in agreement with that previously assumed in the Nemec theory.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The model of photoelectron emission by liquids studied<sup>1,2</sup> involves three steps: generation of quasifree electrons in the bulk of the liquid, their transport towards the liquid-vacuum interface, and the overcoming of the barrier at the liquid-vacuum interface. The probability distribution of finding a quasifree electron generated at a certain point  $\vec{r}_0$  with kinetic energy  $E_g$ , in a volume element d $\vec{r}$  about  $\vec{r}$  with kinetic energy dE about E, plays a central role in the study of the energy distribution curves (EDC) of photoelectrons emitted by solutions. Such probability distributions can be obtained from the solution of a steady linear transport equation. We study

\*Present address: Departmento de Matematica, Pontificia Universidade Catolica de R. J., R. Marques de S. Vicente 225, 22453 - Rio de Janeiro - R. J., Brasil the asymptotic behavior of such solution in the limit when the mean free path between collisions of the electron with the liquid molecules is small (diffusion theory limit) and the Coulombic interaction between the electron and its parent is not considered. Here we make use of known results from the neutron theory of slowing down problems (Fermi-age theory).<sup>3</sup> The solution we then obtain agrees in form with the solution assumed by Nemec.<sup>2</sup> In our case the law of energy loss in the process can be derived once we know the form of the electronic collision cross-section. The problem treated here, but with the added complication of geminate recombination, is presently being investigated by adapting different techniques.<sup>4-6</sup>

#### 2. FORMULATION

We assume:

(a1) the electrons are classical point particles of mass m, moving about a medium consisting of host particles of mass M, with m << M;</p>

(a2) the density of the electrons is sufficiently small so that the effect and frequency of electron-electron collisions are negligible;

(a3) the solute molecules are sufficiently dilute in such a way that electron-ion interactions are negligible;

(a4) the liquid is isotropic and homogeneous;

(a5) there is no absorption (of electrons);

(a7) the scattering

isotropic.

(a6) the coulombic interaction between the electron and its parent is not considered;

between	electrons	and	the	lig	uid	mole	cules	is
				NE	113	1	13 3	1
							5	
				0) E				
			8	·	0 -		NY.	
			N.S.		1360			
			0.536	20	ANNO FILTE	2	證	d
			8	100	NB SI	A A	SE .	

Under the above assumptions (al)-(a7) our photoelectron emission model can be formulated in terms of a steady linear transport equation for the electrons  $[\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}_{r} + v_{\sigma}(v)]N(r,v) = \int v'_{\sigma}(v')f(v'_{\tau} v)N(\vec{r},\vec{v}')d\vec{v}' + S(\vec{r},\vec{v})$  (2.1) where  $N(\vec{r},\vec{v})d\vec{r}d\vec{v}$  denotes the number of electrons in the element of volume  $d\vec{r}d\vec{v}$ about  $(\vec{r},\vec{v})$ ;  $v_{\sigma}(v)$  denotes the probability per unit time of collision of an electron whose speed is v;  $f(v'_{\tau} v)dv$  the probability that an electron with speed v' before collision achieves a speed in the element dv about v; and S is a source term. Note because of (a5)  $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(v'_{\tau} v)dv = 1$ .

We are interested in the solution of (2.1) when an isotropic source is positioned at a point  $\vec{r}_0$ , which we can take to be the origin. Here we assume electrons disappear once their kinetic energy is below a certain specified value. This value can be its thermal energy or any other related to the interface barrier (liquid-vacuum) which is assumed to be constant.

Let  $\vec{v} = v\vec{n}$ . The transport equation (2.1) can be rewritten as an integral equation for the electron density

$$n(\vec{r},v) = \int N(\vec{r},v\vec{\alpha})d\vec{\alpha}$$
 (2.2)

To do this, first integrate (2.1) along its characteristic curve in the following way. Denote the right-hand side of (2.1) by  $Q(\vec{r},v)$ , since  $\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_r$ is simply the derivative taken along the direction of  $\vec{n}$ , if we replace  $\vec{r}$  by  $\vec{r} - R\vec{n}$  in (2.1) we can write it in the following form  $[-d/dR + \sigma(v)]N(\vec{r}-R\vec{n},v\vec{n}) = v^{-1}Q(\vec{r}-R\vec{n},v)$  (2.3) after dividing both sides by v. If  $Q(\vec{r}-R\vec{n},v)$  is supposed known, integration of (2.3) leads to

$$N(\vec{r}, v\vec{n}) = N(\vec{r} - R_0 \vec{n}, v\vec{n}) \exp \{-\sigma(v)R_0\} + v^{-1} \int_0^{R_0} Q(\vec{r} - R\vec{n}, v) \exp \{-\sigma(v)R'\} dR'$$
(2.4)

after setting R = 0. Because there are no electrons coming directly from infinity we have

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{R_{0} \to \infty} N(\vec{r} - R_{0}\vec{n}, v\vec{n}) \exp\{-\sigma(v)R_{0}\} = 0. \\ &R_{0} \to \infty \end{split}$$
 Therefore we can write (2.4) as 
$$N(\vec{r}, v\vec{n}) = v^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} Q(\vec{r} - R\vec{n}, v) \exp\{-\sigma(v)R\} dR \qquad (2.5)$$
 If we integrate (2.5) over all  $n$ , put  $\vec{r} - R\vec{n} = \vec{r}'$  and notice that  $dRd\vec{n} = d\vec{R}/R^{2}$   $= d\vec{r}'/|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^{2}$ , we find for (2.2) 
$$n(\vec{r}, v) = v^{-1} \int d\vec{r}' |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^{-2}Q(\vec{r}', v) \exp\{-\sigma(v)|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|\}$$
 or in terms of the flux  $\phi(\vec{r}, v) = vn(\vec{r}, v)$   $\phi(\vec{r}, v) = (4\pi)^{-1} d\vec{r}' |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^{-2} \exp\{\sigma(v)|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|\}$  (2.6)

Equation (2.6) can be written in terms of energy dependent qualities by changing variables,  $E = mv^2/2$ . We get  $\varphi(\vec{r}, E) = (4\pi)^{-1} \int d\vec{r} \cdot |\vec{r} - \vec{r} \cdot |^{-2} exp\{-\sigma(E) |\vec{r} - \vec{r} \cdot |\} \cdot \{\int_{0}^{\infty} dE'\sigma(E')f(E' + E) (\vec{r} \cdot , E') + 4\pi S(\vec{r} \cdot , E)\}$ (2.7) where  $f(v' + v) = (2mE')^{1/2}f(E' + E)$ .

#### 3. DIFFUSION WITH MODERATION APPROXIMATION

Assume the energy after each collision is, with equal probability, anywhere between  $(1-\alpha)E'$  and E', for some fixed value of  $\alpha > 0$ , i.e.,  $f(E' + E) = \begin{cases} 1/\alpha E' & \text{for } (1-\alpha)E' \leq E \leq E' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

$$\Phi(\vec{r}, E) = (4\pi)^{-1} \int d\vec{r} \, |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^{-2} \exp\{-\sigma(E) |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|\} \cdot \left\{ \int_{E}^{E/(1-\alpha)} dE'(\alpha E')^{-1} \alpha(E') \Phi(\vec{r}', E') + 4\pi S(\vec{r}', E) \right\}$$
(3.1)

In terms of the lethargy  $u = \ln(E_0/E)$ , where  $E_0$  is so chosen that the lethargy would be positive for practically all the electrons which play a role in the theory, for example,  $E_0 = 100 \text{ eV}$ , and the collision density  $F(\vec{r}, u) = E_0(E) \Phi(\vec{r}, E)$ , eq. (3.1) becomes

$$F(\vec{r},u) = (4\pi)^{-1}\sigma(u) \int d\vec{r} \, |\vec{r} - \vec{r} \, |^{-2} \exp\{-\sigma(u) |\vec{r} - \vec{r} \, |\}$$

$$\{\alpha^{-1} \int_{u-\zeta}^{u} du' e^{u' - u} F(\vec{r} \, , u') + 4\pi S_{u}(\vec{r} \, , u)\}$$
(3.2)

where  $\zeta = -\ln(1 - \alpha)$  and  $S_u(\vec{r}, u) = ES(\vec{r}, E)$  is the number of electrons liberated per unit volume and unit time and per unit lethargy.

Equation (3.2) can be transformed by Taylor's expansion, into a differential equation if we assume S and F do not vary much within a mean free path  $1/\sigma$ . Expand F in powers of (u'-u), then

$$F(\vec{r}',u') = F(\vec{r}',u) - (u-u')(\partial/\partial u)F(\vec{r}',u) + \text{higher order terms}$$
(3.3)  
Because

$$\alpha^{-1} \int_{u-\zeta}^{u} du' e^{u'-u} = 1$$
  
and  
$$\alpha^{-1} \int_{u-\zeta}^{u} du' (u-u') e^{u'-u} = \alpha^{-1} \{-\zeta e^{-\zeta} - e^{-\zeta} + 1\}$$
  
$$= 1 + \alpha^{-1} (1-\alpha) \ln(1-\alpha) = \xi$$

(5 = average increase in u), from (3.3) we obtain  

$$\alpha^{-1} \int_{u-\zeta}^{u} du'e^{u'-u}F(\vec{r'},u') = F(\vec{r'},u) - (\partial/\partial u)\xi F(\vec{r'}u)$$
 (3.4)  
if we neglect the higher order terms. The variation of F with respect to u is  
caused by its variation with  $\vec{r}$  and it will be small if the latter is also  
small. This is a condition for the validity of this development.  
Expand  $F(\vec{r'},u)$  in powers of  $(\vec{r}-\vec{r'})$ ,

$$F(\vec{r}',u) = F(\vec{r},u) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')_i (\partial/\partial r_i) F(\vec{r},u)$$

$$+ 2^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')_i (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')_j (\partial^2/\partial r_i \partial r_j) F(\vec{r},u)$$

$$i=1 \ j=1$$

$$+ \ higher \ order \ terms \qquad (3.5)$$

and the second states and the second

where the subscript i stands for the i-th component. Because of the factor  $\exp\{-\sigma(u)|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|\}$  in (3.2), only the contribution for small values of  $|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|$ , up to the order of a few mean free paths  $1/\sigma(u)$ , will be significant. Therefore we replace  $F(\vec{r}',u)$  in the first term of (3.4) by the first three terms of the series (3.5),  $S_u(\vec{r}',u)$  and  $(\Im/\Im u)\xi F(\vec{r}',u)$  by their values at  $\vec{r}'$ =  $\vec{r}$ . Since  $(4\pi)^{-1}\sigma \int d\vec{r}' |\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|^{-2} \exp\{-\sigma |\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|\} = 1$ ,  $(4\pi)^{-1}\sigma \int d\vec{r}' |\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|^{-2} (\vec{r}-\vec{r}')_i \exp\{-\sigma |\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|\} = 0$  (i = 1,2,3) and

 $(4\pi)^{-1}\sigma \int d\vec{r} \, |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^{-2} (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')_{i} (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')_{j} \exp\{-\sigma |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|\} = (2/3\sigma^{2})\delta_{ij}$ we get from (3.2)  $(\partial/\partial u)\xi F(\vec{r}, u) = (1/3\sigma^{2}(u)) \Delta_{r} F(\vec{r}, u) + S_{u}(\vec{r}, u)$  (3.6)

#### 4. COMPARISON WITH NEMEC'S APPROXIMATION

Introduce a new variable  $\tau$ , referred to as the age of the electron,

$$\tau = \int_{u_0}^{u} du/3\xi \sigma^2(u) = \int_{E}^{E_0} dE/3\xi E \sigma^2(E)$$
(4.1)

The diffusion equation (3.6) then becomes

 $(\partial/\partial \tau)(\xi F) = \Delta_r(\xi F) \Rightarrow S_\tau(\vec{r}, \tau)$  (4.2) where  $S_\tau(\vec{r}, \tau) = 3\xi \sigma^2(u) S_u(\vec{r}, u)$  is the number of electrons produced by the source per unit time and unit  $\tau$  interval.

For a point source of monoenergetic electrons and unit strength, equation (4.2) gives the distribution  $q = \xi F = [4\pi(\tau - \tau_g)]^{-3/2} \exp\{-r^2/4(\tau - \tau_g)\}$ (4.3) where  $\tau_g$  is the age of the electrons emitted by the source. If the source is not monochromatic, the solution of (4.2) is obtained by integrating (4.3) against the distribution function of the energies or ages of the generated electrons.

The solution (4.3) here obtained agrees with Nemec's<sup>2</sup> if we identify the quantity he calls R =  $(i\lambda/6)^{1/2}$ , where is the total length of the random walk and  $\lambda$  is its mean free path, with the square root of  $\tau - \tau_g$ , i.e., if  $R^2 = \tau - \tau_g = \int_E^{E_g} dE/3\xi E\sigma^2(E)$  (4.4) If we assume  $\sigma(E) = \sigma_o$ , where  $\sigma_o$  is a constant, then from (4.4) we get  $R = (3\xi\sigma_o^2)^{-1/2}[\ln(E_g/E)]^{1/2}$  If  $\sigma(E) = \sigma_o E^{-n/2}$ ,  $n \neq 0$ , then  $R = (3\xi\sigma_o^2)^{-1/2}(E_g^n - E^n)^{1/2}$  The laws of energy loss considered by Nemec can be recovered from the above

for n = 3/2, 2 and 1

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is part of an investigation supported by the Office of Naval Research and the National Science Foundation. I would like to express my gratitude to Professor P. Delahay for suggesting this problem and for providing support (from NSF sources) throughout this work, as well as for most useful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup>P. Delahay, J. Chem Phys. <u>55</u>, 4188 (1971).
 <sup>2</sup>L. Nemec, J. Chem. Phys. <u>59</u>, 6092 (1973).
 <sup>3</sup>A. M. Weinberg and E. P. Wigner, <u>The Physical Theory of Neutron Chain Reactors</u>, Univ. of Chicago Press (1958), Chapter 11, pp. 317-377.
 <sup>4</sup>E. W. Larsen and J. B. Keller, J. Math. Phys. <u>15</u>, 75 (1974).
 <sup>5</sup>E. W. Larsen, J. Math. Phys. <u>15</u>, 299 (1974).
 <sup>6</sup>G. Papanicolau, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. <u>81</u>, 330 (1975).

## TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 472	2	Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
ONR Branch Office 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605		U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park,. N.C. 2 Attn: CRD-AA-IP	7709 1
ONR Branch Office 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 92152 Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney	1
Attn: Scientific Dept. ONR Branch Office	1	Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster Chemistry Division	1
Pasadena, California 91106 Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laborat Port Hueneme, California 93401	ory
One Hallidie Plaza, Suite 601 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller	2 1	Professor K. E. Woehler Department of Physics & Chemist	ry
ONR Branch Office Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street		Monterey, California 93940 Dr. A. L. Slafkosky	1
Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles	1	Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1)	
Washington, D.C. 20390 Attn: Code 6100	itory 1	Office of Naval Research	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R,E&S) Department of the Navy		800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller	1
Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Naval Ship Research and Develop Center Annapolis, Maryland 21401	ment
Commander, Naval Air Systems Co Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	ommand	Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian Applied Chemistry Divisi	on 1
Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasse	er) 1	Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 91232 Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division	1

#### TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 051B

	N	0			
Co	P	i	e	S	

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

and farmer and and

Professor K. Wilson University of California, San Diego Department of Chemistry, B-014 La Jolla, California 92093 1

Professor C. A. Angell Purdue University Department of Chemistry West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Professor P. Meijer Catholic University of America Department of Physics Washington, D.C. 20064

Dr. S. Greer Chemistry Department University of Maryland College Park, Maryland 20742

Dr. T. Ashworth South Dakota School of Mines & Technology Department of Physics Rapid City, South Dakota 57701

Dr. G. Gross New Mexico Institute of Mining & Technology Socorro, New Mexico 87801

Dr. J. Kassner University of Missouri - Rolla Space Science Research Center Rolla, Missouri 65401

Dr. J. Telford University of Nevada System Desert Research Institute Lab of Atmospheric Physics Reno, Nevada 89507 No. Copies

1

1

Dr. B. Vonnegut State University of New York Earth Sciences Building 1400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12203

Dr. Hank Loos Laguna Research Laboratory 21421 Stans Lane Laguna Beach, California 92651 1

Dr. John Latham University of Manchester Institute of Science & Technology P.O. Box 88 Manchester, England M60 1QD