AD-A06	5 590 SIFIED	OHIO S AN ITE JUN 78	TATE UN RATIVE E L F ESL-(78	APPROAC ELTON, 1)4583-6	UMBUS CH FOR R J MAI	ELECTRO COMPUTI RHEFKA,	SCIENCE NG AN A W D BU NADC-79	LAB NTENNA RNSIDE 045-30	APERTUR N62269	E DISTR	/G 9/5 IBET( 554 NL	:(U)	1
	.   OF   AD AO 65590						1 -1 -1			A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONTRAC		BORNESSER BORNESSER TOTAL	
										- Contraction			İ
				Marcoller.		Nation BOD Nation Nation Nation		REFERRE					İ
					END DATE FILMED 579								
													/



### AN ITERATIVE APPROACH FOR COMPUTING AN ANTENNA APERTURE DISTRIBUTION FROM GIVEN RADIATION PATTERN DATA

Ε.	L.	Pelton
R.	J.	Marhefka
۷.	D.	Burnside

Technical Report (78)4583-6 Contract No. N62269-76-C-0554 June 1978



ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION N	D. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NADC-79045-30	
A. TITLE (and Subtitie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
AN ITERATIVE APPROACH FOR COMPUTING AN ANTENNA APERTURE DISTRIBUTION FROM GIVEN RADIATION	Technical Report
PATTERN DATA	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER ESL (78)4583-6
7. AUTHOR() E   Dolton	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
R. J. Marhefka W. D. Burnside	Contract N62269-76-C-0554
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
The Ohio State University ElectroScience	63206N WP6-1154
Columbus, Ohio 43212	WTW 180000, RA702
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Naval Air Development Center	JUNE 1978
Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974	41
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if different i	rom Report)
	the second s
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	6
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	6
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>Supplementary and identify by block numbers of the second state of the second st</li></ul>	or)
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration</li> </ul>	pr)
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration Computed aperture distribution</li> </ul>	ər)
<ol> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration Computed aperture distribution Measured radiation pattern magnitude</li> </ol>	ər)
<ol> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block numb Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration Computed aperture distribution Measured radiation pattern magnitude</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block numbe An iteration on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block numbe</li> </ol>	e)
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration Computed aperture distribution Measured radiation pattern magnitude</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe An iterative synthesis procedure is presen problem of computing the complex aperture distri</li> </ul>	*) ted and applied to the
<ol> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration Computed aperture distribution Measured radiation pattern magnitude</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe An iterative synthesis procedure is presen problem of computing the complex aperture distri given its far field magnitude pattern. The meth</li> </ol>	" ted and applied to the bution of an antenna, ods employed are applicable
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block numb Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration Computed aperture distribution Measured radiation pattern magnitude</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block numbe An iterative synthesis procedure is presen problem of computing the complex aperture distri given its far field magnitude pattern. The meth to antennas composed of either discrete or conti</li> </ul>	") ted and applied to the bution of an antenna, ods employed are applicable nuously distributed
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration Computed aperture distribution Measured radiation pattern magnitude</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe An iterative synthesis procedure is presen problem of computing the complex aperture distri given its far field magnitude pattern. The meth to antennas composed of either discrete or conti apertures, flush-mounted in a finite or infinite</li> </ul>	" ted and applied to the bution of an antenna, ods employed are applicable nuously distributed ground plane. The
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration Computed aperture distribution Measured radiation pattern magnitude</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe An iterative synthesis procedure is presen problem of computing the complex aperture distri given its far field magnitude pattern. The meth to antennas composed of either discrete or conti apertures, flush-mounted in a finite or infinite solutions obtainable with the procedure are part</li> </ul>	" ted and applied to the bution of an antenna, ods employed are applicable nuously distributed ground plane. The icularly useful for
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block numb Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration Computed aperture distribution Measured radiation pattern magnitude</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block numbe An iterative synthesis procedure is presen problem of computing the complex aperture distri given its far field magnitude pattern. The meth to antennas composed of either discrete or conti apertures, flush-mounted in a finite or infinite solutions obtainable with the procedure are part subsequent numerical computation of an antenna's formance, when introduced into a different structure</li> </ul>	" ted and applied to the bution of an antenna, ods employed are applicable nuously distributed ground plane. The icularly useful for radiation pattern per- tural environment
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>NEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbers Synthesis procedure Numerical iteration Computed aperture distribution Measured radiation pattern magnitude</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number An iterative synthesis procedure is presen problem of computing the complex aperture distri given its far field magnitude pattern. The meth to antennas composed of either discrete or conti apertures, flush-mounted in a finite or infinite solutions obtainable with the procedure are part subsequent numerical computation of an antenna's formance, when introduced into a different struct</li> </ul>	" ted and applied to the bution of an antenna, ods employed are applicable nuously distributed ground plane. The icularly useful for radiation pattern per- tural environment.

----

.....

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

### 20.

Several example solutions are presented to demonstrate the procedure and to point out specific techniques found useful in its implementation.

ACCESSION for NTIS White Section DDC Buff Section C UMANNOUNCED JUSTIFICATION \_ BY ..... DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES Dist. AVAIL and or SPECIAL 2

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

# CONTENTS

Page

Contraction of the second seco

I	INTRODUCTION		1
11	THE THEORETICAL BASIS OF SYNTHESIS PROCEDURE	THE	2
ш	NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION THE PROCEDURE	OF	6
IV	CONCLUSIONS		21
REFERENCES			27
Appendix	A		28

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This report describes a synthesis procedure for efficiently computing the aperture distribution of an antenna based on knowledge of its measured radiation pattern. Although the methods considered are generally applicable to the problem of antenna pattern synthesis, they are considered here from the standpoint of providing a useful tool in the computer-aided design of on-aircraft antenna systems. As a first step in a typical on-aircraft antenna problem, the designer is confronted with the task of selecting one or more candidate antenna configurations which show promise of providing the desired performance in the on-aircraft environment. The selection of candidate antennas is usually made by analysis of measured pattern data taken with the antenna in isolation or mounted in a finite ground plane. Traditionally, the antenna designer tests each of the antennas on an actual or scale-model aircraft, and after many measurements a suitable on-aircraft antenna system eventually evolves.

In recent years it has often been possible to use computer simulation to carry out much of the detailed on-aircraft antenna design. Such computer aided designs have typically relied on assumed approximate aperture distributions to analytically represent the candidate antenna, since the detailed distribution for the actual antenna is usually not available. The synthesis procedure described in the present report provides an efficient means for overcoming this difficulty.

The approaches employed are, with some modifications, an adaptation of basic synthesis techniques presented by Mautz and Harrington [1]. The viewpoint here differs from the usual synthesis situation, however, in that the desired pattern is assumed to be actual and available data, rather than an idealized specification. More specifically the procedure as employed here requires detailed pattern magnitude data for the candidate antenna, taken in the principal planes (i.e., E- and/or H-planes) of the antenna. Since only pattern magnitude data is assumed to be given, an iterative numerical procedure is required to evolve the unspecified pattern phase information.

The methods to be discussed are equally applicable to continuous or discretely distributed aperture antennas, and the antenna aperture may be isolated or mounted in a finite ground plane. For simplicity, the discussion specifically considers the antennas to be linearly polarized, with a planar aperture mounted in a planar ground plane. The methods, however, are general and could readily be extended to treat arbitrarily polarized antennas, as well as arbitrarily curved apertures and ground planes.

The following section presents a brief discussion of the theoretical basis for the synthesis methods employed. Section III discusses details of its numerical implementation and presents several example solutions obtained for specific measured antenna geometries.

#### II. THE THEORETICAL BASIS OF THE SYNTHESIS PROCEDURE

This section discusses the general theoretical aspects of a synthesis procedure for computing the aperture amplitude and phase distribution of an antenna, given its far-field magnitude pattern. The theoretical approaches employed are similar to those reported by Mautz and Harrington [1]. The subsequently discussed (Section III) numerical implementation of the basic procedure is more specifically geared to our application requirements as outlined in the Introduction.

The general problem to be solved is depicted in Figure 1a. Starting with a given or specified radiation pattern, it is desired to find an aperture distribution (in both amplitude and phase) whose field suitably approximates the given radiation pattern. The aperture distribution may be either discrete or continuously distributed and the ground plane containing the aperture may be finite (including no ground plane) or infinite. For the purposes of analysis, both the computed source (i.e., the computed aperture distribution) and its resulting field are considered to be representable by discrete sets of values. This situation is depicted in Figure 1b. If the true source is continuously distributed the discrete representation is, of course, an approximation. If the true source is discrete the discrete representation may be exact in theory (although any of a multitude of factors would, in practice, prevent an exact realization). The N elemental sources (actually their relative weights) representing the true source can be expressed in matrix form as

$$f = [f_n] = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ f_N \end{bmatrix}$$

(1)

(2)

In a similar manner the synthesized pattern, computed at M field points, can be expressed as

$$g = [g_m] = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ g_M \end{bmatrix}$$



The source and resulting field are then formally related according to the relation

$$[T]f = g.$$

Here [T] is an MxN matrix, expressed as

$$[T] = [T_{mn}] = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1N} \\ T_{21} & & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ T_{M1} & \cdots & \cdots & T_{MN} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

T<sub>mn</sub> = the field of the nth elemental source, evaluated at the mth field point location.

The synthesis problem can now be expressed by the approximate relation

[T]f % g

where  $g_0$  is the finite or infinite set of specified field values (Note that g in Equation (3) is the set of M synthesized field values, resulting from the N elemental sources). It may be noted that there are several ways to solve Equation (5) for the unknown set of sources  $[f_n]$ . For example, if the matrix [T] is square and non singular Equation (5) can be inverted directed to yield the set of values  $[f_n]$ . In this case the field can be synthesized correctly at M pattern points, but no control of the pattern is obtained between these points. If M is less than N, the problem is underspecified (i.e., there are more unknowns than independent equations), and it is possible to obtain more than one set of  $[f_n]$  which satisfy Equation (5). Finally, if M is greater than N, then an exact solution usually doesn't exist, but a unique least squares solution can be found. This latter solution case is usually the most common one encountered in practice and is, therefore, the one adopted in this study.

It can readily be shown (e.g., see Reference [1]) that the set of  $[f_n]$  can be determined which minimizes the pattern error in the sense of obtaining the least mean square error when Equation (5) is solved according to the relation

(4)

(5)

(3)

$$[f_n] = \left\{ [\tilde{T}][T] \right\}^{-1} [\tilde{T}] g_0, \qquad (6)$$

where the tilda over the matrix indicates conjugate transpose. The mean squared pattern error resulting from the above solution is given by

$$\varepsilon = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n T_{mn} - h_m e^{j\beta_m} \right|^2 , \qquad (7)$$

where the mth specified pattern value has been expressed as

$$g_{om} = h_m e^{j\beta_m}$$
 (8)

The error as expressed by Equation (7) assumes that the pattern is specified in both amplitude and phase (Equation (8)). As discussed in the Introduction, pattern phase data may not be available or, for other reasons, may not be specified. In this situation a solution to Equation (6) which minimizes the pattern error can still be obtained. Specifically, for any set of solutions  $[f_n]$  the pattern error is minimized when the terms in Equation (7) are in phase. This requires that the phases,  $\beta_m$ , of each of the field values satisfy the relation



When pattern magnitude only data is specified (i.e., the  $h_m$ , in Equation (8)) an iteration process is used to find a set of  $\beta_m$  which satisfy Equation (9) (and which thereby yield a solution for the sources [f<sub>n</sub>] which minimize the pattern error  $\varepsilon$  according to Equation (7)). The steps in the basic iteration procedure, as given in Reference [1], are summarized as follows:

1. Assume starting values for the field point phases  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots \beta_M$ .

(9)

- 2. Keep the  $\beta_m$  fixed and compute the elemental source values  $f_n$  which minimize the pattern error  $\varepsilon$ , using Equation (6).
- 3. Keep the fn fixed and compute the  $\beta_m$  which minimize  $\varepsilon$ , using Equation (9).
- 4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 in iterative fashion until a small and stable value of  $\varepsilon$  is obtained.

Although numerical computations performed with this basic iteration procedure lead to a convergent solution for the elemental sources  $f_{n_{e}}$ the rate of convergence has been found to be rather slow in some cases. In order to reduce the number of iterations required for convergence, a modified procedure was developed. Within a given iteration, the modified procedure makes incremental changes in both the computed fn and the computed  $\beta_m$  (i.e., following steps 2 and 3, respectively, above), based upon the change in these values between successive iterations. The modified procedure is included in the computer code presented in Appendix A, and has been found to reduce the number of iterations required by about a factor of ten in most instances, compared to the basic procedure. Finally it should be noted that although the synthesis procedure discussed here generally yields satisfactory solutions, the solutions obtained are not unique. For example, depending upon the assumed starting values for the field point phases (i.e., step 1 in the iteration procedure) one could obtain somewhat different solutions for the sources fn.

#### III. NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROCEDURE

This section contains a discussion of some of the specific details pertinent to practical application of the synthesis procedure. Examples are presented which demonstrate the techniques and are representative of results obtainable. For the present discussion it is assumed that the antenna is linearly polarized, and that its pattern is specified by measured principal plane pattern data. Also, the size and shape (e.g., rectangular) of the aperture are assumed to be known and, if the antenna aperture is flush-mounted in a finite ground plane, the dimensions of the ground plane are also assumed to be given.

With the antenna characteristics physically and electrically defined as indicated above, the first step in numerically implementing the synthesis procedure involves selection of appropriate values for M and N, which it may be recalled are the total number of specified field point values and the total number of elemental sources, respectively. In general, appropriate selection of the values for both M and N are directly related to the size of the antenna aperture. (That is, as the aperture size increases, the appropriate values for both M and N should be correspondingly increased.) As will be demonstrated shortly, adequate representation of the aperture distribution usually requires that the elemental sources be spaced on the order of  $.25\lambda$  apart. With the size of the aperture known and the elemental source spacing selected as just indicated, a suitable value for the number of sources N can be chosen. As noted earlier the number M, of field point values selected, will generally be considerably larger (e.g., 3-20 times larger) than the number of elemental sources employed. In practice, the actual number of field point values selected involves making a tradeoff between desired accuracy and computational efficiency. On the one hand enough field

point values should be selected from the given pattern data to describe the detailed structure of the pattern with reasonable accuracy. On the other hand, selection of a large number of field points increases computation time and may not yield a substantially better solution. In any event, an appropriate selection of field points (both in number and relative location) can usually be obtained by inspection from the given pattern data.

Having selected a list of field point values and an appropriate number of sources as described above, an analytical model of the radiating aperture is established to compute the elements of the matrix  $[T_{mn}]$ associated with the fields of the elemental sources, as defined in Equation (4). For the examples to be presented, the elemental sources employed are magnetic line sources. A typical geometry depicting their placement within the known aperture configuration is depicted in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Geometry depicting computation of a matrix element  $T_{mn}$ . A matrix element is the computed value of the total field radiated by the nth elemental source  $f_n$ , evaluated at the mth specified location.

As may be recalled from the previous section each element of the matrix  $[T_{mn}]$  is simply the computed value of the field of the nth elemental source evaluated at the mth selected field point location. Evaluation of the  $T_{mn}$  is straight-forward, and need be computed only once (assuming that the values are stored). If, as depicted in Figure 2, the aperture is mounted in a finite ground plane, the diffracted fields from the plate edges may be included in the computation by superposition with the direct field from each elemental source. When the effects of a finite ground plane are included in this manner selection of field point values will be most effective if all of the field values are chosen from the half-space directly illuminated by the aperture (i.e., the half-space on the antenna side of the ground plane, in Figure 2).

As a first typical example of the type of antenna which can be treated with the foregoing analysis, the antenna configuration depicted in Figure 3a was constructed. The measured E-plane radiation pattern (i.e., pattern plane is the x-z plane in Figure 3a) for this antenna is depicted in Figure 3b. Since the 1.33 inch width of the antenna aperture corresponds to about 1.25 wavelengths at the 11 GHz measurement frequency, six magnetic line sources were selected to model the aperture. The sources were uniformly spaced and oriented parallel to the y axis (see coordinate system of Figure 3a). For specified pattern data points, a set of 91 field values were selected from the measured pattern data of Figure 3b. In order to demonstrate how the iterative synthesis procedure converges to a final solution for the antenna of Figure 3, Figure 4 shows a sequence of patterns computed after 1, 10, 20, 40 and 80 iterations of the numerical synthesis procedure. The measured data points employed to obtain the computed solution are shown on each pattern, and the computed relative magnitude and phase of the six elemental sources (from which each pattern is computed) are listed adjacent to each of the respective patterns. Finally, the pattern errors obtained at each of the indicated stages of the solution are also shown. The desired information in the solution as depicted sequentially in Figure 4 is, of course, the list of complex source values obtained after solution convergence is achieved. Convergence of the solution is achieved when the list of source values obtained remains relatively constant (or alternatively, when the pattern error values reach a relatively stable minimum value). For the solution depicted in Figure 4, a convergent solution was obtained after about 40 iterations (Figure 4d). This can be seen by comparing the results obtained after 40 and 80 iterations (Figures 4d and 4e, respectively).

Although one might choose to gauge solution convergence visually by comparing the synthesized pattern with the specified measured data points, this is not always a reliable approach. Because of errors in the measured pattern data (or, equivalently, failure to include a minor feature of the physical problem in the analytical model), the synthesized pattern may exhibit an irreducible error in some region of the pattern,







Sequential representation of computed source values, and corresponding radiation patterns, for the antenna of Figure 3. The results shown demonstrate, in sequence, the manner in which a convergent solution is obtained by use of the iterative synthesis procedure. Figure 4.



(b) Solution obtained after 10 iterations

Figure 4. (Continued)



(c) Solution obtained after 20 iterations

Figure 4. (Continued)



Figure 4. (Continued)

(d) Solution obtained after 40 iterations

13



South State State State State State



(e) Solution obtained after 80 iterations

even after a convergent solution has been attained. This situation is apparent in a portion of the computed patterns of Figures 4d or 4e. Since measurement errors tend to increase as the pattern levels decrease, it may be advantageous to specify fewer measured data points in the lower radiation level regions of the pattern. This technique is demonstrated in Figure 5, which shows the solution of Figure 4 recomputed using fewer measured data points (i.e., 65 data points were used instead of the original 91). In selecting the measured data points of Figure 5, the original data set was thinned by retaining only every other one of the original points in regions of the pattern with signal levels in the range between 10 and 15 dB below the peak pattern level, and retaining only every third data point in regions of the pattern with signal levels more than 15 dB down. It may be noted, by comparing the solution of Figure 5 with that of Figure 4d, that virtually the same solution is obtained when fewer data points are employed in this manner. In addition, the computation time was reduced substantially by use of fewer specified pattern data points.

As a second application of the synthesis procedure, the antenna geometry of Figure 6a was considered. This antenna was constructed and tested several years ago by Walter [2] as part of a study of endfire radiators. We have applied the synthesis procedure to an available measured pattern for this antenna as shown in Figure 6b. Although the antenna geometry of Figure 6a is similar in basic design to that just considered, it differs from the latter in two important respects. Specifically the aperture of the antenna in Figure 6a is roughly three times as large as that shown in Figure 3, and in addition, its aperture and waveguide feed were filled with dielectric. For the aperture of the antenna of Figure 6, 12 magnetic line sources were used to model its aperture distribution. The computed pattern obtained by applying the synthesis procedure is shown in Figure 7. The set of measured data points employed (as obtained from the measured pattern of Figure 6b) are shown on the pattern for comparison. The computed values of the 12 line sources from which the synthesized pattern was computed are also shown, as is the pattern error value obtained after solution convergence was attained (i.e., after 50 iterations, for the data shown in Figure 7).

The computed pattern of Figure 7, obtained by use of 12 sources to model the aperture, agrees very closely with the measured pattern from which it was synthesized (i.e., Figure 6b). In order to demonstrate how the quality of such solutions may degrade if too few sources are used to model the aperture distribution, the synthesis procedure was applied again to the same problem, but with only 7 sources employed to model the aperture distribution. The resulting solution is shown in Figure 8. The relatively poor agreement between the computed and measured patterns of Figure 8 and Figure 6b, respectively, is readily apparent, and is thought to be typical of the solution difficulties which may arise if too few sources are employed to model the aperture. As shown by the previously considered examples, this situation can be avoided by employing source spacings on the order of a quarter wavelength.







(a) .... -900 90 FIELD PATTERN ±180 (b)

- Figure 6. Second example antenna and its measured pattern.

  - a) Actual antenna geometryb) Its measured E-plane pattern



Computed source values and corresponding pattern for the antenna of Figure 6. As shown in the insert, 12 elemental line sources were used to model the antenna aperture. Figure 7.



Computed source values and corresponding radiation pattern for the antenna of Figure 6, using only 7 elemental sources to model the aperture. Comparison of the computed pattern shown with that of Figure 7 reveals the solution degradation resulting from use of too few sources to model the aperture. Figure 8.

The example solutions presented thus far have demonstrated that the synthesis procedure provides a useful method for computing the aperture distribution of an antenna, given its measured radiation pattern and basic physical dimensions. As discussed in the Introduction, the ultimate intended purpose of the procedure is to be able to employ an antenna's computed aperture distribution to predict the same antenna's radiation pattern performance in a different structural environment (e.g., when the antenna is mounted on an aircraft fuselage). As a demonstration of extending the analytical solution in this manner, the antenna geometry of Figure 3 was modified for further testing. To simulate its introduction into a new structural environment, an adjustable ground plane was added to the original antenna geometry, as depicted in Figure 9. Then,



Figure 9. Modified version of the antenna geometry of Figure 3. Measured and computed patterns pertaining to this geometry are presented in Figure 10.

using the computed aperture distribution for the original geometry (as listed in Figure 4d) together with a two-plate diffraction theory model of the modified antenna's ground plane structure, radiation patterns were computed for the new geometry, and compared with pattern measurements of the new geometry. The computed and measured patterns obtained are compared in Figure 10, for several selected positions of the adjustable ground plane. It may be noted that although the computed and measured patterns are not in perfect agreement, the extent of agreement is thought to be very good, especially in view of the fact that the computed solution originated from a different measured pattern.

A basic question of validity in applying the above technique hinges on the validity of the assumption that the antenna's aperture distribution remains essentially invariant when the antenna is introduced into a new structural environment. The comparison between computed and measured patterns presented in Figure 10 provides strong evidence that this assumption is valid for the modified geometry considered. As a more direct check of the invariance of the aperture distribution, the synthesis procedure was applied directly to both the original antenna geometry of Figure 3 and the modified geometry of Figure 10d (i.e., the case of a 5" planar extension added to the ground plane of the original antenna). The resulting computed aperture distributions, obtained for each geometry after 50, 60, 70, and 80 iterations of the respective solutions, are listed in Table I. Both solutions have essentially converged after 40 iterations, and the indicated changes in either of the respective solutions after 50, 60, 70, or 80 iterations are simply minor oscillatory adjustments inherent in the solution, as it progresses. By comparing the aperture distributions computed for the respective antenna geometries after a given number of iterations (e.g., after 80 iterations of each solution), it may be seen that the distributions computed for the respective geometries are in very close agreement. In fact, since the extent of agreement between solutions is roughly commensurate with that obtained within each solution as the number of solution iterations changes, the distributions computed for the two respective geometries could be viewed as being virtually identical.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

A synthesis procedure is presented which provides an efficient means for numerically computing the aperture distribution of an antenna, based on its specified radiation pattern characteristics. The viewpoint adopted here differs substantially from that of the traditional synthesis problem, however, in that the specified pattern is considered to consist of measured pattern data obtained from an existing well-defined antenna geometry. As applied, the solution of importance is the computed aperture distribution for the given antenna. Subsequently, the computed aperture distribution may be used to predict (i.e., compute) the radiation pattern performance of the given antenna in a different structural environment as, for example, when mounted on an aircraft. The anticipated utility of such a procedure when used in conjunction with available computer-aided design techniques, provided the primary motivation for this investigation.

The methods presented are applicable to antennas having either continuous or discrete apertures mounted in a finite or infinite ground plane. Since the given pattern data are assumed to be specified in





Figure 10. A specific example of how the results of the synthesis procedure can be used for pattern prediction. The computed patterns shown are for the modified antenna of Figure 9, and were obtained by using the computed source distribution for the unmodified antenna of Figure 3 (i.e., the source values of Figure 4d). A two-plate model is used to simulate the structure. The measured patterns shown were obtained directly from the antenna geometry depicted in Figure 9.





TABLE ISource Values Obtained After 50, 60, 70 and 80 Iterations,For The Antennas of Figure 3, and of Figure 9 With  $\alpha$ =180°.

	Antenna o	f Figure 3	-	Antenna of Fig	gure 9 (α=180°)
	Mag.	Phase		Mag.	Phase .
50			50		
1	.388627904794D+00	.382212322977D+01	-	.489492586841D+00	176149066536D+01
~	.730249013136D+00	.178678596250D+03	8	.702892278608D+00	.174737836122D+03
e	.100000000000000.	.141793267545D-09	e	00+02 66 66 66 66 66 66 .	190948144463D-09
4	.835028244244D+00	.170492265984D+03	4	.808195797446D+00	.167426375928D+03
5	.368704982496D+00	170214836377D+02	2	.3298894419330+00	175829854662D+02
9	.813250070177D-01	.162881317026D+03	9	.782458433318D-01	.169507075097D+03
60			60		
·1	.365276380509D+00	.248982463037D+01	1	.470113812692D+00	267972251978D+01
2	.725112087944D+00	.178320273274D+03	2	.710666320079D+00	.174324989284D+03
m	00+096666666666666	.298356427429D-10	e	00+096666666666666.	162943999411D-09
4	.847761757613D+00	.172533966009D+03	4	.822065104439D+00	.1698855711570+03
5	.392540106731D+00	136072393377D+02	2	.355825154056D+00	139887918113D+02
9	.912408204594D-01	.164982620839D+03	9	.875774103569D-01	.170448106684D+03
70			70		
1	.369004739892D+00	.269158449045D+01	-	.434459979571D+00	341828218485D+01
2	.726046531275D+00	.178375236869D+03	8	.720024995967D+00	.174555393344D+03
e	.100000000000000001.	.613938651910D-10	m	00+096666666666666	.130071599699D-09
4	.845771570609D+00	.172217243184D+03	4	.834344063812D+00	.172715865444D+03
2	.388955385511D+00	141372255284D+02	5	.381903486822D+00	104317680796D+02
9	.897939699398D-01	.164603230684D+03	9	.954741639943D-01	.171394075985D+03
80			80		
1	. 372971518960D+00	.291893643184D+01	1	.438750039 IZ0D+0	TO 10 20 20 10 4 40 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04
2	.726983317235D+00	.178437902643D+03	8	.719305298589D+00	1/45155216912 0010
e	00+096666666666666	.948933542454D-10	e	00+0966666666666666	.531838524937D-09
4	.843669170731D+00	.171872851405D+03	4	.832891015132D+00	.172416297773D+03
5	.385077410444D+00	147142838639D+02	S	.379042367316D+00	1080235164360+02
9	.882051481926D-01	.164207582202D+03	9	.946259687548D-01	.1712667298/8D+03

magnitude only, a numerical iteration process is required to evolve the unspecified phase information. In cases where both pattern magnitude and phase data are available, the solution for the desired aperture distribution may be obtained directly without using the iteration process. In addition to principal plane (e.g., E- and H-plane) pattern data, application of the synthesis procedure requires that the physical dimensions of the antenna aperture and the dimensions of the ground plane in which it is mounted (if any), be given. For antennas mounted in finite ground planes, the Geometrical Theory of Diffraction (GTD) is used to account for the effects of the ground plane edges. The antennas specifically considered in this investigation were composed of rectangular apertures, flush mounted in planar rectangular ground planes. For the apertures and pattern planes considered, the aperture distributions could be treated as one-dimensional. The basic methods presented are more generally applicable, however, and could be extended to treat non-planar apertures of arbitrary shape, which are flush mounted in curved surfaces.

During the course of the investigation the synthesis procedure was applied to several specific antenna geometries. The solutions obtained, as presented in Section III, serve to demonstrate the capabilities of the procedure. They also serve to point out some specific techniques which were found to be helpful in successfully implementing the procedure. It was found, for example, that the discrete sources employed to model the aperture distribution should be spaced about a quarter wavelength apart. As demonstrated by one of the examples, use of larger source spacings (e.g., spacings of  $\lambda/2$ ) may result in relatively large errors in the synthesized pattern (indicating use of an inadequate aperture representation). Additional helpful techniques, relating to the most appropriate selection of data points from a given antenna pattern, were also deduced from the antenna geometries considered. When the antenna aperture is mounted in a finite ground plane, it was found that the most efficient selection of data points is obtained when all of the points are chosen from the illuminated half of the radiation sphere (i.e., from the aperture side of the ground plane). The reason for this is that the diffracted fields on the shadow-side of the ground plane (i.e., the total fields in this region) are only indirectly related to the aperture fields (through the field incident on the edge) and these fields are adequately represented by their inclusion in the illuminated half-space fields.

Aside from the data selection consideration just noted, one has a considerable degree of latitude in selecting both the number and relative location of pattern data points. When, as assumed here, the pattern data points are selected from a measured pattern, it may be helpful to select fewer data points from the low signal level regions of the pattern. This technique, which was demonstrated in the previous section, simply acknowledges the fact that errors in measured signal levels tend to be larger in the low level regions of the pattern. Choice of fewer inaccurate data values often yields as accurate a solution as can be obtained, with an expenditure of considerably less computation time. Finally, it has been observed that solution difficulties may result if the true angular orientation of the measured pattern data is not accurately specified. Specifically, if the angular orientation of the pattern data is incorrectly specified by even a small amount (e.g., 1 or 2 degrees), solutions were found to converge rapidly, but the resulting synthesized pattern typically exhibited a relatively large pattern error. It was found that this difficulty could be overcome, however, by rotating the entire data set by a small amount, and repeating the solution until the orientation yielding the smallest pattern error is determined. This can only be done for small angular error in the alignment of the ground plane. Consequently, one should attempt to obtain the best possible set of measurements for the antenna under consideration. It is obvious that one can not start with patterns with large measurement errors and hope to synthesize an error-free aperture distribution.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. R. Mautz and R. F. Harrington, "Computational Methods for Antenna Pattern Synthesis," IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-23, No. 4, July 1975, pp. 507-512.
- [2] C. H. Walter, "End-Fire Slot Antennas," Report 486-12, 15 September 1953, The Ohio State University ElectroScience Laboratory (former Antenna Laboratory), Department of Electrical Engineering; prepared under Contract AF18(600)-85, for Air Research and Development Command, Wright Air Development Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. (AD 20000)

#### APPENDIX A

This appendix contains a brief, user oriented description and statement listing of the computer program developed as a part of the investigation. The program presented was used to obtain the computed results presented in Section III of the text. As presented, the program is written for use on an interactive system permitting teletype input and output. Provision is also included for visual cathode ray tube (CRT) display of the computed patterns, generated at specified intervals as the iterative solution progresses. Programming statements associated with these capabilities and other minor provisions which may not be conveniently implemented on other facilities, are noted with asterisks in the program listing. Comment statements (i.e., statements preceded by C!!!) are distributed throughout the program to describe the analytical function of various statement sequences.

As an aid in discussing the program, its major sections are depicted in block form in Table II. A brief description of the major functions performed within each of the letter-designated blocks is given as follows:

A - This block of statements (lines 21-52) inputs the antenna geometry, the integer number of sources (NS) chosen to model the aperture, the integer number (ITMX) of iterations desired, and the list of data values selected from the antenna's measured pattern. The antenna geometry is specified by inputting the dimensions SMIN, SMAX, and W, expressed in wavelengths. Definition of these quantities is depicted in Figure 11.









The pattern data values are defined by integer number (M), angle PH(M), and magnitude HD(M), as depicted in Figure 11. This data is read in freeformat, from a separate file. The specific list of pattern data values used in the example of Figure 4 (Section III) is shown in Table III. Note that the first number to be read is the total integer number of data values values contained in the list.

B - This group of statements (lines 53-58) computes the individual elements of the matrix  $[T_{mn}]$ , by making repeated calls to the function subroutine HPLN. This subroutine computes the total field (including diffraction from ground plane edges) of each elemental source at each field point location, and is listed following the main program.

C - This sequence of statements (lines 72-98) sets up a CRT display, consisting of a polar grid and plot of the selected measured data points. Later, in the iteration loop, a plot of the computed pattern is added to the display for the purpose of visual inspection of solution progress. The pattern is recomputed for display purposes at intervals specified by the integer variable NPLTMX (read in statement 98). A value of 5 for NPLTMX, for example, would result in a visual display update of the computed pattern after every 5th iteration. (If desired, this entire section may be bypassed or deleted, except for specification of a value for NPLTMX, which is used elsewhere in the program to write updated lists of the computed source values.)

D - This group of statements (lines 109-213) iteratively recomputes the solution, until a convergent result is obtained. The source and pattern error values computed after each iteration are written (the error values are written as teletype output) at intervals specified by NPLTMX. The computed pattern is also generated and displayed on the CRT at the same specified interval, as discussed above. If a visual display cannot be used, statements 160-172 should be bypassed or deleted.

E - This block of statements (lines 217-226) recomputes the total pattern after completion or termination of the iteration loop. The computed pattern values are stored in a binary file (named SYNSIS) for later use.

F - This group of statements (lines 229-263) initiates an optional polar pen plot of the final computed pattern. The measured pattern data points used as input in the procedure are also plotted on the same grid, for comparison with the computed pattern. The plots presented in Section III (e.g., see Figure 4) were obtained by using this plot section. This group of statements may be bypassed or deleted, if desired.

The above summary completes the discussion of the main synthesis program, which extends from statements 1-265 in the accompanying program listing. Several subroutines used in the main program are also included in the listing shown. Subroutines GRID and POLPLT are used in the various plot sections of the main program. The complex function subroutine HPLN is used to compute the source fields in the main program. Finally, subroutines DI and FRNELS are diffraction coefficient and fresnel integral subprograms required by the source subroutine HPLN. Two standard routines used by the program are not listed. One of these is the subroutine PLOT, which is a standard CALCOMP plot routine. The other subprogram not specifically listed is subroutine CGELG. This is a standard IBM program for solving simultaneous equations, which was modified slightly to treat complex arithmetic.

## TABLE III

91	
0.,-13.3	92.,-7.85
2.,-12.25	94.,-8.3
4.,-11.25	96.,-9.
6.,-10.15	98.,-9.8
8.,-9.15	100.,-10.
10.,-8.1	102.,-10.
12.,-7.3	104.,-10.3
14.,-6.45	106.,-11.2
16.,-5.85	108.,-12.
18.,-5.3	110.,-12.3
20.,-4.95	112.,-12.3
22.,-4.75	114.,-11.8
24.,-4.7	116.,-12.05
26.,-4.75	118.,-13.2
28.,-4.9	120.,-14.3
30.,-5.15	122.,-14.85
32.,-5.25	124.,-14.9
34.,-5.	126.,-13.75
36.,-4.45	128.,-13.15
38.,-3.8	130.,-13.25
40.,-3.15	132.,-13.85
42.,-2.75	134.,-14.8
44.,-2.65	136.,-16.
46.,-2.7	138.,-16.3
48.,-2.9	140.,-16.28
50.,-3.05	142.,-15.65
52.,-3.	144.,-15.
54.,-2.6	
56.,-2.25	
58.,-2.1	
60.,-2.25	
62.,-2.65	154.,-15.5
64.,-2.9	158 - 15 85
66.,-3.	160 - 15.8
68.,-2.88	162 - 16 4
70.,-2.85	164 - 16 6
72.,-3.	166 - 16 8
14.,-3.15	168 - 17 3
76.,-4.35	170 - 18 05
18.,-4.8	172 - 18 7
00.,-4.85	174 18.8
94 -5 9	176 19.15
96 -6 6	178 19.85
88 -7.3	180 20.5
90 7.7	

# LIST OF MEASURED PATTERN DATA VALUES USED AS COMPUTER CODE INPUT TO OBTAIN THE COMPUTED SOLUTIONS OF FIGURE 4

1		OPTIONS DP
2		OPTIONS 32K
3		INCLUDE CGELGB, 3 39 0M; FLSBS, 404C
4		COMPLEX HS(120,40), TS, HITM(120), F(40), T(40,40)
5		2, C(1600), HIT(361), HPLN, CJ, FF(40), HLAST(120)
0		COMPLEX TOP, FAC
1		DIMENSION IFILE(2), IUSER(2), $PH(120)$ , $HD(120)$ ,
8		2PHAR(120), IBUF(512)
9		LOGICAL LOG, LVISD, LDB, LSOR, LPHASE
10		COMMON/CONST/TOP
11		COMMON/S TO RE/T, C, HS
12		COMMON/PLAT/W,LSOR
13		EXTERNAL CRTPLT, PLOT
14		LSOR=.FALSE.
15		PI=3.14159265
16		TPI=2.*PI
17		DPR=180./PI
18		RPD=1./DPR
19		CJ = (0., 1.)
20		TOP = -CEXP(-CJ * 0.25 * PI)
21		WRITE(8,1)
22	1	FORMAT (' MIN AND MAX DISTANCE OF APERTURE FROM EDGE=? ')
23		READ(8, -) SMIN, SMAX
24		WRITE(8,6)
25	6	FORMAT( PLATE WIDTH IN WAVELENGTHS=? )
26		READ(8, -) W
27		WRITE(8,2)
28	2	FORMAT( NUMBER OF SOURCES TO SIMULATE APERTURE=? )
29		READ(8, -) NS
•30		CALL ESC(\$9898)
31		WRITE(8,30)
32	30	FORMAT( NUMBER OF ITERATIONS DESIRED=? )
33		READ(8, -) ITMX
34	CIII	COMPUTE SOURCE SPACING.
35		DELS=(SMAX-SMIN)/NS
36		WRITE(8,23)
31	23	FORMAT (' IS THE PATTERN DATA TO BE INPUT IN DECIBELS
38		2(T OR F)? )
39		READ(8, -) LDB
40	-	WRITE(8,3)
41	3	FORMAT( INPUT FILE NAME OF PATTERN DATA POINTS: )
42		CALL RDFLNM(IFILE, IUSER)
43		CALL ASSIGN (IFILE, IUSER, 7)
44		READ(7, -) MPMX
45		READ(/,-) (PH(M),HD(M),M=1,MPMX)
46	CIII	CONVERT DECIBEL PATTERN VALUES TO FIELD VALUES.
47		IF(.NOT.LDB) GO TO 24
48		DO 25 M=1, MPMX
49	25	$HD(M) = 10 \cdot \pi \star (.05 \pi HD(M))$

```
CONTINUE
 50 24
 51
          DO 5 M=1, MPMX
 52 5
           PH(M) = 180. - PH(M)
 53 CIII
          COMPUTE MATRIX ELEMENTS FOR SOURCE MATRIX T.
 54
           DO 4 N=1,NS
 55
           S=SMIN+(N-0.5)*DELS
 56
           DO 4 M=1, MPMX
 57
           PHR=PH(M) * RPD
 58 4
          HS(M,N) = HPLN(S,PHR)
 59 CIII
          SET INITIAL ZERO PHASE AND COMPUTE NORMALIZATION FOR
          ERROR CRITERION (ER)
 60 C!!!
 61
          HOS=0.
 62
           DO 10 M=1, MPMX
 63
           PHAR(M) = 0.
 64 10
           HOS=HOS+HD(M) * HD(M)
 65 CIII
           COMPUTE MATRIX ELEMENTS
 66
           DO 11 NI=1,NS
 67
          DO 11 NJ=1, NS
 68
          TS=(0.,0.)
 69
          DO 12 M=1, MPMX
 70 12
          TS=TS+CONJG(HS(M, NI))*HS(M, NJ)
71 11
          T(NI,NJ)=TS
*72
          WRITE (8,22)
*73 22
          FORMAT(' IS CRT DISPLAY TO BE USED (T OR F)?')
          READ(8,-) LVISD
*74
*75
          IF(.NOT.LVISD) GO TO 44
*76 C!!!
          SET UP CRT, PLOT GRID, AND DESIRED VALUES
*77
           CALL CRTON
*78
           CALL CRTPTS(IBUF, 10, 3)
*79
           CALL BRPLOT (CRTPLT)
*80
           CALL GRID
*81
           HMAX=0.
*82
          DO 20 M=1, MPMX
*83 20
           IF (HD (M) . GT . HMAX) HMAX=HD (M)
*84
          DO 21 M=1, MPMX
*85
          HPLT=20.*ALOG10(HD(M)/HMAX)
*86
          IF(HPLT.LT.-40.) HPLT=-40.
*87
          HMX = (40. + HPLT) * 2.5/40.
*88
           PHR=PH (M) *R PD
*89
          PHR=PHR-0.5*PI
*90
          XPLT=HMX*S IN (PH R)
*91
          YPLT=HMX*COS(PHR)
*92 21
          CALL SYMBOL (XPLT, YPLT, 0.025, 11, 0., -1)
*93 CIII
          GET ADDRESS OF GRID AND DESIRED VALUE TO ERASE PLOT
*94 CIII
          DATA .
*95
          CALL INFO (XPLT, YPLT, XO, YO, FACT, ITEN, IADR)
*96 44
          WRITE (8,38)
*97 38
          FORMAT(' INCREMENT DATA PLOTTED BY=? ')
```

98	READ(8,-) NPLTMX
99	NPLT=NPLT MX
100	MI=1
101	MF=MPMX
102	MS=1
103	TESTN=1.
104	DO 821 M=MI, MF, MS
105 821	HLAST(M) = (0., 0.)
106	LPHASE=.TRUE.
107	DELT=.04
108	DELTN = -DELT
109 C!!!	ITERATE ON RESULTS
110	DO 31 IT=1, IT MX
111	NPLT=NPLT+1
112 C!!!	COMPUTE COMPLEX FIELD POINT VALUES.
113	DO 32 M=M1, MF, MS
114	HITM(M) = HD(M) * C EXP(CJ * P HAR(M))
115 C!!!	COMPUTE UPDATED FIELD POINT VALUES
	BASED ON INCREMENTAL PHASE CHANGE
11/ C!!!	BETWEEN LAST AND PRESENT ITERATION.
118	HITM(M) = HLAST(M) + TESTN*(HITM(M) - HLAST(M))
119 32	HLAST(M) = HITM(M)
120 CIII	COMPUTE SOURCE VECTOR.
121	$DU_{33} N=1, NS$
122	F(N) = (0., 0.)
123	DO 33 M=M1, MF, MS E(N) = E(N) + UIIIM(M) + CONTC(HE(M, N))
124 33	$\Gamma(N) = \Gamma(N) + \Pi \Gamma M(M) + CONS G(HS(M, N))$
125	DO 34 NI=1.NS
127	NN = (N.T - 1) * N S + N T
128 34	C(NN) = T(NT, NT)
129 CIII	SOLVE SIMULTANEOUS EQUATIONS.
130	CALL CGELG(F.C.NS. $1, 1, E=6, TER$ )
131	IF(IER.NE.0) WRITE(8.35) IER
132 35	FORMAT(' ERROR IN GELG: IER=', I2)
133	IF (LPHASE) GO TO 521
134	DO 534 NJ=1.NS
135	DO 534 NI=1, NS
136	NN = (NJ - 1) * NS + NI
137 534	C(NN) = T(NI, NJ)
138 C111	COMPUTE UPDATED FIELD POINT DIFFERENCE VALUES
139 C!!!	BASED ON INCREMENTAL MAGNITUDE CHANGE BETWEEN
140 C!!!	ITERATION JUST COMPLETED AND PREVIOUS ITERATION.
141	DO 532 M=MI, MF, MS
142	TS=(0.,0.)
143	DO 552 N=1, NS
144 552	TS=TS+F(N)*HS(M,N)
145	PHARN=CATAN2(TS)
146	HMN=CABS (TS)

147	532	HITM(M) = HD(M) * C EXP(CJ*PHARN) - HMN*C EXP(CJ*PHAR(M))
148	CIII	COMPUTE INCREMENTAL CHANGE IN SOURCES
149	C111	DUE TO CHANGE IN FIELD POINT VALUES.
150		DO 533 N=1, NS
151		FF(N) = (0., 0.)
152		DO 533 M=MI,MF,MS
153	533	FF(N) = FF(N) + HITM(M) * CONJG(HS(M,N))
154		CALL CGELG(FF,C,NS,1,1.E-6, IER)
155		IF(IER.NE.0) WRITE(8,35) IER
156	C111	ADD INCREMENTAL CHANGE IN SOURCES TO
157	C111	PREVIOUS SOURCE VALUES.
158		DO 522 N=1, NS
159	522	F(N) = F(N) + FF(N)
*160	521	IF(.NOT.LVISD) GO TO 41
* 161	C111	COMPUTE TOTAL PATTERN.
* 162		IF (NPLT.LT.NPLTMX) GO TO 41
* 163		DO 40 IP=0,360
* 164		ID=IP+1
* 165		PHR=IP*R PD
* 166		HIT(ID) = (0., 0.)
* 167		DO 40 N=1,NS
* 168		S=SMIN+(N-0.5)*DELS
* 169	40	HIT(ID) = HIT(ID) + F(N) + HPLN(S, PHR)
* 170		CALL ERASE (IADR)
* 171		CALL CRTPLT (4.25,4.25,-3)
*172		CALL POLPLT(HIT)
173	41	FNS=0.
174		HMS=0.
175		HHS=0.
176		DO 50 N=1,NS
177	50	FNS=FNS+CABS(F(N)) * *2
178		DO 51 M=1, MPMX
179		TS=(0.,0.)
180		DO 52 N=1,NS
181	52	TS=TS+F(N) * HS(M,N)
182		PHAR(M) = CATAN2(TS)
183		HM=CABS(TS)
184	1.1.1	HMS=HMS+HM*HM
185	51	HHS=HHS+(HM-HD(M))**2
186		ER=HHS/HOS
187		QR=MPMX *F NS/HMS
188		WRITE(8,-) IT, ER, QR
189		IF(IT.LE.5) DELTN=-DELT
190		DELTN=DELTN+DELT
191		IF (ER.GT. ERLAST)DELTN=DELTN*0.5
192		ERLAST=ER
193		IF(IT.GE.5)LPHASE=.FALSE.
194		TESTN=1.+DELTN
195		IF(TESTN.GT.2.) $TESTN=2.$
196		IF (NPLT.LT.NPLTMX) GO TO 1031
197		WRITE(8,723)TESTN
198	723	FORMAT( WEIGHTING FACTOR= ,F10.5)

```
199
           WRITE(6,-) IT
 200
           ICMAX=0
 201
           CURMAX=0.
 202
           DO 643 I=1, NS
 203
           IF(CABS(F(I)).LT.CURMAX) GO TO 643
 204
           CURMAX=CABS(F(I))
 205
           ICMAX=I
 206 643
           CONTINUE
 207
           DO 46 I=1, NS
 208
           CURM=CABS(F(I)/F(ICMAX))
 209
           CURP=DPR*CATAN2(F(I)/F(ICMAX))
 210 46
           WRITE (6,-) I, CURM, CURP
 211 1031
           IF (NPLT.GE. NPLTMX) NPLT=0
 212
           IF(IT.EQ.1)NPLT=1
 213 31
           CONTINUE
*214 9898
           CALL ESC($9999)
            COMPUTE TOTAL PATTERN AFTER COMPLETION
 215 C!!!
 216 C!!!
            OR TERMINATION OF ITERATION LOOP.
 217
           DO 45 IP=0,360
 218
            ID=IP+1
 219
            PHR=IP*RPD
 220
            HIT(ID) = (0., 0.)
 221
           DO 45 N=1,NS
 222
            S=SMIN+ (N-.5)*DELS
           HIT(ID) = HIT(ID) + F(N) + HPLN(S, PHR)
 223 45
 224 C!!!
           WRITE COMPUTED PATTERN VALUES INTO
 225 C!!!
           PERMANENT FILE NAMED SYNSIS.
*226
           CALL ASSIGN (6HSYNSIS, 0., 1)
*227
           WRITE(1) HIT
*228
           WRITE(8,9899)
*229 C!!!
           PLOT COMPUTED PATTERN AND MEASURED PATTERN
*230 C!!!
            VALUES FROM WHICH PATTERN WAS COMPUTED.
           FORMAT (' PEN PLOT DESIRED(T OR F)?')
*231 9899
*232
            READ(8,-) LOG
            IF(.NOT.LOG) GO TO 9999
*233
*234
            IF(.NOT.LVISD) GO TO 9376
*235
           CALL PLOT (0.,0.,-3)
*236
            CALL PLOT (0.,0.,999)
*237 9376
           CALL PLOTS(IBUF, 512, 3)
*238
           CALL BRPLOT (PLOT)
*239
           CALL GRID
*240
           HMAX=0.
*241
            DO 720 M=1, MPMX
*242 720
            IF (HD (M).GT.HMAX) HMAX=HD(M)
*243
            DO 721 M=1, MPMX
*244
           HPLT=20.*ALOG10(HD(M)/HMAX)
*245
            IF(HPLT.LT.-40.) HPLT=-40.
*246
           HMX = (40. + HPLT) * 2.5/40.
*247
           PHR=PH (M) * R PD
```

*248		PHR=PHR-0.5*PI
*249		XPLT=HMX*SIN(PHR)
*250		YPLT=HMX*COS(PHR)
*251	721	CALL SYMBOL(XPLT, YPLT, 0.025, 11, 0., -1)
*252		CALL POLPLT(HIT)
*253		CALL PLOTS(IBUF, 512, 3)
*254		LSOR=. TRUE.
*255		DO 786 $IP=0.360$
* 256		
*257		
*259		HTT(TD) = (0, 0, 0)
*259		DO 786 N=1.NS
*260		S = SMIN + (N - 5) * DFLS
*261	796	$u_{TT}(T_D) - u_{TT}(T_D) + E(N) + H DIN(C DHD)$
*262	100	
* 262		
203	0000	
204	,,,,,	CALL BAIL
205	~	THAT BUD OF MAIN DOODAM ++++
200	CIII	CURDOUTINE OF D
201		SUBRUUTINE GRID
200		DATA P1, TP1, DPR/3.14159265, 6.2831853, 57.295779587
269		RP=2.5
270		CALL PLOT (4.25, 4.25, - 3)
2/1	CIII	POLAR GRID
212		DO 110 1=1,4
2/3		RG=RP*1/4.
2/4		CALL PLOT(RG, $0.,3$ )
275		DO 110 J=0,360,2
2/0		ANG=J/DPR
2//		XX=RG*CQS(ANG)
2/8	110	Y = RG * S IN (ANG)
279	110	CALL PLOT(XX, YY, 2)
280		DO 112 $1=1,6$
281		ANG = (I - I) * P I / 6.
282		ANGS=ANG+PI
283		ANGF=ANG
284		IF(I.EQ.2*(I/2)) GO TO 111
285		ANGS=ANG
286		ANGF=ANG+PI
287	111	CONTINUE
288		XX=RP*COS(ANGS)
289		YY=RP*SIN (ANGS)
290		CALL PLOT(XX,YY,3)
291		XX=RP*COS(ANGF)
292		YY=RP*SIN (ANGF)
293	112	CALL PLOT(XX,YY,2)
294		RETURN
295		END
296		SUBROUTINE POLPLT (ET)
297		COMPLEX ET (361)

DATA PI, TPI, DPR/3.14159265, 6.2831853, 57.29577958/ 298 299 RP=2.5 300 EMX=0. 301 DO 101 IP=0,360 302 I=IP+1303 EM=CABS(ET(I))304 IF(EM.GT.EMX) EMX=EM 305 101 CONTINUE 306 C!!! PATTERN PLOT 307 DO 120 IP=0,360 308 I = IP + 1309 ETM=CABS(ET(I))/EMX 310 IF(ETM.LT.0.01) ETM=0.01 311 RD=20.\*ALOG10(ETM)312 IF(RD.LT.-40.) RD=-40. 313 RD = RP \* (RD + 40.) / 40.314 CONTINUE 125 ANG=IP/DPR 315 316 ANG=ANG-0.5\*PI 317 XX = RD \* S IN (ANG)318 YY=RD\*COS (ANG) 319 IPEN=2320 IF(I.EQ.1) IPEN=3 321 120 CALL PLOT (XX, YY, IPEN) 322 CALL PLOT (4.25, -5.5, -3) 323 130 CONTINUE CALL PLOT (0.,0.,999) 324 325 RETURN 326 END 327 COMPLEX FUNCTION HPLN(S, PHR) 328 COMPLEX CJ, DC, HI 329 COMMON/PLAT/W, LSOR 330 LOGICAL LSOR 331 DATA CJ/(0.,1.)/ DATA PI, TPI, DPR/3.14159265, 6.2831853, 57.29577958/ 332 333 HI=CEXP(-CJ\*TPI\*S)/SQRT(S) 334 HPLN=CEXP(CJ\*TPI\*S\*COS(PHR)) 335 IF(LSOR) RETURN 336 CALL DI (DC, S, PHR, 1., 2.) 337 IF (PHR.GT.PI) HPLN= (0.,0.) 338 HPLN=HPLN+HI\*DC 339 HI=CEXP(-CJ\*TPI\*(W-S))/SQRT(W-S) 340 PHR2=PI-PHR 341 IF(PHR2.LT.0.) PHR2=TPI+PHR2 342 CALL DI(DC,W-S,PHR2,1.,2.) 343 HPLN=HPLN+HI\*DC\*CEXP(CJ\*TPI\*W\*COS(PHR)) 344 RETURN 345 END 346 SUBROUTINE DI (DIR, R, ANGR, SBO, FN)

347	C ***	INCIDENT (BET=PH-PHP) OR REFLECTED (BET=PH+PHP) ***
348	C ***	PART OF WEDGE DIFFRACTION COEFFICIENT ***
349		COMPLEX COM, EX, UPPI, UNPI, FA, DIR
350		COMPLEX TOP
351		COMMON/CONST/TOP
352		DATA PI, TPI, DPR/3.14159265, 6.2831853, 57.29577958/
353		DEM=2. *T PI*F N*S BO
354		COM=TOP/DEM
355		SQR=SQRT(TPI*R)
356		DNS=(PI+ANGR)/(2.0*FN*PI)
357		SGN=SIGN(1.,DNS)
358		N=IFIX (ABS (DNS)+0.5)
359		DN=SGN*N
360		A=ABS(1.0+COS(ANGR-2.0*FN*PI*DN))
361		BOTL = 2.0 * SQRT (ABS (R*A))
362		EX=CEXP(CMPLX(0.0, TPI*R*A))
363		CALL FRNELS (C, S, BOTL)
364		C = SQRT(PI/2.0) * (0.5-C)
365		S = SQRT(PI/2.0) * (S-0.5)
366		FA=CMPLX(0.,2.)*SOR*EX*CMPLX(C,S)
367		RAG = (PI + ANGR) / (2.0 * FN)
368		TSIN=SIN(RAG)
369		TS=ABS(TSIN)
370		IF(TS.GT.1.E-5) GO TO 442
371		COTA=-SQRT(2.0) *F N*S IN(ANGR/2.0-F N*P I*D N)
372		IF (COS (ANGR/2.0-FN*PI*DN).LT.0.0) COTA=-COTA
373		GO TO 443
374	442	COTA=SORT(A) *COS (RAG)/TSIN
375	443	UPPI=COM*COTA*FA
376		DNS=(-PI+ANGR)/(2.0*FN*PI)
377		SGN=SIGN(1.,DNS)
378		N=IFIX(ABS(DNS)+0.5)
379		DN=SGN*N
380		A = ABS (1.0 + COS(ANGR - 2.0 * FN * PI * DN))
381		BOTL = 2.0 * SQRT (ABS(R*A))
382		EX=CEXP(CMPLX(0.0, TPI*R*A))
383		CALL FRNELS (C, S, BOTL)
384		C = SQRT(PI/2.0) * (0.5 - C)
385		S = SQRT(PI/2.0)*(S-0.5)
386		FA=CMPLX(0.,2.)*SOR*EX*CMPLX(C,S)
387		RAG = (PI - ANGR) / (2.0 * FN)
388		TSIN=SIN(RAG)
389		TS=ABS(TSIN)
390		IF (TS.GT.1.E-5) GO TO 542
391		COTA= SQRT(2.0) *F N*S IN(ANGR/2.0-F N*PI*DN)
392		IF (COS (ANGR/2.0-FN*PI*DN).LT.0.0) COTA=-COTA
393		GO TO 123
394	542	COTA=SORT (A) *COS (RAG) /T SIN
395	123	UNPI=COM*COTA*FA
396		DIR=UPPI+UNPI

397		RETURN
398		END
399		SUBROUTINE FRNELS(C,S,XS)
400 0	2	THIS IS THE FRESNEL INTEGRAL SUBROUTINE WHERE THE INTEGRAL IS FROM
401 0	:	U= 0 TO XS, THE INTEGRAND IS EXP(-J*PI/2.*U*U), AND THE OUTPUT IS
402 0	:	C(XS)-J*S(XS).
403		DIMENSION A(12), B(12), CC(12), D(12)
404		DATA A/1.595769140,-0.000001702,-6.808568854,-0.000576361,6.920691
405		* 902, - 0.0 168 98 65 7, - 3.0 50 48 56 60, - 0.0 75 75 24 19, 0.8 5066 37 81, - 0.0 25 63 90 4
406		* 1, - 0.150230960, 0.034404779/
407		DATA B/-0.00000033,4.255387524,-0.000092810,-7.780020400,-0.00952
408		<b>*0895,5.075161298, -0.138341947, -1.363729124, -0.403349276, 0.70222201</b>
409		* 6, - 0, 216195929, 0, 019547031/
410		DATA CC/0.,-0.024933975,0.000003936,0.005770956,0.000689892,-0.009
411		*497136,0.011948809,-0.006748873,0.000246420,0.002102967,-0.0012179
412		*30,0.000233939/
413		DATA D/0.199471140,0.000000023,-0.009351341,0.000023006,0.00485146
414		* 6, 0 . 0 0 1 9 0 3 2 1 8, - 0. 0 1 7 1 2 2 9 1 4, 0 . 0 2 9 0 6 4 0 6 7, - 0. 0 2 7 9 2 8 9 5 5, 0 . 0 1 6 4 9 7 3 0 8, - 0
415		*.005598515,0.000838386/
416		DATA P1/3.14159265/
417		IF(XS.LE.0.0) GO TO 414
418		X=XS
419		X = PI * X * X / 2.0
420		FR=0.0
421		FI=0.0
422		K=13
423		IF(x-4.0) 10,40,40
424	10	Y=X/4.0
425	20	
420		$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{K}) \mathbf{J}^{-1}\mathbf{I}$
42/		$\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} \mathbf{b} (\mathbf{k}))^{-1}$
420		1P(R-2) = 30, 30, 20
429	30	r K = r K + A (1)
430		
431		$C = (F A^{-} C O S (A) + F I^{-} S (A) + S (A I) + S ($
432		5-(FR-5 IR (A) - F 1-COS(A) ) - 5 QRI (1)
433		
434 4	10	
435 :	00	
430		
437		
430	50	IF (K-2) 60,00,00
435		
441		$C=0, S=1 = B + C \cap (S(Y) + P + S(Y)(Y) + S \cap P = (Y)$
442		S=0.5+(FP+SIN(X)-FI+COS(X))+SOPT(Y)
443		
444	114	
445		S=-0.0
446		RETURN
447		END