

12 LEVEL II
NW

ONR LONDON CONFERENCE REPORT

C-13-78

AD A0 65454



**OFFICE
OF NAVAL
RESEARCH**

**BRANCH
OFFICE
LONDON
ENGLAND**

DDC FILE COPY

THE SEVENTEENTH (INTERNATIONAL) SYMPOSIUM
ON COMBUSTION

S.N.B. MURTHY*, I. GLASSMAN**, J.R. PATTON***

29 December 1978

*Purdue Univ., Project SQUID, West Lafayette, IN
**Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ
***Office of Naval Research, Arlington, VA

DDC
RECEIVED
MAR 8 1979
RECEIVED
B

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

79 03 01 023

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS
BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER C-13-78	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) (6) THE SEVENTEENTH INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON COMBUSTION <u>(17th)</u> University of Leeds	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Conference, August 1978	
6. AUTHOR(S) (10) S.N.B./MURTHY, I. / GLASSMAN, J.R. / PATTON	8. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 213 78	9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S) (11) 2B-25 August 1978
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Branch London Box 39 FPO New York 09510	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS (14) ONRL-C-13-78	12. REPORT DATE (11) 29 December 1978	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) (12) 17p.	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION; APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) (9) Conference rept. [
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 79 03 01 023		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) combustion soot turbulent combustion interactions fire and explosion coal combustion propellants deflagration to detonation transition techniques for measurement of the combustion process		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The Seventeenth International Symposium on Combustion convened 20-25 August 1978 at the University of Leeds, UK. Sponsored by the Combustion Institute, the Symposium contained three colloquia on coal combustion, turbulent-combustion interaction, and fire and explosion. Papers discussed in this report are in the areas in which advances appear to be stimulating and technologically useful, such as soot, turbulent-combustion interactions, fire and explosion, coal combustion, propellants and explosives, deflagration to		

detonation transition, kinetics, and new measurement techniques.

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	Whole Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Full Section <input type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Partial Section <input type="checkbox"/>
BY	
DISTRIBUTION CODES	
SPECIAL	
A	

THE SEVENTEENTH (INTERNATIONAL) SYMPOSIUM ON COMBUSTION

The Seventeenth (International) Symposium on Combustion convened at the University of Leeds in England during 20-25 August 1978, with the participation of over 1000 scientists and engineers from all over the world. The Combustion Institute has become a truly international organization devoted to the science and technology of combustion. The Combustion Institute and the Organizing Committee of the Seventeenth Symposium are to be congratulated for bringing together, as in past Symposia, the combustion community in large numbers and from various special disciplines in a single meeting. The large attendance at these Symposia in recent years, of course, also reflects the enormous concern all over the world on combustion technology. Furthermore, there is also the growing impact of advanced measurement techniques and computational methods on combustion science and technology, which has brought much enthusiasm in this field. The Symposium was conducted through three simultaneous sessions, all of which were attended to capacity. Such participation in the technical meetings, not to mention the stimulating and extensive discussions in the hallways and during breaks and social events, is further testimony to the vigorous pursuit of this technology and science by so large a body of combustion scientists and technologists.

It has been the practice in these Symposia to begin the proceedings with a plenary lecture. During the Seventeenth Symposium, the plenary lecture was delivered by Heinz G. Wagner on the subject of "Soot Formation in Combustion." The Symposium organized three colloquia on coal combustion, turbulent-combustion interaction, and fire and explosion. In the area of what may be described as combustion science, there were sessions on kinetics, flame structure and chemistry, combustion studies, droplets, combustion oscillations, and soot. Two sessions were held on problems related to propellants and explosives, one of those devoted to deflagration-to-detonation transition. The development of measurement techniques is advancing rapidly, and a session was devoted to that subject exclusively, while many papers in other sessions also included discussions on measurements. In the area of practical combustion devices, two sessions were devoted to furnace combustion and engine combustion. The foregoing subject areas are, generally speaking, the principal areas in which significant developments are currently being attempted. It is useful in this connection to review the published proceedings of past symposia, which contain the more important papers presented then, and which can throw some light on the historical development of some subject areas in this broad field. The Seventeenth Symposium may not have included any remarkable outstanding contributions, but one could observe steady and stimulating developments in a number of areas, most notably in measurements.

In a review of this Symposium, it is obviously impossible to refer to each of the 148 papers presented. An attempt will be made here to refer to some of the principal directions in which advances appear to be stimulating and technologically useful.

First, the subject of soot: Wagner's plenary lecture provided an excellent review on the subject. The amount of soot formed during combustion may be described as the result of a sequence of steps: the pyrolysis of the fuel, the formation of gas-phase, large precursor molecules, nucleation, particle growth, agglomeration and coagulation, and partial oxidation of the particulates. In such a description, several questions arise regarding differences between (a) aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons, (b) premixed and diffusion flames, and (c) laminar and turbulent flames. These questions hold the key to various proposed methods for soot reduction. The formation of soot, its growth, and its eventual structure and size depend upon various characteristic times and scales which in turn depend upon the local (environmental) conditions. For instance, the paper by B.S. Haynes, H. Jander and Wagner on the subject of "Metal Additives on the Formation of Soot in Pre-mixed Flames," showed that the addition of metals (alkali and alkali-earth metals) does not reduce the amount of soot but changes the size distribution. Smaller particles increase in numbers compared to larger particles, an effect directly relatable to the ionization potential of the metallic component and the resulting repulsion between soot particles. Thus, if one is interested in soot reduction, there should be a thorough understanding of the fuel pyrolysis and soot burn-up processes. An attempt was made by B.F. Magnussen et al. in the paper entitled "Effects of Turbulent Structure and Local Concentrations on Soot Formation and Combustion in C_2H_2 Diffusion Flames," to relate the fuel pyrolysis and soot burn-up processes through the influence of the fine structure of turbulence. Generally a decrease in Reynolds number increases soot formation, but that effect may be due to reduction in soot burn-up when oxygen concentration is reduced around the soot particle. Further research is required before the precise influence of turbulence on soot formation and build-up is established. Incidentally, the foregoing paper also refers to the dramatic reduction in soot formation with the addition of water (and also N_2) to the fuel in a diffusion flame, although it is not yet clear if water plays a chemical or thermal role during fuel pyrolysis.

Next, the Colloquium on Turbulent-Combustion Interactions. One of the most important aspects of combustion modeling is the interaction between turbulence and combustion, which is indisputably a two-way process. K.N.C. Bray discussed the implications of this interaction in his invited paper on "The Interaction between Turbulence and Combustion." In a practical sense such interaction influences turbulent flame speed, minimum ignition energy, flame stabilization boundaries, and pollutant formation. In general, there is greater understanding of the influence of turbulence on combustion (reference may be made to the ONR SQUID Workshop on Turbulent Mixing in Non-Reactive and Reactive Flows, Proceedings published by Plenum Press, New York, 1976) than of combustion on turbulence. The latter has an important influence on turbulent transport flux and dissipation rate that in turn depend upon turbulence kinetic energy and length scales. In setting up equations governing turbulent combustion phenomena, it has now become common practice to employ Favre-averaged (density-weighted averages) quantities, although no definitive experiment has yet been performed to establish the precise differences in turbulent transport based on Favre-averages without and with combustion. In this connection,

the paper by I.M. Kennedy and J.H. Kent on measurements of the behavior of scalar quantities in turbulent flows was valuable. They addressed the question of whether Favre quantities in variable density flows behave as conventional quantities in isothermal flows and showed, by measurements, that Favre probability density function (PDF) may be similar to conventional, isothermal PDF, but Favre means are lower than sampled conventional means. However, these deductions were based on several assumptions (both in experiment and in interpretation of data) that need further examination.

It should be noted that combustion and enthalpy-release are finite rate processes (except in carefully selected examples of infinitely slow or infinitely fast reactions, the latter, for example, occurring in nitric oxide formation from atmospheric nitrogen) and, furthermore, create density fluctuations and dilation. It has also now become common practice in modeling turbulent combustion to take fluctuations into account at the PDF level. Several questions then arise: Where can one specify *a priori* an expression for the PDF?; Is there a sufficiently detailed connection between the existence of coherent structures and the postulated PDF?; What part of the PDF expression is most sensitive in different situations?; Among various attempts at modeling turbulent combustion, what quantity, in fact, should be represented at the PDF level?; and, Is there need for setting up a transport equation for PDFs? The last has become a central issue in modeling. Generally, there is a lack of data on scalar mixing functions in mixing-limited combustion situations. The need for such experimental data was brought out in a clear manner by the continuous progress in the powerful analysis on the modeling of spectral scales of the fluctuations of velocity and species by interrelating them, reported on by R. Borghi and D. Dutoya. Meanwhile, even that analysis is suspect in some respects, although it is capable of systematic improvement.

The general progress in turbulent flame modeling can be seen in the papers by D. Brian Spalding, J.A. Mobsby, D.E. Fussey, and P. Botros and T.A. Brzustowski. These were in the nature of progress reports.

The problem of practical combustors becomes further complicated by the presence of fuel droplets as was pointed out by A.M. Mellor in his paper entitled "Turbulence-Combustion Interaction Models for Practical High Intensity Combustors." It is clear that there is no progress in evolving a quantitative model for such practical situations, although qualitative, interpretative synthesis of the influence of combustor geometry, inlet conditions, and fuel and injector properties on emissions, heat transfer, lean blowout limit, pattern factor, ignition, and relight are being attempted continuously. In this connection, the research combustor studies reported by W.P. Jones and C.H. Priddin and the spray evaporation studies reported by F. Boyson and J. Swithenbank were noteworthy. In the latter, dealing with recirculating flows, the gaseous flow field and the liquid-spray flow field (with spray size changes) are still essentially uncoupled. The paper of M.M.M. Abou Ellail and E.E. Khalil was also interesting in regard to the calculation of gas-spray coupled flow field. Somewhat more definitive experiments on diffusion-

controlled gaseous fuel combustors were described by J.A. Senecal and C.W. Shipman and M.J. Owen, F.C. Gouldin, and S.W. McLean, the latter in a swirl-stabilized combustor. These experiments should be examined in relation to other definitive experiments being carried out at the Wright-Patterson Air Force Aero-Propulsion Laboratory, OH, and the Sandia Laboratories at Livermore, CA.

Another example of some progress in a problem related to practical combustors was provided in the paper by J. Chomiak wherein an experimental and analytical study was described on the subject of flame development from an ignition kernel. In turbulent flows, it was concluded that the local turbulent energy dissipation rate may determine the turbulence mixing intensity and that in turn may determine flame development time. It is, of course, too early to develop a theory, based on this reasoning, that stochastic energy feed rate and heat loss govern flame development.

The colloquium seemed to indicate that there was further progress in understanding the detailed structure of premixed flames. The mixing and combustion processes associated with different eddy sizes need further clarification in regard to the influence of turbulence scale and also of boundary conditions. The basic experimental configurations discussed by R.G. Abdel-Gayed et al., K.J. Lewis, and J.B. Moses, T. Suzuki, T. Hirano and H. Tsuji need further examination.

The Colloquium also included a group of papers on combustion noise. Generally the ideas of Warren C. Strahle seem to find favor with investigators on the relation between turbulence intensity and combustion noise.

The Colloquium on Fire and Explosion began with an invited paper by J. de Ris which dealt with pool fires. A review was also presented by Howard W. Emmons on the prediction of building fires, wherein one has to take into account pyrolysis, flames, plumes, hot layers, radiative and conductive heat transfer, and a variety of flows. Such a task may only be undertaken on the basis of a modular approach. Emmons also discussed the basic philosophy behind setting up predictive schemes, namely obtaining the right balance between the physics of various identified processes and internal consistency in modeling such processes.

Broadly, three problems repeatedly referenced pertained to lack of adequate theories and experimental data on (a) nonhomogeneous radiation, (b) soot formation, (c) effects of vitiation and (d) ignition characteristics.

A third review-type lecture presented during the Colloquium was on a physical description of coal mine explosions by J.K. Richmond, et al. A central difficulty in modeling coal explosions relates to the fundamental difficulty of describing coal dust motion (light, inertia free particles?) behind shockwaves. In general the papers presented on explosions were rather qualitative in content.

Coal combustion was the subject of the third Colloquium in this Symposium. Two invited review papers were presented, one on coal combustion in boilers (pulverized coal and coal/oil/water mixture combustion and cyclone burners) by A. Macek and the other on the modeling of fluidized bed combustors (larger size particles than pulverized coal, with lower combustion temperatures) by A.F. Sarofim and J.M. Beer.

Regarding coal combustion in boilers, two unknowns are (1) existence of even local equilibrium and (2) aerodynamics of the flow field. The first of these is important from the point of view of NO_x formation starting with the release of fuel-bound N_2 . The two together have a profound influence on ash production and hence on the total particulate mass (1-5 %) leaving the combustor. Careful experimentation is required in this area before modeling of the type suggested by R.C. Flagan can be more than a parametric study. Similar considerations apply to fly-ash radiation that is known to be a major part of furnace heat transfer. The gas and particle phase radiation can be separated only when further data become available on particle optical properties, although there is now improved confidence in predicting particle size distribution (generally bimodal with a secondary peak at a particle diameter of a few microns). A basic model for radiative flux (anisotropic and multiple-scattering) can be set up as illustrated by S.A. Varma and D.T. Pratt, but the physical information needed in such a model is still lacking. The structure of pulverized coal flames can be understood at a fundamental level only when there are further advances in the areas of coal volatilization and gas-phase radiation.

There was not much discussion on the subject of combustion of coal/oil/water mixtures. Macek, as stated earlier, drew attention to several outstanding problems in this connection. An important aspect of slurried oil combustion, when mixed with water, is the stability of the flame. Considerable attention is being paid to this subject in the broad area of emulsified fuels.

In addition to the three Colloquia, there were, as stated earlier, several sessions devoted to various broad subject areas. The study of soot was one for which Wagner's plenary lecture set the tone.

Two sessions were devoted to the subjects of propellants and explosives, and deflagration to detonation transition. The discussion on propellants, per se, was related to combustion mechanism of double-base propellants with and without additives such as aluminum or lead and copper salts. It has been known for sometime that little is known about gases resulting from the condensed phase degradation and the influence of high pressure where primary and secondary flames are merged. The principal non-US contribution here came from Guy Lengelle et al. (

Concerning the shock sensitivity of liquid propellants, the two papers by P. Beeley, P. Gray (chairman of the Leeds Subcommittee which, as host, was in charge of local arrangements), and J.F. Griffiths, and A.P. Glaskova were both significant. The latter described further experiments in his laboratory on the effect of catalysis over a wide range of pressures (up

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDG

to 1000 atm) in the deflagration of nitro and nitroso compounds. However, the effect of catalysts has to be understood in relation to the chemical kinetic processes occurring during various stages of reaction. The time-scale for chemical reaction, in the range of 1-50 ms, determines the type of experiments that are needed in this subject.

The subject of deflagration to detonation transition (DDT) has become extremely important in connection with transport, storage, and handling of large quantities of flammables and propellants. It is clear that DDR possibly cannot occur in the absence of some confinement or obstruction, although in unconfined conditions one can obtain fairly strong shockwaves caused by pockets of gases enclosed in "folded" turbulent sheets, exploding under essentially constant volume conditions. The paper by R. Knystautas et al. and Wagner described an experiment using a hot turbulent gas jet to establish the mechanism of detonation initiation, but the experiment seemed to take no account of turbulent scales involved.

Meanwhile, there are a number of attempts at modeling dynamic behavior in granular propellants taking into account the presence of multiple phases.

In the subject of kinetics, the papers in the two sessions could be broadly divided into those dealing with rate constant measurements and others dealing with mechanistic studies. Advances in the accurate determination of rate constants are of fundamental importance in the total field of combustion. The shock-tube studies on formaldehyde pyrolysis, described by A.M. Deat et al., and the fast flow reactor studies described by C. Vinckier and W. Debruyne were excellent examples of both the stimulating character and the eventual practical impact of such investigations. Errors in rate constants are proverbial and carefully obtained experimental data are needed urgently. Meanwhile, such data can also lead to understanding the reaction mechanism, e.g., should the data of Dean et al. have been interpreted on molecular rather than chain mechanism?

Generally, the mechanistic studies reported during the session were more impressive. The Göttingen group from the Institut für Physikalische Chemie is very active in this area.

The discussion of NO_x , SO_x , was not entirely confined to the one session dealing specifically with that subject.

NO_x is thought to be of primary concern because of its formation in gas turbine engines and environmental restrictions placed on manufacturing and naval installations testing such engines. Elements related to NO_x are related to material presented in the sessions on Turbulent Combustion, Kinetics, and Droplets as well as the NO_x - SO_x session. Further, one of the longer term concerns of the military must be the ability to burn fuels which are "heavy" and highly aromatic. Such fuels produce large amounts of soot that can be disastrous to the lifetime of

the combustor can in an aircraft gas turbine and that can make testing difficult and expensive due to environmental restrictions. Also, the soot problem is of primary interest in the general operation of any diesel prime mover, another power plant of general concern to the Navy. Many believe that the understanding of soot formation and destruction processes will be the primary problem of combustion scientists in the next decade. The experimental measurement of NO_x from practical combustors has been studied for many years. However, there are still some important unsolved problems, and presentations made at the Symposium showed that progress is being made. A question that has remained unanswered is whether the NO_2 concentration measured in many combustion experiments was that that actually exists in the flow field or whether it was the NO_2 formed in the sampling probe. The paper by G.M. Johnson et al., on "The Presence of NO_2 in Premixed Flames," gives further evidence that the NO_2/NO ratios determined by probe techniques are principally the consequence of reactions that produce NO_2 in the probes. Some results by M.J. Owen et al., "Temperature and Species Concentration Measurements in a Swirl-Stabilized Combustion," seem to dispute this conclusion, however.

C.P. Fenimore in his paper "Studies of Fuel Nitrogen Species in Rich Flame Gases" continues to contribute further to the understanding of the NO formation problem from fuel bound nitrogen species by reporting that the oxidation of HCN formed in combustion processes is destroyed mostly by hydroxyl radical and that the bimolecular rate is of the order of 10^{12} . He reported further that the bimolecular rate for reaction of NH_2 radicals with NO is $5 (\pm 30\%) \times 10^{12}$.

Using precise shock tube techniques, J.P. Monat et al., in a paper entitled "Shock Tube Determination of the Rate Coefficient for the Reaction $\text{N}_2 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{N}$," showed that they have reduced the uncertainty of this rate coefficient. For the temperature range 2384-3850 K they report a pre-exponential factor of $1.84 \cdot 10^{14}$ and an activation energy of 78,250 cal/mole.

In the previously mentioned paper by M.J. Owen, et al., it was reported that large amounts of NO_2 were present in a swirl burner that consisted of a premixed fuel-air mixture concentric with an outer air stream. Both streams had an imposed swirl. They also found a systematic variation of the NO_2/NO ratio at many locations in their burner. The large amounts of NO_2 were observed in the cooler regions of the flow outside and downstream of the recirculation zone. These results would be consistent with the belief that NO_2 reduction reactions are quenched.

A.A. Nizami and N.P. Cernansky in their paper on " NO_x Formation in Monodisperse Fuel Spray Combustion" reported experimental results indicating that the NO_x formation in the spray of isopropyl alcohol is affected by droplet diameter, equivalence ratio, fuel feed rate, and the distribution of dispersion and dilution air. The most significant effect is the decrease of NO_x with droplet diameter, which reaches a minimum around 50 μm and then increases. They attribute this minimum to transition from a diffusion flame to premixed burning.

One of the most notable advances in recent years has been in the area of measurement techniques, in particular the development of noninvasive techniques for the study of the combustion process. The most important measurements pertain to temperature, specie concentration, droplet size and velocity, and dynamics of other particulates. These are required because most practical combustion processes are turbulent in nature and invariably the flames have some soot in them. Measurements of concentration and temperature are, of course, urgently needed in the modeling of reactive turbulent flow fields. Similarly, droplet dynamics constitute an important aspect of modeling any liquid fuel combustion system.

During the past few years there have been steady improvements in the application of Raman scattering (spontaneous as well as coherent anti-Stokes) and fluorescence techniques to the study of concentration and temperature fields. Several papers dealt with advances in these techniques. A.C. Eckbreth's paper on CARS was in particular noteworthy for progress in measurements of temperature and concentration in sooty, laminar flames. In addition, there have also been attempts at using Rayleigh scattering with a chopped beam. While there has generally been some concern about background noise in applying Rayleigh scattering, K. Muller-Dethlefs and F.J. Weinburg showed results of considerable promise.

Another technique that seemed promising was the so-called quantitative schlieren method to obtain information on the convection velocity and scale of well-defined and ordered structures within turbulent flames. This technique, developed at the Univ. of Southampton, was described by K.J. Lewis and J.B. Moses.

In regard to measurements in fuel sprays, the high-speed photographic technique, developed at the United Technologies Research Center and described by J.J. Sangiovanni and L.G. Fodge, was noteworthy. It was shown that flame characteristics such as shape, location, and temperature profiles can indeed be established for the combustion of single and parallel mono-dispersed droplet streams. The technique developed provides an ability to observe visually spacing of droplets whose flame structures interact when droplets are close enough so that in a flow system one droplet is in the wake of another. The wake becomes a fuel rich zone, not enclosed by an oxidizer field, and is more prone to soot formation.

The Symposia of the Combustion Institute have grown in both participation and the variety of subjects discussed. The Program Committee obviously had a difficult time in obtaining a balanced subject and nation participation. Nevertheless, this Symposium provided a unique forum for the combustion community to get together under a single organization.

The next (Eighteenth) Symposium is expected to be held in 1980 at the Univ. of Waterloo, Canada.

APPENDIX 1

AUTHORS CITED IN ORDER OF THEIR
APPEARANCE IN REPORT

H. G. Wagner
Universität Göttingen
Tammannstrasse 6
3400 Göttingen, West Germany

B. S. Haynes
Institut für Physikalische Chemie der Universität
3400 Göttingen, West Germany

H. Jander
Institut für Physikalische Chemie der Universität
3400 Göttingen, West Germany

B. F. Magnussen
The Norwegian Institute of Technology
N7034 Trondheim-NTH, Norway

K.N.C. Bray
The University of Southampton
Southampton SO9 5NH, England

I. M. Kennedy
The University of Sydney
New South Wales 2006, Australia

J. H. Kent
The University of Sydney
New South Wales 2006, Australia

R. Borghi
ONERA
92320 Chatillon, France

D. Dutoya
ONERA
92320 Chatillon, France

D. Brian Spalding
School of Mechanical Engineering
Purdue University
West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

J. A. Mobsby
Rolls-Royce Ltd.
P.O. Box 31
Derby DE2 8BJ, England

D. E. Fussey
University of Nottingham
University Park
Nottingham NG7 2RD, England

P. Botros
Department of Mechanical Engineering and Astronautical Sciences
Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois 60201

T. A. Brzustowski
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1

A. M. Mellor
School of Mechanical Engineering
Purdue University
West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

W. P. Jones
Rolls-Royce Ltd.
P.O. Box 31
Derby DE2 8BJ, England

C. H. Priddin
Rolls-Royce Ltd.
P.O. Box 31
Derby DE 2 8BJ, England

F. Boyson
Department of Chemical Engineering and Fuel Technology
University of Sheffield
Sheffield S1 3JD, England

J. Swithenbank
Department of Chemical Engineering and Fuel Technology
University of Sheffield
Sheffield S1 3JD, England

M.M.M. Abou Ellail
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Cairo University, Faculty of Engineering
Cairo, Egypt

E. E. Khalil
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Cairo University
Cairo, Egypt

J. A. Senecal
Polaroid Corporation
600 Main Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

C. W. Shipman
Cabot Corporation
Concord Road
Billerica, Massachusetts 01821

M. J. Owen
Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York 14853

F. C. Gouldin
Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York 14853

W. J. McLean
Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York 14853

J. Chomiak
Institute of Aeronautics
Al. Krakowska 110/114
02-256, Warsaw, Poland

R. G. Abdel-Gayed
Department of Mechanical Engineering
The University of Leeds
Leeds LS2 9JT, England

K. J. Lewis
Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics
University of Southampton
Southampton SO9 5NH, England

J. B. Moses
Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics
University of Southampton
Southampton SO9 5NH, England

T. Suzuki
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Ibaraki University
Ibaraki 316, Japan

T. Hirano
Department of Reaction Chemistry
University of Tokyo
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

H. Tsuji
Institute of Space and Aeronautical Sciences
University of Tokyo
4-6-1 Komaba-cho, Meguro-ku
Tokyo 153, Japan

W. C. Strahle
School of Aerospace Engineering
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, Georgia 30332

J. de Ris
Factory Mutual Research Corporation
1151 Boston-Providence Turnpike
Norwood, Massachusetts 02062

H. W. Emmons
Division of Engineering and Applied Physics
Harvard University
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

J. K. Richmond
Pittsburgh Mining and Safety Research Center
Bureau of Mines
4800 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

A. Macek
(formerly of the US Dept. of Energy)
Division of Fossil Energy Research
Washington, D.C. 20545

A. F. Sarofim
Department of Chemical Engineering
66-466
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

J. M. Beer
Department of Chemical Engineering
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

R. C. Flagan
Environmental Engineering Sciences
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91125

S. A. Varma
Mechanical and Industrial Engineering Department
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

D. T. Pratt
Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

G. Lengelle
ONERA
92320 Chatillon, France

P. Beeley
Department of Physical Chemistry
University of Leeds
Leeds LS2 9JT, England

P. Gray
Department of Physical Chemistry
University of Leeds
Leeds, LS2 9JT, England

J. F. Griffiths
Department of Physical Chemistry
University of Leeds
Leeds, LS2 9JT, England

A. P. Glaskova
Institute of Chemical Physics
Academy of Sciences, USSR,
Vorobyevskoe Chausse 2-B
11734 Moscow, USSR

R. Knystautas
McGill University
Box 6070 Station A
Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3C 3G1

A. M. Dean
Department of Chemistry
University of Missouri
Columbia, Missouri 65211

C. Vinckier
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Department of Chemistry
Celestijnenlaan 200F
3030 Heverlee, Belgium

W. Debruyn
Department of Chemistry
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Celestijnenlaan 200F
3030 Heverlee, Belgium

G. M. Johnson
C.S.I.R.O. Minerals Research Laboratories
P.O. Box 136
North Ryde
N.S.W. Australia 2113

C. P. Fenimore
Corporate Research and Development Center
General Electric Company
P.O. Box 8
Schenectady, New York 12301

J. F. Monat
Mechanical Engineering Department
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

A. A. Nizami
Mechanical Engineering and Mechanics Department
Drexel University
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

N. P. Cernansky
Mechanical Engineering and Mechanics Department
Drexel University
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

A. C. Eckbreth
United Technologies Research Center
East Hartford, Connecticut 06108

K. Muller-Dethlefs
Imperial College
Prince Consort Road
London, SW7, England

F. J. Weinberg
Imperial College
Prince Consort Road
London SW7, England

J. J. Sangiovanni
United Technologies Research Center
East Hartford, Connecticut 06108

L. G. Dodge
United Technologies Research Center
East Hartford, Connecticut 06108