

LETTERMAN ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94129

• 2

• . . • . • .

a second and a second second

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.

THE OPINIONS OR ASSERTIONS CONTAINED HEREIN ARE THE PRIVATE VIEWS OF THE AUTHORS AND ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS OFFICIAL OR AS REFLECTING THE VIEWS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

CITATION OF TRADE NAMES IN THIS REPORT DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFICIAL ENDORSEMENT OR APPROVAL OF THE USE OF SUCH ITEMS.

HUMAN SUBJECTS PARTICIPATED IN THESE STUDIES AFTER GIVING THEIR FREE AND INFORMED CONSENT. INVESTIGATORS ADHERED TO AR 70-25 AND USANRDC REG 70-25 ON USE OF VOLUNTEERS IN RESEARCH.

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED HTt: Colonal-Contractor Effects of Exercise and Dietary Protein Levels on Body Composition in Humans 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) 7. AUTHOR(a) Harry J. Krzywicki, C. Frank Consolazio, Herman L. ohnson, and Norman F. Witt 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Bioenergetics Division (SGRD-ULN-BE), Department PROGRAM PROJECT, TASK: Project JA061102B71R of Nutrition, Letterman Army Institute of Research Work Unit #061, Task 😥 Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS REROBT. DATE U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701 19 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I dillerent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED LAIK-6. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Total body volume, total body water, ⁴⁰K in human body, potassium balances, 100 vs. 200 gm of daily dietary protein Two levels of protein (200 and 100 gm/day) were fed to two groups of young adults who exercised strenuously for 40 days. From body volume, total body water mass, 40k whole body counting, and potassium balances, it was determined that the 2.10 kg gain in body weight in Group I subjects (200 gm of protein/day) reflected 0.67, 2.42, and 0.22 kg gains of dry protein, water, and mineral, respectively, with a 1.21 kg loss of body fat. Lesser gains of 0.39 kg of dry protein, 1.46 kg of body water, and 0.13 kg of mineral were observed in Group II, with a 1.09 kg DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF F NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED THE PAGE (ne Data Ente

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

20. Abstract (cont)

Aloss of body fat. Minimal changes were noted in several anthropometric girths, and arm and scapular skinfolds. Although higher dietary intakes resulted in small increments in nitrogen and potassium retentions, intakes of 100 grams of protein per day were sufficient for positive nitrogen balances and appeared to be adequate for men during strenuous physical activity.

UNCLASSIFIED

....

.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Man Date Entered)

a server to a server

2.59 9

ABSTRACT

Two levels of protein (200 and 100 gm/day) were fed to two groups of young adults who exercised strenuously for 40 days. From body volume, total body water mass, ⁴⁰K whole body counting, and potassium balances, it was determined that the 2.10 kg gain in body weight in Group I subjects (200 gm of protein/day) reflected 0.67, 2.42, and 0.22 kg gains of dry protein, water, and mineral, respectively, with a 1.21 kg loss of body fat. Lesser gains of 0.39 kg of dry protein, 1.46 kg of body water, and 0.13 kg of mineral were observed in Group II, with a 1.09 kg loss of body fat. Minimal changes were noted in several anthropometric girths, and arm and scapular skinfolds. Although higher dietary protein intakes resulted in small increments in nitrogen and potassium retentions, intakes of 100 grams of protein per day were sufficient for positive nitrogen balances and appeared to be adequate for men during strenuous physical activity.

ACCESSION	
NTIS	fertion M
UDC	Тел 🛄
1.44462	
741	
1 : Y	
Este	: 09763
TP-1	SPECIAL
A	

PREFACE

Mr. Harry J. Krzywicki retired from Federal Service and presently lives in Aurora, Colorado.

Mr. C. Frank Conzolazio, world renowned physiologist and nutritionist, died while still in Federal Service on 23 December 1976. His dedication to scientific endeavors, encouragement to co-workers and subordinates, and his great initiative in research are sorely missed by all of his friends and associates.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

. .

																										Page
Abstract	• •	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	i
Preface	••	•	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	ii
Table of Cont	cents	s.	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	iii
List of Table	è8 .	٠	•	•	•	•	v	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	iv
BODY OF REPOR	λT																									
INTRODUCTIO)N .	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	1
METHODS	•••	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	3
RESULTS	••	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
DISCUSSION	••	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	5
REFERENCES	• •	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	·	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	9
APPENDIX																										
APPENDIX A	(Tal	ble	s)	•	•	•	٠	٩	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
DISTRIBUTION		•				•					•	•		•	٠	\$	•		•	•	,	•	•		•	19

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	-	Two levels of protein intake; diet composition	12
Table 2	-	Changes in body composition during two levels of protein intake (mean \pm S.D.)	13
Table 3	-	Changes in body potassium, lean body mass, and dry protein during two levels of protein feed- ing	14
Table 4	-	Changes in observed total body water, extra- cellular water, and water balance on two levels of protein intake (mean \pm S.D.)	15
Table 5	-	Nitrogen and potassium balances (gm/man) during two levels of protein intake	16
Table 6	-	Changes in body circumference (cm) with two levels of protein intake (mean \pm S.D.)	17
Table 7	**	Changes in skinfold thicknesses (mm) on two protein intake levels	18

Page

iv

INTRODUCTION

Irwin and Hegsted (1) discussed the elusiveness of determining the protein requirements of man as well as the lack of precision in evaluating nutritional status, particularly as it is affected by age, sex, physical activity, and stress. Early workers (2-6) estimated that protein requirements ranged from 30 to 125 gm/day; however, it was eventually accepted that one gram of protein per kilogram of body weight provided a safety margin for all individuals (7). The need for body composition studies to identify the protein compartment was evident.

Early in the century, the beneficial effects of low protein intakes of 50 to 60 gm/day upon physical fitness were reported (8); however, later work (9) indicated that no differences were found in men consuming between 50 and 160 gm of protein daily. Anemia and hypoproteinemia were demonstrated during heavy exercise with positive nitrogen balance on a 60 gm daily intake of protein (10). Conversely, slightly negative nitrogen balances were reported during exercise with intakes of 0.71 gm of protein/kg of body weight, and blood components were unchanged (11). However, serum protein, hemoglobin, and body cell mass did decrease when intake was further lowered. It was also reported that muscle protein was synthesized at the expense of blood protein on intakes of 1.0 to 1.5 gm of protein/kg of body weight, but,

- 1. Irwin, M.I., and D.M. Hegsted. J Nutr 101:387, 1971
- 2. Voit, C. Ztechr Biol 2:307, 1866
- 3. Atwater, W.O. Fifteenth Annual Report. Storrs Agriculture Experimental Station, 1903
- 4. Smith, E. Practical Dietary for Families, Schools and the Labouring Classes. London: Walton & Maberly, 1865
- 5. Nakashama, T. Arch Histol 8:78, 1888
- 6. Klemperer, G. Z Klin Med 16:550, 1889
- 7. Sherman, H.C., and J.E. Winter. J Biol Chem 35:201, 1919
- 8. Chittenden, R.H. Physiological economy in nutrition. New York: Frederich A. Stokes, CO 1904
- 9. Darling, R.C. et al. J Nutr 28:233, 1944
- 10. Yoshimura, H. J Japan Soc Food Nutr 7:199, 1955
- Rodriguez, H.B. Heavy Dynamic Work and Protein Requirements in man. Ph.D. Thesis. Cambridge, NA: MIT, 1968

again, specific and complete body compartment studies were lacking (12). Another report cited that deterioration of physical performance occurred on 0.6 gm of egg protein per kg of body weight (13), with concomitant losses of body potassium in three of five subjects. Recently, Torun et al (14) confirmed the losses of body potassium during exercise in subjects consuming diets containing 0.5 gm of protein/kg of body weight, and reported that this could be alleviated by excluding exercise, increasing dietary protein to 1 gm/kg of body weight, or by increasing caloric intakes.

The controversy of whether or not increased physical work increases protein requirements continues. With present day emphasis on physical fitness, health, and prevention of disease, this problem needs to be resolved. Many groups require information on the metabolism of protein during physical training, and, particularly, body composition and protein compartment alterations. The athlete and trainer believe that additional dietary protein is needed for muscle development, and the Armed Forces are concerned with developing and maintaining physical fitness in troops, especially during basic training. It is possible that an increase of muscle mass through biosynthesis of protein may occur during strenuous physical activity, and this protein synthesis requires additional dietary protein to prevent the utilization of labile protein stores. Athletes are particularly imbued with the concept that physical training and heavy physical activity require additional dietary protein.

An increase in the NRC recommended allowances may be a practical solution; however, little information is available on the need for increased dietary protein during physical conditioning. Many eminent nutritionists advocate a reduction in protein consumption to reduce the incidence of cancer, heart disease, and other "killer" diseases. These studies have included some aspects of body ergometrics (11, 14, 15) and body compartments in an effort to relate protein retention to changes in body tissue mass; however, continued effort is indicated.

The objective of this study was to assess the effects of 100 and 200 gm of protein intake upon changes in body composition (namely, protein, fat, and water) and to observe protein retention in young adult males while undergoing extensive physical training over an extended period. Such training may induce changes in muscle compartment, indicative of protein retention with concomitant fat losses and increased hydration of the body.

^{12.} Yamaji, R. J Physiol Soc Japan 13:476, 1951

^{13.} Tandon, B. et al. Fed Proc. 28:494, 1969

^{14.} Torun, B. et al. Am J Clin Nutr 30:1983, 1977

Das, B.B. Body Composition and Work Performance with Respect to Protein Nutriture: A Study in Young Men. Ph.D. Thesis, Cambridge, NA: HIT, 1967

METHODS

The subjects were 8 healthy young adult males (mean age 21 years) who consumed a 3,000 kcal liquid control diet (Table 1) for 6 days while preliminary body composition measurements were being made. Body composition measurements techniques have been described elsewhere (16) and include body densitometry by water displacement, total body water from deuterium dilution, "thiocyanate space" from sodium thiocyanate dilution, body potassium from whole body counting of 40K and various anthropometric girths, diameters, and skinfold thicknesses. The men were randomly divided into two equal groups. The first group (J) of 4 men was issued a 3,700 kilocalorie diet containing 200 gm of protein while the second group (II) received the same calorie intake with 100 gm of protein (Table 1). Then a rigorous exercise schedule was conducted for 40 days to evaluate the effects of training on protein requirements. The exercise program was conducted for 5 to 6 hours per day, six days each week, and included calisthenics, isometric-isotonic activities, running, treadmill and ergometer exercises, and sports activities. Although the program was designed to increase physical fitness, these subjects were well conditioned prior to the study and only minimal improvement occurred. The body composition measurements were made on the 12th, 19th, 26th, 33rd, and 40th days of the study.

Statistical analyses were conducted using analysis of variance and "paired-t" tests which are strongly influenced by consistence of change among subjects. Significance was tested at the 5% probability level.

RESULTS

Table 2 presents the observed mean changes in body density, body weight, and the derived values for the fat, water, protein, and mineral compartments of the two groups. In Group I, body density was increased 0.005 gm/ml of volume over control values by day 26, and remained essentially unchanged through day 40. Body weight increased steadily during the experimental period (total of 2.11 kg/man), while body fat stores were being reduced. The 1.40 kg fat loss on day 26 was significant. Significant increases of 2.42 kg of body water, 0.67 kg of dry protein, and 0.22 kg of body minerals were observed by day 40. Lesser changes were observed in Group II with significant increases by day 40 for only dry protein, 0.38 kg, and mineral, 0.13 kg. The increases of 0.003 gm/ml in density, 0.83 kg of body weight and 1.40 kg of body weight, and loss of 1.09 kg of fat at this time were consistent with each other and the changes in protein and mineral, but were not statistically significant.

The total body potassium values obtained from 40 K counting and derived compartments for lean body mass, fat, and dry protoin are shown in Table 3. The gain of 6.05 gm of potassium observed in Group I

16. Krzywicki, H.J., et al. Am J Clin Nutr 27;1380, 1974

indicated a 2.27 kg gain of lean body mass, 0.16 kg loss of fat, and a 0.46 kg gain of dry protein by day 40. In Group II the total body potassium and subsequently dry protein and lean body masses were essentially unchanged by day 40; however, body fat stores had increased by 0.74 kg.

The observed changes in total body water $(D_2O \text{ dilution})$, extracellular water by sodium thiocyanate dilution, and water balances are presented in Table 4. Total body water was increased by 6.3 kg at day 40 while extracellular water increased by only 0.6 kg in Group I. Water balance, calculated from weight changes and weights of intakes and excretions, was positive at 2.89 kg. Group II total body water had steadily increased by 5.4 kg by day 40 with a loss of 0.2 kg of extracellular water. Water balance in this group was also positive by 0.22 kg at day 40.

Nitrogen and potassium balances were calculated on a period basis (Table 5). Group I subjects had positive nitrogen balances throughout the study; however, the greatest retention was during days 1-12, which suggests that muscle mass was increasing during the initial training period. Total nitrogen retention was 62.89 gm, equal to 0.39 kg of dry protein, or 1.46 kg of body muscle tissue. Group II subjects lost a small amount of nitrogen during the control phase, and then the balances were positive throughout the training period. Total retention was 21.96 gm, approximately one-third of the total for Group I. Body potassium balances were positive for both groups of subjects, except for negative balances observed during the control phase of Group I. Potassium balances were steadily decreased in both groups during the experimental phase of the study and total retention was 27.98 gm and 23.67 gm of potassium, respectively, for Groups I and II.

Table 6 shows changes in several anthropometric measurements for the subjects of Groups I and II. A slight but significant increase was noted for Group I subjects in the mean forearm girth at day 33 of the experimental period, while the biceps showed a slight but significant increase in girth on days 12, 26, and 33. The calf showed a significant increase on days 12 and 33, yet increases in the waist circumferences were insignificant as were the minor changes noted in the buttock circumferences. Group II showed significant increases only for the biceps on days 12, 19, 33, and 40, while the calf showed a significant increase on day 12. The forearm, waist, and buttock circumferences showed no significant changes from control values.

Table 7 lists mean changes in the triceps and scapula skinfolds, and it is readily noted that although decreases in triceps skinfold in both groups occurred, the variations were too great to show significance. The scapula skinfold thickness decreased on day 40 in Group 1, and increased slightly in Group II.

DISCUSSION

Body weight changes should reflect changes in water, fat, protein, and mineral compartments. These compartments were examined from several aspects; the first was the estimation of the body fat load from water displacement densitometry. By difference, the fat-free mass of the body was then calculated and further partitioned into the water, protein, and mineral compartments from various constants proposed by Allen (17). Body weight changes are poor predictors of the aforementioned compartments, and fat is usually the first variable affected when diet or energy expenditure is manipulated. Body potaesium is a good indicator of lean body mass, and the aforementioned constants were again applied to determine protein mass, etc. Nitrogen and potassium balances were also used to estimate changes in the protein compartment.

It can be noted from Table 2 that higher protein intake resulted in a greater nitrogen retention from several aspects. Densitometrically, the dry protein compartment (muscle and non-muscle) was significantly increased by 0.67 kg for the subjects consuming 200 gm protein/ day. Body water was increased with an increase in body weight and a concomitant loss of body fat in both groups; however, only the increase in Group I's body water was significant. Only dry protein and mineral components of Group II were significantly increased at the end of the study; but all changes in body compartments were greater on the 200 gm of protein intake.

From 40 K counting (Table 3), the 6.05 gm increase of body potassium reflected a 0.46 kg increase of dry protein in Group I (1 gm K = 0.076 kg dry protein) at 40 days. Actually, an 8.8 gm increase of K would be required to equal the increase of the dry protein compartment noted from body density. The unchanged values for potassium of Group II reflect the low sensitivity of 40 K counting for detecting small changes in body potassium stores. The discrepancies between density derived and 40 Kderived values for fat and lean body masses have been reported (16). The increase of 27.98 and 23.16 gm of K (Table 5) from the balance study for Groups I and II, respectively, overestimate the changes in the dry protein compartment, i.e., the K retentions would indicate protein increases of 2,13 kg in Group I and 1.80 in Group II.

The nitrogen balance data in Table 5 show retention of 62.39 gm in Group I and 21.36 gm in Group II subjects, or approximately 0.39 and 0.14 kg of dry protein respectively (6.25 gm of protein per gm of N). The data for Group I resemble that of 40 K counting and were about onehalf of the values derived from body density. Body density is favored at this laboratory as being most accurate for estimating body compartments, since a recent comparison of techniques for extracting body composition showed densitometry to be more accurate than 40 K counting or total body water measurements (16).

17. Allen, T.H.A., et al. J Appl Physiol 14:1009, 1959

Se Guide a New York and a

Das (15) measured body water and potassium to estimate body cell mass as an index of protein retention while feeding 0.8 gm of protein and either 35 or 50 kcal/day/kg of body weight to subjects doing dynamic work. Slight gains in body weight were noted in the 50 kcal/kg group during the study; however, exercisers at either calorie level lost weight during specific work phases of the study (3.4% of body weight at 35 kcal/kg vs. 1.7% at 50 kcal/kg).

With isometric exercise, Das (15) showed subjects receiving 0.5° gm, 0.71 gm, or 0.80 gm of protein/kg of body weight gained or lost 0.6° kg during the study, but did not attempt to relate the weight changes to diets because of the great variance. Only one subject showed an increase in body cell mass.

Watkin and Miller (18) studied adolescents with malignant disease who were fed 1.8 gm of protein and 50 kcal/kg of body weight per day. The workload was 10 to 30% of their calorie intake. Mitrogen retention was observed in all subjects and respiratory quotients were reduced during exercise, but no body compartment changes were reported.

Rodriguez (11) attempted body composition studies in 10 subjects fed 0.71, 0.50, or 0.39 gm of protein/kg of body weight with adequate calories for maintenance for 24 days, and reported small weight losses between 200 and 500 gm during the work phase of the study. One subject lost 1200 gm on the 0.71 gm diet, while another lost 3000 gm on the 0.39 gm of protein intake, but the composition of the weight loss was not evaluated.

Torum (19) conducted long-term studies of 5 adult male populations with intakes ranging from 58 to 112 gm of protein and 1800 to 3300 kcal/ day and observed no overt signs of malnutrition or obesity. Body composition of subjects on the lower protein to calorie ratios showed the effect of a calorie deficit but no decrease in lean body or muscle, which suggested an adaptation to the lower protein intakes for active subjects on low protein intakes as compared to a lesser lean mass for those on high protein and calorie intakes with reduced physical activity.

The derived changes in body water of subjects in our study showed a 2.42 kg mean gain in Group I and a 1.46 kg of gain in Group II. Rodriquez (11) found losses of 1.3 kg of water for men receiving diets containing 0.39 gm of protein per kg of body weight, a 1.6 kg of water loss at 0.59 gm of protein/kg and for 2 subjects receiving 0.71 gm/kg per day, one gained 1.2 kg and the other lost 1.6 kg of water during

18. Watkin D.M., and B.S. Miller. Fed Proc 18:167, 1959

19. Torun, B., et al. Fed Proc 27:679, 1968

the study. Apparently, there may be a trend for water retention with an increase of dietary protein as is reported here. If muscle mass is increasing, a gain in body water with a loss of body fat can be expected.

Rodriquez (11) noted significant losses of 11% of the extracellular body water at low levels of protein intake (0.39 and 0.50 gm/kg of body weight) and unrelated changes at 0.7 gm. Extracellular water in our study was increased 1.3 kg in Group I after the training period, and then remained between 16.7 and 17.0 kg for the balance of the study. Group II showed a loss of 0.2 kg at day 40. Similar insignificant changes in this compartment were reported by Das (15) who also considered them inconsequential.

Das (15) used ⁴⁰K counting to determine body protein changes in subjects undergoing isometric exercises. Two men receiving 0.7 and 0.8 gm of protein/kg of body weight had significant increases in cell mass, but those who received only 0.5 gm/kg had a reduction of the body cell mass. Our calculation of the dry protein mass from densitometry showed an increase of approximately 5.3% in the high-intake group.

The derived changes in the mineral content may also suggest that more K was retained by the subjects on their relatively high protein intakes. No densitometric values are available in the literature for comparison with the present study.

The nitrogen balances were positive for both groups during the entire study; however, the greater retention occurred in the high protein group and this was due to the high intake. It appears that protein was conserved when 100 gm were fed. Excretions of Group II subjects were reduced 14% in feces, 37% in sweat, and 51% in the urine in comparison to Group I men. Das (15) also observed a greater percentage of nitrogen retention in subjects consuming diets with lower levels of protein. He found nitrogen equilibrium at the 0.8 gm/kg level, and half of the subjects receiving 0.7 gm of protein/kg of body weight were in equilibrium, while negative balances were observed in those receiving 0.5 gm/kg.

The increased urinary output of nitrogen in Group I was expected and was also observed by Rodriquez (11) as opposed to the constant nitrogen output in Group II. Sweat nitrogen was slightly reduced in Group I by day 24, but appeared to be within normal limits. This was also noted in Group II. Das (15) did not collect sweat in his study, and his estimated values appear to be unrealistic.

Rodriquez (11) reported positive balances of K in subjects on 0.71 and 0.59 gm of protein/kg diets, while negative balances were seen in subjects on 0.39 gm, but he was reluctant to accept these values since they are at best estimates including arm bag sweat collection techniques.

Only a few significant changes in body anthropometry were noted, including increases in the biceps at days 12, 26, and 33, and the calf circumferences on days 12 and 33 in Group II. A similar pattern was noted for Group I. With the mean weight gain observed for these groups, one would expect Group I to have had slightly larger girths by the end of the study, but these are probably difficult to observe in exercising men for this short a period. The skinfold thicknesses simply did not show any significant change, regardless of diet and exercise, and, in this instance, were poor indicators of changes in body compartments, mainly fat in the subcutaneous tissues.

The results of this study showed that increased dietary protein increased nitrogen, potassium, and protein retention during strenuous physical activity. However, only a small amount of extra protein was retained; the balance of the nitrogen was excreted. The men receiving 100 gm of dietary protein per day maintained positive nitrogen balance and, apparently, were able to increase muscle mass. Therefore, 100 gm of protein per day appeared to be adequate for these men and most of the dietary protein in excess of this was converted to energy sources. Animal protein calories are expensive; while vegetable protein calories are less expensive, they provide an excess of carbohydrate calories in addition to protein calories.

8

na wara ka mangerana da

REFERENCES

- 1. IRWIN, M.I., and D.M. HEGSTED. A conspectus of research on protein requirements of man. J Nutr 101:387, 1971.
- 2. VOIT, C. Influence of previous diet on urea elimination in starvation. Ztschr Biol 2:307, 1866.
- 3. ATWATER, W.O. The demands of the body for nourishment and dietary standards. Fifteenth Annual Report. Storrs, Connecticut: Stoors Agriculture Experimental Station, p. 123, 1903.
- 4. SMITH, E. Practical Dietary for Families, Schools and the Labouring Classes. London: Walton & Maberly, 1865.
- 5. NAKASHAMA, T. Verber den Eiweissbedarf des Erwashsenen mit Berucksichtigung den Bekostigung in Japan. Arch Hiz 8:78, 1888.
- 6. KLEMPERER, G. Untersuchungen uber Stoffweschsel und Erahrung in Krankheiten. Z Klin Med 16:550, 1889.
- 7. SHERMAN, H.C., and J.C. WINTER. Efficiency of maize protein in adult human nutrition. J Biol Chem 35:301, 1918.
- 8. CHITTENDEN, R.H. Physiological economy in nutrition. New York: Frederick A. Stokes Co., 1904.
- 9. DARLING, R.C., R.E. JOHNSON, G.C. PITTS, C.F. CONSOLAZIO, and P.F. JOHNSON. Effects of variations in dietary proteins upon physical well being of men doing manual work. J Nutr 28:273, 1944.
- 10. YOSHIMURA, H. The protein metabolism and protein requirements in muscular training. J Japan Soc Food Nutr 7:199, 1955.
- RODRIQUEZ, H.B. Heavy dynamic Work and Protein Requirements in Man. Ph.D. Thesis. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1968.
- YAMAJI, R. Studies on protein metabolism during muscular exercise.

 Nitrogen metabolism in training for heavy muscular exercise.
 J Physiol Soc Japan 13:476, 1951.
- TANDON, B., J. DAS, V. YOUNG, and N. SCRIMSHAW. The effect of isometric exercise on body K and work performance. Fed Proc 28:494, 1969.
- TORUN, B., N.S. SCRIMSHAW, and V.R. YOUNG. Effect of isometric exercises on body potassium and dietary protein requirements of young men. Am J Clin Nutr 30:1983, 1977

- The book of the second prove and

- 15. DAS, J.B. Body composition and Work Performance With Respect to Protein Nutriture: A Study in Young Men. Ph.D. Thesis. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1967.
- KRZYWICKI, H.J., G.M. WARD, D.P. RAHMEN, R.A. NELSON, and C.F. CONSOLAZIO. A comparison of methods for estimating human body composition. Am J Clin Nutr 27:1380, 1974.
- 17. ALLEN, T.H.A., B.E. WELCH, T.T. TRUJILLO, and J.E. ROBERTS. Fat, water and tissue solids of the whole body less its mineral. J Appl Physiol 14:1009, 1959.
- WATKIN, D.M., and B.S. Mueller. Effects of exercise on nutritional and energy expenditure in adolescents with neoplastic disease. Fed Proc 18:167, 1959.
- TORUN, B., F. VITERI, F. SARAVIA, and M. FLORES. Body composition in Guatemalan adults of different nutriture. Fed Proc 27:679, 1968.

The Repart of Constraints of

LIST OF TABLES

,

and the desident of the second s

			Page
Table 1	-	Two levels of protein intake; diet composition	12
Table 2	-	Changes in body composition during two levels of protein intake (mean ± S.D.)	13
Table 3	-	Changes in body potassium, lean body mass, and dry protein during two levels of protein feed- ing	14
Table 4	-	Changes in observed total body water, extra- cellular water, and water balance on two levels of protein intake (mean \pm S.D.)	15
Table 5	-	Nitrogen and potassium balances (gm/man) during two levels of protein intake	16
Table 6	-	Changes in body circumference (cm) with two levels of protein intake (mean ± S.D.)	17
Table 7	-	Changes in skinfold thicknesses (mm) on two protein intake levels	18

APPENDIX

The second second second second

4

•

	<u>Kcalories</u>	<u>Protein</u>	Fat	<u>Carbohydrate</u>
Control (all men)	3084	103.3 gm	136.8 gm	359.9 gm
		(13.4%)*	(39.9%)	(46.7%)
Group I	3766	204.5 gm	144.9 gm	412.9 gm
(200 gm intake)		(21.7%)	(34.47)	(43.9%)
Group II	3762	103.3 gm	161.8 gm	473.1
(100 gm intake)		(11.0%)	(38.7%)	(50.37)

Table 1. Two levels of protein intake; diet composition.

*Percent of calories

the set of the set of the set of the

Changes in body composition during two levels of protein intake (mean ± S.D.) Table 2.

1

			Experiments	ul (days)		
	Control	12	19	26	33	40
Density gm/ml	1.080 ± 0.014	1.080 ± 0.010	1.080 ± 0.010	1.085 ± 0.012	1.085 ± 0.020	1.084 + 0.010
Height kg	70.48 ± 10.0	71.89 ± 9.18	71.66 ± 8.79	72.15 ± 8.24	72.57 ± 7.94	72.59 + 7.67
Fat kg	8.06 ± 4.56	8.15 ± 3.42	8.14 ± 3.96	6.66 ⁸ ± 4.16	6.63 ± 3.25	6.85 ± 3.53
iater kg	45.56 ± 4.52	46.50 ± 4.94	46.37 ± 4.45	47.81 ⁸ ± 3.94	48.13 ^c ± 4.30	47_98 ^b + 3.62
)ry Protein kg	12.60 ± 1.26	12.96 ⁸ ± 1.32	12.83 ± 1.23	13.22 ^a ± 1.09	$13.32^{\circ} \pm 1.19$	$13.27^{c} + 1 nn$
fineral kg	4.24 ± 0.42	4 . 33 ± 0.46	4.32 ± 0.46	4.45 ⁸ ± 0.36	4.48 ^a ± 0.40	$4.46^{a} \pm 0.34$
			Group	11		
lensity gm/ml	1.078 ± 0.010	1.074 ± 0.010	1.076 ± 0.012	1.078 ± 0.014	1.077 ± 0.014	1.081 + 0.014
leight kg	72.15 ± 6.61	72.92 ± 7.02	72.81 ± 7.10	72.94 ± 6.91	72-95 ± 6.78	72.98 + 6.67
at kg	8.98 ± 4.81	9.98 ± 4.84	9.66 ± 4.63	8.78 ± 4.85	9.35 ± 5.23	7.89 + 4 64
ater kg	46.11 ± 1.60	45.94 ± 1.66	46.10 ± 1.97	46,84 ± 2,35	46.42 ± 2.17	47.51 + 1.78
ry Protein kg	12.76 ± 0.50	12.71 ± 0.46	12.75 ± 0.55	12.96 ± 0.65	12.84 ± 0.59	$13.14^{23} \pm 0.50$
<u>iineral</u> kg	4.29 ± 0.17	4.28 ± 0.15	4.29 ± 0.18	4.36 ± 0.22	4.32 ± 0.20	$4.42^{8} + 0.17$

a = Significantly different from control value, p < 0.01

b = Significantly different from control value, <math>p < 0.025

c = Significantly different from control value, p < 0.05

the states ŝ

	Control	Experime	ental (days)
		19	40
	Grou	p I	
Total body potassium, g	150.10	154.80	156.15
Lean body mass, kg	56.37	58.14	58,64
Fat, kg	14.11	13.52	13.95
Dry protein, kg	11.39	11.74	11,85
	Grou	p II	
Total body potassium, g	152.68	154.01	152.93
Lean body mass, kg	57,34	57.84	57.43
Fat, kg	14.81	14.97	15,55
Dry protein, kg	11.58	11.68	11,60

Table 3. Changes in body potassium, lean body mass, and dry protein during two levels of protein feeding

- the angle of the second second

Changes in observed total body water, extracellular water, and water balance on two levels of protein intake (mean ± S.D.) Table 4.

+0.22 5.40 2.89 -0.2 Change 0.6 6.3 Total 50.96 ± 2.2 16.6 ± 1.4 49.5 ± 2.4 16.7 ± 1.7 **6** -0.74 -0.70 49.63 ± 1.45 16.7 ± 1.6 49.0 ± 4.0 17.0 ± 0.8 33 -0.89 -0.49 49.12 ± 2.18 17.3 ± 1.5 Experimental 16.8 ± 1.6 46.9 ± 0.8 Group II Group I 26 -1.05 -0.55 46.96 ± 2.85 16.9 ± 1.4 16.8 ± 2.0 46.8 ± 2.7 19 -0.62 +1.08 45.24 ± 2.24 43.5 ± 2.61 17.2 ± 1.7 17.4 ± 3.5 12 -0.32 +1.10 45.56 ± 1.50 16.8 ± 0.2 16.1 ± 2.0 43.2 ± 3.1 Control 3.84 +2.45 Extracellular water, kg Extracellular water, kg Total body water, kg Total body water, kg Water balance, kg^{\star} Weter balance, kg* 15

Sec.

*Summation of changes for each period and total change is summation for entire period including 10 days of control. These water balances were calculated from weight changes and weights of all intakes and excretions.

a construction of the second states of the second s

		Control		Experimen	tal (days)	i		Total
		5 days	1-12	13-19	20-26	27-33	34-40	40 days
				Nitrog	;en			
Group	I							
per	period	+5.76	+35.76	+11.70	+1.74	+2,53	+11.13	+62.89
per	day	+1.15	+ 2.98	+ 1.67	+0.25	+0,36	+ 1.59	+ 1.57
Group	II							
per	period	-0,48	+ 8.00	+ 4.03	+5,45	+2,94	+ 1.54	+21.96
per	day	-0,10	+ 0.67	+ 0.58	+0.78	+0.42	+ 0.22	+ 0.55
				Potasa	ium			
Group	I							
per	period	-0.48	+10.08	+ 6.68	+5.01	+3.14	+3.08	+27.98
per	day	-0.10	+ 0.84	+ 0.95	+0,72	+0.45	+0.44	+ 0.70
Group	11							
per	period	+0.37	+10,82	+ 3.92	+3.17	+3,48	+2.29	+23.67
per	day	+0.07	+ 0.90	+ 0.56	+0.45	+0.50	+0.33	+ 0.59

Table 5. Nitrogen and potassium balances (gm/man) during two levels of protein intake

Sec. 1. 1.

18.07

16

Table 6. Changes in body circumferences (cm) with two levels of protein intake (mean ± S.D.)

				xperimental (day	B)	
	Control	12	19	26	33	40
Group I Forearm Biceps Calf Waist Buttocks	26.2 ± 1.1 28.1 ± 2.0 36.4 ± 2.8 78.6 ± 7.8 96.2 ± 7.0	26.8 ± 0.6 28.8* ± 1.6 37.0* ± 2.6 78.8 ± 6.8 95.8 ± 5.5	26.6 ± 0.7 28.4 ± 1.6 36.9 ± 1.9 78.7 ± 5.3 95.8 ± 5.5	26.6 ± 0.9 28.8* ± 1.6 36.6 ± 1.8 79.0 ± 4.6 95.6 ± 5.6	26.8* ± 0.9 28.9* ± 1.4 37.3* ± 1.9 79.8 ± 4.0 95.7 ± 4.2	26.8 ± 0.7 28.9 ± 1.3 36.9 ± 1.4 79.3 ± 4.1 97.2 ± 1.9
Group II Forearm Biceps Calf - Waist Buttocks	26.9 ± 0.6 26.8 ± 1.6 37.0 ± 2.0 78.8 ± 6.5 95.8 ± 5.5	26.9 ± 0.6 28.54 ± 1.0 38.74 ± 1.0 81.2 ± 5.4 96.8 ± 4.8	25.9 ± 0.2 28.6* ± 1.1 38.4 ± 1.3 80.8 ± 6.3 96.7 ± 4.9	27.6 ± 0.5 28.5 ± 1.0 38.2 ± 1.0 80.3 ± 6.0 97.0 ± 4.5	26.8 ± 0.6 22.8* ± 1.0 38.4 ± 1.3 81.1 ± 6.9 97.5 ± 4.3	26.6 ± 0.6 28.6* ± 0.9 38.2 ± 1.4 80.5 ± 6.3 96.7 ± 4.5

#Significantly different from control (P < 0.05).

ŝ

A.

 $1 \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$

			Exp	erimental (da	ys)	
	Control	12	19	26	33	40
Group I						
Triceps	8.2 ± 3.7	8.7 ± 4.3	7.7 ± 3.2	8.1 ± 3.8	7.8 ± 3.6	7.7 ± 2.9
Scapula	9.8 ± 2.4	9.9 ± 2.2	9.1 ± 2.0	9.4 ± 2.0	9.4 ± 1.8	9.5 ± 1.8
Group II						
Triceps	9.4 ± 3.6	9.8 ± 2.4	8.9 ± 2.2	9.1 ± 2.3	9.2 ± 3.1	9.2 ± 2.2
Scapula	9.8 ± 3.4	9.6 ± 2.5	9.3 ± 2.6	9.4 ± 3.0	9.5 ± 2.7	9.9 \$ 3.0

Table 7. Changes (mm) in skinfold thicknesses* on two protein intake levels.

*Mean of right and left sides, mean ± S.D. of subjects.

(JP)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

US Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21701

ter on the second of the second

Defense Documentation Center ATTN: DDC-TCA Alexandria, VA 22314

Superintendent Academy of Health Sciences, US Army ATTN: AHS-COM Fort San Houston, TX 78234

Dir of Defense Research and Engineering ATTN: Asst Dir (Environmental and Life Sciences) Washington, DC 20301

Nq DA (DASG-ZXA) Washington, DC 20310

19

\$