

FNET •3 -. a CMU-CS-78-154 ADA064294 A General Method for Solving **Divide-and-Conquer Recurrences** Jon Louis Bentley¹ **Dorothea Haken** James B. Saxe 13 December 1978 DC 70 FILE COPY. FFR 7 1979 DEPARTMENT 95TT of **COMPUTER SCIENCE** 30 This document hes for public ral -ribution is unlimited the bran en Carnegie-Mellon University 79 01 22 074

CMU-CS-78-154

A General Method for Solving Divide-and-Conquer Recurrences

Jon Louis Bentley¹ Dorothea Haken James B. Saxe Department of Computer Science Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

13 December 1978

Abstract

The complexity of divide-and-conquer algorithms is often described by recurrence relations of the form

T(n) = kT(n/c) + f(n).

The only method currently available for solving such recurrences consists of solution tables for fixed functions f and varying k and c. In this note we describe a unifying method for solving these recurrences that is both general in applicability and easy to apply without the use of large tables.

1. Also with the Department of Mathematics.

This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-76-C-0370.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. The Template	2
3. Examples	3
4. A More General Template	5
5. Extensions	6

ACCESSION	for	
NTIS	White Section	
500	Buff Section	
2413910	PATO	
and I have	1. Perth	
NSTREETES	WAYAD ABELITY CODES	
	1/or SPECIAL	
n		
5		

1. Introduction

The running times of recursive algorithms can often be analyzed by the use of recurrence relations. Divide-and-conquer algorithms are an important subclass of recursive algorithms (see Aho, Hopcroft and Ullman [1974, Section 2.6] for a discussion of this class). The recurrence relations describing the complexities of these algorithms often have the form¹

1

T(1) given, T(n) = kT(n/c) + f(n).

Much work has been done on systematic ways of solving recurrences of this form; see, for example, Aho, Hopcroft and Ullman [1974, pp. 64-65], Borodin and Munro [1975, p. 80], Keller [1978], and Stanat and McAllister [1977, pp. 249, 255]. The only methods described by the above authors, however, consist of solution tables for fixed functions f and varying k and c. Although this method is quite satisfactory when applied to recurrences with common f, there are many times when a function f arises that has not been previously tabulated.

In this note we describe a new method for solving recurrences of the above form. Our method is based on rewriting the recurrence into a standard template, which can then be solved easily. This template is discussed in Section 2 for the particular case that c = 2. In Section 3 we illustrate the use of the template by solving a number of particular recurrences. The extension of the template to the case that $c \neq 2$ is the subject of Section 4. Further work that has already been done on solving a broader class of recurrences is then described in Section 5. The primary contribution of this paper is not in solving any particular new recurrences but rather in providing a general and succinct method by which a large class of recurrences may be solved. It has already been the experience of the authors that this method is an excellent didactic tool in the classroom context.

¹Note that T(n) is defined only when n is a power of c; the implications of this restriction are discussed by Aho, Hopcroft and Ullman [1974, p. 65].

2. The Template

In this section we will investigate recurrences of the form

T(1) given, (1)
T(n) =
$$kT(n/2) + f(n)$$
.

As mentioned before, this recurrence is defined only for n a power of two. To solve the recurrence we will rewrite it into the *template*

T(1) given, (2)
T(n) =
$$2^{p}T(n/2) + n^{p}g(n)$$
,

where $p = \lg k$ and $g(n) = f(n)/n^{p,1}$ This new recurrence is easily solved; it has the unique solution

$$T(n) = n^{p}[T(1) + g(2) + g(4) + ... + g(n)],$$

which we abbreviate as

$$T(n) = n^{p}[T(1) + \tilde{g}(n)],$$

where \tilde{g} is defined as

$$\widetilde{g}(n) = \sum_{1 \le i \le lg \ n} g(2^i).$$

Mathematical induction on the powers of two can be used to show that Equation 3 is indeed the unique solution to the recurrence of Equation 2.

The above facts provide us with a method for solving any recurrence in the form of Equation 1. We cast it in the template of Equation 2 by doing a division and taking a logarithm, and then the solution to the recurrence is given by Equation 3. The only complicated part of this process is determining the sum implicit in the function \tilde{g} in Equation 3, and this can usually be done with the aid of the following

2

(3)

¹Throughout the paper we use Ig as an abbreviation for \log_2 and \lg^1 n as an abbreviation for $(\lg n)^1$.

table in which we describe \widetilde{g} in relation to g.

g(n)	ĝ(n)
0(n ^q) q<0	0(1)
lg ^j n j≥0	$(ig^{j+1} n)/(j+1) + (ig^{j} n)/2 + \theta(ig^{j-1} n)$
$\Omega(n^q) q>0$	θ(g(n))

Table 1.

For the third entry we use Ω in the following restricted sense: we write $g(n) = \Omega(n^q)$ if there exists an n_0 such that $g(cn) \ge c^q g(n)$ for all c > 1 and all $n > n_0$.

3. Examples

In this section we will study a few common recurrences that have appeared in the literature and show that they can be solved by our method. Throughout this section we will mention where the recurrences arise in applications without giving complete bibliographic references to those applications; this is because our main point in mentioning the recurrences is not the applications themselves but the fact that these are common recurrences. (Further descriptions of and references to the applications may be found in the Appendix, however.) In all of these examples we will assume that T is defined only at powers of two and that some initial value T(1) is given.

Example 1. T(n) = T(n/2) + 1

This recurrence can be used to describe the worst-case cost of performing a binary search in an ordered table. The recurrence can be cast in the template of Equation 2 with p = 0 and g(n) = 1. By the second entry in Table 1 (setting j = 0) we have

$$T(n) = 2^{O}T(n/2) + n^{O}(ig^{O} n)$$

= n^O[T(1) + ig n + $\theta(1)$]
= ig n + $\theta(1)$.

Example 2. $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n \lg n$

This recurrence arises in a multitude of applications, including algorithms for finding the maximal elements in a four-dimensional vector set, for evaluating normalized derivatives, for finding all nearest-neighbor pairs in three-dimensional point sets, and in Batcher's odd-even merge sort. To cast this recurrence in the template of Equation 2 we let p = 1 and $g(n) = \lg n$. We then use the second entry in Table 1 (with j = 1) which yields

 $T(n) = 2^{1}T(n/2) + n^{1} \lg n$ = $n^{1}[T(1) + (\lg^{2} n)/2 + \theta(\lg n)]$ = $(n \lg^{2} n)/2 + \theta(n \lg n).$

Example 3. $T(n) = 7T(n/2) + \theta(n^2)$

This recurrence describes the running time of Strassen's matrix multiplication algorithm. We cast this recurrence into the template of Equation 2 by letting $p = \lg 7$ and $g(n) = n^2 - \lg 7$. Since 2 - $\lg 7 < 0$ we use the first entry in Table 1 to conclude that

 $T(n) = 2^{\lg 7}T(n/2) + n^{\lg 7}\theta(n^2 - \lg 7)$ = $n^{\lg 7}[T(1) + O(1)]$ = $\theta(n^{\lg 7}).$

Example 4. $T(n) = T(n/2) + n \lg n$

This recurrence arises in algebraic complexity whenever Newton iteration is used to accomplish "extrapolative recursion"; it is also used in the analysis of the Ford-Johnson sorting algorithm. To cast this recurrence in the template of Equation 2 we let p = 0 and $g(n) = n \lg n$. Since $n \lg n = \Omega(n^1)$ we can use the third entry in Table 1 to deduce that

 $T(n) = 2^{O}T(n/2) + n^{O}(n \log n)$

4

 $= n^{O}[T(1) + \theta(n \lg n)]$ $= \theta(n \lg n).$

4. A More General Template

The template developed in Section 2 can easily be generalized to solve recurrences of the form

T(1) given, T(n) = kT(n/c) + f(n).

(Note that this generalizes Equation 1 of Section 2 from division by two to division by any constant.) To solve the recurrence we cast it into the template

$$T(n) = c^{p}T(n/c) + n^{p}g(n),$$

where $p = \log_c k$ and $g(n) = f(n)/n^p$. The solution to this modified recurrence is

$$T(n) = n^{p}[T(1) + \sum_{1 \le i \le \log_{p}} n]$$

As in Section 2, the proof that this is the unique solution to the recurrence can be performed by mathematical induction on the powers of c. For monotone functions g it can be proved that the above sum differs from $\tilde{g}(n)$ by at most a factor of lg c, so Table 1 can be used to solve the recurrence to within a constant factor.

This template can be used, for example, to analyze the running time of Pan's matrix multiplication algorithm, which satisfies the recurrence

 $T(n) = 143640 T(n/70) + \theta(n^2)$

This recurrence is rewritten into the template as

 $T(n) = 70^{p}T(n/70) + n^{p}g(n),$

where p is defined as \log_{70} 143640, or approximately 2.79, and $g(n) = \theta(n^{2-p})$. The first entry of Table 1 tells us that $\tilde{g}(n) = O(1)$, so the solution of the recurrence

5

 $T(n) = n^{p}[T(1) + \theta(\tilde{g}(n))]$ = n^p[T(1) + O(1)] = $\theta(n^{p}),$

or approximately $\theta(n^{2.79})$. This method can also be employed to analyze other algorithms based on Pan's approach, in which 143640 and 70 are replaced by other constants.

6

5. Extensions

The work that we have described so far in this paper is a specialization of a more general theory for solving classes of recurrences. In this section we will sketch parts of the more general theory, which the authors will describe in detail in a future paper. It is the authors' contention, however, that the special theory is all that is necessary to solve many of the recurrences that arise in practice.

The special template that we have described in this note has been extended in several ways. In the future paper, Table 1 will be expanded to include many functions besides the three described in Section 2. We will also describe a method for "interpolating" to find values of the ~ operator for functions not in the table: if $g_2(n)$ is between $g_1(n)$ and $g_3(n)$, then $\tilde{g}_2(n)/g_2(n)$ is between $\tilde{g}_3(n)/g_3(n)$ and $\tilde{g}_1(n)/g_1(n)$. (We use "between" in a formal sense and assume "smoothness" properties of the function to show this result.) We will also show in the future paper how the linearity of the ~ operator (which follows from the linearity of Σ) can be used to find the second and higher order terms of the solution to the recurrence.

In the future paper the authors will show how to define templates to solve classes of recurrences of the form

 $T(n_0)$ given, T(n) = a(n)T(b(n)) + f(n).

This will allow us to solve such recurrences as

is

T(2) given, T(n) = T($n^{1/2}$) + 1,

which arises in the expected-time analysis of interpolation search, a $\theta(\lg \lg n)$ searching algorithm.

References

Aho, A. V., J. E. Hopcroft and J. D. Ullman [1974]. The Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.

Borodin, A. and I. Munro [1975]. The Computational Complexity of Algebraic and Numeric Problems, American Elsevier, New York, N. Y.

Keller, K. [1978]. "Computation cost functions for divide-and-conquer algorithms", to appear in *Journal of Undergraduate Mathematics*.

Stanat, D. F. and D. F. McAllister [1977]. Discrete Mathematics in Computer Science, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J.

Appendix

When we presented examples in the text we only mentioned the applications that motivated the recurrences and did not discuss them in any detail. In this appendix we will further describe the applications mentioned above and give bibliographic references.

The recurrence of Example 1 describes the worst-case complexity of binary search; see Knuth [1973, Section 6.2.1] for a description of that algorithm.

We mentioned that the recurrence of Example 2 arises in a number of applications. Kung, Luccio and Preparata [1975] describe the problem of computing all the *maximal* elements in a set of vectors. (A maximal vector is one which is not less than any other vector in all components.) They give algorithms for finding the maxima of k-dimensional vector sets; their algorithm for the case k=4 has running time modelled by this recurrence. Kung [1973] describes an algorithm for computing the n normalized derivatives $P^{(i)}(t)/i!$ for i = 1,...,n, where P is an n-th degree polynomial; the running time of his algorithm is also described by this recurrence. Given a set of n points in 3-space, the "All Nearest Neighbors" problem calls for finding the nearest neighbor for each point in the set among the rest of the points; Bentley and Shamos' [1976] algorithm for this problem has running time described by the recurrence. This recurrence also describes the number of comparators needed to implement Batcher's nonadaptive odd-even merge sort (which is described by Liu [1977, pp. 200-203]).

The recurrence of Example 3 describes the complexity of Strassen's [1969] sub-cubic matrix multiplication algorithm; this algorithm is also discussed by Aho, Hopcroft and Ullman [1974, pp. 230-232].

The recurrence of Example 4 arises in a number of algorithms based on "extrapolative recursion". This term is described in more detail by Borodin and Munro [1975, p. 80]; many examples of such algorithms can be found later in their book. The same recurrence also arises in the analysis of the Ford-Johnson sorting algorithm (see Ford and Johnson [1959] or Knuth [1973]) which was the best-known sorting algorithm (in terms of using minimal comparisons) for almost twenty years--an algorithm faster for some values of n was recently obtained by Manacher [1977].

Pan's [1978] matrix multiplication algorithm was mentioned in Section 4; the authors suspect that many other descriptions (and modifications) of that algorithm will be available in the near future.

At the end of Section 5 we mentioned the analysis of interpolation search in a sorted table. An elegant analysis of this algorithm can be found in Perl and Reingold [1977].

References for Appendix

Aho, A. V., J. E. Hopcroft and J. D. Ullman [1974]. The Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.

Bentley, J. L. and M. I. Shamos [1976]. "Divide and conquer in multidimensional space", *Proceedings of the Eighth Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, ACM, May 1976, pp. 220-230.

Borodin, A. and I. Munro [1975]. The Computational Complexity of Algebraic and Numeric Problems, American Elsevier, New York, N.Y.

Ford, L. and S. Johnson [1959]. "A tournament problem", American Mathematical Monthly 66, pp. 391-395.

Knuth, D. E. [1973]. The Art of Computer Programming, volume 3: Sorting and Searching, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.

Kung, H. T. [1973]. A New Upper Bound on the Complexity of Derivative Evaluation, Carnegie-Mellon University Computer Science Report, September 1973. Kung, H. T., F. Luccio and F. P. Preparata [1975]. "On finding the maxima of a set of vectors", JACM 22, 4, October 1975, pp. 469-476.

Liu, C. L. [1977]. Elements of Discrete Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, New York, N. Y.

Manacher, G. K. [1977]. "The Ford-Johnson sorting algorithm is not optimal", Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual Allerton Conference on Communications, Control and Computing, Sepember 1977, pp. 390-397.

Pan, V. Ya. [1978]. "An introduction to the trilinear technique of aggregating, uniting and canceling and applications of the technique for constructing fast algorithms for matrix operations", *Proceedings of the Nineteenth Annual Symposium* on the Foundations of Computer Science, IEEE, 1978.

Perl, Y. and E. M. Reingold [1977]. "Understanding the complexity of interpolation search", *Information Processing Letters* 6, December 1977, pp. 219-222.

Strassen, V. [1969]. "Gaussian elimination is not optimal", Numerische Mathematik 13, pp. 354-356.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCES	SION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
(14/CMU-CS-78-154	
4. TITLE (and Sublitto)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
A GENERAL METHOD FOR SOLVING DIVIDE-AN	d- (VInterim Hapti
CONQUER RECURRENCES	6. PERFORMING ONG. ASPONT AUNOC
2. AUTHORA)	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)
Jon Louis Bentley	19
James B. Saxe	(1) N00014-76-C-0370
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TA
Carnegie-Mellon University	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Computer Science Dept.	
PITTBDUTCH, PA 15213	12. REPORT DATE
Office of Nevel Descench	(11 3 December 1978
Arlington, VA 22217	14
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling	Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Same as above	INCLASSIFIED
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADIN
	SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) This document has been for public release and a distribution is unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 di	a approved eale; its Herent from Report)
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public rejects and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 di 12. 14 P	a approved sole; its flerent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public release and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if distribution is unlimited. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	a approved sole: its literent from Report)
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public rejectes and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 di DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 di 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	a approved ecle; its Ilerent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public releases and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if distribution is unlimited. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	a approved sole; is flerent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public rejects and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution is unlimited. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	a equerored eclo; is: Herent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public release and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution is unlimited. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block is the statement of the second in the second in the second is the second in the second is the second in the second is unlimited. 	the experiment sole; its flerent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been for public release and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, if distribution is unlimited. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black is the second in the second in the second in the second is the second in the second is the second is the second is unlimited. 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black is the second in the second is the second is the second in the second is the s	a approved sole: is: Herent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public release and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electreat entered in Block 20, 11 distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electreat entered in Block 20, 11 distribution is unlimited. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block is unlimited. 	therent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public rejects and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 dl DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD	ch equiproved bodie: is: Iterent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public releases and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution is unlimited. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 20. ABSTRACT (Continue o	c exprored sole; is flerent from Report) :k number)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public release and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 dl and a statement of the entered in Black 20, 11 dl and a statement with the statement of the entered in Black 20, 11 dl and a statement with the statement of the entered in Black 20, 11 dl and a statement with the statement of the entered in Black 20, 11 dl and a statement with the statement of the entered in Black 20, 11 dl and a statement of the entered in Black 20, 11 dl and	t number)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public releases and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 dt WILL PLANE 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block	c exprored sole; is flerent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been tor public releases and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 dl DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD	the equiproved socie: is: ////////////////////////////////////
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been for public releases and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if distribution is unlimited. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 	k number)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been for public releases and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, if distribution is unlimited. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and iden	k number)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been for public releases and a distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, 11 dl and a statement of the abstract entered in Black 20, 11 dl and a statement and a stat	k number)
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) This document has been tor public release and in the public release and in distribution is unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 dl DDDDEDEDEDEDEDEDEDEDEDEDEDEDEDEDEDEDED	Le equiproved sole: is: //erent from Report) :k number) k number)

