Technical Paper 305

ADA064276

FILE COPY

30





MOVANAID: AN INTERACTIVE AID FOR ANALYSIS OF MOVEMENT CAPABILITIES

George E. Cooper and Michael H. Moore, Vector Research, Inc.

and

Stanley M. Halpin

BATTLEFIELD INFORMATION SYSTEMS TECHNICAL AREA





U. S. Army

Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences

August 1978

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

U. S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

A Field Operating Agency under the Jurisdiction of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel

	WILLIAM L. HAUSER
JOSEPH ZEIDNER	Colonel, US Army
Technical Director (Designate)	Commander

.....

Research accomplished under contract to the Department of the Army



DISTRIBUTION. Primary distribution of this report has been made by ARI. Please address correspondence concerning distribution of reports to: U. S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences ATTN. PERI-P, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia, 22333,

FINAL DISPOSITION: This report may be destroyed when it is no longer needed. Please do not return it to the U. S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.

<u>NOTE</u>. The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army pusition, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

1

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE (2)	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
Technical Paper (305/14) ARIATP- 345	
. TITLE (and Subtitie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
MOVANAID: AN INTERACTIVE AID FOR ANALYSIS	
OF MOVEMENT CAPABILITIES	
N	WRI-ARI-1-FR-74-1
AUTHOR(.)	B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
George E. Cooper, Michael H./Moore and	
Stanley M. Halpin	1 DAHC19-74-C-003
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Vector Research, Inc	
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106	2016310A754
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Research Institute	Augu 20 1978
5001 Eisenhower Avenue	13. NURSER OF PAGES
Alexandria, Virginia 22333	64
12	Unclassified
17 Depart	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fr	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fr	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number burging in the state of the second state	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing Network	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing Network Decision Aids	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing Network Decision Aids 0. ANTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number	an Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different in 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing Network Decision Aids 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A computer-drive interactive aid for movement	om Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing Network Decision Aids 0. AdditACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number) A computer-drive interactive aid for movemer h.s been developed and is described in this report	on Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing Network Decision Aids 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A computer-drive interactive aid for movement h.s been developed and is described in this report designed to be of assistance in the performance of processing tasks in a tastical coviderment.	on Report) on Report) of analysis, called MOVANAID, ct. The aid, which has been of certain Army intelligence
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing Network Decision Aids 0. AdditAACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) A computer-drive interactive aid for movement hes been developed and is described in this report designed to be of assistance in the performance of processing tasks in a tactical environment, can of and paths through road networks for military unit	The aid, which has been of certain Army intelligence compute fastest travel times is of various types, as well
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing Network Decision Aids 0. Add RACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A computer-drive interactive aid for movement h.s been developed and is described in this report designed to be of assistance in the performance of processing tasks in a tactical environment, can of and paths through road networks for military unit as fastest times in which simultaneous maneuvers	an Report) an Report) an Analysis, called MOVANAID, bt analysis, called MOVANAID, ct. The aid, which has been of certain Army intelligence compute fastest travel times is of various types, as well of certain types can be
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing NetWork Decision Aids 0. Add RACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A computer-drive interactive aid for movement has been developed and is described in this report designed to be of assistance in the performance of processing tasks in a tactical environment, can can and paths through road networks for military unit as fastest times in which simultaneous maneuvers completed. Military situations in which the aid	At analysis, called MOVANAID, t. The aid, which has been of certain Army intelligence compute fastest travel times is of various types, as well of certain types can be might be useful are -, u.d
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different fr 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alds if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing NetWork Decision Aids 0. ASTRACT (Continue on reverse alds if necessary and identify by block number A computer-drive interactive aid for movemer h.s been developed and is described in this repor designed to be of assistance in the performance of processing tasks in a tactical environment, can of and paths through road networks for military unit as fastest times in which simultaneous maneuvers completed. Military situations in which the aid	an Report) an Report) an Analysis, called MOVANAID, ct. The aid, which has been of certain Army intelligence compute fastest travel times is of various types, as well of certain types can be might be useful are
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing Network Decision Aids 0. ASTRACT (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block number A computer-drive interactive aid for movemer has been developed and is described in this repor designed to be of assistance in the performance of processing tasks in a tactical environment, can of and paths through road networks for military unit as fastest times in which simultaneous maneuvers completed. Military situations in which the aid D FORM D I JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 63 IS OBSOLETE	At analysis, called MOVANAID, t. The aid, which has been of certain Army intelligence compute fastest travel times is of various types, as well of certain types can be might be useful are Unclassified
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number Analysis Intelligence Processing Network Decision Aids 0. AddRACT (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number A computer-drive interactive aid for movement h.s been developed and is described in this report designed to be of assistance in the performance of processing tasks in a tactical environment, can co and paths through road networks for military unit as fastest times in which simultaneous maneuvers completed. Military situations in which the aid D 'JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 63 IS OBSOLETE SECURITY CLA	an Report) At analysis, called MOVANAID, At. The aid, which has been of certain Army intelligence compute fastest travel times is of various types, as well of certain types can be might be useful are Unclassified ASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(The Date Intered)

المراسية مستحد منابعة المحمد معالمة ومعادية مليومو واكن

فكعسفة فأس

20.

discussed, and the manner in which users interact with the aid is described. Details about the analytic basis upon which the aid has been constructed, together with a discussion of the method in which the aid has been computerimplemented, are also given. Ideas for ways in which the capabilities of the aid can be extended are discussed.

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

The set of the set of

Technical Paper 305

MOVANAID: AN INTERACTIVE AID FOR ANALYSIS OF MOVEMENT CAPABILITIES

George E. Cooper and Michael H. Moore Vector Research, Inc.

and

Stanley M. Halpin

BATTLEFIELD INFORMATION SYSTEMS TECHNICAL AREA

Submitted as complete and technically accurate, by: Edgar M. Johnson Technical Area Chief Approved By:

A.H. Birnbaum, Acting Director ORGANIZATIONS AND SYSTEMS RESEARCH LABORATORY

Joseph Zeidner TECHNICAL DIRECTOR (DESIGNATE)

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia 22333

> Office, Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel Department of the Army

August 1978

Army Project Number 2Q16210A754 Intelligence Systems

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

-

the state of a second of the second second

AR! Research Reports and Technical Papers are intended for sponsors of R&D tasks and other research and military agencies. Any findings ready for implementation at the time of publication are presented in the latter part of the Brief. Upon completion of a major phase of the task, formal recommendations for official action normally are conveyed to appropriate military agencies by briefing or Disposition Form.

The Battlefield Information Systems Technical Area is concerned with the demands of the future battlefield for increased man-machine complexity to acquire, transmit, process, disseminate, and utilize information. The research is focused on the interface problems and interactions within command and control centers and is concerned with such areas as topographic products and procedures, tactical symbology, useroriented systems, information management, staff operations and procedures, and sensor systems integration and utilization. والمناطقة والمنطقة

One area of special interest is the development of aids to support command staff information processing and decisionmaking. The introduction of tactical data systems creates the opportunity for a large increment in operational effectiveness through exploitation of their potential to assist in the acquisition, analysis, correlation, integration, and utilization of data. To achieve this potential, current manual procedures must be carefully analyzed to identify critical tasks capable of credible computer support, but not amenable to rapid manual processing. Aids developed in this context must be experimentally verified and validated in field settings.

The present publication discusses the results of a preliminary analysis matching computer capabilities to intelligence processing and the development of a prototype analysis aid, MOVANAID. This aid demonstrates the ability of automation to assist the intelligence analyst in the evaluation of enemy mobility capabilities.

Research in the area of problem solving and decision support is conducted as an in-house effort augmented by contracts with organizations that have unique capabilities and facilities for research in this area. The present effort was conducted by personnel from Vector Research, Inc., under contract DAHC29-74-C-003 with the in-house collaboration of Stanley M. Halpin under the program direction of Robert S. Andrews. This effort was responsive to requirements of Army Project 2Q1620A754 and to special requirements of the Combined Arms Combat Development Activity, Fort Leavenworth, Kans.

EPH ZEIDNER [echnical Director (Designate)

MOVANAID: AN INTERACTIVE AID FOR ANALYSIS OF MOVEMENT CAPABILITIES

BRIEF

Requirement:

To develop an on-line analytic aid for use in the processing of tactical intelligence data, and to implement such an aid in the ARI Training and Information Systems Facility (TISF).

Procedure:

An analysis of current doctrine for tactical intelligence processing and a parallel examination of available analytic procedures in the mathematical and operations research literature led to the tentative identification of several potentially applicable aids. An evaluation of these aids resulted in the selection of an aid for analysis of movement capabilities for further development and implementation.

Product:

An on-line interactive aid, MOVANAID, has been implemented in the ARI TISF. This aid provides an intelligence analyst with the capability for a rapid examination of expected travel times and route selections for enemy units, thus facilitating the analysis of enemy capabilities.

Utilization:

MOVANAID serves as a prototype for a general class of analytic aids, and as such provides the basis for further development and evaluation. The specific solution to analysis of mobility questions, as well as the more general form of interactive analytic aids that are represented by MOVANAID, has immediate impact on developing intelligence processing systems. The laboratory implementation of MOVANAID provides a test bed for examining general principles of man-machine systems and specific factors influencing analysts' use of computer-based aids.

MOVANAID: AN INTERACTIVE AID FOR ANALYSIS OF MOVEMENT CAPABILITIES

ŝ

i q

يدريه والمحاطية والمحاطية والمحاطية

г,

CONTENTS

Page	:
BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE	•
Concept Development	!
Military Movement Analysis Problem	1
OVANAID: A USER'S VIEW	}
SIMTOS	ļ
MOVANAID Capabilities	ŀ
Interaction with MOVANAID	1
Examples of MOVANAID Use)
CONSTRUCTION OF MOVANAID	١
Analytic Basis)
Computation of Fastest Paths)
Optimal Assignment of Units to Destinations	2
Computer Implementation	•
XTENSION OF MOVANAID	}
Generalizations for MINPATH	;
Generalizations for MINASSIGN	}
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS)
REFERENCES	•
DISTRIBUTION	3

LIST OF TABLES

Table	1.	Unit types	
	2.	Travel speeds assumed for MOVANAID demonstration (KMPH) 17	,

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	MOVANATO	Basic road	notwork								5
Floure 1.	MOVANALD:	Basic road	network	 			•		•	•	- 2

د. ۲۵ داده الأحد حالية كان الإحداد الذي ال

Figure 2.	Flow diagram of user interaction with MOVANAID 8
3.	MOVANAID introductory displays
4.	Display for analysis options
5.	Network change displays
6.	Subnetwork options displays
7.	Swath options displays
8.	Problem definition plan
9.	Problem solution display
10.	Illustration of example 1
11.	Linkage data for node 44180
12.	Example 1 solution displays
13.	Illustration of example 2
14.	Example 2 solution displays
15.	Illustration of example 3
16.	A simple network
17.	Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 12 32
18.	Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 12 after initialization for the fastest path algorithm
19.	A flowchart for the MINPATH algorithm
20.	Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 16 after one iteration of the MINPATH algorithm
21.	Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 12 after two iterations of the MJNPATH algorithm
22.	Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 12 after three iterations of the MINPATH algorithm 40
23.	Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 12 after termination of the MINPATH algorithm 41
24.	Travel time matrix for a hypothetical assignment problem of size six

dia ta

and the second second

Figure	25.	Flowchart for the assignment algorithm MINASSIGN	45
	26.	Operation of the MINASSIGN algorithm for the assignment problem of Figure 12	49
	27.	Minimum path computation times as a function of network size	54
	28.	A network with arc capacities in addition to travel times	56

MOVANAID: AN INTERACTIVE AID FOR ANALYSIS OF MOVEMENT CAPABILITIES

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE

Recent and continuing technological advances in data collection and information exchange in tactical settings have had a considerable impact on the timeliness, quantity, and quality of information that the commander has available for planning and executing his mission. The advantage of access to massive quantities of data, however, may be quickly obviated by the problems associated with effective use of the data. It is clear that some form of computer-based storage and retrieval system will be necessary if Army tactical operating units are to capitalize on the advantages provided by extensive information; the Army has a variety of tactical data systems under development that are intended to meet this need.

Computer-based information storage and retrieval systems are typically "input/output bound"; that is, the central processing unit of the computer spends only a fraction of the time in productive operation, and spends the bulk of the time waiting for input or output devices to perform their respective tasks. ARI hopes to develop computer programs and computer-supported procedures that use this "free time," thereby maximizing the computer use and providing valuable assistance to tactical staffs. The Intelligence Systems Program at ARI is exploring ways of using the developing tactical data systems to support information processing and other aspects of the intelligence production cycle. The current project focuses on the analyses conducted in the process of transforming raw information to processed intelligence. The objectives of this project are as follows:

- To further develop the concept of analytic aids for intelligence processing and to suggest specific aids which might be of some value;
- To select one such analytic aid and to develop the necessary algorithms and procedures (or to adapt existing algorithms and procedures) to support the analytic portion of the aid; and
- 3. To implement this aid in the ARI Training and Information Systems Facility (TISF).

The aid selected for further development was MOVANAID, an on-line interactive Movement Analysis Aid. This aid incorporates principles and algorithms for network analysis developed over a period of several years within the operations research community. The concept of MOVANAID and the details of its implementation are discussed below, following a description of the process which led to the selection of MOVANAID.

Concept Development

The development of concepts for computer-based analytic aids was approached from two directions: (1) an examination of the relevant Army field manuals, instructional materials from the Army Intelligence School, and other doctrinal literature related to the activities and duties of Division G2 (Intelligence) staff personnel (coupled with extensive discussions with experienced Intelligence officers); and (2) an examination of techniques, algorithms, and concepts available in the operations research literature. Many possible analytic aids were suggested and evaluated for their potential for development within the constraints of the present project. An "ideal" aid was defined as one that would (1) be nontrivial (i.e., perform operations faster and/or better than could be done by an unaided analyst); (2) have a reasonable chance of having the development phase completed within the resource limitations of the project; (3) avoid dealing with special categories of intelligence data or products that might require some level of classification for the project; (4) be applicable within the context of division-level mid-intensity conventional warfare; (5) focus on intelligence processing rather than on collection or dissemination; and (6) involve mathematical, computational, and/or operations research approaches to information processing. Several potential aids were analyzed in the process of selecting an aid for development. This report is limited to a discussion of MOVANAID, the analytic aid selected for development and implementation in the ARI laboratory facility.

Three distinguishable levels of development are possible for a tool such as MOVANAID. The preliminary version, developed for demonstration and testing, will be different in detail and perhaps in character from a laboratory development version structured for test and evaluation. The laboratory version, in turn, will differ from any final version which may be implemented within an Army tactical data system. Changes in the aid will be made from one level of development to the next to take into account experience with the aid to that point. This paper describes the second stage or laboratory version of the aid. The computer program described in Appendix A^1 is the preliminary version from which the current program was developed; the computational logic remains the same, although details of the interaction with the aid differ considerably in the more recent version.

Appendixes A and B have been retained in the master file at ARI, Alexandria, Va., and are not included in this paper.

Military Movement Analysis Problem

One of the continuing responsibilities of Division G2 in a tactical situation is the preparation of periodic intelligence estimates² that include a discussion of significant enemy capabilities and probable courses of action. Estimates of enemy mobility are frequently necessary for intelligence and other estimates. It is plain, for example, that if an enemy is not physically capable of maneuvering to a location by a given time, he will not have the capability to attack that point at that time. Similarly, the enemy's capability to reinforce front-line units by a given time depends strongly on the speed of movement of the reinforcing units and the distance to be covered.

For an example involving the determination of probable enemy courses of action, suppose it is known or suspected that an enemy attack is imminent and the G2 therefore wishes to identify the avenue or avenues of approach that the enemy is likely to select. It may be possible for the G2 to eliminate some of the alternative avenues on the basis of mobility considerations alone, because the enemy would be unlikely to select an avenue along which his movement would be too slow to meet the requirements of the tactical situation.³

A thorough movement analysis requires extensive computation; indeed, it is doubtful that such an analysis could be conducted by intelligence processors within a time consistent with the needs of the dynamic tactical environment without using a computer-based aid. Thus, MOVANAID has the potential not only for reducing the burden on the G2 staff but also for improving the intelligence product.

MOVANAID: A USER'S VIEW

SIMTOS

The ARI test facility (TISF--Training and Information Systems Facility) consists of a large laboratory space containing various relocatable computer interface devices and other equipment. One portion of the TSIF is currently arranged to accommodate SIMTOS, a Simulated Tactical Operations System. A SIMTOS G2 player is charged with the preparation of various estimates of enemy capabilities within the context of a division level planning exercise; the scenario involves

 3 In many cases, mobility factors are a primary consideration in the enemy's choice of avenue of approach (see Department of the Army Field Manual 30-102 (Handbook on Aggressor, Chapter 5).

²Intelligence estimates are discussed in detail in Department of the Army Field Manual 30-5 (Combat Intelligence, Chapter 6 and Appendix J) and in Department of the Army Field Manual 101-5 (Staff Officer's Field Manual: Staff Organization and Procedures, Appendix B).

preparation for a likely Aggressor attack against the 20th (U.S.) Mechanized Division positions in southeastern Germany. The SIMTOS player is provided with appropriate maps and overlays and is connected to a computerized data base via a CRT screen and keyboard and a teletypewriter. Although MOVANAID as described here has not been integrated into SIMTOS, the development of the aid was directed toward use within SIMTOS. In particular, the road network (Figure 1) is from the SIMTOS area, and all examples of its use are based on questions facing the SIMTOS player. The approximate location of the SIMTOS area is indicated on Figure 1 by appropriate UTM grid coordinates.

MOVANAID Capabilities

Users may call on MOVANAID to help them answer two basic questions about enemy movement capabilities:

- 1. How soon and by what path can a unit of type x, now located in location y, maneuver to destination z?
- 2. How soon and by what paths can units of types x_1, \ldots, x_n , now located in locations y_1, \ldots, y_n , complete a simultaneous maneuver to destinations z_1, \ldots, z_n ?

Variations on each of these questions are possible, but MOVANAID is not presently equipped to treat them all. For questions of the second type, it is understood that it is not required of any unit i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, that the ith unit travel to the ith destination z; rather, a unit may maneuver through the network to any destination so long as each unit travels to some destination and each destination is the recipient of some unit. Such a question, therefore, asks for the assignment of units to destinations for which the largest travel time is a minimum. Note also that simultaneous maneuvers by several units could require two or more units to occupy the same arc or node at the same time, a situation that might lead to maneuvering delays in practice. Such conflicts are neither identified nor resolved by MOVANAID in its present form. A way to expand the capabilities of the aid so that it can take account of such conflicts is suggested in a later section.

The present MOVANAID is computer driven, and the interface between user and aid is on-line and interactive. Users interact with the aid in a hands-on fashion; information is transmitted from aid to subject via a cathode ray tube (CRT), and from user to aid via a keyboard. Information is transmitted in the form of written text; graphic displays are not used in this version of MOVANAID. A user can input only a small fraction of the data required by the aid to answer any eligible question. The bulk of the data (including all information about the network of roads to be considered by MOVANAID) has been made available to the aid in advance of any use by subjects. It is expected that any operational use of MOVANAID would necessarily involve a similar preparation of network information prior to use. (See Appendix B for a



discussion of the procedures used for network generation in the SIMTOS area of operation.)

To make inputs to (and interpret outputs from) MOVANAID, users must be familiar with certain conventions that have been adopted for identifying nodes and classifying arcs of the SIMTOS road network. For purposes of quickly and easily identifying nodes of the network, a coordinate scheme resembling the usual Cartesian system has been adopted.

First, a convenient origin of coordinates is selected for the area of interest, thus establishing (imaginary) horizontal and vertical reference axes. Next, the area of operations is divided into square subareas (which we call "sectors") of side-length 10 kilometers. Next, each sector is subdivided into 100 squares (called "subsectors") of side-length 1 kilometer. Finally, nodes of the network are numbered with a five-digit number. The first two digits and the second two digits of a node number indicate, respectively, the horizontal and vertical coordinates of the lower left corner of the subsector within which the node lies.

It should be noted that in the SIMTOS network, the tens digit of these coordinates denotes the number of sectors rather than tens of kilometers, as might be supposed. This peculiarity is caused by an anomaly in the SIMTOS map in which all sectors having a lower left corner 20 kilometers to the right of the origin are not square and are less than 10 kilometers wide.

The last digit of the five-digit node number is always taken to be "O" unless two or more nodes of the network lie in the same subsector; in the latter case, the digits "1",...,"9" are also used. For example, if two nodes of the network are found to lie in a subsector whose coordinates are (18,54), one of the nodes would be numbered 18540 and the other would be numbered 18541. It is immaterial which node receives which number. A large overlay of the road network, such as Figure 1, showing the numbers as they exist in the MOVANAID data base is made available to users.

In computing travel times, the aid uses internally stored information about each arc of the road network (e.g., Figure 1) as follows:

- 1. Length
- 2. Type of route classified as one of five types:
 - (a) major highway (e.g., autobahn),
 - (b) main all-weather road (road with hard surface and two or more lanes),
 - (c) other all-weather roads (hard surface and two lanes or less),

- (d) artificial route (traveled in zero time, used by the computational routines. See Appendix B.),
- (e) cross-country route.
- 3. Speed capability, classified as:
 - (a) highway speeds (traveled at speeds typical of nonurban areas),
 - (b) city speeds (traveled at speeds typical of urban areas),
 - (c) cross-country speeds, classified as one of three types:
 - (1) speeds typical of easy cross-country routes,
 - (2) speeds typical of cross-country routes of medium difficulty,
 - (3) speeds typical of difficult cross-country routes.

Although the above network definition is part of the permanent data input to the aid prior to use, the user may amend any of it on a temporary basis by entering new data on an interactive basis with the aid.

The remaining information necessary for the computation of unit movement times resides on permanent disk storage in a "speed table." This table describes the average speed of a unit of a given type (foot, tracked vehicles, or trucks) for given conditions (night, day, wet, dry) and for each of the nine route conditions (three highway types with urban or open country speeds and three cross-country speeds). This table is a simple extension of the table given in FM 30-102 (chapter 22) for Aggressor movement times. There is no provision for a user to change the speed table except by revising the permanent disk storage before use.

Interaction with MOVANAID

A diagram representing the user's interaction with MOVANAID is shown in Figure 2. The general format of the interaction is as follows: Any given CRT display contains information and/or a list of options in the upper half of the screen, with additional instructions and options on the lower half. The user selects an option, enters information, or otherwise responds to instructions by entering the appropriate data from the CRT keyboard.

The user first sees a brief series of introductory displays (Figure 3), which are supplemented by an oral briefing and instruction on the use of the system. After progressing through these displays, the user comes to the "Analysis Options" display (Figure 4). Here the user has six options from which to choose: decide to modify the map

7

and the state of the second state of the secon



Figure 2. Flow diagram of user interaction with MOVANAID.

MARAL FURFORS: MARAL FURFORS: 1) TO DEFERENCE HOW SOON AND BY VEAR PAIR A DIAP OF REPECTAL CRF FUNCTION LCRF DESTINATION 2: 2) TO DEFERENCE HOW SOON AND BY VEAR PAIR A DIAF DESTINATION 2: 2) DO DEFERENCE HOW SOON AND BY VEAR PAIRS DESTINATION 2: 2) DO DEFERENCE HOW SOON AND BY VEAR PAIRS DESTINATION 2: 2) DO DEFERENCE HOW SOON AND BY VEAR PAIRS 2) DO DEFENDER HOW SOON AND BY VEAR PAIRS 2) DO DEFENDER HOW SOON AND BY VEAR PAIRS 2) DO DEFENDER HOW SOON AND BY VEAR PAIRS 2) DO DEFENDENCE HOW AND
--

MOVANAID introductory displays.

Figure 3.

فللأوليك أستراحهم والمتركب فالإفراقات

· ..

à

Figure 4: Display for analysis options.

10

•

network in one of several ways, define a problem to be solved, request a problem solution, or restore the network to its original state (see Figures 2 and 4). As shown in Figure 4, the choice of one of these options involves selecting the appropriate number from the "menu," entering that number in the indicated spot on the screen, and signaling the computer by pressing the "Send" key on the keyboard.

To temporarily add or delete nodes or arcs, the user would choose Option 1 on the Analysis Options display. MOVANAID then displays a request for the number of the node in the network that will be affected by the change (Figure 5a). After receiving this information, MOVANAID displays the current linkage data for that node (Figure 5b), i.e., the numbers of any other nodes connected to that "primary" node, the roadtype of the connecting link, and the distance in kilometers. The user specifies whether to add or delete nodes (or return to earlier option Jisplays), and the appropriate screen is then displayed (Figures 5c and 5d). If the user is adding information, the new display (Figure 5c) provides a space to define the data for a new link from the "primary" node to another node. When the user signals the computer by pressing the "Send" key on the keyboard, MOVANAID reads in the data, checks for formatting and other clerical errors, and displays the newly modified "current linkage data" for the same primary node. The user may continue to add or delete information or return to earlier option displays.

When the user selects the deletion option, the current linkage data for the primary node again appears. The user is able selectively to eliminate a link from the primary node to one of the other nodes, or to completely eliminate a node from the network. An error in the addition of linkage data would be relatively simple for the user to correct; it would only be necessary to delete any link that was mistakenly added. However, restoring a link or node that was mistakenly deleted would require the user to remember or recreate all related linkage data. It is always possible to restore the network to its original form by choosing 6 on the Analysis Options display. However, using this option to correct an inadvertent deletion would result in the elimination of all other changes the user might have made while working on a particular problem. Therefore, a user request for deletion of a link or node is followed by a display which describes the requested change and asks the user to verify that this is desired (Figure 5e).

The length of time consumed in the computation of a minimum path or minimax assignment problem is a function of the number of nodes in the network to be considered. If a user is interested in reducing the time for MOVANAID to operate, or is interested in considering a restricted area of the network for reasons related to the tactical problem, then the user may choose one of two "subnetwork" options on the Analysis Options display; MOVANAID will then consider only that subnetwork during problem solution. The first of these options allows the user to define a subnetwork in terms of "map sectors." Choosing this option leads to a display of the current map-sector subnetwork (if one

LETTER OF OME OF THE BELOW OFTIGHE IN . ITT-FOST SPACE. FREIS STOD. . RETURN TO AUALYSIS OFTIONS . RETURN TO NODE SELECTION . SPECIFY DELETIONS FROM AGOVE LINUAGE DAG ABOVE PRIMARY NODE, OR ENTER ABOVE PRIMARY NODE, OR ENTER HODE & ND TTP DOG. CURRENT LIDIKAGE DATA T . CEARGE IS CORRECT - MALS CEARCE TO METHON G . CHARGE IS INCORRECT - RETAIN NETHORY WITHOUT CEANCE () ENTER LETTER OF ONE OF THE BILLOW OFTIONS AND PRESS SEND. 5 (c) YOU HAVE REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ARTHORK CHANCE: DELETION OF LINK BUTVEEN MUNICH AND KINGH FROM CURRENT METVORK NEXNAN MUNUM MUNUM **NEWGARN** DECETTOR OF HINGH FROM CLEARING MERVORK PR DUARY 2040 5 (e) U. RETURN TO ANALYSIS OPTIONS T. RETURN TO NODE SELECTION G. SPECIFY ADDITIONS TO ABOVE LIDUKGE DATA B. SPECIFY DELETIONS FROM ABOVE LIDUKAGE DATA MATCA LATTAR OF ONE OF THE MILON OFFICIES AND PRASS SUND. Network change displays. HODE & RD TYP DASA CURRENT LITIKAGE DATA 돌흘돌물 5 (b) IN LEFTHAND FIXED TO DALETE THAT ROOK FROM NELAORY, OR ZATTR THAT BETAKEN THOUS HUMDERS TO DELETT THE LINK BETAKEN THOUS HUMDERS TO DELETT THE LINK OFTORS IN LETT-MOST SPACE. PRESS SEND. U. RETURN TO AMAXULIS OFTIONS T. RETURN TO NOUS SKLATTON G. SPECIFY ADDIFIONS TO ABOVE LINKACK DATA ALARANA Alarana Alarana) XATIA OHE OF THE ABOVE HODE MURICI PR DWIT 0.2M 0.2M 0.3M i THREE STANDS SALES HODE & RD TYP KAN Figure 5. 포포린들 0 5 (d) NUCLEUR DE LA COMPACIÓN DE LA ETTER MODE MURIER TO BE CONSTIMEND, OR ENTER LETTER OF ONE OF THE BELON OFTIME IN LETT-MOST SPACE. PRESS SEMO. PR DWARY NOTE NUCLU NODE SELECTION FOR METHODIC CLANDES U. RETURN TO AUALYSIS OFTIOKS × 5 (a) 12

exists) and allows the user to delete that subnetwork, to specify changes to the subnetwork, or to return to the analysis options (Figure 6a). If the user chooses to change the subnetwork, MOVANAID again displays the current subnetwork information and requests the user to add or delete a map sector from that subnetwork, or to return to an earlier options display (Figure 6b). Choosing to add or delete a map sector results in a new presentation of this display with the newly revised list.

The second subnetwork option a user may select from the Analysis Options is referred to as a "swath" option. If there is no subnetwork currently defined in terms of map sectors and if the user chooses the swath option, MOVANAID presents a display of the current swath (if any exists) and provides the user with options to delete the swath, make changes to the swath, or return to the Analysis Options (Figure 7a). A swath is defined in terms of its width and up to ten turning points. Depending on the choice indicated by the user, MOVANAID presents a display for swath width definition (or redefinition) or for turning point addition or deletion (Figures 7b, 7c).

When ready to define the movement problem to be solved, the user chooses the option from the Analysis Options, and MOVANAID presents a display showing the current problem definition (if any exists) and providing additional options for problem definition (Figure 8a). The user may choose to delete the current definition entirely, or to add or delete single lines of data. A problem is specified by indicating a unit starting position, unit destination, unit type, and the conditions of movement for up to ten origin/destination pairs. The starting point and destination must be nodes in the current network; the "unit type" and "movement conditions" are codes referring to data available to the user as hard-copy tables (see Tables 1 and 2). If the user enters more than one line of data through successive uses of the addition option (Figure 8b), then MOVANAID will solve not only for the minimum route from each origin to each destination, but may also solve the problem of the optimal assignment of units to destinations.

When the user has modified the network and defined the problem satisfactorily, the user may request MOVANAID to provide the solution by choosing Option 5 from the Analysis Options. A display is presented giving a review of any actions taken (e.g., the number of network deletions) and of the problem definition; the user has the option to continue to the solution or to return to modify some aspect of the problem. If the user chooses to continue, MOVANAID begins the computations for the problem solution, and a display informs the user that computation is in progress (Figure 9a). Once this step is taken, the user cannot return to Analysis Options until all solutions have been obtained.

			SUL	NETWO	DRK OI	TIONS	3	_	
CURR	ent su	BNNT	iork s	ECTOR	38	-			
••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	• •
(_)	enter Press	LETTI SEND.	er of	ONE	of THI	BELA	ow op:	CIONS	AND
	U. REI T. DEI G. SPI	urn 1 Litte / Sclify	NO ANA BOVIS CHANC	LYSIS SUBNI SES TY	B OPT: ETWORN D ABOV	ions (/e sui	BNETW	ORK	

6(a)



6(b)

Figure 6. Subnetwork options displays.

•••• •••• STITU NO INTER DESIRED SMATH WIDTH IN 1015, OR INTER LETTER OF ONE OF THE BELOW OFFICMS IN THE G. SPECIFY CEALGES TO ABOVE SHATH TURNING * * * * * LEFTMOST POSITION. PRESS SEND. U. RETURN TO ANALYSIS, OPTIONS T. RETURN TO SWATH OPTIONS ••••• ••••• PRESS SID POSITION, POLLOWED BY TURNING POINT NUMBRY 7 (b) NODES IN THE METWORK, BUT THEY ARE DESCRIBED DITINUL IS OTHOTICA G. SPECIFY CHANGE TO ABOVE SHATE WIDTE •••• TO ADD TO SWATH. ENTER 'A' IN LEPTHOST OR TO DELETE PROM SWATH, ENTER 'D' IN **** POLINT NUMBER; OR ENTER ONE OF BELOW (NOTE: TURNING POINTS ARE NOT NECESSARILY • ••••• OPTIONS IN LEFTMOST POSITION. U. RETURN TO AMALYSIS OPTIONS T. RETURN TO SWATH OPTIONS CURRENT SWATE ---WIDTH (NOS): TURNING POINTS: POINTS ****** •••• 7 (c) USING THE SAME PORMAT.) LIRTMOST POSITION. • NIDTH (NOIS): CURRENT SHATH ---TURNING POINTS:) ENTER LETTER OF ONE OF THE BELON OPTIONS AND SPECIFY CHANCES TO ABOVE SWATH TURNING G . SPECIFY CHANCE TO ABOVE SWATH WIDTH B. SPECIFY CHANCES TO ABOVE SWATH TURN: •••• Swath options displays. U. REFURN TO ANALYSIS OFFICMS SWATH OPTIONS 7 (a) T. DELETE ABOVE SWATH •••• • CURRENT SWATH ---TURNING POINTS: PRESS SEND. FOLMS Figure 7.

Table 1

Unit Types

MOVANAID	Unit	Number of	Column leng	gth (open)	Closing time
unit code	identification	vehicles	32 KPH	12 KPH	(32 KPH)
5		4660	765 7	157 0	6 hr 54 min
TO	ATA TTY ZIW	F007	7.007	0.104	
02	Tank Div	2028	232.8	139.8	6 hr 4 min
03	Mtz Rgt	369	42.9	26.0	1 hr 7 min
04	Mdna Tk Rgt	250	30.3	19.3	47 min
05	Mtz Bn	67	6.6	3.6	10 min
06	Mdm Tk Bn	51	5.9	3.0	9 min
07	Mtz Div HHC	35	.3.3	1.8	5 min
08	Div Arty	427	44.4	23.7	1 hr 9 min
60	Recon Bn	72	7.4	4.0	l2 min
10	Engr Bn	114	11.7	6.3	18 min
11	Sig Bn	38	3.5	1.9	5 min
12	Cml Co	14	1.2	۲.	2 min
13	Intel Co	17	1.3	8.	2 min
14	Div Svc Elem	304	31.6	16.9	49 min
15	Amph Tk Plc	ß	.2		1 min
16	Regt Arty	59	5.7	3.1	9 min

column length computations are based on the following assumptions: Note:

Average vehicle length = 5 meters.

Vehicle distance, column gap as stated in FM 30-102 (Revised). Divisions move in 16 battalions separated by 2 km. Rgts. move in 4 battalions separated by 2 km. ч.ч .

Travel is in an "open column" mode. At cross-country speeds, Bn's still maintain 2 km gap. 6.5. 6.5.

Table 2

Travel Speeds Assumed for MOVANAID Demonstration (KMPH)

					Mov	ement c	onditio	c				
		Foot	march		뷥	acked v	ehicles			Tru	icks	
		ау	Z	light	Da	X	Nİ	ght	Da	Y	Νİ	ght
Type of Arc	D ry (1)	Wet (2)	Dry (3)	Wet (4)	Dry (5)	Wet (6)	Dry (-)	Wet (8)	ргу (9)	Wet (10)	Dry (11)	Wet (12)
Road type l												
11. Country	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	30.	30.	15.	15.	35.	35.	28.	25.
12. City	4.0	3.0	з.0	2.0	30.	30.	15.	15.	35.	35.	28.	25.
Road type 2												
21. Country	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	30.	30.	15.	15.	32.	28.	24.	20.
22. City	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	15.	15.	7.5	7.5	.9I	14.	12.	10.
Road type 3												
31. Country	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	25.	25.	13.	13.	25.	20.	18.	17.
32. City	4.0	0°0	о • е	2.0	12.5	12.5	6.5	6.5	12.5	10.	9.	8.5
40. Artificial	6.999	6.999	6.666	6.666	6.666	6.666	6.666	6.666	6.666	6.666	6°666	6*666
51. Easy cross- country	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	20.	20.	10.	10.	15.	12.	10.	æ.
52. Medium cross-	•		1		:	1					1	1
country	I.0	0.6	0.5	0.3	15.	15.	°	8.	12.		8.	6.
53. Difficult cross-countr	у 0.6	0.3	0.1	0.1	10.	10.	5.	5.	б	5.	6.	4.

•••• * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * UNIT ENTER NEW LINE OF DATA FOR PROBLEM DEFINITION, OR ENTER OPTIONS IN LIFTMOST POSITION PRESS SEND. LETTER OF ONE OF THE BELOW U. RETURN TO ANALYSIS OPTIONS T. RETURN TO FROBLEM DEFINITION OPTIONS G. SPECIFY DELETIONS FROM ABOVE PROBLEM TINIM TYPE COND LI NO • 4 ••••• * * * * * * * * * •••• ORIG EINS 1 ENTER NUMBER OF ABOVE ENTRY TO BE DELETED FROM PROBLEM DEFINITION, OR ENTER LETTER OF ORE OF THE BELOW OFTIONS. PRESS SEND. TYPE COND DEST 8(b) URRENT PROBLEM DEFINITION // U. RETURN TO ANALYSIS OPTIONS T. REFURN TO PROBLEM DEFINITION OPTIONS G. SPECIFY ADDITIONS IN ADDITIONS **** TINU SPECIFY ADDITIONS TO ABOVE PROBLEM DEFINITION CURRENT PROBLEM DEFINITION DEF IN IT TON THVM TYPE COND (8) (6) (0) : •••• UN IS TINU ૭ 5 8 (c) ••••• •••• UNITY OR IG UMER MANY UNER * * * * : ••••• DEST ENTER LETTER OF ONE OF THE BELOW OPTIONS AND DEFINITION SPECIFY DELETIONS FROM ABOVE PROBLEM ITTPR COND THING TINU •••• : UNIT OR IG : SPECIFY ADDITIONS TO ABOVE PROBLEM PROBLEM DEFINITION OPTIONS RETURN TO ANALYSIS OPTIONS DELETE ABOVE PROBLEM DEFINITION નેલેહરા **_**. * * * * * ••••• • • • • • UNIT ORIG URRENT PROBLEM DEFINITION --problem definition plan. 8 (a) 2 ••••• •••• UNIT DEST DEFINITION THINK TYPE COND PRESS SEND. TINU ចំ អំ ចំ å DRIG ET NC Figure 8. 18 *.*

> . . . i

.

				PROBLEM 5	SOLUTION C	SNOLLdo				INTIMAL	TRAVEL	TIMES (MIN	(SI	/ DES ICE
*****	**************		PROBLEN	I DEFINITION	!				ORIGINS		DEST	- SNOTTONS		
COMPU	TATION IN PROGRESS									-1 -1	m	4 5 6	78	/ I-NUMAN
****	*****		UNIT	UNIT MANT UNIT	1 1	NIT UN	INVM TI	LINU 1	1-NNROWN	NN NNN	N NNN N	NAN NAN NAN	NNN NNN I	/ 2-
			ORIG	TYPE COND DEC	T	BLIG TY	TPE CONF	DEST 0	2-					/ 3-
			*	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	*	:	:		<u>٣</u>					-4-
			*	:	•	:	:		4					/ 5-
			*	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•		:	:	<u>.</u>					/ 6-
- TAKE NO	ACTION AT THIS TIME -		*	:	•	:	:		- <mark>0</mark> -					/
		_	•	•	•	:	:							-8-
									&					ጘ
			LNIE (-)	TER LETTER OF C	NE OF THE	BELOW	OPTIONS	QNN S	<u>ዓ</u>					
			PRE	ISS SEND.										
			u.	RETURN TO ANAL	ITTO SISY.	ONS			1 OF (-)	VALISPIAY	A TRAVE	L PATH, EN	TER TWO D	IGIT NO.
			Ei	DISPLAY MINIMA	IL TRAVEL	TIMES C	URRENT	2	IDEM	DNIXIII	ORIG.	AND DEST.	OR ENTER	ONE OF
				AVAILABLE					BELC	N OPTIO	NI SN	EFTNOST SI	ACE. PRE	SS SEND.
			ۍ ق	OBTAIN SOLUTIC	N FOR NEX	T ORIGI	2		Ч. н	LETURN T	O PROBL	EN SOLUTIO	NOLTONS	
			æ.	OBTAIN OPTIMAL	ASSIGNME	INT OF U	NITS TO		น ช	ISPLAY	2ND PAG	E OF MININ	IAL TRAVEL	TIMES
				DESTINATIONS						BTAIN	ARD COP	Y OF ABOVE	INFORMAT	ION
	66-1				0.11					C NITATA	PTUMAL	INSURATION IN THE REAL	OF UNITS	TO DEST.
	7 (a)				(a) 6				•		;	9(c)		
10	OPTIMAL ASSIGNMENT	T STINU TO	O DESTI	NATIONS		THE MIN	ITML TR	AVEL PA	TH FROM N	NNNN TO	NAUNAN	IS:		
	UNIT AT					NUNUN	- NINEVANN	NUNININ +	+		4	.		
	ORIGIN TO DE	STINATION	NIM NI	UTES		→	+	→	· +	+	+	. <u> </u>		
	NNNN	NNNNN	Z	NN		NNNNN	NIMININ					<u>.</u>		
	NNNNN	NNNNN	Z	INN		+	+	+	+	+	*-	÷		
	NNNN	NNNNN	Z	INN		NNNNN	NNINNN							
	NNNN	NNNNN	Z	NN		+	+	→	+	+	+			
	NIXININ	NNINN	2	NIN	_	NNNNNN	NUNIVININ							
	NNNNN	NNNNN	Z	INN		+	+	+	+	→	+	 +		
	NNRANN	RIVERIN	z	NN.		NNNNN	NNANN							
	NNNNN	MUNINA	Z	NN	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		
	NAMININ I	NNNNN	Z	NN		NINININ	NINNN							
	MINIMAL TIME TO COMPLU	ETE MANEUVE	R IS NN	N MINUTES.		+	+	+	4	+	+			

Figure 9. Problem solution display.

(-) ENTER LETTER OF ONE OF THE BELOW OFTIONS AND PRESS SEND... T. RETURN TO MINIMAL TRAVEL TIMES DISPLAY G. OBTAIN HARD COPY OF ABOVE INFORMATION

ENTER ONE OF THE BELOW JPTIONS AND PRESS SEND. 1J. RETURN TO AMALYSIS OPTIONS T. RETURN TO PROELEM SOLUTION OPTIONS G. DISPLAY MINIMAL TRAVEL TIMES B. OBTAIN HARD COPY OF ABOVE INFORMATION

î

(P)6

. Xi

9 (e)

ŧ

t

NINNIN + NINNIN

19.92

and the second of the second o

and the second se

the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

1

and the state of t

MOVANAID solves the defined problem one "line" at a time. Thus, the minimal paths from the first unit origin to all unit destinations are obtained first. When the solution is available, a display shows the problem definition with an asterisk beside the first line to indicate that that portion of the problem has been solved (Figure 9b). The user has the option of displaying the minimal travel times MOVANAID has computed, continuing to the next portion ϵ the solution, or, if there was only one origin/destination pair in the problem, returning to Analysis Options. If the user continues to the next line of a problem with multiple origin/destination pairs, he will again have these options when the next set of computations is completed. In addition, if MOVANAID has completed the last line of a problem with more than one line, then the user can request the additional computation of the optimal assignment of units to destinations. Note that "illegal" options (e.g., a return to Analysis Options as a request for optimum assignment when there are portions of the problem still to be shown) are eliminated from the analyst's display.

When the user requests the movement times obtained by MOVANAID, they are displayed in a matrix format (see Figure 9c). From this display, the user has the option to obtain a hard copy of the information (typed on a computer-driven typewriter at the user's work station), to see the "second page" of information (relevant only when there are more than eight origin/destination pairs), to obtain the optimal assignment of units (if all lines of the problem have been sclved), or to return to the problem-solution-options display. When the minimal path is requested, MOVANAID will display all nodes (up to 49) on the quickest path from the specified origin to the specified destination (Figure 9d); a typed hard copy can also be produced. When the user requests the optimal assignment solution, the origin/destination pairs are displayed that minimize the total time for a simultaneous maneuver of each of the units to one of the specified destinations (Figure 9e).

Examples of MOVANAID Use

This section contains a series of examples, derived in part from the SIMTOS scenario, that demonstrate the material just discussed. These examples also show how the user could prepare a problem for solution by MOVANAID. The sequence of examples assumes that the user has progressed through the introductory material, has the Analysis Options displayed, and has made no changes to the network. The results shown are identical in content and format to those produced on the CRT. Note that the description of analyst/MOVANAID interactions in the examples below will not detail each individual step of the process; e.g., returning to Analysis Options from some point might actually require a sequence of 2 or 3 inputs but may be described as though it were one step. The 20th (U.S.) Division G2 section has just received a message giving the probable locations of four Aggressor tank units. One of these is the 34th Medium Tank Regiment, located in the vicinity of UTM (Universal Transverse Mercator) map coordinate, UR0682. The G2 wishes to know the minimum time for this unit to reach the vicinity of Hill 614 (QA0476), a key terrain feature. Consulting the 1:50000 scale map with network overlay, the analyst notes that no node exists at the unit location. The analyst determines the grid location of the tank regiment and labels it 46210 following the method discussed above. The analyst notes the need for a link from this node to a nearby main road, and plans to create node 43200 at the point of intersection. The analyst judges that the arc between the two new nodes (dashed line on Figure 10) is passable at approximately the speed of an easy crosscountry route, and measures the distance on the map using a simple map-measuring device.

Example 1. To make the necessary changes in the network, the analyst first chooses Option 1 from the Analysis Options (Figure 4) and then indicates interest in node 46210. MOVANAID responds with an error message, informing the analyst that 46210 is not currently in the network. The analyst notes on the map that the new node, 43200, will fall on a link between 44180 and 40270, and enters 44180 as the node to be considered. MOVANAID displays the linkage information for 44180 (Figure 11). The analyst enters a line of data linking 44180 to 43200, and deletes the link 44180 \leftrightarrow 40270. The analyst then returns to node selection, indicates 43200 as the node to be considered, and enters a line of data connecting 43200 with 40.270. Note that these last two steps, the deletion of 44180 \leftrightarrow 40270 and the addition of 43200 \leftrightarrow 40270, are necessary if the analyst wants to place the new node 43200 specifically on the link 44180 \leftrightarrow 40270. The analyst must carefully plan any additions or deletions to insure that the MOVANAID network and any solutions based on that network are consistent with the analyst's mental picture of that network.

After replacing 44180 \leftrightarrow 40270 with 44180 \leftrightarrow 43200 \leftrightarrow 40270, the analyst indicates interest in working with 43200. The linkage data are displayed and the analyst can proceed to define the 43200 \leftrightarrow 46210 link. With the network satisfactorily modified, and with no interest in restricting the analysis to a subnetwork, the analyst returns to Analysis Options and selects Option 4, Problem Definition. Obtaining the Additions display, the analyst enters a line of data: 46210, 04, 05, 15150 (specifying a unit type 04 to move under conditions 05 from 46210 to 15150). Since the analyst is interested in only the one unit, the analyst then returns to Analysis Options and chooses Problem Solution. After reviewing the problem definition, the analyst initiates the computations.

After the computation period, MOVANAID signals the availability of solution output. The analyst requests a display of minimal travel time (Figure 12a) and of the nodes on this minimal path (Figure 12b). Tracing the solution on a map (Figure 10), the analyst is somewhat



---- Minimum Path from Node 46210 to Node 15150

Figure 10. Illustration of example 1.

CURRENT LINKAGE DATA											
	PRIMARY	LIN	ikage da	TA							
	NODE	NODE #	RD TYP	KMS							
	44180	44150	21	02.3							
		48160	21	03.8							
		40270	21	10.1							
(-)	ENTER LETTEN PRESS SEND.	R OF ONE C	of the B	ELOW OPTIC	NS AND						
	U. RETURN TO) ANALYSIS	OPTION	IS							
	T. RETURN TO	O NODE SEL	ECTION								
	a apparent										
	G. SPECIFY A	ADDITIONS	TO ABOV	E LINKAGE	data						
	G. SPECIFY A	ADDITIONS DELETIONS	TO ABOV	E LINKAGE SOVE LINKAG	data E data						

Figure 11. Linkage data for node 44180.

	MINIMA	L TR	AVEL	TI	MES	(MINS	;)		1	DES	KEY
ORIGINS	5		DES	TIN	ATIO	NS			1		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	1-1	5150
1-46210) 153								1	2-	
2-									1	3-	
3-									1	4-	
4-									1	5-	
5-									1	6-	
6-									1	7-	
7-									1	8-	
8-									1	9-	
9-									1	0-	
(11) TC II	DISPL	AY A ING	TRA ORIG	VEL	PAT ND D	H, EN EST.,	OR	TWO ENTH	D: ER	IGIT ONE	NO. OF
BI	SLOW OF	TION	S IN	LE	FTMO	ST SF	ACE	. PI	KES Se	55 51	END.
т. -	. RETUR	IN TO	PRO	BLE	M SO	LUTIC		TIO	NS		
G	. DISPI	AY 2	ND P	AGE	OF .	MININ	IAL S	ravi	5L	TIM	ES
B	OBTAI	N HA	RD C	OPY	OF	ABOVE	INI	FORM	AT:	ION	
N	<u>OBTAI</u>	N OP	TIMA	LA	SSIG	NMENI	OF	UNI	<u>rs</u>	TO 1	DEST

12(a)

THE MIN	IMAL TR	AVEL PATH	FROM	46210 TO	15150	IS:
46210	18140 -	÷ 17150		→		→
↓	1	ŧ	†	¥	+	ŧ
43200	19140	17160				
+	1	¥	+	¥	↑	¥
44180	23130	17170				
+	†	1	Ť	+	t	ŧ
44150	26101	17172				
+	↑	¥	↑	¥	+	ŧ
43130	26102	15150				
+	†	Ŧ	↑	¥	ł	Ŷ
39090	30100					
+	1	¥	↑	+	1	ŧ
39071 →	36080	→			→	
(_) ENT	FD LEVIN	FR OF ONE	0F 77	E PELOW (2000
DRE	SS SEND	DIV OF ONE	01 11		111000	1110
Γ.C.	RETURN	• • •	I. TRAL	TET. TTMES	DTSPT.A	v
	OBTATN	HABD CODY	ידע ידר רו דרעי	OVE INFO		
9. 9	ODIAIN .	Innit COFI		JOVE INFO	AREA TON	

12(b)

Figure 12. Example 1 solution displays.

dissatisfied with this solution because it would require the Aggressor unit to engage in a "crossing of lines" maneuver that would lead to some delays not anticipated by the MOVANAID algorithms; therefore, the analyst returns to the Analysis Options to reformulate the problem.

Example 2. Looking at the map, the analyst sees what appears to be a reasonable route for the Aggressor unit: a move to the north on the Aggressor side of the border as far as the OELSNITZ region, then a swing toward HOF on one of several roads. By defining an appropriate subnetwork, the analyst can restrict MOVANAID's attention to that route and find the relevant minimal travel time. The analyst chooses the Swath option and defines a swath 10 kilometers wide with turning points 46210, 37330, and 15150 (Figure 13). The network additions and deletions made for example 1 are still appropriate to the new problem, as is the problem definition. Thus, the analyst now requests a problem solution and initiates computation. MOVANAID again notifies the user when computation is complete for the first (and only) line of this problem; the user first looks at the minimal travel time and then at the associated minimal path (Figures 14a and 14b). The analyst notes that the time for this route is only slightly longer than for the previous route and that the new route makes sense given the tactical situation, requests a "hard copy" of the nodes on this route for use in plotting it on the map, and then returns to Analysis Options.

Example 3. In the previous examples, MOVANAID has had its attention restricted to the original network as modified to connect the origin of the unit of interest into the network. The basic network consists only of hard-surface all-weather roads; the solutions produced have not considered the possibility of cross-country travel. The analyst has noted, however, that there appears to be a reasonable cross-country route that might reduce the time from 46210 to 15150. The analyst starts final treatment of the problem by adding a link to the network, 43200 \leftrightarrow 32170, and then requests a problem solution. However, the analyst notes that there is still a subnetwork defined by a swath, and returns to delete the swath. The analyst then calls for a solution and initiates completation. In this case, the minimal travel time is 143 minutes (the shorter distance compensating for the slower cross-country travel times for a portion of the route) on the path displayed in Figure 15.

At this point, the analyst knows of three routes from the current location of the unit of interest to the anticipated destination. All routes would take slightly under 2-1/2 hours to travel, given the assumptions about average speed in the speed table and including 47 minutes closing time for the unit when arriving at the destination (see Table 1). No clear-cut advantage can be seen for any of the routes on the basis of travel time alone, and the analyst will be required to consider other aspects of the tactical situation in discussing the probable enemy course of action. However, MOVANAID has provided him with a good estimate of the movement time for the unit in question, and has allowed him to explore several plausible alternative routes in


EXTERNAL CONTRACTOR OF A

	M	INIMA	L TR	AVEL	TI	MES	(MINS	;)		1	DES	KEY
DRIG	INS			DES	TIN	ATIO	NS			1		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	1-1	5150
1-46	210	154								1	2-	
2~										1	3-	
3-										1	4-	
4-										1	5-	
5-										1	6-	
5-										1	7-	
- 7-										1	8-	
8-										1	9-	
9_										1	0-	
			~ ~ ~ ~									
(11)	TO	DISPL	AY A	TRA	VEL	PAT	H. EN	FER	TWO	D	IGIT	NO.
(/	IDE	NTIFY	ING	ORIG	. A	ND D	EST.	OR	ENT	ER	ONE	OF
	BEL	OW OP	TION	S IN	LE	FTMO	ST SF	ACE	. P	RES	SS S	END.
	<u>т</u>	RETTIR	N TO	PRO	BLE	M SO	T.UTTC	N OT	PTTO	NS		
	Ġ.	DISPI.	AV 2	ס חא	AGE	OF	MININ	IAT.	TRAV	EI.	ттм	es.
	R	OBTAT	N HA		OPY	OF	ABOVE		FORM	םת. מתי		
	NI.	OD IAI	מר ע	TTM A	T. A	01 0010	NMENT		TINT	TS	TO 1	DESI
	11 4	OBIAL		r.m	<u> </u>	2210			0117	<u> </u>		

14(a)

THE MI	NIMAL T	RAVEL P	ATH FROM	46210	TO 15150	IS:				
46210	17170-	+ 17172	+		→					
+	+	ŧ	↑	¥	+	+				
43000	17171	15150								
4	+	Ŧ	+	ŧ	1	¥				
40970	17180									
+	+	¥	+	¥	†	+				
38230	17190									
+	†	¥	1	ł	1	ł				
39290	18200									
+	Ť	+	Ť	+	ſ	*				
39300	30280	1				,				
20210	T 01555	*	т	*	T 2	*				
28310-		~		_						
(6) EN	 TER LEI	י דר אבדי	ONE OF 1	HE BEL	OW OPTION	S AND				
PR	ESS SEN	ID.								
Т.	T. RETURN TO MINIMAL TRAVEL TIMES DISPLAY									
G.	OBTAIN	HARD C	OPY OF A	BOVE I	NFORMATIO	N				
)										



Figure 14. Example 2 solution displays.



a relatively short time. A great deal of the value of MOVANAID depends on its careful use by an analyst who is able to define a problem in such a way as to obtain solutions consistent with the realities of the tactical situation. While MOVANAID may designate one route as "optimal," the analyst should compare that solution with the analyst's own map reading and, if necessary, create new links and/or subnetworks to obtain a new MOVANAID solution similar to the analyst's own subjective analysis. At that point, the analyst may determine which set of assumptions and results seems most reasonable. The examples above, involving three different attempts to obtain a meaningful solution to a simple problem, should be considered typical of the approach a successful user will take.

CONSTRUCTION OF MOVANAID

Analytic Basis

The movement analysis aid MOVANAID ha been discussed in the previous section in "black-box" terms, as seen by the user, and the manner in which users interact with the aid has been described. (We do not discuss in this section the computer implementation of MOVANAID, or give detail about the data upon which the aid operates; these and similar matters will be discussed later, in the section entitled The Construction of MOVANAID: Computer Implementation, and Appendix B, respectively.) There are two basic functions which MOVANAID performs in generating answers to questions posed by users: the computation of the fastest paths from origins to destinations, and the computation of unit assignments to destinations. These computations are performed in MOVANAID by algorithms developed elsewhere.

Computation of Fastest Paths

Several algorithms for finding fastest paths in a network have been discussed in the literature; each requires, as data, travel times for the individual arcs of the network. One such algorithm, which we call "MINPATH," has been included in MOVANAID for fastest-path computations.

Although there are reasonable grounds for attributing the MINPATH algorithm to Dantzig (see Dantzig (1960) and also Dantzig (1963, pp. 363-366)), it must be admitted that the origin of the algorithm is now obscure. There are several similar fastest path algorithms which predate Dantzig's (see, for example, Bellman (1958) and Moore (1957)). Still another algorithm, that of Minty (1957), is particularly interesting. In Minty's algorithm, one first builds a string model of the network, with arc lengths proportional to travel times; then, grasping the network in one hand at the node of destination, one simply stretches the network. The computation of individual arc travel times is straightforward; one simply uses road type, traveling unit type, weather, and time of day information to find the appropriate rate of travel for each arc in the speed table (Table 2), and divides the arc length by this rate to determine the travel time. Similar remarks apply to the computation of the time necessary for the collapse of a column of maneuvering units which travel in columnar formation. (In its present configuration, the MINPATH portion of MOVANAID adds a column closing time (this being computed on the basis of the user-specified type of maneuvering unit) to the fastest path time to obtain the minimum time within which the last element of a maneuvering column can reach the destination by traveling through the network.) Thus, it suffices for present purposes to assume that travel times for arcs, together with unit closing times, have already been determined.

To illustrate the MINPATH algorithm, we will consider the network N of 11 nodes (A through K) and 19 arcs shown in Figure 16. The number appearing next to each arc in the figure is the travel time for that arc. Let us suppose that node A has been specified by a user as being the node of origin, and that the user wants to know the fastest travel time and associated path from node A to some node of destination, say node K.

The first step is to form the matrix T of arc travel times for the network as shown in Figure 17. The rows and columns of T are labeled with node labels A through K, and the elements of T are the travel times between nodes corresponding to the rows and columns in which the elements appear. Arc travel times between nodes of the network that are not connected by a single arc are taken to be infinity (∞). Included in the figure are path information columns and a travel time row. These will be used in the illustration of the algorithm.

The convention is that no node is self-connected; thus the travel time between any node and itself is always ∞ . We could equally well adopt the perhaps more natural convention that each node is selfconnected with associated travel time zero. It turns out, however, that one would gain nothing from this, and the number of computations required by the algorithm would be slightly increased. Any ordering of row and/or column labels is permissible; however, if the order in which the rows are labeled is the same as the order for the columns, then T will have the convenient property of being symmetrical with ∞ appearing everywhere on the diagonal. Finally, although the network N is undirected (meaning that travel is permitted in either direction along any arc), it is convenient for our discussion to regard the node labels heading the columns of T as being points where a traveler may be at some stage of a journey, and the row headings as being places where he may go next.



	path information columns												
travel	time	row→											
			A	B	c	D	Ε	F	G	н	I	J	к
		Â	-	7	9	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	••
		B	7	••	•	9	10	•	-	•	-	*	•
		C	9	-	•	••	9	5	•		æ	•	
		D	-	9	•	•	8	•	13	18	-		••
		E	•	10	9	8	••	8	10	•	-	••	•
		F	**	••	5	•	8	•	12	••	14	••	•
		6	-	•	•	13	10	12	•	8	11	••	-
		н	•	•	••	18	•	•	8	•	•	13	9
		I	•	•	-	•	•	14	11	•	**	3	e n
		J	-	•	••	**	•	•	•	13	3	•	5
		K	•	••	-	••	-	••	-	9	-	5	-

Figure 17. Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 12.

32

The MINPATH algorithm is based on the continuous expansion of a set of "active" nodes to which fastest travel times are known. When this set includes all nodes, the algorithm terminates. The algorithm is initiated by performing the following operations on the relevant matrix of travel times:

- 1. Initialize the set of active nodes by indicating that the node of origin is active. The rows of active nodes are eliminated from further calculations, since fastest travel times to these nodes are already known. A circle around the column label and a line through the row are convenient notations for an active node in illustrations.
- 2. Establish a reference mark for measuring time (we take the mark to be zero) by writing "0" in the time row directly above the node of origin.
- 3. Enter the label of the node of origin in the path information column associated with that node.

The result of performing these operations on the travel time matrix of Figure 17 is shown in Figure 18. In operation 1, the active nodes of N are those which have already been visited by the traveler on one of several journeys which the algorithm will ultimately construct. Initially, of course, the traveler has "traveled" only to the node of origin, and this node therefore comprises the entire initial set of active nodes. The significance of operation 1 lies in the fact that, by removing the row of T corresponding to the node of origin from further consideration, we are actually eliminating all possibility of the traveler's ever returning to that node once he leaves it. Indeed, it is clear that the fastest path from any node to any other node can never involve the traveler's return to a node already visited; otherwise, the traveler would expend travel time without making progress. With respect to operation 2, we interpret the "0" which has been written in the time row above the node of origin to be the shortest possible time in which the traveler can reach the node of origin, and operation 3 merely indicates the corresponding fastest path.

Following initiation, the MINPATH algorithm proceeds iteratively according to the steps listed below (see Figure 19 for MINPATH flow-chart):

Step 1. In each column of T corresponding to an active node, find the shortest travel time from the node to any nonactive node (that is, to any node whose row has not been eliminated); for each active node, keep track of the nonactive node so determined.

			•		-							
	<											
	0				·							
	(3)	B	c	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	к	
A												•
										_		
В	7	••	-	9	10	•	•	••	••		-	
C	9	•	•	. 🗰	9	5	••	•		•	-	
D	-	9	ter	•	8	•	13	18	•	•	•	
E		10	9	8	•	8	10	•	•	•	•	
F	•	-	5	•	8	•	12	•	14	•	•	
G		•	••	13	10	12	•	8	11	•	•	
H	-	•	••	18	••	•	8	-	•	13	9	
I		•	•	•	-	14	11		-	3		
3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13	3	-	5	
ĸ	••	••	••	•	•	•	•	9		5		

Figure 18. Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 12 after initialization for the fastest path algorithm.

34

. A A

Martin and the second second

に見た。

ACTIVATE CLOSEST NON-ACTIVE NODE: ENTER MINIMUM TIME & PATH INFO FIND NON-ACTIYE NODE CLDSEST TO NCDE DF DRIGIN A flowchart for the MINPATH algorithm. FOR EACH ACTIVE NODE, FIND CLOSEST NON-ACTIVE NGDE ARE ALL NODES ACTIVE? YES Q TERMINATE Fígure 19. GET INPUT DATA 35

the state of the factors

all line and the constant of a second second

- Step 2. Form the sum of each of the shortest travel times identified in step 1 with the time recorded in the time row above each corresponding active node, and identify the smallest of these sums together with the active node P and nonactive node Q which are involved in the minimum sum.
- Step 3. Designate node Q as being active, enter the minimum sum identified in step 2 in the time row immediately above the new active node Q, and copy (in the path column belonging to node Q) the path in the path column belonging to node P, appending to this the symbol of the new active node Q.
- Step 4. If all nodes of N are active, terminate; otherwise, go back to step 1.

A tie encountered in the performance of step 1 may result in alternative fastest paths to the nonactive nodes involved in the tie. If information of this type is desired, one must keep track of all the nonactive nodes so tied. Ties encountered in the performance of step 3 are of no consequence and may be ignored by making an arbitrary choice of the minimum sum; the ignored cases will reappear in succeeding iterations and can be disposed of one at a time. Note also that, if we count each performance of steps 1 through 4 as being one iteration of the algorithm, there are precisely n - 1 iterations until termination for an N node network.

We shall now go through the first few iterations of the algorithm beginning with the already initialized travel time matrix of Figure 18.

For step 1, we observe that only node A is active; we therefore look only in column A. We find that the fastest time from node A to a nonactive node is 7, the nonactive node involved here being node B. There are no ties.

For step 2, we form the sum of the time 7 just obtained and time 0 indicated in the time row in column A, obtaining the result 7. Trivially, we search for the minimum sum and, there being only one to consider, we determine that the minimum sum is 7, active node A and nonactive node B being the source of the minimum sum.

For step 3, we designate node B as being active (by drawing a circle around the column heading "B" as shown in Figure 17). We also enter the previously identified minimum sum of 7 in column B of the time row, transfer the path information "A" contained in the path column associated with the new active node B, and append to this the symbol "B" of the new active node.

For step 4, row B is crossed out, thereby eliminating it from further consideration and, since nonactive nodes still remain, we return to step 1. This completes the first iteration of the algorithm; the travel time matrix and associated labels should now appear as shown in Figure 20.

We may conclude at this stage that the fastest travel time through the network from the origin, node A, to node B is 7, and that the associated fastest path is the direct one: $A \rightarrow B$.

Proceeding now with step 1 of the second iteration, we observe that in Figure 20, nodes A and B are active. In column A, the fastest travel time from node A to any nonactive node is to node C in time 9, whereas in column B the fastest time is to node D in time 9. In step 2, we form the sums:

9 + 0 = 9 (active node A to nonactive node C)

9 + 7 = 16 (active node B to nonactive node D)

and observe that 9 is the smallest of these. For step 3, the nonactive node C involved in the minimum sum is activated; the minimum sum 9 is entered in column C of the time row, and path information "A" contained in the path column associated with the old active node A is transferred to the path column associated with the new active node C, the symbol "C" then being appended. In step 4, row C is crossed out, and we return again to step 1. This completes the second iteration; the extent of labeling of the travel time matrix at this stage is shown in Figure 21.

In the third iteration, we determine that active node C and nonactive node F produce the minimum sum of 14. Node F is therefore activated, and the various labels are entered in the prescribed way. At the end of the third iteration, the travel time matrix has the appearance shown in Figure 22.

In this example, the algorithm terminates with the activation of node K upon completion of the tenth iteration. The travel time matrix at termination is shown in Figure 23. From the figure, we read off the fastest travel times and paths from the node or origin, A, to the other nodes of the network:

То	node	B:	Time	7/path A → B
То	node	C:	Time	$9/\text{path } A \rightarrow C$
То	node	D:	Time	$16/path A \rightarrow B \rightarrow D$
То	node	E:	Time	$17/path A \rightarrow B \rightarrow E$
То	node	F:	Time	14/path $A \rightarrow C \rightarrow F$
то	node	G:	Time	$26/path A \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow G$
То	node	H:	Time	34/path $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow D \rightarrow H$ or $A \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H$
То	node	I:	Time	$28/path A \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow I$
То	node	J:	Time	$\exists 1/path A \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow I \rightarrow J$
То	node	К:	Time	$36/path A \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow I \rightarrow J \rightarrow K.$

	<	8										
	0	7										
		®	с	D	Ε	F	G	н	I	3	κ	
		7	<u> </u>									
	<u></u>			<u> </u>								
c	9	-	••	•	9	5	-	••	-	•	••	
D	•	9	•	-	8	-	13	18	-	•	•	
E	•	10	9	8	-	8	10	•	-	•	•	
F	-	••	5	-	8	-	12	-	14	-	-	
G	-	•	•	13	10	12	-	8	11	**	••	
н		-	-	18	•	-	8	-	•	13	9	
I		-	•	-	-	14	11	•	•	3	•	
J	•	-	••	•	-	••	4 7	13	3	-	5	
ĸ	•		•	•	••	•	•	9	•	5	•	

Figure 20. Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 16 after one iteration of the MINPATH algorithm.

	<	ŝ	AC									
	0	7	16									
	A	B	С	D	E	F	G	R	I	ა	κ	
		_7										
B	-7		-	<u></u>								
G	<u></u>				<u> </u>	5						
D	-	9	•	•	8	••	13	18	•	•	••	
3	•	10	9	8	•	8	10	•	•	•	-	
F	•	•	5	•	8	••	12	-	14	•	-	
6	•	•	-	13	10	12	•	8	n	•	•	
н	•	•	•	18	•	••	8	••	•	13	9	
1	•	••	•	•		14	11	••	•	3	•	
3	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	13	3	•	5	
ĸ	-	-		•		••	-	9	•	5	-	

Figure 21. Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 12 after two iterations of the MINPATH algorithm.

. . .



Figure 22. Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 12 after three iterations of the MINPATH algorithm.



Figure 23. Travel time matrix for the network of Figure 12 after termination of the MINPATH algorithm.

a cons

Note that there are two fastest paths from A to H in this example; this result derives from a tie occurring in step 1 of the ninth iteration.

We conclude our discussion about the algorithm MINPATH by pointing out that we have not formally proved in this report that the algorithm produces the shortest travel times and paths in any network. However, a formal proof closely follows the logic of the steps of the algorithm, and is available elsewhere [see Dantzig (1963, pp. 363-366) or Ford and Fulkerson (1962, pp. 130-134)].

Optimal Assignment of Units to Destinations

We now turn to a description of the method whereby MOVANAID computes solutions to assignment problems. Consider a situation where there are n travelers U_1, \ldots, U_n at origins $0_1 1 \ldots, 0_n$ and n destinations D_1, \ldots, D_n , one for each traveler. (More general types of assignment problems involving, for example, more than one traveler to be assigned to certain destinations, are possible and of interest. Some of these more general problems are discussed below in the section entitled "Extension of MOVANAID." As presently structured, MOVANAID computes solutions only to assignment problems of the type indicated here.) Suppose that the minimum time necessary for each traveler to reach each destination is known, and that we wish to assign travelers to destinations in such a way that the largest travel time required by any traveler to reach his assigned destination is a minimum. These times are computed by the MINPATH portion of MOVANAID. It is assumed that there are no delays due to interference among simultaneously maneuvaring travelers.

It is convenient to organize the information for the problem in a "travel time matrix" A whose rows correspond to the travelers and whose columns correspond to the destinations; the ij^{th} element of the matrix is the time required for traveler U_i to reach destination D_j along the fastest path. A travel time matrix for a hypothetical assignment problem involving six travelers and six destinations is shown in Figure 24.

It is possible in principle to determine which of the assignments minimizes the maximum travel time by simply examining all possible assignments; a method of this type is called a "solution by enumeration." However, applying this method to a problem of even moderate size requires the examination of an enormous number of assignments--so much so that solution by enumeration must be regarded as being unattractive. (A problem of size 10, for example, would require the examination of 10! = 3628800 assignments.) A more efficient approach to solving assignment problems is available and has been used for MOVANAID.

An iterative algorithm (which we call "MINASSIGN") for neatly and rapidly solving assignment problems of our type is described by Gross in Ford and Fulkerson (1962). Gross's algorithm draws heavily on earlier work by Konig (1950) and Egervary (1931). Briefly, the Konig-Egervary theorem shows that the assignment problem is equivalent to a problem in

	Dy .	Dz	D ₃	D4	D ₅	D ₆
טן	4	3	5	1	4	2
U2	1	3	2	2	5	4
U3	5	7	1	2	2	3
U 4	8	3	5	6	1	4
υ ₅	١	2	1	7	6	2
^U 6	2	1	6	5	5	2

Figure 24. Travel time matrix for a hypothetical assignment problem of size six.

43

·

network flows; Gross's algorithm uses a "labeling" technique, normally used to optimize flows in networks, to solve the assignment problem. The general MINASSIGN technique is illustrated in Figure 25. A full account of these matters is given by Ford and Fulkerson (1962).

For an assignment problem of size n, the MINASSIGN algorithm manipulates an n x n "working matrix" W, each of whose cells is in one of three states: a cell may be "admissible" and contain the symbol "1"; a cell may be admissible and empty; or a cell may be inadmissible. The algorithm, which is complicated at first glance but whose logic is more clear after an example, proceeds according to the following steps:

- Step 1. Choose any feasible assignment by entering the symbol "1" in the appropriate rows and columns of W. An assignment is called "feasible" if each traveler is assigned to a destination, and each destination is allocated to a traveler; a "1" appearing in the ij^{th} cell of W is interpreted to mean that traveler U_i is assigned to destination D_j. Note that, in a feasible assignment, one 1 appears in each row and column of W.
- Step 2. Determine the largest travel time T involved in the present assignment.
- Step 3. Designate all cells of W for which the corresponding travel time is \geq T as being inadmissible, and delete all 1's which occur in inadmissible cells.
- Step 4. Identify all rows of W which do not contain a 1 as being "initial rows"; identify all columns of W which do not contain a 1 as being "breakthrough columns."
- Step 5. If, for any $1 \le i$, $j \le n$,

11. ¹¹

- (a) Row i is an initial row or is labeled, and
- (b) Column j is unlabeled, and
- (c) Cell W_{ij} is admissible,

label column j with the number "i." If, after accomplishing (a) through (c) above for all $1 \le i \le n$, no column has been newly labeled, conclude that the present assignment is optimal and terminate; otherwise:

If a breakthrough column has been labeled, go to step 7;

If a breakthrough column has not been labeled, go ic step 6.



Figure 25. Flowchart for the assignment algorithm MINASSIGN.

:

「「「「「「「」」」

45

Step 6. If, for any $1 \le i, j \le n$,

(a) Column j is labeled, and

(b) Row i is unlabeled, and

(c) Cell W_{ij} contains a 1,

label row i with the number "j." After completion of (a) through (c) above for all $1 \le j \le n$, return to step 5.

- Step 7. When a breakthrough column receives a label "i," do the following:
 - (a) Enter a "1" in the ith row of the breakthrough column.
 - (b) If the ith row is not an initial row, remove the "1" appearing in the column of the ith row as specified by the label "j" on that row, and go to (c); if the ith row is an initial row, go to (d).
 - (c) Enter a "1" in the row corresponding to the label "1" of the jth column just located in (b) above; if this row is not an initial row, return to (b); otherwise go to (d).
 - (d) Discard all labels and designations of initial rows and breakthrough columns; if the number of 1's appearing in W is less than n, go back to step 4; otherwise, go back to step 2.

Some comments about the steps will clarify the process. First, although any feasible assignment will suffice for step 1, the diagonal assignment wherein traveler U_i is assigned to destination D_i for $1 \le i \le n$ is natural and easily implemented by machine in practice. For hand computations, on the other hand, it is often possible to reduce the number of computations required for termination of the algorithm by making as "good" an initial feasible assignment as one can readily find.

Referral to the travel time matrix A is necessary for step 2. It is convenient to regard each passage through step 2 as being the beginning of an iteration of the algorithm.

Note that the performance of step 3 always results in fewer than n 1's remaining in admissible cells of W; some or all of the cells involved in the present assignment are made inadmissible in step 3. Thus, we might refer to the allocation of admissible 1's that still remain in W after the performance of step 3, if any, as being a "partial feasible assignment." In step 4, any convenient symbol, such as " $\sqrt{}$ " or "*," will suffice in hand computations to identify initial rows and breakthrough columns.

Steps 5 and 6 are the "labeling steps"; in step 5, columns are labeled with row numbers; in step 6, rows with column numbers. Not all rows nor all columns need receive labels. Note that in step 5 it is necessary to keep track of whether or not it has been possible to label any previously unlabeled column with the row number of any initial or labeled row, whereas no corresponding procedure is required in step 6. Note also that, in step 5, all possible column labeling should be accomplished. However, if a breakthrough column receives a label, one may dispense with the rest of step 5, if any remains, and go directly to step 7 before forming any conclusions about optimality or going to another step. Similarly, in step 6, all possible row labeling should be accomplished before reverting to column labeling in step 5.

One reaches step 7 if and only if a breakthrough column receives a label. When breakthrough occurs, the various row and column labels contain the information necessary to reassign travelers to destinations in such a way that the "partialness" of the partial feasible assignment (created in step 3) is increased by one. If, on the one hand, an increase of one in the partial assignment is sufficient to change the partial feasible assignment into a full feasible assignment (involving all the travelers and destinations), one will have found a new (full) feasible assignment that is strictly better than the one in step 2. Thus, in this case, one will wish to discover whether there is a still better full feasible assignment by repeating the entire process beginning with step 2. If, on the other hand, the increase of one in the partial feasible assignment does not result in a full feasible assignment, one does not yet know whether a new strictly better full feasible assignment exists, and another repetition of the labeling process, beginning with step 4, is necessary.

We will now illustrate the behavior of the MINASSIGN algorithm by applying it to the assignment problem whose travel time matrix is shown in Figure 24. Note, incidentally, that a rather good assignment has been hidden in the matrix of Figure 21 as follows:

 $U_{1} \rightarrow D_{4} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{2} \rightarrow D_{1} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{3} \rightarrow D_{3} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{4} \rightarrow D_{5} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{5} \rightarrow D_{2} \text{ in time 2}$ $U_{6} \rightarrow D_{6} \text{ in time 2}.$

Thus, the algorithm must yield an assignment at least as good as this one.

We could, in step 1, try to reduce the number of computations required by choosing as good an assignment as we can readily find, as suggested above. However, for purposes of illustration, we choose the initial assignment to be the diagonal one, which we indicate by circling the appropriate elements of a copy of the travel time matrix at the beginning of iteration 1 as shown in Figure 26.

For step 2, observe that the largest travel time involved in the present assignment is 6. It occurs as a result of assigning traveler U_4 to destination D_4 .

In step 3, all cells of the working matrix which correspond to times ≤ 6 in the travel time matrix are made inadmissible by crossing them out. At this stage, the working matrix is illustrated by the first tableau for iteration 1 shown in Figure 26 except for the row and column labels which also appear--these are entered in later steps.

In step 4, we observe that rows four and five of the first tableau do not contain a 1; we therefore designate these rows as being initial rows by affixing to each the symbol "*." Similarly, columns four and five do not contain a 1; hence these are designated as breakthrough columns, again through the use of the symbol "*."

In step 5, observe that only rows four and five are either labeled or initial rows (both are initial rows); hence only these rows may be used to label columns. We begin with row four. It is not possible to label column one with a "4" since, although column one is unlabeled, cell w_{41} is inadmissible. It is possible, however, to label column two with a "4," column two being unlabeled and cell w_{42} being admissible; thus, column two receives the label "4." Noting that column two is not a breakthrough column, we continue with the labeling process and observe that column three should also be labeled with a "4." Column four (one of the breakthrough columns) is, unfortunately, ineligible to be labeled with a "4" since, although column four is unlabeled, cell w_{44} is inadmissible. For column five, however, we find that not only is column five unlabeled but also that cell w_{45} is admissible; column five therefore receives the label "4." Since column five is one of the breakthrough columns, we may dispense with further column labeling (see the comments on step 5 above) and go directly to step 7 to construct the second tableau for iteration 1. The final form for the first tableau for iteration 1 is shown in Figure 26.

Observe, in step 7, that one of the breakthrough columns of the first tableau (column five) is labeled with the label "4." Hence, according to 7a, we enter a 1 in the fourth row of that column (as is shown in the second tableau for iteration 1 in Figure 26) and, since the fourth row is one of the initial rows, we skip ahead to 7d. In 7d, we observe that the number of 1's which now appear in the second tableau is five, and since 5 < 6, we return to step 4 to continue with iteration 1. The appearance of the working matrix at this stage is

Tableau 2 Tableau 2 Tableau 2 ŝ 10 * . m Tableau l Tableau 1 Tableau 1 • 64 **~~** ~~~~~ ~~~~~ **4** ω α − ⊚υ **4500**000 **4 10 10 (0 10** 10 ๛๛๏๛๛ Quuoru ๛๛๛๛๛ v ~⊙v ~ v m@nmn-Ø- 6 80 - 7 **4**−0∞⊖~ 4 0 0 0 - N Iteration 2 E notteretion 3 [noiteret1

Tableau 3

Operation of the MINASSIGN algorithm for the assignment problem of Figure 12. Figure 26.

and a line in

shown in the second tableau for iteration 1 in Figure 26 (except for the row and column symbols and labels).

Proceeding with step 4 on the second tableau, row five does not contain a 1 and hence is designated an initial row. Similarly, column four is designated a breakthrough column.

In step 5, columns one, two, three, and six receive the label "5." Since nore of these columns is a breakthrough column, and since further labeling of columns is not possible at this point, we are directed to step 6.

In step 6, we note that columns one, two, three, and six are the only labeled columns; hence, these are the only columns which may be used to label rows. In column one, a 1 appears in row one; hence row one is labeled "1." After similarly labeling rows two, three, and six, respectively, with labels "2," "3," and "6," we revert to column labeling in step 5.

In step 5, we observe that rows one, two, three, and six have been newly labeled, so that further column labeling may now be possible. With row one, we observe that although cell w_{11} is admissible, column one has already been labeled with the label "5"; we do not change the label on column one but rather skip ahead to column two and then to column three, both of which have also already been labeled. Column four, however, is eligible to receive the label "1" and, column four being a breakthrough column, we may omit further column labeling as before and go directly to step 7. The final appearance of the second tableau for iteration 1 is shown in Figure 26.

Observe, in step 7, that the breakthrough column (column four) has the label "1." We therefore insert a 1 in row one of column four. Row one is not an initial row, and we therefore look for a label on row one and find that the label is "1"; this is the number of the column of row one where we will find a 1. After removing the 1 so located, we are directed to insert a 1 in the row of column one indicated by the label on column one, here "5." Because row five is an initial row, the movement of 1's is complete. Further, there now being six 1's in the working matrix for iteration 1, we conclude that iteration 1 is also complete and that there is a better assignment than the one which was used at the beginning of the iteration (in our case, the diagonal assignment). This assignment, which is the initial assignment for iteration 2, is indicated by the pattern of 1's which appears in the third and final tableau for iteration 1 shown in Figure 26.

Iteration 2, for which just two tableaux are required, is accomplished in a similar fashion. After completion of iteration 2, we find that the new assignment created at the end of iteration 1 (which is shown in Figure 26 by circling appropriate elements of the time matrix at the beginning of iteration 2) is still not optimal, and we obtain a better assignment in the pattern of 1's which appears in the final tableau of iteration 2; the latter is the initial assignment for iteration 3.

Iteration 3 proceeds as before until we reach the point of labeling rows and columns in the second tableau. All possible rows and columns are then labeled, yet no breakthrough column receives a label. We conclude at that point (step 5), therefore, that the initial assignment for iteration 3 is optimal, and we terminate. The optimal assignment is as follows:

 $U_{1} \rightarrow D_{4} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{2} \rightarrow D_{1} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{3} \rightarrow D_{3} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{4} \rightarrow D_{5} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{5} \rightarrow D_{2} \text{ in time 2}$ $U_{6} \rightarrow D_{6} \text{ in time 2}.$

This is the assignment mentioned earlier.

Optimal assignments need not be unique. For the example problem, a different assignment as good as the one identified by the algorithm is as follows:

 $U_{1} \rightarrow D_{4} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{2} \rightarrow D_{1} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{3} \rightarrow D_{3} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{4} \rightarrow D_{5} \text{ in time 1}$ $U_{5} \rightarrow D_{6} \text{ in time 2}$ $U_{6} \rightarrow D_{2} \text{ in time 2}.$

The choice of initial assignment for iteration 1, together with certain other factors, determines the optimal assignment to which the algorithm will converge.

Computer Implementation

In the previous section, we have described in some detail the theoretical basis for MOVANAID. In the translation of such theoretical developments into practice, it is not uncommon to encounter one or more practical difficulties arising from the environment in which the theory is being applied. In the present section, we discuss the most significant such practical consideration which arose in the implementation of MOVANAID--namely, a constraint on computer storage space. We also describe the effect of this constraint on the structure and operation of the aid.

MOVANAID was constructed for use in the Training and Information Systems Facility (TISF) at ARI. The TISF consists of a CDC model 3300 computer connected to laboratory equipment, notably CDC 210 CRT display terminals and IBM selectric typewriters used for the SIMTOS experiments. Of the total amount of core storage available in the CDC 3300, only about half is usable for the programs which control laboratory experiments. If the aid were to be used in conjunction with SIMTOS (or some other experiment with its own computer support requirements), only a small portion of this memory space would be available at the time a subject might call upon the aid for his experimental tasks. Thus, the necessary strategy in this case was to be conservative with computer storage space.

Two techniques were used to conserve storage: data packing and the use of storage techniques designed for sparse matrices for network data. By data packing, we mean simply that several items of data in decimal integer form are compressed for storage in a single 24-bit word of computer memory. Binary packing of data can be used to increase speed of computation. However, packing of decimal data has compensating benefits, such as readability of the data base. Each link attached to any given node is described by one data word in which is coded the node number of the attached node, the length of the link, and the "type" of link (see Appendix B for a detailed description of link type); this coding saves about 8n words of storage (where n is the number of nodes).

In the theoretical discussion of MINPATH, linkage information was presented in an n x n matrix (where n is the number of nodes). The use of a square array of data to store this information is clearly wasteful of storage in a network in which nodes are connected to only a few others, i.e., a sparse matrix.' Instead, we assume a constant four links per node and store the information in a linear array. This procedure causes no loss of generality, because nodes with more than four links are easily accommodated by the use of artificial nodes as described in Appendix B. The number four was chosen because it adequately reflects the SIMTOS network. Other numbers of links per node may be appropriate for other networks. At present, network data are stored such that all arcs are two-way connections, i.e., as an undirected network. Even more storage could be saved if only the one-way link information were stored, but greatly increase computation time. Thus, the network linkages are stored in approximately 4n words of memory rather than the n^2 needed for the square array.

The use of these storage conservation measures is not without drawbacks. They affect the operation of the aid in two significant ways. First, they effectively limit the size of the networks with which MOVANAID can be used because the data packing scheme chosen imposes a limit on the size of the data being packed. Other packing schemes could be used and would result in different constraints on the size of the data being packed. Because three decimal digits in the packed word are allowed for the node number and three for the arc length, there can be at most 939 sequentially numbered nodes; and arcs may be 99.9 kilometers in length (individual lengths are specified in tenths of kilometers).

The other difficulty with the storage conservation procedures is that they significantly increase the computer time required to solve a problem. The packed words must be unpacked by MOVANAID before the information can be processed. However, for networks of the size produced for SIMTOS (approximately 400 nodes), the tradeoff has been reasonable. To understand this, consider the graph of Figure 27. Although available resources have not permitted extensive computational experiments with the full-scale MOVANAID, preliminary experiments were conducted with the MINPATH routine alone in its elementary form.

These results, shown in Figure 27, are exclusive of input/output or any data preprocessing. They are based on a model implementation using unpacked data, as might be the case if storage space were unlimited. The data show that without the storage conservation measures, networks of the largest type that MOVANAID can treat could be solved in approximately 2 minutes, while networks of the current size of the data base could be handled in about 15 seconds. Experience (though limited) with MOVANAID has shown that small networks (less than 200 nodes) require only slightly greater solution times than those in Figure 27 show, and that networks of the size of SIMTOS require about twice as much time as Figure 27 shows. The latter case results in times of about 30 seconds rather than 15 for the SIMTOS network, but this is still within reason. The rate of increase in computation time apparently grows rapidly with network size, however, so that the tradeoff for storage space may not be as attractive for networks of the largest type that MOVANAID can treat. Experimental confirmation is needed.

EXTENSION OF MOVANAID

In this section we suggest some ideas for enlargement of the scope, for quality improvement of the information now provided to users by MOVANAID. Most remarks are directed toward possible directions for extension of the two basic modules of MOVANAID: MINPATH and MINASSIGN.



NUNBER OF NODES IN NETWORK

^aTimes were determined experimentally on an IBM 360/67 computer.

Figure 27. Minimum path computation times as a function of network size.

54

) }}

Generalizations for MINPATH

Multiple-shortest path information may occasionally be of interest to intelligence processors. Such information might be useful, for example, in a case where the friendly force is considering the interdiction of roads. As presently configured, however, the MINPATH module of MOVANAID does not disclose such information to users. Path information of this type could be produced (at the expense of an increase in computer storage requirements) by making minor changes in the present structure of MINPATH. Similarly, analysts might also wish to identify, in addition to fastest times and paths, the second and third fastest times and paths, and so on. Algorithms exist and could be included in MINPATH to produce information of these types, if desired.

Another interesting direction for generalizing MINPATH would involve relaxing the assumption (heretofore implicit) that a military unit can move along a road en masse. The movement of military units along roads does not normally take place en masse for at least two reasons. First, the tactical situation may dictate that movement be accomplished in a columnar formation of gap-separated segments or "serials." Second, columnar movement along a road may be forced on a unit whose size is large compared to the capacity of the road. The remarks below address only the latter phenomenon.

Consider a network, each of whose arcs is associated, in addition to a travel time (which we interpret to be the time required for an "individual"--perhaps a foot soldier or a truck--to move from one end of the arc to the other), with an arc capacity⁴ representing the maximum number of individuals who can enter the arc per unit time. A network of this type is shown in Figure 28; in the figure, the first number associated with an arc is the travel time for that arc, and the second number is the arc capacity. We take our problem to be the determination of the path which the unit should take in order to move from a prespecified node of origin to a given node of destination in as short a time as possible, subject to the constraint that unit movement rates should not exceed any of the arc capacities.

As an example of the sort of difficulty one may encounter in the generalized problem, assume that the arc capacities shown in Figure 28 represent the maximum number of tanks per hour that the arcs can accommodate, and suppose that we wish to know how soon, and by what path, a tank battalion at node "A" could move in its entirety to a destination at node "Z." Consider two paths joining node "A" to node "Z" as follows:

⁴Definite procedures for computing road capacities have already been established by the Army. Road capacities are computed as functions of surface type, surface and shoulder width, maximum curvature and gradient, amount of moisture, and certain other parameters. See Department of the Army Field Manual 55-15 (Transportation Reference Data, Chapter 2) for more details.



فرفاته ألوبت فللم

وبرزائل مناشأ فالعلموا مرافر أثغت

4



10 anil 240

÷.,

·,

 $P_{1}: A + C + E + G + K + J + Z$ $P_{2}: A + B + F + G + M + Z.$

It may be confirmed that the sum of the travel times along path P_1 is 26, whereas the corresponding sum for P_2 is 33. Thus, path P_1 would be preferable to P_2 if it were possible for the battalion to move en masse along each arc of P_1 . However, if the size n of the battalion were larger than the smallest arc capacity of any of the arcs of P_1 , it may happen that the unit could arrive at node "Z" sooner using P_2 (all of whose arcs have rather large capacities) than it could using P_1 .

It may be shown that the generalized problem we wish to solve is the following: Determine

$$\min_{\mathbf{P}} \left[\sum_{(X,Y) \in \mathbf{P}} t(X,Y) + d(\mathbf{P}) \right]$$

where

A is the node of origin Z is the node of destination P is a path joining A to Z $t(X,Y) \ge 0$ is the travel time associated with arc (X,Y) $c(X,Y) \ge 0$ is the capacity associated with arc (X,Y)n is the number of individuals in the source at A $d(P) = \max(\frac{n}{\min c(X,Y)}) - 1, 0).$ $(X,Y) \in P$

The units in which the t(X,Y), c(X,Y) and n are measured must be compatible.

This problem, though perhaps formidable at first glance (due to the presence of the term d(P) inside the square brackets), may be solved by an algorithm similar to MINPATH.

Note, incidentally, that in the special case where all arcs of the network have the same capacity $c \le n$, we have



In this case, therefore, the optimization problem involved is identical to the one considered earlier: Find the path joining the origin to the destination for which the sum of the arc travel times is a minimum. Here, the additive constant (n/c) - 1 is the column closing time imposed on a maneuvering unit by roads whose capacity is inadequate to permit movement en masse.

Another potentially important generalization of the MINPATH algorithm arises from the fact that all of the preceding development and discussion has been directed toward the computation of fastest times and paths through a road network for one unit at a time. Nowhere have we admitted the possibility that two or more units, moving simultaneously through the network, might interfere with each other by occupying the same arc or node simultaneously. In reality, of course, delays might well ensue in such circumstances, and we therefore think it proper to consider enlarging the model to take into account this phenomenon. Such an enlargement might lead to changes, or even abandonment, of the MINASSIGN portion of MOVANAID.

It appears that the enlargement of the model to take into account interunit interference might be accomplished through the imposition of <u>node capacities</u> on some or all nodes of the network. A node capacity is, of course, an upper bound on the rate at which traffic, from whatever source, can pass through the node. A technique whereby networks with node capacities may be converted to equivalent but larger networks with only arc capacities is suggested by Ford and Fulkerson (1962, pp. 23-26).

Generalizations for MINASSIGN

There are two minor ways in which the MINASSIGN portion of MOVANAID might be refined. First, it may be desirable to arrange for the algorithm to disclose alternative optimum assignments when such exist. Second, it may be desirable to arrange for MINASSIGN to optimize assignments with respect not only to largest assignment time (as is now done), but also with respect to the remaining assignment times. Although these modifications would be relatively easy to implement, we feel that the added capability would not be necessary. The assignment model to which the present version of MINASSIGN is applicable assumes that there will always be the same number of travelers as destinations, each traveler being assigned to exactly one destination and each destination receiving precisely one traveler. A number of interesting variations on this theme are possible. Suppose, for example, that we generalize the model to allow the possibility of having more travelers than destinations while retaining (for the moment) the notion that each destination should be the recipient of precisely one traveler. The relevant objective would be, as before, the optimal assignment of one traveler to each destination and, in addition, the optimal selection of a subset of travelers to be so assigned. A military application of a model of this type would arise in cases where the enemy had available in the rear of the battle area a larger number of reinforcement units than there were front-line positions to be reinforced.

In another variation of the present assignment model, we might wish to require that some or all of the destinations be recipients of more than one traveler. An enlarged model of this type would be applicable, for example, in cases where it was thought that the enemy would like to reinforce front-line units with more than one reinforcement unit, with some front-line units perhaps more heavily reinforced than others.

It appears that these and other variations of the assignment model may all be treated by a general model involving m potential travelers and n potential destinations together with the freedom to require that destinations be assigned a prespecified number of travelers. The relevant objective, of course, would be the optimal selection and assignment of a subset of the travelers to a subset of the destinations, subject to side conditions.

In view of the fact that the generalized assignment model suggested above is substantially more general than the model to which the present MINASSIGN algorithm is applicable, it is perhaps surprising to find that MINASSIGN can, with relatively minor modifications, solve any of the problems which might arise in the more general model. One such modification would involve the use of artificial travelers and/or destinations. The revised algorithm would proceed in much the same fashion as before.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The initial purpose of this project was to develop and implement some form of computer-based analytic aid in the ARI laboratory. The implemented aid was expected to serve as a test bed for research on general principles of man-machine interaction. As the project moved from the general consideration of a number of aids to the specific development of MOVANAID, it became apparent that the process of developing interactive aids is a nontrivial task. Given a relatively simple intelligence processing task and readily available mathematical techniques, it required a large effort to implement a preliminary version of MOVANAID. This report provides a description of the results of that effort.

MOVANAID has value beyond its intended role as a research vehicle for studying man-machine interaction. It serves as a demonstration and a prototype of the large class of potential interactive analytic aids. It also provides a baseline for further development and eventual implementation of a movement analysis aid to support tactical intelligence analysis. The requirements for such aids and the form which they take will be clarified through an iterative process of test and revision.

60

577. 552.

REFERENCES

Bellman, Richard. On a Routing Problem. <u>Quarterly of Applied Mathematics</u>, 1958, <u>16</u>, 87-90.

Dantzig, G. B. On the Shortest Route Through a Network. <u>Management</u> Science, 1960, 6, 187-190.

Dantzig, G. B. <u>Linear Programming and Extensions</u>. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1963

Department of the Army. Combat Intelligence. Field Manual No. FM 30-5, 1971.

Department of the Army. Handbook on Aggressor. Field Manual No. FM 30-102, 1973.

Department of the Army. Staff Officer's Field Manual: Staff Organization and Frocedures. Field Manual No. FM 101-5, 1972.

Department of the Army. Transportation Reference Data. Field Manual No. FM 55-15, 1963.

Egerváry, J. Matrixox Kombinatorikus Tulajonságairol. <u>Mat. és Fiz.</u>, 1931, Lapok 38, 16-28; translation by H. W. Kuhn, On Combinatorial Properties of Matrices. George Washington University Logistics Papers, 1955, <u>11</u>.

Ford, L. R., Jr., & Fulkerson, D. R. Flows in Networks. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1962.

Konig, D. <u>Theorie der Endlichen und Unendlichen Graphen</u>. New York: Chelsea, 1950.

Minty, G. J. A Comment on the Shortest Route Problem. Operations Research, 1957, 5, 7.4.

Moore, E. F. The Shortest Path Through a Maze. (Unpublished report). Bell Telephone Laboratories, 1957.

61

the heighter indeet were near the relation of the relation of the relation of the relation of the relation
4 OASD (M&RA) 2 HODA (DAMI-CSZ) HODA (DAPE-PSR HODA (DAMA-AR) HODA (DAPE-HRE-PO) HODA (SGRD-ID) HODA (DAMI-DOT-C) HODA (DAPC-PMZ-A) HODA (DACH-PPZ-A) HODA (DAPE-HRE) HODA (DAPE-MPO-C) HODA (DAPE-DW) HODA (DAPE-HRL) HODA (DAPE-CPS) HODA (DAFD MFA) HODA (DARD-ARS-P) HODA (DAPC-PAS-A) HODA (DUSA-OR) HODA (DAMO-ROR) HODA (DASG) HODA (DA10-PI) Chief, Consult Div (DA-OTSG), Adelphi, MD Mil Asst. Hum Res, ODDR&E, OAD (E&LS) HQ USARAL, APC Seattle, ATTN: ARAGP-R HQ First Army, ATTN: AFKA-Oi-TI HQ Fifth Army, Ft Sam Houston Dir. Army Stf Studies Ofc, ATTN: OAVCSA (DSP) Ofc Chief of Stf, Studies Ofc DCSPER, ATTN: CPS/OCP The Army Lib, Pantagon, ATTN: RSB Chief The Army Lib, Pentagon, ATTN: ANRAL Ufc, Asst Sect of the Army (R&D) Tech Support Ofc, OJCS USASA, Arlington, ATTN: IARD-T USA Rsch Ofc, Durham, ATTN: Life Sciences Dir USARIEM, Natick, ATTN: SGRD-UE-CA USATTC, Ft Clayton, ATTN: STETC-MO-A USAIMA, Ft Bragg, ATTN: ATSU-CTD-OM USAIMA, Ft Bragg, ATTN: Marquat Lib US WAC Ctr & Sch. Ft McClellan, ATTN: Lib 1 US WAC Ctr & Sch, Ft McClellan, ATTN: Tng Dir 1 USA Quartermaster Sch, Ft Lee, ATTN: ATSM-TE 1 Intelligence Material Dev Ofc, EWL, Ft Holabird 1 USA SE Signal Sch, Ft Gordon, ATTN: ATSO-EA USA Chaplain Ctr & Sch, Ft Hamilton, ATTN: ATSC-TE-RD USATSCH, Ft Eustis, ATTN: Educ Advisor 1 USA War College, Carlisle Barracks, ATTN: Lib 2 WRAIR, Neuropsychiatry Div 1 DLI, SDA, Monterey USA Concept Anal Agcy, Bethesda, ATTN: MOCA-WGC USA Concept Anal Agcy, Bethesda, ATTN: MOCA-MR 1 USA Concept Anal Agcy, Bethesda, ATTN: MOCA-JF USA Artic Test Ctr, APO Seattle, ATTN: STEAC-MO-ASL 1 USA Artic Test Ctr, APO Seattle, ATTN: AMSTE-PL-TS USA Armament Cmd, Redstone Arsenal, ATTN: ATSK-TEM USA Annament Cmd, Rock Island, ATTN: AMSAR-TDC FAA-NAFEC, Atlantic City, ATTN: Library FAA-NAFEC, Atlantic City, ATTN: Hum Engr Br FAA Aeronautical Ctr, Oklahoma City, ATTN: AAC-44D 2 USA Fld Arty Sch. Ft Sill, ATTN: Library USA Armor Sch, Ft Knox, ATTN: Library USA Armor Sch, Ft Knox, ATTN: ATSB-DI-E USA Armor Sch, Ft Knox, ATTN: ATSB-DT-TP

1 USA Armor Sch, Ft Knox, ATTN: ATSB-CD-AD

ARI Distribution List

2 HOUSACDEC, Ft Ord, ATTN: Library HOUSACDEC, Ft Ord, ATTN: ATEC-EX-E-Hum Factors 2 USAEEC, Ft Benjamin Harrison, ATTN: Library 1 USAPACDC, Ft Benjamin Harrison, ATTN: ATCP-HR USA Comm-Elect Sch, Ft Monmouth, ATTN: ATSN-EA USAEC, Ft Monmouth, ATTN: AMSEL-CT-HDP USAEC, Ft Monmouth, ATTN: AMSEL-PA-P USAEC, Ft Monmouth, ATTN: AMSEL-SI-CB USAEC, Ft Monmouth, ATTN: C, Facl Dev Br USA Materials Sys Anal Aycy, Aberdeen, ATTN: AMXSY-P Edgewood Arsenal, Aberdeen, ATTN: SAREA-BL-H USA Ord Ctr & Sch, Aberdeen, ATTN: ATSL-TEM-C USA Hum Engr Lab, Aberdeen, ATTN: Library/Dir USA Combet Arms Tng Bd, Ft Benning, ATTN: Ad Supervisor USA Infantry Hum Rsch Unit, Ft Benning, ATTN: Chief USA Infantry Bd, Ft Benning, ATTN: STEBC-TE-T USASMA, Ft Bliss, ATTN: ATSS-LRC USA Air Def Sch, Ft Bliss, ATTN: ATSA--CTD--ME USA Air Def Sch, Ft Bliss, ATTN: Tech Lib USA Air Def Bd, Ft Bliss, ATTN: FILES USA Air Def Bd, Ft Bliss, ATTN: STEBD-PO USA Cmd & General Stf College, Ft Leavenworth, ATTN: Lib USA Cmd & General Stf College, Ft Leavenworth, ATTN; ATSW-SE-L USA Cmd & General Stf College, Ft Leavenworth, ATTN: Ed Advisor USA Combined Arms Cmbt Dev Act, Ft Leavenworth, ATTN: DepCdr USA Combined Arms Cmbt Dev Act, Ft Leavenworth, ATTN: CCS USA Combined Arms Cmbt Dev Act, Ft Leavenworth, ATTN: ATCASA USA Combined Arms Cmbt Dev Act, Ft Leavenworth, ATTN: ATCACO-E USA Combined Arms Cmbt Dev Act, Ft Leavenworth, ATTN: ATCACC-CI USAECOM, Night Vision Lab, Ft Belvoir, ATTN: AMSEL-NV-SD USA Computer Sys Cmd. Ft Belvoir, ATTN: Tech Library USAMERDC, Ft Belvoir, ATTN: STSFB-DQ USA Eng Sch, Ft Belvoir, ATTN: Library USA Topographic Lab, Ft Belvoir, ATTN: ETL-TD-S USA Topographic Lab. Ft Belvoir, ATTN: STINFO Center USA Topouraphic Lab, Ft Belvoir, ATTN: ETL-GSL USA Intelligence Ctr & Sch, Ft Huachuca, ATTN: CTD-MS USA Intelligence Ctr & Sch, Ft Huachuca, ATTN: ATS-CTD-MS USA Intelligence Ctr & Sch. Ft Huachuca, ATTN: ATSI-TE USA Intelligence Ctr & Sch, Ft Huschuca, ATTN: ATSI-TEX-GS USA Intelligence Ctr & Sch, Ft Huschucs, ATTN: ATSI-CTS-OR USA Intelligence Ctr & Sch, Ft Huechuca, ATTN: ATSI-CTD-DT USA Intelligence Ctr & Sch, Ft Huschuca, ATTN: ATSI-CTD-CS USA Intelligence Ctr & Sch, Ft Huschuca, ATTN: DAS/SRD USA Intelligence Ctr & Sch, Ft Huachuca, ATTN: ATSI-TEM USA Intelligence Ctr & Sch, Ft Huachuca, ATTN: Library CDR, HQ Ft Huachuca, ATTN: Tech Ref Div CDR, USA Electronic Prvg Grd, ATTN: STEEP-MT-S CDR, Project MASSTER, ATTN: Tech Info Center Hq MASSTER, USATRADOC, LNO Research Institute, HQ MASSTER, Ft Hood USA Recruiting Cmd, Ft Sherdian, ATTN: USARCPM-P Senior Army Adv., USAFAGOD/TAC, Elgin AF Aux Fld No. 9 HQ USARPAC, DCSPER, APO SF 96668, ATTN: GPPE-SE Stimson Lib, Academy of Health Sciences, Ft Sam Houston Marine Corps Inst., ATTN: Dean-MCI HOUSMC, Commandant, ATTN: Code MTMT 51 HQUSMC, Commandant, ATTIN: Code MPI--20 USCG Academy, New London, ATTN: Admission 2 USCG Academy, New London, ATTN: Library USCG Training Ctr, NY, ATTN: CO USCG Training Ctr, NY, ATTN: Educ Svc Ofc USCG, Psychol Res Br, DC, ATTN: GP 1/62 1 HQ Mid-Range Br, MC Det, Quantico, ATTN: P&S Div

63

US Marine Corps Liaision Ofc, AMC, Alexandria, ATTN: AMCGS-F

USATRADOC, Ft Monroe, ATTN: ATRO--ED 1 6 USATRADOC, Ft Monroe, ATTN: ATPR-AD

USATRADOC, Ft Monroe, ATTN: ATTS-EA

USA Forces Cmd, Ft McPherson, ATTN: Library

2 USA Aviation Test Bd, Ft Rucker, ATTN: STEBG-PO

USA Agey for Aviation Safety, Ft Rucker, ATTN: Library USA Agey for Aviation Safety, Ft Rucker, ATTN: Educ Advisor

USA Aviation Sch, Ft Rucker, ATTN: PO Drawer O

HQUSA Aviation Sys Cmd, St Louis, ATTN: AMSAV-ZDR

2 USA Aviation Sys Test Act., Edwards AFB, ATTN: SAVTE-T

USA Air Def Sch, Ft Bliss, ATTN: ATSA TEM

USA Air Mobility Rsch & Dev Lab, Moffett Fid, ATTN: SAVDL-AS

USA Aviation Sch, Res Tng Mgt, Ft Rucker, ATTN: ATST-T-RTM

USA Aviation Sch, CO, Ft Rucker, ATTN: ATST-D-A

HQ, DARCOM, Alexandria, ATTN: AMXCD-TL

HQ, DARCOM, Alexandria, ATTN: CDR

US Military Academy, West Point, ATTN: Serials Unit

US Military Academy, West Point, ATTN: Ofc of Milt Ldrshp

US Military Academy, West Point, ATTN: MAOR USA Standardization Gp, UK, FPO NY, ATTN: MASE-GC

Ofc of Naval Rsch, Arlington, ATTN: Code 462

Ofc of Naval Rsch, Arlington, ATTN: Code 458

Ofc of Naval Rsch, Arlington, ATTN: Code 450

Ofc of Naval Rsch, Arlington, ATTN: Code 441

Naval Aerospc Med Res Lab, Pensacola, ATTN: Acous Sch Div

Naval Aerospc Med Res Lab, Pensacola, ATTN: Code L51

Naval Aerospic Med Res Lab, Pensacola, ATTN: Code L5

Chief of NavPers, ATTN: Pers-OR

NAVAIRSTA, Norfolk, ATTN: Safety Ctr

Nav Oceanographic, DC, ATTN: Code 6251, Charts & Tech

Center of Naval Anal, ATTN: Doc Ctr

NavAirSysCom, ATTN: AIR-5313C

Nav BuMed, ATTN: 713

NavHelicopterSubSqua 2, FPO SF 96601

AFHRL (FT) William AFB

AFHRL (T1) LOWTY AFB

AFHRL (AS) WPAFB, OH

AFHRL (DOJZ) Brooks AFB

AFHRL (DOJN) Lackland AFB

HOUSAF (INYSD)

HOUSAF (DPXXA)

AFVTG (RD) Randolph AFB

3 AMRL (HE) WPAFB, OH

AF Inst of Tech, MPAFB, OH, ATTN: ENE/SL

ATC (XPTD) Randolph AFB

USAF AeroMed Lib, Brooks AFB (SUL-4), ATTN: DOC SEC

AFOSR (NL), Arlington

AF Log Cmd, McCiellan AFB, ATTN: ALC/DPCRB Air Force Academy, CO, ATTN: Dept of Bel Scn

5 NavPers & Dev Ctr, San Diego

Navy Med Neuropsychiatric Rsch Unit, San Diego 2

Nav Electronic Lab, San Diego, ATTN: Res Lab

Nav TrngCen, San Diego, ATTN: Code 9000-Lib

NavPostGraSch, Monterey, ATTN: Code 55Aa

NavPostGraSch, Monterey, ATTN: Code 2124

NavTrngEquipCtr, Orlando, ATTN: Tech Lib

US Dept of Labor, DC, ATTN: Manpower Admin

US Dept of Justice, DC, ATTN: Drug Enforce Admin Nat Bur of Standards, DC, ATTN: Computer Info Section

Nat Clearing House for MH-Info, Rockville

Denver Federal Ctr, Lakewood, ATTN: BLM

12 Defense Documentation Center

4 Dir Psych, Army Hq, Russell Ofcs, Canberra

Scientific Advsr, Mil Bd, Army Hq, Russell Ofcs, Canberra

Mil and Air Attache, Austrian Embassy

1 Centre de Recherche Des Facteurs, Humaine de la Defense Nationale, Brussels

2 Canadian Joint Staff Washington

1 C/Air Staff, Royal Canadian AF, ATTN: Pers Std Anal Br

3 Chief, Canadian Def Rsch Staff, ATTN: C/CRDS(W)

4 British Def Staff, British Embassy, Washington

Def & Civil Inst of Enviro Medicine, Canada

AIR CRESS, Kensington, ATTN: Info Sys Br

Militaerpsykologisk Tjeneste, Copehagen

Military Attache, French Embassy, ATTN: Doc Sec

Medecin Chef, C.E.R.P.A.-Arsenal, Toulon/Naval France

- Prin Scientific Off, Appl Hum Engr Rsch Div, Ministry of Defense, New Delhi
- 1 Pers Rach Ofc Library, AKA, Israel Defense Forces
- 1 Ministeris van Defensie, DOOP/KL Afd Sociaal

Psychologische Zaken, The Hague, Netherlands