

AD-A063 980

WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

F/G 12/1

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE PERMANENT OF A DOUBLY STOCHASTIC MATRIX.(U)

SEP 78 S FRIEDLAND

DAAG29-75-C-0024

UNCLASSIFIED

MRC-TSR-1882

NL

| OF |
ADA
063980

12/1



END
DATE
FILMED

END
DATE
FILMED

3 79
DDC

4 79
DDC

10 NW

MRC Technical Summary Report # 1882

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE PERMANENT
OF A DOUBLY STOCHASTIC MATRIX

Shmuel Friedland

LEVEL

AD A063980

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin-Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

September 1978

DDC
RECEIVED
JAN 31 1979
C

(Received August 16, 1978)

Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office
P. O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

DDC FILE COPY

79 01 30 061

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

⑥ A LOWER BOUND FOR THE PERMANENT OF A DOUBLY
STOCHASTIC MATRIX.

⑩ Shmuel Friedland

⑨ Technical Summary Report 1882

⑪ September 1978

ABSTRACT

It is shown here that the permanent of an $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix is not less than e^{-n} .

⑭ MRZ-TSR-1882

⑮ 19 p.

AMS(MOS) Subject Classifications: 15A15, 15A45, 05B20.

Key Words: Permanents, van der Waerden conjecture, Marshall Hall's problem.

Work Unit Number 4 - Probability, Statistics and Combinatorics.

* Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University at Jerusalem.

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-75-C-00249

⑮

79 01 30 061

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Let A be a square matrix. The permanent $p(A)$ of A is basically the determinant of A where all the summands appear with $+$ signs. The notion $p(A)$ arises naturally in many combinatorial settings where a count of the number of systems of distinct representatives of some configuration is required. In this paper we establish lower estimates of the right order for the permanents of doubly stochastic matrices. Recall that A is called a doubly stochastic matrix if all the entries of A are nonnegative and each row and column sum of A is equal to 1. Doubly stochastic matrices appear frequently in probability and combinatorics. The result established in this paper will have various applications in combinatorics and probability, in particular to Latin squares and block designs.

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	B.I.F. Section <input type="checkbox"/>
(NATIONAL) D	<input type="checkbox"/>
PUBLICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
and/or SPECIAL	
A	

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE PERMANENT OF A DOUBLY
STOCHASTIC MATRIX

Shmuel Friedland*

1. Introduction.

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix $(a_{ij})_1^n$. The permanent of A is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad p(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)} ,$$

where S_n is the symmetric group of order n . Let Ω_n be the set of all doubly stochastic matrices, that is, the set of all $n \times n$ matrices A satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad a_{ij} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} = 1, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n .$$

It is conjectured that any doubly stochastic matrix satisfies the inequality

$$(1.3) \quad p(A) \geq n!/n^n ,$$

with the equality holding only for the matrix J_n all of whose entries are $1/n$. The problem of finding the minimum of $p(A)$ on the set Ω_n goes back to van der Waerden [6]. In fact the inequality (1.3) is commonly referred as the van der Waerden conjecture. This conjecture is known to have applications to certain combinatorial problems. In this paper we establish the inequality

$$(1.4) \quad p(A) \geq e^{-n}, \quad A \in \Omega_n .$$

Recall that by Stirling's formula $n!/n^n \approx \sqrt{2\pi n} e^{-n}$. The previous lower bound known before was $1/n!$. See [3] for the proof of this result and for the survey of the main results achieved in connection with the van der Waerden conjecture. Our starting point is the inequality due to T. Bang [1]

*Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University at Jerusalem.

$$(1.5) \quad p(A) \geq p(A \otimes J_m)^{1/m}, \quad m = 2^s,$$

which holds for any non-negative A . Here by $B \otimes C$ we denote the tensor product of matrices B and C . Most of the paper is devoted to the proof of the equality

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(A \otimes J_m)^{1/m} = e^{-n},$$

for any $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix A . Clearly in view of (1.5), the equality (1.6) implies the estimate (1.4).

2. Preliminary results.

Let $\sigma \in S_n$. Define

$$(2.1) \quad P_\sigma = (\delta_{\sigma(i)j})_1^n .$$

By $\phi_{n,m}$ we denote the set of all matrices α with integer coefficients such that α/m is an $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix:

$$(2.2) \quad \phi_{n,m} = \{ \alpha \mid \alpha = (\alpha_{ij})_1^n, \alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha/m \in \Omega_n \} .$$

From the classical Birkhoff theorem (e.g. [4]) it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha = \sum_{k=1}^m P_{\sigma_k}, \quad \alpha \in \phi_{n,m} .$$

Following T. Bang [1] we bring a formula for the permanent of $A \otimes J_m$.

Theorem 2.1. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then

$$(2.4) \quad p(A \otimes J_m) = \frac{(m!)^{2n}}{m^{mn}} \sum_{\alpha \in \phi_{n,m}} \prod_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}} / \alpha_{ij}!$$

Proof. Consider a term in $p(A \otimes J_m)$. It is of the form

$$(2.5) \quad m^{-nm} \prod_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}} .$$

Clearly, each α_{ij} is a non-negative integer. Consider the rows $i, m+i, \dots, (n-1)m+i$. These

rows contribute to the product (2.5) the term $m^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}}$. Therefore, $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} = m$. In

the same way one shows that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} = m$. Thus, $(\alpha_{ij})_1^n \in \phi_{n,m}$. Vice versa, suppose that

$\alpha \in \phi_{n,m}$. Then (2.3) holds. Let us view $A \otimes J_m$ as a block matrix

$$(2.6) \quad A \otimes J_m = (A_{ij})_1^m, \quad A_{ij} = m^{-1}A, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m .$$

In the block A_{rr} take a product $m^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma_r(i)}$. By multiplying all these terms together one obtains the expression

$$(2.7) \quad m^{-nm} \prod_{r=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma_r(i)}.$$

In view of (2.3) the expression (2.7) is equal to (2.5). We now compute the coefficient of the term (2.5). That is we are looking for the number of different ways to pick up α_{11} elements $a_{11}/m, \dots, \alpha_{nn}$ elements a_{nn}/m from the matrix $A \otimes J_m$ such that any two elements are not on the same row or column. We call such a choice an admissible choice. Let us label α_{ij} elements a_{ij}/m by $a_{ij}^{(1)}/m, \dots, a_{ij}^{(\alpha_{ij})}/m$. Assume that for $\alpha_{ij} \geq 1$ the element $a_{ij}^{(k)}/m$ ($1 \leq k \leq \alpha_{ij}$) sits in the row $i + \mu(k, i, j)n$ and the column $j + \nu(k, i, j)n$ in the matrix $A \otimes J_m$. We assume that no two elements are placed in the same position. Let us call such a choice of positions a configuration. Two configurations considered to be equal if for each $\alpha_{ij} \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq \alpha_{ij}$ the positions of the elements $a_{ij}^{(k)}/m$ coincide. Given a configuration one obtains a distinct configuration by interchanging rows (columns) i and j , where $i \equiv j \pmod{n}$. It is easy to see that one can obtain any admissible configuration from a given configuration by interchanging appropriate rows and columns. Obviously, the rows (columns) $i, i+n, \dots, i+(m-1)n$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) can be interchanged in $m!$ ways. Thus according to what we proved one has $(m!)^{2n}$ distinct configurations. Let us go back to the problem of determining the number of different ways to pick up the α_{ij} elements a_{ij}/m for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ (an admissible choice). Clearly any configuration gives rise to an admissible choice. We claim that to any admissible choice correspond $\prod_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}!$ distinct configurations. Indeed, for this choice, we have $\alpha_{ij}^{(\geq 1)}$ places occupied by a_{ij}/m . In these α_{ij} places we put $a_{ij}^{(1)}/m, \dots, a_{ij}^{(\alpha_{ij})}/m$. This can be done in $\alpha_{ij}!$ ways. Thus, to the given admissible choice correspond $\prod_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}!$ distinct configurations. Obviously, to two distinct admissible choices correspond distinct configurations. This demonstrates that the number of different ways to pick up α_{ij} elements $a_{ij}/m, i, j = 1, \dots, m$, from the matrix $A \otimes J_m$ such that any two elements are not on the same row or column, is equal to $(m!)^{2n} \left(\prod_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}! \right)^{-1}$. The

proof of the theorem is completed.

The permanent of a non-negative matrix can be estimated in terms of the permanent of $A \otimes J_m$ [1].

Theorem 2.2. Let s be a positive integer and $m = 2^s$. Then for any non-negative square matrix the following inequality holds

$$(2.8) \quad p(A) \geq [p(A \otimes J_m)]^{1/m} .$$

Proof. We prove first the inequality (2.8) for $m = 2$. From (2.4) it follows

$$(2.9) \quad p(A \otimes J_2) = \sum_{\alpha \in \phi_{n,2}} \prod_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}} / \alpha_{ij}! .$$

On the other hand

$$(2.10) \quad p(A)^2 = \sum_{\sigma, \eta \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{j\eta(j)} .$$

Let $\alpha \in \phi_{n,2}$. According to (2.3) $\alpha = p_\sigma + p_\eta$. Thus

$$(2.11) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{j\eta(j)} = \prod_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}} .$$

Therefore, the coefficient of the term $\prod_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}}$ in $p(A)^2$ is a positive integer. The coefficient of this term in $p(A \otimes J_2)$ never exceeds 1. This establishes the inequality (2.8) for $m = 2$. Now the general case easily follows by induction. Indeed, suppose that (2.8) holds. Then

$$(2.12) \quad p((A \otimes J_m) \times J_2)^{1/2m} \leq p(A \otimes J_m)^{1/m} \leq p(A) .$$

It is left to note that the tensor product is associative and

$$(2.13) \quad J_m \otimes J_2 = J_{2m} .$$

End of proof.

Let A be an $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix. Suppose that the van der Waerden

conjecture holds. Then

$$(2.14) \quad p(A \otimes J_m)^{1/m} \geq [(nm)! / (nm)^{nm}]^{1/m} .$$

In what follows we estimate $p(A \otimes J_m)$ from above.

Lemma 2.1. Let $A = (a_{ij})_1^n$ be a non-negative matrix. Then

$$(2.15) \quad p(A \otimes J_m) \leq \frac{(m!)^n}{m^{mn}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \right)^m .$$

Proof. Consider the expression

$$(2.16) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \right)^m = m! \sum_{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n = m} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{\beta_j} / \beta_j! .$$

Choosing $\beta_j = \alpha_{ij}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, we get that the coefficient of the term $\prod_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}}$ in the

expansion (2.16) is $m! / \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}!$. Expanding the expression

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{(m!)^n}{m^{mn}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \right)^m$$

we see that the term $\prod_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}}$, $\alpha \in \Phi_{n,m}$, appears in (2.17) with the coefficient

$\frac{(m!)^{2n}}{m^{mn}} \prod_{i,j=1}^n (\alpha_{ij}!)^{-1}$. As the expansion of the term (2.17) contains only non-negative terms from the identity (2.4) we deduce the inequality (2.15).

Thus, if A is a stochastic matrix the inequality (2.15) implies

$$(2.18) \quad p(A \otimes J_m)^{1/m} \leq [(m!)^n / m^{mn}]^{1/m} .$$

Note that if $A = P_\theta$ then the equality sign holds in (2.18). Recall the well known Stirling formula (e.g. [2, p.52])

$$(2.19) \quad n! = \sqrt{2\pi n} n^n e^{-n} e^{\theta_n/12n}, \quad 0 < \theta_n < 1 .$$

Thus, if the van der Waerden conjecture holds by combining (2.14) and (2.18) with the Stirling formula we obtain

$$(2.20) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(A \otimes J_m)^{1/m} = e^{-n}$$

for any doubly stochastic matrix A . We shall prove the equality above in the next section, without assuming the validity of the van der Waerden conjecture. Of course, once (2.20) is established in view of Theorem 2.2 one deduces the inequality

$$(2.21) \quad p(A) \geq e^{-n}, \quad A \in \Omega_n.$$

Vice versa, (2.21) implies

$$(2.22) \quad p(A \otimes J_m)^{1/m} \geq e^{-n}.$$

Combining (2.22) with (2.18) we obtain again the equality (2.20). This manifests the equivalence of the relations (2.20) and (2.21).

3. The main result.

Lemma 3.1. Let n and r be fixed positive integers. Then there exists two sequences of positive numbers $\{\epsilon_m\}_1^\infty, \{\delta_m\}_1^\infty$ tending to zero such that for any non-negative r integers satisfying the equality

$$(3.1) \quad k_1 + \dots + k_r = m$$

the following inequality holds

$$(3.2) \quad n^{nm} (1 + \delta_m)^{nm} \geq \frac{(nk_1)! \dots (nk_r)!}{(k_1!)^n \dots (k_r!)^n} \geq n^{nm} (1 - \epsilon_m)^{nm}, \quad (\epsilon_m < 1)$$

Proof. According to Stirling's formula (2.19)

$$\sqrt{2\pi} e j^{j+1/2} / e^j \geq j! \geq \sqrt{2\pi} j^{j+1/2} / e^j$$

for any $j \geq 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$1 \leq k_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, s, \quad k_i = 0, \quad i = s+1, \dots, r$$

Thus

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{(nk_1)! \dots (nk_r)!}{(k_1!)^n \dots (k_r!)^n} = \frac{(nk_1)! \dots (nk_s)!}{(k_1!)^n \dots (k_s!)^n} \geq \frac{(nk_1)^{nk_1+1/2} \dots (nk_s)^{nk_s+1/2}}{((2\pi)^{(n-1)/2} e^n)^s (k_1)^{n(k_1+1/2)} \dots (k_s)^{n(k_s+1/2)}}$$

$$\frac{n^{mn+s/2}}{e^{ns} [(2\pi)^s k_1 \dots k_s]^{(n-1)/2}} \geq \frac{n^{mn+s/2}}{[e^n (2\pi m/s)^{(n-1)/2}]^s}$$

The last part of the above inequality follows from the obvious fact

$$k_1 \dots k_s \leq [(k_1 + \dots + k_s)/s]^s = (m/s)^s$$

We also have

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{(nk_1)! \dots (nk_r)!}{(k_1!)^n \dots (k_r!)^n} \leq \frac{e^s (nk_1)^{nk_1+1/2} \dots (nk_s)^{nk_s+1/2}}{(2\pi)^{(n-1)s/2} (k_1)^{n(k_1+1/2)} \dots (k_s)^{n(k_s+1/2)}} =$$

$$\frac{e^{s mn+s/2}}{[(2\pi)^s k_1 \dots k_s]^{(n-1)/2}} \leq \frac{e^{s mn+s/2}}{[(2\pi)^s (m-s+1)]^{(n-1)/2}} .$$

Here we used the inequality

$$k_1 \dots k_s \geq (m-s+1)$$

since k_1, \dots, k_s are positive integers which sum up to m . Clearly the relations

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{1+s/2nm}}{[e^n (2\pi m/s)^{(n-1)/2}]^{s/nm}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{s/nm} n^{1+s/2nm}}{[(2\pi)^s (m-s+1)]^{(n-1)/2nm}} = n$$

prove the lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let p_1, \dots, p_r be non-negative integers such that

$$(3.6) \quad p_1 + \dots + p_r = nm .$$

Then there exist non-negative integers q_1, \dots, q_r with the following properties

$$(3.7) \quad q_1 + \dots + q_r = m ,$$

$$(3.8) \quad |p_j - nq_j| < n, \quad j = 1, \dots, r$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{(nm)!}{p_1! \dots p_r!} < \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{r-1} \frac{(nm)!}{(nq_1)! \dots (nq_r)!} .$$

Proof. By rearranging the indices we may assure that

$$(3.10) \quad p_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k ,$$

$$p_j \equiv 0 \pmod{n}, \quad j = k+1, \dots, r .$$

In case that $k = 0$ (3.9) is trivial. Assume that $k \geq 2$. Without loss of generality we

assume that

$$(3.11) \quad p_1 \leq p_2 \quad .$$

Let

$$(3.12) \quad p_1 = nq_1 + t_1, \quad p_2 = n(q_1+1) - t_2, \quad 1 \leq t_1, \quad t_2 < n \quad .$$

Suppose that

$$(3.13) \quad t_1 \leq t_2 \quad .$$

Then

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{p_1! p_2!}{(nq_1)! (p_2+t_1)!} = \frac{(nq_1+1) \dots (nq_1+t_1)}{[n(q_1+1)-t_2+1] \dots [n(q_1+1)-(t_2-t_1)]} \quad .$$

Noting that the function $(nx+a)/(nx+b)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if $0 \leq a \leq b$ we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{p_1! p_2!}{(nq_1)! (p_2+t_1)!} \geq \frac{t_1!}{(n-t_2+1) \dots [n-(t_2-t_1)]} \geq 1 / \binom{n}{t_1} \quad .$$

Recalling the well known inequality

$$\binom{n}{t_1} \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$$

one deduces

$$(3.16) \quad 1/(p_1! p_2!) \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} / [(nq_1)! (p_2+t_1)!] \quad .$$

Let

$$(3.17) \quad p'_j = p_{j+2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-2, \quad p'_{k-1} = p_2+t_1, \quad p'_k = nq_1, \quad p'_j = p_j, \quad j = k+1, \dots, r \quad .$$

According (3.16) we proved

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{(nm)!}{p_1! \dots p_r!} \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(nm)!}{p'_1! \dots p'_r!} \quad .$$

In case that $t_2 < t_1$, we replace p'_{k-1} and p'_k given by (3.17) by $p_1 - t_2$ and $n(q_1 + 1)$ respectively and (3.18) is still valid. Note that $p'_1 + \dots + p'_r = nm$ and at most $k-1$ numbers out of p'_1, \dots, p'_r are not divisible by n . Continuing the procedure above we obtain the inequality (3.9).

Lemma 3.3. Let

$$(3.19) \quad 0 < \alpha_r \leq \alpha_{r-1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1 .$$

Then

$$(3.20) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j \right)^{nm} \leq \alpha_r^{-(n-1)r} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{r-1} (2n-1)^r \times \\ \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_r = m} \frac{(nm)!}{(nk_1)! \dots (nk_r)!} \alpha_1^{nk_1} \dots \alpha_r^{nk_r} .$$

Proof. Recall that

$$(3.21) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j \right)^{nm} = \sum_{p_1 + \dots + p_r = nm} \frac{(nm)!}{p_1! \dots p_r!} \alpha_1^{p_1} \dots \alpha_r^{p_r} .$$

Consider a term

$$(3.22) \quad \frac{(nm)!}{p_1! \dots p_r!} \alpha_1^{p_1} \dots \alpha_r^{p_r} .$$

According to Lemma 3.2 there exist positive integers q_1, \dots, q_r such that (3.7) - (3.9) hold. As $\alpha_j \leq 1$ we also have

$$(3.23) \quad \alpha_1^{p_1} \dots \alpha_r^{p_r} \leq (\alpha_1^{-(n-1)q_1})^{nq_1} \dots (\alpha_r^{-(n-1)q_r})^{nq_r} \leq \alpha_r^{-(n-1)r} (\alpha_1^{nq_1} \dots \alpha_r^{nq_r}) .$$

So

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{(nm)!}{p_1! \dots p_r!} \alpha_1^{p_1} \dots \alpha_r^{p_r} \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{r-1} \frac{(nm)!}{(nq_1)! \dots (nq_r)!} \alpha_r^{-(n-1)r} \alpha_1^{nq_1} \dots \alpha_r^{nq_r} .$$

For a fixed r integers q_1, \dots, q_r we can have at most $(2n-1)^r$ types of r integers

p_1, \dots, p_r satisfying (3.8). Thus, by using the inequality (3.24) for each summand appearing in (3.21) we establish the lemma.

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 3.1. Let A be an $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix. Then

$$(3.25) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(A \otimes J_m)^{1/m} = e^{-n} .$$

Proof. From the classical Birkhoff theorem (e.g. [4]) it follows that

$$(3.26) \quad A = \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j P_{\sigma_j}, \quad \alpha_j > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, r, \quad \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j = 1 .$$

Without loss of generality one may assume that $\{\alpha_j\}_1^r$ is a decreasing sequence, i.e. (3.19) holds. We claim that

$$(3.27) \quad p(A \otimes J_m) \geq \frac{(m!)^{2n}}{m^{mn}} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_r = m} \frac{\alpha_1^{nk_1} \dots \alpha_r^{nk_r}}{(k_1!)^n \dots (k_r!)^n} .$$

Indeed, let k_1, \dots, k_r be r non-negative integers which sum up to m . Consider

$$(3.28) \quad \beta = \sum_{\ell=1}^r k_{\ell} P_{\sigma_{\ell}} \in \Phi_{n,m} .$$

From the expansion (2.4) for the permanent of $p(A \otimes J_m)$ it follows that one has a term of the form

$$(3.29) \quad \frac{(m!)^{2n}}{m^{mn}} \prod_{i,j=1}^r a_{ij}^{\beta_{ij}} / \beta_{ij}!$$

Recall that $P_{\sigma} = (\delta_{\sigma(i)j})_1^n$. So

$$(3.30) \quad \beta_{ij} = \sum_{\ell=1}^r k_{\ell} \delta_{\sigma_{\ell}(i)j} ,$$

$$(3.31) \quad a_{ij} = \sum_{s=1}^r \alpha_s \delta_{\sigma_s(i)j} .$$

Thus, the multinomial expansion of a_{ij}^{β} contains the term

$$(3.32) \quad (\beta_{ij})! \frac{\alpha_1^{k_1 \delta_{\sigma_1(i)j}} \dots \alpha_r^{k_r \delta_{\sigma_r(i)j}}}{(k_1 \delta_{\sigma_1(i)j})! \dots (k_r \delta_{\sigma_r(i)j})!} .$$

This implies at once that the expansion of (3.29) contains a term of the form

$$(3.33) \quad \frac{(m!)^{2n}}{m^{mn}} \prod_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\alpha_1^{k_1 \delta_{\sigma_1(i)j}} \dots \alpha_r^{k_r \delta_{\sigma_r(i)j}}}{(k_1 \delta_{\sigma_1(i)j})! \dots (k_r \delta_{\sigma_r(i)j})!} = \frac{(m!)^{2n}}{m^{mn}} \frac{\alpha_1^{nk_1} \dots \alpha_r^{nk_r}}{(k_1!)^n \dots (k_r!)^n} .$$

As the multinomial expansion of a_{ij}^{β} contains only positive summands from (2.4) and (3.33) we obtain the inequality (3.27).

Consider the identity

$$(3.34) \quad \frac{\alpha_1^{nk_1} \dots \alpha_r^{nk_r}}{(k_1!)^n \dots (k_r!)^n} = \frac{(nk_1)! \dots (nk_r)!}{(k_1!)^n \dots (k_r!)^n} \frac{\alpha_1^{nk_1} \dots \alpha_r^{nk_r}}{(nk_1)! \dots (nk_r)!} .$$

According to Lemma 3.1

$$(3.35) \quad \frac{\alpha_1^{nk_1} \dots \alpha_r^{nk_r}}{(k_1!)^n \dots (k_r!)^n} \geq n^{nm(1-\epsilon_m)^{nm}} \frac{\alpha_1^{nk_1} \dots \alpha_r^{nk_r}}{(nk_1)! \dots (nk_r)!} .$$

From (3.27) and (3.35) it follows

$$(3.36) \quad p(A \otimes J_m) \geq \frac{(m!)^{2n} n^{nm(1-\epsilon_m)^{nm}}}{m^{mn} (mn)!} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_r = m} (nm)! \frac{\alpha_1^{nk_1} \dots \alpha_r^{nk_r}}{(nk_1)! \dots (nk_r)!} .$$

Applying the inequality (3.20) and noting that $\sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j = 1$ we finally deduce

$$(3.37) \quad p(A \otimes J_m) \geq \frac{(m!)^{2n} n^{nm(1-\epsilon_m)^{nm}}}{m^{mn} (mn)!} \alpha_r^{(n-1)r} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{-(r-1)} (2n-1)^{-r} .$$

Using Stirling's formula and the fact that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_m = 0$ we get

$$(3.38) \quad \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(A \otimes J_m)^{1/m} \geq e^{-n} .$$

On the other hand the inequality (2.18) implies

$$(3.39) \quad \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(A \otimes J_m)^{1/m} \leq e^{-n} .$$

The above two inequalities establish the theorem.

Combine Theorems 2.2 and 3.1 to deduce

Theorem 3.2. Let A be an $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix. Then

$$(3.40) \quad p(A) \geq e^{-n} .$$

We conclude our paper by an application of the inequality (3.40) to the problem of Marshall Hall (unpublished). The problem is to estimate from below the permanent of 0 - 1 matrix having exactly three 1 in each row and column. In what follows we consider a larger class of matrices.

Corollary 3.1. Let α be an $n \times n$ matrix which is a sum of three permutation matrices. That is α belongs to the set $\phi_{n,3}$. Then

$$(3.41) \quad p(\alpha) \geq \left(\frac{3}{e}\right)^n .$$

A lower bound known before was n [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bang, On matrixfunctioner som med et numerisk lille deficit viser v.d. Waerdens permanenthypotese, preprint.
- [2] W. Feller, An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Vol. I, J. Wiley & Sons (1958).
- [3] S. Friedland, A study of the van der Waerden conjecture and its generalizations, Lin. and Multilin. Alg. 6 (1978), 123-143.
- [4] M. Marcus and H. Minc, A survey of matrix theory and matrix inequalities, Prindle, Weber and Schmidt (1964).
- [5] R. Sinkhorn, Concerning a conjecture of Marshall Hall, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 197-201.
- [6] B. L. van der Waerden, Aufgabe 45, Iber Deutsch. Math.-Verein, 35 (1927), 117.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER #1882 ✓	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A LOWER BOUND FOR THE PERMANENT OF A DOUBLY STOCHASTIC MATRIX		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report - no specific reporting period
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Shmuel Friedland		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAG29-75-C-0024 ✓
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of ✓ 610 Walnut Street Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Work Unit Number 4 - Probability, Statistics and Combinatorics
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709		12. REPORT DATE September 1978
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 15
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Permanents, van der Waerden conjecture, Marshall Hall's problem.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) It is shown that the permanent of an $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix is not less than e^{-n} . <i>e to the -n power</i>		