AD-A063	613	ILLINO APPROX NOV 78	IS UNIV IMATE F A R Z	AT URB INITE-E AK; J N	ANA-CH	AMPAIGN METHOD OCK	DEPT C OF STR ARBRL-C	F AERON	ALYSIS DAADO	ETC OF NON- 5-76-C-	F/G 13, AXIE 0743 NL	/13 TC(U)	
	OF AD_A063613		nanare Banatan Sanatan Sanatan			energiane Energiane En					UNICED WATER OF THE STATE	The second secon	
	R	Ľ	<u>.52</u>					iii Tea				And And	
								END DATE FILMED 3-79 DDC					
1	-			_									/



78 12 14 224

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Secondary distribution of this report by originating or sponsoring activity is prohibited.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (when Date Entered)	E430108
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
CONTRACT REPORT ARBRL-CR-00387	
LITLE (and Submits)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVE
Analysis of Non-Axisymmetric Configurations .	Final Report 3#25 6427
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMB
AUTHOR(s)	BT CONTRACT OR GRANT, NUMBER(AL
Adam B./Zak	Contract No.
James N./Craddock (15	DAAD05-76-C-0743 W
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T
University of Illinois	
Aeronautical & Astronautical Engin. Dept. Urbana. IL 61801	-
I. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12 REPORT DATE
U. S. Army Armament Research & Development Comman U. S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory (DRDAR-F	NOVER PAGES
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	34
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
(12)34	UNCLASSIFIED
CT F	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADI
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different f	JAN 19 1979
B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	В
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe	B
 B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES B. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Stress Analysis Non-Axisymmetric (Finite Element Method Structural Analysis Elasticity 	r) Configurations
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Stress Analysis Non-Axisymmetric (Finite Element Method Structural Analysi Elasticity ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number 	r) Configurations
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Stress Analysis Non-Axisymmetric (Finite Element Method Structural Analysis Elasticity ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number of analysis is devel urations which are derived from axisymmetric geom nonaxisymmetric features in the circumferential of the present analysis is to develop a method which the fact that the stress and strain conditions in related to the corresponding axisymmetric solution the geometry is divided into several segments in 	m Configurations s coped for structural configurations s coped for structural configuration theres but contain definit direction. The purpose of a will take into considerat the these geometries will be on. To analyze these struct the r-0 plane. The
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Stress Analysis Non-Axisymmetric (Finite Element Method Structural Analysis Elasticity ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number A finite element method of analysis is devel urations which are derived from axisymmetric geom nonaxisymmetric features in the circumferential of the present analysis is to develop a method which the fact that the stress and strain conditions in related to the corresponding axisymmetric solutio the geometry is divided into several segments in	B configurations s configurations s coped for structural configuration there but contain definit direction. The purpose of a will take into considerat these geometries will be on. To analyze these struct the r- θ plane. The there ASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

axisymmetric displacements are obtained for each segment by solving a related axisymmetric configuration. A perturbation analysis is then performed to match the solutions at certain points between the segments, and obtain the perturbation displacements for the total structure. The total displacement is then the axisymmetric displacement plus the perturbation displacement. The stresses and strains are then calculated at any desired point once the total displacements are known. The method is applied to a number of examples to illustrate the accuracy of the method. The results for these examples are presented and discussed.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

I. INTRODUCTION

Many problems in structural analysis have an axisymmetric configuration. However, there are other problems which, while they are almost axisymmetric, have non-symmetric features which render purely axisymmetric solutions inapplicable. One approach to solving these types of problems would be to use a three-dimensional finite element formulation. However, if some advantage can be taken of the fact that these geometries will yield solutions somewhat similar to the axisymmetric cases, some efficiency could be gained over the purely three-dimensional approach. Consequently, a method that makes use of two-dimensional axisymmetric analysis, but also yields first order non-symmetric effects, is an appealing alternative.

This paper attempts to develop an analysis of the stress and displacement field of slightly non-axisymmetric bodies. This is done by obtaining the axisymmetric displacements for various parts of the body, and then forcing the displacements to match at certain points through a perturbation analysis. The axisymmetric displacements together with the perturbation displacements combine to yield the total displacements for each section of the body. Once the displacements are known, it is a simple matter to calculate stresses and strains at any desired point in the structure.

NTIS DIDC USANN HISTIFI	OUNCED	White (Buff St	Section Intion	100
BW BTS SU	BUTTER		NT 000	S
Dist	19884. 1	1.1.	i spe	CIAL
A				

II. METHOD OF ANALYSIS

The purpose of the present investigation is to develop an approximate method of analysis of elastic configurations whose geometry is almost axisymmetric, but has pronounced geometrical changes in the circumferential direction. The method of analysis which is proposed is based on the finite element numerical approach, and will attempt to make some use of the geometrical aspects of the two-dimensional axisymmetric analysis. (1), (2)* Although it is not necessary for the proposed method, let us for the purpose of discussion assume that the geometry repeats at some regular intervals in the circumferential direction and therefore, only one portion of the total structure need be considered. Of course, if there is no repetitions then the total structure would be analyzed. Consider now one such portion as illustrated in Figure 1. For the purpose of analysis the configuration shown in Figure 1 is divided into a set of finite elements whose quadrilateral shape is defined in the r-z plane. (2) At this point direct use can be made of a suitable mesh generation procedure in a two-dimensional plane.⁽¹⁾ On each face of this segment the finite element nodes are assumed to correspond to the nodes in the adjacent segments.

The first step is to obtain the axisymmetric solution for each of these segments. In addition, the stiffness and force matrices for the non-axisymmetric solution are calculated and stored. In general, the displacements generated by the axisymmetric solution will not be compatible with the displacements generated for an adjacent segment. Consequently, some changes need to be made in these displacements to obtain the real situation. The total displacement is now represented by two components. If we call the displacement u we can write:

$$\{u\} = \{u_a\} + \{u_p\}$$
(1)

where $\{u_a\}$ is the displacement from the axisymmetric solution and $\{u_p\}$ is the additional perturbation displacement.

For each side of a segment the perturbation displacements are expressed as follows:

$$u_{r} = a_{1} + a_{2}r + z(a_{3} + a_{4}r)$$

$$u_{z} = a_{5} + a_{6}r + z(a_{7} + a_{8}r)$$

$$u_{\theta} = a_{9} + a_{10}r + z(a_{11} + a_{12}r)$$

where subscripts r, z, θ refer to coordinates in Figure 1.

* Superscripts refer to references

(2)

Similar expressions can be written for the other side of the segment.

If the total displacements are forced to match for two adjacent segments at four nodal points, a sufficient number of equations to be able to solve for the coefficients of the perturbation displacement equations will be obtained. These nodes, where the solutions match are called connecting nodes. Then for each segment:

$$\{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{p}}}\} = [\mathbf{R}] \{\mathbf{p}\}$$
(3)

where $\{u_{c_p}\}\$ is the perturbation displacement at the connecting nodes, [R] is matrix of the connecting nodal coordinates, and $\{p\}\$ is the unknown perturbation coefficients. Solving for $\{p\}\$ yields:

$$\{p\} = [R]^{-1} \{u_{c_{p}}\}$$
(4)

Also, for each quadrilateral element in the segment:

$$\{u_{p}\} = [G] \{p\}$$
 (5)

where [G] is the matrix of nodal coordinates for the element. Substituting equation (4) into equation (5) yields:

$$\{u_{p}\} = [G] [R]^{-1} \{u_{c_{p}}\}$$
 (6)

Also for each element:

$$\{f\} = [S_1] (\{u_a\} + \{u_p\})$$
(7)

Where $[S_1]$ is the non-axisymmetric stiffness matrix and $\{f\}$ is the internal force vector. Now, applying the principal of virtual work to equation (7) gives:

$$\delta u_p^T \{f\} = \delta u_p^T [S_1](\{u_a\} + \{u_p\})$$
(8)

From equation (6), it can be seen that:

$$\delta u_p^{T} = \delta u_{c_p}^{T} [R]^{-1} [G]^{T}$$
(9)

Substituting this into equation (8) and summing the contribution of all the elements in the segment, defines the following:

$$W_{I} = \delta u_{c_{p}}^{T} \sum [[R]^{-1}[G]^{T}[S_{1}] \{u_{a}\} + [R]^{-1}[G]^{T}[S_{1}][R]^{-1} \{u_{c_{p}}\})$$
(10)

Also, there is contributions from the external forces. This can be written as:

$$\delta u_p^T \{f_E\} = \delta u_p^T \{P_1\}$$
(11)

where $\{P_1\}$ is the external force vector for an individual element. The same process of substitution and summing over all the elements defines the following:

$$W_{E} = \delta u_{c_{p}}^{T} \sum [R]^{-1} [G]^{T} \{P_{1}\}$$
(12)

Also there will be a contribution from the concentrated loads. This contribution can be stated as:

$$\delta u_p^T \{f_c\} = \delta_{u_p}^T \{g\}$$
⁽¹³⁾

where $\{g\}$ is the concentrated force vector for the element. Then, for the whole segment:

$$W_{c} = \delta u_{c_{p}}^{T} \sum [R]^{-1} [A]^{T} \{g\}$$
(14)

where [A] is a matrix relating the perturbation displacements at the nodes where the concentrated forces are applied to the perturbation coefficient matrix. That is:

$$\{u_{p}\} = [A] \{p\}$$
 (15)

at nodes where concentrated forces are applied.

Balancing the virtual work done by all the forces gives:

$$W_{\rm E} + W_{\rm C} = W_{\rm I} \tag{16}$$

Here, the following substitutions can be made:

$$F_{E} = [R]^{-1^{T}} (\sum [G]^{T} \{P_{1}\} + [A]^{T} \{g\})$$

$$[S_{K}] = [R]^{-1^{T}} (\sum [G]^{T} [S_{1}] [G]) [R]^{-1}$$

$$\{F_{I}\} = [R]^{-1^{T}} (\sum [G]^{T} [S_{1}] \{u_{a}\})$$
(17)

where the $[R]^{-1}^{T}$ has been brought outside the summation since it is constant for the entire segment, and the summation is over all the elements in the segment. The terms in the summation can be easily calculated during the axisymmetric solution and later be multiplied by the $[R]^{-1}$ terms. Then $\{F_E\}$, $[S_K]$, and $\{F_T\}$ can be stored for each segment. These substitutions give:

$$[S_{K}] \{u_{c_{p}}\} + \{F_{I}\} = \{F_{E}\}$$
(18)

Since it is the total displacements that must match at the connecting nodes, equation (18) can be written as:

$$[S_{K}] \{u_{c_{T}}\} - [S_{K}]\{u_{c_{a}}\} + \{F_{I}\} = \{F_{E}\}$$
(19)

where {u } is the axisymmetric displacement at the connecting nodes and $\{u_{CT}\}$ is the ca total displacement at the connecting nodes. Everything is known^T except {u }. Combining terms in equation (19) yields: C_{T}

 $[S_{K}] \{u_{C_{T}}\} = \{F_{C}\}$ (20)

where $\{F_{C}\}$ is a combination of $\{F_{E}\}$, $\{F_{I}\}$, and $[S_{K}]$ $\{{}^{u}c_{a}\}$. There will be one matrix equation for each segment. Now $[S_{K}]^{K}$ can be assembled into a banded global stiffness matrix for the overall structure. Then appropriate boundary conditions can be applied to restrict the rigid body motion, preserve symmetries, or comply with external restraints. Then equation (20) can be solved for the $\{u_{c}\}$ vector, giving the total displacements at all of the connecting nodes.

Now it is a simple matter to work back from this point to obtain the stresses and strains for each element in each segment. For a given segment $\{u_{c_{T}}\}$ and $\{u_{c_{T}}\}$ are known and therefore, $\{u_{c_{T}}\}$ can be calculated from:

$$\{u_{c_{p}}\} = \{u_{c_{T}}\} - \{u_{c_{a}}\}$$
(21)

Then knowing $\{u_{c}\}$ and $[R]^{-1}$, $\{p\}$ can be obtained from equation (4). Then for each p element the perturbation displacements $\{u_{c}\}$ can be obtained from equation (5). Then knowing $\{u_{a}\}$ and $\{u_{p}\}$, it is easy p to get $\{u\}$ from equation (1).

At this point, simply apply the base finite element equations:

 $\{\varepsilon\} = [B] \{u\}$ $\{\sigma\} = [D] \{\varepsilon\}$ (22)

where [B] and [D] were calculated and saved from the axisymmetric solution, to obtain the stresses and strains for each element.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The first test of this method is that if the body is indeed completely axisymmetric, the perturbation analysis should give the original axisymmetric answers. This was tested on two examples; a spinning disk with a hole in the center, and a disk under internal pressure. In both cases the axisymmetric solution was duplicated.

The next example was a non-axisymmetric disk under internal pressure. The answers for this example were compared to answers obtained from a plane stress analysis. This example is basically a plane stress problem in the r- θ plane. The configuration that was analyzed is shown in Figure 3. Due to symmetry only one quarter of the total body was divided into six segments for the analysis, numbered as shown in Figure 3. The predominant stress for this example is $\sigma_{\theta\theta}$. Results of the perturbation analysis, plane-stress analysis and the axisymmetric analysis for all segments are shown in Table 1. It can be seen that the perturbation analysis gives close agreement with the plane stress solution.

The next example tested was a tube that was axisymmetric for half its length and had a cross-section as shown in Figure 3 for the other half of its length. The only test of accuracy that could be made on this example was to see if the answers tended to the known limit solutions at each end. That is that near the end of the tube the answers for the nonaxisymmetric part tended to those presented in Table 1, and at the other end the answers tended toward the axisymmetric solution. These results for all segments are presented in Table 2. From this we can see that the stresses vary from end to end and that they are approaching the limiting values for the case of the tube having no variation in the z direction.

IV. CONCLUSION

From the test examples used, it can be concluded that this method of solution can handle non-axisymmetric geometries. Also, the accuracy seems to be sufficient for bodies that have geometry varying in the r- θ plane, the r-z plane or both. Therefore, this method presents a simpler alternative to a purely three dimensional analysis, and for a large class of problems this would appear to have a great advantage in terms of saving in the computer execution time.

REFERENCES

- A. R. Zak, "Numerical Analysis of Laminated Orthotropic Composite Structures," TR AAE 75-2, University of Illinois, March 1975.
- O. C. Zienkieviz, "The Finite Element Method in Engineering Science," McGraw-Hill, London, (1971).

STRESS $\sigma_{\theta\theta}$						
Radial Distance	Perturbation	Plane Stress	Axisymmetric			
6.25 8.75 11.25 13.75	1164 505 208 46	1216 540 215 20	857 491 345 271	Segment 1		
6.25 8.75 11.25 13.75	1168 505 207 45	1205 558 217 11	857 491 345 271	Segment 2		
6.25 8.75 11.25 13.75	1175 507 206 42	1178 612 209 8	857 491 345 271	Segment 3		
6.25 8.75	1065 916	1130 868	1198 764	Segment 4		
6.25 8.75	1074 909	1133 865	1198 764	Segment 5		
6.25 8.75	1078 906	1133 864	1198 764	Segment 6		

TABLE 1.	Stress σ_{AA} from various methods of analysis of a disk wit	h
	internal pressure; p = 1000 Pa.	

	STRESS $\sigma_{\theta\theta}$						
Radial	Axisymme	tric end	Nonaxisym	metric end			
Distance	Tube	Disk	Tube	Disk			
6.25 8.75 11.25 13.75	934 486 301 205	857 491 345 271	1010 503 284 108	1164 505 208 46	Segment 1		
6.25 8.75 11.25 13.75	909 484 314 229	857 491 345 271	1043 505 270 143	1164 505 208 46	Segment 2		
6.25 8.75 11.25 13.75	847 480 339 273	857 491 345 271	1105 511 244 97	1164 505 208 46	Segment 3		
6.25 8.75 11.25 13.75	799 456 328 265	857 491 345 271	1067 878	1078 906	Segment 4		
6.25 8.75 11.25 13.75	757 485 394 354	857 491 345 271	1127 832	1078 906	Segment 5		
6.25 8.75 11.25 13.75	755 479 386 345	857 491 345 271	1155 811	1078 906	Segment 6		

TABLE 2. Stress $\sigma_{\theta\theta}$ from perturbation analysis for a nonaxisymmetric under internal pressure ; p = 1000 Pa.



Figure 1. Configuration to be analyzed is divided into segments by plane sections in the r-z plane.







Figure 3. End view of nonaxisymmetric tube showing segment numbering system.

APPENDIX A

1. SEGMENT CONTROL CARD

Format (2110)

Columns 1-10 NTYPS (Number of different types of segments; 4 maximum) 11-20 NTOTS (Number of total segments; 8 maximum)

2. SEGMENT DATA CARDS

One card for each type of segment.

Format (F10.5, I10)

Columns 1-10 THETA (Angle subtended by segment) 11-20 NST (The number of segments of each type; 5 maximum)

3. SEGMENT NUMBERING CARDS

One card for each type of segment.

:

Format (5110)

Columns 1-10 NUMS (1) (Reference numbers for segments of

: each type in

41-50 NUMS (5) global numbering system; there can be one to five numbers per card depending on how many segments there are of each type.)

CONNECTING NODES CARDS

One card for each segment. These cards must be in order according to the global numbering system for segments.

Format (8I10)

Columns

1-10 NPC (1) (Nodal number for connecting nodes
: according to the axisymmetric
71-80 NPC (8) grid for the segment).

Cards 5-18 must be repeated for each different type of segment. These are the control cards for the axisymmetric solution.

5. TITLE CARD

Format (20A4)

Columns 1-80 TITLE (Title for particular case)

6. CONTROL CARD

Format (615, F5.0, 515)

Columns	1-5	NNLA (Number of nonlinear approximations; NNLA = 1
		for this version of the program)
	6-10	NUMTC (Number of temperature cards; if -2, a
		constant temperature is specified)
	11-15	NUMMAT (Number of different materials; 6 maximum)
	16-20	NUMPC (Number of boundary pressure cards; 200 maximum)
	21-25	NUMSC (Number of boundary shear cards; 200 maximum)
	26-30	NUMST (Number of boundary shear cards in tangential
		direction; 200 maximum)
	31-35	TREF (Reference temperature)
	36-40	INERT (This parameter decides if inertia loads
		will be present, INERT = 0 means zero values of axial
		acceleration, and angular acceleration and velocity
		for each load increment)
		16

51-55	INCF	(If	INCF	=	0,	then	sur	face	load	s fo	or each	n time
		inc	remen	nt	wil	11 be	the	same	as	for	first	increment)
56-60	IPLOT	(P)	lot pa	ara	amet	ter, 1	if	plot	req	uire	ed)	

7. MESH GENERATION CONTROL CARD

Format (515)

Columns	1-5	MAXI (Maximum value of I in mesh; 25 maximum)
	6-10	MAXJ (Maximum value of J in mesh; 100 maximum)
	11-15	NSEG (Number of line segment cards)
	16-20	NBC (Number of boundary condition cards)
	21-25	NMTL (Number of material block cards)

8. LINE SEGMENT CARDS

The order of line segment cards is immaterial except when plots are requested; in this case, the line segment cards must define the perimeter of the solid continuously. The order of line segment cards defining internal straight lines is always irrelevant.

Format (3(213, 2F8.3), 15)

Columns	1-3	I coordinate of 1st point
	4-6	J coordinate of 1st point
	7-14	R coordinate of 1st point
	15-22	Z coordinate of 1st point
	23-25	I coordinate of 2nd point
	26-28	J coordinate of 2nd point
	29-36	R coordinate of 2nd point
	37-44	Z coordinate of 2nd point
	45-47	I coordinate of 3rd point
	48-50	J coordinate of 3rd point
	51-58	R coordinate of 3rd point
	59-66	Z coordinate of 3rd point
	67-71	Line segment type parameter

If the number in column 71 is

0	Point (input only 1st point)
1	straight line (input only 1st and 2nd points)
2	straight line as an internal diagonal (input only
	lst and 2nd points)
3	circular arc specified by 1st and 3rd points at the

ends of the arc and 2nd point at the mid-point of the arc

circular arc specified by 1st and 2nd points at the ends of the arc with the coordinates of the center of the arc given as the 3rd point (delete I and J for 3rd point)

straight line as a boundary diagonal for which I of 1st point is minimum for its row and/or I of 2nd point is minimum for its row (input only 1st and 2nd points)

straight line as a boundary diagonal for which I of 1st point and/or 2nd point is maximum for its row (input only 1st and 2nd points)

NOTE: In specifying a circular arc, the points are ordered such that a counterclockwise direction about the center is obtained upon moving along the boundary.

9. BOUNDARY CONDITION CARDS

Each card assigns a particular boundary condition to a block of elements bounded by I1, I2, J1, J2. For a line I1 = I2 or J1 = J2. For a point I1 = I2 and J1 = J2.

Format (415, 110, 3F10.0)

4

5

Columns	1-5	Mininum I
	6-10	Maximum I
	11-15	Minimum J
	16-20	Maximum J
	21-30	Boundary condition code
	31-40	Radial boundary condition code, XR
	41-50	Axial boundary condition, XZ
	51-60	Tangential boundary condition XT
If the nu	mber in Co	olumns 21-30 is
	XR is t	the specified R-load and
0	XZ is t	he specified Z-load and
	XT is t	he specified T-load
	XR is t	the specified R-displacement and

1	XZ is the	specified	Z-load and
	XT is the	specified	T-load
	XR is the	specified	R-load and
2	XZ is the	specified	Z-displacement and
	XT is the	specified	T-load
	XR is the	specified	R-displacement and
3	XZ is the	specified	Z-displacement and
	XT is the	specified	T-load
4	XZ is the	specified	Z-load and
	XT is the	specified	T-displacement
	XR is the	specified	R-displacement and
5	XZ is the	specified	Z-load and
	XT is the	specified	T-displacement
	XR is the	specified	R-load and
6	XZ is the	specified	Z-displacement and
	XT is the	specified	T-displacement
	XR is the	specified	R-displacement and
7	XZ is the	specified	Z-displacement and
	XT is the	specified	T-displacement

NOTE: All loads are considered to be total forces acting on one radian segment.

10. MATERIAL BLOCK ASSIGNMENT CARD

Each card assigns a material definition number to a block of elements defined by the I, J coordinates.

Format (515, 2F10.0, 215)

Columns	1-5	Material definition number (1 through 6)
	6-10	Minimum I
	11-15	Maximum I
	16-20	Minimum J
	21-25	Maximum J
	26-35	Material principal property inclination angle BETA
		in R-z plane
	36-45	Material principal property inclination angle ALPHA
		in N-T plane

46-50 IANG (If IANG = 0, then ALPHA is same for total material block. If IANG = 1, the ALPHA varies in sign in the I direction from element to element every NANG elements. This will allow for equal but opposite helical angles.)
51-55 NANG (Number of elements in the I direction with the same ALPHA).

11. PLOT TITLE CARD*

Format (20A4)

Columns 1-80 Title (Title printed under each plot)

12. PLOT GENERATION INFORMATION CARD*

Format (2	F10.0)						
Columns	1-10	RMAX	(Maximum	r	coordinate	of	mesh)
	11-20	ZMAX	(Maximum	z	coordinate	of	mesh)

*NOTE: Use only if IPLOT = 1 (plot required)

13. TEMPERATURE FIELD INFORMATION CARDS

If NUMTC in columns 6-10 of the CONTROL CARD is greater than 1, the temperature field is given on cards. One card must be supplied for each point for which a temperature is specified.

> Format (3F10.0) Columns 1-10 R coordinate 11-20 Z coordinate 21-30 Temperature

If NUMTC in columns 6-10 of the CONTROL CARD is -2, a constant temperature field is specified; the value is given on a single card.

Format (F10.0)

Columns 1-10 Temperature

14. MATERIAL PROPERTY INFORMATION CARDS

The following group of cards must be specified for each material (maximum of 6).

a. MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION CARD

Format (2I5, 2F1	.0.0)		
Columns 1-5		Material identification number		
	6-10	Number of temperatures for which properties		
		are given (12 maximum)		
	11-20	Mass density of material (if required)		
	21-30	Thermal expansion parameter (If 1, free		
		thermal expansions on the material property		
		cards; otherwise, coefficients of thermal		
		expansion are on the material property cards.)		

b. MATERIAL PROPERTY CARDS

Two cards are required for each temperature.

First Card

Format (7F10.0)	
Columns	1-10	Temperature
	11-20	Modulus of elasticity, E _N
	21-30	Modulus of elasticity, E _c
	31-40	Modulus of elasticity, E_{θ}
	41-50	Poisson's ratio, V _{NS}
	51-60	Poisson's ratio, ν _{Nθ}
	61-70	Poisson's ratio, $v_{S\theta}$

Second Card

Format (6F10.0)	
Columns	1-10	Shear Modulus, G _{NS}
	11-20	Shear Modulus, $G_{S\theta}$
	21-30	Shear Modulus, $G_{\theta N}$
	31-40	ant or an
	41-50	ast or as
	51-60	a _T T or a _T

15. INERTIA LOAD CARD

Format (3F10.0)

Starting with this input card and including the boundary force cards, this data is to be inputted as a block for each load step, that is NLINC times. There are the following exceptions to this:

- a) If INERT = 0, then this card is to be omitted completely (no inertia load).
- b) If INCI = 0, then this card is not repeated, but appears in first block only (the inertia loads are constant for each load step).
- c) If INCF = 0, then the following boundary pressure and shear cards are to be given only for the first block and not repeated again (the pressure and shear loads are constant for each load increment).

Columns

- 11-20 ANGVEL (angular velocity)
- 21-30 ANGACC (angular acceleration)

ACELZ (axial acceleration

16. BOUNDARY PRESSURE CARDS

One card is required for each boundary element which is subjected to a normal pressure, that is the number of these cards is NUMPC for each load increment.

Format (215, F10.0)

Columns 1-5 Nodal point M

1 - 10

- 6-10 Nodal point N
- 11-20 Normal pressure

As shown in the figure below, the boundary element must be on the left when progressing from M to N. Surface normal tension is input as a negative pressure.

17. BOUNDARY SHEAR CARDS

One card is required for each boundary element which is subjected to surface shear, that is, the number of these cards is NUMSC for each load increment.

Format (215, F10.0)

Columns 1-5 Nodal point M

6-10 Nodal point N

11-20 Surface shear

As shown in the figure below, the boundary element must be on the left when progressing from M to N. The positive sense of the shear is from M to N.

N M

18. BOUNDARY TRANSVERSE SHEAR CARDS

One card is required for each boundary element which is subject to transverse shear, that is, the number of these cards is NUMSC for each load increment. Format (215, F10.0)

Columns	1-5	Nodal	point	M
---------	-----	-------	-------	---

6-10 Nodal point N

11-20 Surface transverse shear

M

19. BOUNDARY CONDITION CONTROL CARD

Format (I5)

Columns 1-5 NRDF (Number of boundary conditions)

20. BOUNDARY CONDITION CARDS

There are NRDF of these cards.

Format (I10, F10.0)

Columns 1-10 NREQ (The number of the equation to be modified in the assembled matrix).

11-20 U (The actual boundary condition valve).

APPENDIX B

File Number	Useage
1-2	Used in axisymmetric solution
3	Saves product of $[G]^T$ $[S_1]$ for each element from one part of axisymmetric solution for later use.
7-14	These files are used sequentlially for each different segment (i.e. data for segment 1 is stored in file 7; for segment 2 in file 8, etc.). Data saved are {FE}, {FI}, [SK] and $\{u_c\}$, for use in the perturbation analysis
17	Saves the axisymmetric displacement vector and nodal point connections for each type of segment (i.e. data for segments type 1 is stored in file 17 first. Then data for segment type 2 is stored in file 17, etc.).
21-24	Saves [G], [CRZ], and [BS ₁] for each element of the segment. Data for each different type of segment goes in a different file. This data is used to calculate stresses and strains when the total displacements are known.
25	Saves $[R_1]^{-1}$ for each different segment in order.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

No. of Copies Organization

- 12 Commander Defense Documentation Center ATTN: DDC-TCA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314
- Director
 Defense Advanced Research
 Projects Agency
 ATTN: Tech Info
 1400 Wilson Boulevard
 Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 Director Defense Nuclear Agency ATTN: MAJ Spangler Arlington, VA 22209
- Commander
 US Army Materiel Development
 and Readiness Command
 ATTN: DRCDMD-ST, N. Klein
 5001 Eisenhower Avenue
 Alexandria, VA 22333
- Commander
 US Army Aviation Research
 and Development Command
 ATTN: DRSAV-E
 12th and Spruce Streets
 St. Louis, MO 63166
- Director
 US Army Air Mobility Research
 and Development Laboratory
 Ames Research Center
 Moffett Field, CA 94035
- 1 Commander US Army Electronics Research and Development Command Technical Support Activity ATTN: DELSD-L Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

No. of Copies

Organization

- 1 Commander US Army Communications Rsch and Development Command ATTN: DRDCO-PPA-SA Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
- 2 Commander US Army Missile Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDMI-R DRDMI-RBL Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
- 1 Commander US Army Missile Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSMI-AOM Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
- 1 Commander US Army Tank Automotive Research & Development Cmd ATTN: DRDTA-UL Warren, MI 48090
- 1 Commander US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L, Tech Lib Rock Island, IL 61299
- 2 Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-TSS (2 cys) Dover, NJ 07801
- 4 Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: Mr. V. Guadagno Mr. R. Davitt Dr. J. T. Frasier Mr. G. Demitrak Dover, NJ 07801

29

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

No. of Copies No. of

5 Commander US Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center ATTN: DRXMR-T, Mr. J. Bluhm DRXMR-T, Dr. D.Roylance DRXMR-T, Dr. A.F. Wilde Dr. J.Mescall DRXMR-ATL Watertown, MA 02172

Organization

1 Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL, Tech Lib White Sands Missile Range NM 88002

1 Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&D) Department of the Army Washington, DC 20310

HQDA (DAMA-ARP) 1 Washington, DC 20310

1 HQDA (DAMA-MS) Washington, DC 20310

1 Commander US Army Research Office ATTN: Dr. E. Saibel P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park NC 27709

1 Commander US Army BMD Advanced Technology Center ATTN: BMDATC-M, Mr. P. Boyd P. O. Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35807

Copies Organization

> 1 Office of Naval Research ATTN: Code ONR 439, N. Perrone 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217

- Commander 3 Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: AIR-604 Washington, DC 20360
- Commander 3 Naval Ordnance Systems Command ATTN: ORD-9132 Washington, DC 20360
- Commander 2 Naval Air Development Center, Johnsville Warminster, PA 18974
- 1 Commander Naval Missile Center Point Mugu, CA 93041
- 1 Commander & Director David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research & Development Ctr Bethesda, MD 20084
- 2 Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center Silver Spring, MD 20910
- 1 Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Code TX, Dr. W.G. Soper Dahlgren, VA 22448

Commander 3 Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Code 4057 Code 5114, E. Lundstrom Code 3813, M. Backman China Lake, CA 93555

No. of Copies

No. of

Copies

Organization

4 Commander Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Mr. W. J. Ferguson Dr. C. Sanday Dr. H. Pusey Dr. F. Rosenthal Washington, DC 20375

Organization

1 Superintendent Naval Postgraduate School ATTN: Dir of Lib Monterey, CA 93940

- 1 AFOSR (W. J. Walker) Bolling AFB, DC 20332
- 2 ADTC/DLJW (MAJ D. Matuska; LTC J. Osborn) Eglin AFB, FL 32542
- 1 AFFDL (FDT) Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 AFML/LLN (Dr. T. Nicholas) Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 2 ASD (XROT, Gerald Bennett; ENFTV, Matt Kolleck) Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Headquarters National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546
- 4 Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center Langley Station Hampton, VA 23365

 Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Manned Spacecraft Center ATTN: Lib Houston, TX 77058

- 1 Director Jet Propulsion Laboratory ATTN: Lib (TD) 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91103
- 3 Director Lawrence Livermore Laboratory ATTN: Dr. R. H. Toland, L-424 Dr. M. L. Wilkins Dr. R. Werne Livermore, CA 94550
- Aeronautical Research Assoc. of Princeton, Inc.
 Washington Road Princeton, NJ 08540
- 2 Aerospace Corporation ATTN: Mr. L. Rubin Mr. L. G. King 2350 E. El Segundo Blvd. El Segundo, CA 90009
- 1 Boeing Aerospace Company ATTN: Mr. R.G. Blaisdell (M.S. 40-25) Seattle, WA 98124
- Effects Technology, Inc. 5383 Hollister Avenue
 P. O. Box 30400
 Santa Barbara, CA 93105

No. c	of			
Copie	s	Orga	ani	zation
1	Falcon	R&D		
	ATTN:	Mr.	R.	Miller

2 Falcon R&D Thor Facility ATTN: Mr. D. Malick Mr. J. Wilson 696 Fairmount Avenue

Denver, CO 80223

1225 S. Huron Street

1 FMC Corporation Ordnance Engineering Div. San Jose, CA 95114

Baltimore, MD 21204

- 1 General Electric Company Armament Systems Dept. Burlington, VT 05401
- 1 President General Research Corporation ATTN: Lib McLean, VA 22101
- 1 Goodier Aerospace Corporation 1210 Massillon Road Akron, OH 44315
- 1 H. P. White Laboratory Bel Air, MD 21014
- 3 Honeywell, Inc. Government and Aerospace Products Division ATTN: Mr. J. Blackburn Dr. G. Johnson Mr. R. Simpson 600 Second Street, NE Hopkins, MN 55343
- Kaman Sciences Corporation ATTN: Dr. P. Snow
 1500 Garden of the Gods Road Colorado Springs, CO 80933

No. of

Copies Organization

- 1 Lockheed Corporation ATTN: Dr. C. E. Vivian Sunnyvale, CA 94087
- 1 Lockheed Huntsville ATTN: Dr. E. A. Picklesimer P. O. Box 1103 Huntsville, AL 35809
- 1 Materials Research Lab, Inc. 1 Science Road Glenwood, IL 60427
- 1 McDonnel Douglas Astronautics ATTN: Mail Station 21-2 Dr. J. Wall 5301 Bolsa Avenue Huntington Beach, CA 92647
- Pacific Technical Corporation ATTN: Dr. F. K. Feldmann 460 Ward Drive Santa Barbara, CA 93105
- 2 Physics International Company ATTN: Dr. D. Orphal Dr. E. T. Moore 2700 Merced Street San Leandro, CA 94577
- 1 Rockwell International Autometics Missile Systems Div ATTN: Dr. M. Chawla 4300 E. 5th Avenue Columbus, OH 43216
- 3 Sandia Laboratories ATTN: Dr. W. Herrmann Dr. L. Bertholf Dr. J. W. Nunziato Albuquerque, NM 87115

No. of		No. of	
Copies	Organization	Copies	Organization
1	Science Applications, Inc. 101 Continental Blvd, Suite 31 El Segundo, CA 90245	3	Stanford Research Institute ATTN: Dr. L. Seaman Dr. D. Curran
1	Science Applications, Inc. ATTN: G. Burghart 201 W. Dyer Rd (Unit B)		333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025
2	Systems, Science & Software ATTN: Dr. R. Sedgwick	2	Civil Engineering Department ATTN: Dr. D. A. DaDeppo Dr. R. Richard
	Ms. L. Hageman P. O. Box 1620		Tucson, AZ 85721
	La Jolla, CA 92038	4	University of California Los Alamos Scientific Lab
1	US Steel Corporation Research Center 125 Jamison Lane Monroeville, PA 15146		ATTN: Dr. R. Karpp Dr. J. Dienes Dr. L. Germain Dr. B. Germain
1	Drexel University Dept of Mechanical Engineering		P. O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550
	ATTN: Dr. P. C. Chou 32nd and Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19104	1	University of Dayton University of Dayton Research Institute ATTN: Mr. H. F. Swift
1	New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology		Dayton, OH 45405
	Terra Group Socorro, NM 87801	2	University of Delaware Dept of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: Prof. J. Vinson
1	Forrestal Research Center Aeronautical Engineering Lab Princeton University		Dean I. Greenfield Newark, DE 19711
	ATTN: Dr. Eringen Princeton, NJ 08540	1	University of Denver Denver Research Institute ATTN: Mr. R. F. Recht
3	Southwest Research Institute Dept of Mechanical Sciences ATTN: Dr. U. Lindholm Dr. W. Baker Dr. P. H. Francis 8500 Culebra Road		2390 S. University Boulevard Denver, CO 80210
	San Antonio, TX 78228		

No. of Copies

ies Organization

 University of Illinois Aeronautical and Astronautical Engineering Department
 101 Transportation Bldg.
 ATTN: Prof. A. R. Zak Urbana, IL 61801

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Dir, USAMSAA Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: DRSTE-SG-H Dir, USAMTD ATTN: Mr. W. Pless Mr. S. Keithley