	I OF   AD <sub>AO63588</sub>		TR-16			estinos			Microsofter,	
							Financia Jaran			
	Spectra and a second se	The second secon								
-	National National National	÷		Normality of the second s						And a second
*		$\begin{array}{c} \substack{ $		Barris Tarris Martin Ma				Harrison		END DATE FILMED 3-75 DDC



AD A 0 63 58

00

DOC FILE COPY

SRI

REPORT	DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE	BEFORE COMPL	
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report	16 /	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	the second se	the second s
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)			5. TYPE OF REPORT &	PERIOD COVERE
The Message Handl	er of ACS.1			
			6. PERFORMING ORG.	REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)			8. CONTRACT OR GRA	
Daniel Sagalowicz			N00014-77-C-0	1
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZA	/	RESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMEN AREA & WORK UN	IT, PROJECT, TASK
SRI International 333 Ravenswood Av			NR 049-308	
Menlo Park, CA 94				1 40 110 05 840
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE	NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE January 1979	13. NO. OF PAG 60
Office of Naval R	esearch		15. SECURITY CLASS. Unclassified	(of this report)
14. MONITORING AGENCY	NAME & ADDRESS (if d	iff. from Controlling Office)	-	
			15a. DECLASSIFICATIO SCHEDULE	N/DOWNGRADING
	his document is partment of Comm	unlimited. It may merce, for sale to red in Block 20, if different	the general publ:	
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De	his document is partment of Comm	merce, for sale to	the general publ:	
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De	his document is partment of Comm ENT (of the abstract ente	merce, for sale to	the general publ:	
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEM	his document is partment of Comm ENT (of the abstract ente	nerce, for sale to	the general publ: from report)	
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEM 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue o	his document is partment of Comm ENT (of the abstract ente S	nerce, for sale to red in Block 20, if different and identify by block numb	the general publ: from report) er)	ic.
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEM 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue o Management, knowl	his document is partment of Comm ENT (of the abstract ente S n reverse side if necessary edge-base, modul	nerce, for sale to	the general publ: from report) er) ceplanning coordin	ic.
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEM 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue o Management, knowl organization, mod	his document is partment of Comm ENT (of the abstract ente S n reverse side if necessary edge-base, modul el process model	nerce, for sale to red in Block 20, if different and identify by block numb larity, planning, n l, demons., and con	the general publ: from report) er) ceplanning coordin nmunications.	ic.
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEM 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue o Management, knowl organization, mod 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on	his document is partment of Comm ENT (of the abstract ente S n reverse side if necessary edge-base, modul el process model	nerce, for sale to ared in Block 20, if different and identify by block numb larity, planning, n l, demons., and con	the general publ: from report) er) ceplanning coordin nmunications.	ic.
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEM 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue o Management, knowl organization, mod 20. APSTRACT (Continue on This report de system Automated develop technique	his document is partment of Comm ENT (of the abstract enter S n reverse side if necessary edge-base, modul el process model reverse side if necessary a escribes the Mess Command Support es for building l	nerce, for sale to red in Block 20, if different and identify by block numb larity, planning, n l, demons., and com and identify by block number sage Handler, a con (ACS.1). ACS.1 is knowledge-based sys	the general publ: from report) replanning coordin nmunications. ) nponent of the ex s intended as a v stems that will p	nation, perimental ehicle to rovide
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEM 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue o Management, knowl organization, mod 20. APSTRACT (Continue on This report de system Automated develop technique intelligent suppo	his document is partment of Comm ENT (of the abstract enter S n reverse side if necessary edge-base, modul el process model reverse side if necessary a escribes the Mess Command Support es for building lort to a manager for building of approve	nerce, for sale to red in Block 20, if different and identify by block numb larity, planning, n l, demons., and com and identify by block number sage Handler, a con (ACS.1). ACS.1 is	the general publ: from report) replanning coordin munications. ) mponent of the ex s intended as a v stems that will p lanning operation	nation, perimental ehicle to rovide s, adminis-
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEM 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue o Management, knowl organization, mod 20. APSTRACT (Continue on This report de system Automated develop technique intelligent suppo tration and monit	his document is partment of Comm ENT (of the abstract enter S n reverse side if necessary edge-base, modul el process model reverse side if necessary a escribes the Mess Command Support es for building lort to a manager for building of approve	nerce, for sale to red in Block 20, if different and identify by block numb larity, planning, r l, demons., and con and identify by block number sage Handler, a con (ACS.1). ACS.1 is knowledge-based sys in the areas of p	the general publ: from report) replanning coordin munications. ) mponent of the ex s intended as a v stems that will p lanning operation	nation, perimental ehicle to rovide s, adminis-
Distribution of t Clearinghouse, De 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEM 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue o Management, knowl organization, mod 20. APSTRACT (Continue on This report de system Automated develop technique intelligent suppo tration and monit	his document is partment of Comm ENT (of the abstract enter S n reverse side if necessary edge-base, modul el process model reverse side if necessary a escribes the Mess Command Support es for building lort to a manager for building of approve	nerce, for sale to red in Block 20, if different and identify by block numb larity, planning, n l, demons., and con and identify by block number sage Handler, a con (ACS.1). ACS.1 is knowledge-based sys in the areas of pi ed plans, and retro	the general publ: from report) replanning coordin munications. ) mponent of the ex s intended as a v stems that will p lanning operation	ic. nation, perimental ehicle to rovide s, adminis- s of com-

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

19. KEY WORDS (Continued)

20 ABSTRACT (Continued)

Viewed from the top level, ACS.1 can be regarded as an assembly of modules called "schedulers" and "planners." The planners create detailed plans to meet specified objectives, including the timing of all required tasks and the assignment of all necessary resources. The schedulers coordinate resources, whether people, equipment, supplies, or facilities, so that conflict with other plans or expected events is avoided.

The planners and schedulers work independently. Each has models of its respective tasks and relationships with each other. Global plans are created when these modules communicate with each other via messages. A special component, the Message Handler, routes all the messages, and is at the system's core. Because of its special position, the Message Handler of ACS.1 plays an important role in ACS.1; the user may utilize the message handler to significantly modify the system's behavior. The central idea is to implement a data structure, called the Message Table, which would contain the knowledge of the Message Handler. With this table, this component can modify the system's behavior.

In this report, we describe the message handler and ways the user can modify the system with it.



									1-		equit 1	or			~
							NTIS White Section DDC B ff Section D UNANNOTINGTO JUSTIFICA TOTI								
			СС	DNTE	NTS				BY DIS Dist.	11.21	Tirs: 15	() # ( p = 4.		- 1 <u>5</u> 244	
AEST	RACT	•	•		•		•	•	•		•	•	•		ii
LIST	OF ILLUSTRATIONS				•	•	•					•	•	•	iv
LIST	OF TABLES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	v
A CKN	OWLEDGMENTS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vi
I	INTRODUCTION	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
II	SYSTEM DESIGN	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
III	MESSAGE HANDLER REQUIREN	1EN	TS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
IV	DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATIO	ON	OF	THE	ME	SSAC	GE	HAN	DLE	R		•	•	•	13
V	AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16
VI	ROUTING FUNCTIONS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	17
VII	MESSAGE MODIFICATION FUN	NCT	ION	iS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	20
VIII	USER INTERFACE FUNCTIONS	3	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	25
IX	CONCLUSIONS	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
REFEI	RENCES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	33
APPEN	NDICES														
A.	Auxiliary Functions			•							•				35
E	Routing Functions					•									37
с	Message Modification Fur	nct	ion	s	•										43
D	User Interface Functions	3													49

iii

69 01 22 095

# ILLUSTRATIONS

.....

1	Block Diagram	of	ACS.1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	6
2	Process Model	for	• Flying	a	Miss	io	n		•					•			9

TABLES

1	Typical Assignment Request Message	13
2	Typical Message in Response to an Assignment Request	15
3	Message Switching Example	18
4	Message Modification Example	22
5	Message Table Example	23
6	User Entry of a Message	26
7	User Interaction with PRINT.TTYMES	28
8	Example of a User Reply	29
9	Example of Message Forwarding Interaction	30

v

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work described here has been done in collaboration with Marshall Pease. Early contributions to this work were made by Richard Fikes. Jack Goldberg is project supervisor. This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research, Department of the Navy, Arlington, Virginia 22217, under contract N00014-77-C-0308.

### I INTRODUCTION

This report describes the Message Handler, a component of the experimental system Automated Command Support (ACS.1). ACS.1 is intended as a vehicle to develop techniques for building knowledge-based systems that will provide intelligent support to a manager in the areas of planning operations, administration and monitoring of approved plans, and retrospective analysis of completed operations.

Viewed from the top level, ACS.1 is a system of autonomous modules, some of which are called "planners" and some "schedulers," communicating with each other via messages. The planners have the responsibility of planning specified types of activities. The schedulers are responsible for coordinating the use of particular types of resources, which may be people, equipment, supplies, or facilities. The messages sent by the various modules are routed by a special component called the Message Handler. Besides just sending messages to their appropriate destination, the Message Handler may also modify them, in a way specified by the user. The purpose of giving the Message Handler such an active role is to provide the user with a powerful tool to modify drastically the system behavior. This report addresses the design of the Message Handler, describing the other components of the system only to the extent necessary to understand the requirements for the Message Handler.

ACS.1 has operated in the simulated environment of a naval air squadron, although its techniques lend themselves to a wide variety of applications. The principal operations being planned and managed are flight missions. This requires coordinating such various resources as pilots, aircraft, maintenance crews, deck crews, launch facilities and crews, and recovery facilities and personnel. The use of these resources is further limited by such things as the need of personnel for

rest, or of equipment for maintenance. Still unexpected other events can limit the availability of certain resources. For example, a pilot may become sick or an aircraft may require unexpected maintenance. Thus the development and maintenance of a plan to meet specified conditions requires taking into account extensive, complex constraints.

ACS.1 can handle an arbitrary number of resources and an arbitrary number of operations using them. In our current system, the models for these resources and operations are contained in virtual memory, and therefore the main system's limitation is the size of the address space. On PDP-10, the maximum size is 250 pages of 512 words of 36 bits each. The system could easily be extended to store those models on secondary storage, thereby providing for an unlimited number of resources and operations.

Each different application requires appropriate specific design, taking into account different levels of detail and different policy constraints. The tailoring of the system is obtained by specifying the appropriate models.

Some constraints are imposed by limitations in the various types of resources. Other constraints occur when other commitments for these resources are made. The schedulers coordinate the use of these resources. Technical Report 14, "The Schedulers of ACS.1" [2] describes the detailed design of the schedulers of ACS.1.

Other constraints, imposed by the complexities of the operation being planned or administered, are the responsibility of the planners, whose detailed design has been described in Technical Report 15, "The Planners of ACS.1." [3].

Note that the constraints interlock. Although a planner seeks to accommodate those constraints caused by the complexities of the operation, it must do so without conflicting with other commitments made for the required resources. Further, the planner may not recognize that a potential conflict exists until it has completed much of the planning process. Resolution of the resultant conflict by a scheduler may

invalidate part of the previously developed plan. The planner must then revise accordingly. Thus developing a complete plan involves not only the ability to meet its internal constraints, but also the ability to respond to unexpected external conditions that may force replanning of all or part of the plan.

In ACS.1 each module--planner or scheduler--enforces its own set of constraints independently. The overall planning operation is obtained by having the modules communicate with each other via messages. The message handler's chief function is to route the messages from one module to another. In this implementation, ACS.1 imitates quite closely the way a human organization operates, the Message Handler taking the role of the telephone switching system. However, the message handler performs an additional function--namely to facilitate the capabilities of the decision maker to maintain, modify and expand the system's behavior.

This additional function permits the user to have full control of the system operations and the system itself the possibility of modifying its own behavior. This last possibility is not used in the current system; however, we plan to use it in our future research so that "higher level" components can direct the system to adapt itself automatically to new circumstances--environmental changes such as weather, or others such as the existence of other cooperating systems. Those components will be able to use the Message Handler to automatically modify the system's behavior.

The system design and one specific implementation have been described in Technical Report 13, "ACS.1: An Experimental Management Tool" [1], and also in Mr. Pease's paper which has been published in the IEEE transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics [4]. In those two publications, the relationship of this work to other research in artificial intelligence and in optimal scheduling is discussed. That material will not be repeated in this report, although a brief overview of the system design is given in Section II to place the requirements for the message handler in context.

In Section III, a general discussion of the requirements for the Message Handler is given in order to define more precisely what is needed. In Section IV, the detailed design of the message handler is presented, followed in Sections V to IX by a detailed description of each of the major subroutines.

### II SYSTEM DESIGN

The system design of ACS.1 is shown in Figure 1 in block diagram. The main elements of the system are modules called planners and schedulers, each of which is responsible for a well-defined part of the system's operation--a planner for planning a specific type of activity and a scheduler for coordinating the planned use of a specific type of resource. Interactions among the modules are handled entirely through messages passed through a unit called the message handler. All communications to or from a user, or to or from the data system, also pass through the message handler. The message handler helps maintain the autonomy of the separate modules and provides a central switch for user control of the system's operations.

Between the user's terminal and the message handler is the user interface which provides a pseudo-natural language capability. This interface uses a language facility called LIFER developed by the Artificial Intelligence Center of SRI International. The user interface accepts requests or commands in natural language format.<sup>\*</sup>

At this time, the data system is in rudimentary form. It is intended to be more than a simple repository of data and will monitor the execution of approved plans, checking that required tasks are started and completed according to plan, and that needed resources are available. When a conflict occurs, the data system will initiate the appropriate system action, such as replanning or advising the user of the situation.

Each planner and scheduler uses a body of knowledge unique to it, a body that defines how it is to exercise its responsibilities. The

Information about LIFER may be found in "LIFER: A Natural Language Interface Facility," by Gary Hendrix, Technical Note 135 of the SRI Artificial Intelligence Center.



6

.

computer representation of such a body of knowledge incorporated by a planner or a scheduler will be referred to as a "Model" in the rest of this report. The model used by a planner describes the process for which it is responsible to a specified level of detail. It identifies the tasks that compose the process at the given level of detail, and the kinds of resources that must be assigned. It describes the constraints among the tasks--for example certain tasks must be completed before others can be started. It describes the relationship between the assignments of resources and the tasks, such as that an assignment must span the times during which certain tasks are being done. It also includes how an assignment can be obtained, or a plan for a task requested. That is, the model knows how to make requests of the schedulers and other planners, and it knows the identities of other models it must consult to generate a complete plan.

A subtask recognized by a planner as a component in the planner's task may itself require planning. If so, some other planner has the responsibility for planning the subtask, perhaps decomposing it further into subtasks and obtaining additional assignments. The system of planners, in responding to some particular requirement, may be structured into a hierarchy of modules operating at various levels of detail. Different hierarchies may be required in response to different requirements.

Each scheduler coordinates a type of resource, whether people, equipment, supplies, or facilities. When asked for one of the resources of its type to be assigned for some future interval of time, the scheduler first determines a resource's availability. If more than one is available, and if the scheduler has been given the authority, it selects one and returns the name. It also enters the commitment into its own data structure in which it maintains its updated knowledge of the resource commitments. If the scheduler has not been given the authority, it sends the relevant information to the manager for his decision. If no resource is available, the scheduler will either return the closest available assignment or refuse the request, depending on the responsibility given to it.

For example, consider the application that has been studied--the command of a naval air squadron. The principal activity that needs planning is flying a mission. The commander may specify the mission's exact departure from and return to the ship. The requirement is accepted by the planner in charge of planning missions. The process model for that planner--i.e. the computer representation of the knowledge incorporated in that planner--may decompose the activity as shown in Figure 2. The tasks the Mission Planner recognizes are the aircraft's preflight preparation, the pilot's briefing, the flight itself, the aircraft's postflight servicing, and the pilot's debriefing. The process model also includes the fact that a pilot and an aircraft must be assigned. The sequential constraints among the tasks, and the concurrency constraints between the assignments and the tasks that are indicated in Figure 2 are also contained in the process model.

The process model suggested by Figure 2 implies a particular level of detail. The task of preflight preparation of the aircraft, for example, may be further decomposed by another planner into the transfer of the aircraft to the flight deck, its preflight service, arming, fueling, and its launching. Additional resources, such as maintenance personnel, may be required during some of these subtasks, and that information would also be included in the process models.

A generated plan will be returned to the commander for his approval or modification, only after all tasks and subtasks have been planned and all resources needed during any task or subtask have been assigned.

Several features of the system design are considered vital for an easy user interface--both for the system's use and for the model's definition. These features, which have dominated the design of the experimental system, have been significant components of the research. They can be summarized as follows:

\* The division of responsibilities among the planners and schedulers should correspond to the division of responsibility in the comparable human organization. This division facilitates the user's understanding of the system's operations, and permits an orderly growth of the system. It also facilitates the transfer of responsibility between the system and the human organization when needed.



FIGURE 2 PROCESS MODEL FOR FLYING A MISSION

Nº Co

- \* The models contained by the planners and schedulers should be explicit and accessible for modification without major revision of the system. This is necessary to permit adapting the system to changing needs. It also permits introducing new planners or schedulers through specification of the applicable models. This feature permits the rapid expansion of the system or its transfer to new environments.
- \* The operation of each planner or scheduler should be sufficiently simple to make it readily understandable by the human user. The complexity of system operation should be the result of interactions among the modules, rather than contained within any module. Again, this facilitates growth and adaptation, and permits rapid modification to meet exceptional conditions.

ACS.1 has been implemented on a PDP-10 using INTERLISP under 10PS-20. It uses a simulated clock in an interactive mode in order to simulate an operational environment.

Further details of the system design, and of the techniques that have been used to implement it, have been given in Technical Report 13 [1] cited earlier. In the next section, we consider the requirements for the message handler in greater detail.

### III MESSAGE HANDLER REQUIREMENTS

The principal system function served by the Message Handler is to route messages from one component of the system to another, i.e. from a planner to a planner or a scheduler, or from a scheduler to a planner. For this function to be executed properly, each message explicitly contains information about where it is coming from, where it is going to, what its main purpose is, and when it is expected to be received by its destination. However, since the Message Handler occupies such a central position in the overall system architecture, it becomes possible to change the way the system behaves by influencing the way the Message Handler acts.

The easiest way to modify the system behavior is to change the contents of the various messages allowing the following possibilities:

\* The destination of some messages may be changed. By doing so, one can easily

dynamically replace a module by a new one. All messages sent to the old module will be sent to the new one,

replace a module by the user. This allows the user to test new ideas, without having to program them or intervene in exceptional circumstances. All messages sent to the module are now sent to the user, who answers them as if he were the new module,

implement a complete or partial trace of some or all of the modules. Messages to some or all of the modules are sent to a "tracer-module" which logs them on some logging file(s), then forwards them to their previous destination.

\* The text of some messages may be changed. This may be useful

to temporarily modify a module's behavior. For example, if a new, inexperienced crew replaces an old one, one could increase all expected timings for that particular crew alone and avoid introducing a new process model corresponding to that crew alone, to ease the modifications to the system. Let us assume that a module, say module A, is to be replaced by a more sophisticated one, module A', which takes into account new information not available to module A. Then, in classical system design, one would first have to replace all modules which call on A to provide that additional information, or at least provide the corresponding default values. With our design, we can either replace all the other modules one by one, and suppress the additional information from messages going to A, until all the modules have been implemented--at which point we replace A by A'. Or, we could start by replacing A by A', and add to all messages going to A' the default values for the missing pieces of information. Clearly, that technique will allow the progressive, dynamic modification of the whole system as required.

The above list is not exhaustive, but gives an idea of the possibilities of this architecture.

This message modification capability has been implemented in the Message Handler. The user fully controls which messages are modified and how they are modified by indicating them explicitly in the "Message Table," as will be explained in section IV.C.

The next section describes the design of the Message Handler and lists the functions used to obtain the desired behavior.

#### IV DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MESSAGE HANDLER

The Message Handler, as described here, is intended to operate in conjunction with the planners and schedulers as described in references [2,3]. Hence, all the functions detailed in thoses references are assumed to be available. In particular, the functions used there for manipulating A-lists--i.e., lists of property-value pairs--are freely used here, without description.

A message is an A-list of the form

[(MES.ID . <integer>)
(FROM . <module-name>)
(T0 . <module-name>)
(MES.FUNCTION . <function-name>)
(TIME . <integer>)...]

Table 1 shows a sample message taken during an ACS.1 session, and the content of a typical message addressed to the pilot scheduler.

Table 1

Typical Assignment Request Message

MES.ID 5 PILOT.SCHEDULER TO FRCM MISSION.MODULE MES.FUNCTION SCHEDULER.ASSIGN.MES TIME 0 RETURN.MES.TO RESOURCE. ASSIGNED ARGS (NAME START END) (850 (PRIORITY . 2)) EET LST (380 (PRIORITY . 1)) . . .

In this example the message contains the following information:

MES.ID is the message number--in this case, this was the fifth message handled by the message handler.

TO is the destination of the message--here the pilot scheduler.

FROM is the source of the message--here the mission module.

MES.FUNCTION is the function that should handle this message for the destination module--here it is a request for a resource assignment. Note that the same module may have several functions. For example, a scheduler is in charge of a specific resource type, but may still perform several functions associated with the assignment of resources, such as resource assignments, resource status reporting, resource status saving on file, and so on.

MES.FUNCTION indicates what function is expected.

- TIME is the simulated time when the message should be delivered--here it is 0, i.e., immediately.
- RETURN.MES.TO is the function to notify in the sending module. This will become the value of the property MES.FUNCTION in the reply. In this case, the function to be called in the mission module when the reply comes is RESOURCE.ASSIGNED.
- ARGS is the list of variables that the mission module expects to see in the reply-here, the name, starting and ending times of the assigned pilot. EET and LST are the earliest ending time and latest starting time demanded for the pilot to be assigned. Other possible indications could be given, such as EST, LET, NAME, START and END which are respectively the earliest starting time, latest ending time, name, suggested actual start time and suggested actual end times. In the present example these were not given and therefore do not appear at all in the message.

Finally, other information may be contained in the message, either for the benefit of the destination module, the benefit of the source module, or just for comment purposes. For reasons of simplicity, we have not included them here.

Table 2 presents the typical response message which corresponds to the previous request for an assignment:

#### Table 2

Typical Message in Response to an Assignment Request

MES.ID	8	
то	MISSION.MODULE	
FROM	PILOT.SCHEDULER	
TIME	0	
MES.FUNCTION	REQUEST.ASSIGNED	
IN.RESPONSE.TO	((MES.ID . 5) (TO . PILOT.SCHEDULER)	())
NAME	ABLE	
START	380	
END	850	

The only significant part which is different from the previous message is IN.RESPONSE.TO. This is a pointer to the data structure representing the original message--in this case message number 5. When it is printed, the whole previous message is printed, but it should be understood that only a pointer is actually part of the reply message.

Until delivery time, the messages are stored in a message queue, which is a priority queue. The delivery time is the priority; and in case of equal delivery times, the value of MES.ID becomes the priority. Before delivery, the messages are modified according to the specifications contained in the message table, as indicated in section III.

### V AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS

The next sections describe the most significant functions which are part of the message handler package. We start with two auxiliary functions, MES.GETP and MES.PUT, which are the equivalent of GETP and PUT for the message A-lists. They take as message input either an Alist or an ID number, in which case the corresponding message A-list is found in the corresponding element of the array MES.HARRAY. MES.GETP gets the value of a property in the message, whereas MES.PUT either puts a new property-value pair or modifies the value of an existing property. The complete definition of these functions is given in Appendix A.

## VI ROUTING FUNCTIONS

In this section, we present the functions which represent the routing role of the message handler. They are CREATE.MES, QUEUE.MES, DEQUEUE.MES and MES.PROCESSOR.

The first function, CREATE.MES, is used to create the message Alist. It takes as input a list of the form

(PROP1 VAL1 ... PROPn VALn),

and creates the corresponding message A-list

[(MES.ID . <integer>)(PROP1 . VAL1)...(PROPn . VALn)],

generating the value of MES.ID automatically. This function also creates an entry in the array MES.HARRAY so that from then on, the message may be retrieved by its ID alone. All the message handler functions may then take as argument either a pointer to the message or the ID of the message--in which case they retrieve the message pointer from MES.HARRAY.

The function, QUEUE.MES, stores the message in the message queue, MES.QUEUE. The message will be processed later by MES.PROCESSOR.

The function DEQUEUE.MES is the inverse of the last one: it removes the message from the message queue, if it has not yet been processed by MES.PROCESSOR. Otherwise, it just prints an error message.

Finally, the last function, MES.PROCESSOR, is in fact the message handler. It is called by the monitor whenever a module releases control. MES.PROCESSOR checks whether any message is in the queue. If so, it modifies it using the message table, as explained in section IV.C, then sends it to its destination. The message is then deleted from the message queue and MES.HARRAY is updated to indicate that the message has been sent.

Table 3, which presents an extract from an ACS.1 session, shows the routing function of the message handler. In this example, the user asks the system to plan for a mission to start in 3 hours.

# Table 3

#### Message Switching Example

#### (1) <MONITOR>ttymes)

PLEASE SPECIFY THE MESSAGE PROPERTIES AND VALUES:

TO mission.module MES.FN enter.value MODEL.NAME mission PLAN.ID 1 TYPE tasks NAME flt PROP start VAL 500 PRIORITY PRINT.SUP.FLG THANK YOU.

(2) QUEUE FN.MES MESSAGE 2 TO MISSION.MODULE

(3) GOING BACK TO MONITOR.

(4) SEND FN.MES MESSAGE 2 FROM TTY: TO MISSION.MODULE

(5) QUEUE PLAN. TASK. MES MESSAGE 3 TO A/C-PREP. PLANNER

(6) SEND PLAN. TASK. MES MESSAGE 3 FROM MISSION. MODULE TO

A/C-PREP.PLANNER

In the table, the characters typed by the user are in small letters. The rest is typed to the user by the system--either for information purposes or for requesting needed data from the user.

First the user specifies that he wants to send a message to the mission module (from line 1 to line 2). Then, the user is notified that the message has been queued--using QUEUE.MES (line 2). The TTY module then releases control, and the monitor calls on MES.PROCESSOR, i.e. the message handler (line 3). The message handler sends the message to the mission module--i.e. the control is transferred to the mission module

(line 4). That module queues a message which is due to go to the aircraft preparation planner (line 5), and the scenario will repeat itself, i.e., the message handler sends the message to the aircraft preparation module which then takes control and queues another message to another module, and so on.

The function definitions of all the routing functions are given in Appendix B.

# VII MESSAGE MODIFICATION FUNCTIONS

We now present the functions used to modify the messages. The first one, MES.MODIFY, is called by MES.PROCESSOR. It checks whether entries in MES.TABLE apply to the message.<sup>#</sup> If so, the message is modified accordingly. Actually, the checking is done by MATCH.MES.WITH.TAELEENTRY, and the message modification is done by MES.APPLY.ENTRY.

MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY tries to decide whether the message matches with an entry in MES.TABLE. The entry has the following format: (<condition> <change specification>)

The role of MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY is to check that the message matches the <condition> part of the entry, which has the following format:

<condition> := (<cond.prop> <cond.val>)
 or (AND <condition> ... <condition>)
 or (OR <condition> ... <condition>)
 or (NOT <condition>)
 or (NULL <condition>)

A match between a message and a condition of the type (<cond.prop><cond.val>) occurs if one of the following is true:

<cond.prop> is the atom ::

the message has a property <cond.prop> and <cond.val> is :: or the message has a property-value slot equal to (<cond.prop>.

or

or

the message has a property <cond.prop> and the value slot is a

list whose CAR is ::. In this case, the CDR of the list is EVALED.

<cond.val>)

\* The entries in MES.TABLE are prepared by the user. Although we have not done it, functions could be written to help the user set up MES.TABLE. Then the message may be modified, or side effects may occur.

The extension to the conditions of the type AND, OR, NOT, or NULL is obvious.

The next function, MES.APPLY.ENTRY, modifies the message according to the <change specification> part of the entry. More precisely, the <change specification> is a list of the form:

(... (<prop> <val>) ...)

If <prop> is NIL, <val> is evaluated--using TABLE.ENTRY.GET-- and the message is not modified directly: presumably, we are only interested in the side effects of evaluating <val>, which may modify the message indirectly. Otherwise, a new slot is added to the message, with property name <prop>, and value the value returned by TABLE.ENTRY.GET.

Finally, TAELE.ENTRY.GET evaluates the entry element, i.e., the <val> part of the <change specification>. <Val> may be one of the following:

an atom, in which case it is simply returned

a list whose first element is not ":", in which case the list

is returned

or

or

a list starting with ":", in which case each element of the list is evaluated, and the last value is returned.

As an example of message modifications, consider table 4 which represents an actual session with a user.

# Table 4

Message Modification Example

(1) <MONITOR>ttymes)
PLEASE SPECIFY THE MESSAGE PROPERTIES AND VALUES:

TO m.m MES.FN enter.value MODEL.NAME mission PLAN.ID 1 TYPE tasks NAME · flt PROP start VAL 500 PRIORITY PRINT.SUP.FLG

THANK YOU.
(2) QUEUE FN.MES MESSAGE 4 TO M.M
Going back to MONITOR.
(3) SEND FN.MES MESSAGE 4 FROM TTY: TO MISSION.MODULE
(4) QUEUE SCHEDULER.ASSIGN.MES MESSAGE 5 TO PILOT.SCHEDULER
(5) SEND SCHEDULER.ASSIGN.MES MESSAGE 5 FROM MISSION.MODULE TO TTY:

Here, the same conventions are used as in the previous table: the user's input is presented in small letters. The user prepares a message to be sent to "m.m" (between lines 1 and 2). The message to m.m is queued (line 2). The message handler sends the same message to the mission module, i.e., the message has been modified by replacing m.m with mission.module (line 3). The mission module queues a message to the pilot scheduler (line 4). The message handler sends that message to TTY:, i.e., to the user (line 5). Here again, the message was modified, replacing PILOT.SCHEDULER by TTY: . Finally, the TTY: module, which is the user interface, notifies the user that a message has been received (line 6).

(6)SCHEDULER.ASSIGN.MES MESSAGE 5 RECEIVED FROM MISSION.MODULE

In Table 5, we present the message table which gave rise to those two modifications:

## Table 5

Message Table Example

(1) [(((TO M.M))

(2) ((TO MISSION.MODULE)))

(3) ([(NOT ((TO TTY:)))

(4) (NOT ((TO MISSION.MODULE]

(5) ([ORIGINAL.TO (:(MES.GETP MES (QUOTE TO]

(6) (TO TTY:]

This message table has two entries. The first entry applies to all messages addressed to M.M (line 1), and modifies them by replacing the TO part of the message by MISSION.MODULE (line 2). The second entry applies to all messages which are not addressed to either the user (line 3) or the mission module (line 4). It modifies those messages by replacing the TO part by TTY: (line 6), and by adding an ORIGINAL.TO part which will contain the original value of the TO part, i.e., the original destination of the message (line 6).

These two entries correspond to a typical case where a user, testing a module alone (here: MISSION.MODULE), wishes to receive all messages coming from this module (second entry), and also wishes not to write out the complete module name everytime he sends a message to it (first entry). Since this case is so typical in system development, a function could be written to create two such entries automatically for any module, and any alias the user would wish to use.

As another example, let us consider the aircraft launch process, and let us assume that it takes one minute to launch an aircraft in good weather, and three minutes in bad weather. The problem is how to implement both conditions easily in ACS.1.

There are basically three ways of achieving this result in ACS.1 First, the user can change dynamically the process model which corresponds to the flight task. In good weather, that model would indicate that the launch takes one minute; in bad weather the user would modify it to make the launch three minutes long. The only disadvantage of that solution is that the user has to change the models constantly instead of having them prepared in advance.

The second solution is to have both models prepared in advance, and have in essence two flight modules--one which uses the original model, the other the modified model. Then, the user would implement the change of weather by making an entry in the message table which would indicate to what module the flight task belongs--given the current conditions.

The third solution is in the same spirit as the second, but simpler in realization. The basic idea is that whenever a message is sent to the launch facility scheduler by the flight module, the time to be reserved will be increased by two minutes. This solution can again be easily implemented by making an entry in the message table.

We give the definition of all the functions involved in the message modification role of the message handler in Appendix C.

This completes the presentation of the message handler per se. However, we believe that its usefulness can mainly be justified by its advantages to the user. To emphasize this point, section V presents the functions we have developed so far as part of the user interface.

### VIII USER INTERFACE FUNCTIONS

The user's primary need in the user interface is to be able to specify a message to be sent. This need is met by the function TTYMES. It asks the user for the destination of the message and the function requested. Then, it automatically asks for the values of the arguments that the function needs. Finally, it asks the user whether he wants to add any more attribute/value pairs to the message. It then creates the message and sends it via the message handler. When the message arrives at its destination, it is handed to the function FN.MES, which in a sense is part of the user interface although used inside the other modules. FN.MES knows how to call the appropriate function from the content of the messages created by TTYMES. Essentially, it finds in the property ARGS of the message the list of arguments to be part of the function call, and under each argument name finds the appropriate value.

Table 6 presents an example of the use of TTIMES.

# Table 6

User Entry of a Message

<MONITOR> ttymes)

PLEASE SPECIFY THE MESSAGE PROPERTIES AND VALUES:

TO mission.module MES.FN enter.value MODEL.NAME mission PLAN.ID 1 TYPE tasks N AME flt PROP start VAL 500 PRIORITY PRINT.SUP.FLG comment (this is a message asking that a mission flight start at 500] THANK YOU.

The first two questions (TO and MES.FN) are always asked by TTYMES. ENTER.VALUE is a function which has eight arguments, namely MODEL.NAME, ID, TYPE, NAME, PROP, VAL, PRIORITY and PRINT.SUP.FLG. Those arguments are requested by TTYMES once it is told which function is being invoked. Finally, TTYMES checks to see if the user wants any more information in the message, and in the example, the user added a COMMENT. In this example, TTYMES created the following message:

то	MISSION.MODULE
FROM	TTY:
MES.FUNCTION	FN.MES
MES.FN	ENTER.VALUE
MODEL . NAME	MISSION
ID	1
TYPE	TASKS
NAME	FLT
PROP	START
VAL	500
PRIORITY	NIL
PRINT.SUP.FLG	NIL
COMMENT	(THIS IS A MESSAGE ASKING THAT A MISSION FLIGHT STARTS AT 500)
ARGS	(MODEL.NAME ID TYPE NAME PROP VAL PRIORITY PRINT.SUP.FLG COMMENT)

After receiving this message, the mission module will call FN.MES, since this is the value of the MES.FUNCTION property in the message. It gives FN.MES the message as an argument. In turn, FN.MES will call ENTER.VALUE (the value of the MES.FN property in the message) and give it as argument values the values of the arguments listed in ARGS. Note that the value of the COMMENT property will be given to ENTER.VALUE which will not see it since it only takes eight arguments. In this case, we can consider that COMMENT--and other extra property/value pairs--are ignored.

The next function, PRINT.TTYMES, is called whenever a message for the user is received. It briefly describes the message, and then asks the user what he wishes to do. Depending on the user's answer, one of several functions which will take the appropriate actions may be called. We first show in Table 7 an example of an interaction with PRINT.TTYMES.

#### Table 7

### User Interaction with PRINT.TTYMES

(1) SCHEDULER. ASSIGN. MES MESSAGE 5 RECEIVED FROM MISSION. MODULE

(2) WHICH ACTION DO YOU WISH TO TAKE? <?>
ONE OF:
REPLY TO IT
FORWARD IT
PRINT IT
SUSPEND PLAN AND SAVE MESSAGE
DEFER ANSWER (MESSAGE SAVED AND PLAN CONTINUED)
EYPASS QUESTION (PLAN CONTINUED BUT MESSAGE LOST)
<CR> MAY ALSO BE USED INSTEAD OF "R" TO REPLY TO THE MESSAGE.

(3) WHICH ACTION DC YOU WISH TO TAKE? pRINT IT

IT CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

MES.ID	5
FROM	MISSION.MODULE
TC	TTY:
TIME	0
MES.FUNCTION	SCHEDULER.ASSIGN.MES
RETURN.MES.TO	REQUEST. ASSIGNED
ASK.TO.TTY	REQUEST. ASSIGN. FROM. TTY
ARGS	(NAME START END)
EET	(850 (PRIORITY . 2))
LST	(380 (PRIORITY . 1))
(4) WHICH ACTIO	N DO YOU WISH TO TAKE? SUSPEND

ID FOR SAVED MESSAGE: 1

The user is first notified that a message was received (line 1). Then, he asks what his options are by typing a question mark--which is not echoed back (line 2). Knowing his options, he then asks to see the complete message (line 3). Finally, he asks that the planning operation be suspended, and the message saved (line 4). Then, the system asks for an id number for the message--in this case, the user types 1.
In the above example, the user asked that the planning operations be suspended and the message saved. This saving was done by SAVE.MES, which stored the message in an array named MES.SAVED.HARRAY.

Instead of suspending the planning operations, the user could have replied to the message. Also, after having suspended the planning, the user may resume it by answering the saved message. The example in Table 8 presents such an interaction.

## Table 8

Example of a User Reply

(1)<MONITOR> reply.mes(1)

(2) NEED AN ASSIGNMENT OF A PILOT FOR MISSION NUMBER 1. CURRENT REQUIREMENTS ARE AS FOLLOWS: LATEST START TIME DESIRED: 380. EARLIEST END TIME DESIRED: 850.

(3) ENTER NAME OF PILOT ASSIGNED, OR <CR> TO DEFER ASSIGNMENT: able

(4) ARE THE LATEST END AND EARLIEST START TIMES OK? YES

(5) DC YOU WANT TO ADD ANYTHING ELSE TO THE MESSAGE? NO

(6) QUEUE REQUEST.ASSIGNED MESSAGE 45 TO MISSION.MODULE GOING EACK TO MONITOR.

The user says that he wants to reply to the message saved under ID 1 (line 1). Then, a brief summary of the message is presented to the user (between line 2 and line 3). This is done using the function REQUEST.ASSIGN.FROM.TTY which was indicated in the message in Table 7 as the value of the ASK.TO.TTY part. Then, REQUEST.ASSIGN.FROM.TTY asks the user for the name of a pilot (line 3) and the acceptability of the indicated times (line 4). Finally, the user is asked for other property/value pairs to be added to the message (line 5); he does not add any. The message is then built and sent to the mission module (line 6).

The definition of REPLY.MES will be given in Appendix D, followed by REQUEST.ASSIGN.FROM.TTY as an example of one such "specific function" which may be used to simplify the user interface. Without this function, the user could have built the message directly in a more tedious fashion.

Finally, the user may want to forward the message to either the original destination or a new one. This can be initialized either when the message is first received by the user interface, or later, after the message had been saved. Table 9 presents such an example taken from an ACS.1 session.

## Table 9

### Example of Message Forwarding Interaction

- (1) QUEUE PLAN.TASK MESSAGE 3 TO A/C-PREP.PLANNER
- (2) SEND PLAN. TASK. MES MESSAGE 3 FROM MISSION. MODULE TO TTY:
- (3) PLAN.TASK.MES MESSAGE 3 RECEIVED FROM MISSION.MODULE
- (4) WHICH ACTION DO YOU WISH TO TAKE? FORWARD IT
- (5) TO: a/c-prep.planner
- (6) DO YOU WANT THE ORIGINATOR OF THE MESSAGE TO BE TTY :? YES
- (7) QUEUE PLAN. TASK MESSAGE 3 TO A/C-PREP. PLANNER
- (8) SEND PLAN. TASK MESSAGE 3 FROM TTY: TO A/C-PREP. PLANNER

The mission module sends a message to the aircraft preparation planner (line 1). The message is rerouted by the message handler to the user (lines 2 and 3). PRINT.TTYMES informs the user that a message was received (line 3) and asks for further instructions (line 4). The user asks that the message be forwarded (line 4) to the aircraft preparation planner (line 5), and that the user be indicated as the origin (line 6) so that the message will not be rerouted to the user again, but will go instead to the planner itself (line 7 and 8). The function which asks the questions on lines 5 and 6 is FORWARD.MES which also will build the new message.

Appendix D gives the definitions of all the user interface functions.

#### IX CONCLUSIONS

The message handler of ACS.1 has added new possibilities for helping the user maintain, modify, and extend the planning and scheduling system. Its main role was originally to imitate the functions of a switching network such as the telephone system, mostly to provide a true asynchronism and independence between modules. However, it has been extended to allow the dynamic modification of messages. As has been explained in the previous sections, this allows for a dynamic, gradual modification of the system behavior. It is believed that this component will facilitate the development and maintenance of a complex planning/scheduling system, at the same time giving the user confidence in using the system, knowing that he can modify its behavior.

For the future, the message handler could become the main tool for a system to modify itself. "Higher level" modules could apply their knowledge of a situation and modify automatically the message table. Also, in a distributed, cooperative system environment the message handler could use its own model of the cooperative modules to "understand" and "translate" the messages to and from the local system.

The idea of a message handler is not new; however the expanded role it plays with its message modification possibilities suggests such a component will to help management perform their functions more efficiently.

## REFERENCES

- Pease M., "ACS.1: An Experimental Management Tool," Technical Report 13, Computer Science Laboratory, SRI International, 1977.
- [2] Pease M., "The Schedulers of ACS.1," Technical Report 14, Computer Science Laboratory, SRI International, September 1977.
- [3] Pease M., "The Planners of ACS.1," Technical Report 15, Computer Science Laboratory, SRI International, November 1977.
- [4] Pease M., "ACS.1: An Experimental Management Tool," <u>IEEE</u> <u>Transactions on Systems, Man. and Cybernetics</u>, Vol. 8, No. 10, pp. 725-735, October 1978.

Appendix A

Auxiliary Functions

Appendix A

Auxiliary Functions

(MES.PUT [LAMBDA (MES PROP VAL) (COND ((LISTP MES) (A\*.PUT MES PROP VAL)) ((A.PUT (GETHASH MES MES.HARRAY) PROP VAL]) Appendix B

Routing Functions

### Appendix B

Routing Functions

(CREATE.MES [LAMBDA X (\* This is a LAMBDA nospread function. Function: creates an a.list, generates an id, enters the id into the a.list; input: CREATE.MES (prop1;value1;prop2;value2;...); output: returns an a.list as a value such as ((mes.id . <integer>) (prop1 . value1) (prop2 . value2) ...) . If called with no arguments, the value would have the form ((mes.id . <integer>)).) (\* An association between a pointer to a message and the id of that message is stored in the hash array called MES.HARRAY such that the pointer is retrievable by the id.) (PROG (MES (M1 1) (M2 2) (TO 0) T1) [SETQ MES (LIST (CONS (QUOTE MES.ID) (SETQ T1 (TRACE.GEN.ID.TEMPORARY] (PUTHASH T1 MES MES.HARRAY) LP (if X = TOthen (RETURN MES) else (NCONC1 MES (CONS (ARG X M1) (ARG X M2))) (SETQ M1 (IPLUS M2 1)) (SETQ M2 (IPLUS M1 1)) (SETQ TO (IPLUS TO 2)) (GO LP]) (QUEUE.MES [LAMEDA (MES) (\* Takes as input either a message A.list or an ID of a message, stores that message in the message queue and then returns the ID of the message just stored into the message queue.) (PROG (TO T1)

```
[COND
            ((NOT (LISTP MES))
                                                    (* First get)
              (SETQ MES (GETHASH MES MES.HARRAY]
                                                    (* the A-list)
          (SETQ T1 (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE MES.ID)))
        (* Then check if the time is there.
          If not: assume immediate delivery)
          (COND
            ((NULL (A.GETP MES (QUOTE TIME)))
              (A.PUT MES (QUOTE TIME)
                     S.CLOCK)))
          [SEM.TRACE Queue ((MES.GETP MES (QUOTE MES.FUNCTION)))
                     message
                     (T1)
                     to
                     ((MES.GETP MES (QUOTE TO]
        (* Traces the message if so required)
          (ADD.QUEUE (QUOTE MES.QUEUE)
                     MES)
        (* Finally, adds it to the message queue)
          (RETURN T1])
(DECUEUE.MES
  [LAMEDA (MES)
          (* Takes either a message a.list or a message id.
         If the message is not deleted then returns the
          deleted id else returns the message that the id
          is already deleted.)
    (PROG (T1)
          [ COND
            ((NOT (LISTP MES))
                                         (* First, get the A-list)
              (SETQ MES (GETHASH MES MES.HARRAY]
          (SETQ T1 (MES.GETP MES (QUCTE MES.ID)))
          (COND
            ((ECUAL (GETHASH (QUOTE MES.QUEUE)
                             SYSTEM.QUEUE)
                    (DEL.NODE (QUOTE MES.QUEUE)
                              MES))
              (COND
                ((EQ (QUOTE YES)
                     S.TRACE)
```

(\* The message has already been processed or deleted)

(PRIN1 "THE MESSAGE WHOSE ID IS ") (PRIN1 T1) (\* Say so if tracing) (PRINT1 " HAS ALREADY BEEN DELETED FROM THE MESSAGE QUEUE."))) (RETURN T1)) (T) (\* delete this message) (COND ((EQ (QUOTE YES) (\* and say so if tracing) S.TRACE) (PRIN1 "THE MESSAGE WHOSE ID IS ") (PRIN1 T1) (PRINT1 " HAS BEEN DELETED FROM THE MESSAGE QUEUE."))) (RETURN T1])

## (MES.PROCESSOR [LAMBDA (MES)

(\* Input: either A.list form of a message or an id form of the message; output: message id which has been processed; function: receives a message and applies the module specified by the A.list value corresponding to the property TO, to the message passed to the module. I.e., it sends the message to the module whose name is contained in the slot corresponding to "TO")

(\* After it has processed MES, it puts it into a trace file (mes.trace) and changes the hash array (MES.HARRAY) entry of the message processed into NIL.)

(\* It also checks the MES.TABLE to modify the message before sending it)

(PROG (MES.ID MES.TO MESSAGE TO.WHOM TO.LOCK.LIST MES.LIST) [COND

> ((NLISTP MES) (\* We HAVE the message id, get the whole message A-list) (SETQ MES (GETHASH MES MES.HARRAY]

(\* Check if the message matches any entry in MES.TABLE. MES.MODIFY will do both the checking and the modifications if needed)

(SETQ MES (MES.MODIFY MES)) (SETQ MES.LIST (CDR (GETHASH (QUOTE MES.QUEUE) SYSTEM.QUEUE))) L3 (SETQ MES.ID (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE MES.ID))) (SETQ MES.TO (A.GETP MES (QUOTE TO))) (\* trace the message processing if required) (SEM.TRACE Send ((MES.GETP MES (QUOTE MES.FUNCTION))) message (MES.ID) from ((MES.GETP MES (QUOTE FROM))) to (MES.TO)) (SETQ S.TRACE.LEVEL 3) (SETQ TO.WHOM (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE IN.RESPONSE.TO))) (COND [(OR (NULL (SETQ TO.LOCK.LIST (GETPROP LOCK.LIST MES.TO))) (MEMB TO.WHOM TO.LOCK.LIST)) [COND (TO.LOCK.LIST (PUTPROP LOCK.LIST MES.TO (REMOVE TO. WHOM TO.LOCK.LIST] (DEL.NODE (QUOTE MES.QUEUE) MES) (SETQ MESSAGE (RESUME MONITOR (EVAL MES.TO) MES)) (\* This in effect sends the message to destination) (COND ((NULL MESSAGE) (PUTPROP LOCK.LIST MES.TO NIL)) ((EQ (CAR MESSAGE) (QUOTE \*)) (PUTPROP LOCK.LIST MES.TO (2ND MESSAGE))) ((AND (EQ (CAR MESSAGE) (QUOTE ADD)) (EQ (CAR (2ND MESSAGE)) (QUOTE \*))) (for EACH.MES in (2ND (2ND MESSAGE)) do (ADDPROP LOCK.LIST MES.TO EACH.MES))) ((EQ (CAR MESSAGE) (QUOTE ADD)) (ADDPROP LOCK.LIST MES.TO (2ND MESSAGE))) [(AND (EQ (CAR MESSAGE) (QUOTE DELETE)) (EQ (CAR (2ND MESSAGE)) (QUOTE \*))) (for EACH.MES in (2ND (2ND MESSAGE)) do (PUTPROP LOCK.LIST MES.TO (REMOVE EACH.MES (GETPROP LOCK.LIST

MES.TO]

((EQ (CAR MESSAGE) (QUOTE DELETE)) (PUTPROP LOCK.LIST MES.TO (REMOVE (2ND MESSAGE) (GETPROP LOCK.LIST MES.TO]

(\* Do not send a message; pass over the locked out message and consider the next message on the queue.)

(T)

(COND ((SETQ MES (CAR MES.LIST))) ((PRINT1 "No more message to be served. Error in the system.") (HELP))) (SETQ MES.LIST (CDR MES.LIST)) (GO L3))) (SETQ S.TRACE.LEVEL 0) (RETURN MES.ID]) Appendix C

Message Modification Functions

Appendix C

Message Modification Functions

(MES.MODIFY [LAMBDA (MES)

(\* Check if any entry in MES.TABLE applies to the message: if yes does the modifications and loops back; if no, returns the final result)

(PROG ((NEWMES MES) (MES.TABLE.PTR MES.TAELE) MES.TABLE.ENTRY) LOCP(COND

(MES.TABLE.PTR (\* more entries in the table: check the next one) (SETQ MES.TABLE.ENTRY (CAR MES.TABLE.PTR))

(\* That's it! NEWMES is the new message; it will be sent to the module contained in its TO slot by MES.PROCESSOR)

(RETURN NEWMES])

(MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY [LAMBDA (MES MATCHLST ORFLG)

(\* Tries to match the message with the list in MATCHLST. If ORFLG is T, only one element of the matchlist has to match; the matchlist may start with AND, OR, NOT or NULL in which case the appropriate recursive call is made) (PROG (VAL PROP) (RETURN (COND [MATCHLST (\* There is something to match with: let us try it out...) (COND [(EQ (CAAR MATCHLST) (QUOTE AND)) (\* The match list starts with an AND: we must match everything inside the AND list) (COND (ORFLG (\* we were called with ORFLG=T, then we must either match everything in the AND list, or match some element in the OR list--of which the AND list is only one!) (OR (MATCH.MES.WITH.TAELEENTRY MES (CDAR MATCHLST)) (MATCH.MES.WITHTABLEENTRY MES (CDR MATCHLST) T))) (T (\* otherwise: we were part of another AND list: we must match EVERY thing) (AND (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES (CDAR MATCHLST)) (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES (CDR MATCHLST] [(EQ (CAAR MATCHLST) (\* We go through the same (QUOTE OR)) type of thing for an OR list) (COND (ORFLG (\* We were part of an OR list: we must either match this OR list, or any other element of the upper OR list!) (OR (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES (CDAR MATCHLST) T) (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES (CDR MATCHLST) T))) (T (\* We were part of an AND list: we must match at least one element of this OR list, and all the other elements of the upper AND list)

> (AND (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES (CDAR MATCHLST) T) (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES (CDR MATCHLST]

(ORFLG

(T

(\* We were in an OR list: either we do not match the element of this NULL list, or we match some other element of the upper OR list)

(OR (NULL (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES (CADAR MATCHLST))) (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY

MES (CDR MATCHLST) T)))

(\* We were in an AND list: we must not match the element of the NULL list, and we must match all the other elements of the upper AND list)

> (AND (NULL (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES (CADAR MATCHLST))) (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES (CDR MATCHLST] [(OR (EQ (SETQ PROP (TABLE.ENTRY.GET (CAAR MATCHLST))) (QUOTE ::)) (AND (EQ (SETQ VAL (TABLE.ENTRY.GET (CADAR MATCHLST))) (QUOTE ::)) (MES.GETP MES PROP)) (EQUAL (MES.GETP MES PROP) VAL) (AND (LISTP VAL) (EQ (CAR VAL)(QUOTE ::))

(EVAL (CADR VAL))))

(\* We finally get to the check itself. It will match in any of the following cases: 1.0 the slot name is :: -- this indicates that this part must always match... 2.0 or the slot must exist in the message, and the value in the match list is :: -- this means that we are only checking for the existence of the slot. 3.0 the values of the slots match in the message and the match list. 4.0 the value of the slot is a list starting with :: then we just execute the CDR of the list.

Then, if we were in an OR list: we are through. Otherwise, we must test the other elements of the upper list.)

(OR ORFLG (MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES (CDR MATCHLST]

(ORFLG

he match other ele	failed, but we were in an OR list: try ements)
	(MATCH.MES.WITH.TABLEENTRY MES
	(CDR MATCHLST) T]
(ORFLG	
	(* There is no more to match with, and we were in an OR list: the match failed)
NIL) (T	
	(* There is no more to match with, and we were in an AND list: the match succeeded)
T])	

## (MES.APPLY.ENTRY [LAMEDA (MES PROPVALLST)

- (\* Creates the new message properties given in PROPVALLST)
- (\* Each element of PROPVALLST is a list of 2 elements: a slot name, and a slot value. If the slot name is NIL, the slot value is still evaluated, but no new message slot is created -- supposedly in this case, we are only interested in the side effects of evaluating the slot value...)

(PROG (PROP VAL (LOOPLST PROPVALLST) (NEWMES MES)) LOOP (COND (LOOPLST (SETQ PROP (TABLE.ENTRY.GET (CAAR LOOPLST))) (SETQ VAL (TABLE.ENTRY.GET (CADAR LOOPLST))) (AND PROP (MES.PUT NEWMES PROP VAL)) (SETC LOOPLST (CDR LOOPLST))

(GO LOOP)) (T (RETURN NEWMES])

```
(TAELE.ENTRY.GET
  [LAMEDA (ELT)
                                  (* Evaluates the entry element)
    (COND
      ((OR (ATOM ELT)
           (NEQ (CAR ELT)
                (QUOTE :)))
```

(\* If the element is an atom: return it. If it is a list whose first element is not a : return it too. Otherwise, evaluate each element of the list)

ELT) (T (PROG ((ELTLST (CDR ELT)) RESPONSE) LOOP(COND (ELTLST (SETQ RESPONSE (EVAL (CAR ELTLST))) (SETQ ELTLST (CDR ELTLST)) (GO LOOP)) (T (RETURN RESPONSE]) Appendix D

User Interface Functions

Appendix D

User Interface Functions

(TTYMES [LAMBDA NIL (PROG (MES ARGS PROP FN LOOPLST NUM) (SET.I.FLAG T) (TERPRI) (PRINT1 "Please specify the message properties and values:") (TERPRI) [SETQ MES (LIST (QUOTE TO) (PROGN (TERPRI) (SPACES 3) (PRIN1 "TO") (SPACES 13) (PEEKC NIL T) (CAR (READLINE))) (QUOTE FROM) (QUOTE TTY:) (QUOTE MES.FUNCTION) (QUOTE FN.MES) (\* FN.MES is a function which knows how to call other functions It receives its arguments in a message where MES.FN is the name of the function to call; and it calls that function with the appropriate arguments.) (QUOTE MES.FN) (PROGN (SPACES 3) (PRIN1 "MES.FN") (SPACES 9) (PEEKC NIL T) (SETQ FN (CAR (READLINE] (SETO ARGS (ARGLIST FN)) (SETQ LOOPLST ARGS) (\* ARGS is now the list of arguments of MES.FN) LOOP (SPACES 3) (COND (LOOPLST (SETQ PROP (CAR LOOPLST)) (PRIN1 PROP) (\* this prints the argument name) (SPACES (COND ((IGREATERP 14 (SETO NUM (NCHARS PROP))) (IDIFFERENCE 15 NUM)) (T 1))) (PEEKC NIL T)

(SETQ LOOPLST (CDR LOOPLST)) (SETQ MES (APPEND MES (LIST PROP))) [SETQ MES (APPEND MES (COND ((READLINE)) (\* we get the argument value from the user) (T (LIST NIL] (GO LOOP)) ((SETQ PROP (PROGN (PEEKC NIL T) (READLINE))) (\* we check if the user wants to add to the message) (SETQ MES (APPEND MES PROP)) [OR (CDR PROP) (SETQ MES (APPEND MES (LIST NIL] [SETQ ARGS (APPEND ARGS (LIST (CAR PROP] (\* if yes: we add it and its value to the message) (GO LOOP))) (TERPRI) (TERPRI) (PRINT1 "Thank you.") (\* it always pays to be polite) (SETQ MES (NCONC1 MES (QUOTE ARGS))) (SETQ MES (APPEND MES (LIST ARGS))) (TERPRI) (QUEUE.MES (APPLY (QUOIE CREATE.MES) MES)) (\* finally the message is created and queued)

(RETFROM (QUOTE USEREXEC])

(FN.MES [LAMEDA (MES) (PROG [LIST (ARGS (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE ARGS] LOOP (COND (ARGS [OR (EQ (CAR ARGS) (QUOTE MES.FN)) (SETQ LIST (NCONC1 LIST (MES.GETP MES (CAR ARGS] (SETQ AHGS (CDR ARGS)) (GO LOOP))) (APPLY (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE MES.FN)) LIST]

(PRINT.TTYMES [LAMBDA (MES) (PROG (ID) (WRITE (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE MES.FUNCTION)) "message"

(MES.GETP MES (QUOTE MES.ID)) "received from" (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE FROM))) (TERPRI) (TERPRI) LOOP(SETQ ID (ASKUSER NIL NIL Which action do you wish to take? " (QUOTE ((R "eply to it " RETURN (QUOTE R)) (F "orward it " RETURN (QUOTE F)) (P "rint it " RETURN (QUOTE P)) (S "uspend " RETURN (CUOTE S) EXPLAINSTRING "Suspend plan and save message") (D "efer answer " RETURN (QUOTE D) EXPLAINSTRING "Defer answer (message saved and plan continued)") (B "y-pass " RETURN (QUOTE B) EXPLAINSTRING "By-pass question (plan continued but message lost)") (% "Reply to it " NOECHOFLG T RETURN (QUOTE R) EXPLAINSTRING "<CR> may also be used to reply to the message."))) T)) (COND ((EQ ID (QUOTE P)) (\* the user wants to see the message: show it to him) (WRITE "It contains the following information:") (TERPRI) (for ELEMENT in MES do (WRITE (CAR ELEMENT) (CDR ELEMENT))) (GO LOOP)) ((EQ ID (QUOTE R)) (\* the user wants to reply to the message: call REPLY.MES) (REPLY.MES MES)) ((EQ ID (QUOTE S)) (\* the user wants to suspend planning: call SAVE.MES) (SAVE.MES MES)) ((EQ ID (QUOTE B)) (\* the user wants to by-pass the question: call REPLY.MES to send back a null answer) (REPLY.MES MES T))

(\* the user wants to forward the message: call FORWARD.MES) (FORWARD.MES MES)) ((EQ ID (QUOTE D)) (\* the user wants to defer the answer: call SAVE.MES to save the message, then REPLY.MES to send back a null answer) (SAVE.MES MES) (REPLY.MES MES T]) (SAVE.MES (\* saves the message for later use. asks for an ID under which it will save the message, or generates one.) [LAMEDA (MES) (PROG (ID) LOOP[SETQ ID (ASKUSER NIL NIL "ID for saved message: " (QUOTE ((\$ EXPLAINSTRING "a number needed to save the message: this number may then be used in REPLY.MES and FORWARD.MES later on.") (% "" RETURN NIL EXPLAINSTRING "<CR> may also be used: then, the ID is automatically generated" NOECHOFLG T] [ COND ((NULL ID) (PRINT1 (SETQ ID (SETQ MES.SAVED.ID (ADD1 MES.SAVED.ID] (COND ((NUMBERP ID) (PUTHASH ID MES MES.SAVED.HARRAY)) (T (PRINT1 "?") (GO LOOP]) (REPLY.MES [LAMBDA (MES FLG) (\* builds a reply message to MES) (PROG (TTYFLG NEWMES ARGS) [ COND ((NUMBERP MES) (\* first get the saved message) (SETQ TTYFLG T) (SETQ MES (GETHASH MES MES.SAVED.HARRAY] (COND (FLG (GC END))) (\* if FLG is set, we just want to send a NULL message to continue the operations) [ COND ((SETQ ARGS (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE ASK.TO.TTY))) (\* a "specialized" function exists: use it)

((EQ ID (QUOTE F))

```
(SETQ NEWMES (APPLY* ARGS MES))
              (SETC ARGS)
              (COND
                ([ASKUSER NIL NIL
               .,
Do you want to add anything else to the message? "
                           (QUOTE ((Y "es
" RETURN T)
                                   (N "o
" RETURN NIL)
                                   (%
 "No
" NOECHOFLG T RETURN NIL EXPLAINSTRING
 "<CR> may also be used to say no."]
                   (GO LOOP))
                (T (GO END]
          (COND
            ((SETQ ARGS (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE ARGS)))
        (* no specialized function: let us do it the hard way)
              (PRINT1 "Please, specify the following values
")))
      LOOP[COND
            (ARGS (SPACES 3)
        (* for each property in ARGS, ask a value to the user)
                   (PRIN1 (CAR ARGS))
                   (SPACES (COND
                             [(IGREATERP 15 (NCHAR3 (CAR ARGS)))
                               (IDIFFERENCE 15 (NCHARS (CAR ARGS]
                             (T 15)))
                  (PEEKC NIL T)
                (* and put the property value pair in the message)
                  (SETQ NEWMES (APPEND (LIST (CAR ARGS)
                                              (CAR (READLINE)))
                                        NEWMES))
                   (SETO ARGS (CDR ARGS))
                   (GO LOOP))
            (T (SPACES 2)
                 (* then ask for more property/value pairs)
                (PRIN1 "#")
                (PEEKC NIL T)
                (COND
                  ((SETQ ARGS (READLINE))
                    (SETQ NEWMES (APPEND ARGS NEWMES))
                    (SETC ARGS)
                    (GO LOOP]
                 (* we build the complete reply message)
      END (SETQ NEWMES (APPEND (LIST (QUOTE TO))
                                      (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE FROM))
                                      (QUOTE FROM)
                                      (OR (MES.GETV MES (QUOTE
```

ORIGINAL.FROM)) (QUOTE TTY:)) (QUOTE MES.FUNCTION) (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE RETURN.MES.TO)) (QUOTE IN.RESPONSE.TO) MES) NEWMES)) (QUEUE.MES (APPLY (QUOTE CREATE.MES) NEWMES)) (\* and queue the result) (COND (TTYFLG (RETFROM (QUOTE USEREXEC]) definition of We now give, as an example, the REQUEST.ASSIGN.FROM.TTY which is the "specialized" function used in the ACS session of section V. (REQUEST.ASSIGN.FROM.TTY [LAMBDA (MES) (PROG ((MODEL.NAME (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE MODEL.NAME))) (ID (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE REQUEST.ID))) (RES.NAME (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE RES.NAME))) (START (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE START))) (END (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE END))) (EST (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE EST))) (LST (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE LST))) (EET (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE EET))) (LET (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE LET))) X Y Z LST1) (TERPRI) (MAPPRINQ ("Need an assignment of a " RES.NAME " for " MODEL.NAME " number " ID "." TERPRI "Current requirements are as follows: " TERPRI)) [ COND ((LISTP START) "Start time: (CAR START) "." TERPRI] [COND ((LISTP END) (MAPPRINQ ((TAB 5) "End time: " (CAR END) "." TERPRI] [COND (EST (MAPPRINQ ((TAB 5) "Earliest start time desired: " (CAR EST)

"." TERPRI] [ COND (LST (SETQ LST1 LST) (MAPPRINQ ((TAB 5) "Latest start time desired: " (CAR LST1) "." TERPRI] [COND (EET (MAPPRINQ ((TAE 5) "Earliest end time desired: " (CAR EET) "." TERPRI] [ COND (LET (MAPPRINQ ((TAB 5) "Latest end time desired: " (CAR LET) "." TERPRI] (TERPRI) (MAPPRINQ ((TAB 10) "Enter name of " RES.NAME " assigned," TERPRI (TAB 10) "or <CR> to defer assignment: ")) [SETQ X (CAR (ASKUSER NIL NIL "" (QUCTE ((% NIL RETURN NIL EXPLAINSTRING "<CR> to defer assignment") (\$ NIL RETURN ANSWER EXPLAINSTRING "name of a resource"] [ COND ((NULL X) (RETURN NIL)) [(AND (LISTP START) (LISTP END)) (COND ([ASKUSER NIL NIL "Are the start and end times OK? " (QUOTE ((Y "es " RETURN T) (N "O " RETURN NIL) (% "yes " RETURN T NOECHOFLG T EXPLAINSTRING "<CR> may also be used for yes"] (SETQ Y (CAR START)) (SETQ Z (CAR END)) (GO L] ((AND (NULL (LISTP START)) (NULL (LISTP END)) LST EET) (COND ([ASKUSER NIL NIL

"Are the latest end and earliest start times OK? " (QUOTE ((Y "es " RETURN T) (N "o " RETURN NIL) (% "yes " RETURN T NOECHOFLG T EXPLAINSTRING "<CR> may also be used for yes"] (SETQ Y (CAR LST)) (SETQ Z (CAR EET)) (GO L] (MAPPRINQ ((TAB 10) "Enter start time of assignment: ")) (SETQ Y (READ)) (MAPPRINQ ((TAE 10) "Enter end time of assignment: ")) (SETQ Z (READ)) (RETURN (LIST (QUOTE NAME) L Х (QUOTE START) Y (QUOTE END) Z]) (FORWARD.MES (\* forward the message) [LAMEDA (MES) (PROG (TTYFLG NEWMES) [ COND ((NUMBERP MES) (\* first get the message itself) (SETQ TTYFLG T) (SETQ MES (GETHASH MES MES.SAVED.HARRAY] (PRIN1 "To: ") (PEEKC NIL T) (\* get the destination from the user) (MES.PUT MES (QUOTE TC) (CAR (READLINE))) [ COND ((ASKUSER NIL NIL "Do you want the originator of the message to be TTY :? " (QUOTE ((Y "es " RETURN T) (N "O " RETURN NIL) (% "Yes " NOECHOFLG T RETURN T EXPLAINSTRING "<CR> may also be used for yes."))) T) [MES.PUT MES (QUOTE ORIGINAL.FROM) (OR (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE ORIGINAL.FROM)) (MES.GETP MES (QUOTE FROM]

# DISTRIBUTION LIST

Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12 copies
Office of Naval Research Information Systems Program Code 437 Arlington, Virginia 22217	2 copies
Office of Naval Research Code 102IP Arlington, Virginia 22217	6 copies
Office of Naval Research Branch Office, Boston 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1 сору
Office of Naval Research Branch Office, Chicago 536 South Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605	1 сору
Office of Naval Research Branch Office, Pasadena 1030 East Green Street	1 сору
New York Area Office 715 Broadway - 5th Floor New York, New York 10003	1 сору
Naval Research Laboratory Technical Information Division Code 2627 Washington, D.C. 20375	6 copies
Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Code RD-1 Washington, D.C. 20380	1 сору
Office of Naval Research Code 455 Arlington, Virginia 22217	1 сору
Office of Naval Research Code 458 Arlington, Virginia 22217	1 сору

Naval Ocean Systems Center Advanced Software Technology Division Code 822 San Diego, California 92152	1 сору
Mr. E. H. Gleissner Naval Ship Research & Dev. Center Computation and Mathematics Dept. Bethesda, Maryland 20084	1 сору
Captain Grace M. Hopper NAICOM/MIS PLANNING BRANCH (OP-916D) Office of Chief of Naval Operations Washington, D.C. 20350	1 сору
Mr. Kin B. Thompson Technical Director Information Systems Division (OP-91T) Office of Chief of Naval Operations Washington, D.C. 20350	1 сору
Director National Security Agency Attn: Mr. Glick Fort George G. Meade, Maryland 20755	1 сору
Naval Aviation Integrated Logistic Support Center Code 800 Patuxent River, Maryland 20670	1 сору
Professor Omar Wing Columbia University in the City of New York Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science New York, New York 10027	1 сору
Mr. M. Culpetter Code 183 Naval Ship Research and Development Center Eethesda, Maryland 20084	1 сору
Mr. D. Jefferson Code 188 Naval Ship Research and Development Center Bethesda, Maryland 20084	1 сору

Robert C. Kolb, Head Code 824 Tactical Command Control and Navigation Division Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 92152	1 сору
Defense Mapping Agency Topographic Center Attn: Advanced Technology Division Code 41300 (Mr. W. Mullison) 6500 Brookes Lane Washington, D.C. 20315	1 сору
Commander, Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the NAvy Attn: PMS 30611 Washington, D.C. 20362	1 сору
Professor Mike Athans MIT Dept. of Elec. Eng. & Comp. Science 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1 сору
Captain Richard L. Martin Cmd. Officer, USS Francis Marion LPA-249 FPC, New York 09051	1 сору