

AD-A063 286

ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GR--ETC F/G 6/20
TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT--ETC(U)
FEB 78 A W SINGER, J G HARVEY
USAEHA-75-51-0887-79

UNCLASSIFIED

| OF |

AD
A063 286



NL

END
DATE
FILMED

3--79
DDC

AD A063286



DDC FILE COPY.

A
E
H
A

LEVEL II

12
NW

**UNITED STATES ARMY
ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE
AGENCY**

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36416
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY
CHEMICAL-AMIDE
STUDY NO. 75-51-0887-79
MAY 1976 - FEBRUARY 1978



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

79 01 11 257

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

USAEHA- REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 75-51-0887-79	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 90 Rept.
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent AI3-36416, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Chemical - Amide		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final May 76 - Feb 78
7. AUTHOR(s) ALLEN W. SINGER JOHN G. HARVEY, JR.		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 75-51-0887-79
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Health Services Command Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 11 Feb 78
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 12 14p.		12. REPORT DATE May 76 - Feb 78
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 7
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) USDA Proprietary Chemical - Amide skin irritation AI3-36416 eye irritation Topical Hazard Evaluation candidate repellent		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A preliminary hazard evaluation of AI3-36416 was performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits and guinea pigs. The technical grade compound did not produce eye or skin irritation, or cause a photochemical irritation in rabbits, did not sensitize guinea pigs and did not demonstrate an acute ingestion hazard. It was recommended that AI3-36416 be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

CPT Singer/cw/584-3980

HSE-LT-T/WP

29 DEC 1978

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent,
AI3-36416, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Chemical-Amide,
Study No. 75-51-0887-79, May 1976-February 1978

Executive Secretary
Armed Forces Pest Control Board
Forest Glen Section, WRAMC
Washington, DC 20012

A summary of the pertinent findings and recommendations of the inclosed report follows:

A preliminary hazard evaluation of AI3-36416 was performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. The technical grade compound did not produce eye or skin irritation, or cause a photochemical irritation in rabbits, did not sensitize guinea pigs and did not demonstrate an acute ingestion hazard. It was recommended that AI3-36416 be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl
as (4 cy)

BRENDAN E. JOYCE, Ph.D.
LTC, MSC
Director, Laboratory Services

CF:
HQDA (DASG-PSP)
Cdr, HSC (HSPA-P)
Dir, Advisory Ctr on Tox, NRC
Supt, AHS (HSA-IHE)
USDA, ARS (Dr. Terrence McGovern)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

HSE-LT-T/WP

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36416
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY
CHEMICAL-AMIDE
STUDY NO. 75-51-0887-79
MAY 1976 - FEBRUARY 1978

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	

1. AUTHORITY.

a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man Research Laboratory, Gainesville, FL, 4 November 1977.

b. Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of the Army; Office of The Surgeon General; the US Army Health Services Command; the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture, effective 1970 with Amendment No. 1 effective August 1974.

2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA), 1972, revised 1976.

3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-36416.

4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. A hazard evaluation of the candidate insect repellent AI3-36416, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Chemical-Amide, was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows.*†

* In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 74-23, revised 1972 - second printing 1974.

† The experiments reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Study No. 75-51-0887-79, May 76-Feb 78

TABULAR PRESENTATION OF DATA

Test	Results	Interpretation
<u>SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.	Compound AI3-36416 produced no primary irritation of the intact skin or to the skin surrounding an abrasion.	USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix)
0.5 ml technical grade compound applied to each of six rabbits.		
<u>EYE IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
Single 24-hour application of 0.1 ml technical grade compound to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.	Compound AI3-36416 did not produce any injury to the cornea and only a very mild injury to conjunctiva in six out of six rabbits.	USAEHA Category A (ref Appendix)
<u>APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD)</u>		
<u>Oral</u>		
Rats (male) - no diluent	ALD = 2200 mg/kg	Presents little lethal hazard from accidental ingestion.

Test	Results	Interpretation
<u>PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
A single application (0.05 ml) of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of the compound (AI3-36416) and a 10 percent oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol were applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 nm) for 30 minutes at a distance of 10-15 cm.	A 25 percent solution of AI3-36416 in ethanol did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions. Ethanol solutions of AI3-36416 caused a moderate irritation at both UV and non-UV skin sites. Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated skin areas.	Compound AI3-36416 did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions and is not expected to cause a photochemical irritation reaction in humans. Ethanol solutions of AI3-36416 may cause skin irritation in some sensitive individuals. Personnel experiencing this reaction should wash off the solution as soon as possible.
<u>Control</u>		
Following UV exposures of the rabbits, 0.05 ml of test compound, positive control and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites. Application areas were checked for skin irritation at 24, 48 and 72 hours.		

Study No. 75-51-0887-79, May 76-Feb 78


Test	Results	Interpretation
<u>SENSITIZATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Guinea Pigs (male)</u>		
Intradermal injections of 0.1 ml of a 0.1 percent suspension (w/v) of AI3-36416 or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)* in a mixture containing 1 volume propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.		
Ten test guinea pigs received and challenged with a 0.1 percent solution of AI3-36416.	Challenge dose of test compound (last intradermal injection) did not produce a sensitization reaction.	Compound AI3-36416 did not produce a sensitization reaction under these test conditions
Ten positive control guinea pigs received and challenged with a 0.1 percent suspension of DNCB.	Positive control (DNCB) produced a marked sensitization reaction in 10 out of 10 guinea pigs.	and is not expected to cause a sensitization reaction in humans.

* A known skin sensitizer


Study No. 75-51-0887-79, May 76-Feb 78

5. CONCLUSION. Technical grade compound AI3-36416 presents no acute hazard from eye, skin, photochemical or sensitization contact or from acute ingestion. Ethanol solutions of AI3-36416 may cause irritation in sensitive individuals.

6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding (paragraph 1b), it is recommended that AI3-36416, USDA Proprietary Chemical-Amide, be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent. Ethanol solutions of this compound may cause skin irritation in sensitive individuals and, if experienced, the site should be washed with copious amounts of water.



ALLEN W. SINGER
CPT, VC
General Veterinary Officer
Toxicology Division



JOHN G. HARVEY, JR.
Biological Laboratory Technician
Toxicology Division

APPROVED:



ARTHUR H. MCCREESH, Ph.D.
Chief, Toxicology Division

APPENDIX

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING
CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

CATEGORY I - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals. prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.

B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.

C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.

Study No. 75-51-0887-79, May 76 - Feb 78

D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.

E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.

F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.