

	oF A063155					A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T		
	Contraction of the second seco		and the second s		No.			X
4					1111 1111 1111			 +
	fin bh		-					-
						niis	END DATE FILMED 3-79 DDC	







PAGE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	1
<pre>1.1 General 1.2 Description of Project 1.3 Pertinent Data</pre>	1 1 2
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA	4
 2.1 Design 2.2 Construction 2.3 Operation 2.4 Other Investigations 2.5 Evaluation 	4 5 5 5 5
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	7
<pre>3.1 Findings 3.2 Evaluation</pre>	7 8
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL FEATURES	9
 4.1 Procedures 4.2 Maintenance of the Dam 4.3 Maintenace of Operating Facilities 4.4 Warning System in Effect 4.5 Evaluation 	9 9 9 9
SECTION 5 - HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY	10
5.1 Evaluation of Features	10
SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	11
6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability	11
SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS/REMEDIAL MEASURES	12
7.1 Dam Assessment7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures	12 12

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited


69 01 10 045

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

PLATES APPENDIX A - CHECKLIST, VISUAL INSPECTION, PHASE I APPENDIX B - CHECKLIST, ENGINEERING DATA, DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, PHASE I APPENDIX C - PHOTOGRAPHS APPENDIX D - CALCULATIONS APPENDIX E - REGIONAL GEOLOGY

Controot DACW31-78-C-0049

ABCESSION	ter
ETIS DDS GUANHOUNG METIFICAT PEC SY OT	DDC Forms
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	



ORIGINAL CONTAINS COLOR PLATES: ALL DDG REPRODUCTIONS WILL BE IN BLACK AND WHITE.

ii

PHASE I REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

NAME OF DAM: Lloydell Dam STATE LOCATED: Pennsylvania COUNTY LOCATED: Cambria STREAM: South Fork of Little Conemaugh River DATE OF INSPECTION: (May 18 and 30, 1978)

ASSESSMENT: Based on the evaluation of the conditions as they existed on the dates of inspection and as revealed by visual observations, the condition of Lloydell Dam is assessed to be good.

The spillway does not have the recommended capacity to pass the probable maximum flood without overtopping. However, the dam is a masonry structure, and overtopping by the probable maximum flood would not significantly affect the stability of the dam. Therefore, the spillway capacity is considered to be adequate. However, during unusually heavy runoff, when overtopping might occur, an around-theclock surveillance plan should be implemented to detect possible problems, such as rapid erosion of the abutments.

It is recommended that the owner should develop a formal warning system to alert the downstream residents in the event of emergencies. It is also recommended that the owner should take necessary measures to improve the accessibility of the site during high flows.



Januar Dhallen

Incentino

Lawrence D. Andersen, P.E. Vice President

APPROVED BY:


G. K. WITHERS Colonel. Corps of Engineers District Engineer

6 Jul 78 DATE:

LLOYDELL DAM NDS 1.D. NO. 500 MAY 18, 1978

5

1



Upstream Face



Downstream Face

197

PHASE I NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM LLOYDELL DAM NDS I.D. NO. 500

SECTION 1 PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. <u>Authority</u>. The inspection was performed pursuant to the authority granted by The National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, to the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to conduct inspections of dams throughout the United States.

b. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this inspection is to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property.

The Lloydell

1.2 Description of Project

a. Dam and Appurtenances. The dam is a masonry-gravity structure approximately 700 feet long with a maximum height of 43 feet from the downstream toe, (Plates 1 and 2). The single spillway is a 200-foot-long section of the dam which is two feet below the crest of the dam. The flow over the spillway is controlled by a cut stone weir. The spillway discharges down the face of the dam to a riprapped plunge pool. The outlet works consist of a 24-inch cast-iron "blow-off" pipe and a 16-inch cast-iron supply line, both located near the right side of the spillway. Discharge through these pipes is controlled by valves located in the valve house near the toe of the dam. The 24-inch "blow-off" pipe constitutes the emergency drawdown system for the dam. The dam impounds 635 acrefeet of water.

b. Location. Lloydell Dam is located (Plate 3) on the South Fork of Little Conemaugh River, four miles south of the town of Lloydell in Adams Township, Cambria County, Pennsylvania. The impounded reservoir serves as a domestic water supply source.

Downstream from the dam, the South Fork of Little Conemaugh River flows through a narrow and steep uninhabited valley for about four miles and then through the towns of Lloydell and Beaverdale. A distribution reservoir with less than half an acre surface area is located about two miles downstream from the dam. There are approximately 10 houses in the town of Lloydell and 20 houses and two commercial buildings in the town of Beaverdale, which are considered to be the main impact area of a flood. It is estimated that a failure of the dam would also cause considerable loss of life and property damage along the course of the South Fork of Little Conemaugh River below these towns.

- c. Size Classification. Intermediate (based on 43-foot height).
- d. Hazard Classification. High.
- e. Ownership. Highland Sewer and Water Authority.
- f. Purpose of Dam. Water supply.

g. <u>Design and Construction History</u>. Lloydell Dam was designed and constructed by the American Pipe Manufacturing Company. The construction of the dam was completed in 1906.

h. Normal Operating Procedure. The reservoir is maintained at spillway level, Elevation 2386 (USGS Datum), leaving two feet of freeboard to the top of the dam at Elevation 2388. All inflow occurring when the reservoir level is at the spillway crest or above is discharged over the uncontrolled spillway. The supply water is taken from the 16-inch supply line.

1.3 Pertinent Data

0

- a. Drainage Area 8.0 square miles (Plate 3)
- b. Discharge at Dam Site (cfs)

Maximum known flood at dam site - 1.17 feet over spillway in 1936 Warm water outlet at pool elevation - N/A Diversion tunnel low pool outlet at pool elevation - N/A Gated spillway capacity at pool elevation - N/A Ungated spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation - N/A Ungated spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation -1755

Total spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation - 1755

c. Elevation (USGS Datum)(feet)

Top of dam - 2388 Maximum pool-design surcharge - N/A Full flood control pond - N/A Recreation pool - N/A Spillway crest - 2386 Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel - 2340 Downstream portal invert diversion tunnel - 2335+ Streambed at center line of dam - 2346+ Maximum tailwater - Unknown

d. Reservoir (feet)

0

Length of maximum pool - 3000 Length of recreation pool - N/A Length of flood control pool - N/A

e. Storage (acre-feet)

Recreation pool (normal pool) - 635 Flood control pool - N/A Design surcharge - 84 Top of dam - 719

f. Reservoir Surface (acres)

Top of dam - 42+ Maximum pool - N/A Flood control pool - N/A Recreation pool - N/A Spillway crest - 42

g. Dam

Type - Masonry gravity Length - 700 feet Height - 43 feet Top width - 7 feet Side slopes - Vertical upstream; 2H:3V downstream Zoning - N/A Impervious core - N/A Cutoff - N/A Grout curtain - Unknown

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel

Type - 24-inch-diameter cast-iron pipe Length - 200+ feet Closure - Gate valve Access - At valve house Regulating Facilities - Gate valve

i. Spillway

Type - Overflow section of dam Length of weir - 200 feet Crest elevation - 2386 feet Gates - None Upstream channel - Lake Downstream channel - Natural stream

SECTION 2 ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

a. Data Available

(1) <u>Hydrology and Hydraulics</u>. Review of the information in the files of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Environmental Resources (PennDER), showed that there are no original hydrology and hydraulic design data available for the dam. However, a state inspection report entitled, <u>Report Upon the Lloydell Dam</u>, dated October 22, 1914, states the criteria used to size the spillway.

(2) <u>Dam</u>. No design information is available. The 1914 inspection report includes the results of an independent stability analysis.

- (3) Appurtenant Structures. No design information is available.
- b. Design Features

(1) <u>Dam</u>. As designed, the dam is a "boulder concrete" wall faced with rubble masonry. Plate 1 illustrates the typical cross section of the dam. It consists of an essentially vertical upstream face and a two horizontal to three vertical (2:3) sloping downstream face. The crest is capped with cut stones 7 feet long and 2 feet thick.

The drawings indicate that the dam was founded on rock. A state inspection report entitled, <u>Report Upon the Lloydell Dam</u>, dated October 22, 1914, describes the foundation condition of the dam as follows: "The writer is informed that numerous test pits were made during the preliminary investigations for the foundation and that these test pits were carried down to solid sandstone. The geological formation at the dam site is horizontal strata composed mainly of sandstone and shale, with traces of coal. The dam as constructed was carried down to solid rock throughout its length, it having been extended into the hillsides at each end to that point where the solid rock is at the elevation of the top of the dam. The maximum depth of the excavation below the original ground surface is about 25 feet."

(2) <u>Appurtement Structures</u>. The spillway is a low section of the dam. The crest of the spillway is capped with rounded hand-cut stones, approximately 7 feet wide and 2 feet thick. The flow from the spillway discharges down the face of the dam to a riprapped plunge pool.

c. Design Data

(1) <u>Hydrology and Hydraulics</u>. The 1914 inspection report states that the spillway of the dam was designed to discharge a flow of 250 cubic feet per second (cfs) per square mile of watershed, for a total flow of 1980 cfs.

(2) Dam. The 1914 report states that the factor of safety of the dam against overturning ranges between 1.61 to 2.21. The higher factor of safety corresponds to the assumption of no hydrostatic pressure at the base of the dam, and the lower factor of safety corresponds to hydrostatic uplift pressure of zero at the downstream toe and two-thirds of the total head at the upstream toe.

(3) <u>Appurtenant Structures</u>. No design data were found relative to the design of appurtenant structures except as stated above.

2.2 <u>Construction</u>. No information was found concerning the construction of the dam other than several photographs taken during construction. The photographs indicate that the dam was founded on rock. The 1914 inspection report states that the construction of the dam was under the direction of Mr. J. W. Ledoux, the Chief Engineer of the American Pipe Manufacturing Company.

2.3 <u>Operation</u>. There are no formal operating records available for this dam. As designed, the dam serves as a water supply reservoir. The supply water from the reservoir discharges through a 16-inch pipe, controlled by valves located in the valve house at the downstream toe of the dam and joins the transmission system.

The 30-inch "blow-off" pipe is also controlled by a valve in the valve house. It discharges directly into the stream.

2.4 <u>Other Investigations</u>. The available information indicated no investigations other than the periodic inspections conducted by the state.

2.5 Evaluation

a. <u>Availability</u>. A very limited amount of engineering data for the dam is available in PennDER files.

b. Adequacy

(1) <u>Hydrology and Hydraulics</u>. Available engineering data are not adequate to assess the structure. Only the design capacity of the spillway is reported. (2) <u>Dam</u>. Although no original design data are available, the 1914 inspection report states the results of an independent stability analysis which considered the stability of the structure against overturning with and without hyd ostatic uplift pressure. Although the reported analysis procedure appears to be satisfactory in general, the calculations were not available for review.

(3) <u>Appurtement Structures</u>. The flow from the "blow-off" and supply lines through the dam are controlled by valves located at the downstream side of the dam. Therefore, these pipes are always under pressure through the dam. However, this design feature is not considered to be a deficiency for masonry or concrete dams.

c. <u>Operating Records</u>. To the best knowledge of the water company personnel, no operating difficulties have been encountered in the recent past. A state inspection report dated May 14, 1936, states that during the flood in March 1936, the maximum depth of flow over the spillway was 1.17 feet. Although not observed or reported, it appears that the 1977 flow over the spillway may have been higher than the flow in 1936, comparing the intensity of both storms.

d. <u>Post-Construction Changes</u>. There have been no reported significant modifications to the original dam design. However, in 1964, a riser pipe was constructed on the inlet of the supply line.

e. <u>Seismic Stability</u>. The dam is located in Seismic Zone 1 and static stability of the dam is considered to be adequate. Therefore, based on the recommended criteria for evaluation of seismic stability of dams, the structure is assumed to present no hazard from earthquake.

SECTION 3 VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. <u>General</u>. The on-site inspection of Lloydell Dam consisted of:

- 1. Visual inspection of the retaining structure, abutments, and toe.
- Visual examination of the spillway and its components, the downstream end of the outlet pipe, and other appurtenant features.
- 3. Observation of factors affecting the runoff potential of the drainage basin.
- 4. Evaluation of downstream area hazard potential.

The specific observations are illustrated in Plate 4 and in the photographs in Appendix C.

b. Dam. The general inspection of the retaining structure consisted of searching for indications of structural distress, such as cracks, and deterioration of rock surfaces, seepage areas, and observing general maintenance conditions and other surficial features.

- The entire downstream face of the dam was found to be wet. Approximately five concentrated seeps were observed through the dam over a distance of approximately 125 feet on the left of the spillway. The discharge from the seeps was estimated to be in the range of 2 to 5 gallons per minute (gpm).
- Over a distance of approximately 100 feet on the left side of the spillway, the joint mortar on the facing stones was found to be spalled and grass and small trees were growing on the joints.
- Four coping stones adjacent to the left side of the spillway were cracked and appeared to be loose.

- 4. A major spring discharging approximately 50 gpm was found on the left abutment approximately 300 feet downstream from the dam at an elevation approximately 3 feet below the dam crest.
- 5. One concentrated seepage point was found on the right abutment. It was located approximately at the toe level and 100 feet downstream from the dam. The flow was estimated to be 5 to 10 gpm.
- Numerous grout holes were observed on the crest of the dam. The appearance of the grout material suggests that the grouting was done at various times in the past.

c. <u>Appurtenant Structures</u>. The spillway crests and plunge pools were examined for deterioration or other signs of distress and obstructions that would limit flow. No signs of apparent distress or erosion were observed.

d. <u>Reservoir Area</u>. The watershed is predominantly covered with woodlands and infiltration capacity is estimated to be good. There appeared to be no major land clearing activities or other operations that would significantly increase the runoff rate of the drainage basin.

The shorelines are not considered to be susceptible to massive landslides which would affect the storage volume of the reservoir or cause overtopping of the dam by displaced water.

e. <u>Downstream Channel</u>. The South Fork of Little Conemaugh River flows through a narrow and steep valley before reaching the towns of Lloydell and Beaverdale. Sketches of the bridges over the stream in the first three-mile reach below the dam are included in Appendix A. The two bridges over the stream in the towns of Lloydell and Beaverdale are shown in Photographs 9 and 10, respectively. The downstream channel was described in Section 1.2.

3.2 <u>Evaluation</u>. In general, the condition of the dam is considered to be good. However, repairs to the stone facing joints would be required to avoid further damage due to frost action. Clearing of brush from the face and toe area of the dam is also required to permit adequate continued inspection of the toe area.

SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL FEATURES

4.1 <u>Procedures</u>. A review of the design drawings and field observations indicates that there are no formal procedures for operating the dam. The only operational feature of the dam which may affect the safety of the dam is the outlet pipe valve, in case it is required to lower the reservoir.

The clearing of debris from the spillway and removal of the brush from the downstream face and toe area as it is required and continued inspection of the facilities by the dam tender are the principal maintenance operations which would affect safety.

4.2 <u>Maintenance of the Dam</u>. The overall maintenance conditions of the dam are considered to be fair. Removal of the brush from the downstream toe area would be required to facilitate adequate inspection of the toe area.

4.3 <u>Maintenance of Operating Facilities</u>. On the date of the field inspection, the "blow-off" pipe was operated by water company personnel and was observed to be functional.

4.4 <u>Warning System in Effect</u>. There is no formal flood warning system in effect. The dam tender resides in Johnstown, approximately 15 miles from the dam site. Water company personnel reported that the dam tender visits the dam daily. However, the dam site is not accessible during periods of high stream flow. No communication facilities are available at the dam site.

4.5 <u>Evaluation</u>. The maintenance condition of the dam is considered to be fair. The dam is not accessible under all weather conditions for inspection and emergency action purposes. The access road is located on the flood plain of the stream. The main stream and several tributaries of the South Fork of Little Conemaugh River flow over the access road at various locations.

SECTION 5 HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY

5.1 <u>Evaluation of Features</u>. No formal hydrology and hydraulic calculations are available for this dam.

a. <u>Design Data</u>. Lloydell Dam has a watershed area of 8.0 square miles and impounds a reservoir with a surface area of 41 acres. A 200-foot-wide spillway flowing over the dam constitutes the flood discharge system for the impoundment. The flow through the spillway is controlled by a stone weir at an elevation two feet below the crest of the dam. As it presently exists, the spillway has a maximum discharge capacity of approximately 1750 cfs with no freeboard.

b. Experience Data. As previously stated, Lloydell Dam is classified to be an "intermediate" size dam in the "high" hazard category. Under the recommended criteria for evaluating emergency spillway discharge capacity, such impoundments are required to pass the probable maximum flood (PMF).

The adequacy of the spillway was analyzed based on the simplified procedure developed by the Baltimore District, Corps of Engineers (Appendix D). Based on this analysis procedure, it was determined that the PMF inflow hydrograph would have a peak of 12,800 cfs and a total volume of approximately 11,000 acre-feet. These values are greater than the spillway capacity (1750 cfs) and the reservoir flood storage volume (84 acre-feet). Therefore, the spillway is not capable of passing the PMF flow without overtopping. Further analysis, according to the procedure, indicated that the spillway can pass a maximum flow of approximately 14 percent of the PMF without overtopping. In the event of full PMF, the depth of overtopping over the entire dam was determined to be approximately 2.6 feet.

c. <u>Visual Observations</u>. On the date of inspection, no conditions were observed which would indicate that the spillway of the dam could not operate satisfactorily in the event of a flood.

d. <u>Overtopping Potential</u>. As stated above, the dam will be overtopped during a flood whose magnitude exceeds 14 percent PMF. However, because it is a masonry dam, overtopping is not considered to significantly affect the overall stability of the dam.

e. <u>Spillway Adequacy</u>. Based on the observations stated above, flood discharge capacity of the dam is considered to be adequate.

SECTION 6 STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. <u>Visual Observations</u>. As discussed in Section 3, the field observations did not reveal any signs of distress that would significantly affect the stability of the dam and none were reported in the past.

b. <u>Design and Construction Data</u>. It appears that the original design incorporated stability analyses for the dam. Visual observations and past inspection reports indicate that the structure was constructed with reasonable care.

As a part of this inspection, the stability of the dam was reevaluated by an independent preliminary analysis. The preliminary stability analysis indicated that the factor of safety against overturning is 1.5 when pool level is at the crest level of the dam and 1.3 when the dam is overtopped by 2.6 feet. Sliding shear stresses for the two loading conditions were determined to be 19 and 22 psi, respectively. The sliding shear stresses are within the range of allowable shear strength of sandstones typical to the area on which the dam is reported to be founded. This analysis indicates that the dam is stable, concurring with the results of an analysis noted in the 1914 inspection report.

c. <u>Operating Records</u>. The structural stability of the dam is not considered to be affected by the operational features of the dam.

d. <u>Post-Construction Changes</u>. There have been no reported modifications to the original design that would affect the structural stability of the structure.

SECTION 7 ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. <u>Safety</u>. The visual observations and review of available information indicate that Lloydell Dam is in good condition. It appears that the structure was built and has been maintained with reasonable care with the means available at the time of construction. The capacity of the spillway was found to be inadequate (16 percent PMF) relative to the spillway capacity criteria established by the Corps of Engineers. However, because an overtopping would not cause failure of the dam, flood discharge capacity of the dam was considered to be adequate.

b. <u>Adequacy of Information</u>. Although the available design information is very limited, a reasonable assessment of the dam can be made on visual observations, reports of past observations, and previous experience of the inspectors.

c. <u>Urgency</u>. It is considered that the recommendations suggested below be implemented on a continuing basis.


d. <u>Necessity for Further Investigation</u>. The condition of the dam does not require more detailed investigation at this time.


7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures


- Since the dam may overtop during unusually high runoff, it is recommended that during such periods the owner should provide around-the-clock surveillance for early detection of problems, such as erosion of the abutments. It is also recommended the owner should take necessary measures to improve the accessibility of the site during high flow conditions.
- It is recommended that as soon as practicable appropriate repairs be undertaken to correct the joint mortar spalling and seepage condition on the face of the dam. Also, trees and brush should be removed from the face of the dam.
- 3. It is recommended that brush and trees in the toe area should be cleared over a distance of approximately 50 feet from the toe to permit adequate future inspection of the toe area.


- 4. It is recommended that the owner should develop a formal warning system to alert the downstream residents in the event of emergencies.
- 5. It is recommended that the owner be advised that the dam and appurtenant structures should be inspected regularly by the dam tender and any unusual condition should be reported to the appropriate authorities.


O PLATES 0

















Contra Managaria

APPENDIX A

CHECKLIST VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I

0	CHECKLIST VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I
NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM TYPE OF DAM MASONRY DATE(S) INSPECTION MAY 13, 1378	COUNTY CAMBRIA STATE PA ID# NDS:500 DER://-S HAZARD CATEGORY 1/16/4. WEATHER RAINY TEMPERATURE 50 \$
POOL ELEVATION AT TIME OF INSPECTION INSPECTION PERSONNEL:	2386.2 M.S.L. TAILWATER AT TIME OF INSPECTION 2346 M.S.L. (ESTIMATED)
BILGIN EREL WAH- TAK CHAN	REVIEW FIELD INSPECTION : ELIO D'APPOLONIA. (MAY 30, 1978) LAWEENCE ANDERSEN JAMES POELLOT.
	BILGIN EREL RECORDER
	Page 1 of 11

NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS											
VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I EMBANKMENT	OBSERVATIONS	MASONRY DAM	N/A.		N/A.		N/A.		N/A		N/A	
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	SURFACE CRACKS		UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKING AT OR BEYOND THE TOE		SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT SLOPES		VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT OF THE CREST		RIPRAP FAILURES		

Page 2 of 11

NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM ID# NLDS : 500 DEP: 11-5	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS										
VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I EMBANKMENT	OBSERVATIONS	MASONEY DAM	N/A.		м/А.		N/A		м /А	N/A	
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM		ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE		STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER		DRAINS			

Page 3 of 11

D	VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I NA	NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM
	CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS	IDH NDS : SOO DER : 11-S
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	S WUCENTRATED SEEPS OVER NIES FT LEFT OF SPILLWAY D& 1-2 GPM /EACH	LEE PLATE 4
STRUCTURE TO ABUTMENT/EMBANKMENT JUNCTIONS	NO VISUAL SIGNS OF LISTRESS. No seepage	
DRAINS	NONE FOUND	
WATER PASSAGES	Nov E	
FOUNDATION	NO PERCEIVABLE SIGN OF DISTRESS.	

.

Page 4 of 11

	VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I	NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM
	CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS	2-11:230 COS:SQN #01
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS CONCRETE SURFACES	4. CRACKS ON THE CREET CAPPING	SEE PLATE 4
	STONE (LEFT OF SPILLWAT)	FOR LOCATON.
STRUCTURAL CRACKING	None found	
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	NO PERCENABLE MICALIGMEMENT.	
SINIOF HIITONOW	MASONRY DAM N/A.	
-		
CONSTRUCTION JOINTS	(NO CONSTRUCTION JOINTS)	
STAFF GAGE OF RECORDER:	NONE FOUND.	

Page 5 of 11

ID# NDS: 500 DER: 11-5 REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS DAM NAME OF DAM ILPYDELL FOUND TO BE FUNCTIONAL CLMI BLOW OFF PIPE VALVE OPERATED BY WATER COMPANY PIDE IS DIRE CTLY DISCHARGING 191100 - 201 VISITLE. VISUAL INSPECTION NO OUTLET STEUCTURE. CONDUIT 15 CAST OUTLET WORKS **OBSERVATIONS** CHANNEL PHASE I 24 -INCH FON THE STREAM PERSONNEL DUTLE T SUBMERGED NO OUTLET GATE 0 Z CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN OUTLET CONDULT VISUAL EXAMINATION OF INTAKE STRUCTURE OUTLET STRUCTURE OUTLET CHANNEL EMERGENCY GATE

Page 6 of 11

ID# NDS : 500 DEP : 11-5 NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS OVER THE LAIN, INTO A PIPRAPPED DISCHARGES GOUD CUNDITION . AT THE MEDLE OF THE DAM. IS LOCATED VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I UNCATED SPILLWAY **OBSERVATIONS** SPILLWAY SPILLWAY Poor WEIC • PLUNGE UTONE U NONE . DONON JNON VISUAL EXAMINATION OF DISCHARGE CHANNEL APPROACH CHANNEL BRIDGE AND PIERS CONCRETE WEIR

Page 7 of 11

NAME OF PAM LLOYDELL DAM	110					
VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I GATED SPILLMAY	OBSERVATIONS	None	N/A	м/А	n/A	н/A
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	CONCRETE SILL	APPROACH CHANNEL	DISCHARGE CHANNEL	BRIDGE PIERS	GATES AND OPERATION EQUIPMENT

Page 8 of 11

NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS						
VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I INSTRUMENTATION	OBSERVATIONS	None found	NONE FOUND.	NONE FOUND.	NONE FOUND.		
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	MONUMENTATION/SURVEYS	OBSERVATION WELLS	WEIRS	PIEZOMETERS	OTHER	

•


Page 9 of 11

NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAN	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS				
VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I RESERVOIR	OBSERVATIONS	GENTLE TO STEEP, WOODED	LAKE WATER WAS CLEAR NO INDICATION OF HIGH RATE OF SEDIMENTATION.		
0	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	SLOPES	SEDIMENTATION		


Page 10 of 11

	VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL	NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONDITION (OBSTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)	TYPICAL MOUNTAND STUCAN	SEE SKETCHES IN APPENDIX-A FOE SKETCHES OF LEPIDGES OVER THE STEERIN.
SLOPES	NO MAJOR LEDSION	
APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF HOMES AND POPULATION	TOWNS OF LLOYPELL & BEAULFLACE. MAIN INPACT APEN OF FLOOP: LLOYDELL: 10 HOMES BEAVERLALE: 20 HOMES 2 COMMUNCIAL BUILDINGS. POPULATION 2 100	ADDITIONAL LIFE LOSS AND PROVERTY LAMAGE MAY OCCUR ALONG THE SOUTH FOR LITTLE CONC. MALS BELOW BEAUERDALE.

Page 11 of 11








BRIDGE 2

BEIDGE #2



APPENDIX B

CHECKLIST ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I CHECKLIST ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I

NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM

ITEM	REMARKS
AS-BUILT DRAWINGS	SOME DESIGNI DIEAWINGS AVAILABLE (NOT MARKED AS- PDILT) SEE PLATES 1 = 2
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	SEE PLATE -3
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	BUILT ON 1906 . HISTORY OF WASTELICTION PARTIALLY DOCUMENTED IN 1914 STATE INSPECTION REPORT.
TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	SEE MATE - 1
OUTLETS - PLAN - DETAILS - CONSTRAINTS - DISCHARGE RATING	JSEE PLATE - I - NOT REPORTED.
	Pape 1 of 4

N.

CHECKLIST ENCINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I

NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM

	REMARKS
RAINFALL/RESERVOIR RECORDS	ANUCH INON
DESIGN REPORTS	NONE FOLMD.
GEOLOCY REPORTS	NONE FOUND . A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE GNDITIONS ARE INCLUDED IN A 1914 STATE INSPECTION PLAORTI
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS DAM STABILITY SEEPAGE STUDIES	NOWL FOUND . THE 1914 INSPECTION REPORT INCLUDES THE RESULT OF AN INDEPCIALENT STABILITY ANALYSIS.
MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS BORING RECORDS LABORATORY FIELD	NoNE FOUND.

Page 2 of 4

-

CHECKLIST ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I

NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM ID# NDS: 500 DER: 1/-5

ITEM	REMARKS
POST CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	Lu Z O Z
BORROW SOURCES	Z S S Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
MONITORING SYSTEMS	Non
MODIFICATIONS	A RISCE PIPE WAS INSTALLED TO THE SUPPLY MAIN.
HIGH POOL RECORDS	NOT AVAILABLE .
	Page 3 of 4

DEC : 11-5 MAG CONDUCTED NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DOS: SON HOI CAST ICON PIPE) PERIODIC INSPECTIONS (ZA-INCH REMARKS CHECKLIST ENCINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I 「市 NOME OTHER THAN NOT AVAILARLE . Page 4 of 4 STATE PLATE - 1 N TOUND PLATE PLATE BY THE NONA S.E. SEE ÿ PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAM DESCRIPTION REPORTS POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES AND REPORTS OPERATING EQUIPMENT PLANS AND DETAILS MAINTENANCE OPERATION RECORDS SPILLWAY PLAN SECTIONS DETAILS ITEM

NAME OF DAM LLOYDELL DAM

ID# NDS: 500 DEP: 11-5

CHECKLIST HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING DATA

DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS: NODED (8.0 SQ. MILES) ELEVATION; TOP NORMAL POOL AND STORAGE CAPACITY: 635 ACEE-FEET) ELEVATION; TOP FLOOD CONTROL POOL AND STORAGE CAPACITY: DAME AS ABOVE ELEVATION; MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL: 2386 (AS DESIGNED) USGS DATUM ELEVATION; TOP DAM: 2386 (AS DESIGNED) USGS DATUM CREST:

a. Elevation 2388 FT

b. Type CUT STONE

c. Width 7 FEET

d. Length 700 FEET

e. Location Spillover NO VISUALLY IDENTIFIABLE LOW SECTIONS

f. Number and Type of Gates NONE

OUTLET WORKS:

a. Type 24-INCH CAST IRON BLOW-OFF PIPE.

b. Location ZIGHT OF SPILLWAY

c. Entrance Inverts 2340 FT

d. Exit Inverts 2335 FT (ESTIMATED)

e. Emergency Draindown Facilities 24-INCH BLOW-OFF PIPE HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES:

a. Type NONE

b. Location NONE

c. Records NONE.

MAXIMUM NONDAMAGING DISCHARGE:

APPENDIX C PHOTOGRAPHS LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS LLOYDELL DAM NDS I.D. NO. 500 MAY 18, 1978

PHOTOGRAPH NO.

0

DESCRIPTION


1	Dam crest.
2	Downstream slope.
3	Right abutment.
4	Spillway, plunge pool, and spillway discharge channel.
5	Cracks in capping stone (left of spillway).
6	Seepage through joints on downstream slope.
7	Seepage at right abutment.
8	Distribution pond located 2 miles downstream.
9	Bridge No. 1.
10	Bridge No. 2.





Photograph No. 1 Dam crest.



Photograph No. 2 Downstream slope.







Photograph No. 5 Cracks in capping stone (left of spillway).



Photograph No. 6 Seepage through joints on downstream slope.



Photograph No. 7 Seepage at right abutment.



Photograph No. 8 Distribution pond located 2 miles downstream.





Photograph No. 9 Bridge No. 1.



Photograph No. 10 Bridge No. 2. APPENDIX D CALCULATIONS

0






RESERVOIR STORAGE Volume VR = 42 ACRE (NORMAL POOL) × 2FT = 84 ac-ft

or
$$V_R = 42 \times (2+h)$$
 ac-fl

REQD RESERVOIR CAPACITY TO PASS PHE

$$V_{Righ} = \left(I - \frac{Max spilmary}{Park How}\right) (Vol of inflow)$$
$$= \left(I - \frac{1754}{12800}\right) (11100)$$
$$= 9579 \text{ Al} GT >> 84 \text{ Ac} GT$$



8

DETERMINE NATER DEPTH h of 26 RUNDER JORN DER DAM CRET


$$\frac{(620 (h+2)^{15} + 1550 h^{15} + 42(h+2)}{12850} = 1$$

$$h = 2.63 \text{ FT}$$


$$\Omega_{s} = 12790 \text{ Gs}$$

PERCENT OF PMF (26 BUN OFF) WITHOUT OVER TOPPING

 $= \left(\frac{1750}{12,800} + \frac{84}{11,100}\right) \times 100 = 14.4 \frac{7}{2}$



0



FRESSURE AT UPSTREAM END = 0 h= $62.4 \times 61 = 3806 \text{ PsF}$ = 38 ksF

TOTHL FORCE = $\frac{1}{2} (3806) \times 61 = \frac{116 \ k/FT}{FT}$

F = 116 KIPS FOR A | FOOT SECTION

D'APPOLONIA CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC

3

By ESA Date 6-2-78 Subject STACILITY ANALYSIS Sheet No 2 of 6 Chkd By BE Date 6-5-73 LLoyDELL DAM Proj No 78-114 -12 0.5cm X 0.5cm

> THE UPLIFT PRESSURE AT THE EASE OF THE DAM VARIES FROM 2/3 HYDROSTATIC HEAD AT THE UPSTREAM END TO ZERO AT THE DOWNSTREAM END UPLIFT PRESSARE @ HEEL = 3 No. 4x61 = 2538 PSF FORCE = - (2538) × 42 = 53 KIPS

STABILITY WITH RESPECT TO OVERTURNING

FACTOR OF SAFETY AGAINST OVERTURNING

RESISTING MOMENT RECUT "O" = OVERTURNING MEMENT ABOUT "O"


RESISTING MOMENTS : (1/2 (35) × 52.5) × 150 713 × 23.33 = 3215 FT-K + [63 x 7 x . 150] x 38.5 = 2346 M-K S761 M-K OVERTURNING MOMENT: = 2355 K-FT 116 x 20.3 + = 1484 K-Ft 3839 K-FT 53 × 28 $FS = \frac{5761}{3839} = 1.5$ FOUNDATION SHEAR STRESS = 116 K = 0.019 = 19FSI IDAIPIPOILONILA CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC

0


By ESH	Date 6-2-78	Subject STAGE	LITY ANHLYSIS	Sheet No	3_of	6
Chkd. By BE	Date 6-5-78	LLEYDELL	DAN	Proi No	78-114	-12

roj No. 73-114 - 12 0.5cm.

		0.5cm. X				
Fou	NDATION PR	ESSURE				
	ESULTANT	ON GASE)				
COMPONENT	A	λ Α				
RECTANGLE 41 TRINNGLE 918	+1 3.5 3.8 18.7	1543.5				
	:/3598	17181.6 EXA = 18725.1				
x 2A = 2x	я 🛪	= 18725.1 = 13.8 1359.8 Frent X				
TAKING MOMENT ABOUT X Ery : C T						
U		- 138× 18.7 + 53×14 =0				
~	53 = 151 1					
Kyx = 1355	5 + 2 31 + 2 5	81 - 742 = 4425				
$x = \frac{4425}{151} = 29.3$						
. RESULTANT IS OUTSIDE MIDDLE THIED						
e =	29.3-21.0	= 8.3				
		$= \frac{7 \cdot 9}{1 - 0 \cdot 7} \frac{1}{7/57^2}$				



	2= 134032	L	254:2921835
TRIANGLE	/23826	21.0	2600346
RECTHNELE	10206	31.5	321489
Compenent	9	5	<u> </u>



UPLIFT PRESSURE = $\frac{2}{3}(4093) = 2728PSF$ TOTAL FARCE = $\frac{1}{2}(2728) \times 42 = 57$ KIPS <u>STHEILITY</u> WITH RESPECT TO ONERTURNING RESISTING MOMENTS = $5761 \times -FT$ (FRGE 2) OVERTURNING MOMENTS:

 $134 \times 21.6 = 2921 \times -FT$ + 57 × 28 _= 1596 K-FT

- 1596 K-FT 4517 K-FT


FS : 5761 = 1.28 SAY 1.3 4517

FOUNDATION SHEAR STRESS

FOUNDATION SHEAR STRESS =
$$\frac{P_{h}}{A}$$

= $\frac{134}{42\times144}$ = 0.022 K/IN2
= $22PSI$

X



$$\begin{split} & \epsilon m_{\chi} = 0 \quad \chi^{+} \\ & \kappa_{g} \propto -1.34 \times 21.8 - 66 \times 3.5 - 128 \times 15.7 \\ & + 57 \quad \times 14 \quad = 0 \\ & \left(\kappa_{g} = 204 - 57 = 147 \quad \kappa_{1}/5\right) \\ & \kappa_{g} \propto = 2921 + 231 + 25.81 - 798 \\ & \chi = 33.6' \\ & \kappa_{ESULTANT \ on \ Base \ 15 \ Locate D \\ & \exists 3.6' \quad Frem \ Frint \ \chi \\ & \ddots \ C = 33.6 - 21 = 12.6' \\ & \eta_{max} = \frac{147}{42} \left(1 + \frac{6.6}{2}\right) \\ & = 3.50 \left(1 + 1.80 = 9.8 \frac{5}{7}\right)^{2} \\ & \xi_{min} = 3.50 \left(1 - 1.80\right) = \left[-2.8 \frac{5}{7}\right]^{2} \\ \end{split}$$

APPENDIX E REGIONAL GEOLOGY

APPENDIX E REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The dam is located on the northwest limb of the northeast-trending Nittany Anticline. The eroded limbs of this anticline form the Allegheny Front, the boundary between the Valley and Ridge physiographic province and the Appalachian Plateau physiographic province. The bedrock under the dam and reservoir is composed of the Mississippian Pocono Group, primarily gray sandstone. The rock strata dip to the northwest into the Wilmore Syncline. These rocks are stratigraphically below the "coal measure" strata of the Pennsylvanian System and contain no mineable coals. Therefore, there is no danger of the dam having been undermined.