

14  
ERADCOM/  
ASL-TR-0013

AD  
Reports Control Symbol  
OSD-1366

LEVEL

(12) *na*

AD A061604

(6)  
**LIGHT ATTENUATION IN FALLING SNOW.**

(7) *Technical report*

DDC  
NOV 28 1978  
F

DDC FILE COPY  
(11)  
AUG 28 1978

(12) 28  
By

(10) **JAMES B. MASON**

(16) *1L161142B53A*

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



*AD 604*  
US Army Electronics Research and Development Command  
**Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory**  
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

## NOTICES

### Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government endorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

### Disposition


Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1 REPORT NUMBER ASL-TR-0013	2 GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4 TITLE (and Subtitle) LIGHT ATTENUATION IN FALLING SNOW		5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED R&D Technical Report
		6 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7 AUTHOR(s) James B. Mason		8 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 86002		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS DA Task No. ?L161102-B53A
11 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Electronics Research and Development Command Adelphi, MD 20783		12 REPORT DATE August 1978
		13 NUMBER OF PAGES 24
14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Optical extinction Snowfall		
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  The effects of snowfall on the transmittance of visible, infrared, and millimeter radiation are assessed on the basis of currently available information. From a tactical applications viewpoint, little information is available for millimeter wavelengths; and for the visible and infrared, results vary widely. This variance appears to be real and due to two effects: the variety of snow types and the coincidence of fog.		

20. ABSTRACT (cont.)

Empirical formulae relating transmittance to snowfall intensity are found but are judged to be less useful for tactical purposes than relations to visual range. For the latter, results from different investigators must be combined. Such empirical formulae are thus derived for wavelengths 0.63 $\mu$ m, 3.5 $\mu$ m, and 10.6 $\mu$ m; and while considerable uncertainty is evident, apparently practical boundaries can be established. Lines of approach for future experimental work are also identified.

An increasing number of Army systems rely critically on the propagation of optical energy through the atmosphere. As a result the demand for reliable estimates of optical attenuation in low visibility aerosol conditions is steadily increasing. Among those attenuation least observed or measured is that of snowfall.



## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	2
BACKGROUND	2
AVAILABLE MEASUREMENTS	3
CONCLUSION	12
REFERENCES	15

## INTRODUCTION

The effects of snowfall on the propagation of light are of interest to the military community because of the increasing number of imaging, tracking, and detecting devices which rely on light propagation. The wavelengths of interest extend from the visible to the millimeter region. The work reported here is part of a larger effort devoted to the modeling of atmospheric effects on electro-optical systems for both design planning and deployment. The object of that effort is the production of a library of computer codes capable of describing adverse environmental effects, both natural and man-made, as they relate to readily observable meteorological parameters. One of the more difficult conditions to so describe is snowfall.

The effects of snowfall are more varied and generally more severe than rain. Over a sizable portion of the earth, snow occurs frequently enough to be a potential hazard to operations. As an example, at Montreal (latitude 45°) over 200 hours of snowfall were observed in one winter season by Warner and Gunn [1]. Durations of snowfall of several hours are common in such areas. Thus an ability to estimate the propagation effects can be an important factor.

The purpose of this work has been to determine from available data what the magnitude of attenuation by snowfall is, what the contributing factors are, and what parameters are required for its estimation. Sufficient data have not yet been acquired for millimeter wavelengths; however, the nature of millimeter attenuation effects can probably be anticipated from size distributions.

More information is available for the visible and infrared (IR) regions, and certain features immediately become evident from this information. First, the magnitude of attenuation is large--lying between the magnitudes for fog and rain--but this depends on the wavelengths. Second, every snowfall is different; attenuation varies widely, depending on snow type, temperature, humidity, and other factors. Finally, since most of the accessible data on snowfall were obtained with effects other than propagation considered, all of the necessary information is seldom available.

## BACKGROUND

Before specific measurements are discussed, the nature of the problem will be considered. In snowfall the major effect on propagation is scattering. Conventionally this effect is treated successfully by assuming spherical scatterers and describing their sizes and optical properties. With snow, such an approach is not possible because of the irregular shapes of the particles, at least until wavelength  $\lambda$  is large enough so that particle diameters are much less than  $\lambda$ . This generally means  $\lambda > 10$  mm. The only alternative for shorter wavelengths then is empirical determination.

Scattering by snow particles is not the only important effect and may often be rivaled by scattering from fog droplets accompanying the snow. This phenomenon appears to be less well recognized, and many of the existing observations are difficult to assess because they do not mention it. Since the presence of fog in snowfall is difficult to detect and still more difficult to measure, the frequency of occurrence of this problem is not known. As will be seen later, the presence of fog is probably the rule rather than the exception, at least for some locations. Haze or other particulate materials may also accompany snow.

A smaller but not negligible effect is due to water vapor. Except near absorption bands, this effect may be more indirect as it affects the properties of accompanying haze. In at least one case [1], it is noted that attenuation at 0.45 $\mu$ m increases with humidity, becoming quite significant for relative humidity above 85 percent. Its significance at wavelengths near absorption bands is obvious, and appropriate absorption calculations may be warranted. However, generally the scattering is expected to far outweigh absorption.

The following paragraphs summarize existing data and give a synopsis of the results. The difficulties in obtaining suitable measurements in snow are pointed out; and as a starting point, a "typical" snowfall is described. An attempt is made to identify meteorological observables which are readily obtainable and which may be used to establish transmittance predictions.

#### AVAILABLE MEASUREMENTS

Lillesaeter [2], Mellor [3], Warner and Gunn [1], Zel'manovich [4], and Polyakova and Tretjakov [5] have measured light attenuation in snowfall. These results are difficult to compare directly because of differences in their apparatus and in the way the data are related to snowfall rate. Each result, however, gives an empirically derived equation relating either extinction coefficient or visibility to snow amount, and an attempt has been made to convert these results to similar units without loss of accuracy. The resulting equations are given in Table 1.

Also included in the table is a visibility relation presented by O'Brien [6] who reports this relation in the form of extinction coefficient. O'Brien measured visibility, converting by means of the Koschmieder relation which is doubtful for snowfall; therefore, his extinction coefficient is given only in terms of visibility. Figure 1 gives plots of these relations where the disparity of results is evident.

All of the measurements were made at visible wavelengths except for those of Zel'manovich [4] in which a 3.87 $\mu$ m source was used. All of the measurements represent observations over one or more winter seasons, again excepting those of Zel'manovich which represent a single snowstorm. While all researchers are careful to measure the rate of accumulation, little note is taken of the presence or absence of fog, and temperature and relative humidity are not reported. O'Brien [6] refers

TABLE 1. TRANSMITTANCE ALGORITHMS FOR SNOWFALL

Investigator	Extinction Coefficient ( $\text{km}^{-1}$ )	Visibility (km)
Lillesaeter	$\alpha = 3.93 R^*$	$V = R^{-1}$
Zel'manovich	$\alpha = 1.3 R^{0.5}$	
Polyakova and Tretjakov	$\alpha = 3.2 R^{0.91}$	$V = 0.94 R^{-0.91}$
Mellor	$\alpha = 1.11 R^{0.42}$	$V = 1.65 R^{-0.42}$
Warner and Gunn	$\alpha = 2.53 R$	
O'Brien	$\alpha = 1.393 R^{0.69}$	$V = 1.25 R^{-0.69}$

\*Accumulation rate,  $R$ , is  $\text{mm hr}^{-1}$ . Dashed line indicates primary measurement.




Figure 1. Snowfall attenuation coefficients versus precipitation rate as obtained from several published empirical studies. All are for visible wavelengths except (z) which is for  $3.87\mu\text{m}$ . R denotes the case for rain.



to the frequent presence of fog with snow at his site at Hanover (also the site of Mellor's work) and Warner and Gunn [1] mention the effect of high relative humidity (but not fog), suggesting that it may account for almost 50 percent of the observed attenuation.

Careful observations of snow type were made only by Mellor [3], who does not break down his transmittance data by type, and O'Brien who does, but who, in addition to the earlier mentioned difficulty, presents his results in terms of area concentration which can be converted to accumulation rate only with knowledge of the size distribution. Mellor observes that the proportionality constant relating  $V$  and  $\alpha$  is a factor of 2.25 less than that of the Koschmieder formula, that is,  $\alpha V = 1.74$ . This affects O'Brien's results as mentioned above.

The importance of temperature is evidenced by studies of visibility [7,8, and 9] and by transmittance-based snow rate measurements [10], which show attenuation to be significantly lower in melting snow. Rain represents a limiting case. Zel'manovich, however, measured a temperature well below freezing for his case so that other factors can also depress the curve. At this point no clear reason for the dispersion of results can be identified.

To see the magnitude of the effect, a rate of  $1 \text{ mm hr}^{-1}$  may be considered. According to [3],  $\alpha$  is 1.1 and the transmittance over 1 km is 33 percent. According to [2],  $\alpha$  is 3.93 and transmittance is 2 percent, making a difference of a factor of 17. From [1] which is presented as an improvement over [2], the value is 8 percent, still down by a factor of four, and the disagreement increases with increasing  $R$ .

Mellor's results include numerous observations in a total of 16 snowfalls with comments about the snow type and particle size. Figure 2 shows results derived from his report with the reciprocal of visibility plotted against snow rate and indicating different snow types. No clear correlation with type is evident, but the spread of the data indicates that factors other than  $R$  affect the extinction. Mellor notes that these observations were limited to widespread dry snowfalls of 1 hour or more duration. Wet snow would be expected to produce results to the lower side of this grouping.

Lillesaeter [2] measured transmittance at  $0.45 \mu\text{m}$ , but reports that visibility,  $V$ , is equal to  $1/R$  although he does not show data for  $V$ . The information as reported by Lillesaeter leads to the result,  $\alpha V = 3.93$ .

Warner and Gunn [1] also measured transmittance at  $0.45 \mu\text{m}$  and concur with Lillesaeter in the relation of  $V$  to  $R$ . Thus, from them we obtain  $\alpha V = 2.53$ . Again no data for  $V$  are presented, and it is assumed that the two variables were not observed simultaneously.

Polyakova and Tretjakov [5] report measurements of both variables but do not tabulate the data. They do, however, present empirical relations for both in terms of  $R$  from which  $\alpha V = 3.01$  can be obtained.




Figure 2. Reciprocal of visual range versus precipitation rate for snowfalls during 1964-1965 as observed by Mellor (1966). Also shown are results from Lillesaeter (1965) (O) and Zelmanovich (1960) (o). Letter designations refer to rimmed (R), large flakes (F), dendritic (Y) forms, and pellets (P).

Both Mellor and O'Brien [3,6] measured visibility by means of contrast attenuation at two visible wavelengths. However, only Mellor provides a relation between  $\alpha$  and  $V$  based on actual measurements. He obtains  $\alpha V = 1.74$ .

These different results are plotted in Figure 3. The results [5] and [1] are considered most representative for the visible wavelength region.

Chu and Hogg [11] measured transmission losses in light snowfall at  $10.6\mu\text{m}$ ,  $3.5\mu\text{m}$ , and  $0.63\mu\text{m}$ . The indicated points in Figure 4 were obtained from their results and by use of their measurement at  $0.63\mu\text{m}$  to derive  $V$  via the formula obtained from Polyakova and Tretjakov [5].

By approximate fitting to this data, relations can be obtained for the extinction at  $3.5\mu\text{m}$  and  $10.6\mu\text{m}$  in terms of visibility. These relations are:

$$\alpha_{10.6} = 3.5V^{-0.8} ,$$

$$\alpha_{3.5} = 3V^{-1.2} .$$

Note, however, that Chu and Hogg's result did not always indicate consistent behavior. Most of the time attenuation at  $0.63\mu\text{m}$  exceeded that at  $3.5\mu\text{m}$  although occasionally the reverse occurred. This could be explained on the basis of an accompanying light fog of varying size distribution.

Sola and Bergemann [12] have reported broadband measurements of transmittance in snowfall for the  $3-4\mu\text{m}$  and  $8-12\mu\text{m}$  regions. Their data were obtained in tests at Fort A. P. Hill, Virginia, and Grafenwöhr, West Germany. In presenting their results, they use values of visibility that are derived from near IR extinction coefficients by means of the Koschmieder formula,  $\alpha V = 3.91$ . Since, from the work already discussed, that formula appears to be inapplicable in snowfall, their results are recalculated by using the formula obtained from Polyakova and Tretjakov [5] (Figs. 5 and 6).

By curve fitting to these results, slightly different relations are found for the IR extinction than from the data of Chu and Hogg [11]. Here the relations are

$$\alpha_{3.5} = 3.3V^{-1} ,$$

$$\alpha_{10.6} = 4V^{-1.1} .$$

When all of these data are combined and fitted, the resulting formulae are




Figure 3. Empirical formulae for extinction versus visual range as derived from the results of Polyakova and Tretjakov (1960), Warner and Gunn (1969), and Mellor (1966). Koschmieder's formula is shown for reference.




Figure 4. Extinction at  $3.5\mu\text{m}$  and  $10.6\mu\text{m}$  measured by Chu and Hogg (1968) in snowfall plotted versus visual range as obtained from their simultaneous measurement at  $0.63\mu\text{m}$  using the relation derived from Polyakova and Tretjakov (1960). Formulas for fitted curves are given in text.




Figure 5. Extinction at  $10.6\mu\text{m}$  versus visual range from Sola and Bergemann (1977) corrected according to Polyakova's results (see text). These authors also present results from Sokolov (not available to this author).




Figure 6. Extinction at  $3.5\mu\text{m}$  versus visual range from Sola and Bergemann (1977) (obtained at Ft. A. P. Hill, Virginia, and Grafenwöhr, West Germany) corrected according to Polyakova's results (see text).

$$10.6 = 3.8V^{-0.96},$$

$$3.5 = 3V^{-1.1}.$$

Recent work reported by Muench and Brown [13] appears to be consistent with these findings.

Graupel results from the accumulation on the snow particles of supercooled cloud droplets as they fall, to the extent that they become roughly spherical [10]. This phenomenon is common with deep cloud systems and particularly at sea or near large bodies of water when moisture content is high. Attenuation is much less for graupel than for other forms for an equal precipitation rate because of the increased density of the particles. However, the relationship to visibility may or may not be significantly affected.

Dendrites and spatial dendrites are the star-like forms usually thought of (and photographed) as typical snow particles. They are not, however, the most common form. They occur most often in weak storms or at the extremities (temporal and spatial) of heavier storms [14]. They clump together easily and tend to produce large agglomerations that break up easily. Their effect on propagation is often among the most severe for unit intensity of precipitation because of their very low effective density. Reliable estimates of their attenuation coefficients are the most illusive, however, because of their clumping tendency which can reduce the number concentration and therefore the attenuation.

The most common form of snow particle is the aggregate, probably because it is produced by the most common forms of winter snowstorms. It is associated with widespread steady snowfalls from stratocumulus or nimbostratus systems in which there is an abundant supply of moisture. Thus it may be less common in dryer regions. Size distributions for aggregates are more well-behaved and better understood so that propagation can probably be best described for them. Also more data appear to be available for aggregates; therefore, they will be considered representative of a typical snowfall.

Table 2 lists other characteristics that describe the typical snowfall with the qualification that the probability of departure is fairly high. These characteristics might be expected to apply to winter polar cap regions or those latitudes that are snow covered in winter but not in summer. The data of Table 2 are intended as a starting point for describing snowfall attenuation; important modifications and departures from them will be discussed later.

Size distributions for snowfalls consistent with Table 2 are presented in Fig. 7. These distributions are due to Gunn and Marshall [17], Sekhon and Srivastava [15], Litvinov [16], and Zel'manovich [4], and represent snowfalls of approximately  $0.1 \text{ mm hr}^{-1}$  intensity. Of these researchers, only Litvinov actually measured the snow particles. The others measured melt stains left by the particles and described the size distribution of the equivalent water droplet.

TABLE 2. TYPICAL CHARACTERISTICS

Type	Aggregate of 10 to 100 crystals
Intensity	$< 1 \text{ mm hr}^{-1}$ 80% of time
Size Range	Peak at 0.1 to 1 mm, 90% $< 2 \text{ mm}$
Concentration	$1000 \text{ m}^{-3}$ ( $1\text{e}^{-1}$ )
Visual Range	1.5 km




Figure 7. Snow particle size distribution functions proposed by various investigators. A distribution typical of fog (note different units) is shown for reference.

Zel'manovich observed an approximate ratio of the snowflake size to that of the stain (9:10) and also that of stain to the droplet diameter (4:1) to obtain an appropriate ratio (4:1.1) for relating droplet size to flake size. The curves plotted here have been obtained by applying this ratio to his distribution as well as those of [17] and [15].

The distributions of [17] and [15] are not realistic in the small extreme and are represented by their authors as applicable only above 0.1 cm. Even so they appear to fall too sharply toward larger sizes to be representative of aggregates for which they are intended, indicating that a different correction factor may be applicable to them.

The curves Z and L in Fig. 7 both show peaks in the vicinity of 1 mm, as expected, and are relatively broad. Zel'manovich observed flakes as large as 0.7 cm in obtaining his data (single snowfall). Litvinov's results are based on 172 photographs of falling particles obtained throughout one winter season. Both use modified gamma functions of the generalized form

$$N_d = C d^\alpha e^{-\beta d}$$

in which  $N_d$  is number of particles of diameter  $d$  per unit volume per unit size range,  $C$  is a constant, and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the distribution parameters. Note that  $\beta = 2/(\text{modal diameter})$ , while  $\alpha$  is inversely related to the width of the distribution. Litvinov reports that  $\alpha$  decreases as temperature increases, thus broadening the distribution. This seems consistent with Zel'manovich's results which were obtained under relatively cold ( $-4.6^\circ\text{C}$ ) conditions and show a narrower distribution.

These distributions show that visible and IR wavelengths are much smaller than the particles of snow; therefore, very little wavelength dependence of scattering would be anticipated. At approximately 1 mm, more severe effects due to resonance can be expected. Note that the distributions are highly variable at times, and those shown here are based on averaged data, taken in steady snowfalls. When snow consists of powder, needles, or pellets, or is in arctic regions, a shift to smaller sizes is expected.

It is also important to note that snow is often accompanied by fog which has a smaller size distribution as shown. The effect on visible and IR wavelengths is significantly increased in this case. Estimates of the magnitude of fog are not available from the data since the presence of fog is not reported. One reason may be the difficulty in seeing fog in a snowstorm.

#### CONCLUSION

The propagation of light in snowfall as it is represented by existing experimental data has been discussed. The wide variation in the results



found is evidently caused by two factors: the many different forms of snow and the coexistence of fog particles. The available data are not sufficient to permit the presentation of results separately for each snow type, and the effect of fog can be treated only generally.

Scattering is easily the most significant mechanism of attenuation throughout the visible and IR and, probably, most of the millimeter wave regions. Water vapor absorption, next in importance, becomes appreciable only near absorption bands.

On the basis of size distributions, attenuation by snow particles alone can be expected to increase for wavelengths closer to the range 0.1 to 10 millimeters. In that range, maximum attenuation is anticipated. Thus, at 10.6 $\mu\text{m}$ , which in haze propagates better than visible wavelengths, the attenuation by snowfall will be more severe than for visible.

Inconsistent experimental results for 3.5 $\mu\text{m}$  are considered indicative of the presence of fog. From the small amount of data available, the following relations for extinction in terms of visibility are obtained, applicable to the range of V from 1 to 5 km.

$$\alpha_{0.63} = 3V^{-1}$$

$$\alpha_{3.5} = 3V^{-1.1}$$

$$\alpha_{10.6} = 3.8V^{-0.95}$$

Results at 1.06 $\mu\text{m}$  are expected to be very close to those at 0.63 $\mu\text{m}$ . A generalization in terms of wavelength cannot be made without further data. In particular, correlations are required with relative humidity for the ranges above and below 85 percent to assess fog effects. Correlations with temperature and in some ways with snow type (possibly through cloud conditions, geography, etc.) are required to specify the snow effects.

The expression of extinction in terms of observables other than visibility is not yet feasible. The most likely "other observable" is snow rate expressed either as area concentration ( $\text{cm}^2$  per  $\text{m}^3$ ) or accumulation ( $\text{mm hr}^{-1}$ ) for which expressions are possible. However, dependence on snow type then becomes more critical and this observation is not practical in a tactical sense. What is needed as an alternative to visibility is a theory yielding extinction in terms of meteorological parameters such as temperature and relative humidity. Such a theory would have to be based on more accurate models of snowstorms than currently exist. Work toward this may be warranted, however, by the fact that it offers the potential for forecasting optical effects.

From the results discussed in this paper, a general statement can be made that attenuation will be greater when snow is dryer (colder) and that the peak in such cases will be shifted toward the lower side of the 0.1 $\mu$ m to 10 $\mu$ m range (wavelength). The presence of fog will significantly affect near IR wavelengths perhaps out to 10 $\mu$ m. Values of  $\alpha$  for "typical" storms are:

visible to 3.5 $\mu$ m	$\alpha = 2 \text{ km}^{-1}$
10.6 $\mu$ m	$\alpha = 2.53 \text{ km}^{-1}$

## REFERENCES

1. Warner, C., and K. L. S. Gunn, 1969, "Measurement of Snowfall by Optical Attenuation," Journal of Applied Meteorology 8:110.
2. Lillesaeter, O., 1965, "Parallel-Beam Attenuation of Light, Particularly by Falling Snow," Journal of Applied Meteorology 4:607.
3. Mellor, M., 1966, "Light Scattering and Particle Aggregation in Snow Storms," Research Report 193, CRREL, Hanover, NH.
4. Zel'manovich, I. L., 1960, "Microstructure and Transmittance of Snowfall," Trudy Glavnoy Geophysics Obs. Leningrad 100:58.
5. Polyakova, E. A., and V. D. Tretjakov, 1960, "Visibility in Falling Snow," Glav. Geof. Obs. I, 100:53, Leningrad, Vyp.
6. O'Brien, H. W., 1970, "Visibility and Light Attenuation in Falling Snow," Journal of Applied Meteorology 9:671.
7. Jefferson, G. V., 1961, "Visibility in Precipitation," Meteorological Magazine 90:168.
8. Ross, S. M., 1967, "Visibility in Precipitation at Kinloss," Meteorological Magazine 96:19.
9. Summersby, W. D., 1969, "Distribution of Precipitation and the Variation of Visibility in Precipitation," Meteorological Magazine 98:252.
10. Robertson, C. E., 1973, "The Reliability of an Optical Technique for Measuring Snowfall Rates," Journal of Applied Meteorology 12:553.
11. Chu, T. S., and C. Hogg, 1968, "Effects of Precipitation on Propagation at .63, 3.5 and 10.6 Microns," Bell Systems T. J.
12. Sola, M., and R. Bergemann, 1977, "Multispectral Propagation Measurements Through Snow," unpublished.
13. Muench, H. S., and H. A. Brown, 1977, "Measurements of Visibility and Radar Reflectivity During Snowstorms in the AFGL Mesonet," Report AFGL-TR-77-0148, Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Hanscom AFB, MA.
14. Jiusto, J. E., and H. K. Weickmann, 1973, "Types of Snowfall," Bulletin of American Meteorology Society 54:1148.
15. Sekhon, R. S., and R. C. Srivastava, 1970, "Snow Size Spectra and Radar Reflectivity," Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 27:299.
16. Litvinov, L. V., 1959, "Distribution Particles of Snowfalls by Sizes," Akademia Nauk, USSR, Izvestia Series Geophysics 10:1048.
17. Gunn, K. L. S., and J. S. Marshall, 1958, "The Distribution with Size Aggregate Snowflakes," Journal of Meteorology 15:452.

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. Frank D. Eaton  
Geophysical Institute  
University of Alaska  
Fairbanks, AK 99701

Commander  
US Army Aviation Center  
ATTN: ATZQ-D-MA  
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief, Atmospheric Sciences Div  
Code ES-81  
NASA  
Marshall Space Flight Center,  
AL 35812

Commander  
US Army Missile R&D Command  
ATTN: DRDMI-CGA (B. W. Fowler)  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Redstone Scientific Information Center  
ATTN: DRDMI-TBD  
US Army Missile R&D Command  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Commander  
US Army Missile R&D Command  
ATTN: DRDMI-TEM (K. Haraway)  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Commander  
US Army Missile R&D Command  
ATTN: DRDMI-TRA (Dr. Essenwanger)  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Commander  
HQ, Fort Huachuca  
ATTN: Tech Ref Div  
Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613

Commander  
US Army Intelligence Center & School  
ATTN: ATSI-CD-MD  
Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613

Commander  
US Army Yuma Proving Ground  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Bldg 2100  
Yuma, AZ 85364

Naval Weapons Center (Code 3173)  
ATTN: Dr. A. Shlanta  
China Lake, CA 93555

Sylvania Elec Sys Western Div  
ATTN: Technical Reports Library  
PO Box 205  
Mountain View, CA 94040

Geophysics Officer  
PMTC Code 3250  
Pacific Missile Test Center  
Point Mugu, CA 93042

Commander  
Naval Ocean Systems Center (Code 4473)  
ATTN: Technical Library  
San Diego, CA 92152

Meteorologist in Charge  
Kwajalein Missile Range  
PO Box 67  
APO San Francisco, 96555

Director  
NOAA/ERL/APCL R31  
RB3-Room 567  
Boulder, CO 80302

Library-R-51-Tech Reports  
NOAA/ERL  
320 S. Broadway  
Boulder, CO 80302

National Center for Atmos Research  
NCAR Library  
PO Box 3000  
Boulder, CO 80307

B. Girardo  
Bureau of Reclamation  
E&R Center, Code 1220  
Denver Federal Center, Bldg 67  
Denver, CO 80225

National Weather Service  
National Meteorological Center  
W321, WWB, Room 201  
ATTN: Mr. Quiroz  
Washington, DC 20233

Mil Assistant for Atmos Sciences  
Ofc of the Undersecretary of Defense  
for Rsch & Engr/E&LS - Room 3D129  
The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301

Defense Communications Agency  
Technical Library Center  
Code 205  
Washington, DC 20305

Director  
Defense Nuclear Agency  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Washington, DC 20305

HQDA (DAEN-RDM/Dr. de Percin)  
Washington, DC 20314

Director  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 5530  
Washington, DC 20375

Commanding Officer  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 2627  
Washington, DC 20375

Dr. J. M. MacCallum  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 1409  
Washington, DC 20375

The Library of Congress  
ATTN: Exchange & Gift Div  
Washington, DC 20540  
2

Head, Atmos Rsch Section  
Div Atmospheric Science  
National Science Foundation  
1800 G. Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20550

CPT Hugh Albers, Exec Sec  
Interdept Committee on Atmos Science  
National Science Foundation  
Washington, DC 20550

Director, Systems R&D Service  
Federal Aviation Administration  
ATTN: ARD-54  
2100 Second Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20590

ADTC/DLODL  
Eglin AFB, FL 32542

Naval Training Equipment Center  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Orlando, FL 32813

Det 11, 2WS/OI  
ATTN: Maj Orondorff  
Patrick AFB, FL 32925

USAFETAC/CB  
Scott AFB, IL 62225

HQ, ESD/TOSI/S-22  
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731

Air Force Geophysics Laboratory  
ATTN: LCB (A. S. Carten, Jr.)  
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731

Air Force Geophysics Laboratory  
ATTN: LYD  
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731

Meteorology Division  
AFGL/LY  
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731

US Army Liaison Office  
MIT-Lincoln Lab, Library A-082  
PO Box 73  
Lexington, MA 02173

Director  
US Army Ballistic Rsch Lab  
ATTN: DRDAR-BLB (Dr. G. E. Keller)  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander  
US Army Ballistic Rsch Lab  
ATTN: DRDAR-BLP  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Director  
US Army Armament R&D Command  
Chemical Systems Laboratory  
ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-I  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Chief CB Detection & Alarms Div  
Chemical Systems Laboratory  
ATTN: DRDAR-CLC-CR (H. Tannenbaum)  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Commander  
Harry Diamond Laboratories  
ATTN: DELHD-CO  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783

Commander  
ERADCOM  
ATTN: DRDEL-AP  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783  
2

Commander  
ERADCOM  
ATTN: DRDEL-CG/DRDEL-DC/DRDEL-CS  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783

Commander  
ERADCOM  
ATTN: DRDEL-CT  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783

Commander  
ERADCOM  
ATTN: DRDEL-EA  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783

Commander  
ERADCOM  
ATTN: DRDEL-PA/DRDEL-ILS/DRDEL-E  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783

Commander  
ERADCOM  
ATTN: DRDEL-PAO (S. Kimmel)  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783

Chief  
Intelligence Materiel Dev & Support Ofc  
ATTN: DELEW-WL-I  
Bldg 4554  
Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755

Acquisitions Section, IRDB-D823  
Library & Info Service Div, NOAA  
6009 Executive Blvd  
Rockville, MD 20852

Naval Surface Weapons Center  
White Oak Library  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

The Environmental Research  
Institute of MI  
ATTN: IRIA Library  
PO Box 8618  
Ann Arbor, MI 48107

Mr. William A. Main  
USDA Forest Service  
1407 S. Harrison Road  
East Lansing, MI 48823

Dr. A. D. Belmont  
Research Division  
PO Box 1249  
Control Data Corp  
Minneapolis, MN 55440

Director  
Naval Oceanography & Meteorology  
NSTL Station  
Bay St Louis, MS 39529

Director  
US Army Engr Waterways Experiment Sta  
ATTN: Library  
PO Box 631  
Vicksburg, MS 39180

Environmental Protection Agency  
Meteorology Laboratory  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

US Army Research Office  
ATTN: DRXRO-PP  
PO Box 12211  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Commanding Officer  
US Army Armament R&D Command  
ATTN: DRDAR-TSS Bldg 59  
Dover, NJ 07801

Commander  
HQ, US Army Avionics R&D Activity  
ATTN: DAVAA-O  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander/Director  
US Army Combat Surveillance & Target  
Acquisition Laboratory  
ATTN: DELCS-D  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander  
US Army Electronics R&D Command  
ATTN: DELCS-S  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander  
US Army Electronics R&D Command  
ATTN: DELCS-S (Dr. Swingle)  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703  
3

Director  
US Army Electronics Technology &  
Devices Laboratory  
ATTN: DELET-D  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander  
US Army Electronic Warfare Laboratory  
ATTN: DELEW-D  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander  
US Army Night Vision &  
Electro-Optics Laboratory  
ATTN: DELNV-L (Dr. Rudolf Buser)  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander  
ERADCOM Technical Support Activity  
ATTN: DELSD-L  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Project Manager, FIREFINDER  
ATTN: DRCPM-FF  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Project Manager, REMBASS  
ATTN: DRCPM-RBS  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander  
US Army Satellite Comm Agency  
ATTN: DRCPM-SC-3  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander  
ERADCOM Scientific Advisor  
ATTN: DRDEL-SA  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

6585 TG/WE  
Holloman AFB, NM 88330

AFWL/WE  
Kirtland, AFB, NM 87117

AFWL/Technical Library (SUL)  
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117

Commander  
US Army Test & Evaluation Command  
ATTN: STEWS-AD-L  
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

Rome Air Development Center  
ATTN: Documents Library  
TSLD (Bette Smith)  
Griffiss AFB, NY 13441

Commander  
US Army Tropic Test Center  
ATTN: STETC-TD (Info Center)  
APO New York 09827

Commandant  
US Army Field Artillery School  
ATTN: ATSF-CD-R (Mr. Farmer)  
Fort Sill, OK 73503

Commandant  
US Army Field Artillery School  
ATTN: ATSF-CF-R  
Fort Sill, OK 73503

Director CFD  
US Army Field Artillery School  
ATTN: Met Division  
Fort Sill, OK 73503

Commandant  
US Army Field Artillery School  
ATTN: Morris Swett Library  
Fort Sill, OK 73503

Commander  
US Army Dugway Proving Ground  
ATTN: MT-DA-L  
Dugway, UT 84022

William Peterson  
Research Associates  
Utah State University, UNC 48  
Logan, UT 84322

Inge Dirmhirn, Professor  
Utah State University, UNC 48  
Logan, UT 84322

Defense Documentation Center  
ATTN: DDC-TCA  
Cameron Station, Bldg 5  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
12

Commanding Officer  
US Army Foreign Sci & Tech Center  
ATTN: DRXST-IS1  
220 7th Street, NE  
Charlottesville, VA 22901

Naval Surface Weapons Center  
Code G65  
Dahlgren, VA 22448

Commander  
US Army Night Vision  
& Electro-Optics Lab  
ATTN: DELNV-D  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

Commander and Director  
US Army Engineer Topographic Lab  
ETL-TD-MB  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

Director  
Applied Technology Lab  
DAVDL-EU-TSD  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Department of the Air Force  
OL-C, 5WW  
Fort Monroe, VA 23651

Department of the Air Force  
5WW/DN  
Langley AFB, VA 23665

Director  
Development Center MCDEC  
ATTN: Firepower Division  
Quantico, VA 22134

US Army Nuclear & Chemical Agency  
ATTN: MONA-WE  
Springfield, VA 22150

Director  
US Army Signals Warfare Laboratory  
ATTN: DELSW-QS (Dr. R. Burkhardt)  
Vint Hill Farms Station  
Warrenton, VA 22186

Commander  
US Army Cold Regions Test Center  
ATTN: STECR-OP-PM  
APO Seattle, 98733



US Army Materiel Systems  
Analysis Activity  
ATTN: DRXSY-MP  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

## ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES RESEARCH PAPERS

1. Lindberg, J.D., "An Improvement to a Method for Measuring the Absorption Coefficient of Atmospheric Dust and other Strongly Absorbing Powders," ECOM-5565, July 1975.
2. Avara, Elton, P., "Mesoscale Wind Shears Derived from Thermal Winds," ECOM-5566, July 1975.
3. Gomez, Richard B., and Joseph H. Pierluissi, "Incomplete Gamma Function Approximation for King's Strong-Line Transmittance Model," ECOM-5567, July 1975.
4. Blanco, A.J., and B.F. Engebos, "Ballistic Wind Weighting Functions for Tank Projectiles," ECOM-5568, August 1975.
5. Taylor, Fredrick J., Jack Smith, and Thomas H. Pries, "Crosswind Measurements through Pattern Recognition Techniques," ECOM-5569, July 1975.
6. Walters, D.L., "Crosswind Weighting Functions for Direct-Fire Projectiles," ECOM-5570, August 1975.
7. Duncan, Louis D., "An Improved Algorithm for the Iterated Minimal Information Solution for Remote Sounding of Temperature," ECOM-5571, August 1975.
8. Robbiani, Raymond L., "Tactical Field Demonstration of Mobile Weather Radar Set AN/TPS-41 at Fort Rucker, Alabama," ECOM-5572, August 1975.
9. Miers, B., G. Blackman, D. Langer, and N. Lorimier, "Analysis of SMS/GOES Film Data," ECOM-5573, September 1975.
10. Manquero, Carlos, Louis Duncan, and Rufus Bruce, "An Indication from Satellite Measurements of Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Variability," ECOM-5574, September 1975.
11. Petracca, Carmine, and James D. Lindberg, "Installation and Operation of an Atmospheric Particulate Collector," ECOM-5575, September 1975.
12. Avara, Elton P., and George Alexander, "Empirical Investigation of Three Iterative Methods for Inverting the Radiative Transfer Equation," ECOM-5576, October 1975.
13. Alexander, George D., "A Digital Data Acquisition Interface for the SMS Direct Readout Ground Station - Concept and Preliminary Design," ECOM-5577, October 1975.
14. Cantor, Israel, "Enhancement of Point Source Thermal Radiation Under Clouds in a Nonattenuating Medium," ECOM-5578, October 1975.
15. Norton, Colburn, and Glenn Hoidale, "The Diurnal Variation of Mixing Height by Month over White Sands Missile Range, N.M.," ECOM-5579, November 1975.
16. Avara, Elton P., "On the Spectrum Analysis of Binary Data," ECOM-5580, November 1975.
17. Taylor, Fredrick J., Thomas H. Pries, and Chao-Huan Huang, "Optimal Wind Velocity Estimation," ECOM-5581, December 1975.
18. Avara, Elton P., "Some Effects of Autocorrelated and Cross-Correlated Noise on the Analysis of Variance," ECOM-5582, December 1975.
19. Gillespie, Patti S., R.L. Armstrong, and Kenneth O. White, "The Spectral Characteristics and Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption of the Ho<sup>+</sup> YLF Laser at 2.05 $\mu$ m," ECOM-5583, December 1975.
20. Novlan, David J. "An Empirical Method of Forecasting Thunderstorms for the White Sands Missile Range," ECOM-5584, February 1976.
21. Avara, Elton P., "Randomization Effects in Hypothesis Testing with Autocorrelated Noise," ECOM-5585, February 1976.
22. Watkins, Wendell R., "Improvements in Long Path Absorption Cell Measurement," ECOM-5586, March 1976.
23. Thomas, Joe, George D. Alexander, and Marvin Dubbin, "SATTEL - An Army Dedicated Meteorological Telemetry System," ECOM-5587, March 1976.
24. Kennedy, Bruce W., and Delbert Bynum, "Army User Test Program for the RDT&E-XM-75 Meteorological Rocket," ECOM-5588, April 1976.

25. Barnett, Kenneth M., "A Description of the Artillery Meteorological Comparisons at White Sands Missile Range, October 1974 - December 1974 ('PASS' - Prototype Artillery [Meteorological] Subsystem)," ECOM-5589, April 1976.
26. Miller, Walter B., "Preliminary Analysis of Fall-of-Shot From Project 'PASS'," ECOM-5590, April 1976.
27. Avara, Elton P., "Error Analysis of Minimum Information and Smith's Direct Methods for Inverting the Radiative Transfer Equation," ECOM-5591, April 1976.
28. Yee, Young P., James D. Horn, and George Alexander, "Synoptic Thermal Wind Calculations from Radiosonde Observations Over the Southwestern United States," ECOM-5592, May 1976.
29. Duncan, Louis D., and Mary Ann Seagraves, "Applications of Empirical Corrections to NOAA-4 VTPR Observations," ECOM-5593, May 1976.
30. Miers, Bruce T., and Steve Weaver, "Applications of Meteorological Satellite Data to Weather Sensitive Army Operations," ECOM-5594, May 1976.
31. Sharenow, Moses, "Redesign and Improvement of Balloon ML-566," ECOM-5595, June, 1976.
32. Hansen, Frank V., "The Depth of the Surface Boundary Layer," ECOM-5596, June 1976.
33. Pinnick, R.G., and E.B. Stenmark, "Response Calculations for a Commercial Light-Scattering Aerosol Counter," ECOM-5597, July 1976.
34. Mason, J., and G.B. Hoidale, "Visibility as an Estimator of Infrared Transmittance," ECOM-5598, July 1976.
35. Bruce, Rufus E., Louis D. Duncan, and Joseph H. Pierluissi, "Experimental Study of the Relationship Between Radiosonde Temperatures and Radiometric-Area Temperatures," ECOM-5599, August 1976.
36. Duncan, Louis D., "Stratospheric Wind Shear Computed from Satellite Thermal Sounder Measurements," ECOM-5800, September 1976.
37. Taylor, F., P. Mohan, P. Joseph and T. Pries, "An All Digital Automated Wind Measurement System," ECOM-5801, September 1976.
38. Bruce, Charles, "Development of Spectrophones for CW and Pulsed Radiation Sources," ECOM-5802, September 1976.
39. Duncan, Louis D., and Mary Ann Seagraves, "Another Method for Estimating Clear Column Radiances," ECOM-5803, October 1976.
40. Blanco, Abel J., and Larry E. Taylor, "Artillery Meteorological Analysis of Project Pass," ECOM-5804, October 1976.
41. Miller, Walter, and Bernard Engebos, "A Mathematical Structure for Refinement of Sound Ranging Estimates," ECOM-5805, November, 1976.
42. Gillespie, James B., and James D. Lindberg, "A Method to Obtain Diffuse Reflectance Measurements from 1.0 to 3.0  $\mu\text{m}$  Using a Cary 171 Spectrophotometer," ECOM-5806, November 1976.
43. Rubio, Roberto, and Robert O. Olsen, "A Study of the Effects of Temperature Variations on Radio Wave Absorption," ECOM-5807, November 1976.
44. Ballard, Harold N., "Temperature Measurements in the Stratosphere from Balloon-Borne Instrument Platforms, 1968-1975," ECOM-5808, December 1976.
45. Monahan, H.H., "An Approach to the Short-Range Prediction of Early Morning Radiation Fog," ECOM-5809, January 1977.
46. Engebos, Bernard Francis, "Introduction to Multiple State Multiple Action Decision Theory and Its Relation to Mixing Structures," ECOM-5810, January 1977.
47. Low, Richard D.H., "Effects of Cloud Particles on Remote Sensing from Space in the 10-Micrometer Infrared Region," ECOM-5811, January 1977.
48. Bonner, Robert S., and R. Newton, "Application of the AN/GVS-5 Laser Rangefinder to Cloud Base Height Measurements," ECOM-5812, February 1977.
49. Rubio, Roberto, "Lidar Detection of Subvisible Reentry Vehicle Erosive Atmospheric Material," ECOM-5813, March 1977.
50. Low, Richard D.H., and J.D. Horn, "Mesoscale Determination of Cloud-Top Height: Problems and Solutions," ECOM-5814, March 1977.

51. Duncan, Louis D., and Mary Ann Seagraves, "Evaluation of the NOAA-4 VTPR Thermal Winds for Nuclear Fallout Predictions," ECOM-5815, March 1977.
52. Randhawa, Jagir S., M. Izquierdo, Carlos McDonald and Zvi Salpeter, "Stratospheric Ozone Density as Measured by a Chemiluminescent Sensor During the Stratcom VI-A Flight," ECOM-5816, April 1977.
53. Rubio, Roberto, and Mike Izquierdo, "Measurements of Net Atmospheric Irradiance in the 0.7- to 2.8-Micrometer Infrared Region," ECOM-5817, May 1977.
54. Ballard, Harold N., Jose M. Serna, and Frank P. Hudson Consultant for Chemical Kinetics, "Calculation of Selected Atmospheric Composition Parameters for the Mid-Latitude, September Stratosphere," ECOM-5818, May 1977.
55. Mitchell, J.D., R.S. Sagar, and R.O. Olsen, "Positive Ions in the Middle Atmosphere During Sunrise Conditions," ECOM-5819, May 1977.
56. White, Kenneth O., Wendell R. Watkins, Stuart A. Schleusener, and Ronald L. Johnson, "Solid-State Laser Wavelength Identification Using a Reference Absorber," ECOM-5820, June 1977.
57. Watkins, Wendell R., and Richard G. Dixon, "Automation of Long-Path Absorption Cell Measurements," ECOM-5821, June 1977.
58. Taylor, S.E., J.M. Davis, and J.B. Mason, "Analysis of Observed Soil Skin Moisture Effects on Reflectance," ECOM-5822, June 1977.
59. Duncan, Louis D. and Mary Ann Seagraves, "Fallout Predictions Computed from Satellite Derived Winds," ECOM-5823, June 1977.
60. Snider, D.E., D.G. Murcray, F.H. Murcray, and W.J. Williams, "Investigation of High-Altitude Enhanced Infrared Background Emissions" (U), SECRET, ECOM-5824, June 1977.
61. Dubbin, Marvin H. and Dennis Hall, "Synchronous Meteorological Satellite Direct Readout Ground System Digital Video Electronics," ECOM-5825, June 1977.
62. Miller, W., and B. Engebos, "A Preliminary Analysis of Two Sound Ranging Algorithms," ECOM-5826, July 1977.
63. Kenneedy, Bruce W., and James K. Luers, "Ballistic Sphere Techniques for Measuring Atmospheric Parameters," ECOM-5827, July 1977.
64. Duncan, Louis D., "Zenith Angle Variation of Satellite Thermal Sounder Measurements," ECOM-5828, August 1977.
65. Hansen, Frank V., "The Critical Richardson Number," ECOM-5829, September 1977.
66. Ballard, Harold N., and Frank P. Hudson (Compilers), "Stratospheric Composition Balloon-Borne Experiment," ECOM-5830, October 1977.
67. Barr, William C., and Arnold C. Peterson, "Wind Measuring Accuracy Test of Meteorological Systems," ECOM-5831, November 1977.
68. Ethridge, G.A. and F.V. Hansen, "Atmospheric Diffusion: Similarity Theory and Empirical Derivations for Use in Boundary Layer Diffusion Problems," ECOM-5832, November 1977.
69. Low, Richard D.H., "The Internal Cloud Radiation Field and a Technique for Determining Cloud Blackness," ECOM-5833, December 1977.
70. Watkins, Wendell R., Kenneth O. White, Charles W. Bruce, Donald L. Walters, and James D. Lindberg, "Measurements Required for Prediction of High Energy Laser Transmission," ECOM-5834, December 1977.
71. Rubio, Robert, "Investigation of Abrupt Decreases in Atmospherically Backscattered Laser Energy," ECOM-5835, December 1977.
72. Monahan, H.H. and R.M. Cionco, "An Interpretative Review of Existing Capabilities for Measuring and Forecasting Selected Weather Variables (Emphasizing Remote Means)," ASL-TR-0001, January 1978.
73. Heaps, Melvin G., "The 1979 Solar Eclipse and Validation of D-Region Models," ASL-TR-0002, March 1978.

74. Jennings, S.G., and J.B. Gillespie, "M.I.E. Theory Sensitivity Studies - The Effects of Aerosol Complex Refractive Index and Size Distribution Variations on Extinction and Absorption Coefficients Part II: Analysis of the Computational Results," ASL-TR-0003, March 1978.
75. White, Kenneth O. et al, "Water Vapor Continuum Absorption in the 3.5 $\mu$ m to 4.0 $\mu$ m Region," ASL-TR-0004, March 1978.
76. Olsen, Robert O., and Bruce W. Kennedy, "ABRES Pretest Atmospheric Measurements," ASL-TR-0005, April 1978.
77. Ballard, Harold N., Jose M. Serna, and Frank P. Hudson, "Calculation of Atmospheric Composition in the High Latitude September Stratosphere," ASL-TR-0006, May 1978.
78. Watkins, Wendell R. et al, "Water Vapor Absorption Coefficients at HF Laser Wavelengths," ASL-TR-0007, May 1978.
79. Hansen, Frank V., "The Growth and Prediction of Nocturnal Inversions," ASL-TR-0008, May 1978.
80. Samuel, Christine, Charles Bruce, and Ralph Brewer, "Spectrophone Analysis of Gas Samples Obtained at Field Site," ASL-TR-0009, June 1978.
81. Pinnick, R.G. et al., "Vertical Structure in Atmospheric Fog and Haze and its Effects on IR Extinction," ASL-TR-0010, July 1978.
82. Low, Richard D.H., Louis D. Duncan, and Richard B. Gomez, "The Microphysical Basis of Fog Optical Characterization," ASL-TR-0011, August 1978.
83. Heaps, Melvin G., "The Effect of a Solar Proton Event on the Minor Neutral Constituents of the Summer Polar Mesosphere," ASL-TR-0012, August 1978.
84. Mason, James B., "Light Attenuation in Falling Snow," ASL-TR-0013, August 1978.