



NRL Memorandum Report 3848

CGE Rod Amplifier Modules

John M. McMahon

Laser Physics Branch Plasma Physics Division

August 1978

Work performed at the Naval Research Laboratory under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy.



OCT 17 1978 JUT

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY Washington, D.C.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 78 10 16 038

(9) REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NRL Memorandum Report 3848	ON NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
CGE ROD AMPLIFIER MODULES	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE Interim report on a continuing NRL problem.
the second secon	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
John M. McMahon	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASI AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Naval Research Laboratory / Washington, D. C. 20375	NRL Problem H02-29A
Washington, D. C. 20010	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	// August 1978
Washington, D. C. 20375	13: NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Of	(lice) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
(1)22-1	UNCLASSIFIED
(alaxp.)	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWN GRADING
	SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	
(14) NRL-MR-3848	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 differ	rent from Report)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Work performed at the Naval Research Laboratory under the of Energy.	the auspices of the U.S. Department
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block m	number)
Rod amplifier	
reouymium grass raser	
1	
	umber)
20. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side il necessary and identify by block m	
20. AdSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block m The performance and development history of the neod at NRL on the Pharos II laser system is reviewed. The im assessed.	dymium glass laser rod amplifiers in use pact of possible future modifications is
20. AdSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block m The performance and development history of the neod at NRL on the Pharos II laser system is reviewed. The im assessed.	dymium glass laser rod amplifiers in use pact of possible future modifications is
20. AdSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block m The performance and development history of the neod at NRL on the Pharos II laser system is reviewed. The im assessed. DD FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S(N 0.102-014-660)	dymium glass laser rod amplifiers in use pact of possible future modifications is
20. AdSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block m The performance and development history of the neod at NRL on the Pharos II laser system is reviewed. The im assessed. DD FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-014-6601 i SECURIT	dymium glass laser rod amplifiers in use pact of possible future modifications is Y CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dete En
20. AdSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block m The performance and development history of the neod at NRL on the Pharos II laser system is reviewed. The im assessed. DD FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-014-6601 i SECURIT	dymium glass laser rod amplifiers in u pact of possible future modifications Y CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Der



CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	PRIOR MODIFICATIONS	3
3.	PRESENT STATUS	5
4.	POSSIBLE FUTURE MODIFICATIONS	6
5.	DRIVING CGE MODULES WITH NRL DISC MODULES	7
6.	USE OF TREATED PYREX TUBING	10
7.	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	11
	REFERENCES	12



78 10 16 038

CGE ROD AMPLIFIER MODULES

Introduction

The Pharos II laser system at NRL uses a number of helically pumped rod amplifier modules which were purchased from the Companie Generale d'Electricite (Marcoussis, France) in the time frame 1968-1971.

The initial design of these modules was performed in 1966 and 1967 for Soveril MG 915 laser glass.^{1,2,3} The various sizes of CGE rod amplifiers use flash lamps which are electrically very similar i.e., as the major diameter of the helix is increased for larger rod sizes the number of turns is reduced such that the arc length stays approximately constant. For purposes of this study we will use average parameters of arc length, 1, equal to 120 cm and bore diameter d, equal to 1.0 cm.

The definitive study by Markiewicz and Emmett on flashlamps as circuit elements was published after the CGE modules were tested,⁴ so the designers did not have the benefit of using this analysis. The approach that was followed was to experimentally test possible flashlamp modules and make the design choices based on a tradeoff between flashlamp life and pumping efficiency. Lifetime was determined by conducting lifetime tests as a function of loading. Pumping efficiency was modeled by integrating the lamp output with a "leaky" Note: Manuscript submitted August 7, 1978.

integrator whose RC time constant would simulate the fluorescence decay of the laser decay of the laser glass.

The "typical" module derived from these measurements consisted of a 140 μ fd capacitor charged at up to 12 kV and then discharged into the flashlamp. A series trigger transformer was used to trigger the flashlamp and also to provide most of the circuit inductance. The lamp was connected to the bank using two cables which were similar to the center conductor of RG - 8 cable. The trigger transformer would supply a 4 μ s duration pulse with a voltage in excess of 30 kV to the flashlamp.

A cross-sectional view of a typical rod amplifier is shown in Figure 1. The laser rod was mounted in a co-axial water jacket with the helical flashlamps around the jacket. An aluminum reflector surrounded the flashlamps.

The rod lengths and diameters used in the amplifiers still in service at NRL are listed in table I:

Table I

Amplifier	diameter(mm)	length(mm)	
V23A2	23	320	
V32A4	32	460	
V45A4	45	460	

With Soveril MG 915 laser glass or the similar Schott LG - 56 glass the on-axis small signal gains of these amplifiers were:

$$V23A2 - e^{\alpha Z} = 18$$

 $V32A4 - e^{\alpha Z} = 22$
 $V45A4 - e^{\alpha Z} = 9$

In general these amplifiers were well behaved and reliable but a number of peculiarities were noted:

- occasional catastrophic flashlamp failure, apparently caused by the high voltage trigger "punching" through weak spots in the quartz envelope arcing to a ground with subsequent bank discharge along the same channel.
- while flashlamp life expectations of 5 10,000 shots were met there was darkening of the envelope caused by electrode sputtering.
- a short service life for the 3C45 thyratron tubes driving the trigger transformers and also the ignitron switches.
- large ground loop currents caused by the use of two discrete cables per module rather than co-axial cabling.
- when the laser glass type was switched to Owens Illinois ED - 2 with an induced emission cross - section of 2.7 x 10^{-20} cm² compared to ~ 1.8 x 10^{-20} cm² for the other glasses the small signal gain coefficient did not increase at the highest pump energies.

2. Prior Modifications

The electrical reliability of the units was improved by modifying the circuitry in several respects;

- the ignitron was replaced by a more robust unit.
- the load cables were replaced by a single RG 8 cable.
- a grounded wire was added to the flashlamps to define a ground plane.

With these modifications it was not necessary to pulse the trigger transformer as the lamps would fire by simply switching the banks into the lamps. This allowed elimination of one thyratron per module. Additionally, in the original circuit the series trigger transformer was in the ground leg, so both sides were floating; the transformer was moved to the high voltage side where it functions as an inductor.

Lamps with electrodes which show no sputtering were obtained from ILC Inc., Sunnyvale, Ca. These have a much longer service life than the original CGE lamps. A set of experiments was performed in 1972 which indicated that the reason that ED - 2 did not give higher gains was that parasitic oscillation was occuring in the rods.

In the first experiments aqueous solutions of Samarium Chloride were used in the water jackets of an amplifier to raise the index of refraction and give absorption at the laser wavelength. The threshold vs. index mismatch allowed identification of the offending mode as a radial "whisper" mode. The Samarium Chloride solutions however had two drawbacks:

- while the small signal gain coefficient was approximately doubled, clearly parasitics were still occuring above $\frac{2}{3}$ pump energy.

- high index Samarium solutions were extremely corrosive. The work of Dube and Boling suggested that ZnCl₂ might be a better choice as a material for increasing the index of the liquid around the rod.⁵ By a combination of experiment and kitchen chemistry a solution was found which suppressed radial parasitics. It was also necessary to

anti-reflection coat the rods to suppress "organ pipe" modes at high gain levels.⁶ Good stability has been obtained in conditions where the materials in contact with the fluid are restricted to glass, nylon, Tygon tubing and gold plated metal.

By use of these solutions and Ar coatings the small signal gain coefficients have been increased to:

V23A2 - 50 V32A4 - 120 V45A4 - 30

These values represent a 40 - 55% increase in the stored inversion or gain coefficient.

Experience over the past four years with the CGE modules in this state has been generally excellent.

There have been few flashlamp failures and all have been failure to trigger rather than lamp breakage. At irregular intervals on the order of once a year the rod end fittings must be replated because of attack by the ZnCl₂ solution. At that time the water jacket is generally replaced and the lamps cleaned.

3. Present Status

In the near future the entire laser system will be converted to phosphate laser glass. To achieve wavelength matching Nd:Ylf will be used in the master oscillator. Rods of Kigre Q - 88 phosphate glass have been obtained of acceptible optical quality ($^{\lambda}$ /10 in transmission at 1.054 µm). A lower doping was chosed than for ED - 2 silicate glass to achieve flatter spatial profiles. Preliminary measurements on an uncoated 45 mm rod indicate that the gain at 1.064 mm is the same as

with ED - 2. These results indicate that at least a factor of 1.6 larger gain coefficient will be achieved after the rods are coated and operated at 1.054 cm.

4. Possible Future Modifications

A. One modification which might be desirable would be to convert to capacitor banks similar to the present disk amplifier modules. Several factors argue in favor of this approach:

- the CGE capacitor bank modules were designed a long time ago for a different laser glass and a fresh approach might be expected to yield improved performance.
- a number of the capacitor banks have 20,000 25,000 shots.
 No overt signs of an end-of-life situation have been noted
 but at some point this may become a real problem.
- the laser control electronics could be simplified if capacitor banks similar to the disk amplifier banks were used. The present control system represents a compromise between several different design philosophies.
- disk amplifier banks have been in use for a number of years on the 30 ns system used in 6700 which uses CGE amplifier heads.

B. A second modification which has merit would be to replace the present water jackets with a tube treated by the Corning process to have very low surface reflection.⁷⁸ This would have several advantages from a performance and maintenance standpoint:

- the SmCl₂ absorber could be removed from the ZnCl₂: H₂O

solution as the parasitics would be stabilized for any angles of incidence which would correspond to a path through the gain medium.

> - in addition to reducing attenuation of the flashlamp light by 4% or more at the interface between air and water jacket, removal of the samarium would improve pumping efficiency by about 10%.

In the next two sections we will consider each of these modifications in greater detail.

5. Driving CGE modules with NRL Disc Modules

As was noted earlier in this report, the CGE flashlamp modules were developed before a model for the electrical properties of flashlamps was developed.⁴ In-house experience indicates superior lifeloading characteristics compared to the original CGE lamps largely because of the development of electrodes which do not cause sputtering of electrode material onto the flashlamp envelope. The theory developed in Reference 4 relates capacitor bank and flashlamp parameters as

$$C^{3} = 2Eo \left(\frac{\alpha}{Ko}\right)^{4} T^{2} -1 -$$

where C is the bank capacitance, $Eo = \frac{1}{2} CV^2$ is the stored energy with V the charging voltage, and $T = \sqrt{LC}$ is the circuit time constant. Ko is the flashlamp impedance parameter. For the pressures of Xenon used in these lamps

$$Ko = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{d}$$

where 1 is the arc length and d is the diameter. The damping parameter, α , is given by Reference 4 as 0.8, but as 0.84 by a more recent study.⁹

Circuits with lower values of α will ring while circuits with higher values will be over-damped. The study in Reference 4 showed little real sensitivity for .8 < α < 1.2. Equation 1 can be manipulated to give

$$\alpha = \text{Ko} \left[\frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} -3-$$

For the parameters of the CGE circuit

$$\alpha = \frac{1.34}{(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where x is the charging voltage in tens of kilovolts. For most values of the charging voltage the circuit is substantially over damped. We can use Reference 4 to estimate the fraction of the bank energy which is useful as a function of voltage by estimating what fraction is delivered in 600 μ s, the time to peak gain.

Table I

Voltage	α	useful fraction	useful energy(J)
8	1.49	.83	3700
9	1.41	.86	4840
10	1.34	.88	6110
11	1.28	.89	7480
12	1.20	.93	9300

Trenholme has recently reported that the transfer efficiency of flashlamp pumping cavities to neodymum glass can be approximated by¹⁰

$$\epsilon = \frac{A}{Jn}$$
 (l - exp - BJ) -4-

where J is the current density, A and B are constants and n is a constant with the value $0.8 \le n \le 1.0$. If we compare the achieved gain coefficient vs. pump energy for the CGE V45A4 amplifier (Fig. 2) to these models we obtain n = .46 in Equation 4 without using the correction from table I and n = .63 using the correction.

The fact that this disagrees with the fitting parameters Trenholme found of 0.8 < n < 1.0 would indicate that the losses might be worse than was estimated from Reference 4. The recent work reported in Ref. 9 also found that losses affect the circuit more strongly that estimated in Reference 4.

We can attempt to infer the losses as a function of current density by assuming the following:

- the cavity efficiency will scale as $Ec = J^{-1}$ (for large J)
- the overall efficiency will be a product of electrical and cavity efficiencies.

Since Ohm's law for a flashlamp can be expressed as:

$$V = Ko (j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The power and energy into the Lamp will scale as $j\frac{3}{2}$. If we set $E_E = .9$ at lOkJ we find for selected values

Е	Electrical Efficiency	$E_{\rm F}$ (ref. 4)	
5kJ	•79	.85	
7.5kJ	.88	.88	
lOkJ	.90	•93	

It appears reasonably credible that the reason for the poor efficiency of the CGE modules at low pump energy is significant circuit losses in the overdamped circuits. If the CGE module were replaced by a disc laser module with C = 42 µfd and $T = \mu s$, the damping constant

$$\alpha = \frac{\cdot 97}{[x]^2}$$

and full bank energy (8.4kJ) is reached at 20 kV not 12. As a result,

$$\alpha_{(20)} = .70$$

which is slightly underdamped. At half energy 14 kV, $\alpha = 0.83$.

The more recent Rochester work⁹ suggests that the effect of circuit losses will be to increase the effective damping so these are reasonable values of α .

The increased peak voltage will lower cavity transfer efficiency by just about the same factor that the shorter pulse will decrease the fluorescence loss.

The circuit improvements should **boost** that efficiency, hopefully by enough to offset the decreased bank energy (8.4 kJ from 10 kJ).

Figures 3 and 4 show the expected change in gain and gain coefficient with CGE and disk modules. Fig's 5 and 6 show another aspect of this change.

The conclusion is that it is possible to drive the CGE heads with disk modules more efficiently than with CGE modules but it is not obvious that higher gains will be achieved.

6. Use of Treated Pyrex Tubing

The Corning Glass Works has recently disclosed a process by which Pyrex can be treated such that the reflectivity of the surfaces is markedly reduced (References 7 and 8). This process produces a surface which has an initial index of refraction of 1.12 which grades up to the normal index of Pyrex over a 5000 Å zone.

The result is equivalent to a broad bank anti-reflection coating but has some properties which such a coating does not have. The reflectivity is quite low for a variety of angles of incidence up to 70° because this process causes an index gradient rather than operating by interference.

Such a material would appear to offer substantial advantage if used as the water jacket around the laser rod. Presently, ordinary Pyrex tubes are used. The liquid is not water but a witches' brew of water, ZnCl₂, HCl and SmCl₂ which has the desirable properties that it nearly index matches the laser rod and stabilizes a parasitic whisper mode in the rod. The Samarium salt is required to suppress a whisper mode in the water jacket. The undesirable feature of this liquid is that it is very corrosive and over the long term approximates a universal solvent.

Use of treated Pyrex would stabilize this particular mode without the need for recourse to a 1 μ m absorber; hence the Samarium Chloride could be eliminated. This would virtually eliminate the maintenance problems associated with use of the index matching fluid; additionally it would boost the gain coefficient by ~ 15%. The pump light would be coupled more efficiently and there would be lower internal absorption.

7. Acknowledgment

The improvements to the CGE amplifier modules have occurred over a period of years. A number of present and former NRL employees have made substantial contributions to this effort including Orville C. Barr, Robert P. Burns, Thomas H. DeRieux, John L. Emmett, John F. Holzrichter, Jules P. Letellier and John B. Trenholme.

REFERENCES

- "Flash Pour Barreau Ø 16 mm", memorandum report JS/AL No. 66-A-90, 20 June 1966, Centre de Recherches, Companie Generalé d' Electricité, Marcoussis, France.
- "Flash Pour Barreau Ø 32 mm" memorandum report JS/AL No. 66-A-149, CGE. (1966)
- "Flash Pour Barreau \$\u03c8 23 mm, Version deux flashes", memorandum report JS/AL No. 67-15, 3 Feb. 1967, CGE.
- "Design of Flashlamp Driving Circuits", J.P. Markiewicz and J.L.
 Emmett, IEEE Journal of Quantum Electronics, Volume QE-2 No. 11, Nov. 1966, p. 707.
- 5. G. Dubé and N.L. Boling, Applied Optics 13, 4, 699 (1974).
- 6. "Suppression of Parasitic Oscillation in Laser Rod Amplifiers" J.M. McMahon, R.P. Burns and T.H. DeRieux in <u>Laser Induced</u> <u>Damage in Optical Materials: 1974</u>, NBS special Publication 414 (1974), p. 17.
- 7. "Single-layer, gradient refractive index anti-reflection films effective from 0.35 to 3.5 µ", Michael Jay Minot, J. Opt. Soc. Am., Vol. 66, No. 6, June 1976, p. 515.
- "The angular reflectance of single-layer gradient refractiveindex films", M.J. Minot, J. Opt. Soc. Am. Vol. 67, No. 8, Aug. 1977, p. 1046.

9. LLL Report No. 50, 1977, U. of Rochester.

10. J.B. Trenholme in Laser Fusion Program Annual Report, 1976, UCRL - 50021-76, p. 2 - 223.













FRACTIONAL PUMP ENERGY

Fig. 5 - Gain vs. fractional charging voltage for the two module choices. The gain achieved with the disc module would be considerably less sensitive to charging precision than with the standard module.



