

AD-A059 049

TEXAS TECH UNIV LUBBOCK PLASMA LAB
PLASMA-LASER INTERACTIONS WITH SOLID POLYSTYRENE MICROSPHERES.(U)
OCT 77 D L SMITH
F/G 20/9
AFOSR-74-2639

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-7

AFOSR-TR-78-1243

NL

1 OF 2
AD
A059049



LEVEL ②



AFOSR-TR- 78 - 1 2 4 3

PLASMA-LASER INTERACTIONS WITH SOLID POLYSTYRENE MICROSPHERES

by

David L. Smith

LEVEL II



AD A059049

DDC FILE COPY

October 3, 1977

PLASMA LABORATORY
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY

Lubbock, Texas 79409

Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.

78 09 05 06 9

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC)
NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DDC

This technical report has been reviewed and is
approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12 (7b).
Distribution is unlimited.

A. D. BLOSE
Technical Information Officer

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		BEFORE COMPLETING FORM							
1. REPORT NUMBER AFOSR-TR- 78 - 1 2 4 3	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER							
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) PLASMA-LASER INTERACTIONS WITH SOLID POLYSTYRENE MICROSPHERES		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim							
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER							
7. AUTHOR(s) David L. Smith		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) AFOSR 74-2639							
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Plasma Laboratory Dept. of Elec. Engr. Texas Tech University Lubbock, Texas 79409		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61102 F 23 01/A2							
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS AFOSR/NP Bolling Air Force Base Washington, D.C. 20332		12. REPORT DATE October 3, 1977							
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 96							
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified							
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE									
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.									
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)									
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES									
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Plasma</td> <td>Ablation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Plasma-Solid Interaction</td> <td>Pellet</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Laser</td> <td>Pellet-Plasma-Laser Interaction</td> </tr> </table> <i>micrometers</i>				Plasma	Ablation	Plasma-Solid Interaction	Pellet	Laser	Pellet-Plasma-Laser Interaction
Plasma	Ablation								
Plasma-Solid Interaction	Pellet								
Laser	Pellet-Plasma-Laser Interaction								
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The basic mechanisms involved in a solid-plasma interaction have been studied. In order to measure the ablation rates of solid polystyrene spheres of 50 to 150 μm radii, the pellets were suspended on glass fibers and exposed to a dense ($n_e \approx 5 \times 10^{22} \text{ m}^{-3}$), hot ($T_e < T_i \approx 120 \text{ eV}$) theta pinch plasma. The pellet sizes were measured before and after each 2 μs <i>microsec</i>									

↓
pellet-plasma interaction, and the results were plotted against several plasma parameters. Qualitative agreement with recent theoretical scaling laws was obtained. The pellet surfaces acquired a fine polish when exposed to a plasma as indicated by electron microscopy. Comparison of the ionization intensities in the ablating neutral material through the first four carbon lines indicated pellet cloud temperatures in the range of 5 eV. Both spectroscopy and streak camera photography showed that focusing a Q-switched ruby laser on the pellet during the plasma interaction produced a marked increase in the ionization intensities that was sustained long after the laser pulse. The pellet-plasma interaction alone was determined to be an inefficient means of generating X-rays. The combination pellet-plasma-laser interaction promises to be a feasible radiation source for durations much longer than those produced with only the laser-solid system.
↑

(6) PLASMA-LASER INTERACTIONS WITH SOLID
POLYSTYRENE MICROSPHERES.

by

(10) David L./Smith

(11) 3 October 1977

(9) Interim Technical Report No. 7 on
Grant AFOSR-74-2639

"Dense Plasma Heating and Radiation Generation"

(15) ✓ AFOSR-74-2639

Principal Investigator: M. Kristiansen, Horn Professor
Co-Principal Investigator: M.O. Hagler, Professor

Plasma Laboratory
Department of Electrical Engineering
Texas Tech University
Lubbock, Texas 79409

(18) AFOSR/ (19) TR-78-1243

406 234

78 09 05 06 9

JOB

EXEMPTION for	
DIS	White Section ☒
ODC	Buff Section ☐
UNANNOUNCED	☐
JUSTIFICATION	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
SPECIAL	
A	

ABSTRACT

The basic mechanisms involved in a solid-plasma interaction have been studied. In order to measure the ablation rates of solid polystyrene spheres of 50 to 150 μm radii, the pellets were suspended on glass fibers and exposed to a dense ($n_e \approx 5 \times 10^{22} \text{ m}^{-3}$), hot ($T_e < T_i \approx 120 \text{ eV}$) theta pinch plasma. The pellet sizes were measured before and after each 2 μs pellet-plasma interaction, and the results were plotted against several plasma parameters. Qualitative agreement with recent theoretical scaling laws was obtained. The pellet surfaces acquired a fine polish when exposed to a plasma as indicated by electron microscopy. Comparison of the ionization intensities in the ablating neutral material through the first four carbon lines indicated pellet cloud temperatures in the range of 5 eV. Both spectroscopy and streak camera photography showed that focusing a Q-switched ruby laser on the pellet during the plasma interaction produced a marked increase in the ionization intensities that was sustained long after the laser pulse. The pellet-plasma interaction alone was determined to be an inefficient means of generating X-rays. The combination pellet-

plasma-laser interaction promises to be a feasible radiation source for durations much longer than those produced with only the laser-solid system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I appreciate all the assistance and patient guidance provided by Dr. Magne Kristiansen and Dr. Marion Hagler during the course of this research. My gratitude is also extended to Dr. Lynn Hatfield, Dr. Tom Newman, and Dr. John Craig for serving on my committee. The many contributions from the other members of the Texas Tech University Plasma Laboratory and the electrical engineering faculty must likewise be acknowledged. The financial support by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research is definitely appreciated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND UNITS	ix
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL REVIEW	5
Plasma-Solid Interaction	5
Laser-Solid Interaction	10
X-ray Generation	16
III. EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENT AND METHODS	25
Theta Pinch System	25
Circuit Modifications	29
Pellet Suspension and Measurement	37
Relating Data to the Scaling Laws	42
Spectrometer and Laser Systems	43
IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	50
Ablation Rate Data	51
Spectroscopy Results	55
Image Converter Camera Diagnostics	60
Pellet Surface Effects	63
V. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	67
LIST OF REFERENCES	70

APPENDIX	75
A. AN ANALYSIS OF CO-AXIAL PULSE TRANSFORMERS.	76
B. AN INEXPENSIVE HIGH VOLTAGE PROBE	85
C. RAW ABLATION DATA AND AN EXAMPLE APPLICATION OF CHAUVENET'S CRITERION	93

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
II-1.	Target Density Profile During Irradiation .	13
III-1.	Theta Pinch Circuit Diagram and Typical Parameters	26
III-2.	Time Resolved Electron Temperature at 60 mTorr (Ref. 2,47)	30
III-3.	Time Resolved Electron Density at 60 mTorr (Ref. 2,47)	31
III-4.	One of the 26 Modules in the HEDS Pulser .	34
III-5.	Main Rail Gap Triggering Arrangement . . .	35
III-6.	HEDS Pulser Triggering Circuit with Noise Isolation Measures	38
III-7.	Interaction Sequence Between the Plasma and Suspended Pellet	39
III-8.	Typical Polystyrene Pellets	41
III-9.	Linearized Approximation for $n_e(t)$ for $P_o = 60$ mTorr	44
III-10.	Laser-Pellet-Plasma Interaction Scheme . .	48
IV-1.	Experimental Results in Terms of the Scaling Law Variables	52
IV-2.	Pellet Radius Reduction Versus Initial Pellet Radius	54
IV-3.	Energy Flux Versus Volume Change	56
IV-4.	CIII Line Intensities Versus Time for $P_o = 60$ mTorr	58

IV-5.	Image Converter Pictures of the Interactions	61
IV-6.	Examples of Low Intensity Laser Exposure .	64
IV-7.	Electron Microscope Photographs (13,000X Magnification)	65

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND UNITS

Symbol	Definition	MKS Units
d	Plasma thickness	m
e	Electron charge	C
k	Boltzmann's constant	J/°K
l_{ab}	Laser power absorption length	m
m_e	Electron mass	kg
n	Empirical constant	
n_e	Electron density	m^{-3}
$\bar{n}_e$	Average electron density during interaction	m^{-3}
n_i	Ion density	m^{-3}
r_p	Instantaneous pellet radius	m
$\dot{r}_p$	Pellet surface regression speed	m/s
r_{po}	Initial pellet radius	m
t	Time	s
v	Fluid velocity	m/s
x	Linear spatial coordinate	m
B	Magnetic flux density	T
C_l	Specific heat of a liquid	J/kg-°K
C_s	Specific heat of a solid	J/kg-°K
E	Energy	J

Symbol	Definition	MKS Units
E_{ff}	Transmitted bremsstrahlung energy	J
F_e	Plasma energy flux (power density)	W/m^2
H_2	Hydrogen molecule	
I	Local laser intensity	W/m^2
I_0	Initial laser intensity	W/m^2
K	Proportionality constant	
$L(E)$	Energy dependent loss function	$J-m^2$
L_m	Latent heat of melting	J/kg
L_v	Latent heat of vaporization	J/kg
M	Ion mass	kg
P_0	Initial filling pressure	mTorr
T_e	Electron temperature	$^{\circ}K$
$\bar{T}_e$	Average electron temperature during interaction	$^{\circ}K$
T_i	Ion temperature	$^{\circ}K$
T_m	Melting temperature	$^{\circ}K$
T_v	Vaporization temperature	$^{\circ}K$
U_s	Surface regression speed of a flat target	m/s
V	Plasma volume	m^3
Z	Atomic number	
$\bar{Z}^*$	Mean effective ion charge	C
α	Transmission coefficient	
γ	Effective energy flux cross section	m^2
ϵ_0	Permittivity constant	F/m

Symbol	Definition	MKS Units
ν_o	Laser frequency	Hz
ν_p	Plasma frequency	Hz
σ	Stefan-Boltzmann constant	$\text{W/m}^2\text{-}^\circ\text{K}^4$
ρ_s	Density of a solid	kg/m^3
τ_h	Characteristic hydrodynamic expansion or contraction time	s
τ_o	Laser duration or pulsewidth	s
τ_p	Plasma duration	s
Δr_p	Measured reduction of a pellet's radius	m
Δt	Pellet-plasma interaction time	s
$\Lambda(\nu_o)$	Ratio of Debye length to impact parameter calculated with ν_o	

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Sequential interactions of solid pellets with hot plasmas and lasers of various wavelengths may lead to efficient generation of large X-ray fluxes of interest for various applications. Also, the concept of refueling thermonuclear reactors by high-speed pellet injection is of interest because of the small amount of injection energy that is required as opposed to other refueling methods. Applications of this technique demand an understanding of how the pellet is vaporized and ionized as it enters a plasma environment. Practical economic and physics considerations then allow the determination of the required initial pellet sizes and/or velocities. Other apparent applications for the laser-plasma-pellet interaction include the development of plasma diagnostic techniques and an evaluation of the fusion torch concept.¹ Finally, the pellet cloud could be formed or shaped by laser beams in order to tailor the density distribution in a fusion device. As an extension of an earlier study by Nunnally² this work had the combined purposes of actually measuring the ablation rates of solid polysty-

rene, $(C_6H_5-CH=CH_2) \cdot n$, microspheres that were exposed to a theta pinch plasma and various laser pulses and also to determine the feasibility of developing this technique into an efficient X-ray source.

Polystyrene pellets were used because of their availability and relative ease of handling. Serious investigations are being conducted into the use of low average atomic number polymer spherical microshells as the fuel "envelopes" for the laser fusion scheme because they are stable and easy to fabricate.^{3,4} Among the possible candidates are polymethylmacrolate, polyvinyl alcohol, and polystyrene, which increases the interest in laser and plasma interaction with this material.

To meet the goals of this research and to lend support to some of the recently developed theories described in Chapter II, a hot plasma environment for the solid pellets was generated by the TeePee 1B theta pinch system that is discussed in Chapter III. This theta pinch produced the large energy flux of a fusion reactor ($\approx 10^{13}$ W/m²), but the thermal conductivity was considerably less than that desired. Suspending a pellet of about 200 μ m diameter on a fine glass fiber enabled reproducible interactions to be observed with the plasma, a ruby laser, a CO₂ laser, and certain combinations of plasma and laser interactions. The laser-plasma inter-

actions with the pellets were investigated with image converter photography, limited spectroscopy, and Mach Zehnder interferometry to compare properties of this radiation generation system to the interactions involving the plasma alone. For the cases without the laser, the pellets were usually retrievable and the change in size could be directly measured. Analysis of the retrieved pellets produced qualitative agreement with the theoretical scaling laws.

The previous experiments⁵ disproved earlier theories⁶⁻¹⁰ which indicate that a $5 \times 10^{22} \text{ m}^{-3}$, 300 eV plasma of about 1 μsec duration has sufficient energy flux and thermal conductivity to vaporize and ionize a substantial fraction of a 200 μm polystyrene pellet. In the present experiment only very small changes in the pellet radii were observed. Almost all the fiber that was exposed to the hot plasma was, however, either vaporized or broken up into a fine residue. Since the mean free paths for the electron-neutral and ion-neutral collisions were on the order of the pellet's $\approx 5 \text{ eV}$ cloud dimension, the energy transfer efficiency between the plasma and the largely neutral shielding cloud was rather low. Adding a small amount of energy from a ruby laser pulse appeared to increase and sustain the energy transfer efficiency according to the enhanced luminosity and

carbon line ionization intensities that were observed. The small amount of laser energy probably led to increased ionization of the cloud and hence to decreased mean free paths and higher energy transfer from the hot plasma. This enhanced plasma-cloud energy transfer persisted long after the termination of the laser pulse.

CHAPTER II

THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL REVIEW

This chapter presents some of the results of the most recent theoretical models for plasma and laser interactions with solids and the assumptions used to derive their conclusions. Experimental work performed over the last few years in the areas of plasma-solid interactions, laser-solid interactions, and methods of X-ray generation are also reviewed. The discussion draws together the various aspects of the interaction processes that may take place in this particular experiment, although it is not intended to be an exhaustive review.

Plasma-Solid Interaction

When a pellet is exposed to a hot, dense plasma, its surface rapidly heats up and molecules are vaporized by electron bombardment. As soon as the ablation cloud forms, it begins shielding the pellet from the incident plasma electron energy flux, F_e , due to elastic and inelastic collisions. This shielding layer of expanding pellet material may make it possible for the fuel to penetrate sufficiently deep into a reactor plasma.

Recently a general model for the transonic flow of ablating pellet material applicable to a wide class of plasma conditions has been developed by Parks.¹¹ In order to minimize the pellet mass for optimum injection energy economy for a given penetration distance, this theory tends to favor injection in large reactors with high electron density, n_e , and low electron temperature, T_e . Initial assumptions inherent to this theory are a quasi-steady ablation rate (the pellet surface regression speed, $\dot{r}_p$, is slow with respect to the time of cloud formation) and the development of a physical shock front far from the pellet surface such that it has no effect on the absorbing portion of the primarily neutral cloud. The incident plasma energy flux either passes through the cloud unaffected or contributes to an increase in the enthalpy and kinetic energy of the ablating particles. The most important mechanism in the interaction is the energy absorption by the neutrals, which display gas dynamic motion. This mechanism almost completely controls the ablation rate and directly couples back to surface vaporization. With these considerations in mind, an analytical transport model evolved that describes the electron energy flux at any point in the cloud all the way down to the pellet surface. It is shown that the pellet surface regression speed scales as

$$\dot{r}_p \sim n_e^{1/3} r_p^{-2/3} T_e^{1/2} \gamma^{-2/3} \quad (\text{II} - 1)$$

where r_p is the instantaneous pellet radius and γ is an "effective energy flux cross section."¹¹ Included in γ is an energy dependent loss function, $L(E)$, which accounts for the physical properties of the gas medium. The loss function explains the partitioning of an incident electron's energy among various processes, and, for evaluation, requires a knowledge of individual ionization cross sections such as primary ionization, dissociative ionization, double ionization, production of secondaries, discrete-state excitations, and numerous higher-order ionizations and recombinations.¹² It is thus an indirect function of T_e , varying for different gases. A semi-empirical fit for energies as low as 20 eV has been made of $L(E)$ for molecular hydrogen.¹³ Thus, it is possible to predict a scaling law for the life time of a hydrogen pellet:¹⁴

$$\tau_p \sim \frac{r_{po}}{\dot{r}_p} \approx \frac{r_{po}^{5/3}}{n_e^{1/3} T_e^{1.71}} \bigg|_{H_2} \quad (\text{II} - 2)$$

where r_{po} is the initial pellet radius. Notice the strong dependence on T_e as opposed to the weaker dependence on n_e , as well as the nonlinear relationship with the initial pellet radius. Considering the flow of energy carried by incident plasma particles across a

surface, Vaslow¹⁵ obtained a similar dependence on the pellet radius and density. The revised work of Milora and Foster¹⁶ is also in agreement. Gralnick¹⁷ previously derived a surface regression speed, using volume heating by plasma ions, that showed no dependence on the pellet radius. He assumed a linear relationship between the ablation rate and the local plasma density, as opposed to the relationships of Eq. (II - 1).

The respective individual cross sections of a polystyrene gas that contribute to $L(E)$ are not well known. The perhaps questionable assumption is therefore made that the polystyrene cloud has the same form for $L(E)$ as does molecular hydrogen, and that Eq. (II - 2) is the applicable scaling law for this case. Therefore, by substituting $\Delta r_p / \Delta t$ for $\dot{r}_p$ in Eq. (II - 2), it follows that

$$n_e^{1/3} T_e^{1.71} \approx K(r_{po})^{2/3} \Delta r_p, \quad (\text{II} - 3)$$

where Δr_p corresponds to the measured change or reduction in the pellet radius, and K is a proportionality constant containing, among other things, the pellet-plasma interaction time, Δt . The actual power of T_e depends on the loss function for a particular gas.¹¹ Using the proper $L(E)$ would make a small correction which appears to fall within the experimental error of this work since the

experimental results agree with the theory for pure H_2 . One qualitative explanation for this effect would be that the smaller, lighter hydrogen molecules and ions readily escape after dissociating from the polystyrene molecule. The remaining carbon atoms and carbon-hydrogen molecules cannot disperse (or be ionized) as readily during the short interaction time of this experiment. A spatially resolved spectroscopic analysis during the interaction and a chemical analysis of the pellet surface carbon concentration after the interaction would help verify this argument.

According to Eq. (II - 3) one needs to know the average values of the electron density and temperature during the interaction time, in addition to the initial and final values of the pellet radius, to verify the scaling laws. Specifically, a plot of the plasma parameters on the left-hand-side of Eq. (II - 3) against the pellet parameters on the right-hand-side should result in a linear curve, if the nonlinear dependences of the scaling laws are indeed true.

The effectiveness of the shielding pellet cloud varies mainly due to the dependence of τ_p on the five-thirds power of the initial radius. Milora and Foster¹⁶ explain this nonlinear behavior by noting that as pellets become smaller, they behave more like point sources of

mass for which the shielding clouds dissipate more rapidly in space and become less effective. They determined that 5 μm would be the approximate hydrogen pellet size below which the neutral gas shield is rendered ineffectual (smaller pellets would require extremely high velocities to reach the interior of hot plasmas). Interpreting the total luminosity of the cloud as being proportional to the rate at which the pellet surface supplies neutrals to the plasma, indirect measurements of the ablation rates, showing agreement with the scaling laws, recently have been performed.¹⁶ In that study 210 μm diameter frozen hydrogen pellets were injected into the edge plasma of ORMAK at speeds of approximately 100 m/sec.

Laser-Solid Interaction

The interaction between a laser beam and its target needs to be well documented and understood because of its importance to laser weaponry, X-ray generation, laser fusion, laser machinery, etc. In general, the presence of a background gas, the reflectivity of the target surface, the surface quality and finish, the laser wavelength, and the pulse duration are all important parameters that modify the process and must be specified for a given experiment. The development of the interaction process occurs as follows:

- a. Absorption in a skin depth for metals (or by impurities or imperfections for non-metals),
- b. Heating and vaporization of the surface,
- c. Breakdown in the vapor,
- d. Expansion of the plasma and formation of a density gradient,
- e. Generation of plasma shock waves (absorption waves),
- f. Momentum transfer to target from moving plasma,
- g. Generation of shock (stress) waves in the target,
- h. Burn off of "late" plasma vapor by the hot plasma,
- i. Dissipation of the plasma through radiation, etc.

The laser energy absorption process depends on whether the irradiated solid is transparent, reflective, or absorptive. If it is transparent, absorption occurs at the lattice irregularities or impurities at or inside the surface, and the situation is quite similar to gas breakdown. Whatever the source of the first electrons (multi-photon absorption, stimulated Raman scattering, impurities, stray ultra-violet radiation, etc.), a few do occur above the threshold and start the avalanche effect that leads to the generation of a strongly absorbing layer on the target surface. The development is then independent of the conductivity of the target.¹⁸

For metallic targets the initiation is more straightforward. Here the initial conductivity of a smooth surface is quite high. Radiation falling on this surface interacts with the conduction band electrons in the skin depth. These electrons then respond collectively to the field, and energy is absorbed through free-free interactions, or collisions of electrons with imperfections, etc.¹⁸ The general conclusion is that if adequate power density falls on the surface in a sufficiently brief time, vaporization will occur.¹⁹

As soon as the surface reaches the material vaporization temperature, the rate of evaporation is determined by energy conservation considerations. The regression speed (erosion rate) of the vapor-solid interface of a flat surface may be expressed as¹⁸

$$U_s = \frac{I_0}{\rho_s (C_s T_m + L_m + C_l (T_v - T_m) + L_v)}, \quad (\text{II} - 4)$$

where I_0 is the laser power density, ρ_s is the solid density, C_s and C_l are the specific heats of the solid and liquid, respectively, T_m and T_v are the melting and vaporization temperatures, and L_m and L_v are the latent heats of melting and vaporization.

After a "short" time (less than the laser risetime) the density profile near the target surface can be sketched as shown in Fig. II-1. The absorption of laser




Fig. II-1. Target Density Profile During Irradiation.

radiation in the target gas, assuming inverse bremsstrahlung, follows a Bougeur's Law relation:

$$I = I_0 \exp(-x/\ell_{ab}) \quad (\text{II} - 5)$$

where the "absorption length" is given by^{20,21}

$$\ell_{ab} = \frac{1.28 \times 10^{18} \nu_o^2 T_e^{3/2} (1 - (\nu_p/\nu_o)^2)^{1/2}}{Z n_e^2 \ln(\Lambda(\nu_o))}, \quad (\text{II} - 6)$$

where ν_o is the laser frequency, ν_p is the plasma frequency given by

$$\nu_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{n_e e^2}{\epsilon_o m_e} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (\text{II} - 7)$$

and

$$\Lambda(\nu_o) = \frac{(T_e)^{3/2}}{2\pi \nu_o Z e^2 m_e^{1/2}}. \quad (\text{II} - 8)$$

In Eqs. (II - 6 & 8), T_e has the units of electron volts. The absorption length decreases with decreasing temperature and increasing densities near the surface until a "critical frequency" is reached when $\nu_p \approx \nu_o$, beyond which there is no propagation and the laser radiation may be totally cut off from the surface. Further interaction with the target is by plasma heat conduction and pressure. Damage to the ablating target depends on time. Apart from burning through the target material, the impact of the laser radiation can cause "impulse loading,"

which in principle causes fracture, plastic deformation, spallation, etc.

When the ionization cross section in the vapor is sufficiently large to absorb the laser energy, the process can then grow to breakdown. An "overdense" opaque plasma has been observed to decouple the laser from the target,²² and the absorption region (at critical density) propagates up the beam in the form of a "detonation wave," or a "combustion wave," depending on the laser flux level.¹⁸ This wave is driven as long as there is laser energy being deposited behind the density front. For high flux densities the front steepens and travels as a supersonic laser-supported detonation (LSD) shock wave, while for low flux densities the absorption region is thin and the front propagates subsonically as a laser-supported combustion (LSC) wave. The gas behind the LSC wave is in the form of a plasma in pressure balance with the surroundings. An analysis of laser absorption waves (LAW) was developed in the Soviet Union by Raizer.²³

In the case of breakdown in the atmosphere, the threshold for gas breakdown is well above the threshold for propagation of the LAW's. However, the generation of these waves in the interaction of laser radiation with solid surfaces occurs at rather unexpectedly low irradiance values, and the ignition mechanism (the mechanism

responsible for the initial LAW generation) has been the subject of much speculation. Threshold values between 2×10^9 and 6×10^{10} W/m² (below the threshold for plasma generation from laser-solid interactions in a vacuum) were measured by Hall using a CO₂ laser with a 3 μ s pulse and various target materials.²⁴ Recent experimental surveys suggest that thermionic emission and local target heating play major roles in the ignition mechanism for LAW's on practical aluminum surfaces.^{25,26} The roles played by chemical reaction, shock-heating of the gas, and nonequilibrium ionization of the vapor in the transfer of energy from a laser beam to a surface in the presence of a gas atmosphere are still largely unknown. This low-threshold generation of LAW's is a limiting effect on the amount of laser energy that can be deposited on the surface.

X-ray Generation

The generation of X-rays from dense high-energy plasmas produced by high-power pulsed sources has stimulated considerable interest because of the large number of possible applications, which include diagnostic techniques, simulation of weapons effects, and even the development of a soft X-ray laser.²⁷ The transformation of plasma energy into radiation due to the presence of

high Z impurity atoms is recorded in the literature for a variety of experiments.^{28,29,30} For instance, the dense plasma focus produces X-radiation resulting largely from the impurity ions which come from the electrodes and interact with hot, dense plasma.³¹ Impurities can be selectively introduced (from thin foils, etc.) in a pre-formed clean plasma.³² This procedure reduces the radiation losses that would have been experienced during the plasma formation and heating phases if the impurities were initially present. A theta pinch device is also well suited for this application since the cylindrical plasma can form and then radially implode on a pellet at its axis.

The efficiency of transferring plasma energy to X-radiation will vary between experiments, but one of the highest is that of the dense plasma focus. It has approximate efficiencies of 0.03% and from 0.4 to 2.2% in converting stored electrical energy into radiant energy and plasma energy, respectively.^{33,34} The plasma energy may be further utilized to produce radiation through interactions with solids. However, the energy ultimately going into X-rays would be much less than 1.0% when the dense plasma focus is used as the radiation source.

Another popular method of X-ray production is the bombardment of solid targets with energetic heavy ions. In recent work, 5 to 7 keV X-rays were produced by

bombarding thin aluminum foils with low Z ions such as MeV proton and helium-3 ions³⁵ and 0.4 to 20 MeV neon ions.³⁶ It appeared that the X-rays were bremsstrahlung from secondary electrons ejected from the target. Again, however, the more energetic X-rays represent less than one percent of the incident energy.

Other experiments produced X-rays from hot, dense plasmas formed by irradiating small pellets with high power laser beams.^{37,38} The temperature and density of a laser-produced plasma on a plane thick target are rapidly varying functions of the distance from the target. A plasma produced at the surface of a $(CH_2)_n$ target irradiated by a 1.06 μm Nd laser with flux densities up to 10^{16} W/m^2 had electron temperatures and mean densities of around 200 eV and $4 \times 10^{26} m^{-3}$, respectively, within 100 μm from the target surface.³⁹ The lifetimes of the hot ions were less than a nanosecond, and the plasma density dropped by more than an order of magnitude within a few tens of microns from the surface. The same experiment showed the following dependence of the peak electron temperature on the laser power density, I (W/m^2):

$$T_e \approx 1.34 \times 10^{-3} I^{2/3} \quad (eV). \quad (II - 9)$$

This result agrees with those from a time independent model for the energy absorption mechanism.⁴⁰ Other

conclusions were that the electron energy distribution was Maxwellian, the inertial confinement time of the ions was proportional to the temperature, and that most of the laser energy was absorbed at very high densities (10^{26} to 10^{27} m^{-3}) within a few tens of microns from the target surface.³⁹

McMordie and Simmons⁴¹ reported X-ray emission studies of CO_2 laser-produced plasmas for target elements covering the atomic number range $6 < Z < 92$. The X-ray fractional yield was less than 3×10^{-6} for irradiations around $7 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/m}^2$. Their experimental results exhibited a dependence of the plasma electron temperature (100 to 150 eV) on the laser peak power flux of the form $T_e \sim I^n$ where n is approximately 0.32 (0.25) for carbon (titanium). Titanium and iron targets apparently showed the greatest yield. The X-ray energy emission from plasma bremsstrahlung radiation transmitted through a foil is:⁶

$$E_{ff} = 1.42 \times 10^{-34} Z n_e^2 T_e^{\frac{1}{2}} V \tau_p \alpha \quad (\text{J}), \quad (\text{II} - 10)$$

where Z is the atomic number, n_e the electron density, V the plasma volume, τ_p the plasma duration, and α the fraction of total energy transmitted through the foil window. Applying this result to the previous experiment yields a peak energy which is $\pm 30\%$ smaller than the experimental result. Recombination radiation probably

accounts for the difference.

A plasma produced when a giant pulsed ruby laser of 5 J energy and 17 ns duration was focused into a 300 μm diameter spot on a polyethylene target in vacuum had peak electron temperatures and densities of about 100 eV and 10^{24} m^{-3} , respectively, both of which fell off an order of magnitude at 10^{-3} m from the target surface.⁴² It was estimated that the electron temperature, the ionization energy, and the energy lost by radiation and target recoil accounted for only 10% of the incident laser energy. This fact implied that 70 to 80% of the laser energy at power densities of $2.9 \times 10^{15} \text{ W/m}^2$ was converted into ion kinetic energy. Also, it was shown that only 4% of the carbon atoms in the exposed polyethylene were ionized; the rest of the target material presumably absorbs the recoil from the plasma jet.⁴²

Assuming classical absorption for the case of a diamond or aluminum target irradiated by 10^{12} W from a mode-locked laser, the electrons in the target conduction band can gain energies on the order of 1 keV in less than a picosecond.⁴³ This energy would be sufficient to further ionize the core electrons. Calculations by a Livermore group⁴⁴ showed that the implosion of solid pellets by an array of lasers could increase their density by a factor of $\pm 10^3$. These conditions would

make it possible to obtain an X-ray laser at 0.1 nm wavelength under suitable conditions.²⁷

Using Battelle's HADRON/CILAS VD-640 neodymium-doped-glass laser with solid iron and copper slab targets, Mallozzi et al⁴⁵ conducted a study to understand better the X-ray output spectrum with efforts directed towards increasing the overall yield and average photon energy. Focusing the twelve 110 J, 3.5 ns, 1.06 μm pulses onto the targets, they were able to convert Nd laser light into X-rays with an efficiency greater than 25 percent (referring to the portion of the X-ray spectrum above approximately 300 eV emitted uniformly into 2π steradians away from the slab). Another principal result of their time-dependence measurements was that the radiation fairly closely follows the laser input intensity, verifying the quasi-steady picture of the X-ray production model. This finding implies that the X-ray pulse can be formed into a desired shape by tailoring the laser pulse. In an earlier investigation it was determined that the bulk of X-rays were produced by spectral lines emanating from the relatively cool (< 1 keV) leading edge of a thermal diffusion front.⁴⁶ A hard radiation component was emitted from a hot low density region with $\pm 1\%$ conversion efficiency, and corresponded to free-free plus free-bound radiation at ± 5 keV electron temperature.

Due to a high conversion efficiency more than 10^4 J/m^2 of soft X-rays were delivered to a usable area, free of debris.

A plasma model with one dimensional, quasi-steady, compressible, inviscid fluid flow yielded some ready formulas for the plasma temperature, plasma thickness, and average ion density:⁴⁶

$$T_{e\infty} \doteq (I_0/\sigma)^{1/4}, \quad (\text{II} - 11)$$

$$d \doteq v_{\infty} \tau_0 = ((\overline{Z^*} + 1)k/M)^{1/2} (I_0/\sigma)^{1/8} \tau_0, \quad (\text{II} - 12)$$

$$(n_1)_{av} \doteq \frac{M^{1/2} \sigma^{3/8} I_0^{5/8}}{6(\overline{Z^*} + 1)^{3/2} k^{3/2}}. \quad (\text{II} - 13)$$

In the above equations I_0 is the laser intensity with pulse-width τ_0 , σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, v is the fluid velocity, $\overline{Z^*}$ is the mean effective ion charge, k is the Boltzmann constant, and M is the ion mass. The subscript ∞ indicates quantities out to a given distance, after which the quasi-steady conditions break down. These conditions are no longer valid when the characteristic time for hydrodynamic expansion or contraction, τ_h , satisfies the relation⁴⁶

$$\tau_h \leq \frac{10^{12}}{n_e}. \quad (\text{II} - 14)$$

Therefore, at distances far enough from the surface where n_e is sufficiently low Eq. (II - 14) will be satisfied.

A fourth method of generating radiation is to use intense laser beams in combination with hot plasmas to irradiate small pellets and other targets. An investigation of this approach is reported in this dissertation. Again the linear theta pinch configuration facilitates such experiments by permitting axial entrance for the incident beam as well as ease of access to the generated radiation. There are several possibilities for the sequence of pellet-plasma-laser interactions. A larger portion of the plasma energy could be converted to radiation, rather than used for pellet vaporization, by first hitting the pellet with the laser beam and producing a small volume of plasma or neutral atoms available for heating by the hot plasma. Another case would be to perform the laser irradiation after the initial pellet-plasma interaction such that the absorbed laser energy would produce some heating of the dense, low temperature plasma cloud around the pellet.

In summary, it appears that efficient production of energetic X-rays for short durations requires pulsed laser energies. For longer durations, less efficient X-ray production may be achieved with hot, dense plasmas that are well contained. An initial goal of this work

was to determine if a combination of these effects would result in the efficient production of energetic X-rays for relatively long durations.


CHAPTER III

EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENT AND METHODS

In order to expose a pellet to a hot, dense plasma under reproducible conditions, a well-understood plasma source and a means of accurately locating the pellet with respect to the plasma were needed. This chapter discusses the general experimental setup used to study pellet-plasma-laser interactions. The plasma source is described briefly, while considerable emphasis is placed on the pellet measurement and positioning techniques and some care is taken to point out the most likely causes of experimental error. Assumptions and data treatment necessary to relate the findings to current theory are presented in detail, and the diagnostic methods are also discussed.

Theta Pinch System

The general TeePee 1B theta pinch experiment that was used as a plasma source is shown in Fig. III-1, which includes some of the typical circuit parameters. Nunnally² describes explicitly the design considerations



Typical Circuit Parameters :

Charging Voltage ~ 70 kV Quarter, Period ~ 1.2 μ s
 Theta Coil Inductance ~ 40 nH Maximum Current ~ 400 kA
 Total Circuit Inductance ~ 220 nH Maximum Magnetic Field ~ 2.3 T

Fig. III-1. Theta Pinch Circuit Diagram and Typical Parameters.

and operation parameters of the TeePee 1A of which the TeePee 1B is a slight modification. Hence, only a short review of this machine will be given. Originally established as a very fast experiment for studying particle end-losses, this theta pinch has been used for previous pellet-plasma-laser studies² and as the object of Thomson scattering investigations.⁴⁷

The 3.4 μF main capacitor bank of the TeePee 1B was capable of a maximum stored energy of 24 kJ. It was discharged through a field-distortion triggered rail gap and around a single-turn coil with a 0.21 m length and a 0.10 m bore. The energy was coupled, through transformer action, into the preionized, preheated, hydrogen plasma inside the coil. A 40 kW, 14 MHz RF oscillator of variable pulse length ($\approx 500 \mu\text{s}$) was used to break down and preionize the research grade hydrogen gas at initial static filling pressures from 15 to 90 mTorr as measured by an MKS Baratron Pressure Head, type 77. The main capacitor bank was pulse charged with a six stage, ignitron triggered, Marx generator so as to be fully charged at the termination of the RF oscillations. The low inductance 0.6 μF preheater capacitors which shunted the main rail gap were switched into the main circuit by another triggered rail gap,² about five microseconds before the main gap was fired. The resulting resonant

circuit caused a small portion of the main bank energy to ring out across the theta coil at a frequency of approximately 1.4 MHz, producing a maximum magnetic field of 0.15 Tesla. The initial shock heating of this rapidly damped oscillation further preionized the gas ($\approx 40\%$) before the main theta discharge.

Plasma heating was achieved by some adiabatic compression and ohmic heating due to induced currents in the plasma when the main rail gap was fired, but largely by the shock wave heating as the $\vec{J} \times \vec{B}$ forces swept the ionized gas rapidly inward to form a cigar-shaped plasma of about 0.01 m diameter. Typically, for this work, the main bank was pulse charged to 70 kV with a corresponding maximum discharge current of about 400 kA. The quarter period during which the magnetic compression field flux density reached its maximum amplitude of 2.3 T was less than 1.2 μ s. The five centimeter thick aluminum header plates had a total circuit resistance of 23 m Ω . About one-fifth of the total circuit inductance of 220 nH was in the single-turn coil.

Based on earlier measurements, the time and space resolved plasma parameters were well documented for the first quarter period of the discharge. The electron temperature has been analyzed by diamagnetic probes, soft X-ray foil detectors, and Thomson scattering, while the

electron density was measured according to image converter camera data, Mach Zehnder interferometry, and Thomson scattering.^{2,47} One of the more obvious characteristics of this plasma was its radial hydromagnetic oscillations or rapid inertial "bouncing" ahead of the main magnetic compression field. This effect is demonstrated by the time variations of T_e and n_e at the center of the cylindrical plasma in figures III-2 and III-3, respectively.

Circuit Modifications

The major difference between TeePee 1B and TeePee 1A was the replacement of the original low-inductance dielectric switch package and electrode assembly² by the main rail gap and a high voltage, fast risetime triggering arrangement. The primary reason for this change was to speed up the experimental operation and data acquisition. The pressurized rail gap, made by Maxwell Laboratories, Inc., was carefully installed such that the overall circuit inductance was increased by only 20%. The compression electrode contact of the preheater circuit was replaced with eight parallel, 0.30 m lengths of RG-8/U co-axial cable that went around the rail gap under the surface of the oil bath covering the header plates. Thus, the inductance and ringing frequency of the preheater circuit changed very little.




Fig. III-2. Time Resolved Electron Temperature at 60 mTorr. (Ref. 2, 47).





Fig. III-3. Time Resolved Electron Density at 60 mTorr. (Ref. 2, 47).

In spite of attempts to operate at reduced pressures of various gases (nitrogen, dry air, sulfur hexafluoride, etc.) and to keep the gap housing as clean as possible, difficulties persisted with high voltage tracking and piercing through the thick lucite walls resulting in destructive separation of the housing. The final solution was to operate in open air with appropriately increased gap spacings. Specifically, the 0.10 m long brass electrodes were separated by 0.047 m with a 0.018 m spacing between the grounded electrode and a molybdenum knife-edge (sheet) trigger electrode. The performance of the gap turned out to be very repeatable, switching 70 kV at 400 kA with the delay and jitter both less than 100 ns and with no appreciable wear on the brass electrodes except for a uniform discoloration and change in surface texture (from a fine polish to a "sandblasted" type of finish. Surprisingly little maintenance was necessary. The only problem was the acoustical noise in the area during operation.

A HEDS (High Energy Density System) pulser unit, which had been part of an exploding wire experiment designed by Field Emission Corporation for the Air Force Weapons Lab at Kirtland AFB in 1966,⁴⁸ was used to trigger the main rail gap. The pulser was built as a Marx-surge device consisting of 26 stacked modules, each of which is

a potted LC pulse forming network as shown in Fig. III-4. They are tightly fitted into an insulated metal casing that serves as a pressure vessel, electromagnetic shield, and common ground for the pulser circuit. Normally the pulser was charged to 15 kV and pressurized with dry nitrogen or air at about 20 psig. A 7 kV pulse applied to the trigger pin between the spherical brass electrodes of the first gap was sufficient to erect the entire unit since all the other critically spaced gaps were rapidly overvoltaged. A general description, operation requirements, and proper maintenance procedures of the HEDS pulsers will be outlined in a laboratory technical memorandum.⁴⁹

The output from the pulser was conducted via an RG-19 cable, about one meter in length, to the primary (outer braid) of a 1:2 step-up high voltage co-axial transformer as illustrated in Fig. III-5. The output side of the primary was electrically connected to the shielding braid of the RG-19 to form a return path directly to the pulser ground, minimizing the inductive load. Two loops of the inner insulation and conductor of RG-8/U coaxial cable wrapped with polyethylene film for extra insulation were fed through the primary braid to form the transformer secondary. This step-up transformer served the purposes of (1) providing the high voltage



Top View

$C_1 \sim 1600 \text{ pF}$
 $C_2 \sim 500 \text{ pF}$




Fig. III-4. One of the 26 Modules in the HEDS Pulser.




Fig. III-5. Main Rail Gap Triggering Arrangement.

trigger pulse required for reliable switching of the main rail gap, (2) preventing damage to the HEDS pulser modules by isolating them from a reverse high energy common mode noise pulse of 70 kV that occurred when the rail gap fired, and (3) to break a possible ground loop. Actually, the transformer compensated for the large voltage drop across the RG-19 high voltage feed so that a large enough dV/dt (≈ 10 kV/ns) to result in uniform trigger discharges at the rail gap could be produced. Background theory on co-axial transformers and more details on this particular one is given in Appendix A. Finally, a peaking gap was used to get the best possible risetimes from this scheme.

A simple high voltage probe (≤ 300 kV) with a good frequency response (≤ 100 MHz) and high input impedance (≥ 10 k Ω) was constructed of two concentric cylinders of conductive plastic of the type described in Appendix B and used to measure most of the voltages and risetimes of interest on the TeePee 1B experiment. It indicated that the output pulse at the co-axial transformer secondary was 300 kV with a rise time of approximately 30 ns. As a result the pulse between the molybdenum trigger electrode and the grounded brass electrode of the rail gap was indeed fairly uniform, according to visual observation. Typically one bright arc was formed in the air gap with two to six dimmer arcs located randomly along the entire

length of the electrodes. This fact and a uniform discoloration of the brass electrodes indicated the current density was well distributed and the gap inductance was minimized.

The HEDS pulser was designed for a low impedance load; hence, the rapidly increasing voltage into an open circuit created severe noise problems. Consequently, considerable care had to be taken to isolate properly all the electrical components in the theta pinch system and insure that they all were connected to a common ground with the least possible inductance in the leads. The HEDS pulser triggering scheme of Fig. III-6 illustrates some of the measures taken to isolate the various components.

Pellet Suspension and Measurement

The phases of the pellet-plasma interaction in the TeePee 1B as viewed along the axis of the plasma tube are shown in Fig. III-7. The figure shows how the polystyrene microspheres were attached to glass fibers having widths of about 10% or less of the pellet diameters and which, in turn, were fastened to glass rods by Permabond 101 glue (similar to Eastman 910). The pellet support assembly was inserted through an O-ring seal so the pellet could be manipulated and positioned at the focal





Fig. III-6. HEDS Pulser Triggering Circuit With Noise Isolation Measures.



I Preionization Phase II Preheating Phase III Maximum Compression

Fig. III-7. Interaction Sequence Between the Plasma and Suspended Pellet.

spot of a HeNe alignment laser without losing vacuum. (Clean-up shots could be made before lowering the target into position.) Hence, every pellet could be centered radially in the cylindrical vessel; however, there was some axial variation (± 0.005 m in the 0.21 m long coil) due to curling of the fibers. This affected the spectroscopic results, as explained later. Another likely source of error was the inability to control carefully the quantity of glue used with each pellet. This, unlike the essentially repeatable fiber mass, could amount to a non-negligible fraction ($\approx 15\pm 5\%$ according to visual estimates of the glue volume) of the pellet mass. Photographs of typical pellets used in this experiment are shown in Fig. III-8. The transparent pellets act as spherical lenses and create special effects under certain illuminations. The pellet dropping mechanism used by Nunnally² was given serious consideration, but the random velocities at which the pellets fell into the plasma tube did not produce the repeatability required for the detailed measurements.

To determine the ablation rates, the diameters of the microspheres were measured along several major axes using a standard microscope and a translation stage, driven by a calibrated micrometer head, before loading them into the experiment and also after the theta pinch




Fig. III-8. Typical Polystyrene Pellets.

discharge (providing the samples could be located and recovered from the vacuum system). In order to measure the largest changes, the smallest, manageable pellets available were used. Since the pellets' radii varied from 50 to 150 microns, each one had to be measured separately. A typical error of one micron in the diameter measurements is largely responsible for the error bars in the resulting data.

Relating Data to the Scaling Laws

As mentioned earlier, the electron temperature, T_e , and density, n_e , of the plasma depend on the initial hydrogen filling pressure, P_0 , and are nonlinear functions of time as the plasma undergoes radial hydromagnetic oscillations when it is shock heated by the rapidly increasing magnetic field. In order to consider ablation rates in the simplest case, it was necessary to assume the same fixed interaction time for different shots and different filling pressures, approximately 2 μ s, during which the plasma remained clean and relatively hot. Also, since the average values of $n_e(t)$ and $T_e(t)$ need to be known over this interaction time, piecewise linear approximations of the nonlinear curves were made, and $\bar{n}_e$ and $\bar{T}_e$ were determined. The data would then represent a quasi-steady state plasma.

An example of this approximation is given in Fig. III-9 for $n_e(t)$ in the case of $P_0 = 60$ mTorr. The solid curve is based on data^{2,47} taken before the circuit modifications discussed in a previous section were made. The data points with estimated error bars represent Mach Zehnder interferometric measurements (like those described by Nunnally²) performed after the circuit modifications. It can be seen that the results are generally unchanged. (Time $t = 0$ in the figure corresponds to when the main rail gap fired and triggered the main bank discharge around the theta coil.) Since this information is also supported qualitatively by streak camera photography, it is assumed that T_e and n_e for other filling pressures are not appreciably different from the piecewise linear approximations of the earlier data. It should be noted that the last linear section of Fig. III-9 is purely an extrapolation, but the possible discrepancies would contribute an error that is small with respect to the error bars already associated with $\bar{n}_e$ and $\bar{T}_e$.

Spectrometer and Laser Systems

The relative carbon line ionization intensities were monitored with a Jarrel Ash 0.5 meter Ebert monochromator, Model 82-010. The carbon lines CI, CII, CIII, and CIV were observed during the pellet-plasma-laser interactions




Fig. III-9. Linearized Approximation of $n_e(t)$ for $P_O = 60 \text{ mTorr}$.

through a fiber optic light pipe that was butted up against the plasma tube to face the pellet. By adjusting the amplitudes of the various carbon lines according to the appropriate spectral efficiencies of the fiber optics and EMI 9558B photomultiplier tube, it was possible to compare the intensities of the subsequent ionization stages and estimate the temperature in the ablation cloud by using the calculated values of Table I. The relative population of the higher ionization levels of the pellet cloud system is controlled by the dominant collision processes. This system can thus be considered in coronal equilibrium,⁵⁰ in which case the ratio of the line intensities is independent of n_e , being a function only of T_e .⁵¹

A Q-switched, Holobeam, series 301, ruby laser system was focused through a quartz window on the center of the theta coil mid-plane where the pellets were fixed. It produced a 30 ns FWHM pulse of approximately 2.5 J, as measured by a Quantronix Model 500 "Energy Receiver." For a focal spot diameter of 0.002 m it was capable of delivering ≤ 0.1 J to an average pellet. The Q-switch Pockel's cell of the laser could be triggered with a TRW Model 46A Trigger Delay Generator at any time during the theta pinch discharge. The timing of the light pulse with respect to the magnetic field was monitored with an

Table I

Electron Energies Determined from Equal Intensities
of Subsequent Ionization Stages of Carbon (Ref. 2)

Ionization Stage	T_e	λ
CI	 1.05 eV 2.5 eV 5.6 eV 8.2 eV 82 eV 110 eV	600.6 nm
CII		426.7 nm
CIII		569.5 nm
CIV		465.8 nm
CV		
CVI		
CVII		

ITT FW114 planar diode terminated in 50 ohms. The laser-pellet-plasma interaction scheme is shown in Fig. III-10.

As an alternative to the visible 694.3 nm wavelength, it seemed desirable to use a CO_2 laser pulse at 10.6 μm and try to heat up the ionized pellet vapor even further. Attempts were made to use the 100 MW, 200 ns pulse length, CO_2 TEA laser described by Molen.⁵² By the nature of its design, however, it was inherently sensitive to the electromagnetic noise generated by the theta pinch system and would always fire when the main rail gap was triggered, before the formation of the hot plasma. Hence, only qualitative pellet- CO_2 laser interactions were observed in a near vacuum.

A TRW Model 1D image converter camera was used primarily in the streak mode to monitor, photographically, the plasma formation and the pellet-plasma-laser interactions. Viewing in the radial direction through a slit in the theta coil with the magnification of a $f/0.5$, 0.20 m diameter relay lens, it was possible to record the theta pinch plasma and pellet cloud diameters versus time and the occurrence of the laser pulse. Like the spectrometer photomultiplier, the sensitive electronics in the camera required that it be enclosed in a double walled screen room or Faraday cage. Similarly all signals taken from the experiment (e.g. magnetic field probes, etc.)




Fig. III-10. Laser-Pellet-Plasma Interaction Scheme.

were doubly shielded with copper braid or solid copper pipe all the way to a large screen room in which they were recorded on oscilloscopes.

CHAPTER IV

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Although it was not possible to complete all the investigations that were desirable, sufficient information was accumulated to satisfy the major goals of the research. The basic interaction mechanisms and resulting effects on the pellet targets have been observed and discussed. The ablation rates of the polystyrene spheres have been directly measured and plotted as a function of various plasma parameters. The feasibility of the sequential solid-plasma-laser interactions as a radiation source is considered with respect to the carbon line spectroscopy and streak camera observations. Much of the experimental results are presented in this chapter along with some description and analysis. The implications of these results are discussed further in the concluding chapter. As verified by Mach Zehnder interferometry, the average plasma density was not affected by local disturbances caused by the pellets. Similarly no corrections were made to account for the pellets' effect on the other average plasma parameters.

Ablation Rate Data

Experimental data showing a linear relationship between the left-hand-side (plasma parameters) and right-hand-side (pellet parameters) of Eq. (II - 3) would be sufficient to show the validity of the scaling law of Parks and others.^{11,15,16} This possibility was investigated and the results are shown in Fig. IV-1. Previously collected data on the plasma parameters (without pellets) determined the four suitable filling pressures presented in the figure. For these filling pressures $\bar{n}_e$ ranged from 2.4 to $7.7 \times 10^{22} \text{ m}^{-3}$, and $\bar{T}_e$ ranged from 108 to 126 eV . Typically r_{po} was between 50 and $150 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ while Δr_p was between 1 and $6 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$. The dashed error bars represent one standard deviation for all the collected pellet data (up to ten pellets per filling pressure). The dashed straight line was fitted to these data by a least squares technique. The large horizontal error bars are due chiefly to the errors in measuring the pellet diameters. The solid error bars represent one standard deviation for a reduced number of pellets (six per filling pressure) after applying a statistical rejection technique known as "Chauvenet's criterion"⁵³ in which observations, whose deviation from the mean were greater than approximately two standard deviations, were discarded completely. The tabulated data and an example application of the criterion




Fig. IV-1. Experimental Results in Terms of the Scaling Law Variables.

can be found in Appendix C. Again a least squares fit was used to locate the solid straight line whose slope can be used to determine the proportionality constant in Eq. (II - 3). The average data do tend to support the scaling theory, but the error bars are still too large to draw any definite conclusions. Any further treatment of the data would be unrealistic. Accumulating many more data samples from the experiment would have been the best way to improve the results.

Figure IV-2 presents some of the pellet data for two filling pressures, plotting the reduction in radius against the initial pellet radius. Since the interaction time, Δt , is assumed to be a constant $2 \mu s$ for this experiment, the vertical axis actually represents the pellet surface regression speed, $\dot{r}_p$, or indirectly, the ablation rate, given the density of a uniform polystyrene sphere ($\approx 1.05 \text{ g/cm}^3$). The two straight lines were fitted by a least squares method and are included only to show the tendency for pellets exposed to higher initial filling pressures to change more. As discussed earlier, it is understood that $\dot{r}_p$ is not, in general, a linear function of r_{po} , but it is approximately linear for this small range of pellet parameters according to Eq. (II - 3).




Fig. IV-2. Pellet Radius Reduction Versus Initial Pellet Radius.

The average plasma electron energy flux is plotted against what can be taken as the change in pellet volume in Fig. IV-3. Because of the relatively narrow range of plasma parameters, the data may be fitted to the linear solid line as shown. However, it is intuitively predictable and reasonable that this function is nonlinear and monotonically increasing, as indicated by the dashed line drawn through the mean values of the data. For instance, in order to ablate a greater volume of material from the surface, the energy flux must penetrate an ever increasing amount of the cloud material and overcome more losses in order to continue delivering energy to the surface. If the cloud provided no shielding, then the ratio of the energy incident upon the surface to the volume change of the pellet would be constant. Ablation data for hydrogen pellets have been reported at Riso,⁵⁴ but the results are so different, due to different pellet material and to their rotating plasma configuration that meaningful comparison is difficult.

Spectroscopic Results

By comparing the subsequent ionization stage intensities of carbon, the investigations revealed that at least the outer layers of the ablation cloud acquired temperatures between 2 and 6 eV, on time scales small






Fig. IV-3. Energy Flux Versus Volume Change.

with respect to the plasma-solid interaction time depending upon the initial filling pressures and pellet sizes. For typical controlled thermonuclear reactor (CTR) conditions and for $r_{po} < .005$ m, the hydrogen pellet cloud is predicted to be, for the most part, ≤ 2 eV.¹¹ It was thus assumed that it is the outermost cloud layers which possess these higher energies in this experiment since the energy flux in this experiment is comparable to that of a CTR plasma. No direct correlation could be made between the line intensities and pellet sizes because the small axial variation in the fixed pellet positions affected the total intensity observed through the light pipe's acceptance cone.


Figure IV-4 shows line drawings of three cases of the observed carbon line, CIII (569.5 nm), at one filling pressure, $P_o = 60$ mTorr. The plasma background or continuum near this wavelength is shown in part (a) of the figure. The signal initially occurs about 400 ns after triggering the rail gap switch, and the first few negative-going peaks in region A correspond to the radial hydro-magnetic oscillations (inertial bouncing) as the plasma is being shock heated ahead of the rising magnetic field. The large spike early in region B occurs at the beginning of the second half-cycle, after the magnetic field (see part (b)) has rung back through zero. As the plasma




a. Plasma Only



b. B-Probe Plus Laser Monitor



c. Plasma With Pellet



d. Laser Focused On Pellet

Fig. IV -4. CIII Line Intensities Versus Time for $P_0 = 60$ mTorr.

interacts with the vessel walls, carbon residue, and so forth, it becomes dirty and cold and is no longer of interest for studying the pellet-plasma interaction. Comparing part (a) with the case in which a pellet is exposed to the plasma in part (c) makes it apparent that there is considerable ionization throughout region A.

The addition of a 30 ns, 2.5 J pulse from the Q-switched ruby laser focused on the pellet cloud produced the effect shown in part (d) of Fig. IV-4. The laser pulse timing monitor that is added to the B-field display of part (b) shows, with a dashed line, the approximate time the laser was discharged with respect to part (d). Noting the different vertical scales of parts (c) and (d) one can see that the amplitudes of the first peaks are roughly the same, as they should be for similar experimental conditions. A considerable boost in the line intensity is evident when the laser was fired and for some time thereafter. It has already been reported² that the increase and continuing intensity level indicates that the plasma maintains the pellet cloud ionization and continues to transfer energy more efficiently to the cloud. This effect is probably due to a process in which the laser effectively increases some of the collisional cross sections involved in the solid-plasma interaction. It is possible that the X-ray spectrum would be corre-


spondingly increased and sustained just as the visible spectrum is affected.

Image Converter Camera Diagnostics

Examples of the streak camera photography are presented in Fig. IV-5. Parts (a), (b), and (c) all are the results of initial filling pressure $P_0 = 60$ mTorr, while (d) occurred at $\pm 10^{-6}$ Torr (no plasma). Part (a) shows a typical pellet-plasma interaction that takes place beginning with the first shock compression of the plasma and continuing thereafter. In agreement with the carbon line spectroscopy, the luminosity diminishes between the radial density maximums until the third compression when the vaporization of the surface and the ionization have progressed to the point of sustaining the radiation. Notice that the cloud diameter appears to be growing in time, approaching one centimeter near the maximum compression of the magnetic field.


For contrast, one can compare part (a) of Fig. IV-5 with part (b), which shows the result of the plasma alone in a more compressed time scale. The streak duration and exposure level are different than those of part (a) in order to provide a direct comparison with the case of the combination plasma-pellet-laser interaction of part (c). The arrow points out the small bright spot that corresponds

(a) Plasma With Pellet.



→ Time

(b) Plasma Alone.



(c) Plasma, Pellet, and Ruby Laser.



(d) Vacuum, Pellet, and Ruby Laser.




Fig. IV-5. Image Converter Pictures of the Interactions

to the ruby laser pulse during the first shock compression. It is interesting how rapidly after the laser interaction the pellet cloud forms and expands. In fact, in about 300 ns it grows to the size that it took over 1.0 μ s to form without the laser interaction. The quick formation and persistence was also seen in the spectroscopic results. This result was probably due, in part, to the breakup of the pellet into several smaller fragments, so that a many-particle cloud system with laser-enhanced ionization is probably what was observed. All the pellets irradiated by the focused ruby laser (about 30 mJ delivered to one hemisphere), with or without a plasma, were broken apart so completely that none of the residue was recoverable for inspection. Streak camera photography of pellets exposed to the laser alone (Fig. IV-5, part (d)) showed the visible light from the interaction to be totally extinguished within about 50 ns. This agrees with the observation that, if the laser were fired "slightly" before the pellet-plasma interaction, essentially no difference could be observed in carbon line intensity or streak camera diagnostics when compared with the case of no laser at all. This observation seemed to be repeatable; hence, the pellet break-up either took longer than 1 μ s, or it can be concluded that the increased surface area of the pellet alone had no

effect on the plasma-solid interaction.


Pellet Surface Effects

As indicated by the examples of Fig. IV-6, pellets hit by a partially focused laser (about 3 mJ delivered to one hemisphere) were pitted or burned in some asymmetric fashion, in contrast to the effect of the plasma alone.⁵⁵ The recovered pellets that were exposed to the plasma, alone appeared to be slightly elliptical. This result might be expected from an interaction with a cylindrical plasma that is radially pinched. The difference between the major and minor diameters was usually about two microns, just within the measurement capability. Examining the surface detail under a 13,000X magnification, a scanning electron beam microscope indicated that the pellets received a fine polish from the interaction with the plasma. Figure IV-7, part (a), is a photograph of a 253 μm diameter microsphere before exposure to the plasma, and part (b) represents the pellet's surface after interacting with a plasma of 60 mTorr filling pressure. The observed area is about 0.03% of the total pellet surface.

Only about 100 mJ of infrared energy from the CO₂ TEA laser could be deposited on the pellets in a vacuum. No visible or carbon line radiation was observed which




(a) "Large" Crater in Pellet.



(b) A Pellet Surface Magnified 13,000x.

Fig. IV-6. Examples of Low Intensity Laser Exposure.



(a) Typical Pellet Surface Before Plasma Interaction.



(b) Plasma-polished Surface.

Fig. IV-7. Electron Microscope Photographs (13,000x Magnification).

implies that little or no ionization occurred. The only observable effect on the pellet according to microscope analysis was the occurrence of a cloudy or translucent appearance. Even the supporting fiber was not broken although it did appear to be deformed. Hence, an ionized pellet cloud probably should be preformed before infrared energy could be efficiently absorbed.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A continuation of the solid-plasma interaction studies originated by Nunnally² has produced data yielding ablation rate information for solid polystyrene pellets exposed to a theta pinch plasma. For the given plasma and pellet conditions, the averaged data lend support to the most recent ablation rate models and scaling laws. The large error bars that prevent detailed verification of the scaling laws could be reduced by taking more data and developing more accurate experimental measurement techniques. Other analytical models and computer simulation codes for pellet injection studies are being prepared and modified,^{56,57} and hopefully these results can contribute to their verification and development.

In order to perform the detailed measurements required, it was necessary to work with the smallest, manageable microspheres available and to mount them in repeatable, fixed locations. Since the pellet motion is theoretically important only if T_e and n_e vary along its trajectory, a fixed pellet in a varying plasma is a good

simulation of a pellet being injected into a quasi-steady plasma. Any predicted anisotropic effects due to magnetic shielding of the pellets are not observable in a theta pinch as most of the magnetic field is excluded from the plasma. In addition to the previously discussed sources of error, one should include electrostatic shielding when the pellet surface acquires a static charge that interferes with the usual interactions. The only care taken concerning this effect was to treat all the microspheres identically such that the experimental results would be as repeatable as possible. Any unusual charge accumulation was easily noticed by the pellet's attraction to or repulsion from the glass surface while loading the pellet into the experiment. Obvious extensions of this work include acquiring the capability to handle and study smaller pellets and perhaps to introduce a high energy infrared laser beam in conjunction with the ruby prepulse for the purpose of heating the cold pellet plasma further.

On the basis of the discussion in Chapter II and the evidence obtained in this research, X-ray generation due to plasma-solid interactions alone appears far too inefficient to fulfill any economically practical application. Laser produced X-rays, on the other hand, can achieve high flux levels for very short durations. In

fact, a sufficiently large inertial-confinement laser fusion device should produce, on a laboratory scale, many of the radiation effects of nuclear weapons,⁵⁸ although only for very short times. Evidence from carbon line spectroscopy and streak camera photography suggests that the generation of radiation in a plasma-pellet interaction is substantially enhanced and prolonged by the addition of a short, low energy laser pulse. Hence, one or several higher energy laser pulses used in combination with a plasma-solid interaction should improve the yield and make it possible to tailor the shape (in time) of the generated radiation. To achieve relatively long duration radiation pulses with reasonable efficiency and intensity, it is therefore recommended that further studies be directed toward the combined laser-plasma-solid interaction mechanisms. Extending the investigations to metal pellets would also be desirable since it may enhance the X-ray yield.

LIST OF REFERENCES

1. B. J. Eastlund and W. C. Gough, U.S. AEC, Report WASH-1132 (1969).
2. W. C. Nunnally, Technical Report No. 2 on Grant AFOSR-74-2639, Texas Tech University, Electrical Engr, Dept., Lubbock, Texas, 1974 (unpublished).
3. R. L. Nolen, Jr., L. B. Kook, and M. A. Ebner, Paper 5D7 at the 1977 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, RPI, Troy, New York (May 1977).
4. D. L. Musinski, T. M. Henderson, and R. J. Simms, Paper 5D8 at the 1977 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, RPI, Troy, New York (May 1977).
5. W. C. Nunnally, M. Kristiansen, and M. O. Hagler, Appl. Phys, Lett. 26, 496 (1975).
6. L. Spitzer, Jr., Physics of Fully Ionized Gases (Interscience, New York, 1962), Chapt. 5.
7. D. J. Rose, Culham Laboratory Memorandum No. 82, 1968 (unpublished).
8. L. Spitzer, D. J. Grove, W. E. Johnson, L. Tunks, and W. F. Westendorp, USAEC Report NYO-6047, 1954 (unpublished).
9. S. L. Gralnick, Report No. 58, Plasma Laboratory, School of Engineering and Applied Science, Columbia University, New York, 1972 (unpublished).
10. S. Mitra, M. O. Hagler, and M. Kristiansen, Technical Mem. No. 1 on Contract AFOSR-69-1759, Texas Tech University, Electrical Engr. Dept., Lubbock, Texas, 1969 (unpublished).

11. P. B. Parks, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Electrical Engr. Dept., Urbana, Illinois, 1977 (unpublished).
12. L. R. Peterson and A.E.S. Green, J. Phys. B 1, 1131 (1968).
13. W. T. Miles, R. Thompson, and A.E.S. Green, J. Appl. Phys. 43, 678 (1972).
14. P. B. Parks, Private Communication, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Electrical Engr. Dept., Urbana, Illinois.
15. D. F. Vaslow, IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, PS-5, No. 1, 12 (March 1977).
16. S. L. Milora and C. A. Foster, Technical Report ORNL/TM-5776, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (1977).
17. S. L. Gralnick, Nuclear Fusion 13, 703 (1973).
18. A. W. Cooper, Notes for the Short Course on Laser Aerodynamics, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB, N. M., April 11-22, 1977.
19. J. T. Schriempf, Technical Report NRL 7728, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C., (July 1974).
20. T. W. Johnston and J. M. Dawson, Phys. Fluids 16, 722 (1973).
21. J. M. Dawson and C. Oberman, Phys, Fluids 5, 517 (1962).
22. G. H. Canavan, P. E. Nielson, and R. D. Harris, AFWL Laser Digest LRD-72-1, Kirtland AFB, N. M. (June 1972).
23. Y. P. Raizer, Sov, Phys. JETP 21, 1009 (1965).
24. R. B. Hall, W. E. Maher, and R. S. P. Wei, Technical Report AFWL-TR-73-28, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB, N. M. (1973).
25. S. Byron, E. L. Klosterman, and R. B. Hall, J. Def. Res, 7B, 468 (1975).

26. D. E. Harrison, Naval Postgraduate School Report NPS 61-61Nb 75121.
27. B. Lax and A. H. Guenther, Appl. Phys. Lett. 21, 361 (1972).
28. F. C. Jahoda, E. M. Little, W. E. Quinn, G. A. Sawyer, and T. F. Stratton, Phys. Rev. 119, 843 (1960).
29. W. M. Burton and R. Wilson, Proc. Phys. Soc. London 78, 1416 (1961).
30. E. H. Beckner, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 38, 507 (1967).
31. T. C. Anestos and C. D. Hendricks, J. Appl. Phys. 45, 1176 (1974).
32. R. A. Shatas, J. D. Settler, H. C. Meyer, and T. G. Roberts, J. Appl. Phys. 42, 5884 (1971).
33. J. W. Mather and P. J. Bottoms, Phys. Fluids 11, 611 (1968).
34. N. J. Peacock, P. D. Wilcock, R. J. Speer, and P.D. Morgan in Proceedings of the 3rd IAEA Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research, Novosibirsk 2, 51 (IAEA, Vienna, 1968).
35. H. Tawara, K. Ishii, and S. Morita, Nuclear Instrum. and Methods 132, 503 (1976).
36. K. O. Groeneveld, B. Kolb, J. Schader, and K. D. Sevier, Nuclear Instrum. and Methods 132, 497 (1976).
37. H. S. Dunn and M. J. Lubin, MAS-TR-4 (Dept. of Mech. and Aerospace Science, College of Engr. and Appl. Science, University of Rochester, N. Y., 14627 (undated)).
38. S. Tamor, Final Report on Defense Nuclear Agency Contract DASA 01-71-C-0135 (October 1972).
39. M. Galanti and N. J. Peacock, 6th European Conference on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Moscow, USSR (1973).

40. J. L. Bobin, F. Floux, and G. Tonon in Proceedings of the 4th IAEA Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research, Madison 1, 657 (1971).
41. J. A. McMordie and A. C. Simmons, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 8, L133 (1975).
42. B. C. Boland, F. E. Irons, and R. W. P. McWhirter, J. Phys. B (Proc. Phys. Soc.) 1, 1180 (1968).
43. B. Lax, A. H. Guenther, D. R. Cohn, and W. Halverson in Laser Interaction and Related Plasma Phenomena, Vol 3, Edited by H. J. Schwarz and H. Hora, p. 859 (Plenum Publishing Corp., New York, 1974).
44. J. Nuckolls, L. Wood, A. Thiessen, and G. Zimmerman, Digest of Technical Papers, VII International Quantum Electronics Conference, Montreal (IEEE, New York, 1972).
45. P. J. Mallozzi, H. M. Epstein, R. G. Jung, D. C. Applebaum, W. J. Gallagher, R. E. Campbell, D. R. Grieser, and J. W. Beal, DNA 3922F, Final Report on Defense Nuclear Agency Contract DNA 001-74-C-0025 (February 1976).
46. P. J. Mallozzi, H. M. Epstein, R. G. Jung, D. C. Applebaum, B. P. Fairand, and W. J. Gallagher, ARPA Order Nr. 1723, Final Report on Advanced Research Projects Agency Contract DAAH01-71-C-0050, Vol. I and II (February 1972).
47. D. L. Smith, Technical Report No. 3 on Grant AFOSR-74-2639, Texas Tech University, Electrical Engr. Dept., Lubbock, Texas, 1975 (unpublished).
48. Technical Report AFWL-TR-65-1, Field Emission Corporation, McMinnville, Oregon (1966).
49. D. L. Smith, Plasma Laboratory Technical Memorandum AFOSR-74-2639-TM1, Texas Tech University, Elect. Engr. Dept., Lubbock, Texas, 1977 (unpublished).
50. H. R. Griem, Plasma Spectroscopy, (McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1964), Ch. 6.

51. M. Martone and S. E. Segre, Plasma Phys. 13, 173 (1971).
52. G. M. Molen, Technical Report, No. 3 on Grant AFOSR-69-1757H-3, Texas Tech University, Electrical Engr. Dept., Lubbock, Texas, 1973 (unpublished).
53. H. D. Young, Statistical Treatment of Experimental Data (McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1962) pp. 78-80.
54. L. W. Jorgensen, A. H. Sillesen, and F. Oster, Plasma Physics 17, 453 (1975).
55. S. Mercurio, Private Communication and Photographic Assistance, University of Wisconsin, Nuclear Engr. Dept., Madison, Wisconsin.
56. G. D. Kerbel, Private Communication, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
57. C. E. Thomas, Jr., and P. A. Politzer, Private Communication, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass.
58. C. M. Stickley, Comments in Laser Focus 13, 6 (1977).

APPENDIX

- A. An Analysis of Co-axial Pulse Transformers
- B. An Inexpensive High Voltage Probe
- C. Raw Ablation Data and an Example Application of Chauvenet's Criterion

APPENDIX A

AN ANALYSIS OF CO-AXIAL PULSE TRANSFORMERS*

by

R. Dollinger and D.L. Smith
Plasma Laboratory
Department of Electrical Engineering
Texas Tech University
Lubbock, Texas 79409

ABSTRACT

The basic idea of using a co-axial cable as an isolation pulse transformer for triggering spark gaps is not new.^{1,2,3,4,5} However, there are several distinct advantages of driving the braid as the primary as opposed to driving the inner conductor. The fundamental advantage is that the ratio of the output voltage to the input voltage is unaffected by the thickness of insulation between the inner conductor(s) and the braid. Thus, the transformer with the braid as the primary works well for isolating high secondary to primary voltages. This and other advantages are demonstrated.

Introduction

Figure 1 shows the co-axial transformer being considered as a one turn loop of co-axial cable. Figure 1 also shows what is meant by the terminology of "driving the braid as the primary (Case I)" and "driving the inner conductor as the primary (Case II)." The relative advantages of Case I over Case II are discussed in this paper.

*This work was presented at the First IEEE Pulsed Power Conference, Lubbock, Texas, Nov. 9-11, 1976

Figure 2a shows the basic transformer circuit and Figs. 2b and 2c show the equivalent primary and secondary circuits, respectively. Of basic interest, is the voltage transfer ratio V_{out}/V_{in} when the trigger gap is open circuit (i.e. before the gap has broken down, $Z_{TG} = \infty$). It is desirable to have V_{out}/V_{in} as large as possible for reliable triggering. Table I gives the equations for the primary current $|I_p|$, the ratios of $|V_{out}/V_{in}|$ and $|\omega I_s/V_{in}|$, the normalized current in the trigger gap when the gap is short circuited (i.e. after the gap has broken down, $Z_{TG} = 0$).

The models in Fig. 2 are valid only for frequencies much less than the speed of light divided by the largest physical dimension of the transformer (i.e. the transformer must be much smaller than one wavelength). In actuality, the performance of the co-axial transmitter is not limited in this respect since transformers as large as a wavelength operate quite well. "Skin depth" effects, "proximity" effects and the electromagnetic radiation from the transformer acting as a magnetic dipole antenna have not been a problem.

Table II gives the parameters of some of the transformers tested and the values of $|\omega I_s/V_{in}|$, which are about the same for either case. The nomenclature used in the table is defined in Figs. 1, 2, and 3. From Fig. 4

it can be seen that V_{out}/V_{in} is independent of D_{Br}/D_{IC} for Case I but not for Case II. Thus, for Case I only, can one add as much insulation between the braid and the inner conductor as desired to isolate the secondary without affecting V_{out}/V_{in} . Hence, Case I works very well as a high voltage isolation transformer.

If one looks at a cross-section of one side of the transformer, it can intuitively be seen that all the flux generated by the current in the braid is external to the inner conductor. However, not all the flux generated by the current in the inner conductor is external to the braid (i.e. some of the generated flux is between the inner conductor and the braid). It is this difference that accounts for the results of Fig. 4. Theoretically, one can calculate (or use Ref. 6, p. 52) the inductance of a one turn loop with a major diameter D and a minor diameter D_{Br} . This inductance is equal to L_{Br} and equal to M because both inductances come from the same flux linkages. The inductance L_{IC} can be calculated for a one turn loop with a major diameter D and a minor diameter D_{IC} . Since D_{IC} is always less than D_{Br} , L_{IC} must always be greater than L_{Br} . The coefficient of coupling, k , can then be calculated from: $k = M/\sqrt{L_{Br}L_{IC}}$. These calculations agree quite well with the experimental data in Table II. The fact the $L_{IC} > M$ and that $M = L_{Br}$ accounts for the

differences between the two cases in Fig. 4. It should be noted that in Fig. 3b for Case I ($Z_{TG} = \infty$), that the output voltage across kL_S is V_{in} but the input voltage is only kV_{in} which is less than V_{in} . This "apparent" voltage step-up between kL_P and kL_S is the result of the perfect coupling between kL_P and kL_S . These arguments, of course, neglect the flux in this cross-section that is generated by the currents flowing in the remainder of the transformer.

Adding extra insulation between the braid and the inner conductor also decreases the capacitance "C" (see Fig. 3) between the braid and the inner conductor. It is desirable to have this "C" as small as possible as it is a source for unwanted common mode noise. Thus, if Case I is used (see Fig. 4), one can make "C" as small as necessary to reduce the common mode noise by adding extra insulation without affecting V_{out}/V_{in} , the performance of the transformer.

Figure 5 shows one transformer ($D_{Br}/D_{IC} = 21.3$) response to a square wave for Case I and Case II. One can see that for Case I, V_{out} is approximately V_{in} , but for Case II V_{out} is as indicated in Fig. 4. The "ringing" response of V_{out} has not caused problems in triggering spark gaps.

By "threading" one end of the inner conductor N times

through the braid, one can obtain a 1:N step-up transformer. In order to prevent the turn to turn capacitance of the secondary from degrading the response, extra insulation must be added between the turns to decrease this capacitance. Neither the N secondary turns nor the extra insulation between them has a noticeable affect on the transformer coupling (i.e. $V_{out} = NV_{in}$ for $Z_{TG} = \infty$). The experimental evidence of this is not shown here, but the reasoning is the same as the explanation given for Fig. 4.


As an example, a 1:2 step-up transformer ($D \pm 0.40$ m, $D_{Br} \pm 0.03$ m, $\ell \pm 0.10$ m, inner insulation and conductor of RG-8/U coaxial cable with extra insulation) is presently being used to provide a 300 kV, 30 ns risetime trigger to a rail gap for the TeePee 1B Theta Pinch from a 150 kV, 13 stage Marx bank. This step-up transformer was needed (1) to provide the high voltage trigger pulse required for reliable switching (2) to prevent damaging the Marx bank modules by isolating them from a reverse high energy common mode noise pulse of 75 kV when the rail gap was fired and (3) to break a ground loop.

Acknowledgement


We thank Dr. J.P. Craig for his helpful suggestions.

References

1. Matick, R.E., Proc. of IEEE., 56, No. 1, p. 47, Jan. 1968.
2. Winningstad, C.N., I.R.E. Trans. on Nuc. Sci., 6, p. 26, March 5, 1959.
3. Ruthroff, C.L., Proc. of I.R.E., 47, p. 1337, Aug, 1959.
4. Harvey, R.J., Proc. of Symp. on Engr. Problems of Fusion Res., April 8-11, 1969, paper DII-10, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Report LA-4250, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544.
5. Boicourt, G.F., Clark, B.D., and Schofield, A.E., Private Communication, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544.
6. Terman, F.E., Radio Engineers' Handbook (McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York and London, 1943).



Case I: Driving the braid as the primary.



Case II: Driving the inner conductor as the primary.




Fig. 1. Co-axial pulse transformer.

AD-A059 049

TEXAS TECH UNIV LUBBOCK PLASMA LAB F/G 20/9
PLASMA-LASER INTERACTIONS WITH SOLID POLYSTYRENE MICROSPHERES.(U)
OCT 77 D L SMITH AFOSR-74-2639

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-7

AFOSR-TR-78-1243

NL


2 of 2

AD
A059049




END
DATE
FILMED
11-78


DDC



(a) Inductively coupled circuit.



(b) Equivalent primary circuit.



(c) Equivalent secondary circuit.

Fig. 2. Transformer circuit theory (Ref. 6, p149).

	$Z_{TG} = \infty$	$Z_{TG} = 0$
	Trigger gap has not broken down	Trigger gap has broken down
$ I_p $	$V_{in} / \omega L_p$	$V_{in} / \omega (L_p - \frac{M^2}{L_s})$
$\left \frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} \right $	M / L_p	0
$\left \frac{\omega I_s}{V_{in}} \right $	0	$M / (L_p - \frac{M^2}{L_s})$

Table I. Variables of interest.

Case I:
 $P \rightarrow Br$; $S \rightarrow IC$
 $M = L_{Br}$
 $V_{out} = V_{in}, Z_{TG} = \infty$

Case II:
 $P \rightarrow IC$; $S \rightarrow Br$
 $L_{IC} > M = L_{Br}$
 $V_{out} \leq V_{in}, Z_{TG} = \infty$




Fig. 3. Transformer circuits used in Table II. (Ref. 6, p151)

	D_{Br}/D_{IC}	D_{Br} (cm)	$L_{Br}(\mu H)$ Braid Inductance (Total)	k	$M(\mu H)$ Mutual Inductance	$L_{IC}(\mu H)$ Inner Conductor Inductance	$ \omega L_s/V_{in} $ for $Z_{TG}=0$		
							Case I	Case II	C(pf)
Single Turn Inner Conductor	1.33	2.14	2.10	0.950	2.07	2.25	4.41	1.33	≈ 310
	3.50	1.46	2.35	0.915	2.44	3.27	1.41	1.42	308
	6.35	1.46	2.34	0.847	2.45	3.59	1.03	1.03	218
	11.4	4.90	1.62	0.720	1.62	3.10	0.669	0.673	138
	21.3	4.90	1.65	0.688	1.65	3.50	1.17	0.225	122
Two Turns	3.50	1.46	2.35	0.873	4.70	12.4	0.654	0.667	308
	11.4	4.90	1.62	0.715	3.18	12.2	0.323	0.318	139

Table II. Measured transformer parameters.







Fig. 4. V_{out}/V_{in} (at 140 kHz) with $Z_{TG} = \infty$, 1:1 transformer.





a) Test setup.

Case I



Case II



b) Typical results ($D_{Br}/D_{IC} = 21.3$).

Fig. 5. Co-axial pulse transformer response to square wave input.

APPENDIX B

AN INEXPENSIVE HIGH VOLTAGE PROBE*

by

R. Dollinger and D.L. Smith
Plasma Laboratories
Department of Electrical Engineering
P.O. Box 4439
Texas Tech University
Lubbock, Texas 79409

ABSTRACT

A simple, easily constructed, high voltage probe (≤ 300 kV) with a good frequency response (≤ 100 MHz) and high input impedance (≥ 10 k Ω) is desirable in many applications. Such a probe, constructed of two concentric cylinders of "Velostat"¹ is reported.

Introduction

There are several well-known voltage divider techniques employed as high voltage probes. A capacitive and an inductive divider are shown in Fig. 1. Both suffer from high frequency ringing noise caused by the ever present stray reactances. They also place a reactive loading on the measured circuit that is often undesirable because the loading is frequency dependent. The more familiar resistive divider, as shown in Fig. 2, has a

*This work was presented at the First IEEE Pulsed Power Conference, Lubbock, Texas, Nov. 9-11, 1976.

limited frequency response because the signal may be shunted directly to ground by the stray capacitances and especially the variable stray capacitances. Decreasing the total chain resistance tends to improve the high frequency response; however, to prevent loading down the input circuit the total resistance should be as large as possible. Typical resistive dividers have a total resistance of $\leq 100 \text{ k}\Omega$ and a frequency response of $\leq 500 \text{ kHz}$.

The high frequency response can be improved by placing the resistive divider chain in a known electric field to decrease the effect of the variable stray capacitances. Two methods for doing this are shown in Fig. 3. A cylindrical metal housing for the chain, which is well sealed for shielding purposes, is not convenient to construct. Placing the chain between two large metal electrodes has the disadvantage that the electrodes must have a radius of curvature much larger than their separation distance. Thus, for a large voltage holdoff, the electrode size makes them necessarily expensive to construct and not very portable.

A method of providing a uniform electric field and graded stray capacitances around the resistive divider chain is by enclosing the high voltage chain inside a second resistive divider chain in a concentric cylindrical configuration. See Fig. 4a.² This allows the use

of electrodes similar to but much smaller than those of Fig. 3b. The probe in Fig. 4a has an input impedance $\geq 30 \text{ k}\Omega$. It has a frequency response of $\geq 200 \text{ MHz}$ and can withstand voltage potentials of $\pm 100 \text{ kV}$ in air or $\pm 300 \text{ kV}$ if immersed in oil. Although the probe works very well, it has the disadvantages that the design requires special order resistors (5 ea: $10 \text{ k}\Omega$, 11J) with a long delivery time and that its initial construction is not simple, particularly because it is somewhat difficult to separate the oil and electrolyte and seal their reservoirs without trapping or forming bubbles. This compact arrangement is a big improvement over those of Fig. 3, but the probe is still not light, especially when immersed in oil. Finally, one is hesitant to measure the unknown voltage of a high energy source for fear of exceeding the probe's high voltage or power rating and damaging the unit.

We, therefore, have built and tested a probe of the type shown in Fig. 4c, which has the distinct features of being simple (3 man-hours to assemble and $\frac{1}{2}$ hour to repair) and inexpensive to construct, as well as, being very light and portable. It typically has a good high frequency response ($\leq 100 \text{ MHz}$) and is able to withstand $\geq 300 \text{ kV}$ without being immersed in oil. With a high input impedance ($\geq 10 \text{ k}\Omega$), it is a tool that would be very

useful in many research applications. The design of the probe is very similar to the one shown in Fig. 4a except that Velostat¹ replaces the inner resistor divider chain and the electrolyte. Velostat is a trade name for polyolefin plastic that is made conductive by the addition of carbon. No oil or electrolyte is used. Velostat film is available in 150 ft rolls of 4, 6, and 8 mil thicknesses and several widths (36-72 in). "Velostat layflat tubing" with 4 and 8 mil thicknesses and widths varying from 3 to 12 in can be purchased in 500 ft rolls. Solid rod stock has diameters from 1/8 to 13/16 in. Also, the top metal electrodes of Fig. 3c may be replaced by thin metal sheets since there are no oil or electrolyte reservoirs to seal. Even aluminum foil may be used for the electrodes; this allows their diameter, d_3 , to be varied for fine tuning the probe response.

Table I contains a comparison of various sizes and styles of the new probe design. The parameters listed are the dimensions indicated in Fig. 4c plus the limiting risetimes (t_r) of the different styles. The response of two of these probe styles (f), ≤ 300 kV, and (g), ≤ 50 kV, is compared to a calibrated input signal in Fig. 5. The inner Velostat cylinder of probe (f) will dissipate ≥ 2 kJ of energy with only a 10% change in resistance ($\pm 10^\circ\text{C}$ change).

References

1. "Velostat" - Trade name for polyolefin plastic that is made conductive by the addition of carbon. 3M Co., Nuclar Products Dept., 3M Center, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101.
2. Henins, I., Progress Report No. LA-5656-PR, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratories, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544, July, 1974.





Fig. 1. Capacitive and inductive voltage divider chains.



$$\text{if } R_1 + R_2 \lesssim \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{\omega_{\text{Max}} C}$$

$$V_{\text{out}} = \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2}$$

Fig. 2. Resistive divider chain.




Fig. 3. Two methods of removing the variable capacitance in Fig. 2.




Fig. 4. Preferred methods for HV probe design.

Approximate Dimensions		$d_1(m)$	$d_2(m)$	$d_3(m)$	$l(m)$	Risetime t_r
H.V. Probe made with 8 mil Velostat ¹ film $(\frac{V_{in}}{V_{out}} \approx 1,500:1 \rightarrow$ 3,000:1)	(a)	0.089	none	none	1.	$\gtrsim 3\mu s$
	(b)	0.089	0.091	none	1.	$\gtrsim 300ns$
	(c)	0.089	0.091	~ 0.5	1.	$\gtrsim 200ns$
	(d)	0.027	0.089	0.089	1.	$\gtrsim 100ns$
	(e)	0.027	0.089	~ 0.5	1.	$\gtrsim 50ns$
	(f)	0.027	0.16	~ 0.5	1.	$\gtrsim 20ns$
	(g)	0.007	0.06	0.06	0.2	$\gtrsim 10ns$
L. A.S.L. H.V. Probe ² $(\frac{V_{in}}{V_{out}} \approx 1,000:1 \rightarrow 2000:1)$		0.008	~ 0.035	0.18	0.215	$\leq 10ns$

Table I. Comparison of different probe styles.




Fig. 5. Probe response to a calibrated input signal.

APPENDIX C
RAW ABLATION DATA AND AN EXAMPLE APPLICATION
OF CHAUVENET'S CRITERION

The raw ablation rate data acquired from microscope measurements of the change in radius of the pellets, exposed to the plasma only, are tabulated on the following page. The x_1 values represent the product, $(r_{po})^{2/3} \Delta r_p$, from Eq. (II - 3) where r_{po} and Δr_p are both in microns. The average error in x_1 , ± 4 , comes from an individual measurement error of $\pm 0.25 \mu m$ which was usually averaged over about four measurements.

According to Chauvenet's criterion,¹ an observation should be discarded if its deviation from the mean is so large that the probability of occurrence of a deviation that large or larger is less than $1/20$. If this criterion is observed, one may eliminate completely any measurement whose deviation from the mean is approximately 1.96σ or larger. To illustrate an application of this technique, consider the case for which the initial filling pressure was 75 mTorr. The number of observations, their mean, and the standard deviation from the mean can be readily found as follows:

Raw Ablation Rate Data from Microscope Measurements

P_o (mTorr):					
90			75		
r_{po}	Δr_p	$x_1 (\pm 4)$	r_{pc}	Δr_p	$x_1 (\pm 4)$
124.5	2.5	62.4	115.0	1.0	<u>23.6</u>
127.0	2.5	63.3	118.0	2.5	60.1
110.5	3.0	69.1	123.5	2.5	62.0
120.0	3.0	73.0	111.0	3.0	69.3
104.0	4.5	99.8	117.5	3.0	72.0
111.0	5.0	11	121.0	3.0	73.4
110.0	6.0	<u>138</u>	122.0	3.5	86.1
			138.0	4.5	<u>120</u>

P_o (mTorr):					
60			45		
r_{po}	Δr_p	$x_1 (\pm 4)$	r_{po}	Δr_p	$x_1 (\pm 4)$
110.0	1.0	<u>23.0</u>	98.0	1.5	31.9
115.0	1.0	<u>23.7</u>	84.0	2.0	38.4
130.0	1.5	38.5	103.5	2.0	44.1
93.0	2.0	41.0	99.0	2.5	53.6
119.5	2.5	60.8	74.0	4.0	70.6
125.0	2.5	62.5	92.0	3.5	71.3
101.5	3.0	65.5			
95.5	3.5	73.3			
106.5	3.5	78.5			
114.5	4.5	106			
124.5	5.0	<u>125</u>			
122.0	5.5	<u>135</u>			

$$n_0 = 8$$

$$\bar{x}_0 = (\sum_1 x_1)/n_0 \doteq 70.8$$

$$\sigma_0 = ((\sum_1 x_1^2/n_0) - (\bar{x}_0)^2)^{1/2} \doteq 25.2 .$$

Since two values of x_1 , 23.6 and 120, fall very near to $1.96\sigma_0$, their probability of occurrence is about 1/20, and they may be discarded. This reduced the number of observations by two, and the mean and standard deviation may be recomputed.

$$n_1 = 6$$

$$\bar{x}_1 = (\sum_1 x_1)/n_1 \doteq 70.5$$

$$\sigma_1 = ((\sum_1 x_1^2/n_1) - (\bar{x}_1)^2)^{1/2} \doteq 8.3$$

This is a significant improvement in the size of the standard deviation, but the criterion cannot be taken for granted. To apply it more than once would result in the loss of important data and should never be considered.

All the underscored values in the tabulated data were eliminated by applying Chauvenet's criterion to

produce the reduced error bars on Fig. IV-1. Other more complicated data rejection techniques do exist, but they would be impractical to try to apply to such relatively small quantities of data.

Reference

1. Young, H.D., Statistical Treatment of Experimental Data (Mc Graw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1962) pp. 78-80.