

*File copy #1*



**NAVAL SUBMARINE MEDICAL  
RESEARCH LABORATORY**

**SUBMARINE BASE, GROTON, CONN.**

REPORT NUMBER 854

ASEPTIC BONE NECROSIS AMONG U. S. NAVY DIVERS:

Survey of 934 Nonrandomly Selected Personnel

by

W. L. Hunter, Jr., R. J. Biersner, R. L. Sphar  
and  
C. A. Harvey

Naval Medical Research and Development Command  
Research Work Unit F51.524.014-0004

Released by:

R. L. Sphar, CAPT MC USN  
COMMANDING OFFICER  
Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory

March 1978



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

## SUMMARY PAGE

### THE PROBLEM

Aseptic bone necrosis (ABN) has been known to be associated with exposure to increased ambient pressures for nearly 70 years. However, the first case of ABN in a U. S. Navy diver was not reported until 1968. Subsequently, studies in other populations of divers (primarily commercial) revealed relatively large prevalence rates of the disorder. As a result, the U. S. Navy became concerned about its own personnel and instituted this study in order to determine the magnitude and severity of ABN in the Navy diving community.

### FINDINGS

Nine hundred and thirty-four U. S. Navy divers were surveyed radiographically using standard techniques developed for detecting ABN. X-ray films were read by qualified radiologists. A total of 16 positive cases was detected, and another 11 were interpreted as doubtful. ABN was found to be related to age and months in diving. After controlling for these factors, ABN could not be related to any of seven specific indices of diving activity. Divers with ABN did, however, have a history of significantly more treatments for decompression sickness (DCS) than did divers without ABN. The conclusion reached was that, without a comparison study of non-divers, ABN among Navy divers cannot be related to any specific diving activity and may not be causally related to DCS.

### APPLICATION

These findings demonstrate the prevalence of ABN in a non-randomly selected group of Navy divers. They should be of interest to medical and diving personnel, but, in the absence of a comparison group, serve as descriptive information only and provide no data on environmental or occupational hazards.

### ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This investigation was conducted as part of Naval Medical Research and Development Command Research Work Unit 62758N-F51.524.014-0004. The manuscript was submitted for review on 17 June 1977, approved for publication and sent to the Undersea Biomedical Journal where it was accepted and subsequently published in Volume 5, No. 1, March 1978, pp. 25 - 36. It has been designated as NavSubMedRschLab Report No. 854.

## Aseptic bone necrosis among U.S. Navy divers: survey of 934 nonrandomly selected personnel

W. L. HUNTER, JR., R. J. BIERSNER, R. L. SPHAR, and C. A. HARVEY

*Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory, Groton, Connecticut 06340*

Hunter, W. L. Jr., R. J. Biersner, R. L. Sphar, and C. A. Harvey. 1978. Aseptic bone necrosis among U.S. Navy divers: survey of 934 nonrandomly selected personnel. *Undersea Biomed. Res.* 5(1): 25-36.—Nine hundred thirty-four U.S. Navy divers were selected and surveyed radiographically using standard techniques developed for detecting aseptic bone necrosis (ABN). X rays were read by qualified radiologists. A total of 16 positive cases was detected, and another 11 were interpreted as doubtful. ABN was found to be related to age and number of months in diving. After controlling for these factors, ABN could not be related to any of seven indices of diving activity. Divers with ABN did, however, have a history of more treatments for decompression sickness (DCS) than did divers without ABN. Implications of these findings are discussed, and the suggestion is made that ABN and DCS may not be related causally, but may be related to a third common factor. The need for controlled studies is discussed. The conclusion is made that the low prevalence rates of ABN among U.S. Navy divers cannot be related to any specific index of diving activity, and may not be causally related to DCS.

radiography  
dysbaric osteonecrosis  
decompression sickness

For nearly 70 years, aseptic bone necrosis (ABN) has been postulated to be related to hyperbaric exposure, having first been described accurately in caisson workers in 1911 by Bornstein and Plate (see McCallum and Walder 1966). (This condition is referred to by a variety of other names, among them dysbaric osteonecrosis, caisson disease of bone, barotraumatic arthropathy, and so forth.) For many years, the assumption was made that the disorder involved caisson workers only. In 1936, however, Seifert reported a case of ABN in a diver (Seifert, 1948). Subsequently, sporadic case reports of symptomatic divers appeared in European and American journals. During the late 50's and early 60's, radiographic surveys of asymptomatic commercial shellfish divers in Japan revealed prevalence rates exceeding 75% (Ohta and Matsunaga 1974). These findings indicated that ABN may have been more prevalent than assumed originally. As a result, medical research interest in the disorder was heightened.

In response to the earlier data on caisson workers and to the more recent findings among Japanese shellfish divers, the Royal Navy began conducting a radiographic survey of clearance divers during the middle 60's. This survey demonstrated a prevalence rate of 4.7% in this population (Harrison 1971). Concomitantly, the U.S. Navy was conducting a survey of long-bone radiographs (primarily shoulder and femur views) using unstandardized procedures that had been performed on a nonrandom sample of U.S. Navy divers. This survey was conducted in response to the first reported case of ABN in a U.S. Navy diver (Uhl 1968). Positive X-ray evidence was present in 4% of this U.S. Navy sample. A restructured survey was then performed on 335 U.S. Navy divers. Preliminary reports showed that X rays indicated a much higher percentage of personnel with lesions. As a result, the sample was expanded, and expertise was obtained from radiology consultants of the Medical Research Council Decompression Sickness Panel (U.K.). The methods and results of this expanded analysis are described below.

## METHODOLOGY

### The sample

All participants were active-duty U.S. Navy divers. They were obtained primarily from three sources: 1) divers stationed in the immediate vicinity of the Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory, Groton, Connecticut, (NSMRL); 2) divers who were participants in a separate research project assessing longitudinal health; and 3) divers who were students in the Naval School, Deep Diving Systems, San Diego, California. The radiographs of 1086 personnel were evaluated. Of these, the films on 152 divers were judged to be technically unsatisfactory (TU) and could not be accurately interpreted. These personnel were excluded from the sample, leaving a total sample of 934.

### Radiological evaluation

#### *Technical methodology*

The divers were surveyed using the protocol developed by the Medical Research Council Decompression Sickness Panel (MRC-DCS) of the United Kingdom (McCallum and Walder 1966; Elliott and Harrison 1970; Davidson 1976; Harvey and Sphar 1976). The protocol delineates the X-ray projections required and the specific techniques to use in obtaining these projections.

#### *Classification*

Radiographs were also classified under the system developed by the MRC-DCS Panel. This system is in widespread use and can be found in a number of sources (Elliott and Harrison 1970; Harrison 1974; Davidson 1976).

#### *Interpretation*

Each film set was read initially by two members of a panel of four radiologists who were trained by radiology consultants of the MRC-DCS. In the event of disagreement in interpreta-

tion, differences were resolved at periodic workshops held at NSMRL. These workshops were attended by all members of the panel, as well as by MRC-DCS consultants. Films were classified by each pair of panel members into one of three categories: positive, doubtful, or negative.

#### Additional data sources

##### *Questionnaire*

A questionnaire containing items about diving experience was administered to about 70% of the divers. Data were not available on the remaining 30% because administration of the questionnaire was not begun until the project was well underway. The questionnaire asked about number and depths of dives, accident history, years of diving experience, age, and so forth. These data were available from 15 of the 16 cases later classified as positive.

##### *Diving logs*

To assess recent diving activity (and to verify questionnaire responses), diving logs were obtained from the Naval Safety Center, Norfolk, Virginia. These logs contain detailed information about the dives which have been made by a diver (such as frequency, depth, bottom time, and so forth). Diving data were obtained only for the two calendar years 1974 and 1975 because the data collection system was still under development prior to this period. Diving log data were available on 10 of the 16 cases later classified as positive.

#### Statistical analyses

As mentioned above, the films were classified into one of three categories: positive (definite radiographic evidence of ABN); negative (an absence of such evidence); and doubtful (equivocal). For the statistical analyses described below, data on those in the doubtful category were eliminated and comparisons were made between positives and negatives only.

Chi-square analysis was used to determine if observed frequencies differed significantly from expected frequencies for factors that were classified according to nominal or ordinal scales. A Yates correction was used in computing chi squares if the expected frequencies were less than five. For ratio data, comparisons between groups were made using *t*-tests for independent samples. Pearson product-moment correlations were used for correlational analyses. Levels of significance are  $P \leq 0.05$  (two-tailed for *t*-tests).

To reduce the data collation involved in making comparisons between the positive group and total negative sample, a subsample of 70 divers was selected randomly from among the total negative sample by choosing those with an "1" as the last digit in their Social Security Number. (The size of this negative subsample, and the number of positives, may vary according to the availability of questionnaire data.)

#### Results

Inasmuch as the sample was not selected in a random or stratified manner, a determination was made of the extent to which the sample was representative of the Navy diving population. Data for the Navy diving population were obtained from several sources. Data on ages were

obtained from Biersner (1975). Data on diver classification were obtained from departments of the U.S. Navy Bureau of Personnel and the U.S. Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery. In the tables and statistics cited below, expected frequencies were determined either from these Navy diving population data (Tables 1, 2, and 3), or from data obtained from those whose X rays were classified as negative (Tables 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9).

Table 1 compares age distribution between the total sample and the Navy diving population. This total sample differs significantly from the diving population, being weighted toward the

**TABLE 1**  
COMPARISON OF AGE GROUPS BETWEEN THE TOTAL SAMPLE  
AND THE NAVY DIVING POPULATION

Age Group, yr	Observed	Expected
18-25	240	393.79
26-30	229	208.34
31-35	204	136.44
36-40	109	60.46
41 and over	35	17.97
Total	817	817.00

$$\chi^2 = 195.22; df = 4; P < 0.001.$$

older age groups. Table 2 compares diving classification within the total sample to the Navy diving population. Again, the sample is significantly biased. Diver classifications in the groups with more diving experience or advanced diver training (saturation divers, master divers, first class divers) are overrepresented, while those classifications in the diving population that require less diving experience (second class diver, EOD officer, submarine medical officer,

**TABLE 2**  
COMPARISON OF DIVING CLASSIFICATIONS BETWEEN THE  
TOTAL SAMPLE AND THE NAVY DIVING POPULATION

Diving Classification	Observed	Expected
Saturation diver	187	54.93
Master diver	31	16.61
Diver first class	216	172.04
Diver second class*	96	151.22
Scuba diver*	38	120.73
Diving medical technician	71	35.31
HeO <sub>2</sub> officer	30	38.33
Ships salvage officer*	18	53.73
EOD officer	14	42.86
Undersea medical officer	24	39.24
Total	725	725.00

$$\chi^2 = 505.08; df = 9; P < 0.001. \quad *No mixed gas training; air only.$$

and so forth) are underrepresented. This finding is further verified by the results found in Table 3, which classifies the divers according to the breathing mixtures/modes that they used in diving.

TABLE 3  
COMPARISON OF BREATHING MIXTURES/MODES BETWEEN  
THE TOTAL SAMPLE AND THE NAVY DIVING POPULATION

Mixtures/Modes	Observed	Expected
Saturation	187	62.46
Mixed gas	372	342.81
Air only	128	281.73
Total	687	687.00

$$\chi^2 = 334.70; df = 2; P < 0.001.$$

#### Radiographic findings

Table 4 presents the overall prevalence rates of ABN in this sample of divers. A total of 16 divers (1.71%) were classified as positives, while 907 were classified as negatives (97.11%). An additional 11 divers (1.18%) had doubtful readings. A noteworthy finding is that of the 16 divers with positive X rays, only one (0.11% of the total sample) had any symptoms that could be attributed to ABN.

TABLE 4  
PREVALENCE OF ASEPTIC BONE NECROSIS IN THIS  
SAMPLE OF NAVY DIVERS

Radiological Classification	Number of Divers	Prevalence, %
Positive	16	1.71
Doubtful	11	1.18
Negative	907	97.11
Total	934	100.00

Data in Table 5 show the radiological classification of the lesions seen on X ray among the 16 positives and the anatomical distribution of these lesions. The radiological classification categories are those developed by the MRC-DCS Panel. Type A lesions are juxtarticular, while Type B lesions are located in the head, neck, or shaft of the involved bone. (The probability that Type A lesions may result in disability is indicated by the suffix numeral; higher numerals indicate a higher probability.) A total of 21 lesions was seen in the 16 divers with positive films. Eight lesions (38.1%) were Type A, and 13 lesions (61.9%) were Type B. However, of the Type A lesions, all but one (7/8) were in the shoulders, while most (7/13) Type B lesions were in the femurs. The prevalence of ABN in the shoulders of divers has been reported previously (Fagan, Beckman, and Galletti 1974; Ohta and Matsunaga 1974).

TABLE 5  
DISTRIBUTION OF LESIONS BY ANATOMICAL SITE

Anatomical Site	Lesion classification									
	A1	A2	Juxtarticular				A5	Head, Neck, or Shaft		
			A3	A4a	A4b	A4c		B1	B2	B3
Left shoulder	2	1						1	1	
Right shoulder		3			1			1		
Right hip			1							
Left hip										1
Right knee (femur)										2
Right knee (tibia)										2
Left knee (femur)										5
Left knee (tibia)										
Total					8					13
Percentage					38.1					61.9

#### Other factors

Table 6 shows an age comparison between the 16 positives and 39 of the randomly selected subsample of negatives, using questionnaire data. These results showed that the positive group is older, and that ABN is especially prevalent among divers over 35 years of age. Table 7 compares months in a diving billet (MIDB) using questionnaire data available for 15 positives and 39 of the randomly selected negatives. These data show that divers in the positive group have occupied diving billets significantly longer than have divers in the negative group. MIDB was, however, found to be significantly related to age in both groups combined ( $r = 0.735$ ;  $df = 45$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ). The results in Table 8 show that despite significant differences in age and MIDB, positives and negatives did not differ significantly in level of training as reported on the questionnaires. In addition, Table 9 shows that the two groups did not report differences in the breathing mixtures/modes used in diving.

TABLE 6  
COMPARISON OF AGE GROUPS BETWEEN POSITIVES  
AND THE NEGATIVE SUBSAMPLE

Age Group	Positives Observed	Positives Expected
18-25	1	4.72
26-30	2	4.49
31-35	3	3.96
36-40	5	2.14
41 and over	5	0.69
Total	16	16.00

$\chi^2 = 26.73$ ;  $df = 4$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; positives,  $n = 16$ ; negatives,  $n = 39$ .



TABLE 7  
COMPARISON OF MONTHS IN DIVING BILLET BETWEEN POSITIVES  
AND THE NEGATIVE SUBSAMPLE

	Positives	Negative
Mean	99.7	48.6
SD	53.0	44.2

df = 52; t = 3.60;  $P < 0.01$ ; positives,  $n = 15$ ; negatives,  $n = 39$ .

Inasmuch as age and MIDB were found to differ significantly between the positive sample and the negative subsample, subsequent comparisons involved a subsample of 15 negatives (selected from among the total sample of 907 negatives) who were matched as closely as possible on these two variables with the 15 positives for whom questionnaire and diving log data were available. Table 10 presents the ages, MIDBs, and ranks/ratings as determined from questionnaire data for each member of the two matched samples. *T*-tests showed that age and MIDB did not differ significantly between these two groups.

Comparisons between the matched positive and negative groups for eight parameters of diving experience are shown in Table 11. These comparisons include both questionnaire and diving log data. A noteworthy observation is that diving log data substantiated the questionnaire findings in each case.

Table 11 provides evidence that the two diver groups did not differ significantly in frequency of diving, nor did the two groups vary significantly in the number of hazardous dives (such as deeper dives, saturation dives, and so forth). Despite these similarities in diving activity, the divers with ABN experienced significantly more decompression sickness (DCS) than those who were in the negative group. Inasmuch as the two groups are also matched for age and MIDB (and fairly well matched for rank/rate), this DCS effect would appear to be independent of these other variables.

TABLE 8  
COMPARISON OF DIVING CLASSIFICATIONS BETWEEN POSITIVES  
AND THE NEGATIVE SUBSAMPLE

Diving Classification	Positives Observed	Positives Expected
Saturation diver	4	3.606
Master diver	2	0.582
Diver first class	4	4.169
Diver second class*	0	1.842
Scuba diver*	0	0.737
Diving medical technician	0	1.338
HeO <sub>2</sub> officer	2	0.640
Ships salvage officer*	0	0.349
EOD officer	1	0.272
Undersea medical officer	1	0.465
Total	14	14.000

$\chi^2 = 4.38$ ; df = 9;  $P < 0.8$  (NS); positives,  $n = 14$ ; negatives,  $n = 39$ . \*No mixed gas training; air only.

TABLE 9  
COMPARISON OF BREATHING MIXTURES/MODES BETWEEN  
POSITIVES AND THE NEGATIVE SUBSAMPLE

Mixtures/Modes	Positives Observed	Positives Expected
Saturation	4	3.60
Mixed gas	10	7.42
Air only	0	2.98
Total	14	14.00

$\chi^2 = 2.646$ ;  $df = 2$ ;  $P < 0.2$  (NS); positives,  $n = 14$ ; negatives,  $n = 39$ .

#### DISCUSSION

These findings must be interpreted cautiously, and extrapolation to other groups may not be warranted because of dissimilarities between groups. For example, the present sample was not representative of the Navy diving community (Tables 1, 2, and 3), since it was weighted toward older, more experienced personnel. In view of the demonstrated relationship of ABN to age (Table 6), the 1.71% prevalence rate in the sample may overestimate the true prevalence

TABLE 10  
COMPARISON OF POSITIVES AND MATCHED NEGATIVES  
FOR AGE, MIDB, AND RANK/RATE

Subject	Positives			Matched negatives			Rank or Rate
	Age	MIDB	Rank or Rate	Subject	Age	MIDB	
P-1	47	216	DCCM	N-1	49	294	BCCM
P-2	50	48	CDR	N-2	45	36	BMCS
P-3	40	120	PH2	N-3	40	156	BMC
P-4	39	156	EN1	N-4	40	132	MMCS
P-5	39	132	HTC	N-5	39	139	MM1
P-6	35	48	LT	N-6	35	33	BMC
P-7	24	36	EM3	N-7	24	33	HT2
P-8	32	125	HTC	N-8	32	120	BMC
P-9	44	148	ST1	N-9	42	156	HTC
P-10	42	72	CWO3	N-10	40	72	HMC
P-11	33	96	MM1	N-11	34	95	HT1
P-12	36	135	QMC	N-12	36	156	QM1
P-13	26	36	ENS	N-13	26	21	LTJG
P-14	40	72	CDR(MC)	N-14	42	120	CDR(MC)
P-15	28	55	MR2	N-15	28	53	HT1
Mean	37.0	99.7		Mean	36.8	107.7	
SD	7.49	53.0		SD	7.06	71.6	

T-tests: Age,  $df = 28$ ;  $t = 0.075$   $P > 0.50$ ; MIDB,  $df = 28$ ;  $t = 0.351$   $P > 0.50$ . MIDB = months in diving billet.

TABLE 11  
COMPARISON OF POSITIVES AND MATCHED NEGATIVES  
FOR EIGHT PARAMETERS OF DIVING EXPERIENCE

Variable	Positives		Negatives		df	t	P
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD			
Total career dives*	904.6	1027.0	1773.4	1948.9	28	1.527	>0.2 (NS)
Total dives 1974-1975**	16.9	11.1	59.7	47.3	18	2.135	<0.05
Total career dives* > 100 fsw	156.5	187.9	403.9	587.7	28	1.553	>0.2 (NS)
Total dives >100 fsw, 1974-1975**	3.8	3.99	6.8	7.6	18	1.103	>0.2 (NS)
Depth of deepest Dive, fsw*	440.0	219.4	438.3	199.5	28	0.022	>0.5 (NS)
Depth of 4 deepest Dives, fsw*	354.5	183.1	316.1	158.8	118	1.227	>0.02 (NS)
Total career saturation dives*	4.27	10.13	1.27	3.62	28	1.080	>0.2 (NS)
Total career treatment for DCS*	1.07	1.16	0.20	0.41	28	2.719	<0.02

\*Data obtained from questionnaire;\*\* data obtained from diving logs.

of ABN among Navy divers. The extent to which the findings for this group of 934 Navy divers are related to other groups, such as commercial divers or sport divers, is therefore unknown.

ABN in this sample was related significantly to age (Table 6) and to MIDB (Table 7). These two factors were, however, found to be significantly interrelated, and are therefore unlikely to be associated independently with ABN. The finding that ABN was not related to diving classification (Table 8), breathing mixtures/modes (Table 9), or total number of dives (Table 11), further substantiates the interpretation that MIDB per se was not related independently to ABN. Another noteworthy finding is the absence of positive cases in the "air-only" category. Although this finding may be valid, the possibility remains that the effect is spurious because of the small number of expected cases.

After controlling for age and MIDB by carefully matching members of the negative group to each positive case, ABN was found to be unrelated to any index of diving experience (Table 11). This finding does not agree with many previous reports (Kawashima, Torisu, Hayashi, and Kamo 1974; Ohta and Matsunaga 1974; Davidson 1976; Thickett and Evans 1977), but the disparity could be the result of sample differences or variations in matching (control) procedures. The single, major finding from this analysis was that divers with ABN had a history of significantly more treatments for DCS than the matched group of negatives. Furthermore, the data show that the occurrence of DCS among the positive group cannot be attributed to age, MIDB, training level, gases breathed, or number, type, and depth of dives. Such a finding suggests that some divers are more susceptible to both decompression sickness and ABN, while other divers are more resistant to both disorders. Inasmuch as differences in susceptibility to DCS are strongly suspected (Walder 1975; Pilmanis 1976), perhaps a similar effect is true of ABN. Such susceptibility, as well as the positive relationship found between ABN and decompression sickness, would indicate that ABN is a manifestation of subclinical decompression sickness. Another possibility is that some divers may have one or more physical/

physiological characteristics that enhance susceptibility to both ABN and DCS. Such hypothetical characteristics may include unusual microcirculatory patterns, a propensity to form lipid emboli in response to minimal stress, or unusual sensitivity of the coagulation system. If this were true, then ABN and DCS may not be causally related, but instead may be associated with some common (third) variable.

None of the above interpretations can be substantiated from either the present or previous findings. The etiology of ABN in divers is not well understood (Davidson 1976), and a completely satisfactory animal model has been elusive (Walder 1977). Animal experiments have used either extreme decompression liabilities (Smith and Stegall 1974) or artificial emboli (Jones, Sakovich, and Anderson 1974; Cox 1977), and the resulting lesions therefore may not be comparable to those seen in divers. In addition, ABN appears to develop in response to a variety of insults and disease states that are completely unrelated to diving (Jones and Sakovich 1966; Solomon 1973; Leach and Baskies 1973; Park 1976). Many of these states could be readily excluded in arriving at a differential diagnosis of ABN in divers, but some, including syphilis, gonorrhea, alcoholism, pancreatitis, cirrhosis, and obesity, would have to be taken into account.

An adequate radiological survey of a carefully chosen control sample would greatly aid in addressing some of these problems. To date only a single control group without previous pressure exposure has been surveyed for ABN and compared to divers (Harrison 1971, 1974). These controls were Royal Navy (RN) personnel who were matched according to age and rank/rate with a group of RN clearance divers. ABN was not found among any of the members of this control group. Although this control group was supposedly matched for age and rank with the divers, the data as presented indicate that the age categories are so broad and unsystematic that the groups are essentially matched only for rank. Rank alone is probably not an adequate control variable because rank is independent of occupational specialty. Occupational specialty would be a more valid measure than rank of trauma and other nondiving variables that may be related to ABN. In addition, radiographs of the above control group were not interpreted using double readings (which was the method used for the clearance divers). The radiographs were interpreted by a single radiologist who apparently knew that they were controls. Observer bias, therefore, could be a significant factor in the results.

At present, the prevalence of ABN in a valid control group without a history of pressure exposure is not known. Therefore, the direct and independent association between ABN and diving is also unknown. Certainly, the prevalence rates of 50-75% reported among Japanese shellfish divers suggest some unique hyperbaric factor. However, identification of this factor and of the extent to which this factor contributes to ABN remains elusive because of the absence of an adequate control group for the Japanese sample, possible physiological differences between the Japanese and U.S. Navy divers, and variations in diving procedures between the Japanese and American groups. Until the prevalence of ABN in an adequate control group is determined, the prevalence of ABN among the present diving sample cannot be attributed to diving operations as currently conducted by the U.S. Navy.

---

The authors express their appreciation for the invaluable consultative services provided by Surgeon Rear Admiral J. A. B. Harrison, Royal Navy, and by Dr. J. K. Davidson. The interpretative services provided by the Radiological Review Panel, Captain R. E. Thompson, MC, USN (Ret.), Captain C. W. Gates, MC, USN, Commander H. T. Beatty, MC, USN, and Dr. J. J. O'Brien, and the technical assistance of HMC(DV) Normand Tetrault, USN, are also most gratefully acknowledged.

This research was supported by the U.S. Navy Medical Research and Development Command under Research Work Unit Number 62758N-F51.524-014-0004. The opinions and interpretations contained in this report are those of the authors only, and do not necessarily represent the official views, policies, or endorsement of the Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory, the Department of the Navy, or the naval service at large.—*Manuscript received for publication June 1977; revised manuscript received September 1977.*

Hunter, W. L. Jr., R. J. Biersner, R. L. Sphar, and C. A. Harvey. 1978. Ostéonécrose aseptique chez les plongeurs de la marine américaine: enquête sur 934 personnes sélectionnées. *Undersea Biomed. Res.* 5(1): 25-36.—L'étude radiologique de 934 plongeurs de la Marine américaine est rapportée. L'enquête radiologique a utilisé les techniques standard pour la détection de l'ostéonécrose aseptique (ONA), et les clichés ont été interprétés par des radiologues expérimentés. On a trouvé 16 cas positifs; onze autres étaient "douteux." Des rapports entre l'ONA et l'âge du plongeur ou la durée de son activité professionnelle ont été observés. A part ces facteurs, on n'a pas pu rapporter l'ONA à aucun de sept indices d'activité. Cependant, les cas positifs ont présenté une histoire de plus de maladies de décompression traitées que les cas négatifs. Il se peut que l'ONA et la maladie de décompression soient toutes deux liées à un troisième facteur commun. L'incidence plutôt modeste de l'ONA parmi les plongeurs de la Marine américaine n'est liée à aucun indice d'activité professionnelle. Le rapport entre l'ONA et la maladie de décompression n'est pas forcément causale.

maladie de décompression  
radiographie  
ostéonécrose dysbarique

### REFERENCES

- Biersner, R. J. 1975. Factors in 171 Navy diving decompression accidents occurring between 1960-1969. *Aviat. Space Environ. Med.* 46(8):1069-1073.
- Cox, P. R. 1977. Artificial emboli in rabbits. *In*: A. Evans and D. N. Walder, Eds. Aseptic bone necrosis. Proceedings of a symposium. EUBS meeting, Newcastle, September 1976. CIRIA underwater engineering group technical note 12.
- Davidson, J. K. 1976. Dysbaric osteonecrosis. Page 147, *in* J. K. Davidson, Ed. Aseptic necrosis of bone. American Elsevier, New York.
- Elliott, D. H., and J. A. B. Harrison. 1970. Bone necrosis—an occupational hazard of diving. *J. R. Nav. Med. Serv.* 56:140-161.
- Fagan, C. J., E. L. Beckman, and J. B. Galletti, Jr. 1974. Sample survey of osteonecrosis in Gulf of Mexico commercial divers. Pages 9-15, *in* E. L. Beckman and D. H. Elliott, Eds. Dysbarism-related osteonecrosis. Proceedings of a symposium on dysbaric osteonecrosis. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C.
- Harrison, J. A. B. 1971. Aseptic bone necrosis in naval clearance divers: radiographic findings. *Proc. R. Soc. Med.* 64:1276-1278.
- Harrison, J. A. B. 1974. Radiological criteria in diagnosing dysbaric osteonecrosis. Pages 151-159, *in* E. L. Beckman and D. H. Elliott, Eds. Dysbarism-related osteonecrosis. Proceedings of a symposium on dysbaric osteonecrosis. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C.
- Harvey, C. A., and R. L. Sphar. 1976. Dysbaric osteonecrosis in divers. I. A survey of 611 selected U.S. Navy divers. Rept. No. 832, Nav. Sub. Med. Res. Lab. Groton, Conn.
- Jones, J. P., Jr., and L. Sakovich. 1966. Fat embolism of bone. *J. Bone Jt. Surg.* 43(A):149-164.
- Jones, J. P., Jr., L. Sakovich, and C. E. Anderson. 1974. Experimentally produced osteonecrosis as a result of fat embolism. Pages 117-132, *in* E. L. Beckman and D. H. Elliott, Eds. Dysbarism-related osteonecrosis. Proceedings of a symposium on dysbaric osteonecrosis. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C.
- Kawashima, M., T. Torisu, K. Hayashi, and Y. Kamo. 1974. Avascular bone necrosis in Japanese diving fishermen. Pages 855-862 *in* W. G. Trapp, E. W. Banister, A. J. Davison, and P. A. Trapp, Eds. Proceedings of the fifth international hyperbaric congress. Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B. C.
- Leach, R. E., and A. Baskies. 1973. Alcoholism and its effect on the human hip. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 90:95-99.
- McCallum, R. I., and D. N. Walder. 1966. Bone lesions in compressed air workers, with special reference to men who worked on the Clyde Tunnels 1958 to 1963. Report of decompression sickness panel medical research council. *J. Bone Jt. Surg.* 48(B):207-235.
- Ohta, Y., and H. Matsunaga. 1974. Bone lesions in divers. *J. Bone. Jt. Surg.* 56(B):3-16.
- Park, W. M. 1976. Spontaneous and drug-induced aseptic necrosis. Page 213, *in* J. K. Davidson, Ed. Aseptic necrosis of bone. American Elsevier, New York.
- Pilmanis, A. A. 1976. Intravenous gas emboli in man after compressed air ocean diving. Final report on ONR Contract No. N00014-67-A-0269-0026.
- Seifert, A. 1948. Reference 1432, cited in Hoff, E. C. A bibliographical sourcebook of compressed air, diving, and submarine medicine. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

- Smith, K. H., and P. Stegall. 1974. Experimentally induced osteonecrosis in miniature swine. Pages 105-111, in E. L. Beckman and D. H. Elliott, Eds. *Dysbarism-related osteonecrosis. Proceedings of a symposium on dysbaric osteonecrosis.* U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C.
- Solomon, L. 1973. Drug-induced arthropathy and necrosis of the femoral head. *J. Bone Jt. Surg.* 55(B):246-261.
- Thickett, V. B., and A. Evans. 1977. Bone necrosis in British commercial divers. In A. Evans and D. N. Walder, Eds. *Aseptic bone necrosis. Proceedings of a symposium.* EUBS meeting, Newcastle, September, 1976. CIRIA underwater engineering group technical note 12.
- Uhl, R. R. 1968. Aseptic bone necrosis in divers. *Aerosp. Med.* 39:1345-1347.
- Walder, D. N. 1975. The prevention of decompression sickness. Page 456, in P. B. Bennett and D. H. Elliott, Eds. *The physiology and medicine of diving and compressed air work*, 2nd ed. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, Md.
- Walder, D. N. 1977. Introduction to bone research. In A. Evans, and D. N. Walder, Eds. *Aseptic bone necrosis. Proceedings of a symposium.* EUBS meeting, Newcastle, September, 1976. CIRIA underwater engineering group technical note 12.