

AD AO 58780

P





Design Factors in the Tuned Synthetic Aperture Radar

RICHARD A. LUHRS, CIC, USAF Academy JOHN K. SCHINDLER

RADC-TR-77-289 IN-HOUSE REPORT AUGUST 1977

DDC FILE COPY



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND GRIFFISS AIR FORCE BASE, NEW YORK 13441

78 09 -14 035

This report has been reviewed by the RADC information Office (01) and is releasable to the National Technical Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and approved for publication.

APPROVED:

enpollant . P

PHILIPP BLACKSMITH Chief, Antenna and Radar Techniques Branch

APPROVED:

alanchheel ALLAN C. SCHELL

Acting Chief Electromagnetic Sciences Division

FOR THE COMMANDER: John P. Thus

Plans Office

Technical Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER RADC-TR-77-289 1 TITLE (and Subtitle) TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED DESIGN EACTORS IN THE TUNED SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.) 7. AUTHOR(.) Richard A. Luhrs, John K. Schindler ERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT TASK Deputy for Electronic Technology (RADC/EEC) Hanscom AFB 61102F Massachusetts 01731 2305 J402 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Deputy for Electronic Technology (RADC/EEC) Auguare 1977 NUMBER OF Hanscom AFB 28 Massachusetts 01731 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICAT 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *****TDY From United States Air Force Academy 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Radar Signal processing Airborne moving target indication 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A method for determining specific digital processing requirements for the Tuned Synthetic Aperture Radar is evaluated. This method presupposes one form of clutter suppression processing which consists of coherently combining contiguous-band, doppler-frequency filter outputs in successive time intervals to observe differences in the doppler/doppler rate properties of stationary clutter and moving targets. By probing a specified set of frequencies, in the proper integration time intervals, the signal-to-clutter ratio of slowly moving targets can be improved. DD I JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Unclassified

The frequency filters are implemented by applying the discrete Fourier transform to the returning radar pulses. Proper selection of the particular outputs at which a target appears at a given time is the function of a computer program, for which the theoretical foundations and program logic are developed in the report. The program can be used to determine the specific factors to be programmed for processing return data and for designing the actual processor. The results show typical memory and time requirements for laboratory test-bed and operational processors.

Finally, a technique for reducing total memory required by reducing stored input data is described and developed. Tradeoffs between time and memory are discussed, and optimal operating point calculations are developed.

> Unclassified. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	5
2.	PROGRAM	6
3.	THE LINEAR DOPPLER FREQUENCY APPROXIMATION	7
4.	NEGATIVE FREQUENCIES	7
5.	FREQUENCY INTERVALS	9
6.	LIMITS ON THE TRACE	10
7.	PURPOSE OF THE PROGRAM	10
8.	PROGRAM LOGIC	11
9.	INDICATIVE TRENDS	12
10.	SLOPE-FFT SIZE CALCULATIONS	14
11.	PROCESSOR REQUIREMENTS	15
12.	SHORTER MEMORY	17
13.	CONCLUSION ACCESSION for	19
API	PENDIX A	21
	UNANHOUNCED	
	106.0.0	
	BY TOTOLOUIDNIANN ADULTY POTES	
	DISTRIBUTION SOUTH	
	3	1
	X]

Supervision Mathematics

Illustrations

1.	A Typical Doppler History, Showing Region of Linearity	7
2.	Received Signal Spectrum With Range of Target Frequencies	8
3.	Received Signal Spectrum With Shifted Range of Target Frequencies	8
4.	Division of Time and Frequency Intervals With Postulated Target Type Superimposed	9
5.	LOP Rate; Slope < -1	12
6.	LOP Rate; -1 < Slope < 0	12
7.	Outputs Required vs. LOP Rate	13
8.	Outputs Required vs. FFT Size	13
9.	Computation Times	16
10.	Memory Requirements	16
11.	Data Flow and Memory Requirements	17
12.	Triangular Data Structure	18
13.	Data Structures for Different Slopes	19

4

Design Factors in the Tuned Synthetic Aperture Radar

78 09 14 035

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the late 1930s, one of the most impressive areas of technological development has been that of radar. However, in spite of our present expertise, the operational capability to detect and track slowly moving targets accurately, on or near the ground, from a swiftly moving airborne platform does not exist. The Tuned Synthetic Aperture Radar (TUSAR) technique aims at achieving that capability. The development of TUSAR would have its application in long-range battlefield surveillance. If mounted in a C-130, for instance, such a radar could inform a ground commander of the entire situation of moving men, armaments, and supplies, and never get closer than 40 miles from the action.

The mathematical and theoretical foundations for the Tuned Synthetic Aperture Radar have been laid.¹ One realization of the Tuned Synthetic Aperture Radar processing is evaluated in this paper.* The evaluation requires a computer program of sizeable magnitude. The program can be broken down readily into several sub-programs. The sub-program described herein determines the

⁽Received for publication 24 August 1977)

Schindler, J.K. and Goggins, W.B. <u>An Airborne Radar Technique for Moving</u> <u>Target Detection, Location and Tracking</u>, AFCRL-TR-73-0719.

^{*} This work was conducted while one of the authors (RAL) was participating in a cooperative research program from the US Air Force Academy.

number of radar outputs, particular outputs, and number of data sets required for processing. The development of this sub-program came about in three stages, the first of which was determining the exact function to be performed and the use to which the results will be applied. The second stage involved developing program logic, and the third stage involved using actual data in the program to determine trends which might be useful in later design stages.

2. PROGRAM

The digital AMTI processing employed by the TUSAR technique is to be evaluated with a truck-borne test-bed radar operating at X band. The inphase and quadrature components of the received signal are digitized and processed in a signal processing oriented MAP300^{*} mini-computer for doppler frequency selection. The signal is passed through a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of as yet undetermined size, and successive, contiguous doppler frequency outputs are linearly and coherently combined and detected to yield target detection data. Specifically, as the radar test vehicle moves, the complex amplitude of the received signal from each transmitted pulse is observed. When the returns from N pulses are received, they are batch processed by an FFT, yielding N doppler frequencies at the output. This process is repeated over M successive but not necessarily contiguous time periods of N pulses, allowing one to track the target by following its linear doppler frequency modulation over the N x M pulse period of time.

In general, the processor has $N \times M$ doppler frequency data points available. If only slowly moving targets are considered, a small portion of these $N \times M$ data points are needed. For instance, selecting the initial doppler frequency of the target in the range $-.1w_{cm}$ to $+.1w_{cm}$ ($w_{cm} \approx maximum$ doppler radian frequency of the ground clutter) and a maximum frequency change of $.2w_{cm}$ over the total radar integration time means that moving targets must appear in a doppler frequency band of width $.4w_{cm}$. The Nyquist sampling theorem requires a pulse repetition frequency of at least twice the highest frequency. That means the available FFT outputs cover a bandwidth of $2w_{cm}$ or more. Needing only $.4w_{cm}$ bandwidth to observe slowly moving targets allows us to ignore at least 80% of the possible FFT outputs. The resulting simplification in the processing, through pruning of the FFT algorithm, will be utilized here.

^{*}The MAP300 is a high-speed digital signal processor manufactured by CSPI, Burlington, MA.

3. THE LINEAR DOPPLER FREQUENCY APPROXIMATION

The tuned Synthetic Aperture Radar technique is based on the idea that within a limited segment of the along track path of the radar, the doppler frequency shift of both constant velocity moving targets and stationary clutter is linear (Figure 1).



Figure 1. A Typical Doppler History, Showing Region of Linearity

This approximation improves as the doppler frequency bandwidth of the moving target and the limited segment of along track path decreases. The linear frequency approximation simplifies the process of detecting a moving target to postulating the slope and initial frequency of the target and then incrementing and coherently combining the doppler frequency output of the successive N point FFTs to coherently track the doppler frequency of the target. This is the basic process assumed in the development of a program which, given the frequency of entry and rate of frequency decreases of the moving target, provides a sequence of FFT outputs that coherently tracks the moving target over the integration time of the radar.

4. NEGATIVE FREQUENCIES

As indicated in Figure 1, the instantaneous doppler frequency of a moving target decreases with time. Targets will then exhibit negative frequencies. The program under development must allow for this problem in a system where nominally only positive frequencies occur at the FFT outputs. The sampling theorem is once again the key. Figure 2 shows the sample return frequency spectrum with its recurring patterns at harmonics of the radar pulse repetition

frequency, w_{prf} . Negative frequencies are shifted to the next harmonic (see Figure 3), and read as positive frequencies just below w_{prf} . As the target's doppler frequency decreases, it moves from right to left in the shaded area commencing at A. When the target reaches zero doppler frequency it jumps to w_{prf} , then continues to shift from right to left as though passing through negative frequencies. The output of each N point FFT is N different frequencies ranging from zero to w_{prf} . Numbering each of these with an integer value from 1 to N provides a convenient way of referencing the particular outputs to be used, as well as telling us how many of the outputs are needed for slow-moving target detection.



Figure 2. Received Signal Spectrum With Range of Target Frequencies





Assuming that the moving targets occupy 40% of the maximum clutter frequency, $w_{\rm cm}$, and that clutter is barely unambiguous, $(w_{\rm prf} = 2w_{\rm cm})$, then the number of FFT outputs required are

$$\frac{4w_{\rm cm}}{2w_{\rm prf}} N = \frac{4f_{\rm r}V_{\rm r}}{cPRF} N = .2N.$$

Here f_r is the radar carrier frequency, V_r is platform velocity, PRF is pulse repetition frequency, and c is speed of light.

5. FREQUENCY INTERVALS

The frequency and time scales of the observations are conveniently divided into blocks of N/PRF time units, and PRF/N frequency units. These units are derived from the fact that each FFT requires N pulses, and each interpulse time is 1/PRF. Hence, there are N/PRF time units per block. Since the frequencies at the outputs range from 0 to $w_{prf'}$ and there are N of these outputs, there must be PRF/N radians per second per block. Figure 4 depicts these "blocks" graphically. They are intervals of interest with integer assignments and constant width or height.



Figure 4. Division of Time and Frequency Intervals With Postulated Target Type Superimposed

These blocks, or "bins," represent the possible time-frequency combinations that a target can attain. In Figure 4, a line has been drawn to represent a postulated moving target. Each box through which this line passes indicates an FFT output at a certain time where the target signal will appear. Notice, however, that there are bins which are barely touched by the target and which should perhaps be ignored since the contribution to improving the target signal to clutter of these bins is minimal. This condition, indicated by shaded boxes, means that one should selectively omit certain bins from the output processing. This process may be accomplished by a percentage lop-off procedure, which observes the fraction of time a target spends passing through a bin and ignores those bins that contribute little.

6. LIMITS ON THE TRACE

The basic problem at hand is to filter clutter and improve the target signalto-clutter ratio. A decision must be made at this point. Should the search for a postulated target pass through a fixed number of frequency bins, allowing the number of time intervals to change, or would the SCR be greater by tracking each target type for a given number of time periods, allowing the number of frequency bins occupied by a target to vary? Although no quantitative analysis was performed, intuition coupled with qualitative arguments suggested using a fixed number of frequency bins for each target, regardless of the time frame needed. Thus, the algorithm was designed to select a start frequency and terminate track after a frequency change of $0.2v_{cm}$ was achieved.

7. PURPOSE OF THE PROGRAM

The purpose of this program is twofold. The first is the most obvious; it shows the exact succession of FFT outputs needed for target detection, how many are required for each time period, and how much memory is required. The other purpose is more subtle. The FFT output in each time-frequency bin is to be weighted and coherently added to others to form a single detection statistic. The relative weighting factor can be found by applying signal-to-clutter maximization techniques described by Goggins and Schindler.^{1, 2} The matrices involved in determining the maximum signal-to-clutter weighting factors are dependent upon the sequence of FFT outputs which are assumed to be combined. The program described here was designed to evaluate the successive FFT outputs required for processing. Future work will address the design of the relative weights required for coherent processing of these FFT outputs.

Goggins, W.B. and Schindler, J.K. <u>Processing for Maximum Signal-to-</u> Clutter AMTI Radars, AFCRL-TR-74-0577.

How are specific target parameters chosen for design purposes? Obviously, there is an infinitely large set of unique velocities and ranges to be considered. Initially, this set of target parameters must be constrained to lie within certain bounds determined by the maximum ground speed of the target and its maximum and minimum range. Then, a finite, discreet set of target speed and direction pairs, as well as a set of target ranges, must be selected to serve as design parameters. Targets whose parameters fall in the gaps between the selected design parameters must be detected by virtue of the finite resolution of the processing. With the speed available in modern digital processing equipments, it appears quite possible to think of processing 200-300 general-target types in the time required to gather 512 pulses of raw data at UHF radar frequencies.

8. PROGRAM LOGIC

Having provided the basis for the program's development, it is time to discuss the logic involved. The program, written in Fortran (extended) for the CDC6600, consists of five basic stages. The first stage is a simple interactive scheme for inputting data through a remote terminal and spotchecking input data. This stage includes program lines 100 through 330 (a listing of the computer program is given in Appendix 1.) In the next stage (lines 380 to 590), the radar and target parameters discussed by Schindler and Goggins¹ are evaluated.

Lines 590 through 1280 contain the main iteration loop. After calculating the doppler frequencies where the target enters and leaves a specific time interval, the first step is to determine whether the target contributes significantly to the output power from an FFT filter during that interval. The procedure is to compare the portion of the frequency axis intercepted by the target with the total length of the frequency interval, that is, the bandwidth of the FFT filter. If a specified percentage (LOP) is not met or exceeded, that frequency-time interval is eliminated. Figure 5 illustrates this process at entering and exiting sides for slopes for less than -1. This case applies when the target doppler bandwidth during the time observation interval N/PRF exceeds the FFT filter cycle bandwidth, PRF/N. When the slope is greater than -1 (Figure 6) the time excursion of a target is compared to the whole time interval, N/PRF, to determine acceptable targets.

Having decided what bins to ignore, the program goes on to store acceptable bin numbers for later output. There are three possible cases here. Either the time interval contains all positive frequencies, some positive and some negative frequencies or all negative frequencies. After recording all the bin numbers for





Figure 5. LOP Rate; Slope < -1



the interval in one of the three categories, the program steps to the next time interval and repeats. Lines after 1290 then output the computed information in three forms: input parameters, specific outputs numbered sequentially and within each FFT, and number of outputs, chops, and time intervals involved.

9. INDICATIVE TRENDS

Once completely debugged, the program was ready for use in theoretical case studies. One of the first studies performed was to change the FFT size and LOP rate, holding all radar and target parameters constant, and observe the number of FFT outputs required. The results of this study are plotted on two graphs, Figures 7 and 8. Figure 7 shows a linear decrease in the number of FFT outputs required as a function of the LOP rate. This fact indicates that we can freely change the LOP rate to achieve the desired number of outputs. Figure 8 points out two interesting facts. First, as a function of FFT size and hence FFT bandwidth, there is a point where the number of bins accepted is at a minimum. The minimum occurs when the average frequency change during an FFT observation time, N/PRF equals the FFT bandwidth PRF/N. The curve is parabolic when the abscissa is defined in \log_2 units. The second interesting fact is that, at the minimum, the number accepted is a sensitive function of the LOP rate. This is manifested in the spread between the curves at the minima, as compared to elsewhere on the curve.

Another interesting effect was noticed. As the FFT size increases, the number of time periods required decreases. The overall effect is to produce a



Figure 7. Outputs Required vs. LOP Rate; Each Line an FFT Size

Figure 8. Outputs Required vs. FFT Size; Each Line a Different LOP Rate

reasonably constant total number of outputs to be stored for processing. Should a designer, for reasons of resolution or computation speed, want to change the FFT size, he can be assured such a change will not drastically affect the memory allocations.

On the other hand, additional studies indicated that there can be as much as a 70% change in the memory requirements when target and platform velocities are adjusted. This means that the ranges of all parameters will necessarily have to be decided upon just before the memory structure is designed.

Also of interest was the fact that, in the 0% LOP case, changing the FFT size produces "glitches" in the parabolic curves of Figure 8-areas of spikes where the number of outputs accepted suddenly increases. This is due to the digitization process which tries to fit the straight-line doppler history into a series of intervals. These spikes, however, tend to be smoothed at higher LOP rates.

10. SLOPE-FFT SIZE CALCULATIONS

As shown in Figure 8, there is an FFT size where the fewest number of outputs are accepted. This occurs when the average slope of the target doppler history is negative unity. The doppler rate or slope is proportional to the change in target doppler frequency, Δf , observed in a time period compared to the size of the FFT frequency interval, F1. That is, the slope, m, is given by

$$m = \Delta f / F1$$
.

Now Δf can be expressed as the target doppler rate times length of time considered. The frequency interval, F1, is merely PRF/N. Thus

$$m = \frac{\dot{w}}{2\pi} \frac{N}{PRF} / PRF / N = \frac{\dot{w}N^2}{2\pi (PRF)^2}.$$

A reasonable set of target doppler rate parameters lies between $1.21\dot{w}_{\rm cm}$ and $.81\dot{w}_{\rm cm}$, where $\dot{w}_{\rm cm}$ is the maximum doppler rate of stationary clutter elements. This range corresponds to ground targets traveling at a speed which is, at most, 10% of the radar platform speed. It is thus reasonable to use $\dot{w}_{\rm cm}$ for the target doppler rate in the above formula form, since $\dot{w}_{\rm cm}$ is at the approximate mean of the target doppler rates.

From (1)

and the second sec

$$\dot{w}_{em} = -\frac{4\pi f_r V_r^2}{R_t C}$$

where R_t = range to the target. Then

$$m = -\frac{4\pi f_{r} V_{r}^{2} N^{2}}{R_{t} C} 2\pi (PRF)^{2}$$
$$= -\frac{2f_{r} V_{r}^{2} N^{2}}{R_{t} C (PRF)^{2}}.$$

To find the relative minimum in the curve of accepted outputs versus FFT size (Figure 8), allow m = -1 and solve for N:

$$N = \sqrt{\frac{R_t C}{2f_r}} \left(\frac{PRF}{V_r}\right).$$

The value of N specified here gives the optimal match of FFT bandwidth of ground clutter doppler excursion during the N/PRF integration time. Individualities in the moving targets doppler properties may change slightly where this minimum occurs.

11. PROCESSOR REQUIREMENTS

Numerous calculations and estimates were made to insure that the entire processor function could be performed within the time and memory limits of the truckborne CSP-30/MAP300 processor, and would later be practical in an aircraft. To start with, the processing time requirements in the truckborne case were examined. There are basically four functions for the central processor to perform: multiplication, addition, memory retrieval and counter update. The maximum time allowable for any processing of a given data set is going to be the time it takes to collect N data points. After calculating the computational times. a good estimate would be to double that time to allow for program execution. The chart given in Figure 9 shows these times. The times are based upon the truck case requiring summation of 50 complex numbers, each multiplied by its own weighting factors. It is assumed that the radar PRF is 1831 Hz, and 512 point FFTs are required in a test for 200 possible target doppler/doppler rate combinations. The reader should note that these are not intended as exact numbers, but rather are approximations to prove that processing time will not be a limiting factor. The FFT process will be run simultaneously on a different part of the processor, requiring only 4.5 ms for a 1024 complex point FFT, and will be idle 98.4% of the time. Overall, the speed with which the computations take place allows a vast number of target possibilities to be examined without stressing the real-time processing capabilities of the CSP-30.

The other major constraint on computational abilities is the size of the memory. The CSP-30 has an existing 32K word memory available, each word having 16 bits. Figure 10 shows a breakdown of the various memory requirements. These numbers assume the truckborne case of 40 time periods, 200 tasks, 50 summations per target, and only 1 memory access value needed for each data point. They also assume a rectangular matrix structure for memory, for which

FUNCTION		UNIT	30 TIME	TOTAL	TIME
200 MULTIPLIES		1100	nsec	220	#sec
100 ADDS		400	nsec	40	# sec
100 MEMORY RET	RIEVALS	300	nsec	30	#sec
50 COUNTER UP	DATES	200	nsec	10	µ sec
				300	#Sec
200 REPETITIONS	5	300	#sec	60	msec
PROGRAM EXECUT	ION			60	msec
			TOTAL	120	msec
DATA COLLECTION	TIME (512	pt FF	т)	280	msec
DATA POINTS 40 TH 103 PO ×2 WO 8240 TO	ME PERIODS INTS SAVED INTS PER C TAL WORDS	S DEACH OMPLE	FFT X WORD		
MEMORY REF	ERENCE SE	QUENC	ES		
50 PO <u>× 200</u> SU 10,000 TO	INTS/SUMM MMATIONS TAL WORDS				
DATA POI	NTS		WORDS 8240	2	
WEIGHTIN	G FACTORS		8240		
REFERENC		ES	10000		
RAW DATA	FOR FFT		1024		
	т	TAL	27504	WORD	S
MEMORY R PROGRAM	EMAINING P	OR	5264	WORD	s

Figure 9. Computation Times

Figure 10. Memory Requirements

an alternative will be discussed later. Once again, the estimates provided in this figure are not exact. Rather, they are estimates which show that memory limitations appear to be more severe than processing time limitations in implementing this form of TUSAR processing. Stress should then be placed on programs which economize memory should actual requirements dictate.

Figure 11 takes a look at a block diagram representation of memory allocations.

It is important to remember that evaluated processing time and storage requirements apply to 200 moving target doppler/doppler-rate combinations in a single range "bin." At a distance of 400 m, we will be confined to observing objects within an 18 M range resolution cell. For other range resolution cells, one must add another dimension to the time and memory requirement calculations



Figure 11. Data Flow and Memory Requirements

or reduce some of the 200 dopplers/doppler-rate combinations/ in favor of additional range coverage. For the truck case, it will generally be necessary to consider only one range interval at a time.

12. SHORTER MEMORY

One unique method for cutting down on memory requirements is to use a triangular rather than rectangular array of data storage. Once the size of the FFT has been established, there are a fixed number of frequency bins and time periods to be considered. In the previous memory calculations, a rectangular array of fixed size was used to compute the memory size, and was valued at 80 x 103 words. This rectangular array of data assumes a need to keep every output from all integration time intervals for all calculations, which is not necessarily the case. Because of the linear decrease in target doppler frequency with time, the later in time an FFT output is required for a particular coherent summation, the less likely will be the requirement for a high-frequency output. It becomes clear that reduced memory results from saving only those low-frequency FFT outputs likely to be used. If, after FFT processing, new FFT output data is stored onto the high-frequency data points of previous FFTs, a triangular matrix results that requires 25% to 50% less memory. The reduced memory formation requires additional memory and time for keeping track of all the data locations presently in the memory structure.

A highly simplified version of the triangular storage format is displayed in Figure 12. Within each triangular memory array, a column represents a specific FFT frequency output. Numbers represent the time sequence during which the



Figure 12. Triangular Data Structure (Simplified). Circled numbers represent outputs summed that time period, columns are frequencies, numbers are FFT number (highest most recent).

FFT outputs are generated and stored. Circled numbers represent data which is combined to produce the detection statistic and hence memory locations available for new data. In progressing from (a) to (f) in Figure 12, it is clear how new FFT data replaces data which has just been used. Only the low-frequency outputs, which will be used as the FFT grows old and the time axis shifts, are saved the whole time. The higher the frequency, the sooner it is used and discarded. Of course, this procedure will work best if the FFT size is predetermined, allowing the programmer to be sure that certain outputs can, indeed, be overwritten.

In greater detail, here is how the data structure of Figure 12 works. The data from FFT is initially set along diagonals, and counters for each frequency column are set to the base of the triangle. Each time period, circled data points are used. These memory locations become available to store the next FFT outputs.

Note in Figure 12 that the column counters only need to count up to the top of each column, reset, and begin counting up again. Thus, one needs only as many counters as one has frequencies.

The properties of the triangular memory structure as assumed target parameters change are of interest. The closer the target slope m is to -1, the more triangular is the structure. As the slope shallows, the data structure appears more like a cornered rectangle (Figure 13). This is due to the fact that at shallow



Figure 13. Data Structures for Different Slopes



slopes, a given frequency will be used a few times, rather than once. At steeper slopes, several frequencies may be thrown away at once, so an even smaller triangle is required in terms of relative dimensions, but the number of data points included increases, so that a tradeoff does, indeed, occur.

13. CONCLUSION

It is clear that the design of an efficient TUSAR processor is not a simple task. Care must be taken to trade off integration time, memory and computation load in selecting the appropriate FFT size. Interface between the radar video data and processor, and between the summation output and display, must be considered. One must also consider the magnitude of the problem: a postulated target can have any velocity vector magnitude and direction, and the radar platform can look at any of a number of ranges for a variety of platform velocities, yielding a fourdimensional array of reference data.

Despite the obvious complexities, the project is by no means hopeless. Time and memory restrictions do not severely limit laboratory project scope or capabilities. Programming parameters are not highly sensitive to target and radar parameter changes, particularly if a triangular memory allocation system is used.

In the final analysis, an operational model will be an order of magnitude more complex than the laboratory model of the radar. As few pilots are willing to fly at a constant speed over a straight course for any length of time in a combat environment, larger memory is required along with the use of plug-in PROM cards to accommodate different aircraft speeds. The use of the TUSAR technique on board a C-130 or similar aircraft is realistic, and apparently lies within our progressing digital processing capabilities.

Appendix A

Listing and Sample Outputs

This Appendix contains a listing, and sample outputs for the computer program described in Section 8.

	PROPRAN NIRESET (INPUT, OUTPUT, TAPES)	
	DIMENSION WIRES (200)	
	ITTME & INTERER NAUH, IZ, IL, L, M, PRF	
84	PRINT 80	
	ILOTTY (METARS SEC, MEGREES)	YE
81	TODANITE TYPE IN PLATFORM VELOCITY (METERS/SEC), PRF (HZ), * 1 CARRIER FRED. (WZ), LOP OFF RATE (1), AND FFT SIZE.*,/) 9 540418. JR. OP. 100 . NUM	
82	PRINT . (ATHINESE VARE VIL VE UBBER PYLUP CAVE HE: CHECK THEN) PRINT AS	
-83-	PEAD + ANS THEY CORRECT? (0 MEANS NO, 1 MEANS YES) +)	
	17 YANS, 20,000 TO A4 PT3,145978358979374 LDF=LDF/103 5 FR4FR 210*6	
8	FIND CLUTTER AND RADAR PAPAMETERS	
	NBM=2+PI+EFINU4 DELN=2+PI+EFINU4 TINC=2+PI+EFINU4	
CCC	RESOLVE THE ANGLES TO PADIANS	
-	VTA-PI+VTA/100	
book	FIND THE RECTANGULAR CCORPINATES OF PELTA V BAR AND THETA SUB O DEL V.	
	AOELV=VR-VTH*COS(VTA) BOELV=-VTH*SIN(VTA) ANGOV=RTA-ATAN2(BOELV,ADELV)	
8	FIND THE TARGET PARAMETERS	
c	NO=4*PI*FR*SQPT(ADELV*2+8CELV*42)*COS(ANGOV)/(3*10**A) NDOTT=-4*PI*FR*(ADELV**2+8DELV**2)*SIN(ANGOV)**2/(RTM*3*10**A)	
č	END OF PARAMETER FINDING. NOW BEGIN SEARCH ROUTINE."	
ê		
•	WF=WO-0.2*WCH & LF=INT(WF/DELW) IF (WF-LT-0) LF=LF-1	
5	CALCULATE ENTEPING ANC EXITING FREQUENCIES FOP GIVEN TIME INTERV	AL
9	L=INT(W0/D ^c LW)+1 IF((WF/DELW),LT.0)L=L-1 WBEG=WD0TT*TINC*(N-1)+WO WSTOP=WD0TT*TINC*N+WO	
ç	FIND INTEGER VALUE OF EXIT FREQUENCY INTERVAL	
, c	FL.	

10	N=N-1	000780
11	IF (M+DELW).GT.WSTOP)10,11 IF (M.LE.LE)GO TO 12	000790
59	IF (-WCDIT*FINC.LT.DELW)50,59 IF (((W9EG-(L-1)*DELW)).LT.(LOP*DELW))30,31 IL=L-1 \$ IR=1 \$ GC TO 35	000840
31 35 32 33	1L=L IF ((((++1)*CELH-HSTOF)).LT.(LOP*DELH))32,33 IH=H+2 & IR=IR+1 & GO TO 36 IH=H+1 & GO TO 36	000870
50	IF (((WPEG-(L-1)*DELW)/(-WCOTT)).LT.(LOP*TINC))50,51 IL=L-1 \$ IR=IR+1 \$ 60 TO \$5	000900
55253	IF ((((++1)*DELH-HSTOF)/(-HDOTT)).LT.(LOF*TINC))52,53 IM=M+2 \$ IQ=IR+1 \$ GO TO 36 IM=M+1 \$ GO TO 36	000930
ĉ	WE HAVE JUST ALLOWED FOR SWEFP WHICH DOES NOT OUT A LARGE Enough cormer from a time-frequency interval (box).	000970
36	IF (IM.GT.IL)GO TO 22 IF (IM.GT.0.AND.IL.GT.0)13,14	001000
13	MIRES(IZ)={N-1)*NUM+I IZEIC*22	
14	IF (IL.GT.0 .AND. IM.LE.0) 16,17 Do 18 I=1,IL	001070
18	MIRE S(IZ)=(N-1)*NUM+I IZ=IZ+1 ZNUH=NUM+IM	001000
19	00 19 1=7NIM.NUM MIRES(IZ)=(N-1)*NUM+I 2=12*1 60 f0 22	001120 001170 001140
17	IF (IL.LE.0 .AND. IN.LE.0) 20,21	001160
	00 24 1=7 NUN, YNUN UI 25 1=7 NUN, YNUN + I	001190
21	BRINT ES	001230
60 C	FORMAT (1H", 3X, "ERRORLOWER BOUND N GREATER THAN UPPER BOUND L.	•)001240 001250 001260
22	L=M+1 \$ N=N+1 \$ WREG=WSTOP GO TO 9	001280
Š	GO INTO OUTPUT MODE	001300
ii	CONTINUE FORMATIEL TARGET IS AT RANGE	001330
	1° DE GREES FROM FLIGHT FATH. 4.7.4 TARGET VELOCITY IS *, 1° 4.2. METERS/SEC AT RELATIVE BEARING *, F5.1, 1° DEGEFES (PICHT WING DOSTITUE).	001350
72	FORMAT TO LOP RATE IS ", FUIT, " PERCENT.") FORMAT.100 RADAR IS SEL VP.AS. FOLLOWS.", ,, 5x,	801340
-	1 *DRF1 *, 15,* +F872.*,/5% 1*DRF1 *, 15,* +F872.*,/5% 1*CARPIEN *, 6, 3,* +F872.*,/,5%	001410
	1*EACH FFT IS *,14,* FCINTS LONG.*) PT1=RTA*190/PI \$ VTA=VTA*180/PI \$ LOP=LOP*100 PPT11 (6,71) 07% 074 VTA VTA	001430
-	PRINT (6,73) UP PRINT (6,73) VR, PRF, FR, NUM	001460
75	1* WOOTT = + F5.2, + TIMES WOOTCH.+) FORMAT (*0 KE REQUIRE *,12,* OUTPUTS AS FOLLOWS)*./.	001480
76	1* POSITION FFT OUTPUT (*) FORMAT (11,13,5%,16,9%,14) PEPONT=WORTFLUMOTON (*)	001510
	PRINT (6,74) FERD, PERTOT PRINT (6,75) IZ	001540
42	J≈ 7 5 15=0 LZ=WIRĖŠ(IS)-NUM®J IF (12,GT, NUM)40,41	001550
40	J= J+1 G0 T0 42	001590
41	IQ: 10+1 Te-1+1	001610

	IF(IS.GT. (IZ - I)) 43, 42	001640
43	PRINT (6,101) IR, IQ	001650
101 102 76	PRINT 101, IR, IO PRINT 101, IR, IO PRINT 102, N PRINT 102, N PORMAT(5x, 13,* CHOPS MADE.*,/, 5x, I3,* OUTPUTS LEFT.*) FORMAT(5x, 13,* TIME PERIODS ELAPSED.*) PRINT 78, PRINT 78, PRINT 78, IF (AMS.E0.1) GO TO 84 STOP	001660 001670 001690 001700 001700 001720 001720 001720 001720 001720

TARGET IS AT PANGE 50000.0 METERS, BEARING 90.0 DEGREES FROM FLIGHT PATH. TARGET VELOCITY IS 3.86 METERS/SEC AT RELATIVE BEARING 45.0 DEGREES (RIGHT WING POSITIVE). LOP RATE IS 50.0 PERCENT.

RADAR IS SET UP AS FOLLOWS\ VELOCITY\ 154.33 METERS/SEC. PRF\ 250 MERTZ. CARRIER\ .100E+09 MERTZ. EACH FFT IS 512 POINTS LONG. ____ WO= -.02 TIMES WCM. WOOTT = .96 TIMES WODTCM.

WE	REQUIRE 39	OUTPUTS AS F	CLLOWSN
	POSITI	ON FFT OUT	PUT .
	508		
1	509	509	
2	1019	507	
3	1529	505	
4	1530	506	
5	2040	504	
6	2551	503	
7	3062	502	
8	3572	500	
9	3573	501	
10	4083	499	
11	4594	498	
12	5104	496	
13	5105	497	
14	5615	495	
15	6126	494	
16	6637	493	
17	7147	491	
18	7148	492	
19	7658	490	
20	8169	489	
21	8679	487	
22	8680	488	
23	9190	486	
24	4701	485	
25	10212	4 64	
26	. 10722	482	
21	10/23	483	
28	. 11233	481	
29	11/44	400	
30	12234	470	
31	12277	.77	
32	17976	476	
33	13270	476	
15	14297	1.73	
36	16298	676	
37	14 80.8	L72	
34	15319	471	
30			

31 CHOPS MADE. 39 OUTPUTS LEFT. 30 TIME PERIODS ELAPSED.

TARGET IS AT RANGE 50000.0 METERS, BEARING 93.0 DEGREES FROM FLIGHT PATH. Target velocity is 3.86 meters/sec at relative bearing 0.0 degrees (right wing positive). Lop rate is 50.0 percent. RADAR IS SET UP AS FOLLOWS VELOCITY 154.33 METER3/SEC. PRFN 250 MERTZ. CARRIER .1000+09 MERTZ. EACH FFT IS 512 POINTS LONG. NOT = .95 TIMES WOOTCH. NE REQUIRE 39 OUTPWTS AS FOLLONS\ POSITION PFT OUTPUT 0 512 512 1 1022 510 2 1023 511 3 1533 509 4 2044 508 5 2555 507 6 3065 505 7 7066 506 ___ 0 4567 3065 3066 3576 4087 4598 5108 5108 504 504 503 8 10 502 11 12 500 501 5619 6130 6641 7151 7152 7662 13 14 15 499 498 497 495 496 494 493 491 492 490 489 489 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 25 25 27 7662 8173 8683 8684 9194 9705 10216 • 10216 10726 10727 11237 11748 12259 12769 12769 12770 13280 13791 14302 486 487 485 485 481 481 481 481 480 476 476 476 477 475 . 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 14812 14813 15323 15834 32 CHOPS MADE. 39 OUTPUTS LEFT. 31 TIME PERIODS ELAPSED.

A STATE OF

All and an and the second second

TARGET IS AT RANGE \$ 0000.0 METERS, REARING 90.0 DEGREES FROM FLIGHT PATH. TARGET VELOCITY IS 3.66 METERS/SEC AT RELATIVE BEARING 135.0 DEGREES (RIGHT WING POSITIVE). LOP RATE IS 50.0 PERCENT. RADAP IS SET UP AS FOLLCWS\ VELOCITY\ 154.33 METERS/SEC. PRF\ 250 MERTZ. CARRIER. 100E+09 HERTZ. EACH FFT IS 512 POINTS LONG._______ MO= -.02 TIMES WCH. WDOTT = 1.04 TIMES WOOTCH. WE REQUIRE 39 OUTPUTS AS FOLLOWS\ POSITION FFT OUTPUT # 0 508 509 2 1019 507 3 1529 505 4 1530 506 5 2040 504 6 2550 502 7 2551 503 8 3061 501 9 3572 500 10 4082 498 11 4083 499 12 4593 497

12	4593	497
13	5103	495
14	5104	496
15	5614	494
16	6125	493
17	6635	491
18	6634	492
19	7146	490
20	7657	489
21	8167	487
22	8168	488
23	8678	486
24	9188	484
25	9189	485
26	9699	483
27	10210	48 2
28	10720	480
29	10721	481
30	11231	479
31	11742	478
32	12252	476
33	12253	477
34	12763	475
35	13273	473
36	13274	474
37	13784	472
36	14295	471

and the second

29 CHOPS MADE. 39 OUTPUTS LEFT. 28 TIME PERIODS ELAPSED.

PATH. (RIGHT WING POSITIVE).

TAR	GET IS AT PA	SE 50000.0 HETERS, BEARING 90.0 DEGREES FROM FLIG	T
LOP	RATE IS 50.	IS 3.86 METERS/SEC AT RELATIVE BEAPING 65.0 DEGREES PERCENT.	•
RAD	AR IS SET UP	AS FOLLOWS	
	VELOCITYN 15	. 33 METERS/SEC.	
	PRFN 250 N	RTZ.	
1.1	FACH FFT TS	E+09 HERTZ.	
WO=	02 TIMES	WCH.	
NDO	TT 96 T	NES NOOTCH.	
WE	REQUIRE 165	UTPUTS AS FELLOWS	
-	POSITIO	FFT OUTFUT .	
	2014	2014	
ż	2016	2016	
3	2017	2017	
•	2018	2018	
1	2019	2019	
;	2020	2020 .	
	2022	2022	
9	2023	2023	
10	2024	2024	
	2025	2025	
13	2027	2027	
1.	2028	2028	
15	2 0 2 9	9505	
10	2030	2030	
1.	2032	2032	
19	2033	2033	
20	2034	2034	
27	6041	1993	
23	4043	1995	
24	4044	1996	
25	4045	1997	
27	6040	1998	
28	4048	2000	
29	4049	2001	
30	4050	2002	
32	6052	2001	
33	4053	2005	
34	4054	2006	
35	4055	2007	
37	4057	2000	
38	405A	2010	
39	4059	2011	
	6060	2012	
42	6068	1972	
43	6069	1973	
44	6070	1974	
	60/1	1979	
47	6073	1977	
48	6074	1978	
••	6.075	1979	

6.146

124

..

and the second second

TARGET IS AT RANGE 50000.0 METERS, BEARING 90.0 DEGREES FROM FLIGHT PATH. TARGET VELOCITY IS 3.86 METERS/SEG AT RELATIVE BEARING 180.8 DEGREES (RIGHT WING POSITIVE). LOP RATE IS 50.0 PERCENT.

RADAR IS SET UP AS FOLLOWSY VELOCITY 154.33 METERS/SEC. PRFY 250 MERTZ. CARRIERY.1000+09 MERTZ. EACH FFT IS 256 POINTS LONG..... WO= .00 TIMES WCM. MOOTT = 1.05 TIMES WOOTCM.

NE REQUIRE 54 OUTPUTS AS FOLLOWSY POSITION FFT OUTPUT .

	256		256
	£12		256
;	1023		255
	1279		255
	1790		254
5	2046		254
6	2557		253
1	2813		253
	3068		252
9	3069		253
10	3324		252
11	3580		252
12	3835		251
13	3836		252
14	4091		251
15	4347		251
16	4602		250
17	4603		251
18	4858		250
19	5114		250
20	5369		249
21	5370		250
22	5625		249
23	6136		248
24	6 3 9 2		248
25	6903		247
20	7159		247
27	7670		240
28	7926		246
29	8181		245
30	8182	-	246
31	8437		245
32			245
33	0 940		745
34	0344		243
36	9469		266
37	9715		243
38	9716		244
39	9971		243
40	10227		243
41	10482		242
42	10483		243
43	10738		242
44	11249		241
45	11505		241
46	12016		240
47	12272		240
48	12783		239
	17070	district and a second	910

COROLORORORORORORORORORO

MISSION of

Rome Air Development Center

Sevenese sevenese seveneses RADC plans and conducts research, exploratory and advanced development programs in command, control, and communications (C^3) activities, and in the C^3 areas of information sciences and intelligence. The principal technical mission areas are communications, electromagnetic guidance and control, surveillance of ground and aerospace objects, intelligence data collection and handling, information system technology, ionospheric propagation, solid state sciences, microwave physics and electronic reliability, maintainability and compatibility.

¹enenenenenenenenenenenenenen

Printed by United States Air Force Hanscom AFB, Mass. 01731