



March 1978

Prepared for: Office of Naval Research Advanced Research Projects Agency

parorico no for public reaction and solic; its This document has distribution is unlinited.



### EXPLICATING THE TACIT KNOWLEDGE IN TEACHING AND LEARNING

### Allan Collins

Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc. Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Contract No. N00014-76-C-0083, effective September 15, 1975. Expiration Date: September 30, 1978 Total Amount of Contract - \$337,000 Principal Investigator, Allan M. Collins (617) 491-1850

Sponsored by:

Office of Naval Research Contract Authority No. NR 154-379 Scientific Officers: Dr. Marshall Farr and Dr. Henry Halff

and

Advanced Research Projects Agency ARPA Order No. 2284, Amendment 4 Program Code No. 61101E

The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Advanced Research Projects Agency, the Office of Naval Research, or the U.S. Government.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

78 09 05 101

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 1. RIPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. DECIMIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER Technical Report No. 5 Explicating the Tacit Knowledge in Semi-Annual Technical / Teaching and Learning , eport Sept D77-1 Mar BBN Reportatio-3889 Allan Collins NØØ14-76-C-0083 Order-228 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS RR042-04-01 Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc 61153N 50Moulton.St. Cambridge, MA 02138 NR154-379 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12 Personnel and Training Research Programs Mare 13. Office of Naval Research (Code 458) 8 Incton VA 22217 Incton VA 22217 Incton from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 154 DECLASSIFICATION DOWN SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Also supported by ARPA. Presented at AERA symposium on "Modern cognitive science and the challenges of practical instruction" Toronto, March 1978 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Education, Tutoring, Computer-Assisted Instruction, Learning, Teaching, Educational Psychology. and ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A The paper attempts to show how the emerging field of Cognitive Science can have a major impact on practical education. In particular it provides an overview of two projects at Bolt, Beranek, & Newman: the work on Socratic tutoring by Collins and Stevens and the work on diagnosing student's misconceptions in arithmetic by Brown and Burton. These two projects are cited as examples of how the techniques of Cognitive Science make it possible to analyze teaching and learning in genuinely novel ways DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Data Entered) \$6\$ 19

## ABSTRACT

The paper attempts to show how the emerging field of Cognitive Science can have a major impact on practical education. In particular it provides an overview of two projects at Bolt, Beranek & Newman: the work on Socratic tutoring by Collins and Stevens and the work on diagnosing student's misconceptions in arithmetic by Brown and Burton. These two projects are cited as examples of how the techniques of Cognitive Science make it possible to analyze teaching and learning in genuinely novel ways.

Anna sectice IDE Ent Sective HAMOURCES LOTFICATION K KSTRIEUTION, KEAN ABILITY SOCIES OIAL AVAIL PLO SE SPECIAL	NEGESSION DE	
HALTHOUGHEEDS D LETTIFICATION HALTHOUGHEEDS D HALTHOUGHER, ANALASILITH SORES OLAL AVAIL AND STOCHAL	306	Billie Section
USTRICUTURE AFAIR ASILITE SOCIA MSTRICUTURE AFAIR ASILITE SOCIA	NU STORES	
NSTRIEUTINE, REALE LEILITT SDELS Dial Avert, and a special	STIFICS Las	
	Cial. AV.	AN AN AN AN AN AN AN ANAL
	A	

# EXPLICATING THE TACIT KNOWLEDGE IN TEACHING & LEARNING Allan Collins

The question I decided to address is whether Cognitive Science has anything new to say about education, given that some of the world's best minds have been thinking about education for thousands of years. The answer I think is yes; Cognitive Science provides a set of theoretical formalisms and analysis techniques that can be used to study teaching and learning of topics like math and sciences in a genuinely novel way. My plan is to show how this is possible with two case studies: first I will outline some work Stevens and I (Collins, 1977; Stevens & Collins, 1977; Stevens, Goldin & Collins, in press) have done on tutoring by the Socratic method, and second I will describe work of Brown & Burton (1978) on diagnosing students' procedural errors in arithmetic. These are only examples of the kind of analyses possible.

-

1

-

In our work on Socratic tutoring, we analyzed dialogues by a number of tutors teaching different subjects (medicine, gcography, etc.) using the Socratic method. The Socratic method is made up of a variety of individual strategies. These strategies involve entrapping the student into mistakes of different kinds, confronting him with counterexamples, forcing him to make predictions, etc. Generally the method requires the student to derive general principles from specific cases, and learn to use these principles to make predictions about new cases. Using the production rule formalism developed by Newell & Simon (1972), it was possible to characterize the different strategies tutors use in terms of rules of the form "when in situation X, do Y." We can give a few examples to show what these rules look like:

Rule 1: Form a general rule for an insufficient factor. If

 the student gives as an explanation one or more factors that are not sufficient,

then

(2) formulate a general rule asserting that the factor given is sufficient and ask the student if the rule is true.

Example: If the student gives water as the reason they grow rice in China, ask him "Do you think any place with enough water can grow rice?"

Rule 2: Pick a counterexample for an insufficient factor. If

(1) the student gives as an explanation one or more factors that are not sufficient, or

(2) agrees to the general rule in Rule 1,

then

(3) pick a counterexample that has the right value of the factor(s) given, but the wrong value of the dependent variable, and
(4) ask what the value of the dependent variable is for that case, or (5) ask why the casual dependence does not hold for that case.

Example: If a student gives water as the reason they grow rice in China or agrees that any place with enough water can grow rice, pick a place like Ireland where there is enough water and ask, "Do they grow rice in Ireland?" or "Why don't they grow rice in Ireland?"

Rule 3: Question a prediction made without enough information.

(1) a student makes a prediction as to the value of the dependent variable on the basis of some set of factors, and
(2) there is another value consistent with that set of factors, then

(3) ask the student why not the other value.

Example: If the student predicts they grow wheat in Nigeria because it is fertile and warm, ask him why not rice.

While in one sense the Socratic method is a single approach that involves teaching the student to reason from cases, in another sense it is made up of a variety of these specific strategies that good teachers hit upon in the course of their teaching. Some hit upon one set, some upon another, though there is usually some overlap. There is little need for teachers to verbalize these strategies, since their application only depends on an intuitive feel as to how to use them. If they are taught, they are usually taught by example. So there is no very specific body of knowledge about the Socratic method, and hence there is no theory to be extended and refined. In fact until computers provided us with formalisms for expressing "process models,"

it is unlikely that anyone would have thought of constructing a specific theory about such a thing as the Socratic method.

T

Processor of

and and

T

I

T

By making the tacit knowledge about Socratic teaching explicit, it becomes possible to educate teachers about the Socratic method. We can teach them the strategies that many different teachers have developed and the situations where they apply. If they were to evolve the method on their own or from watching other good teachers, they would at best develop only a subset of these strategies.

More generally the method of analysis can be applied to analyze the specific strategies used by the most effective teachers in the country. Such an analysis can be derived from videotapes of actual classes. The analysis would determine the teacher's systematic patterns of response to particular teaching situations. It is a kind of formal analysis that simply was not possible in terms of earlier formalisms in psychology, such as S-R bonds or stage models. Simply put we can now construct a formal theory of the strategies used by our most successful teachers, and we can thereby make their accumulated tacit knowledge available to every potential teacher.

My second example concerns modelling the learner to diagnose his misunderstandings. In a system called BUGGY, Brown & Burton (1978) developed a representation called a procedural network in which they represent all the procedures necessary to carryout addition and subtraction in explicit detail. In BUGGY, procedural errors are represented as perturbations of the correct procedures. In this way the program can simulate any

consistent procedure that students follow even if it is a wrong procedure, as in the example below.

Consider five "snap shots" of a student's performance doing addition as might be seen on a homework assignment. Before proceeding, discover the student's bug.

Sample of the student's work:

41	328	989	66	216
+9	+917	+52	+887	+13
50	1345	1141	1053	229

Once you have discovered the bug, try testing your hypothesis by "simulating" the buggy student so as to predict his results on the following two test problems.

201
+399

The bug is really quite simple. In computer terms, the student, after determining the carry, forgets to reset the "carry register" to zero and hence the amount carried is accumulated across the columns. For example, in the student's second problem, (e.g., 328 + 917 equals 1345) he proceeds as follows: 8+7 = 15 so he writes 5 and carries 1, 2+1 = 3 plus the one carry is 4, lastly 3+9 = 12 but that one carry from the first column is still there -- it hasn't been reset -- so adding it in to this column gives 13. If this is the bug, then the answers to the test problems will be 1361 and 700. This bug is not so absurd when one considers that a child might use his fingers to remember the carry and forget to bend back his fingers, or counters, after each carry is added.

It turns out that it is very difficult for experts to diagnose systematic errors that BUGGY makes, especially when the errors are in low level procedures that are called by different high level procedures, or when there is more than one bug in different procedures. To a teacher the students errors are likely to look random.

One way the BUGGY program can be used is to train teachers and students how to diagnose bugs. The program selects a bug, and gives a few examples of the bug as manifested in working problems. The user then selects problems for BUGGY to work until he thinks he has figured out the bug. Then BUGGY presents him with five test cases where he must simulate the bug. If the user cannot produce the same answer as BUGGY on any of the test cases he then goes back to trying to diagnose the bug. In this way teachers can be taught how to be expert diagnosticians.

More importantly Brown & Burton have used BUGGY to analyze automatically a subtraction test taken by 1300 students in the 4th to 6th grades. They found that about 40% of the students used essentially correct procedures, about 20% have what appear to be random errors to BUGGY, and about 40% have systematic procedural errors. From their data they have compiled a list of the 20 most frequent errors in subtraction and their frequencies among grade school students. The three most common bugs are the following:

 Borrow from zero (e.g., 103-45 = 158) Frequency 107/1300 When borrowing from a column whose top digit is 0, the student writes 9, but does not continue borrowing from the column to the left of the 0.

- 2. Smaller from larger (e.g., 253-118 = 145) Frequency = 54/1300 The student subtracts the smaller digit in a column from the larger digit regardless of which one is on top.
- 3. Difference of Q-N = N and jump over zero in borrowing (e.g., 204-25 = 129) Frequency=34/1300 When ever the top digit in a column is 0, the student writes the bottom digit in the answer; i.e., O-N = N. When the student needs to borrow from a column whose top digit is 0, he skips that column and borrows from the next one.

and the second

The implications of BUGGY for testing are profound. No longer need a test be a means to assign a score to a student. A score is an arbitrary measure that tells very little about how well the student is doing or what his real problem is. For example, a single bug in a low level procedure will produce many more wrong answers than several bugs in higher level procedures. What a test becomes, if BUGGY is taken seriously, is a method for diagnosing the students underlying errors. The outcome is a statement of whatever specific procedural errors the student is making. Then teaching can be directed explicitly at the bugs the test has diagnosed.

Surely before BUGGY arithmetic had been studied very thoroughly. But by applying a Cognitive Science analysis, Brown & Burton could find new insights into the problems children are having. Other basic skills (higher mathematics, reading, writing, etc.) are more difficult to analyze in this way, but the lesson should be obvious. There is enormous leverage in this kind of analysis and the potential implications for practical education are profound.

## REFERENCES

Brown, J.S. & Burton, R.R. Diagnostic models for procedural bugs in mathematics. <u>Cognitive Science</u>, 1978, 176-204.

Collins, A.M. Processes in acquiring knowledge. In R.C. Anderson, R.J. Spiro, & W.E. Montague (eds.), <u>Schooling & the acquisition</u> of knowledge. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1977.

Stevens, A.L. & Collins, A.M. The goal structure of a Socratic tutor. Proceedings of the Association for Computary Machinery National Conference. Seattle, Washington, October, 1977.

Stevens, A.L., Goldin, S., & Collins, A.M. Diagnosing students misconceptions in causal models. BBN Report, March, 1978.

I

I

Dr. Marshall J. Farr, Director Personnel & Training Research Programs Office of Navy Research (Code 458) Arlington, VA 22217

ONR Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210 Attn: Dr. James Lester

ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, CA 91101 Attn: Dr. Eugene Gloye

ONR Branch Office 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, IL 60605 Attn: Dr. Charles E. Davis

Dr. M.A. Bertin, Scientific Director Office of Naval Research Scientific Liaison Group/Tokyo American Embassy APO San Francisco, CA 96503

Office of Naval Research Code 200 Arlington, VA 22217

Commanding Officer Naval Research Laboratory Code 2627 Washington, DC 20190

Director, Human Resource Management Naval Amphibious School Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek Norfolk, VA 23521

LCDR Charles J. Theisen, Jr., MSC, USN 4024 Naval Air Development Center Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer U.S. Naval Amphibious School Coronado, CA 92155

Commanding Officer Naval Health Research Center San Diego, CA 92152 Attn: Library

Chairman, Leadership & Law Dept. Div. of Professional Development U.S. Naval Academy Annapolis, MD 21402 Scientific Advisor to the Chief of Naval Personnel (Pers Or) Naval Bureau of Personnel Room 4410, Arlington Annex Washington, DC 20370

Dr. Jack R. Borsting Provost & Academic Dean U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940

Mr. Maurice Callahan NODAC (Code 2) Dept. of the Navy Bldg. 2, Washington Navy Yard (Anacostia) Washington, DC 20374

Office of Civilian Personnel Code 342/02 WAP Washington, DC 20390 Attn: Dr. Richard J. Niehaus

Office of Civilian Personnel Code 263 Washington, DC 20390

Superintendent (Code 1424) Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940

Mr. George N. Graine Naval Sea Systems Command SEA 047C12 Washington, DC 20362

Chief of Naval Technical Training Naval Air Station Memphis (75) Millington, TN 38054 Attn: Dr. Norman J. Kerr

Principal Civilian Advisor for Education and Training Naval Training Command, Code 00A Pensacola, FL 32508 Attn: Dr. William L. Maloy

Dr. Alfred F. Smode, Director Training Analysis & Evaluation Group Department of the Navy Orlando, FL 32813

Chief of Naval Education and Training Support (01A) Pensacola, FL 32509 Capt. H.J. Connery, USN Navy Medical R&D Command NNMC, Bethesda, MD 20014

Navy Personnel R&D Center Code 01 San Diego, CA 92152

Navy Personnel R&D Center Code 306 San Diego, CA 92152 Attn: Dr. James McGrath

A.A. Sjoholm, Head, Technical Support Navy Personnel R&D Center Code 201 San Diego, CA 92152

Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152 Attn: Library

Dr. J.D. Fletcher Advanced Research Projects Agency Cybernetics Technology Office 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209

Capt. D.M. Gragg, MC, USN Head, Section on Medical Education Uniformed Services Univ. of the Health Sciences 6917 Arlington Road Bethesda, MD 20014

Officer-in-Charge Navy Occupational Development & Analysis Center (NODAC) Building 150, Washington Navy Yard (Anacostia) Washington, DC 20374

LCDR J.W. Snyder, Jr. F-14 Training Model Manager VF-124 San Diego, CA 92025

Dr. John Ford Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152

Dr. Worth Scanland Chief of Naval Education & Training NAS, Pensacola, FL 32508 Technical Director U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral & Social Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 Armed Forces Staff College

Norfolk, VA 23511 Attn: Library

Commandant U.S. Army Infantry School Fort Benning, GA 31905 Attn: ATSH-I-V-IT

Commandant U.S. Army Institute of Administration Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216 Attn: EA

Dr. Beatrice Farr U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Frank J. Harris U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Ralph Dusek U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Leon Nawrocki U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Joseph Ward U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Milton S. Katz, Chief Individual Training & Performance Evaluation Technical Area U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Col. G.B. Howard U.S. Army Training Support Activity Fort Eustis, VA 23604 Col. Frank Hart, Director Training Management Institute U.S. Army, Bldg. 1725 Fort Eustis, VA 23604

HQ USAREUE & 7th Army ODCSOPS USAREUR Director of GED APO New York 09403

ARI Field Unit - Leavenworth P.O. Box 3122 Ft. Leavenworth, KS 66027

DCDR, USAADMINCEN Bldg. #1, A310 Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216 Attn: AT21-OED Library

Dr. Edgar Johnson U.S. Army Research Institute 1300 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. James Baker U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Research Branch AFMPC/DPMYP Randolph AFB, TX 78148

AFHRL/AS (Dr. G.A. Eckstrand) Wright-Patterson AFB Ohio 45433

Dr. Ross L. Morgan (AFHRL/ASR) Wright-Patterson AFB Ohio 45433

Dr. Marty Rockway (AFHRL/TT) Lowry AFB Colorado 80230

Instructional Technology Branch AFHRL Lowry AFB, CO 80230

Dr. Alfred R. Fregly AFOSR/NL, Building 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332

Dr. Sylvia R. Mayer (MCIT) HQ Electronic Systems Division LG Hanscom Field Bedford, MA 01730

(manifester)

Capt. Jack Thorpe, USAF AFHRL/FTS Williams AFB, AZ 85224 Air University Library AUL/LSE 76-443 Maxwell AFB, AL 36112 Dr. T.E. Cotterman AFHRL/ASR Wright Patterson AFB Ohio 45433 Dr. Donald E. Meyer U.S. Air Force ATC/XPTD Randolph AFB, TX 78148 Dr. Wilson A. Judd McDonnell-Douglas Astronautics Co. East Lowry AFB Denver, CO 80230 Dr. William Strobie McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Co. East Lowry AFB Denver, CO 80230 Director, Office of Manpower Utilization HQ, Marine Corps (Code MPU) BCB, Building 2009 Quantico, VA 22134 Dr. A.L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor (Code RD-1) HQ, U.S. Marine Corps Washington, DC 20380 AC/S, Education Programs Education Center, MCDEC Quantico, VA 22134 Mr. Joseph J. Cowan, Chief Psychological Research Branch (G-P-1/62) U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters Washington, DC 20590 Advanced Research Projects Agency Administ ative Services 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209 Attn: Ardella Holloway Dr. Harold F. O'Neil, Jr. U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue 22333 Alexandria, VA

- Up and the second second

Dr. Stephen Andriole Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209

Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station, Bldg. 5 Alexandria, VA 22314

Military Assistant for Human Resources Office of the Director of Defense Research & Engineering Room 3D129, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20301

Director, Management Information Systems Office OSD, M&RA Room 3B917, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20301

Dr. Vern Urry Personnel R&D Center U.S. Civil Service Commission 1900 E Street NW Washington, DC 20415

Dr. Andrew R. Molnar Science Education Dev. & Res. National Science Foundation Washington, DC 20550

Dr. Marshall S. Smith Associate Director NIE/OPEPA National Institute of Education Washington, DC 20208

Dr. Joseph L. Young, Director Memory & Cognitive Processes National Science Foundation Washington, DC 20550

Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko, Director Manpower Research & Advisory Services Smithsonian Institution 801 N. Pitt Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. James M. Ferstl Employee Development: Training Technologist Bureau of Training U.S. Civil Service Commission Washington, DC 20415

and the second second

Dr. Ronald P. Carver School of Education University of Missouri-Kansas City 5100 Rockhill Road Kansas City, MO 64110

יין איזיי נו איזי איזייי

William J. McLaurin Room 301 Internal Revenue Service 2221 Jefferson Davis Hwy. Arlington, VA 22202 Dr. John R. Anderson Dept. of Psychology Yale University New Haven, CT 06520 Dr. Scarvia B. Anderson Educational Testing Service Suite 1040 3445 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, GA 30326 Professor Earl A. Alluisi Code 287 Dept. of Psychology Old Dominion University Norfolk, VA 23508 Dr. Daniel Alpert Computer-Based Education **Research Laboratory** University of Illinois Urbana, IL 61801 Ms. Carole A. Bagley Applications Analyst Minnesota Educational Computing Consortium 1925 Sather Ave. Lauderdale, MN 55113 Dr. John Brackett SofTech 460 Totten Pond Road Waltham, MA 02154 Dr. Robert K. Branson 1A Tully Bldg. Florida State University Tallahassee, FL 32306 Dr. John Seely Brown Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc. 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, MA 02138 Dr. Victor Bunderson Institute for Computer Uses in Education 355 EDLC Brigham Young University Provo, UT 84601

Jacklyn Caselli ERIC Clearinghouse on Information Resources Stanford University School of Education - SCRDT Stanford, CA 94305

Century Research Corporation 4113 Lee Highway Arlington, VA 22207

Dr. A. Charnes BEB 203E University of Texas Austin, TX 78712

Dr. Kenneth E. Clark College of Arts & Sciences University of Rochester River Campus Station Rochester, NY 14627

Dr. John J. Collins Essex Corporation 6305 Caminito Estrellado San Diego, CA 92120

Dr. Ruth Day Dept. of Psychology Yale University 2 Hillhouse Avenue New Haven, CT 06520

ERIC Facility-Acquisitions 4833 Rugby Avenue Bethesda, MD 20014

Dr. John Eschenbrenner McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Company-East P.O. Box 30204 St. Louis, MO 80230

Major I.N. Evonic Canadian Forces Personnel Applied Research Unit 1107 Avenue Road Toronto, Ontario, CANADA

Dr. Victor Fields Dept. of Psychology Montgomery College Rockville, MD 20850

Dr. Edwin A. Fleishman Advanced Research Resources Organization 8555 Sixteenth Street Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dr. Larry Francis University of Illinois Computer-Based Educational Research Lab Champaign, IL 61801 Dr. Frederick C. Frick MIT Lincoln Laboratory Room D 268 P.O. Box 73 Lexington, MA 02173 Dr. John R. Frederiksen Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc. 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, MA 02138 Dr. Vernon S. Gerlach College of Education 146 Payne Bldg. B Arizona State University Tempe, AZ 85281 Dr. Robert Glaser, Co-Director University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Dr. M.D. Havron Human Sciences Research, Inc. 7710 Old Spring House Road West Gate Industrial Park McLean, VA 22101 Dr. Duncan Hansen School of Education Memphis State University Memphis, TN 38118 Human Resources Research Organization 400 Plaza Bldg. Pace Blvd. at Fairfield Drive Pensacola, FL 32505 HumRRO/Western Division 27857 Berwick Drive Carmel, CA 93921 Attn: Library HumRRO/Columbus Office Suite 23, 2601 Cross Country Drive Columbus, GA 31906 HumRRO/Ft. Knox Office P.O. Box 293 Fort Knox, KY 40121

HumRRO/Western Division 27857 Berwick Drive Carmel, CA 93921 Attn: Dr. Robert Vineberg

Dr. Lawrence B. Johnson Lawrence Johnson & Associates, Inc. Suite 502 2001 S Street NW Washington, DC 20009

Dr. Arnold F. Kanarick Honeywell, Inc. 2600 Ridgeway Pkwy. Minneapolis, MN 55413

Dr. Roger A. Kaufman 203 Dodd Hall Florida State University Tallahassee, FL 32306

Dr. Steven W. Keele Dept. of Psychology University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403 Dr. David Klahr Dept. of Psychology Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Mr. W.E. Lassiter Data Solutions Corp. Suite 211, 6849 Old Dominion Drive McLean, VA 22101

Dr. Robert R. Mackie Human Factors Research, Inc. 6780 Corton Drive Santa Barbara Research Park Goleta, CA 93017

Dr. William C. Mann University of So. California Information Sciences Institute 4676 Admiralty Way Marina Del Rey, CA 90291

Dr. Eric McWilliams Program Manager Technology and Systems, TIE National Science Foundation Washington, DC 20550

Dr. Leo Munday Houghton Mifflin Co. p.O. Box 1970 Iowa City, IA 52240 Dr. Donald A. Norman Dept. of Psychology C-009 University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

Mr. A.J. Pesch, President Eclectech Associates, Inc. P.O. Box 178 N. Stonington, CT 06359

Dr. Kenneth A. Polycyn PCR Information Science Co. Communication Satellite Applications 7600 Old Springhouse Rd. McLean, VA 22101

Dr. Steven M. Pine N 660 Elliott Hall University of Minnesota 75 East River Road Minneapolis, MN 55455

R. Dir. M. Rauch P II 4 Bundesministerium der Verteidigung Postfach 161 53 Bonn 1, GERMANY

Dr. Joseph W. Rigney University of So. California Behavioral Technology Laboratories 3717 South Grand Los Angeles, CA 90007

Dr. Andrew M. Rose American Institutes for Research 1055 Thomas Jefferson St. NW Washington, DC 20007

Dr. Leonard L. Rosenbaum, Chairman Dept. of Psychology Montgomery College Rockville, MD 20850

Dr. Mark D. Reckase Educational Psychology Dept. University of Missouri-Columbia 12 Hill Hall Columbia, MO 65201

Dr. Robert J. Seidel Instructional Technology Group, HumRRO 300 N. Washington St. Alexandria, VA 22314 Dr. Persis Sturgis Dept. of Psychology California State University-Chico Chico, CA 95926

Mr. Dennis J. Sullivan c/o Canyon Research Group, Inc. 32107 Lindero Canyon Road Westlake Village, CA 91360

Mr. Walt W. Tornow Control Data Corporation Corporate Personnel Research p.O. Box 0 - HQN060 Minneapolis, MN 55440

Dr. Benton J. Underwood Dept. of Psychology Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60201

Dr. Carl R. Vest Battelle Memorial Institute Washington Operations 2030 M Street NW Washington, DC 20036

Dr. David J. Weiss Dept. of Psychology N660 Elliott Hall University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455

Dr. Keith Wescourt Dept. of Psychology Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Claire E. Weinstein Educational Psychology Dept. University of Texas at Austin Austin, TX 78712

Dr. Anita West Denver Research Institute University of Denver Denver, CO 80201

Mr. Thomas C. O'Sullivan TRAC 1220 Sunset Plaza Drive Los Angeles, CA 90069

Dr. Richard Snow Stanford University School of Education Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Thomas G. Sticht Assoc. Director, Basic Skills National Institute of Education 1200 19th Street NW Washington, DC 20208 Prof. Fumiko Samejima Dept. of Psychology Austin Peay Hall 304C University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN 37916 Liam Bannon Dept. of Psychology University of Western Ontario London, Ontario, CANADA Mr. Daniel Bobrow Xerox Parc 3333 Coyote Hill Rd. Palo Alto, CA 94306 Joost Breuken Universitei: van Amsterdam COWO Spui 21 AMSTERDAM Robert Davis University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, ILL 61801 Dr. Dorothy Deringer National Science Foundation Division of Science Education Development & Research Washington, DC John Gaschnig Carnegie-Mellon University Dept. of Computer Science Schenley Park Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Ira Goldstein The Artificial Intelligence Laboratory 545 Technology Square Cambridge, MA 02139 Jim Greeno University of Pittsburgh

Learning Research & Development Center

Pittsburgh, PA