AD-	A056 87	7 MAR YOU FEE	RKET FA UTH ATT 3 78	CTS ING	C CHIC	AGO IL G STUDY	FALL	1977.(U)	4DA903-	F/ 75-C-02	/G 5/9 296	Y
	1 of 2												
Æ								- Transmission Statistics Biological Statistics Biological Statist					
ining pende				1.1									
			antildar	dillade	dalatala dalatala	unhan huntur		inductor dimension					
						- The second sec				The second secon			
										The second second			
	Same Party		-					- Bankala					

D.	INDIA
	P P
60	
20	
ir O	
- A	
A A	
	YOUTH ATTITUDE
- Ad	TRACKING STUDY
C S	Fall 1977
Ž	
1.28	
	A Report Prepared for:
	The Department of Defense
DISTRIBUTION ST	
Approved for pu Distribution	Unlimited AUG 2 1978
	Prepared by:
F F	The Public Sector Research Group A
Г	of
	Market Facts, Inc. 100 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606
Job No. J300 OMB #22-R-0339	February, 1978
1.	

REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION No number available 2. TITLE (and Subtitie)	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
No number available	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
TITLE (and Subtitie)			
	STYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE		
WALKER AND THE CHINE COUNTY F. 11 1077	Current to Cat		
YOUTH ATTITUDE TRACKING STUY; Fall 1977	Survey Repear		
and the second	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER no number available		
AUTHOR/a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)		
Contractor Staff	(451 C.		
	MDA 903-75-C-0296		
5/C			
Market Facts Inc. 2000	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
100 South Wacker Drive 573000	no number available		
Chicago, IL 60606			
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE		
OASD(M, RA&L)MPP	February 1978		
Accession & Retention Programs, Rm 25209 Pentagon Washington D C 20301	13 NUMBER OF PAGES		
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office	e) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		
Same as 11			
	Unclassified		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unlin	Unclassified		
5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unlin 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different approved for public release; distribution unlin	Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE nited		
5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unlin 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different approved for public release; distribution unlin	Unclassified 15.a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE nited from Report) nited		
5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unlin 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different approved for public release; distribution unlin 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE nited from Report) nited		
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unlin DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different approved for public release; distribution unlin SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	I from Report)		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unlin DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different approved for public release; distribution unlin Supplementary notes None	If from Report)		
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unling DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different approved for public release; distribution unling SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 	Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE nited trom Report) nited		
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unling DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different approved for public release; distribution unling SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number 	Unclassified 15.e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE inited if from Report) nited ber)		
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unling DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different approved for public release; distribution unling SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Recruiting Enlistment attitut 	Unclassified 15.e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE inited from Report) nited ber) udes		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unlin DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different approved for public release; distribution unlin SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Recruiting Enlistment attitut Incentives Youth goals	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE inited i from Report) nited ber) udes		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release; distribution unlin DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different approved for public release; distribution unlin SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Recruiting Enlistment attitut Incentives Youth goals Service attributes Youth perceptions Benefits Information source	ber) Lides Sces		

Sec. 1.

IN TRUCTIONS FOR PREPARATION OF REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

RESPONSIBILITY. The controlling DoD office will be responsible for completion of the Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, in all technical reports prepared by or for DoD organizations.

CLASSIFICATION. Since this Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, is used in preparing announcements, bibliographies, and data banks, it should be unclassified if possible. If a classification is required, identify the classified items on the page by the appropriate symbol.

COMPLETION GUIDE

General. Make Blocks 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15, and 16 agree with the corresponding information on the report cover. Leave Blocks 2 and 3 blank.

Block 1, Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number shown on the cover.

Block 2. Government Accession No. Leave Blank. This space is for use by the Defense Documentation Center.

Block 3. Recipient's Catalog Number. Leave blank. This space is for the use of the report recipient to assist in future retrieval of the document.

Block 4, Title and Subtitle. Enter the title in all capital letters exactly as it appears on the publication. Titles should be unclassified whenever possible. Write out the English equivalent for Greek letters and mathematical symbols in the title (see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-sponsored RDT/E,"AD-667 000). If the report has a subtitle, this subtitle should follow the main title, be separated by a comma or semicolon if appropriate, and be initially capitalized. If a publication has a title in a foreign language, translate the title into English and follow the English translation with the title in the original language. Make every effort to simplify the title before publication.

Block 5. Type of Report and Period Covered. Indicate here whether report is interim, final, etc., and, if applicable, inclusive dates of period covered, such as the life of a contract covered in a final contractor report.

Block 6. Performing Organization Report Number. Only numbers other than the official report number shown in Block 1, such as series numbers for in-house reports or a contractor/grantee number assigned by him, will be placed in this space. If no such numbers are used, leave this space blank.

<u>Block 7.</u> Author(s). Include corresponding information from the report cover. Give the name(s) of the author(s) in conventional order (for example, John R. Doe or, if author prefers, J. Robert Doe). In addition, list the affiliation of an author if it differs from that of the performing organization.

Block 8. Contract or Grant Number(s). For a contractor or grantee report, enter the complete contract or grant number(s) under which the work reported was accomplished. Leave blank in in-house reports.

<u>Block 9.</u> Performing Organization Name and Address. For in-house reports enter the name and address, including office symbol, of the performing activity. For contractor or grantee reports enter the name and address of the contractor or grantee who prepared the report and identify the appropriate corporate division, school, laboratory, etc., of the author. List city, state, and ZIP Code.

<u>Block 10.</u> Program Element, Project, Task Area, and Work Unit Numbers. Enter here the number code from the applicable Department of Defense form, such as the DD Form 1498, "Research and Technology Work Unit Summary" or the DD Form 1634. "Research and Development Planning Summary," which identifies the program element, project, task area, and work unit or equivalent under which the work was authorized.

Block 11. Controlling Office Name and Address. Enter the full, official name and address, including office symbol, of the controlling office. (Equates to funding/sponsoring agency. For definition see DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents.")

Block 12. Report Date. Enter here the day, month, and year or month and year as shown on the cover.

Block 13. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 14, Monitoring Agency Name and Address (if different from Controlling Office). For use when the controlling or funding office does not directly administer a project, contract, or grant, but delegates the administrative responsibility to another organization.

Blocks 15 & 15a. Security Classification of the Report: Declassification/Downgrading Schedule of the Report. Enter in 15 the highest classification of the report. If appropriate, enter in 15a the declassification/downgrading schedule of the report, using the abbreviations for declassification/downgrading schedules listed in paragraph 4-207 of DoD 5200.1-R.

Block 16. Distribution Statement of the Report. Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the report from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 17. Distribution Statement (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the distribution statement of the report). Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the abstract from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 18. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere but useful, such as: Prepared in cooperation with ... Translation of (or by) ... Presented at conference of ... To be published in ...

Block 19. Key Words. Select terms or short phrases that identify the principal subjects covered in the report, and are sufficiently specific and precise to be used as index entries for cataloging, conforming to standard terminology. The DoD "Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms" (TEST), AD-672 000, can be helpful.

<u>Block 20.</u> Abstract. The abstract should be a brief (not to exceed 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report. If possible, the abstract of a classified report should be unclassified and the abstract to an unclassified report should consist of publicly- releasable information. If the report contains a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here. For information on preparing abstracts see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-Sponsored RDT&E," AD-667 000.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

-

Γ

-

Ti

Г

Γ.

[]

Γ

.

-

1

[

~

0

- 9

Page

2

ALL STATES

1

Introduction	1
Background and Objectives	1
Study Design	4
Contents of the Interview	7
Questionnaire Change	7
Analytic Comments	8
Executive Summary	10
Introduction	10
Major Conclusion of the Study	10
National Trends In Propensity	11
Differences By Tracking Areas	13
Perceptions of the Services	1.4
Enlisted Starting Pay	14
Perceived Attitudes of Influencers	15
Advertising Awareness	15
Educational Benefits (Veterans' Educational Assistance Program)	16
An Analysis of Three Key Explanatory Variables of Propensity	16
Active Duty Positive Propensity Respondents Target Market Profile	18
High School Graduates Who Are Not Attending School	19
Section I - National Trends Fall 1976 vs. Fall 1977	21
1.1 Definition of Propensity	23
1.2 Adjustment in Propensity	23
1.3 Changes in Propensity	25
1.4 Changes in Variables Related to Propensity	30
1.5 Key Demographics	38

Table of Contents (continued)

Γ.

.

Γ

1

î.

And a state of the state of the

			Page
Section II	- Key Results By Tracking Area	<u>.</u>	43
2.1	Positive Propensity by Tracki	ng Area	50
2.2	Two Factors Mediating Between Propensity and Enlistment	Expressed	61
2.2.1	Expected Time of Entry Into M	Hilitary Service	62
2.2.2	Officer Versus Enlisted Entry	65	
2.3	Academic Achievement and Deri	ved Quality Index	68
2.4	Recalled Recruiter Contact		76
2.5	Adequacy of Information Recei Recruiter	ved From the	79
2.6	Other Activities Concerning E	nlistment	83
2.7	Knowledge of Monthly Enlisted	Starting Pay	86
2.8	Perceived Difficulty of Obtai Time Job	ning A Full	90
Section III	- Analysis of Target Markets		93
3.1	Probability of Serving		96
3.2	Demographic Variables		98
3.3	Importance of Job Attributes		103
3.4	Achievability of Life Goals		108
3.5	Information Sources, Actions Contact, Influencers	Taken, Recruiter	110
3.6	Enlistment Decision Process		115
3.7	Summary Comments on Target Ma Active Services	rket for	117
3.8	High School Graduates Not in	School	118
		4001.53/04 /91	
		201 6145 2016 2016 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016	
		A	a di <u>al</u>

a set a

Table of Contents (continued)

Page

Secti	ion IV	- Awareness and Knowledge of Military	
	Info	mation Programs	124
	4.1	Top-of-Mind Awareness of Specific Services	125
	4.2	Advertising Content Recall	129
	4.3	Likely Response to Advertisements	138
	4.4	Media Habits	140
	4.5	Starting Pay	143
	4.6	Knowledge of Educational Benefit Programs	149
Apper	ndices		151
	Apper	ndix I - Statistical Reliability	153
	Apper	ndix II - Tracking Area Concept	154
	Apper	ndix III - Weighting of Respondents	157
	Appen	ndix IV - F Ratios For Analysis of Variance	159
	Appen	ndix V - The Questionnaire	160

F

1

[

r

INTRODUCTION

The rationale for conducting this study as well as the survey design and objectives are described in the Introduction to the Fall 1977 report. For the reader's convenience, the following comments are reprinted from the Fall report. Some references are added to reflect chronological and survey content changes.

Background and Objectives

There are a number of factors that are related to a young man's decision to enlist in a military service. Factors such as national unemployment and regional cultural environments can have a strong bearing upon enlistment. Other factors related to enlistment behavior include youth's general attitudes concerning military service and their awareness of the opportunities provided by the services. These factors, especially awareness, are influenced largely by promotion and advertising as well as the many activities of service recruiters. Youths' attitudes and awareness also reflect the impact of various other influencers, such as their peers, parents and family, teachers, coaches, counselors, and ex-servicemen.

General attitudes concerning military service can change over time partially because the potential market of 17 to 21 year old youths changes every year as new youths enter and older ones leave this age bracket. The outcome of recruiting efforts can be influenced by altering military service attributes such as salaries, bonuses, training options, length of service, and so on. The military services can also directly influence the

propensity to serve through increasing awareness of these attributes and by improving attitudes by means of promotion, advertising and recruiter efforts. Indirectly, improved awareness and attitudes can also be achieved by improving the awareness and attitudes of the influencers of potential enlistment prospects.

Beginning in 1971, semi-annual youth surveys have been conducted each Fall and Spring (excepting Spring, 1975) for the Department of Defense. These surveys include interviewing a sample of non-prior service 16-21 year old male youths to gain insight into a variety of issues associated with their attitudes toward employment in general and military service in particular. This present report provides detailed analyses of the fifth of a five part survey (Fall 1975, Spring 1976, Fall 1976, Spring 1977 and Fall 1977), with an examination of some changes between Fall 1976 and Fall 1977.

In order to compete effectively in the youth labor market, the Department of Defense has a continuing need to obtain current attitudinal information concerning the nation's youth. The principal purpose of this survey is to provide the Department and the Services with valid, timely, and actionable data concerning the youth labor market on a continuing semi-annual tracking basis. This survey deals with propensity to serve in the military; effectiveness of advertising and recruiting efforts; impact of influencers; importance of military attributes; and characterization of youths by such factors as their demographics and life goals.

. 1

4 . 17

The information gathered on this and the past four surveys has three fundamental objectives. The first objective is to gather information that has common utility for all the military services.

Secondly, twenty-six special recruiting areas were isolated throughout the country so that special analyses could be performed on each of them. These areas, referred to as Tracking Areas, comprise one or more geographic units of each of the services: Recruiting Detachments (Squadrons) (Air Force), District Recruiting Commands (Army), Recruiting Stations (Marine Corps), and Recruiting Districts (Navy). Each service then can track the study variables over time within actionable geographic areas defined by recruiting boundaries of each service.

Thirdly, the study is designed to provide observations over time so that changes in attitudes and behavior can be detected and appraised. It is anticipated that controlled experiments might be attempted over time in the Tracking Areas to test such factors as promotional materials, recruiting practices, and advertising strategy.

Beginning in January 1978, interviewing will be conducted on a monthly basis, with similar numbers of interviews being conducted nearly every day of the year. This change in the mode of data collection will increase the sensitivity of this study to time and the occurence of important environmental events which may effect attitudes. As such, it will provide the services with an on-going information system, accessible at any time.

Study Design

The survey involved 16-21 year old males who do not have prior or current military involvement and who are not beyond their second year of college. In total, 5,280 interviews were completed.

The survey employed telephone interviewing. Respondents were selected by random digit dialing. Approximately 200 interviews were completed in each of 26 tracking areas. Thus, the study provides statistically valid samples for each tracking area and allows computation of total U.S. estimates.

In the first two waves of this study (Fall 1975 and Spring 1976), only 13 tracking areas were studied independently. The 13 areas cumulatively accounted for about 65% of the U.S. "military available." The 13 tracking areas were selected from a total of 26 by using three criteria; a) maximizing the percentage of the potential applicant pool covered, b) providing sufficient geographic dispersion or regional coverage, and c) limiting the number of recruiting units to three or less per service. The tracking areas included in the first two waves contain the following principal cities and/or states:

- . New York City
- . Albany/Buffalo
- . Harrisburg
- . Washington, D.C.

-

Florida

. Alabama/Mississippi/Tennessee

Ohio

. Michigan/Indiana

Chicago

Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota

Texas

Southern California/Arizona

Northern California

The remainder of the country was treated as one area and was referred to as "balance of the country". Approximately 400 interviews were conducted in this aggregated area.

In the three most recent waves (Fall 1976, Spring 1977, and Fall 1977), the sample was allocated to all 26 tracking areas. In addition to the above 13 areas, interviews were conducted in these additional tracking areas:

. Philadelphia

Boston

. Pittsburgh

-

2

Richmond/North Carolina

South Carolinn/Georgia

New Orleans

. Arkansas

Kentucky

S.

1

	Des	Moi	nes
•	****		

. Wisconsin

. New Mexico/Colorado

. Washington/Oregon

. Kansas City/Oklahoma

The 26 tracking areas account for 100% of the "military available" in the continental U.S.

Detailed tabulations referred to in this report are given in five volumes. Volumes 1 and 2, which constitute most of the analyses, reported in this study, contain both Fall 1976 and Fall 1977 data for those questions which were the same in both waves.

Volume	1:	By Individual Tracking Area
Volume	2:	By Enlistment Propensity Toward Active Duty in the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps, Navy and Coast Guard
Volume	3:	By Schooling Status and Grades in High School
Volume	4:	By Age, Race, and Quality Groups
Volume	5:	By Enlistment Propensity Toward Reserves and the National Guard

The interviewing for this wave took place between October 18, 1977 and November 27, 1977.

a site

-

Contents of the Interview

The interview focused on the following areas of information:

- (1) Respondent demographics
 - . Age
 - . Marital status
 - . Racial/ethnic affiliation
 - . Education
 - . Employment
- (2) Propensity to enlist in the military
- (3) Assessment of the importance of job attributes and their attainability in the military
- (4) Assessment of advertising recall and meaningfulness
- (5) Magazine readership and TV program preferences
- (6) Information seeking activities about enlistment involving self, recruiters, and other influencers
- (7) Attitudes of certain influencers toward serving in the military
- (8) Nature and outcome of recruiter contact
- (9) Knowledge of current military starting pay
- (10) The relative effect of a \$50 a month pay increase on propensity to enlist in the military
- (11) Knowledge about educational benefits
- (12) Life goals and their achievability in the military

Questionnaire Change

The study design permits the inclusion of new elements from time to time. The current survey has several new features: a question concerning expectations about working part time to finance an education, questions

about magazine readership and television program type preferences, a question assessing expected responses to interesting advertisements, and questions concerning propensity to join the military as a function of several contingencies: combat likely, combat unlikely, working with women doing a similar job.

Two questions were changed in the Fall 1977 questionnaire. Positive propensity respondents were asked when they thought they would join the military service, irrespective of whether they intended to join the active duty services or Reserve components. Respondents who could not give an estimate of monthly starting pay were asked to make a guess.

Analytic Comments

In such a large study, many results are likely to appear which are due solely to chance or sampling variance. In order to minimize the effect of such spurious findings, this report delineates those results which are unlikely to be due to chance or sample idiosyncrasies. Specifically, when the report indicates that a finding is significant, this means that there is less than a 5% likelihood that such a result would occur solely due to chance.

The use of stratified sampling in this study necessitates that respondents be weighted unequally. Accordingly, it is not correct to assess standard errors by methods which would be appropriate with unweighted data. When the correct procedures are applied, standard errors average 10 greater than those obtained by applying the procedures ordinarily used with unweighted data. Hence critical t values for statistical significance were adjusted upwards by 10 percent in tests of significance on the national sample (see Appendix I).

Page 9

Only a minimum sample of telephone numbers has been issued to interviewers. Additional numbers then are issued in small subsamples until the correct number of completed interviews is reached. This procedure provides tight control of the sample and is now standard for the survey.

In response to requests from the services, the reporting format for the Fall 1977 wave was changed. Specifically, this report focuses more on trend analyses of key data elements. Moreover, the report has been shortened by eliminating unnecessary repetition in discussing issues such as job attributes and life goals. Finally, the report profiles the enlistment-related behavioral and attitudinal characteristics of high school graduates who <u>are not currently</u> attending school. The services have expressed particular interest in this sub-group.

-

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

This report is a discussion of the Fall 1977 wave (i.e., Wave V) of the tracking study of youth attitudes toward serving in the armed forces. A total of 5284 randomly selected males between 16 and 21 years of age were interviewed by telephone. Approximately 200 interviews were conducted in each of 26 tracking areas across the continental United States.

Major Conclusion of the Study

This wave of the study provides a 24 month historical perspective from which the following conclusion is drawn.

It appears that the negative trend in propensity to enlist has begun to slow.

In past reports, it was suggested that two variables -- full-time employment and high school graduate/not attending school -- could reasonably explain the observed downward shifts in propensity. It was reasoned that improvements in the civilian job market may make a military career less attractive to a young man for whom the military may have been the best opportunity for advancement in a poor economy. In the present survey reported incidences of full-time employment and high school graduate/not attending school are unchanged, and the downward trend in propensity appears to be slowing.

1

These two demographic variables, therefore, may well be only partial determinants of propensity. It appears that there are other unidentified factors contributing to the decline in propensity that are beyond the range of variables in this series of studies to date.

National Trends In Propensity

•

Propensity to join the armed forces declined somewhat from Fall 1976 to Fall 1977. While the declines for all the services except the Navy are statistically significant, the magnitudes of the declines are less than those observed in the comparable Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 period. Voluntary mentions of military enlistment have always paralleled propensity changes. Voluntary mentions declined by a statistically significant amount from Fall to Fall. Like the propensity figures the decrease in these voluntary mentions from Fall 1976 to Fall 1977 is less than the decrease observed in the Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 period. Hence, the downward trend with respect to enlistment intentions appears to be slowing somewhat.

The overall rank order of the active duty services based on expressed propensity levels has not changed from Fall 1975. The order is as follows:

	Fall '75	Fall '76	Fall '77	Fall'76-Fall'77 Difference	Percentage Decline	
Air Force	20.4%	17.9%	15.7%	-2.2*	12.3%	
Navy	19.6%	16.5%	15.5%	-1.0	6.1%	
Army	18.4%	14.5%	12.75	-1.8*	12.4%	
Marine Corps	14.9%	12.4%	11.0%	-1.4*	11.3%	

*The differences shown are statistically significant

Many of the variables that discriminate between positive and negative propensity to serve in the military did not change from Fall 1976. Recalled incidence of any recruiter contact, both recent and long-term, remained steady, as did the incidence of taking the Armed Services aptitude test and characteristics of employment and education (the proportion of non-high school graduates not attending school, however, did increase).

On the other hand, recalled incidence of recruiter contact with specific services declined for all services except the Army. The degree to which respondents reported talking to influential sources about enlistment also declined. Talking to teachers/counselors, however, did not change.

Fall-to-Fall shifts occurred with respect to life goal achievement. The military experienced setbacks with regard to perceived job secruity, recognition and status, helping other people, developing potential and working for a better society; but maintained its position relative to civilian life with respect to the perception of all other life goals: adventure and excitement, doing challenging work, learning as much as one can, respect of friends, ability to make own decisions, making a lot of money and personal freedom. In general, the Fall 1977 levels of those life goal perceptions that have shifted appear to be moving in the direction favoring civilian life.

During the past year the relative importance attached to various job attributes remained virtually unchanged. The most important job

attributes continue to be: teaches you a valuable trade or skill, provides good benefits for you and your family, gives you the job you want and gives you an opportunity to better your life. The least important attributes continue to be: allows you to see many different countries of the world, has other men you would like to work with and trains you for leadership.

Differences By Tracking Areas

There are significant differences in the data across the 26 tracking areas on all of the variables included in the tracking area analysis.

In the first two waves (Fall, 1975 and Spring, 1976), the markets where propensity was low for the military were the major metropolitan areas such as New York City and Chicago. This is also true in the present wave. Both areas tend to be below average with respect to propensity to serve in the active duty services. Southern California and Philadelphia are below the nation with respect to propensity to serve in the Army. Ohio, Minnesota/ Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota, and Wisconsin are below the nation with respect to propensity to join the Marine Corps. Wisconsin falls below the national averages for all of the active services except the Army.

Propensity for the Reserve components is especially low in New York City, Chicago, Michigan/Indiana and Philadelphia.

Perceptions of the Services

A military enlistment is perceived most often as allowing a young man to have adverture and excitement and job security. On the other hand, it is perceived as not permiting young men to enjoy personal freedom, make their own job-oriented decisions, or earn a lot of money.

Young men value certain job attributes when considering joining the service. Three of these were perceived as being attainable in the service. These were "teaches you a valuable trade or skill", "a career you can be proud of" and "opportunity to better your life". Valued job attributes perceived as being hard to attain were "good benefits for you and your family", and "job you want".

The individual services were perceived differently. The Air Force has particular strength with respect to teaching a valuable trade or skill, providing a challenging job, enabling the serviceman to improve himself, and providing the serviceman with a career about which he can be proud. The Army was associated most often with help in obtaining a college education. The Marine Corps was associated with training for leadership, and the Navy was equated with world travel.

Enlisted Starting Pay

One-half (50.1%) of the sample claimed to have no idea of the level of monthly enlisted starting pay. Among those who did give estimates, the average estimate was \$401 which is close to the true value of \$397. However, the averages range from a low of \$352 to a high of \$453 across tracking areas.

4.8

Positive propensity men value good starting pay but did not think they can achieve this in the military. Respondents who initially claimed to have no idea of the level of starting pay gave somewhat higher estimates of pay than others, when asked a second time. As in past surveys, those with negative propensity (in both the group that initially gave estimates and those that were asked a second time to give an estimate) gave higher pay estimates than did those with positive propensity to enlist. The data suggest that the lower pay estimate among positive propensity people might present a recruiting opportunity. More than one-half (53.9%) of the positive propensity group said they would be more likely to enlist if starting pay were increased by \$50 a month. Among the negative propensity group, about one-in-six men said they would be more likely to enlist.

Perceived Attitudes of Influencers

Positive propensity men claimed that their parents are generally in favor of their joining the service, particularly for the job training they will receive. Negative propensity men felt that their parents do not want them to join the military. Loss of status, a desire for their sons to get a civilian education, fathers' negative experiences with the military and family separation and danger are the major reasons given why some parents were perceived as opposing military service. If respondents' perceptions were accurate, these findings are important since parents are key influencers in the military recruiting/decision-making process, as repeatedly established in this series of surveys. These perceptions have been fairly consistent over time.

Advertising Awareness

Over one-half of the respondents were aware of advertising for specific services. About one-half of these same individuals could not

11.10

recall any content of the advertising. In this respect all four services were comparable. However, since Spring 1977 (when the present advertising questions were added), advertising recall has increased significantly for all four services.

Among respondents who did recall advertising content, they most often recalled copy points about teaching/learning a trade, job opportunities and enlisting. Respondents also frequently recalled Marine slogans and travel copy for the Navy.

Educational Benefits (Veterans' Educational Assistance Program)

It appears that there continues to be little knowledge about the current educational benefits plan. Positive propensity men are somewhat more familiar with the provisions of the educational assistance program than negative propensity men.

An Analysis of Three Key Explanatory Variables of Propensity

The completion of the fifth wave of tracking youth attitudes enabled us to test six important hypotheses with respect to propensity. The

0

()

hypotheses pertain to the total set of data collected during the last two
years and focus on three important variables: services, tracking areas,
and time. These hypotheses were:
1. Are the services equal with respect to propensity?
2. Are the 26 tracking areas equal with respect to propensity?
3. Are the five waves of surveys equal with respect to propensity?
h. Have the services exhibited different patterns with respect
to propensity over the five waves?
5. Have the services exhibited different patterns with respect
to propensity across the 26 tracking areas?
6. Have the 26 tracking areas exhibited different patterns over

the five waves?

An analysis of variance was conducted to test these hypotheses. This analysis revealed that enlistment propensity differs significantly as follows: (1) among services, (2) across tracking areas, and (3) from waveto-wave (Hypotheses #1-3). However, time-related changes in propensity are not unique to any of the services, but are general in nature (Hypothesis #4). While the individual services show some unique strengths and weaknesses across tracking areas, and while there are some unique time-related changes within tracking areas, there is no well-defined picture of what is occuring with respect to propensity to join the services at the tracking area level (Hypotheses #5 - #6).

Active Duty Positive Propensity Respondents Target Market Profile

As in previous waves of this study, the positive propensity candidate for active military service can be described in contrast to his low propensity peers, as. . .

- . Younger
- . More likely to be non-White
- . More likely to be unemployed and looking for work
- . Less educated
- . Having a less educated father
- . Having lower values on the Quality Index
- Considering all of the job attributes to be important when considering joining the service
- . Feeling the military is relatively more likely to enable him to achieve most of his life goals
- . Underestimating the level of starting pay
- . More motivated to enlist should pay be increased by \$50 a month
- . Having had more recent recruiter contact

- . Having sought information on a military career by mail or by phone
- . Having taken a military aptitude test.
- Having discussed entering the military with parents or friends
- . Feeling relatives support his joining the service
- . Having positive propensity for more than one service

Differences between positive and negative propensity groups with respect to demographics, perceived importance of job attributes and life goal perceptions appear to be general and not service specific. Thus it appears that all service may draw upon pools of positive propensity young men with fairly similar demographic profiles and similar perceptions of job attributes and life goals, and that these young men differ in a consistent fashion from negative propensity men.

High School Graduates Who Are Not Attending School

Individuals who have graduated high school and are not currently attending school represent a particularly attractive market to the services. These young men can be described in contrast to the overall average, as . . .

- . Less likely to be unemployed and looking for work.
- . Below average with respect to propensity to join the military.
- . Above average, however, with respect to having been tested for military service.

. Above average with respect to incidence of recruiter contact.

_

er

. Average with respect to perceived adequacy of recruiter information.

Page 20

- . Below average, however, with respect to feeling more favorable about enlisting after talking to recruiters.
- . Average with respect to perceived attitudes of influencers and parental discussions about enlistment.
- . Average with respect to service advertising recall.
- . Average with respect to importance attached to job attributes and achievability of life goals.

0 -Page 21 SECTION I 0 NATIONAL TRENDS FALL 1976 VS. FALL 1977 . 23 -1 4 P. P. 0

insurate history

SECTION I

National Trends - Fall 1976 to Fall 1977

Throughout this investigation the principal measure has been enlistment propensity (i.e., the rated likelihood of serving on active duty in each military service). This analysis begins with an examination of changes in propensity and then those variables that are related to enlistment propensity. The primary time frame for analysis is Fall 1976 to Fall 1977. However, Fall 1975 (Wave I) data also are shown in order to provide a complete historical presentation of the findings. Given this full two year Fall-to-Fall time frame, scasonal effects are eliminated and observed changes can be viewed as indicative of underlying trends.

The data reported in this section represent weighted total U.S. data obtained from twenty-six (26) tracking areas. Thirteen (13) of these are the same as those used in the Fall 1975 wave of this investigation. The balance are thirteen (13) tracking areas which in the Fall 1975 wave were aggregated into one group and labeled as "balance of the country". These thirteen (13) new tracking areas were first defined in the Fall 1976 wave. The sampling is described in detail in Appendix II.

1.1 Definition of Propensity

As an attitudinal measure, propensity summarizes the degree to which young men are predisposed to joining the military. Propensity was operationally defined as follows. Respondents were asked how likely they would be to serve in the military in the next few years. The question was repeated for each of the main active duty services plus the National Guard, Reserves, and Coast Guard. A 4-point scale of likelihood was used. Respondents were classified into either positive propensity or negative propensity based on answering the question as follows:

Definitely Probably Probably not Probably not Negative Propensity Group

1.2 Adjustment in Propensity

No answer

In the interest of broadening the ability of the Tracking Study to assess advertising effectiveness, an item measuring "top-of-mind" awareness of the military services was introduced into the study in the Spring 1977 survey. For a measure of this type of awareness to be useful, it must be taken before any military services are mentioned by name by the interviewer. Accordingly, the "top-of-mind" awareness question was asked early in the interview (Qu. 4a)

following a question of near-term occupational plans (Qu. 3i) and just prior to asking propensity toward the individual services (Qu. 5a).

The introduction of this awareness measure inflated the level of reported propensity in the Spring 1977 survey. In order that the data be comparable to those of previous waves, an adjustment was made to the earlier propensity rates (i.e., they were increased by 4.7% points). This adjustment was based on the statistical relationship between spontaneously mentioned plans for a military career (Qu. 3i) and propensity (Qu. 5a). The details of this adjustment were explained in detail in Appendix IV of the Spring 1977 survey report.

In order to empirically assess the effect of the "top-of-mind" awareness question, a split-sample procedure was used in the Fall 1977 survey with respect to this question. Specifically, 274 respondents were not asked the "top-of-mind" awareness question. The 95% confidence interval of the effect of the awareness question on measured propensity across the four active duty services included the 4.7% point correction factor, derived in the Spring 1977 survey. Hence, this empirical test supports continued use of that correction factor.

.

1.3

Changes in Propensity: Fall 1976 to Fall 1977

Positive propensity for all four services declined from Fall 1976. From a statistical standpoint, the declines for the Air Force, Army and Marine Corps are significant. The findings are graphed in Figure 1.1. The Air Force had the largest drop in positive propensity (-2.2% points which represents a 12.3% Fall-to-Fall decline) followed by Army (-1.8% points which represents a 12.4% Fall-to-Fall decline). The Marine Corps had a decline of 1.4% points, a 11.3% Fall-to-Fall loss. Propensity for the Navy decreased 1.0% point (a 6.1% Fall-to-Fall change). This decrease, however, is not statistically significant.

Since Fall 1975, positive propensity for each of the services has declined, on the average, 4.6% points. As a percentage of Fall 1975 propensity figures, the Army has had the largest loss (-31%) followed by the Marine Corps (-26%), the Air Force (-23%) and the Navy (-21%) over the past two years. The declines in propensity from Fall 1976 to Fall 1977, however, are less than those observed in the comparable Fall 1975 to Fall 1976 period. Hence, the negative decline in propensity appears to be slowing. With respect to changes in propensity for each service observed across time, all four services have shown similar patterns of decline. This is confirmed by an analysis of variance of the propensity data, which is discussed in Section II.

The index of pro-military attitude has been another measure of propensity for enlistment. This index is derived from asking respondents what they think they might be doing during the next few years. The index is a net measure of all unaided mentions of military service. The index,

-

....

FIGURE 1.1

POSITIVE PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN SPECIFIC SERVICES



5

FIGURE 1.2

VOLUNTARY MENTIONS OF MILITARY SERVICE AMONG PLANS FOR THE NEXT FEW YEARS



Source: Question 3i.

Page 28 illustrated in Figure 1.2, appears to have declined from Fall 1976 (6.2% to 5.5%). This conclusion is not certain, however, since the decline is not statistically significant. In all five waves, this index has paralleled fluctuations in positive propensity toward each of the four services. Like the propensity figures, the decrease in this index from Fall 1976 to Fall 1977 is less than the decrease observed in the Fall 1975 to 1976 period.

Table 1.1 reviews the positive propensity figures for the four services recorded in each of the five waves of this investigation. Unaided mention of joining the military (pro-military index) also is shown for each wave.

On both measures there is a definite downward trend over the five waves with respect to intention to join the service. However, this trend appears to be slowing down in terms of the magnitude and percent of decline. Moreover, there is a noticeable seasonality effect, that is, fewer people in the Spring than in the Fall express an intention to pursue a military career.

- 1- 1- in

.

0

TABLE 1.1

POSITIVE PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN SPECIFIC SERVICES AND UNAIDED MENTION OF PLANS TO ENTER THE MILITARY

	Fall '75*	Spring '76*	Fall '76*	Spring '77	Fall '77	
	8	8	8	8	8	-
Air Force	20.4	17.5	17.9	15.7	15.7	
Army	18.4	13.1	14.5	11.8	12.7	-
Marine Corps	14.9	11.8	12.4	10.7	11.0	_
Navy	19.6	16.4	16.5	15.2	15.5	
Unaided Mention of Plans To Enter Military						-
(Pro-Military Index)	8.9	5.7	6.2	4.5	5.5	-
Base (All Respondents)	(3176)	(3001)	(5475)	(5520)	(5284)	

Propensity rates for the first three waves have been adjusted upwards 4.7% points for comparability with Spring '77 and Fall'77 on the basis of the relationship between the pro-military index and propensity (see Appendix IV of the Spring 1977 report for the detailed adjustment procedure.)

33

1 Post Pres
Changes in Variable: Related to Propensity

1.4

There are a number of variables that have historically discriminated between positive and negative propensity groups. These variables and their Fall 1976 to Fall 1977 changes are presented in Table 1.2. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- Recalled recruiter contact with any service (within the past six months and longer) was stable from Fall 1976 to Fall 1977.
 Among youth who reported having contact, however, the recalled incidence of specific contact with recruiters from the Air Force, Marine Corps and Navy, however, did decline.
- The incidence of talking to influential people about enlistment declined. Talking to teachers/counselers, however, did not change.
- The incidence of taking a military-sponsored aptitude test in high school did not change significantly from Fall to Fall.
- h. As of Fall 1977, respondents viewed military life as better enabling achievement of the following life goals: adventure and excitement, job security, doing challenging work, recognition and status, learning as much as one can and helping other people.

0

C

TABLE 1.2

CHANGES IN VARIABLES RELATED TO PROPENSITY

	Fall '75	Fall '76	Fall '77	Fall '76-'77 Change	Statistically Significant	
	8	8	8	8		
Recruiter Contact (Qu. 8a & 9a)						
Past 5-6 months - any service	24.7	24.9	26.0	+1.1	No	
Ever - any service	49.2	49.9	50.0	+.1	No	-
Recruiter Contact with (Qu. 9b)						
Air Force	14.4	15.5	13.5	-2.0	Yes	
Army	25.3	24.3	23.5	8	No	-
Marine Corps	14.7	14.9	13.0	-1.9	Yes	
Navy	17.1	17.5	15.4	-2.1	Yes	
Talked About Enlistment With (Qu. 8c)						•
Friends with military experience	39.7	40.9	37.4	-3.5	Yes	
Parents	37.4	36.9	32.5	-4.4	Yes	
Teachers/Counselors	12.0	11.6	12.0	+.4	No	
Girl Friend/Wife	19.5	18.8	16.0	-2.8	Yes	-
Aptitude Test in High School By						
Armed Forces (Qu. 8c)	19.8	18.1	. 18.3	+.2	No	
Base	(3176)	(5475)	(5284)			

www.

TABLE 1.2 (continued)

0

0

-

		Fall '75	Fall '76	Fall '77	Fall '76-'77 Change	Statistically Significant
^		8	8	8	8	
-	Life Goal Achievement Civilian Advantage Over Military (Qu. 11) (Averages	s)				
-	Adventure and Excitement	2.54	2.34	2.39	+.05	No
	Job Security	2.53	2.37	2.56	+.19	Yes
-,	Doing Challenging Work	2.78	2.79	2.80	+.01	No
_	Recognition and Status	2.85	2.77	2.86	+.09	Yes
	Learning As Much As One Can	3.00	2.92	2.94	+.02	No
-	Helping Other People	3.02	2.87	2.95	+.08	Yes
_	Developing Potential	3.00	2.93	3.02	+.09	Yes
	Working for a Better Society	3.06	2.95	3.03	+.08	Yes
	Respect of Friends	3.15	3.02	3.06	+.04	No
-	Ability to Make Own Decisions	3.85	3.89	3.88	01	No
_	Making a Lot of Money	3.83	3.92	3.94	+.02	No
	Personal Freedom	4.04	4.12	4.14	+.02	No
-	Base	(3176)	(5475)	(5284)		
-	Scale Value:					
	+1 = Military - much r	more li	kely			
~	+2 = Military - somewl	hat mor	e likel	У		
•	+3 = Either military o	or civi	lian likal			
-	+5 = Civilian - somewhere $+5 = Civilian - much$	nore 14	kelv	Y		
	······································	nore II	nery			

0

4

TABLE 1.2 (continued)

.~

1

A Section

	Fall '76	Fall '77	Fall '76-'77 Change	Statistically Significant
	8	-	8	
Relative Importance of Job Attributes (Qu. 6a) (Averages)	<u>*</u> +			
Teaches you a valuable trade or skill	2.05	2.07	+.02	No
Provides good benefits for you and your family	2.05	2.07	+.02	No
Gives you the job you want	2.15	2.16	+.01	No
Gives you an opportunity to better your life	2.20	2.20	-	No
Pays well to start	2.31	2.23	08	Yes
Gives you a job which is challenging	2.31	2.29	02	No
Is a career you can be proud of	2.35	2.33	02	No
Helps you get a college education while you serve	2.32	2.42	+.10	Yes
Trains you for leadership	2.53	2.55	+.02	No
Has other men you would like to work with	2.74	2.76	+.02	No
Allows you to see many different countries of the world	2.88	2.82	06	Yes
Base	(5475)	(5284)		

* A smaller value means greater perceived importance

+ These data were not measured in a comparable form in Fall 1975. Hence, Fall 1975 data are not shown.

TABLE 1.2+ (continued)

-		Fall <u>'76</u> <u>%</u>	Fall '77 <u>*</u>	Fall '76~'77 <u>Change</u> <u>%</u>	Statistically Significant
~	Attainability of Job Attribute In the Military (Qu. 6b) (% Saying "Yes") +	es			
-	Allows you to see many different countries of the world	90.0	90.3	+.3	No
*-	Teaches you a valuable trade or skill	89.9	88.1	-1.8	Yes
-	Help s you get a college education (while you serve)	83.5	82.8	7	No
-	Is a career you can be proud of	84.3	82.4	-1.9	Yes
	Gives you a job which is challenging	84.1	82.1	-2.0	Yes
-	Trains you for leadership	84.3	81.9	-2.4	Yes
-	Gives you an opportunity to better your life	80.9	79.7	-1.2	No
-	Provides good benefits for you and your family	79.6	79.7	+.1	No
	Has other men you would like to work with	73.6	73.2	4	No
	Gives you the job you want	71.2	70.0	-1.2	No
-	Pays well to start	62.6	62.8	+.2	No
-	Perceived Attitudes of Parents Toward Joining the Military (Qu. 12a)+	5			
-	Father in favor	30.9	30.1	8	No
	Mother in favor	21.7	22.4	+.7	No
-	Base	(5475)	(5284)		

+ These data were not measured in a comparable form in Fall 1975. Hence, Fall 1975 data are not shown.

0

0

0

During the past year the military lost ground relative to civilian life with regard to five life goal perceptions: job security, recognition and status, helping other people developing potential and working for a better society. The military maintained its perceived position relative to civilian life on the remaining life goal attributes. In general, the Fall 1977 levels of those life goal perceptions that have shifted appear to be returning upward to Fall 1975 levels which are in the direction favoring civilian life.

The pursuit of a career, whether civilian or military, involves the consideration of numerous factors. One aspect of this decision-making process is whether certain life goals can be more readily achieved in the military or in civilian life. Hence, this set of questions has been an important measure in this study. -1

5. The relative importances attached to various job attributes remained virtually unchanged. The most important job attributes continue to be these: teaches you a valuable trade or skill, provides good benefits for you and your family, gives you the job you want and gives you an opportunity to better your life. At the same time, the least important job attributes continue to be these: allows you to see many different countries of the world, has other men you would like to work with and trains you for leadership.

-

The stated importance of three attributes did shift significantly. Pays well to start and allows you to see many different countries increased in terms of their stated importance. Helps you get a college education while you serve decreased in terms of its stated importance.

6. As in the past, the young men in the sample generally felt that every job attribute can be found in the military. The data range from 90 percent of all respondents who felt that the military allows you to see many different countries of the world to 63 percent of the respondents who believed that the military pays well to start.

The proportion of young men who believed that these job

0

 \bigcirc

attributes can be attained in the military remained, for the most part, unchanged from Fall to Fall. There were significant declines, however, with respect to four attributes: teaches you a valuable trade or skill, is a career you can be proud of, gives you a job which is challenging and trains you for leadership. -

7. The percentage of respondents who perceive their parents to be in favor of their joining the military did not change from Fall to Fall.

1.5 Key Demographics

Tables 1.3-1.5 profile the key demographics of the Fall 1976 and Fall 1977 samples. Fall 1975 data also are shown in order to provide a complete historical perspective. The following conclusions can be drawn from these tables:

- Both the Fall 1976 and Fall 1977 samples are identical with respect to age and race. As in previous waves, the data weighting procedure, explained in Appendix III, eliminates any sampling differences on these two variables by balancing the results of each wave to known "military available" statistics.
- Both Fall samples are identical with respect to their employment status and with respect to those not employed and either looking or not looking for a job.
- 3. The percentage of respondents currently attending school remained unchanged from Fall to Fall. This is true of reported high school, vocational school and college attendance. Foreover, the percentage of young men who have graduated from high school did not change from Fall 1976 to Fall 1977. However, the percentage of young men who reported that they are not currently attending school and have not graduated from high school increased during the past year.

-

0

0

0

TABLE 1.3 AGE AND RACE

	Fall '75	Fall '76	Fall '77	
	•	8	8	
Age				
16	18.5	18.5	18.6	
17	18.4	18.5	18.5	
18	18.0	17.5	17.5	
19	16.6	16.7	16.6	
20	15.3	14.8	14.8	
21	13.3	14.0	14.0	
Race				
White	86.3	85.3	85.3	
Non-White	12.8	13.4	13.4	
Refused	.9	1.3	1.3	
Base (All Respondents)	(3176)	(5475)	(5284)	

..

193

a sola .

TABLE 1.4 EMPLOYMENT STATUS

				Fall	
	Fall '75	Fall '76	Fall <u>'77</u>	'76-'77 Change	Statistically Significant
	8	8	8	8	
Employed (Qu. 3f, 3g)	57.0	61.0	62.1	+1.1	No
Full-time	31.3	35.2	35.9	+.7	No
Part-time	25.7	25.7	26.1	+.4	No
Not Employed (Qu. 3h)	42.9	38.9	37.9	-1.0	No
Looking for a job	26.0	21.5	20.9	6	No
Not looking	16.9	16.9	16.6	3	No
Not specified	-	.5	. 4	1	No
Base (All Respondents)	(3176)	(5475)	(5284)		

TABLE 1.5 SCHOOLING STATUS

-	Attending School (Qu. 3c)	58.4	56.9	56.7	2	No
	In high school	40.3	39.2	40.7	+1.5	No
-	In vocational school	2.8	1.6	2.0	4	No
	In college	14.9	14.9	13.5	-1.4	No
-	Not specified	.5	1.2	.5	7	No
_	Not Attending School (Qu. 3d)	41.6	43.1	43.3	+.2	No
	High school graduate	31.6	34.2	32.7	-1.5	No
•	Not high school graduate	10.0	8.8	10.5	+1.7	Yes
-	Quality Index (Mean)	6.43	6.36	6.38	+.02	No
	Base (All Respondents)	(3176)	(5475)	(5284)		

-,

0

a construction of the second

-

0

-

0

0

+.	As an additional means of demographically profiling	
	the sample, a quality index is computed for each	
	respondent. This index is a composite measure based on	
	self-reported grades, number of math courses taken	
	and passed in high school, and the science courses	
	covering electricity and/or electronics taken and	
	successfully passed in high school. The index ranges	
	from a low score of 1 to a high score of 10. Table 1.6	
	explains the derivation of the quality index.	

TABLE 1.6

(High School	Grades)	(Number of Math in High School	Courses)	(Science Cou High Sch	rses in 001)
	Value		Value		Value
A's & B's	3	None	1	Yes	2
B's & C's	2	One	5	No, not	
C's & Below	1	Two	3	specified	ı
Not Specified	0	Three	14		
		Four	5		
		Not Specified	0		

N.S

Respondent quality remained unchanged from Fall 1976

to Fall 1977.

In past reports, it was suggested that two variables -- full-time employment and high school graduate/not attending school -- could reasonably explain the observed downward shifts in propensity. It was reasoned that improvements in the civilian job market make a military career less attractive to a young man for whom the military may have been the best opportunity for advancement in a poor economy. In the present survey fulltime employment and high school graduate/not attending school are unchanged, and the downward trend in propensity appears to be slowing. The two demographic variables, therefore, may well be only partial determinants of propensity. As a result, it appears that there are other unidentified factors contributing to the decline in propensity that are beyond the scope of this study.



SECTION II

Performance Differences By Tracking Areas

The interviewing was conducted in 26 defined geographical areas referred to as tracking areas. The tracking area approach localizes the information derived from this investigation and thereby makes it possible for the individual service recruiting commands to receive feedback with respect to their performance within specific geographic areas.

In the first two waves of this study, the data were collected and reported in terms of 13 geographical areas and the balance of the country. Beginning with the Fall 1976 wave, the balance of the country was divided into 13 additional tracking areas, creating 26 tracking areas in total.

This section is a discussion of key results by the 26 tracking areas. In previous reports the data have been examined from two perspectives. The first perspective looked at whether data from individual tracking areas differ specifically from national levels. The second focused on year-to-year changes within the original 13 tracking areas relative to corresponding year-to-year national changes. This second perspective was an attempt to determine whether observed changes within these individual tracking areas were unique to the tracking areas or merely a reflection of a national occurence.

In noting tracking area differences from national levels as well as year-to-year changes within tracking areas, we must be aware of the large

number of statistical tests being conducted. While some year-to-year changes have been observed within tracking areas across various measures, the incidence of these has been close to the level of chance (i.e., 5% of the tests are significant at the 95% confidence level).

In an attempt to better understand the tracking area differences in the data, two sets of tracking area data were submitted to a factorial analysis of variance. The completion of the Fall 1977 survey provides sufficient time-series data to make this form of analysis possible. The two sets of data were enlistment propensity measures and the perceived adequacy of recruiter information. The first was chosen because it is the principal measure in the study. The second set was chosen because the information provided by recruiters is controllable by the services and, therefore, the measure of perceived adequacy represents important feedback to the services.

As a statistical method, factorial analysis of variance enables us to critically analyze the independent and interactive effects of two or more explanatory variables on a dependent variable. There are three principal explanatory variables in this study. They are time (conceptualized in terms of five waves of interviewing), the individual services, and the 26 tracking areas. The dependent variables, for purposes of this analysis, are enlistment propensity and perceived adequacy of recruiter information. The basic analytical question is whether observed variations in these two dependent measures can be attributed to differences across time, services, tracking areas or some combinations of these three explanatory variables.

_			
_		Page 46	
-	With	respect to enlistment propensity, six important hypotheses	
-	were tested.	These were:	
	1.	Are the services equal with respect to propensity?	
-	2.	Are the 26 tracking areas equal with respect to propensity?	
-	3.	Are the five waves of surveys equal with respect to propensity?	
	ц.	Have the services exhibited different patterns with respect	
-		to propensity over the five waves?	
	5.	Have the services exhibited different patterns with respect	
-		to propensity across the 26 tracking areas?	
-	6.	Have the 26 tracking areas exhibited different patterns	
		over the five waves?	
-			
-			
-			
-			•
-			
			-3
-			12
-			
-			

12.8

0

The analysis of enlistment propensity data revealed results which are illustrated below (See Appendix IV for F ratios).

Independent Variable	Significant
Service	Highly significant
Time	Highly significant
Tracking Areas	Highly significant
Service X Time interaction	No
Service X Tracking Area interaction	Marginally significant
Time X Tracking Area interaction	Marginally significant

Statistically

The analysis reveals that differences in enlistment propensity differ significantly as follows: (1) among services, (2) from wave-towave, and (3) across tracking areas (Hypotheses #1-#3). The active duty services differ significantly with respect to propensity irrespective of time or tracking area. For example, average propensity levels for the Air Force and the Navy have been consistently higher than those for the Army and Marine Corps. Propensity to enlist, irrespective of service or tracking area, has shifted significantly over time. The largest shift in average propensity to join the military was from Fall 1975 to Spring 1976. The next largest shift was from Fall 1976 to Spring 1977. Finally, during the two-year period in which this study has been conducted the southern tracking areas. On the other hand, propensity has been below average throughout much of the northeastern and midwestern areas of the country.

The lack of statistical significance with respect to the interaction of the individual services and time indicates that the time-related changes in the measure are not unique to any of the services but are general in mature (Hypothesis #4). The marginal significance of both the service-bytracking area and time-by-tracking area interactions suggests the following (Hypotheses #5-#6). While the individual services show some unique strengths and weaknesses across tracking areas, and while there are some unique timerelated changes within tracking areas, the level of significance in both cases is so marginal that it would be misleading, from an action standpoint, to single these out.

The analysis of variance of the propensity data has implications for reporting the data. The following discussions of variations in enlistment propensity appear to be valid:

- Differences across services
- Differences across time (viewed in terms of time-related changes in the national levels).
- . Differences among tracking areas (viewed in terms of those that are above or below the U.S. average)

Hence, year-to-year changes within tracking areas, reported in previous surveys, have been deleted.

A similar analysis of variance was undertaken with respect to perceived adequacy of recruiter information. That is, the same six hypotheses tested with respect to propensity were tested. This analysis

~

0

revealed that this measure differs significantly (1) among services and
(2) from wave to wave. There are no significant differences in this measure
across tracking areas nor are there any significant interactions among
services, time and tracking areas with respect to this measure (see Appendix
IV for F ratios).

	5	Tables	2.1 t	0 2.1	3 sum	marize	the	key	track	ing ar	ea d	lata.	Inte	rpre-		
tation	of	these	table	s has	been	facil	itate	d by	the	follow	ing	system	of	notatio	on :	

- . Percentages that are significantly different from the U.S. average for a particular service are . . .
- . CIRCLED if the entry is lower than the U.S. average
- . BOXED if the entry is higher than the U.S. average

Propensity to Serve

The key measure in this study is propensity to serve in the Armed Forces. As in past reports, the reader is cautioned against making any absolute interpretations of the propensity data. The propensity measure is an index of likelihood of entering military service. Accordingly, the propensity data should be interpreted in a relative sense (e.g., the identification of "high" versus "low" tracking areas). There are various factors such as time of entry, enlisted man versus officer status, and the rates of mental and physical qualification that enter into any attempt to forecast accessions.

. 1 .

Positive Propensity by Tracking Area

2.1

Figures 2.1 - 2.7 graphically present the propensity data for active duty services as well as the National Guard, Reserves and Coast Guard.

The overall rank order of the active duty services based on expressed propensity levels has remained consistent across all five waves of this study. Once again, the Air Force is highest (15.7%), followed closely by the Navy (15.5%). The Army (12.7%) is third and the Marine Corps (11.0%) fourth.

The propensity to serve in the Reserves is 15.7% and for the National Guard the figure is 16.1%. Respondents who expressed positive propensity to serve in the Reserve Components also were asked which branches of the Reserves and National Guard they would choose. The data are presented in the tabulations: Volume 2, Pages 24 and 26; and Volume 5, Pages 24 and 26.



0

0

FIGURE 2.2

POSITIVE PROPENSITY LEVELS BY TRACKING AREA

(Percent respondents endorsing definitely or probably consider serving)

ARMY



FIGURE 2.3

POSITIVE PROPENSITY LEVELS BY TRACKING AREA

MARINE CORPS

(Percent respondents endorsing <u>definitely</u> or <u>probably</u> consider serving)



Page 53

0





FIGURE 2.6

POSITIVE PROPENSITY LEVELS BY TRACKING AREA

RESERVES

(Percent respondents endorsing definitely or probably consider serving)



" a set at .

0

-

-

-



0

1. 22. 22. 1

Table 2.1 summarizes the propensity data for each of the services within each of the 26 tracking areas. Relative to national averages, the following exceptions occur:

- The propensity to serve in the <u>Air Force</u> is below the U.S. average of 15.7% in one tracking area: New York City (6.8%). Three tracking areas -- Texas (24.0%), South Carolina/ Georgia (23.4%), and New Mexico/Colorado (21.6%) are above the national average.
- 2. The propensity to serve in the <u>Army</u> is below the U.S. average of 12.7 percent in these tracking areas: New York City (6.5%), Chicago (7.4%), Southern California (8.6%) and Philadelphia (7.2%). South Carolina/Georgia (20.2%), New Orleans (18.1%), and Kentucky (18.2%) are above this U.S. average.
- 3. The overall propensity to serve in the <u>Marine Corps</u> is 11.0 percent. Seven tracking areas deviate from this average. New York City (6.1%), Ohio (6.4%), Chicago (6.7%), Minnesota/Nebraska/ North Dakota/South Dakota (7.2%), and Wisconsin (6.5%) are below the national average. South Carolina/Georgia (20.8%) and Kentucky (18.7%) are above this U.S. average.
- b. Six tracking areas deviate from the <u>Navy's</u> national average of 15.5 percent. These are New York City (7.4%) and Chicago (8.1%) which fall below the national average and Florida (21.6%), Boston (24.5%), South Carolina/Georgia (22.3%), and New Mexico/Colorado (22.5%) which are significantly above the U.S. average.

TABLE 2.1 POSITIVE PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN MILITARY SERVICES Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

0

		-					ILACKIN	g Area	ESCIMAT	ė				
Percent Saying Definitely or Probably	Total U.S.	Phil.	Bstn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	S.C./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	- K	Mins.	W18.	N.M./ Col.	Wash.	>1
Air Force	15.7	14 3	18.2	15.5	17.4	23.4	18.9	18.5	17.71	13.4	12.2	21.6	17.8	
Агту	12.7	(<u>,</u>)	15.5	14.5	16.6	20.2	18.1	12.7	18.2	11.4	9.2	13.2	0.6	
Marine Corps	0.11	8.4	13.8	14.9	11.6	20.8	15.4	10.6	18.7	6.7	(e.5	14.6	9.1	
Navy	15.5	11.8	24.5	16.7	15.5	22.3	16.4	18.0	17.9	16.8	15.0	22.5	17.8	
National Guard	16.1	(°.4)	26.4	21.1	21.1	19.5	21.7	18.3	21.2	22.3	18.7	16.0	12.0	
Reserves	15.7	13.4	19.5	21.0	18.9	24.1	16.0	13.2	16.3	19.3	15.8	17.8	14.0	
Coast Guard	11.6	6.6	19.1	11.7	14.2	14.2	15.0	9.8	13.5	9.11	10.4	13.7	16.7	
Base: All Respondent	ts													
Response alternatives:		Defini Probab Probab Defini	tely con ly consi ly not c tely not	sider der onsider conside	H									
Source: Question 5a.														
	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Page 59

0

4

123

.

C

-

TABLE 2.1 POSITIVE PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN MILITARY SERVICES

	Circle	d and b	oxed en f two S	tries a tandard	LE TLOL	s of the	e total e Track	u.S. fa ing Area	alls bey a Estima	rond the				
Percent Saying Definitely or Probably	Total U.S.	-	AIb./	Hr sbg.	Mash.	Pla.		- B	-	- Chi.	Mh. / ND. / SD	Tex.	- Cal.	- E
Air Porce	15.7		16.0	10.9	15.9	17.7	17.5	14.0	12.4	10.1	12.8	24.0	18.0	14.4
Aray	12.7	•	15.0	10.5	10.8	13.1	14.9	10.9	12.8	(\mathbf{r})	14.0	16.4	() () ()	10.5
Marine Corps	0.11	3	12.4	10.5	13.7	12.3	13.4	•	8.5	(°)	۲. ۲	12.3	8.2	6.6
Navy	15.5	(\cdot)	18.7	12.0	13.9	21.6	13.4	12.0	17.4	(T) (B)	12.2	18.8	1.11	12.6
National Guard	16.1	(m)	16.3	18.3	19.4	17.4	25.7	12.5	(10.2	(e)	17.0	19.3	12.3	14.7
Reserves	15.7	3.2	13.7	14.7	14.3	17.71	18.2	15.0	13.1	9.11	15.0	19.2	16.8	17.5
Coast Guard	11.6	•	14.8	11.0	11.8	18.9	11.7	9.1	8.3	(e)	(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r})	15.4	10.4	12.1
Base: All respondents														
Response alternatives:	Definitely Probably co Probably no Definitely	conside nsider t consi not con	r der sider											
Source: Question 5a														

Page 60

.93

. B. S. B.

5. The National Guard with a total U.S. average of 16.1 percent is significantly below average in New York City (3.6%), Michigan/Indiana (10.2%), Chicago (6.4%), and Philadelphia (9.4%). Alabama/Mississippi/Tennessee (25.7%), Boston (26.4%), and Des Moines (22.3%) are all above the U.S. average.

- 6. The propensity to serve in the <u>Reserves</u> is 15.7 percent. New York City (5.2%), is below average. South Carolina/Georgia (24.1%) is above the U.S. average.
- 7. The propensity to serve in the <u>Coast Guard</u> is relatively low in New York City (4.8%), Chicago (6.1%), and Minnesota/Nebraska/ North Dakota/South Dakota (7.7%). The propensity to serve in the <u>Coast Guard</u> is relatively high in Florida (18.9%) and Boston (19.1%).

These data indicate that two tracking areas are relatively weak with respect to propensity to join any of the military services. These areas are New York City and Chicago. On the other hand, the military tends to have particular appeal in southern tracking areas, as well as in Boston and New Mexico/Colorado. These observed differences among tracking areas, discussed at the beginning of this section, can be the result of such factors as demographics, economics, and military recruiting efforts. Variables that are believed to influence propensity are examined in detail in Section III.

2.2 Two Factors Mediating Between Expressed Propensity and Enlistment

Two important mediating factors between expressed propensity to serve in the military and actual enlistment are expected time of entry and whether the young man will enter as an enlisted man or as an officer. Both factors add further meaning to the propensity measure. A discussion of these two factors follows.

2.2.1 Expected Time of Entry Into Military Service

In past surveys, positive propensity respondents were asked to indicate when they expected to enter (1) the active duty services and (2) the Reserve components. In the Fall 1977 survey, positive propensity respondents were asked only to indicate when they expected to join the military service.

The percentage of positive propensity men who said they will enter either the active duty services or Reserve components within the near future (within two years) is 31.9%. Three tracking areas are above the U.S. average with respect to enlisting in the military within the next two years. These are New York City, Florida, and Washington/ Oregon. On the other hand, Harrisburg, Texas, Pittsburg, Richmond/North Carolina, and New Orleans fall below the U.S. average.

Ohio, Chicago, and New Mexico/Colorado have an above-average percent of positive propensity youth who expressed long-term expectations, (i.e., two or more years) with respect to joining the military. The proportion of positive propensity youth who do not know when they will enlist is below average in Washington, D.C., Ohio, Chicago, Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/ South Dakota, New Mexico/Colorado, and Washington/Oregon and above average in Texas and Southern California

	Circ	To Percent Naming This Time Span	Within 2 years 31	More thàn 2 years 49	Don't know/no answer 18	Base: Those with positive prope	Source: Question 5a	
TABLE 2.2 WHEN EXPECT	cled and boxed entries are tho range of two Standard Error	tal NYC Buf. Hrsbq. D.f.	9 [52.0] 30.5 [23.3] 40.7	··· (34.0) 45.8 55.4 47.:	5 13.9 23.7 21.4 12.0	nsity		
TT TO JOIN MILITARY SER	ose where Total U.S. fa rs of the Tracking Area	th. Fla. Al./ Ms./ Ms./ Oh.	7 45.4 36.1 31.1	.3 (39.4) 44.7 60.3	0 15.2 19.2 (8.7			
/1CE	lly beyond the Estimate.	Mi./ Mi./ In. Chi. SD I	32.8 27.8 35.4 (2	47.8 63.8 55.2 5) 19.5 (8.5) (9.4) [2			
		so. No. Eex. Cal. Cal.	1.15 2.15 (1.1	60.3 43.6 48.0	8.4 25.0 21.0			

Page 63

0

TABLE 2.2 WHEN EXPECT TO JOIN MILITARY SERVICE

-

-

0

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

Percent Naming	Total U.S.	Phil.	Bstn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	s.c./ Ga.	New Orln.	Árk.	Ky.	Des- Mns.	Wis.	N.M./ Col.	Wash./ Oreg.	K.C./ Okla.
This Time Span	•	•	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	•	•	-	•	-
Within 2 years	31.9	33.2	36.2	25.0	(7)	38.5	51.5	31.3	25.8	29.7	. 9	29.3	41.2	28.0
More than 2 years	1.64	50.6	49.6	52.2	53.1	46.1	51.7	45.9	52.6	56.0	48.7	58.6	49.3	53.0
Don't know/no answer	18.5	16.2	14.2	22.7	22.8	15.4	23.8	22.8	21.6	14.2	16.4	12.1	(°.5)	19.0

Base: Those with positive propensity

Source: Question 5a

Page 64

d +

As stated in past reports of this study, any attempts to forecast	
ates of accession must consider several factors. These are regional	
ifferences that occur for both positive and negative propensity, expected	
ime of entry, and mental and physical disqualification rates.	

2.2.2 Officer Versus Enlisted Entry Expectations

Table 2.3 shows the data for officer versus enlisted entry expectations. As the table indicates, 72.5% of positive propensity youth expect to enter the military as enlisted men. This is slightly higher than the Fall 1976 figure of 70.8%. This difference is not statistically significant, however. The percent of those with positive propensity who expect to enter the service as officers remains unchanged. The balance of respondents (3.5%, not shown in the Table) do not know whether they would enter as enlisted men or as officers.

Texas, New Mexico/Colorado, and Washington/Oregon have the lowest percentage of positive propensity youth who expect to enter the military as enlisted men. Just the opposite is true of Michigan/Indiana. With respect to expectations to become military officers, Michigan/Indiana is below the national level, while Alabama/Mississippi/Tennessee, New Mexico/ Colorado, Texas and Washington/Oregon are above this average.

0

C

-

TABLE 2.3 EXPECTATION OF ENTERING SERVICE AS AN ENLISTED MAN OR AN OFFICER

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

Mn./. Nb./. So. No. <u>So</u> Tex. <u>Cal.</u> <u>Cal.</u>	78.1 (1.1) 65.8 76.0	21.2 30.5 28.3 22.9
Mi./ In. Chi.	80.0	12.5 17.4
Al./ Tn./ 0h.	68.2 74.2	31.8 21.3
ash. .C. <u>Pla</u> .	8.4 75.9	8.0 20.6
. Hrsbg. D	5 72.3 68	2 22.5 21
NYC BUE	68.9 76.	27.4 20.3
Total U.S.	72.5	24.0
Percent Would Enter As:	Enlisted man	Officer

Base: Those with positive propensity

Source: Question 5a

Page 66

walle .

TABLE 2.3 EXPECTATION OF ENTERING SERVICE AS AN ENLISTED MAN OR AN OFFICER

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

	Total U.S.	Phil.	Bstn.	Pit.	Rich./	S.C./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	Ky.	Des- Mns.	Wis.	N.M./ Col.	Wash./ Oreg.	K.C./ Okla.
Percent Would Enter As:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enlisted man	72.5	75.5	67.9	77.0	76.7	78.7	71.5	72.6	78.0	74.9	11.4	(53.3	62.6	73.4
Officer	24.0	23.2	28.8	21.6	19.4	21.3	28.5	22.3	18.5	25.1	22.2	31.9	35.6	24.9

Base: Those with positive propensity

Source: Question 5a

Page 67

0

0

0

a material line and

States and states

2.3

Academic Achievement and Derived Quality Index

A young recruit's success in the military is contingent, in part, on his mental abilities. As in past waves of this study, the relative mental quality of respondents is determined by asking them to report several areas of academic information -- high school grades, high school education program, mathematics courses taken and passed in high school, and science courses covering electricity and/or electronics taken and successfully passed in high school. A quality index number is computed for each respondent based on his responses to these questions. High school education program (i.e., college preparatory, commercial business, and vocational) is not used in developing this index, since it is difficult to assign scalar values to this factor. The index ranges from a low score of 1 to a high score of 10. The derivation of the quality index was explained earlier in Table 1.6.

The quality index data are reported in Table 2.4. The national quality index value is 6.38 which is comparable to the Fall 1976 figure (6.36). The current level appears to be a reversal of a downward trend observed in the quality index across the previous waves. The quality index has ranged from a high of 6.43 (Fall 1975) to a low of 6.29 (Spring 1977). Levels of quality index show a regional pattern. Quality index values are below average in several southern areas: Florida, Alabama/Mississippi/ Tennessee, Richmond/North Carolina, and Kentucky. On the other hand, quality index values **are** above the U.S. average in these eastern tracking areas: New York City, Boston, and Pittsburgh.

TABLE 2.4 RESPONDENT QUALITY INDEX

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.



Base: All respondents

Minimum value = 1

Maximum value = 10

Source: Volume I, page 204

Page 69

0

0

Notes and the local and the

0 -K.C./ 6.41 Page 70 Wash./ 6.34 N.M./ Col.
 Ky.
 Mis.
 Wis.
 Col.

 (5.91)
 6.39
 6.43
 6.34
 Wis. Des-Mns.
 Pit.
 Nich./ 5.C./ New

 N.C.
 Ga.
 Orth.
 Ark.

 6.66
 6.02
 6.31
 6.22
 6.32
 RESPONDENT QUALITY INDEX TABLE 2.4 Bstn. 6.75 Phil. 6.50 Total U.S. 6.38 Source: Volume I, page 204 Base: All respondents Maximum value = 10 Minimum value = 1 Mean index value 0

· · · · · · · · · · · · ·

As Table 1.6 showed, the number of math courses taken and passed is an important component of the quality index. Table 2.5 shows that east coast tracking areas are superior to other areas in terms of the number of math courses reported taken and passed. Just the opposite is true of southern tracking areas. This finding is consistent with previous surveys.

While the high school curriculum does not figure directly into the derivation of the quality index, it contributes to an understanding of the propensity measure. For example, young men enrolled in college preparatory courses are probably less likely than the average high school student to be inclined to pursue a military career, since students who have actually attended college are known to be disinclined toward enlistment (see Table 3.2, page 99).

Table 2.6 shows that the 26 tracking areas differ widely with respect to high school education programs. Respondents in New York City, Boston and New Orleans are more likely than their counterparts in other areas of the country to have had a college preparatory program in high school. Respondents in several southern and in at least two midwest tracking areas are more likely to have had a vocational program. On a national basis, the percentage of youth who report having had a college preparatory program in high school (42.3%) is down significantly from Fall 1976 (48.0%).

A more detailed documentation of academic achievement, including quality index values, is available in Vol. 2, pages 200 to 205 of the supplementary document to this report.

-1. 1 1 --٢. --~ -

0

0

and the supervised of the supervised of the

0

1

-

TABLE 2.5 NUMBER OF MATH COURSES PASSED

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

							A1./				Nh./			
	Total U.S.	NYC	Alb./ Buf.	Hr sbg .	Wash. D.C.	Fla.	HS.	. HO	Mi ./	chi.	ND/ .	Tex.	So. Cal.	
Percent Naming This Humber of Courses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-]	-	-	•	-	-	-	
three or more	33.5	49.5	41.9	44.0	35.5	30.8	6.02	37.5	28.9	36.6	35.4	24.6	24.6	
less than three	50.6	32.6	(F) (F)	(°-1)	45.7	(13.6	60.5	() 	55.5	53.7	51.8	63.4	57.8	
ione/no answer	16.0	17.9	14.5	14.4	18.8	25.6	18.6	20.9	15.6	(B)	12.9	12.0	17.7	

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 21a

Page 72

TABLE 2.5 NUMBER OF MATH COURSES PASSED

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

Percent Naming This	Total U.S.	Phíl.	Bstn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	S.C./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	Ky.	Des- Mns.	Wis.	N.M./ Col.	Wash./ Oreg.	K.C., Okla
NUMBER OF COURSES	-1	-1	-	-	-1	-1	-	-1	-1	-	-	-	-	-
Three of more	33.5	39.8	47.1	37.0	28.3	34.1	34.9	56.2	(1) (1)	38.0	33.1	34.8	33.4	30.8
Less than three	50.6	6 2.8	(°)	49.0	1.64	48.6	49.5	1.65	60.8	(°.	56.3	52.2	54.9	53.2
None/ho answer	16.0	17.4	12.3	13.9	22.0	17.3	15.7	14.4	18.1	19.0	(°.)	12.9	11.7	16.0

Base: All respondents

Source: Question 21a

-

•;

Page 73

0

0

. -. -

0

and and and and and the

-

-

-

TABLE 2.6 HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION PROGRAM

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

											Mn./			
	Total U.S.	NYC	Alb./ Buf.	Hrsbg.	Wash. D.C.	Fla.	A. /	ю.	Mi ./ In.	chi.	ND./	Tex.	So. Cal.	No. Cal.
Percent Naming This Program	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
College Preparatory	42.3	66.4	45.0	1. 64	45.7	£. 6¥	36.5	44.0	37.3	32.7	37.9	36.5	44.2	40.1
Commercial/Business	15.7	16.9	16.8	13.9	19.3	12.1	14.6	17.2	20.8	22.6	14.8	•.11	12.4	18.0
Vocational	38.7	(10 10	35.1	31.7	32.7	36.0	46.4	34.2	41.3	39.1	42.5	48.3	32.4	39.7

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 23

....

A. Same

Page 74

TABLE 2.6 HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION PROGRAM

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

*														
Percent Naming This Program	Total U.S.	Phil.	Bstn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	S.C./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	Ky.	Mns.	Wis.	N.M./ Col.	Wash./ Oreg.	K.C./ Oreg.
College Preparatory	42.3	46.2	55.6	43.5	41.6	37.4	54.1	(F) (F)	39.3	30.1	. (11)	37.6	38.1	41.2
Commercial/Business	15.7	21.7	12.5	18.9	10.4	6.01	11.2	19.8	13.1	17.4	13.4	12.0	14.6	15.1
Vocational	38.7	31.5	30.0	35.4	46.2	47.4	32.0	41.7	42.6	46.9	53.2	45.0	45.9	41.8
Base: All Responde Source: Question 23	nts													

-

Page 75

0

0

0

and the second section is a second section of the second sec

2.4 Recalled Recruiter Contact

-

Table 2.7 shows the level of recalled recent recruiter contact (past 5 to 6 months) for the total national sample and for each of the 26 tracking areas. Nationally, 26.0% of the sample report having had contact with a military recruiter within the past five to six months. New York City falls below this national average. Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/ South Dakota and Wisconsin are significantly above the average. As discussed in Section I, there is no significant Fall-to-Fall national change in this measure.

Page 77								
			No.	-	24.2			
			So. Cal.	-	27.4			-
			Tex.	-	21.1			-
			Mn./ ND/ SD	•	33.7			^
		d the	chi.	•	26.3			^
		s beyon stimate	Mi ./ In.	-	25.2			-
	TACT	S. fall Area E	oh.	-	24.0			-
	LTER COL	otal U. racking	A1./ Ms./	-	24.1			-
	r recru	where T f the T	Fla.	-	22.5			-
	D RECEN	those rrors o	Wash. D.C.	-	24.2			~
	.7 HAI	ies are ndard E	Ir sbg.	-	26.6			-
	TABLE 2	ed entr two Stai	Alb./	-	28.0			~
		and boxe	NYC	*	18.8			-
		ircled a	Total U.S.	*	26.0			-
		U						-
				ŧI	sh	ndents	tion 8a	-
				Contac	6 mont	l respo	Quest	-
				rcent H cruiter	st 5 to	se: Al	Irce:	• -
0.				Rec	Par	Bas	Sou	

1

TABLE 2.7 HAD RECENT RECRUITER CONTACT

0

-

....

-

-

~

O

Circled and hoxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

ercent Had ecruiter Contact	Past 5 to 6 Months
Total U.S.	26.0
Phil.	22.4
Bstn.	26.8
Dit.	24.9
Rich./ N.C.	24.9
s.c./ Ga.	30.4
New Orln.	29.7
Ark.	30.8
KY.	23.5
Mins.	28.5
1 ee. 1 Ee.	37.2
N.M./ Col.	30.6
Wash./	26.5
K.C./ Okla.	29.1

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 8a.

Page 78

33

A. S. S. C.

2.5 Adequacy of Information Received From the Recruiter

As in the past, adequacy of information is defined in quantitative terms. Specifically, each respondent who reported having had recruiter contact was asked whether he felt that the information provided was

- All the information you wanted
- Most of it
- . Very little

Inadequate information was defined by a response of "very little".

The analysis of variance discussed earlier revealed that this measure, over the two-year period of this study, differs significantly (1) from wave to wave and (2) among services. Differences in this measure across tracking areas and differences with respect to the interactions of services, tracking areas and time all were found to be not statistically significant. The lack of statistical significance with respect to tracking areas indicates the following. In each wave of this study, including the present wave, the measure of information adequacy has shown great diversity across the 26 tracking areas. However, there appears to be no consistent pattern. The lack of statistical significance with respect to the (1) service-by-tracking area, (2) service-by-time, and (3) tracking are-by-time interactions suggests first that the individual services, on the average, show no unique strengths or weaknesses across tracking areas. In addition, time-related changes in the measure are not unique to any of the services but are general in nature. Finally, there are no unique time-related changes within tracking areas. Hence, only year-to-year changes and differences among services are discussed.

۶.,

Table 2.8 shows the percent of respondents who reported that they received inadequate information from the various services. On a national basis, all four active duty services do reasonably well in Fall 1977. At worst, only one-in-six respondents felt that the contacting services did not provide enough information. In the present wave, the Air Force does slightly better than the other three services in providing information on a Fall 1976 to Fall 1977 basis. The services as a group did not change on this measure.

Page 81 •| (______ 21.3 4.1 16.8 No. 19.9 (°, °) 15.1 21.5 33.5 So. 6.7 23.0 (s) Tex. 26.3 12.7 17.6 ND./ 12.1 33.9 -TABLE 2.8 PERCENT RECEIVING INADEQUATE INFORMATION FROM MILITARY RECRUITER 8.8 chi. $\overline{}$ Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate. -22.2 e . 3 19.7 Mi./ 24.3 -5.1 14.2 31.2 10.6 9.5 26.0 19.4 Al. -15.7 17.1 Fla. 8.8 25.8 20.2 Wash. D.C. 2°0 27.7 -Alb./ Buf. Hrsbg. (5.2) (L. 6) (r) 24.4 All the information you wanted Most of it Very little **4.9 28.2** 15.0 12.8 17.5 17.6 17.8 ٢ Base: Respondents having recruiter contact NAC -Total U.S. 17.3 14.2 16.5 -Response alternatives: Question 9e Percent Getting Very Little Information From Marine Corps From Air Force From Army From Navy Source:

. -

0

Г

5

-

L ...

-

-

-

-

-

.

-

-

-

.

0

PERCENT RECEIVING INADEQUATE INFORMATION FROM MILITARY RECRUITER TABLE 2.8

	Total U.S.	Phil.	Bstn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	s.c./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	× 100	Mns.	196 .	N.M./ Col.	Wash./ Oreg.	K.C./ Okla.
Percent Getting Very Little Information											•			
From Air Force	14.2	14.5	10.4	11.7	14.2	•	26.1	36.1	16.4	10.8	15.1	40.3	10.8	16.8
From Army	17.3	18.2	21.9	12.5	21.3	14.9	25.3	18.0 (6.01	14.5	32.0	21.4	(°.)
From Marine Corps	16.5	29.9	12.2	16.2	11.4	8.11	22.3	22.1 1	.2.8	14.5	26.2	36.4	(F)	18.9
From Navy	17.5	20.0	20.3	18.0	(³ .6)	(L) (B) (L) (L) (L) (L) (L) (L) (L) (L) (L) (L	23.1	16.8 2	83.8	(°.)	28.0	24.3	17.8	36.4
Bacon Baconordente ha		and many in												

recruiter contact

All the information you wanted Most of it Very little Response alternatives:

Source: Question 9e.

· · · · · · · · · ·

Page 82

0

2.6 Other Activities Concerning Enlistment

The study has examined in all five waves various behaviors related to seeking information about the military. Each respondent is asked whether or not he has undertaken a series of information seeking activities during the last six months. The data are summarized in Table 2.9 in terms of the percent of youth who say that they have undertaken a particular activity.

Enlistment-oriented activities are presented below in descending order of mention for the total U.S. sample. There have been no major shifts in these behaviors since the first wave of the study.

•	Talked with friends in or out of service	37.4%	
•	Talked with one or both parents	32.5%	
•	Taken aptitude test in high school given by Armed Services	18.3%	
	Talked with wife/girlfriend	16.0%	
	Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	12.0%	
	Asked for information by mail	12.0%	
•	Physically or mentally tested at military examining station	4.9%	
	Made toll-free call to get information	3.3%	

There are some differences across tracking areas with respect to seeking information about the military. New York and Chicago respondents were somewhat less likely than youth in other areas of the country to seek information about enlistment. On the other hand, Pittsburg, South Carolina/ Georgia, and Wisconsin youth were somewhat more likely to have sought information.

-

Page 84 27.8 No. 37.5 10.1 18.2 34.6 2.7 1.1 13.0 . So. 13.4 38.0 12.0 18.1 31.9 10.1 4.3 6.1 -24.6 Tex. 34.7 9.5 10.6 17.4 31.7 4.5 12.7 4.7 1.6 17.6 16.3 ND. 13.6 35.6 42.1 3.2 8.8 22.2 Chi. 28.4 11.9 1.0 Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate. 5.8 9.1 10.3 -26.1 12.4 13.6 31.9 12.8 17.9 Mi ./ 2.4 3.4 8.6 -2.1) 32.2 35.4 чо • 13.8 13.8 18.2 4.1 14.9 42.6 20.3 33.7 22.2 4.8 NI. 16.1 2.3 -14.5 44.9 Fla. 12.5 15.9 43.0 19.2 3.1 7.3 -Wash. D.C. 15.9 9.95 37.9 12.3 17.1 14.1 6.3 6.1 -Alb./ Buf. Hrsbg. 36.0 11.1 34.9 18.3 17.7 9.5 3.6 3.7 -12.7 40.4 40.6 17.4 17.3 13.9 3.0 6.2 * (6.7) (6.2) NYC (25.6) (23.7) 12.0 (8.2) 7.3 2.2 3.6 -Total U.S. 6.4 12.0 37.4 16.0 32.5 18.3 3.3 -Talked with friends in or out of service Taken aptitude test in high school given by armed services Made toll-free call to get information Physically or mentally tested at military examining station Talked with teacher or guidance counselor Base: All respondents Percent Answering Yes Talked with wife/girl friend Asked for information by mail Talked with one or both parents

Question 5a

Source:

TABLE 2.9 OTHER ACTIVITIES CONCERNING ENLISTMENT

0

-

~

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

TABLE 2.9 OTHER ACTIVITIES CONCERNING ENLISTMENT

0

0

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

Page

														85
Percent Answering Yes	Total U.S.	Phil.	Bstn.	Pit.	Rich./ N.C.	S.C./ Ga.	New Orln.	Ark.	اھ <u>ال</u> ا	Mins.	Wis.	N.M./ Col.	Wash./ Oreg.	K.C./
Talked with friends in or out of service	37.4	39.0	40.1	44.3	40.6	41.3	39.8	37.2	38.0	36.0	45.0	35.1	40.9	34.5
Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	12.0	8.5	15.5	15.9	14.7	15.0	12.8	9.7	(12.2	16.6	10.0	1.11	9.3
Talked with wife/ girlfriend	16.0	12.0	16.2	13.8	19.9	25.2	23.0	13.0	17.8	14.6		(TO)	18.3	14.7
Talked with one or both parents	32.5	32.3	35.6	37.9	33.2	35.5	29.7	36.0	27.3	31.2	35.5	32.2	35.0	33.3
Taken aptitude test in high school given by armed services	18.3	(e)	17.4	26.2	22.0	29.7	20.6	17.8	23.6	20.0	22.7	16.3	25.0	15.6
Made toll-free call to get infor- mation	3.3	2.5	6.0	3.2	2.4	5.2	5.2	3.1	(r)	2.7	2.8	\bigcirc	3.4	3.7
Physically or mentally tested at military examining station	4.9	6.5	1.1	4.5	(5.6)	8.6	5.3	6.1	. e	3.8	3.7	4.9	5.5	5.7
Asked for information by mail	12.0	11.2	13.9	14.3	(°.)	15.6	12.3	8.5	11.6	11.2	18.2	6.9	12.7	12.2
Base: All Respond-	ents													

-

2.7 Knowledge of Monthly Enlisted Starting Pay

Respondents in each wave of the study have been asked to provide unaided their best estimates of monthly enlisted starting pay before taxes. For tabulation purposes, the estimates of starting pay are coded by \$50 intervals.

Estimates of monthly starting pay are presented in Table 2.10 in terms of the percentage of respondents who were not able to make an estimate and the mean dollar value of monthly starting pay for those respondents who made an estimate.

The percentage of respondents who could not make an estimate is 50.1% for the nation as a whole. This figure is significantly higher than the corresponding figures for three preceeding waves: 41.6% (Fall 1975), 46.4% (Spring 1976), and 43.9% (Fall 1976). It is comparable, however, to the Spring 1977 figure (49.6%). The proportion of respondents not able to make an estimate is particularly low in Southern California and particularly high in New York City and Washington, D.C.

The average estimate of starting pay for the total U.S. sample is \$401, very close to the actual figure of \$397. Thirteen of the 26 tracking areas ranged from \$14 to \$52 above the U.S. average and 12 of the areas ranged from \$15 to \$49 below the U.S. average. The latter tracking areas represent geographical areas in which marketing communications could be implemented to alter perceptions of monthly enlisted starting pay. Only

0

0

TABLE 2.10 ESTIMATED MONTHLY STARTING PAY FOR ENLISTED MAN



Don't know/no answer (Percent)

Pay in dollars (Mean)

Base: All respondents

Source: Question 10a

7

1 Pratice

and the second of the second se

0

5

TABLE 2.10 ESTIMATED MONTHLY STARTING PAY FOR ENLISTED MAN

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the tracking Area Estimate.



Page 88

.

0

0

Arkansas is on par with the U.S. average.

The relationship between starting pay and propensity to serve is examined in more detail in Section IV.

in which i

2.8 Perceived Difficulty of Obtaining A Full Time Job

Labor market factors can be expected to have an effect on enlistment. Unemployment rates typically vary from region-to-region and for men of different ages, and people's impressions of the job market may have a greater role in career choice than the actual labor situation. In the survey respondents regularly have been asked how difficult they felt it was to get a full time job.

Table 2.11 summarizes young men's perceptions of the market for full time jobs. Nationwide, 38.2% of the sample felt that for a person their age getting a full time job in their area was very difficult or almost impossible, and 59.7% felt that it was somewhat difficult or not difficult at all. These figures are consistent with Fall 1976 figures. A number of tracking areas depart in one direction or the other from the national averages. Generally, those areas in which more respondents felt that a job was very difficult/almost impossible to get are located in eastern urban regions, e.g., New York City, Philadelphia, Washington, D.C. In South Carolina/Georgia, Arkansas, Des Moines, Kansas City/Oklahoma, Minnesota/Nebraska/North Dakota/South Dakota, and Texas, more individuals felt that getting a full time job was only somewhat difficult or not difficult at all.

AD-	A056 87 Lassifi	7 MAF YOU FEE	RKET FA	CTS INC	CHIC	AGO IL G STUDY	FALL	1977.(U)	1DA903-	F/ 75-C-02	G 5/9 96	
	2 of 2 2056877			*									
	Take Take Take			İnnener						 Martin Martine Ma			
							*						
	E												
										11	i A det a Nobra		
				PTT PTT	-								
		END date filmed 9 =-78 ddc									2		

 \odot 35.8 No. 64.2 42.6 55.0 2.5 So. 28.7 Tex. 1.1 70.3 27.6 11.4 ND./ 1.0 38.1 chi. 58.1 3.8 Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate. PERCEIVED DIFFICULTY OF OBTAINING FULL TIME JOB 35.7 63.3 Mi ./ 1.1 (•) 34.1 .uo 60.4 61.2 39.0 Al./ 54.2 3.0 42.8 Fla. Wash. D.C. 48.8 **4**3.8 7.4 (s.) Alb./ Buf. Hrsbg. 45.3 54.2 40.5 57.6 1.9 TABLE 2.11 30.4 NYC 65.3 4.3 2.1 Total U.S. 38.2 59.7 Somewhat difficult/ Not difficult at all Base: All Respondents Source: Question 3L Almost impossible/ very difficult Don't Know/ No Answer

Page 91

0

0

0

Successive Successive Successive Successive Successive Successive Successive Successive Successive Successive S

--; ----5 -٢. -----

0

0

0

-

TABLE 2.11 PERCEIVED DIFFICULTY OF OBTAINING FULL TIME JOB

Circled and boxed entries are those where Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the Tracking Area Estimate.

Base: All Respondents

Source: Questions 3L

Page 92



Sec. 1.

SECTION III

Analysis of Target Markets

Through the use of the propensity measure, we are in effect segmenting the pool of "military available" young men into those men who are likely to be more receptive to the military's recruiting efforts (i.e., target market) and those who will not. It is important to have an understanding of what causes one man to consider the military as a career and another man to exclude the service from his career options. Such an understanding should help the services to maximize the effectiveness of their recruiting.

The present section, first examines the relationship between propensity and a number of demographic, attitudinal, and behavioral factors. The intent of this analysis is to identify those factors that discriminate between positive and negative propensity groups and it is undertaken for propensity for military service in general as well as for the individual services.

The following variables are included in this analysis:

Demographic Variables

-

- . Age (Qu. 3a)
- . Employment Status (Qu. 3f, 3g, 3h)
- . Race (Qu. 26)

. Educational Status (Qu. 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e)

. Education of Father (Qu. 21)

. Quality Index (See Section I)

. Intention to self-support post-high school education (Qu. 3j)

0

 \cap

Importance of Job Attributes (Qu. 6a)	-
Achievability of Life Goals in the Military (Qu. 11)	-
Information Sources Actions Taken	-
. Persons Spoken To/Actions Taken (Qu. 8c) . Recruiter Contact (Qu. 8a, 9a, 9b, 9c, 9d, 9e)	-
Influencers (Qu. 12a, 12b,13)	-
Advertising Recall (Qu. 7b, 7d)	-
Following this first analysis, this section examines demographic,	-
attitudinal and behavioral characteristics of young men who have graduated from high school and are not currently attending school. This demographic	-
group represents a desirable target market to the services.	-
	-
	_
	-
	-

3.1 Probability of Serving

Propensity consists of young men's ratings of their probability of entering any of the four major services. While most analyses in this report examine positive versus negative propensity, scrutiny of the distribution of responses within the measure leads to some interesting observations. Table 3.1 presents the propensity measure broken down into each of its response alternatives.

Several conclusions can be drawn:

- Very few young men indicated that they are definitely going to enter the military service. The great majority of respondents in the positive propensity group rated themselves as probable entrants rather than definite entrants.
- 2. The largest single category consists of those who said that they will definitely not enter a given military service. This ranges from a low of 43.4% for the Air Force to high of 49.7% for the Marine Corps.

3. About one-half of the respondents labeled themselves as probably likely or probably not likely to join a military service. The combination of these middle ground respondents constitutes the majority for each service, with the exception of the Marine Corps. This group of young men, who are not strongly committed for or against a military career, may provide a large, fertile market for recruitment programs.

0

0

TABLE 3.1

DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSES FOR MEASURE OF PROPENSITY

	Air Force	Army	Marine Corps	Navy
Response	1	1	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>
Definitely	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.7
Probably	14.0	11.3	9.7	13.7
Probably Not	36.3	36.6	35.3	35.8
Definitely Not	43.4	46.4	49.7	44.8
Don't know/No answer	4.7	4.3	4.1	4.0

12

1 12 Var Par

3.2 Demographic Variables

Demographic differences between the positive and negative propensity groups are presented in Table 3.2. The statistical reliability of these differences was assessed by computing univariate F ratios. All the comparisons appearing in the Table 3.2 are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level.

The difference between the positive and negative propensity groups can be characterized as follows:

- 1. Positive propensity individuals are younger.
- Considerably more positive propensity individuals are unemployed and looking for work.
- Blacks comprise over twice as great a proportion of the positive propensity group as of the negative propensity group. Other non-Whites are also more highly represented.
- 4. High school students make up a higher proportion of the positive group than of the negative group, but college students are about three times as likely to have a negative propensity for military service. High school graduates who are no longer in school are also more likely to be found in the negative propensity group. This demographic group is examined in greater detail at the end of this section.
- 5. The quality index, detailed in Section I, indicates that positive propensity individuals have weaker academic preparation. Positive men appear to come from lower

0

 \cap

0

TABLE 3.2

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY PROFILES ON DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

Variable	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	•
Average age*	17.82	18.56	-
Not employed/looking for work	30.4%	16.4%	_
Blacks	14.28	6.0%	
Other non-white	7.0%	3.98	
Students	62.38	53.7%	
10th grade	9.28	3.3%	-
llth grade	24.9%	13.1%	-
1-2 years of college	5.8%	17.0%	•
High school graduate, not in school	24.8%	36.5%	
Education of father*	2.61	3.24	
Quality index*	5.90	6.59	
Would work part-time to support post-high school education	82.8%	76.2%	

* Mean scale values shown

0 Page 100 socio-economic backgrounds, at least as indexed by father's education. * 6. More positive propensity young men would work part-time to support any post-high school education. This seems consistent with their lower socio-economic backgrounds. Education of father was measured on an eight point scale: 1. Did not complete high school 2. Finished high school or equivalent 3. Adult education program 4. Business or trade school Some college 5. 6. Finished college (four years) 7. Attended graduate or professional school 8. Obtained a graduate or professional degree

...

1.1 . Ist
The demographic profile of the individual services has also been examined and the characteristics of men with a positive propensity for each service appear in Table 3.3. Profiles for the negative propensity groups have been omitted since they resemble the profile of the overall negative group shown in Table 3.2. Statistical tests have been conducted which compare each service's positive propensity group to the corresponding negative propensity group for each variable. Entries in Table 3.3 were all found to be significantly different from the characteristics of the negative group.

The differences between positive and negative groups within each service are essentially the same as the differences between the overall positive and negative groups. A statistical analysis of the demographic profiles for each service reveals that these profiles are identical to one another. Thus it appears that all services draw upon pools of youths with fairly similar demographic profiles, and that these youths differ in a fairly constant fashion from negative propensity youths.

49

111.10

TABLE 3.3

DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILES OF POSITIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS -INDIVIDUAL SERVICES

		Air Force	Army	Marines	Navy	National Guard	Reserves
•		8	8	go	8	8	8
	Average age*	17.79	17.87	17.82	17.88	18.08	17.99
•	Not employed: looking for work	29.4	36.4	30.7	29.9	29.7	30.6
-	Blacks	17.1	18.2	15.4	14.3	16.5	15.7
	Other non-white	6.5	7.2	8.0	5.9	6.1	6.4
-	Students	63.2	60.3	61.5	61.7	55.6	61.4
_	10th grade	9.3	10.3	10.7	7.6	7.3	7.1
	llth grade	25.7	22.7	24.9	25.7	21.2	22.8
-	1-2 years of college	5.2	5.2	5.5	5.8	6.1	6.5
_	High school graduate not in school	25.8	24.2	24.7	26.7	30.1	26.6
	Education of father*	2.68	2.28	2.35	2.58	2.60	2.71
-	Quality index*	6.06	5.78	5.69	5.86	5.92	6.07
-	Would work part-time to support post-high school education	83.4	81.9	80.9	83.3	83.3	83.8

* Mean scale values shown

0

3.3 Importance of Job Attributes

Part of Section I examined the relative importance of job attributes as perceived by 16-21 year old males. At this point, attention is focused upon the different perceptions of positive and negative propensity men. Table 3.4 provides this comparison.

The positive propensity group rated each job attribute, on the average, as more important that did the negative propensity group. The greatest difference on any attribute appears for "Is a career you can be proud of". Compared to the negative propensity group, positive propensity men felt this attribute is particularly important. The pattern of these data have not altered noticeably since the Fall 1976 survey.

These data were analyzed for each of the services. Generally, the results for individual services are similar to those for overall propensity found in Table 3.4. A statistical analysis of the data reveals that differences between positive and negative propensity groups are general and not service specific. That is, all of the services draw upon youths with similar perceptions of job attributes.

For an attribute to help attract prospects to enlist, it must be both important and perceived as attainable in the military. Hence, an attribute's perceived relative importance and perceived attainability, considered together, may be a particularly informative comparison. Such a comparison is illustrated below, first for positive propensity respondents and secondly for negative propensity individuals.

TABLE 3.4

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY MEAN RATINGS OF IMPORTANCE OF JOB ATTRIBUTES*

	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Difference
	8	8	8
Is a career you can be proud of	1.98	2.48	.50
Gives you an opportunity to better your life	1.96	2.29	. 33
Trains you for leadership	2.31	2.64	. 33
Allows you to see many different countries of the world	2.59	2.92	. 33
Teaches you a valuable trade or skill	1.85	2.16	. 31
Gives you a job which is challenging	2.07	2.38	. 31
Provides good benefits for you and your family	1.87	2.16	.29
Has other men you would like to work with	2.57	2.84	.27
Gives you the job you want	1.97	2.23	.26
Pays well to start	2.10	2.29	.19
Helps you get a college education	2.30	2.46	.16

* Scale Value:

0

- +1 = Extremely Important +2 = Very Important +3 = Fairly Important
- +4 = Not Important At All +5 = No Answer

Therefore, smaller values indicate more perceived importance.

+In the difference column a large value indicates that the positive propensity group considers the attribute as more important than the negative propensity group. All differences are significantly greater than zero.

	Relatively Easy To Attain *	Relatively Hard To Attain
Relatively Important	Teaches valuable trade Opportunity to better your life Career you can be proud of	Good benefits for you and your family Job you want
Relatively Less Important	Opportunity for travel Challenging job	Men you would like to work with Helps you get a college education Pays well to start Trains for leadership

Only three attributes perceived by positive propensity individuals to be relatively important are also among those perceived to be relatively easy to attain in the military.

"Teaches you a valuable trade or skill" was considered by positive propensity youth to be important and attainable in the military. Clearly this dimension is a strong point in the military recruiting effort. However, two important attributes -- "Gives you the job you want" and "Good benefits for you and your family" -- were viewed as relatively hard to attain in the military. These areas represent recruiting opportunities.

This pattern in the evaluation of job attributes among positive propensity youth is similar to the past two surveys (Spring, 1977 and Fall, 1976). Two exceptions appear with respect to the relative attainability

 Based on a rank ordering of percentages of respondents who feel the attribute can be achieved in the military (Qu. 6b). 6

of job attributes. "Opportunity to better your life" is now perceived to be relatively easy to attain, while the opposite shift appears for "Trains for leadership".

The same job attribute analysis appears below for negative propensity respondents. Only one of the 11 attributes was perceived to be both relatively important and relatively easy to attain in the military --"Teaches you a valuable trade or skill."

	Relatively Easy To Attain	Relatively Hard To Attain
Relatively Important	Teaches valuable trade	Good benefits for you and your family Job you want Pays well to start Opportunity to better your lift
Relatively Less Important	Challenging job Helps you get a college education Opportunity to travel Trains for leadership	Men you would like to work with Career you can be proud of

The pattern in the evaluation of job attributes among negative propensity respondents differs from that of their positive propensity counterparts in several ways. Both propensity groups perceived "Pays well to start" to be relatively hard to attain in the military. However, the negative propensity respondents attached more

1

0

0

importance to pay than did positive propensity youth. Both groups attached relatively lower value to "Helps you get a college education" and "Trains for leadership" but differ in their perceptions of their attainability in the military. Moreover, both groups attached relatively higher value to "Opportunity to better your life", but differ in their perceptions of its attainability in the military. Finally, in sharp contrast to positive propensity respondents, negative propensity youth perceived "Is a career you can be proud of" to be both low in importance and perceived attainability.

3.4 Achievability of Life Goals

Positive and negative propensity groups should be distinguishable with respect to whether they feel that life goals can be better achieved in military or civilian life. Table 3.5 presents the findings for this issue. An average rating less than 3.00 indicates that the goal is perceived to be more achievable in the military; a rating above 3.00 indicates that the goal is more achievable in a civilian career.

For every goal the positive propensity group viewed military life as better enabling achievement than did the negative propensity group. The difference between the two propensity groups is particularly great in the cases of "Developing your potential," "Learning as much as you can," and "Doing challenging work." However, even positive propensity men did not view a military career as a means to "Making a lot of money," "Being able to make your own decisions on the job" and achieving "Personal freedom." Negative propensity men viewed all life goals as more attainable in civilian life except "Doing challenging work," "Adventure and excitement," and "Job security." These findings are fairly consistent with past surveys.

These data also were analyzed for each of the services. The results for individual services are similar to those for overall propensity found in Table 3.5. Like the job attribute data, differences between positive and negative propensity groups were found to be general and not service specific.

10

0

TABLE 3.5

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY ACHIEVABILITY OF LIFE GOALS AVERAGE RATINGS*

	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Difference
	8	8	8
Developing your potential	2.44	3.28	.84
Learning as much as you can	2.39	3.17	.78
Doing challenging work	2.30	3.00	.70
Adventure and excitement	1.93	2.59	.66
Making a lot of money	3.49	4.14	.65
Recognition and status	2.44	3.04	.60
Having the respect of friends	2.65	3.23	. 58
Working for a better society	2.63	3.20	.57
Being able to make your own decisions on the job	3.55	4.03	.48
Job security, i.e., a steady job	2.24	2.70	.46
Personal freedom	3.82	4.27	.45
Helping other people	2.64	3.07	.43

* Scale Value:

+1 = Much more likely in military

+2 = Somewhat more likely in military +3 = Either civilian or military

+4 = Somewhat more likely in civilian

+5 = Much more likely in civilian

Therefore, a smaller value indicates relatively greater military likelihood. The groups differ significantly on all goals.

3.5 Information Sources, Actions Taken, Recruiter Contact, Influencers From a recruiting standpoint, this section details a particularly important set of variables. Some factors which distinguish positive and negative propensity men are potentially controllable by the military, e.g., recruiter contact. Other factors, such as information sources, also might constitute elements in the process of making a decision to enlist. Table 3.6 compares the two propensity groups in terms of the people with whom enlistment was discussed, enlistment-related action initiated and recall of military recruiting advertising.

Some respondents from both propensity groups have discussed enlistment with various people, but talking about a military career with parents, friends with military experience, or others is far more common among those with positive propensity. They are also more likely to have sought information either by mail or by calling a toll-free information number. More young men with a positive propensity have been tested by the Armed Services either in high school or in a military examining station.

In summary, positive propensity individuals are far more likely to have discussed a military career or to have engaged in various enlistmentrelated actions. All these differences are statistically significant and most are large in absolute magnitude, i.e., positive propensity men are often twice as likely to have talked to someone or have engaged in the given action.

The relationship of recruiter contact to propensity has been examined and the findings also appear in Table 3.6. More positive propensity respondents have at some time had contact with a recruiter. Moreover,

0

TABLE 3.6

ANALYSIS OF PROPENSITY TO SERVE IN THE MILITARY INFORMATION SOURCES, ACTION TAKEN, RECRUITER CONTACT, ADVERTISING RECALL

Information Sources	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Significant	
Talked with one or both parents	53.7	23.2	yes	
Talked with friends already in the service or who have been in the service	53.9	30.0	уез	
Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	22.0	7.6	yes	
Talked with wife or girlfriend	28.4	10.8	yes	
Actions Taken				
Asked for information by mail	20.8	8.3	yes	
Made toll-free call to get information	6.7	1.9	yes	
Physically or mentally tested at at a military examining station	7.5	3.8	уев	
Taken aptitude test in high school given by Armed Services	23.7	16.3	yes	
Recruiter Contact (Ever)	56.8	47.4	yes	
Recruiter Contact (Past 5-6 Months)	34.7	22.3	yes	
Recruiter Contact Initiated by Recruiter	59.8	73.6	yes	
Recruiter Information Considered Adequate	90.3	86.9	no	
Felt more favorable about joining after talking to (service) recruiter				
Air Force	43.9	25.1	yes	
Army	42.2	19.2	yes	
Marine Corps	38.3	19.9	yes	
Navy	45.4	26.1	yes	

1

(TABLE 3.6 continued)		
	Positive Propensity <u>*</u>	Negative Propensity <u>*</u>	Significant
Influential Sources in Favor of Enlistment			
Father	45.1	23.7	yes
Mother	36.3	16.3	yes
Initiator of Parental Discussion			
Father	15.1	19.0	yes
Mother	7.8	9.1	yes
Respondent	72.2	62.5	yes
Advertising Recall (% Recall Seeing/ Hearing			
Air Force	62.2	57.7	no
Army	65.1	63.8	no
Marine Corps	60.6	64.2	no
Navy	62.3	61.8	

0

-

Γ.

recruiter contact during the past 6 months shows clear differences between positive and negative propensity groups, with 12.4% more positive propensity men having such contact. When contact with a recruiter has occurred, a clear majority, 73.6%, of negative propensity respondents indicated that the contact was initiated by the recruiter. On the other hand, 40.2% (100% -59.8%) of positive propensity respondents indicated that their contact with a recruiter was self-initiated. A small, but statistically significant, difference between propensity groups appears in terms of the perceived adequacy of recruiter information. There are large differences, however, with respect to the degree to which recruiter information favorably altered attitudes toward joining.

More positive propensity men reported that they pe seive their parents to support the idea of their serving in the military. Fewer mothers than fathers in either propensity group were perceived as favorable toward enlistment, but more mothers of high propensity prospects were perceived to be favorably disposed than those of negative propensity youth. Regardless of propensity, it is usually the respondent rather than his parents who initiates discussion about joining the military.

Positive propensity respondents were as likely as their negative propensity counterparts to recall seeing or hearing advertising for each of the active duty services. 11.10

Table 3.7 relates propensity towards each service to contact with a recruiter from that service. For each of the services, the propensity groups differ in contact with a recruiter. These differences are statistically significant.

....

14: 15

TABLE 3.7

EVER HAD CONTACT WITH RECRUITER FROM SPECIFIC SERVICE RELATED TO PROPENSITY FOR THE SAME SERVICE

	Propensity	for Individ	ual Services
	Positive	Negative	Difference
	8	8	8
Air Force	20.4	12.2	+8.2
Army	33.6	22.2	+11.4
Marine Corps	22.6	11.8	+10.8
Navy	25.3	13.5	+11.8

Base: All Respondents

0

-

~

0

3.6 Enlistment Decision Process

In this report an individual is defined as having a positive propensity for military service if he has indicated that he definitely or probably will enter any of the four major active services. Table 3.8 demonstrates the extent to which this occurs in the Fall 1977 sample.

From Table 3.8 it is clear that a large number of men who have a positive propensity for each of the active services were also positive towards one or more other services. This is the case most often for individuals with positive propensity toward the Army and Marine Corps. Even those with a positive propensity toward the National Guard or Reserves often showed a positive disposition towards the active services.

This finding reinforces conclusions drawn by the analysis of demographic variables that the various active services, for the most part, draw upon the same or a similar pool of young men. It is also consistent with the notion that many individuals initially decide upon a military career and then choose between the different services.

---------5 ---

0

0

-

TABLE 3.8

THE EXTENT TO WHICH PROSPECTS SHOW POSITIVE PROPENSITY FOR MORE THAN ONE SERVICE

	Air Force	Army	Marine	Navy	Suard	Reserves
	-	œl	-	æ1	-	-
Also Show Positive Propensity For These Services:						
Air Force	100.0	45.2	53.0	50.2	37.6	39.4
Army	36.7	100.0	49.8	40.7	39.5	39.5
Marine Corps	37.1	42.9	100.0	38.9	32.0	32.5
Navy	49.4	49.5	54.9	100.0	40.6	42.9
National Guard	38.5	49.8	46.8	42.1	100.0	54.6
Reserves	39.4	48.6	46.4	43.4	53.2	100.0
Average Number of All Services	3.01	3.36	3.51	3.15	3.03	3.09
Average Number of Active Duty Services	2.23	2.38	2.58	2.30	1.50	1.54
Base	(829)	(673)	(579)	(817)	(848)	Page (828)
Source: Question 5a.						116

Source: Question 5a.

0

3.7 Summary Comments on Target Market for Active Services

From this analysis of positive and negative propensity groups a profile has emerged which characterizes the likely candidates for active duty military services. Findings from previous waves provide confirmation that the high propensity young man in contrast to his low propensity peers can be characterized as:

Demographics

- . Younger
- More likely to be unemployed
- . More likely to be non-white
- . Less educated
- . Having a less educated father
- Having lower values on the Quality Index

Attitudes, Beliefs, Values

- . Feeling that important job attributes can be found in the military
- . Believing that the military is relatively more likely to enable achievement of life goals

33

-

Environmental/Behavioral Variables

- Having had recent contact with a recruiter
 Having sought information on a military career by mail
- or by phone . Having taken an Armed Services test at a recruiting
- station or in high school
- . Having discussed entering the military with parents or friends
- . Feeling that his parents are more favorable to his entering the military

3.8 High School Graduates Not in School

Individuals who have graduated high school and are not currently attending school represent a particularly attractive market to the services. In the Fall 1977 survey, 32.7% of the sample fall into this demographic classification. Tables 3.9 and 3.10 profile this group in terms of key demographic, attitudinal and behavioral variables vis-a-vis the total sample. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- High school graduates who are not in school are below the total national population of 16 to 21 year old male youth with respect to not employed and looking for work and, in general, tend to be above average with respect to their quality index but below average with respect to high school grades and father's education.
- High school graduates who are not in school tend to be below the U.S. average with respect to propensity to join the military.
- 3. With respect to enlistment-related information sources and actions taken, high school graduates who are not in school tend to be below average with respect to talking to parents and teachers/counselors about enlistment. On the other hand, this group is above average with respect to talking with wives/girlfriends. Despite their lower propensity to join, this segment of male youth is above the U.S. average with respect to having been tested for military service.

4.	High school graduates who are not in school reported an
	above average incidence of recruiter contact. Reported
	recruiter-initiated contact is above average for this
	group with respect to the Army and below average with respect
	to the Air Force.

- 5. High school graduates who are not in school are generally on par with the U.S. averages with respect to the perceived adequacy of recruiter information. This group, however, was below average as far as feeling more favorable about enlisting after talking to recruiters.
- 6. This sub-sample of male youth are on par with national averages with respect to perceived attitudes of influencers and parental discussions about enlistment.
- With respect to service advertising recall, high school graduates who are not in school are on par with national averages.
- 8. High school graduates who are not attending school are on par with the U.S. averages with respect to the relative importance of job attributes and the achievability of life goals.

TABLE 3.9

DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES NOT IN SCHOOL

Variable	High School Graduates	Total Sample	Statistically + Significant +
Not employed/looking for work	12.4%	20.98	yes
Blacks	8.6%	8.6%	no
Other non-white	4.38	4.98	no
A's and B's in high school	24.28	29.38	yes
Quality Index*	6.48	6.38	yes
Education of father**	2.69	3.05	yes
Base	(1728)	(5284)	

* Mean scale values shown

** Education of father was measured on an eight point scale:

1. Did not complete high school

2. Finished high school or equivalent

3. Adult education program

4. Business or trade school

5. Some college

6. Finished college (four years)

7. Attended graduate or professional school

8. Obtained a graduate or professional degree

+ Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the high school graduate estimate.

+

0

0

TABLE 3.10

ATTITUDINAL/BEHAVIORAL PROFILE OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES NOT IN SCHOOL

(Propensity to serve in the military, information sources, action taken, recruiter contact, advertising recall)

Positive Propensity	High School Graduates	Total Sample	Statistically Significant	
Air Force	12.4	15.7	yes	
Army	9.4	12.7	yes	
Marine Corps	8.3	11.0	yes	
Navy	12.6	15.5	yes	
information Sources				
Talked with one or both parents	28.8	32.5	yes	
Talked with friends already in the service or who have been in the service	38.8	37.4	no	
Talked with teacher or guidance counselor	9.5	12.0	yes	
Talked with wife or girlfriend	19.2	16.0	yes	
ctions Taken				
Asked for information by mail	11.1	12.0	no	
Made toll-free call to get information	n 3.6	3.3	no	
Physically or mentally tested at a military examining station	7.2	4.9	уев	
Taken aptitude test in high school given by Armed Services	22.9	18.3	yes	

33

11.10

Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the high school graduate estimate.

TABLE 3.10 (continued)

		High School Graduates	Total Sample	Statistically Significant
-		1	1	
	Recruiter contact (Ever)	60.3	50.0	уся
-	Recruiter contact (Past 5-6 Months)	27.1	26.0	no
-	Recruiter contact initiated by recruiter			
	Air Force	55.7	59.8	yes
-	Army	71.1	68.3	yes
	Marine Corps	70.5	69.8	no
-	Navy	62.4	62.5	no
	Recruiter information considered adequa	te		
٢	Air Force	86.2	85.4	no
-	Army	82.1	82.2	no
-	Marine Corps	83.1	82.9	no
	Navy	83.1	80.7	yes
-	Felt more favorable about joining after talking to recruiter	1		
-	Air Force	24.8	30.9	yes
Г	Army	20.1	26.9	yes
-	Marine Corps	22.1	26.0	yes
	Navy	28.0	32.8	yes
-	Influential sources in favor of enlist	ment		
-	Father	28.1	30.1	no
-	Mother	20.5	22.4	no

0

ОГ

0

T

+ Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the high school graduate estimate.

0

O

TABLE 3,10 (continued)

High School Graduates	Total Sample	Statistically + Significant
8	8	
16.7	16.9	no
8.7	8.6	no
65.3	67.3	no
	High School Graduates <u>%</u> 16.7 8.7 65.3	High School Total Graduates Sample % % 16.7 16.9 8.7 8.6 65.3 67.3

Advertising Recall (% Recall Seeing/Hearing)

Air Force	56.9	59.2	no	
Army	65.1	64.4	no	
Marine Corps	63.8	63.1	no	
Navy	62.1	62.1	ao	

+ Total U.S. falls beyond the range of two Standard Errors of the high school graduate estimate.

100

Sec. 12

SECTION IV

0

AWARENESS AND KNOWLEDGE

SECTION IV

Awareness and Knowledge of Military Information Programs

Historically, this study has examined various factors which may affect a young man's decision to join the military. Some of these factors tend to be basically psychological, i.e., an individual's life goals, and the importance of various job attributes in deciding upon a career. A second set of factors consists of important persons who may influence the decision to enter the military. Both of these sets of factors have been discussed in previous sections of the report.

A third set of factors are the products of military information programs: awareness of advertising for the various services and knowledge of starting pay in the military. These are discussed in the following section.

4.1

1.1

Top-of-Mind Awareness of Specific Services

One measure of advertising effectiveness is "top-of-mind" awareness, or the initial associations an individual has with a given concept. Starting in the Spring 1977 wave a measure of "top-of-mind" awareness was introduced primarily to establish a baseline for future assessment of advertising effectiveness. Respondents were asked to indicate which branch of service they thought of first, when the "Armed Services" or "military" are mentioned.

Results are presented in Table 4.1. The Army was mentioned first by the greatest number of respondents, followed by the Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps. Combining first, second and other mentions, the Army, Air Force and Navy were each named by approximately 60-70% of the respondents, while the Marine Corps was named by 46.5%. Awareness of each service did not change significantly from Spring 1977.

Table 4.2 presents the relationship between "top-of-mind" awareness (first association) of each service and propensity to join that service. There appears to be a definite association between these two measures. As in the Spring 1977 wave, men with a positive propensity for a given service tended to initially associate the concept "Armed Services" or "military" with that service. This is particularly true with respect to respondents who expressed positive propensity for the Army. The circled values in Table 4.2 highlight this association. No statistical significance is implied by this notation. As was suggested in the Spring 1977 report of this study, the "top-of-mind" awareness measure appears to be a good advertising-related tracking indicator of positive propensity for specific services.

111

S.

TABLE 4.1

BRANCH OF SERVICE NAMED IN RESPONSE TO "ARMED SERVICES"

	Percent of Respondents Who Mentioned Specific Services					
Service Mentioned	First Mention	Second Mention	All Other Mentions	All Mentions Combined		
	8	<u>*</u>	8	8		
Army	34.9	20.9	13.6	69.4		
Air Force	24.0	19.2	17.0	60.2		
Navy	21.5	32.1	15.8	69.4		
Marine Corps	13.3	15.9	17.3	46.5		
Coast Guard	1.9	2.3	6.1	10.3		
None/No Answer	4.3	9.6	45.6	59.5		

Source: Questions 4a and 4b

0

-

TABLE 4.2

RELATIONSHIP OF BRANCH OF SERVICE FIRST ASSOCIATED WITH "ARMED SERVICES" AND PROPENSITY"

	Air F	orce	Arm	X	Marine	Corps	Nav	X
First Association	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Positive Propensity	Propensity
	-1(-	-1	-	-	æ1	-	-1
Air Force	(13.6)	20.2	12.3	26.0	15.3	25.3	20.4	25.0
Агту	24.5	37.3	55.4	32.0	6.95	35.7	25.7	36.9
Marine Corps	11.6	13.4	11.6	13.4	34.4	10.4	8.11	13.4
Navy	16.8	22.6	16.5	22.3	17.3	22.2	38.1	18.3

Base: All Respondents

Source: Questions 4a and 5a

The radnitude of the relationship between positive propensity and "first association" is limited because (1) the positive propensity group of each service consists of individuals with positive propensity for other services and (2) respondents can give only one "first association". .

-

-

-

-

-

-

Page 128

0

0

. 1180

4.2 Advertising Content Recall

Starting in Spring 1977 respondents were asked to report everything they remembered about advertising for a specific service. Respondents' answers have been coded into a set of categories and the results are shown in Table 5.3 for each service. In order to assess any changes over time in this measure, both the Spring 1977 and Fall 1977 data are presented. The following conclusions can be drawn:

> 1. Advertising recall for the <u>Air Force</u> has increased significantly from Spring to Fall, increasing by 10 percentage points. The percentage of respondents who were not able to recall specific advertising content, however, did not change. Approximately one-half of the young men who reported that they remember seeing or hearing advertising for the Air Force were able to recall what they had seen or heard.

Young men who could recall something about the Air Force's advertising most often remembered information about learning a trade and job opportunities. Other frequently recalled content were scenes of men with equipment and talk of educational benefits.

The recall of these copy points increased significantly from from Spring to Fall: teaching/learning a trade, variety of Jobs, equipment without men, educational benefits, travel, good pay, slogans, and service praise.

2. Advertising recall for the <u>Army</u> increased significantly (+8.4% points) from Spring to Fall. Of the four services, the Army's advertising received the highest recall. At the same time, a larger proportion of young men in the Fall than in the Spring were able to recall specific advertising content. More than one-half of the Fall 1977 survey respondents were able to recall what they had seen or heard.

Young men who could recall content of the Army advertising most often remembered information about learning a trade, job opportunities, education benefits, travel opportunities and messages urging enlistment.

Coupled with the increase in overall Army advertising recall were significant increases in the recall of specific copy: teaching/learning a trade, variety of jobs, men with equipment, men in uniform, educational benefits, travel, good pay, adventure and service praise.

3. Advertising recall for the <u>Navy</u> increased significantly (+6.7% points) from Spring to Fall. The percentage of young men who were not able to recall specific advertising copy did not change.

Travel, adventure and messages urging enlistment were advertising content remembered most often. Travel content was linked most often with the Navy.

Few significant changes in recall of specific copy content were noted.

4. Of the four services, the <u>Marine Corps</u> registered the largest increase in Spring-to-Fall changes (+11% points) in advertising awareness. At the same time, a larger percentage of respondents were able to recall specific advertising copy.

The most memorable advertising content were Marine Corps slogans. Of those recalling a Marine Corps slogan, 83 percent remembered that the "Marines were looking for a few good men". (See tabulations: Volume 2, page 79).

Significant Spring-to-Fall increases in advertising content recall include: teaching/learning a trade, variety of jobs, men in training, men in uniform, educational benefits, travel, slogans and service praise.

0

		Spring 1977	Fall 1977	Change	Significant	
		1	8			-
Have	Seen/Heard Advertising; Recall Content	49.3	59.2	+9.9	yes	
	Teaching/learning a trade	5.8	8.4	+2.6	yes	-
	Opportunities	4.8	6.1	+1.3	no	
	Men with equipment	4.4	5.5	+1.1	no	
	Variety of jobs	2.1	5.2	+3.1	yes	
	Educational benefits	3.3	4.9	+1.6	yes	
	Travel/see the country/world	2.5	4.5	+2.1	yes	-
	Want you to join/enlist	3.7	4.2	+.5	no	
	Equipment without men	1.9	3.5	+1.6	yes	-
	Good pay/good starting pay	1.5	2.6	+1.1	yes	
	Slogans (e.g., Fly with the Air Force)	. 8	2.6	+1.8	yes	-
	Praised service	.4	1.5	+1.1	yes	
	Men in training	. 8	1.5	+.7	no	-
	Adventure	.7	1.4	+.7	no	
	Men in uniform	.6	1.2	+.6	no	-
	Fun/recreation	.4	.6	+.2	no	
	Men with flag	-	.1	+.1	no	-
	Men with guns	-	-	-		
	Other benefits (e.g., health)	1.9	1.7	2	no	-
	Other miscellaneous mentions	4.7	3.2	-1.5	yes	
	Don't recall content	29.4	28.7	7	no	-
Have	Not Seen/Heard Advertising	50.7	40.8	-9.9	yes	
Base*	55. 4	(1871)	(1737)			-

TABLE 4.3 RECALL OF ADVERTISING FOR THE AIR FORCE

 The reduced bases reflect the fact that each respondent was asked the advertising question for only one or two of the four military services.

è

Statistically

-

**

11:10

10

TABLE 4.3

(continued)

RECALL OF ADVERTISING FOR THE ARMY

-		Spring 1977	Fall 1977	Change	Statistically Significant
-	Have Seen/Heard Advertising; Recall Content	<u>56.0</u>	<u>•</u> 64.4	+8.4	Yes
	Teaching/learning a trade	6.1	8.1	+2.0	ves
-	Educational benefits	4.3	7.3	+3.0	ves
	Variety of jobs	3.3	6.7	+3.4	yes
-	Travel/see the country/world	3.6	6.6	+3.0	ves
	Opportunities	5.9	6.5	+.6	no
_	Want you to join/enlist	6.1	5.4	7	no
	Good pay/good starting pay	2.6	4.0	+1.4	yes
-	Slogans (e.g., Join the people who've joined the Army)	3.3	3.9	+.6	no
	Men with equipment	1.4	3.9	+2.5	yes
-	Men in training	2.5	3.2	+.7	no
	Men in uniform	1.2	2.7	+1.5	yes
-	Praised service	.5	1.6	+1.1	yes
	Adventure	.3	1.5	+1.2	yes
-	Equipment without men	.6	.9	+.3	no
	Fun/recreation	.3	.3	-	-
-	Men with guns	.1	.1	-	-
	Mon with flag		.1	1.1	-
	Other benefits (e.g., health)	2.1	3.3	+1.2	yes
-	Other miscellaneous mentions	6.2	5.3	9	no
	Don't recall content	30.8	26.2	-4.6	yes
-	Have Not Seen/Heard Advertising	44.0	35.6	-8.4	Yes
-	Base*	(1838)	(1955)		

-

0

The reduced bases reflect the fact that each respondent was asked the advertising question for only one or two of the four military services.

0

0

TABLE 4.3

(continued)

RECALL OF ADVERTISING FOR THE NAVY

		<u>Spring 1977</u> <u>*</u>	<u>Fall 1977</u> <u>8</u>	Change	Statistically Significant
Have	Seen/Heard Advertising; Recall Content	55.4	62.1	+6.7	yes
	Travel/see the country/world	13.3	14.7	+1.4	no
	Want you to join/enlist	6.0	5.8	2	no
	Adventure	2.2	5.7	+3.5	yes
	Equipment without men	2.8	3.8	+1.0	no
	Variety of jobs	1.6	3.7	+2.1	yes
	Teaching/learning a trade	5.4	3.6	-1.8	yes
	Opportunities	5.0	3.6	-1.4	no
	Men with equipment	3.8	3.4	4	no
	Educational benefits	3.2	3.3	+.1	no
	Men in uniform	1.3	1.9	+.6	no
	Praised service	.3	1.6	+1.3	yes
	Men in training	.7	1.4	+.7	no
	Fun/recreation	1.0	1.3	+.3	no
·	Good pay/good starting pay	1.7	1.0	7	no
	Slogans (e.g., Navy makes boys into men) .5	.4	1	no
	Men with flag	-	-	-	-
	Men with guns	-		-	-
	Other benefits (e.g., health)	.9	1.0	+.1	no
	Other miscellaneous mentions	7.6	2.9	-4.7	yes
	Don't recall content	26.3	25.7	6	no
Have	Not Seen/Heard Advertising	44.6	37.9	-6.7	yes
Hacot		(1811)	(1592)		

The reduced bases reflect the fact that each respondent was asked the advertising question for only one or two of the four military services.

TABLE 4.3

(continued)

RECALL OF ADVERTISING FOR THE MARINE CORPS

		Spring 1977	Fall 1977	Change	Statistically Significant
-		1	<u>1</u>		
	Have Seen/Heard Advertising; Recall Content	52.1	63.1	+11.0	yes
-	Slogans (e.g., The few, the proud, the Marines)	9.3	16.7	+7.4	yes
-	Teaching/learning a trade	2.9	5.0	+2.1	yes
	Men in uniform	2.6	4.6	+2.0	yes
-	Educational benefits	1.8	4.2	+2.4	yes
	Opportunities	3.1	4.1	+1.0	no
_	Variety of jobs	1.4	3.9	+2.5	yes
	Men in training	2.7	3.7	+1.0	yes
	Want you to join/enlist	3.3	3.6	+.3	no
-	Travel/see the country/world	1.6	3.5	+1.9	yes
	Praised service	.7	2.8	+2.1	yes
-	Men with equipment	1.6	2.0	+.4	no
	Good pay/good starting pay	.8	1.4	+.6	no
-	Adventure	.4	.9	+.5	no
	Fun/recreation	.5	.6	+.1	no
-	Equipment without men	.6	.4	2	no
	Men with guns	.2	.3	+.1	no
-	Men with flag	.1	.1	-	-
	Other benefits (e.g., health)	1.2	1.3	+.1	no
-	Other miscellaneous mentions	6.0	3.9	-2.1	yes
	Don't recall content	29.1	25.6	-3.5	yes
-	Have Not Seen/Heard Advertising	47.9	36.9	-11.0	yes
	Base*	(1811)	(1592)		

.

The reduced bases reflect the fact that each respondent was asked the advertising question for only one or two of the four military services.

0 _		
	Page 136	
-		
_	Respondents who recalled advertising by a specific service place	
	were asked how meaningful the advertising was to them. Ratings were made	
-	on a four-point scale and the results appear in Table 4.4.	
_		
	According to Table 4.4, the advertising by all four services was	
-	rated on the average between "Somewhat meaningful" and "Not very meaningful".	
_	There were no significant differences among the services on this measure	
	nor changes in the data from Spring to Fall.	
-		
-		
0 -		
_		
-		
-		
-		
-		3
-		
-		
-		
-		
0		
		1
93

1 Section

TABLE 4.4

PERSONAL REACTIONS TO ADVERTISING ABOUT SPECIFIC ACTIVE SERVICES

Service	Percent Who Believe Advertising to Be "Very"/"Somewhat" Meaningful	Average Rating*	Sample Base
Air Force	54.9%	2.56	526
Army	44.2%	2.73	745
Marine Corps	42.7%	2.75	593
Navy	49.7%	2.61	574

* Scale Value:

+1	=	Advertising	Very Meaningful
+2	=	Advertising	Somewhat Meaningful
+3	=	Advertising	Not Very Meaningful
+4	=	Advertising	Not At All Meaningful

Source: Question 7c

-

-

0

-

0

4.3 Likely Response to Advertisements

An inherent part of the services' print advertising programs has been to encourage readers to actively seek additional information about the services (e.g., call toll free number, mail in coupon, etc.). As a means of assessing what actions young men are likely to take with respect to an advertisement that interests them, respondents were asked in the Fall 1977 survey to rank order four possible responses to an advertisement.

The results shown in Table 4.5 indicate that respondents are more likely to clip out an ad and save it and least likely to go to the advertiser (e.g., recruiter) for more information.

193

il.

32

19- 10 P.

TABLE 4.5

LIKELY RESPONSE TO ADVERTISEMENTS

Action Likely to Take	Percent Naming As First Choice
	8
Clip out the ad and save it	
for future reference	37.4
Call toll free number	23.5
Mail in a coupon attached to the ad	22.1
Clip out the ad and go to the	
information	12.6

Base: All Respondents

0

0____

Source: Question 17

4.4 <u>Media Habits</u>

Historically, this study has attempted to provide guidance in the development of advertising strategies. In an attempt to provide further input to the creation of advertising strategies, respondents were asked a series of new questions dealing with magazine readership and television programming preferences. The results are discussed below.

Respondents were read a list of 22 magazines plus Sunday newspaper and asked to indicate how often they read each and which were their two favorite.

> As shown in Table 4.6, Sunday newspapers are read by virtually all of the respondents. <u>Sports Illustrated</u>, <u>Sport</u> and <u>TV Guide</u> follow in order. All four of these periodicals are read with some degree of frequency. In total, 15 of these periodical information sources are read by at least one-half of the young men. Sunday newspapers and <u>Sports Illustrated</u> lead the list of favorites by a large margin.

> > 14.23

Table 4.7 indicates respondents' preferences with respect to type of television programs. Comedies are the favorite programs followed by sports. Movies and dramas are next, with respondents indicating equal preference for these two types of programs.

TABLE 4.6

MAGAZINE READERSHIP

Rate As First/Second Frequency of Reading* Magazine Read Favorite 8 8 Sunday newspaper 88.2 29.7 1.87 Sports Illustrated 78.4 18.7 2.39 Sport 72.2 8.7 2.59 TV Guide 72.2 9.0 2.52 Time 68.7 8.7 2.81 Reader's Digest 67.9 4.8 2.88 Newsweek 63.3 5.8 2.92 Popular Mechanics 61.0 5.5 2.96 Popular Science 59.0 3.1 3.12 Mechanics Illustrated 57.6 3.2 3.03 Outdoor Life 55.5 5.1 3+07 Field & Stream 52.2 5.0 3.20 Hot Rod 51.7 8.6 3.11 People 50.1 3.6 3.19 Sports Afield 49.4 3.5 3.13 Cycle 39.6 5.1 3.31 Car Craft 33.5 2.3 3.43 Popular Hot Rodding 32.1 4.0 3.43 Parade 25.1 2.0 3.59 Senior Scholastic 17.3 .3 3.75 Family Weekly 16.9 . 5 3.73 National Future Farmer 14.9 1.2 3.76 Ebony 13.2 1.9 3.77

Base: All Respondents

*Scale Value:

+1 = Very often

+2 = Fairly often

+3 = Once in a while

+4 = Never

0

Source: Questions 15a and 15b

Page 141

0

.

TABLE 4.7

TELEVISION PROGRAM PREFERENCE

Program TypePercent Naming
As First Choice%Comedies (e.g., All
in the Family,
M*A*S*H*, Welcome
Back Kotter)MaxSportsSports24.5Movies15.1Dramas (e.g., Starsky &
Hutch, Little House on
the Prairie, the Waltons)13.9

Base: All Respondents

Source:

Question 16

30

11.25

1

4.5 Starting Pay

Insofar as today's military represents an alternative to other career opportunities, perceived starting pay may be an important factor in deciding whether or not to join the service. Accordingly, respondents have been asked in each wave of the survey to estimate the starting monthly pay for an enlisted man in the military. Table 4.8 presents the results in terms of averages, first for those who were able to make an estimate, and then for those who were asked again to make an estimate after initially answering "don't know". Within each of these two clusters of respondents, results are presented, first for those with positive versus negative propensity for the military in general, and then for the respective positive and negative propensity groups for each of the major services.

Overall, 49.9% of the sample was able to estimate starting pay. This is significantly lower than the Fall 1977 figure -- 56.1%. The average estimate was \$401. This is very close to the actual current figure -- \$397. However, as in past waves there was a great degree of variation in the estimates. As many as 11% of the total sample and 9.8% of positive propensity men estimated monthly starting pay to be more than \$475, while almost 8% of both the total sample and positive propensity men estimated starting pay to be under \$274 a month.

Respondents who initially claimed to have no idea of the level of starting pay gave a somewhat higher average estimate of pay (\$422) than others, when asked a second time.

C

0

TABLE 4.8

ESTIMATE OF STARTING PAY BY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS

	Could	Estimate	
	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Difference (Positive minus Negative)
Any Service	\$389	\$407	-\$18 -
Air Force	\$392	\$403	-\$11 _
Army	\$383	\$404	-\$21
Marine Corps	\$391	\$403	-\$12
Navy	\$398	\$402	-\$4

Total Sample \$401

	Could Not	Estimate	
	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	Difference (Positive minus Negative)
Any Service	\$417	\$425	-\$8
Air Force	\$427	\$422	+\$5
Army	\$408	\$426	-\$18
Marine Corps	\$422	\$423	-\$1
Navy	\$408	\$426	-\$18
Total Samp	le \$422	2	

33

a set at .

Source: Questions 10a and 10b

For every service, those with negative propensity gave higher estimates of starting pay on average than did those with positive propensity. This finding is consistent with findings reported in past waves of this study. In preceding reports it has been suggested that positive propensity individuals tend to have lower salary expectations because they are drawn from more modest socio-economic backgrounds than negative propensity individuals.

A demographic analysis of the data to support this reasoning appears in Table 4.9. This analysis indicates that the father's education (an indicator of socio-economic background) is the most discriminating variable with respect to estimated starting pay. As Table 4.9 shows, the average estimate of starting pay of respondents whose fathers have less than a high school education is \$42 (among young men who could estimate) and \$60 (among young men who initially could <u>not</u> estimate) less than those whose fathers have at least some college education. Race appears to be a less discriminating variable with respect to this measure.

Among job attributes investigated in this series of surveys, good starting pay has consistently received rankings of moderate importance by both positive and negative propensity respondents. However, it is viewed as the attribute which is least achievable in the military. Since positive propensity individuals have tended to underestimate the true level of starting pay in the military, it has been suggested that correcting misperceptions about starting pay might have a positive impact on recruitment.

.

0

TABLE 4.9

ESTIMATE OF STARTING PAY BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC BACKGROUND

Education of Father	Could Estimate	Could Not Estimate	
Less Than High School	\$384	\$397	-
High School	\$395	\$413	1
More Than High School	\$426	\$457	-
Race			
Black and Other Non-White	\$388	\$416	
White	\$403	\$423	•

12.12

With the above in mind, respondents were asked what effect a \$50 a month pay raise would have on their likelihood of enlisting. The results are shown in Table 4.10.

Among men with positive propensity for joining the service, more than one-half (53.9%) said that they would be more likely to enlist given a \$50 a month pay increase. Among men who do not intend to enlist the idea of a \$50 a month pay raise has some impact. Seventeen (17.3) percent of these young men said that they would be more likely to enlist if the starting pay were increased by \$50 a month. These figures are similar to findings reported in the Fall 1976 survey. All in all, communication of pay and pay increases may offer recruiting opportunities among both positive and negative propensity men.

0

TABLE 4.10

EFFECT OF \$50 PAY RAISE ON LIKELIHOOD OF ENLISTING RELATED TO POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PROPENSITY GROUPS ALL FOUR ACTIVE DUTY SERVICES COMBINED

	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity
	8	<u>*</u>
More likely	53.9	17.3
Not more likely	39.9	77.3
Don't Know/No Answer	6.3	5.4

Base: All Respondents

Source: Question 10c

4.6 Knowledge of Educational Benefit Programs

The Fall 1977 survey assessed respondents' knowledge of the current educational benefit program in which the government contributes \$2.00 to an educational savings account for every \$1.00 which an individual contributes. Respondents were asked to identify this program from among three possible alternatives.

As Table 4.11 shows, each alternative received 20-40 percent of the choices, indicating the possibility of considerable guessing. Nevertheless, the positive propensity group of young men selected the correct program (i.e., government adds \$2.00 for every \$1.00 saved) more often than the incorrect alternatives, and significantly more often than the negative propensity group. All in all, it appears that, despite poor knowledge about the current education benefit program, positive propensity men are somewhat more informed than negative propensity men. These findings are comparable to Spring 1977 findings, at which time this question was first posed to survey respondents. Hence, the level of knowledge regarding the current educational benefit program has not changed during the past six months.

0

TABLE 4.11

KNOWLEDGE OF CURRENT EDUCATION BENEFIT PROGRAMS

Benefit Alternatives	Positive Propensity	Negative Propensity	
	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	
Eligible for up to 36 months of tuition assistance	25.7	31.3	
Government adds \$2.00 for every \$1.00 saved*	39.3	27.2	
Eligible for up to 18 months of tuition assistance	22.0	21.3	
Don't know/No answer	13.0	20.2	

Base: All Respondents

 Correct alternative. The difference between the positive and negative propensity groups is statistically significant. w. P.

Source: Question 14



Because respondents are weighted unequally it is not correct to assess standard errors by methods which would be appropriate with unweighted data.

Hence, standard errors were computed for all those variables report 1 at the national level using a replicated sample procedure developed by W. E. Deming for use with weighted data (Proceedings of the ASQC, June 5, 1961).

Standard errors estimated in this way averaged 10 percent greater than those obtained by applying the procedures ordinarily used with unweighted data.

The accompanying tables provide 95% confidence intervals for percentages observed in this study which are ten percent larger than those obtained by ordinary binomial methods.

33

-

12

in states

APPENDIX I

STATISTICAL RELIABILITY

0

STATIS	OF PERCEN	ABILITY F NTS WITHI	OR DETENN A SINCI	RMINING LE SAMPL	ACCURACY E*	
	At t	he 95% lev	el of confi	dence		
	Magni	tude of Exp	ected or (Observed H	Percent	
Sample	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	
Size	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	
100	6.4	8.7	9.8	10.6	10.8	
200	4.8	6.2	6.9	7.5	7.6	
400	3.3	4.3	5.0	5.2	5.4	
600	2.6	3.5	4.1	4.3	4.5	**
1000	2.1	2.8	3.1	3.3	3.4	
2000	1.4	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.4	
2600	1.3	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.1	
3000	1.2	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.0	
Not to be responde	used for cor	n pa ring ob	servations	from diffe	erent group	s of

** Observed percent + the appropriate number shows by how much the observation could vary due to sampling error

STATISTICAL RELIABILITY FOR COMPARING PERCENTS BETWEEN TWO INDEPENDENT SAMPLES*

At the 95% level of confidence

	Ave	rage of th	e Two Obs	erved Perc	ents	
of Each	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	
Sample	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	
100	9.2	12.2	14.0	14.9	15.2	
200	6.4	8.7	9.8	10.6	10.8	
400	4.6	6.2	6.9	7.5	7.6	
600	3.7	5.0	5.8	6.2	6.3	*
1000	2.9	3.8	4.5	4.7	4.9	
2000	2.1	2.8	3.1	3.3	3.4	
2600	1.8	2.4	2.8	2.9	3.0	
3000	1.7	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.8	
* Not to be sample	used for me	asuring ac	curacy of	percents w	ithin a sing	le
** Minimum	difference	equired be	tween the	observed .	ascente in	the

Minimum difference required between the observed percents in the two sampled populations to be statistically different

APPENDIX II TRACKING AREA CONCEPT

The "Tracking Area" concept is an integral part of the study objectives. It is designed to allow each Service to relate the findings to one or several recruiting districts. Each Service has a different number of recruiting districts with some local discretion as to advertising and recruitment allocations. A Tracking Area represents the commonality among Services. Data collection and analysis based on Tracking Areas allows comparison, evaluation, and goal setting within each Service on a local basis.

The tracking Areas were constructed around these criteria: 1) to limit the number of Army District Recruiting Commands, Navy Recruiting Districts, Air Force Recruiting Detachments (Squadrons) and Marine Corps Recruiting Stations to three each or less per Tracking area, 2) to see that the TA's have a high commonality among services, i.e., a high percentage of the counties' Military Available being common to all four Services, and 3) to represent regionally meaningful clusters of recruiting districts for the Services.

For purposes of this research, 26 TA's were defined which account for every county in the Continental United States. This strategy provides for national conclusions to be drawn from the survey findings, as well as individual findings for the 26 TA's.

.

Sec.

Since each Tracking Area is to contain undivided Recruiting Districts for each Service, some counties occur in more than one TA. For all 26 areas the cumulative overlap is 13 percent.

The percentage of Military Availables in the United States accounted for by varying numbers of tracking areas is approximately as follows:

0

Number of TA's	Percent Military Available
Top 5	28.7
Top 10	52.9
Top 13	65.1
Top 15	72.2
Top 18	81.2
Top 20	86.8
A11 26	100.0

SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR TRACKING AREAS

0

0

100

5 MA Accounted for

			by Co	ounted tor								
	Proposed	MAS of	Common to 4			L Trackin	I Area Materia	50		No.	DRC	
5	acking Area 7	Fotal U.S.	Servicus	Renainder	-	ZJ	AF	WC	<	ZI	YE	151
22	Michigan/Indiana Alahama/Miseisaioni/	1.41	82	18	15	15	8	5		2	1	2
	Tenressee	6.76	*6	9			1	18				•
03	New York City	6.31	11	23	19	21	10	15	~ ~			1 1
10	Richnord/North											•
	Carolina	6.12	62	38	71	33	:	27	•	2	2	2
G	A rizona	5.95	100	•	•	•	•	•		~	2	-
;			1									•
	Chio	5. 94	16	54	9	-	•	•	•	2	2	2
00	Albany/Buffalo	5.89	65	•	22	80	17	24	+	2	2	2
16	Texas	5.79	56	\$	•	•	•	2	•	•	2	-
6	Chicago	5.09	61	21	•	50	24	19	2	1	-	-
02	Harrisburg	4.79	62	36	1	1	36	11	2	2	-	~
24	Minnesota/North Dakota/											
	South Dakota /Nebraska	4.72	69	31	*	1	24	01		•	•	•
26	Northern California	4.67	86	•1	-		:=		• •		• •	••
20	Kansas City/Oklahoma	4.37	52	48	26			35			• •	• •
08	Pittsburgh	4.16	42	58	10		25	12	• •			• •
12	South Carolina/Georgia	3.87	57	43	36	10	8	32	• •	• •		• -
t	Philadelphia	3.54	11	59	56	26	•	16	-	-	1	-
13	Florida	3.39	52	25	•	н	••	15	2	2	1	-
60	Boston	3.28	63	17	20	•	13	12	2	1	-	~
28	Washington/Oregon	3.23	10	30	-	28	59	12		2	1	2
27	New Mexico/Colorado/											•
	Wyoming	3.17	56	4	19	2	43	8.	2	2	1	•
60	Washington, D.C.	3.11	63	37	17	9	18	80	2	-	-	-
19	Kentucky	2.90	54	94	34	21	53			• -	• •	• •
17	Arkansas	2.84	20	30	18	•	9	22	• •	• •		
23	Wisconsin	2.28	68	11	1	+	4			• -	• •	• -
20	Des Moines	1.86	57	43	42	34	15	29		• -	• •	• •
15	New Orleans	1.98	62	35	59	20	45	•				•
	Total (Cum.)	113.42	(22)	(28)	(14)	(14)	(15)	(1/4)	(19)	(43)	(137)	(11)
	U.S. (Excluding HI, AK,							/				
	I IIA dd	10, 190, 300										

Page 156

. .

welling









APPENDIX III

WEIGHTING OF RESPONDENTS

The need to compare characteristics of individual tracking areas leads naturally to a study design in which the numbers of respondents in each tracking area are approximately equal. However, since the tracking areas contain unequal numbers of military availables, we cannot estimate national statistics by simply adding up the data for all the respondents; respondents in larger tracking areas should be weighted more heavily than those in smaller tracking areas.

The respondent weighting system used in this wave represents an improvement over that of earlier waves. In the first two waves each respondent was classified into one of 156 cells on the basis of tracking area, age, and race (13 tracking areas X 6 age categories X 2 races = 156 cells). The actual number of military availables corresponding to each cell was estimated from census data. The weight for respondents in a cell was then simply the estimated number of military availables corresponding to that cell divided by the number of respondents in the cell.

The problem with that weighting method was that for some cells with few respondents (such as blacks in certain age categories in certain tracking areas) the denominator of the weighting fraction was quite variable. This led to weights that varied considerably from cell to cell, an undersirable property since it leads to some loss of statistical precision in the data.

0

The weighting system used since the Fall 1976 wave is somewhat different in principle, in that fewer weights are required. One weight is computed for each tracking area and another for each age/race combination. The weighting constant for each cell is simply the product of the appropriate tracking area and age/race weights.

Since fewer weights are computed by this method (26 tracking areas plus 12 age/race combinations = 38) than by the old method (12 X 26 = 312) they are much more stable and the variation between effective weights applied to individual cells is reduced substantially. This should lead to some increase in statistical precision.

T ... P

APPENDIX IV

F RATIOS FOR ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

S.

•	Propensity Data	Adequacy Data
Variable	F Ratio	F Ratio
Service (S)	110.74	5.03
Time (T)	99.12	5.57
Tracking Areas (TA)	26.99	.91
SхТ	.6	1.26
S x TA	1.82	1.12
т х та	4.95	1.92

1

.

APPENDIX V

0

5

THE QUESTIONNAIRE



1.3

IT.

1

11

1

1 1

2b. What is his age, please? '(What are their ages, please -- please start with the oldest.) (RECORD BELOW)

0. 1.

								rently unior ienior ollege		In Military Service, National Guard or Reserves									
			Qu. 20	- A			Gred	School	- Qu N	3b	Hee	Berg	- Qu. Wa	Jd I Be					
	10	щ	TR	14	20	41	X.s	No	Xee	No	Yes	No	Yes	No					
1.	D	D	D			D	D	D	D	C2	C.	LR	Ch	CP	(23-27)				
2.		D	D		D	D	D	D		De	CA	D		De	(28-32)				
3.	D	02	D			D	C)ı	Cr		LE	C.	LR	C1	D	(33-37)				
۰.	D		D		D	D	D	D2	D	D	Lh	De	0	D	(38-42)				

Ja. (DETERMINE FOR EACH) is (NAME FIRST AGE) currently a Junior or Senior in college or attending Graduate School? (RECORD ABOVE)

3b. (DETERMINE FOR EACH) IS he <u>currently</u> in military service. National Guard or the Reserves? (THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE ROTC.) (RECORD ABOVE)

3c. (DETERMINE FOR EACH) Has be <u>aver served</u> in military service, National Guard, or the Reserves? (THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE ROTC.) (RECORD ABOVE)

3d. (DETERMINE FOR EACH) Has he <u>been accupied</u> for service in a branch of the Armed Forces and now is waiting for the date when he is to go on active duty? (THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE ROIC.) (RECORD ABOVE)

NOTE: QUALIFYING MALES ARE THOSE WHO ANSWERED "NO" TO QU. 34, 36, 36, AND 3d, LIST THE AGES OF THE QUALIFYING MEN BELOW (STARTING WITH THE OLDEST) AND GO TO YOUR "RESPONDENT SELECTION SHEET" TO DETERMINE WHO TO INTERVIEW.

	ELEPHONE NUMBER	(Ne (Ne (Ne (Ne	(Oldust) 1
let App't:	Dite	Time	Result:
and App't:	Date	Time	Result:
rd App't:	Dete	Time	Regult:
th App't:	Dete	Time	Result:
th App't:	Date	Time	Result:
KEEP TRAC	IN OF TERMINATES.	CHECK HERE II HOUSEHOLD QU NO INTERVIEW	ALIFIES OR UT

....

					Job No. 1300 Page 2	2-1
	MILITAR) (Qualif	SERVICE ST icd Respondent	UDY)			
Mari	ket Facts' Repr.					
Field	d Station				Cd. Dup.	1-4
Time	e Interview Began				- 4	
		/PM	Time Ended		AM/PM	
Hello men ^t chose may viewe	o. I'mof Market Facts, an opinion is attitude a toward future occupations a en by chance. Any information you giv be called by my employer just to check ed now on this survey? (IF NOI, REC)	research comp nd would like t e us is comple that I did spea QUEST SPFCIE	any. We are control of the second sec	onducting a sur- inion. Your hou it. There is an lo you have song MENT AND REC	vey to find out ischold has be outside chance time to be in CORD ON PAG	young en e you iter- GE 1.)
3a.	First of all, just to be sure I am int	erviewing the i	right person, w	hat is your age	please ?	
	16 [1 19.	[H			(5)
	17	B 20.	· · · LB			
36.	Are you attending school now?					
	Yes 1 (ASK QU. 3)	AND THEN	SKIP TO OU	3()		
	No 2 (SKIP TO Q	U. 3a)				(6)
	3c. What is your current year in	school? (IF N	ECESSARY,	ASK:) What type	of school is a	17
	10th Grade (High School)		Ist year of 4-	year college (Fr	eshmen)6	(7)
	12th Grade (High School)		ist year of Ju	year college (So	phomore) .7	
	vocational or trade school	in	and year of Ju	nier/Community	College .9	
	Second year of special trainin	K in	th year of co	lese or more	· · · · · · . 0	TERMINA
	tocardater of trade school					
Ja.	Are you a high school graduate?					
	ISKIP 10 Q0.	30	No 2			(8)
	Se. How many years of schooling	have you comp	leted?			
	I year of High School	ol 0	2 years of High	School	2	(9)
sf.	Are you currently employed?					
	Yes 1-7		No 2-7			(10)
	3g. Are you working full time or		3h. Are you	currently looki	ing for a job	
	part time?		or not?			
	Part time 2	11)		Yes 1 No	2 (12)	
i .	Now, let's talk about your plans for the IDO NOT READ LIST. PROBE WIT	next few yea H "ANY THING	rs. What do y ELSE?", ET	ou think you mig	ht be doing ?	s.)
	Non-military					
	Going to school 🗌 Work	ing [] D	oing nothing			
	Millians (RECORD BELOW, IF RESI AND TYPE, IF <u>DRANGH</u> O IF <u>TYPE</u> OF SERVICE <u>ONL</u>	SERVICE O	IN THE SERVI NLY IS MENT NED, DETERM	ICE, " DETERM IONED, DETER AINE BRANCH.	INE BRANCH	
			Type	of Service		
	Branch of Service	Duty	Reserves	Guard	Don't Know	
	Air Force	1	2	3		(13)
	Coast Guard	9	0		8 R	
	Navy		2		3	(14)
	Don't know branch	7		9	0	
	If you continue your education past his	school work	d vou arment to	mark are see		
	expenses?		-) - a expect to	work part time	to nelp meet	
	Tes 1	No	2 (SKIP TO	QU. 3L)		(15)
3	3k (IF "YES" TO QU. 3). ASK:)	About how mus	ch would you en	spect to earn per	r year?	
3	3k. (IF "YES" TO QU. 3j. ASK:) \$	About how mue	ch would you es	spect to earn per		-

-

....

1 TY IS DO

.

1				
	0	r.		
			0540 #22 R-0339 Job No. 3370-1 Fage 3-A	
		1	3L. How easy or different is it for another of your specie get a <u>(u)time job</u> in your area? Would you say it is sumer impossible were sufficilly encountered difficult at sil? (RECORD BELOW,)	
		Γ	Jns. How about getting a getting aget in you say it is almost impossible, very difficult, somewhat difficult or nor difficult at star (RECORD BELOW,) 16. 3k.	
		Γ.	Part line Altrest upportials Altrest upportials Very difficult Very difficult String that difficult J No. difficult at sciences	
		r ·	Due' Flow	
		lr	4b. What is the next branch you think of? (DO <u>NOT</u> READ ALTERNATIVE ANSWERS, RECORD BELOW.)	
	1	Г	(23) (24) (25) Air Force 1 1 Army 2 2 Coast Guard 3 3 Marine Corps 4 4 Navy 5 5	
4		П	None	
		Γ	Don't Probably Definitely Know/ Definitely Probably Not Not Sure	-
	0	П	Working as a laborer on construction jobs	
		Г	STARI Working as a salesman 1 2 3 4 5 (29) () Serving in the National Guard 1 2 3 4 5 (30) (1) Gas that the Air Nat. Guard 1 or the Army Nat. Guard 2? 3 4 5 (30) (Is that the Air Nat. Guard 1 or the Army Nat. Guard 2? Don't Know (31)	
		Г	() Serving in the Reserve, Army, Coast Guard, Marine Corps, or Navy, Don't (33) Reserve Reserve Reserve Reserve Reserve (33) () Serving in the Air Force (Active Data), 1 2 3 4 5 (14)	
		ſ	() Serving in the Army (Active Duty)	
		Γ.	() Serving in the Navy	
		· ·	5b. When do you think you will join the millingy a rvice?	
			Within 6 months	
		L.	5c. Do you expect you would enter the service as an enlisted man or as an officer? Enlisted man 1 Officer 2	
		Γ		
	0	F .		

OMB #22~R-0330 Job No. J300-1 Page 4 7

7

32

111.0

-

6a. I'd like to read several statements. After I read each statement, please tell me how important you feel it would be if you were considering joining the service. Here's the first one. (READ STATEMENT) Do you consider that Fatremely Important, Very Important, Fairly Important, or Not Important At All? (REFEAT FOR EACH STATEMENT.)

141

START		Estremely Jupp.	Very Imp.	Fairly Imp.	Not Important At All	Don't Know	1
()	Gives you an opportunity						71 21
	to better your life	1	2	3		5	(41) L.L 2.3
()	Trains you for leadership	1	2	3		5	(42) 2 3 25
()	Teaches you a valuable trade or skill	1	2	3		5	(43) 1.0 3.0
()	Helps you get a college education	1	2	3		5	(11) 2 3 201
()	Allows you to see many different countries of the world	1	2	,			···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
()	Provides good benefits for						9 18
()	le a career you can be		2	,	•	5	(46) 2005 2.07
	proud of	1	2	3		5	(47) 275 227 -
()	Has other men you would like to work with	1	2	,			······································
()	Gives you the job you want		,				(48) 2.14 2.
()	Gives you a job which is			. ,	•	,	(19) 215 211
	challenging	1	2	3		5	(50) 23- 2.7
()	Pays well to start	1	2	3		5	(51) 231 2.2

6b. I'm going to read the statements again. The first one is ... (READ). Do you think this is true of any of the services, or not?

6c. (IF "YES" TO QU. 6b. ASK:) Which gag service is this most true of? (SINGLE RESPONSE ONLY.)

			Qu. 6b True of					<u>Qu. 6c</u>									
STA	ART			y Ser	Dan't		-		Mont T	rue Of:							
H	RE		Yes	No	Know		Force	Army	Guard	Corps	Nevy	Don't Know					
()	Gives you an opportunity to better your life		2	3	(52)	1	,									
()	Trains you for leadership	1	2	3	(53)		2				•	(6.				
1	,	Teaches you a valuable trade											(04				
	1	or skill	1	2	3	(54)	1	2	3	•	5	6	(65				
(,	Helps you get a college education		2	,	(55)		2	,								
(,	Allows you to see many different countries of the world		,		(54)		,					101				
(,	Provides good benefits for		•		(00)		•	,	•	,	•	(67				
		you and your family	1	2	3	(57)	1	2	3		5	6	(6.8				
(,	Is a career you can be proud of		,		(5.8)		,									
1	,	Has other men you would		-		1.01		•		•	,	•	(69				
		like to work with	1	2	3	(59)	1	2	3		5		170				
()	Gives you the job you want	1	2	3	(60)	1	2					(7)				
(,	Gives you a job which is															
		challenging	1	2	3	(61)	1	2	3	•	5	6	(72				
()	Pays well to start	1	2	3	(62)	1	2	3	•	5	6	(73				

		OMB #22-R- Job No. J300 Page 5-B	0339 -1
	78.	If you were advising a friend who was thinking of entering a Service, which Service would you recommend? (DO <u>NOT</u> READ ALTERNATIVE ANSWERS.)	
		Air Force 1 Marine Corps4	(74
		Army	75-2
		Coast Guard	80-
•	7Ъ.	Will you please tell me everything you remember about the advertising for the <u>Active Air Force</u> that you have seen or heard recently. (PROBE What did the advertising say? What did it show?	Cd.) Dup.
			Ļ
		Have not seen advertising	6
		Have seen advertising, can't remember content X QU. 7d	
	7c.	How do you feel about the advertising for the <u>Active Air Force</u> ? Would you say it was, personally (READ ALTERNATIVE ANSWERS.)	
		Very meaningful to you	(7)
	7d.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 2 Not very meaningful to you 3 Not at all meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say?	2
	7d.	Very meaningful to you	2 2
	7d.	Very meaningful to you	2 2 2 2 2 2
	7d.	Very meaningful to you	?
	7d.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 2 Not very meaningful to you 3 Not at all meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show? Have not seen advertising	2 2 2 2 3 3 3
	7d. 7e.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 2 Not very meaningful to you 3 Not at all meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show? Have not seen advertising 9 Have seen advertising, can't remember content 1 How do you feel about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard? Woul you say it was, personally (READ ANSWER ALTERNATIVES.)	2 8 9 9
	7d. 7e.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 2 Not very meaningful to you 3 Not at all meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show?	2 8 9 4 (10
	7d. 7e.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 2 Not very meaningful to you 3 Not at all meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show?	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
	7d. 7e.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 2 Not very meaningful to you 3 Not at all meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show? Have not seen advertising 0 Have seen advertising 0 Have seen advertising 0 KIP TO Have seen advertising 0 Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 3 Not at all meaningful to you 4	2 8 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	7d. 7e.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 2 Not very meaningful to you 3 Not at all meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show?	2 8 9 9 1 9 1 9 1 9
	7d. 7e.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 2 Not very meaningful to you 3 Not at all meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show?	2 8 9 9 1 10 (11-1) 0 per
	7d. 7e.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 3 Not very meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show?	2 8 9 9 0 4 (10 (11-) 0 open
	7d. 7e.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 3 Not very meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show?	(1) (1) (11-) open
	7d. 7e.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 3 Not very meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show?	(1) (1) (11-1) open
	7d. 7e.	Very meaningful to you 1 Somewhat meaningful to you 3 Not very meaningful to you 4 What do you remember about the advertising for the Active Coast Guard (PROBE) What did the advertising say? What did it show?	2 8 9 9 1 10 (10 (11-1) 0 per

--

Γ

5

Γ.

F

Γ

Γ

Γ.

5

Γ.

Γ

Γ

Г

Г

OMB #22-R-0339 Job No. J300-1 Page 6

-

7

Now, let's go on to another subject.

O

8a. In the last six months, have you had any contact with a military recruiter representing the active military?

		Yes 1 No 2 (SKIP]	O QU.	8c)	7 (17)
		8b. How were you in contact with the recruiter? STATEMENT. START WITH THE "X'd" IT	(REAL	EACH	
ST A	RE		In th Six	Months	
()	Have you gone to a recruiting station and talked to a recruiter	-		
()	Have you talked face-to-face with a recruiter somewhere other than at a recruiting station		2	
()	Have you heard a recruiter give a talk at your high school			(1.9)
		How we to be deal to a back and the back and		2	(20)
	:	have you taked to a local recruiter by telephone	1	2	(21)
(,	Have you received recruiting literature in the mail .	1	2	(22)
8c.		(ASK EVERYONE) In the last six months (READ EA STATEMENT. START WITH THE "X'd" ITEM.)	сн 🗲]
()	Have you discussed the possibility of enlistment with friends already in the service or who have been in the service	Yes	No	
()	Have you talked with a teacher or guidance counselor		-	(23)
		at school about possible enlistment	1	2	(.1)
()	Have you talked with your girl friend or wife about possible enlistment		,	
()	Have you talked with one or both parents about possible enlistment			()
()	Have you taken an aptitude or career guidance test in his school given by the armed coming	•	-	(26)
()	Have you made a toll-free call for information	•	2	(27)
		about the military	1	2	(28)
()	Have you asked for information about the military by mail	1	2	(29)
()	Have you been physically or mentally tested at a			
		military examination station	1	2	(30)

					Old Job Pag	16 #22-R- No. 3300	0539
	The several more questions about m SKIP TO QU, 96.7	ilitary recruiters. (1	F "NO" 10 QU.	M. ASK QU		DTHERWIS	æ.
	th. flave you ever had any contact	with any mulitary reca	uiter ?				
	Yee 17	No 2 (SEIP I	5 QU. 10)				(11)
	H. You way you have been in contai	t with a miliary rec	cher. What era	uch or branci	es of the	-	
	did they represent? (RECORD UNPRODUCTIVE.)	BELOW. PROBE.)	Any other milita	ry recruiter?	(PROB	E UNTIL	Dum 's
	No. with a sum and		ALON	Corps	- Nevy	Guara	Kaue
1	in trainere representeu	.7	.7	'7	1:		4 (22)
'	"" (IE "AIR FORCE, " "ARMY, " "MARINE CORPS, " ASK:) Did (NAME SERVICE) recruiter re- sent the (READ ALTERNATIVE ANOMESE EXECUTE FOR ANOMESE EXECUTE FOR	oR the pro-			1		SELF TO 20,10)
	"DON'T KNOW")?	Active Air	Active	Active	"		
		Air Nat.	Army Nat.	Marine La	11		
		Guard 1 2 Air Force	Guard 2	Don't Know			
		Reserves [3]	Reserve. 13				
	ASK ON. "4-1 FOR FACH "ACTIVE"						
	KNOW" FOR THE AIR FORCE, ARMY,	11					
	AND MARINE CORPS, AND FOR FACI						
	ASK ALL QUESTIONS FOR A SERVICE						
	BEFORE GOING ON TO THE NEXT.)						
	vd. Did the (NAME SERVICE) recru contact you first, or did you con	iter fact	11	11	1+	+	
	him?	(34)	(38)	(42)	(45)	(48)	
	Recruiter contacted firm	1	2	1	11	1	
	Respondent contacted fir	st 2	2	2	2	2	
	How adequate was the information you got from the (NAME SERVIC recruiter? Did he give you	sn CE) . (35)	69)	(13)	(46)	(4.7)	
	All the information you wanted						
	Most of it	2	2	2		;	
	Or. Very little		1	3	1,1		
	H. Was your attitude toward joining	(NAME					
	SERVICE) more or less favorab before you talked to the recruite didn't it change?	r, or (Su)	(49)	(44)	(47)	(50)	
	More Favorable						
	(Was that)						
	Much more favorable. or. Slightly more (avorable		;	1	1:1	1	
	Didn't Change			i			
	Lune Favorable						
	(Was that)		KARS MARTIN				
1	or, Much less favorable .		*	;	5	:	
i	10. As far as you know, what is the	starting MONTHLY D	I tor an ENLIST		1 1		
	before taxes are deducted? (RO	UND TO THE NEAR	ST DOLLAR.)		e mute	· · · ·	
	(WRITE IN) \$		Don'. Know	L.X	51		
	IN UF "DK" TO OU IN	ASK I Wanti an al.					
	monthly pay for an enlist	ed man in the military		or at gut to ap	to the	tarting	
	\$	I	Refuses to guess	X 55			50
	10c. If the starting pay were increase	d by \$50 a month, wo	ald you be more	likely, or not,		ider	
	More Likely	Would it in					
		Much nu	are likely	2			13.1
		Just a li	ttle more likely				
	Not more likely 6 Don't know			1.6			

Г

Γ.

Г

Γ

F

Г

5

Γ

٢

Γ.

Г

Γ

OMB #22-R-0339 Job No. J300-1 Page 8

11

7

37

il.

11. I am going to read a list of life goals that young men like yourself might have. As I read each one, plasse tell me whether you feel you would be more likely to achieve this goal in the military service or in a civilian job, or could it be achieved in either one? (READ FIRST GOAL, IF "MILITARY" OR "CIVILIAN," ASK:) Would you say you would be (much more likely or somewhat more likely to achieve this goal in the military OR (somewhat more or much more likely to achieve this goal in a civilian job? (RECORD BELOW.)

.

13.

	M	litary	Either	Civili		
	Much More Likely	Somewhat More Likely	Military or <u>Civilian</u>	Somewha: More Likely	Much More Likely	
Personal freedom	. 1	2	3	4	5	(60)
Developing your potential	. 1	2	3		5	(61)
Job security, i.e., a steady job	. 1	2	3	4	5	(62)
Making a lot of money	. 1	2	3		5	(63)
Working for a better society	. 1	2	3	•	5	(64)
Having the respect of friends	. 1	2	3	4	5	(65)
Doing challenging work	. 1	2	3	4	5	(66)
Adventure and excitement	. 1	2	3	4	5	(67)
Learning as much as you can	. 1	2	3	4	5	(68)
Helping other people	. 1	2	3	4	5	(69)
Being able to make your own decisions						
on the job	.1	2	3	4	5	(70)
Recognition and status	. 1	2	3	•	5	(71)

Just a few more questions. How would your parents feel if you told them you were thinking about joining any of the military services?

12s. Would your <u>father</u> be in favor of your joining the service, against it, or neutral? (IF "IN FAVOR," ASK:) Would he be very much in favor of it or slightly in favor of it? (IF "AGAINST," ASK:) Would he be alightly against it or very much against it? (REPEAT OUESTION FOR "MOTHER," RECORD BELOW.)

	 		-			~	-	-			1.55	-	-	-	-	•••								
																					F	ther	Mother	
																					(72)	(73)=	
DON'T HAVE .	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	0	0	
IN FAVOR																								
Very much																						1	1	
Slightly		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		2	2	
AGAINST																								
Slightly																						3	3	
Very much			•	•	•		•	•				•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	4	•	
NEUTRAL						•														•		5	5	
DON'T KNOW .																						6	6	

12b. (ASK FOR EACH PERSON IN QU, 12a WHO WAS "IN FAVOR" OR "AGAINST") You said your (NAME PERSON) would be (IN FAVOR OF/AGAINST) your joining one of the military services. Why do you think (he/she) would feel that way? (DON'T READ ALTERNATIVE ANSWERS.)

ERS.)	Father	Mothe
FAV	ORABLE COMMENTS (74)	(76)
	Patrioticm	1
	Growing up/maturity	2
	Benefits are good	3
	Exciting job/career	4
	Job training/learning & career 5	5
	Other than the above	6
UNFAVORABLE COMMENTS (7		(77)
	Separation/being apart	
	Danger/fear of injury or death	2
	Loss of status of military vs. civilian status career	
	(e.g., "You can do better than being a soldier") 3	3
	Civilian education (Going to school/continuing	
	education)	4
	Negative military experience by father 5	5
	Other than the above	6

(ASK IF "YES" TO "TALKED WITH ONE OR BOTH PARENTS" -- QU. 8c, PAGE 6.) In your discussions with your parents about the possibility of your joining the military, who is usually the one to bring up the subject -- you or your mother or father?

Respondent 1 Mother 2 Father 3 Not sure 4

(78) (79 open) 80-3
OMB #22-R-0339 Job No. J300-1 Page 9

(5)

(29) (30) (31) (32) (33)

(34) (35) (36) (37) (38)

(39) (40) (41) (42) (43)

(44) (45) (46) (47)

(48) (49) (50) (51)

83

-1.50

Cd. 4 Dup. 1-4 You probably know that veterans of the military service can receive financial support for schooling. Please tell me which of the following three statements beat describes the educational assistance program assilable to those currently entering the service. (READ STATEMENTS, START WITH "N'd" ITEM.) 14. START

HERE

.

63

Γ

Π

1

.

1

Γ.

Now. I would like to mention the names of some magazines. As I name each one, please tell me how frequently you read it -- Very Otien, Fairly Otien, Once in a While, or Never. Let's start with "Field & Stream." How frequently do you read it: Very Otien, Fairly Otien, Once in a While, or Never? (REPEAT FOR EACH MAGAZINE LISTED.) 15b. 154.

	150.			First and
Very	Fairly	Once in a		Second
Otten	Otten	While	Never	Favorites
Field & Stream 1	2	3		(6)
Hot Rod 1	2	3		(7)
National Future Farmer 1	2	1		(8)
Parade	2	3		(9)
Popular Science 1	2	. 3		(10)
Sport 1	2	3		(11)
Reader's Digest 1	2	3		(12)
Cycle 1	2	3		(13)
IV Guide 1	2	3		(14)
Car Craft 1	2	3		(15)
Mechanics Illustrated 1	2	,		(16)
Outdoor Life 1	2	3		(17)
Popular Mechanics 1	2	3		(18)
People 1	2	3		(19)
Popular Hot Rodding 1	2	3		(20)
Sports Afield	2	,		(21)
Ebeny	2	3		(22)
Senior Scholastic 1	2	1		(21)
Family Weekly 1	2	3		(24)
Time 1	2			(25)
Newsweek	2			26)
Sports Illustrated 1	2	1		(27)
Sunday Newspaper	2	i		(28)

(IF 2 OR MORE MAGAZINES READ "VERY OFTEN." SAY:) You say you read (NAME MAGAZINES READ "VERY OFTEN") very often. Which of these do you consider your favorite? Which is your next (avorite? (WRITE A "1" ON THE LIVE AFTER FIRST CHOICE, WRITE A "2" ON THE LINE AFTER SECOND CHOICE,) (RECORD ABOVE,) 156.

Which of the following types of television programs do you enjoy most? What would be your second choice? (ASK FOR THIRD AND FOURTH CHOICES, WRITE A "1" ON THE LINE AFTER FIRST CHOICE, A "2" AFTER SECOND CHOICE, ETC.) 16.

Comedies (such as All in the Family,	
M A SeH, Welcome Back Kotter)	(52
Sporte	(53
Movies	(54
Dramas (such as Starsky & Hutch, Little	
House on the Prairie, The Waltons)	(55

When something you read in an advertisement interests you, which of the following are you most likely to do? (READ ALL STATEMENTS, STARTING AT THE "X", WRITE A "1" ON THE LINE AFTER FIRST CHOICE, DETERMINE 2ND, 3RD, & 4TH CHOICES, WRITE "2", "3" OR "4" ON THE CORRECT LINES.) 17. START

HERE

)	Call toll free number	
	Mail is a summer star to be at a	(30)
	Mait in a coupon attached to the ad	/8.71
	Clin out the ad and an to the Investor to an and and and	(37)
	city out the ad and go to the location to get more information	(5.8)
•	Clip out the ad and any of fur future reference	(
	oup out the ad and save it for future reference	(59)

18.	One more question about military service. If you knew you almost certainly would be required to serve in a position which could expose you to combat, how likely would you be to serve in the military of the serve in the military of the serve in the serv	60		
	Definitely would i Probably would not 3 Don't know/ Probably would 2 intinitely would not 4	(61)		
19.	If you knew that your job in the vervice would involve working with women doing work like yours, how likely would you be to serve in the unitary?			
	Definitely would I Probably would not 3 Don't know/	(6.3)		
-	Probably would 2 Definitely would not 4 not sure 5	(02)		
ou j	I have a few questions to help us put our participants into proper groups. Remember that the informa- tive us is completely confidential.	tion		
υ.	Are you married, single, separated or divorced?			
	Married 1 Single 2 Separated/Divorced/Widowed 3	(63)		
1.	What was the highest educational level your father completed? If you are not sure, please give me your best guess.			
	Did not complete high school	(64)		
	Business or trade school			
4.	What (arc/were) your average grades in high school? (READ LIST OF GRADES.)			
	A's and B's			
	C's and D's	(65)		
3.	What education program (are you/were you) in, in high school? (READ ALTERNATIVES)			
	College preparatory 1 Commercial or business training 2 Vocational 3	(66)		
۰.	Which of the following mathematics courses, if any, did you take and pass in high school?			
	Elementary AlgebraI Intermediate Algebra3	(67)		
	(DON'I READ) None of these			
	Did you take and pass any science courses in high school which coursed along the			
	Yes 1 No 2	(68)		
	describe yourself as (READ LIST)			
	Guban 1 Other Spanish 4 Oriental 7 Mexican-American .2 American Indian 5 White 8 Puerto Rican 3 Black 6 Refused R	(69)		
	Name of Respondent			
	Address			
	Lity/State /ip Code			
		74		
	It is not the authority to request this information is given in 10 USC 136. Providing this informa- tion is voluntary on your part and there are no consequences if you choose not to do so. This informa- tion is recessary to enable us to re-contact you in the future regarding your decisions.	(75-79 - open) 80-4		
		Cd. 5 Dup. 1-4		
	None 0 Refused X			
	المسلسبا لسلسبا لسلسبا الماسية			
POR	TANT: TO MAKE THIS A VALID INTERVIEW, PLEASE RECORD ON PAGE I AND HERE, THE I.D. NUMBER FROM YOUR CALL RECORD FROM			
		20-79		
		open) 10-5		

......

.0

ם ם ם ם ם ב

7

Π

٦

٦

٦

7

П

7