U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Technical Information Sorvice

35

AD/A-055 824

DEMONSTRATION OF THE MICROWAVE ICE PROTECTIC CONCEPT

BERTRAM MAGENHEIM

MECHANICS RESEARCH, INCORPORATED MCLEAN, VIRGINIA

MAY 1978

efa t

1

Sec. 20

j

e realized

Q USAAMRDL-TR-77-34



C C DEMONSTRATION OF THE MICROWAVE ICE PROTECTION CONCEPT C

17.14

۰. .

Bertram Magenheim Mechanics Rosearch, Inc. 7929 Westpark Drive AcLean, Va. 22101

May 1978

Final Report for Period June 1976 - June 1977

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

> REPRODUCED BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CUMMERCE SPRINGFIELD, 74 22161

Prepared for

APPLIED TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY U. S. ARMY RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES (AVRADCOM) Fort Eustis, Vg. 23604

#### APPLIED TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY PUSITION STATEMENT

٧ŧ

 $\mathfrak{D}$ 

A PARTY AND A P

The primary purpose of this R&C effort was to verify the applicability of microwave surface guide theory as a method of helicopter rotor blade ice protection, and to estimate the performance characteristics and penalties imposed by the system to provide a sound basis for further development or abandonment of the concept. Primary conceptual concerns were: accuracy of analytical prediction techniques for surface wave guide performance, system complexity and weight, and detectability of the microwave energy during use of the ice protection system. Also of significance in determining feasibility for further development are features such as system cost, reliability, maintainability, electrical power requirements, and aircraft performance penalties. This effort has confirmed the applicability of surface wave guide theory and has produced estimates of microwave rotor blade ice protection features.

This Directorate concurs in the findings presented in this report and recommends use of the information contained herein for further R&D planning purposes. The information contained herein should not be used for production design purposes since complete test verification has not been accomplished and absolute confidence of estimated characteristics does not exist. Continued investigations are needed to gain further knowledge regarding methodology of concept implementation for use as an ice protection device for helicopter rotor blades, to demonstrate full-scale system function, and to refine the estimated design features.

The Project Engineer for this effort was Mr. Richard 1. Adams of the Systems Support Division.

#### DISCLAIMERS

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission, to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Trade names cited in this report do not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial hardware or software.

#### DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator,

	ORT DOCUMENTATION P	ntered)	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT HUM ER			BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
USAAMRDL TR-7	7-34		
4. TITLE (and Subility)		(Q	S. TYPE OF REPORTA PERIOD COVERED
	N OF THE MICROWAVE	ICE /	Final Alepert #
PROTECTION CO	INCEPT .	<u> </u>	June 76 4 June 77
7. AUTHORA			9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER()
			AT DAALING TO O detrolled
Bertram/Magenhei	m	(15	DAAJ#2-76-C-9952
	NIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. ATTANA ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Mechanics Researce 7929 Westpark D			62209A11L262209AH76
McLean, Virginia			00 172 EK
11. CONTROLLING OF	FICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE (17) chich
	gy Laboratory, U. S. Arm atories (AVRADCOM)	iv Hesearch and 1	13. RUHBER OF PAGE
Fort Eustis, Virgi	nia 23604		133 (12) 139 (13) 133 (13) 133 (12) 13. SECURITY CLASS. (13) 14 (19) (1)
14. WONITORING AGE	CY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillorent	trom Controlling Utilice)	Unclassified
			154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
			SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION ST	TEMENT (of this Report)		
	lic release; distribution ur		ron Report)
			ron Report)
	NTEMENT (of the obstract onlared i		rom Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	NTEMENT (of the obstract entered in NOTES	in Block 20, 11 dillerent f	
17. DISTRIBUTION ST. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Conil	NTEMENT (of the ebetrect entered i NOTES	n Block 20, Il dillerent f d identify by block numb	r)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	NTEMENT (of the ebetract entered in NOTES	n Block 20, Il dillerent f d identify by block numb Dissipan Cost	r)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Conf) Feasibility Helicopters Rotors	NTEMENT (of the ebetract entered in NOTES Inve on reverse elde if necessary en Ice Adhesion Surface Waveguides	d Identify by block numb Dissipar Cost Weight	r)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Confil Feasibility Helicopters Rotors Blades Microwayes	NOTES NOTES Ice Adhesion Surface Waveguides Dielectrics Heat	d identify by block oumb Dissipat Cost Weight Power Experin	ental Verification
17. DISTRIBUTION ST 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Confl Feasibility Helicopters Rotors Blades Microwaves 20. ABSTRACT (Confl)	NTEMENT (of the ebetrect entered i NOTES note on reverse elde if necessary en loce Adhesion Surface Waveguides Dielectrics Heat	d identify by block numb Cost Weight Fower Experin I dentify by block marbo	non nental Verification
17. DISTRIBUTION ST 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Confil Feasibility Helicopters Rotors Blades Microwayes 20. ABSTRACT (Confil An experimental blades is presented wave ice protection by cperating at h the same microwa protection system	NOTES NOTES	d identify by block ormin Dissipat Cost Weight Power Laperin d identify by block mask Cost Sweight Power Experin d identify by block mask Experin toreased power de les, resulting in sig te concept offers t lic materials that t	ental Verification
17. DISTRIBUTION ST. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Confl Feasibility Helicopters Rotors Blades Nicrowaves 20. ABSTRACT (Confl An experimental blades is preserter wave ice protection by operating at h the same microwa protection system section of compo	NOTES NOTES	d identify by block numb Dissipat Cost Weight Power Experim t Identify by block made cost Weight rowave ice protect es from experimen creased power de es, resulting in sig te concept offers t lic materials that to veight, cost and p	ion hental Verification ion concept for helicopter rotor ntal ice protection devices, micro nsity in the ice layer is achieved nificantly shorter shed times for he possibility of constructing ice will not deteriorate the radar cross ower estimates for equipping Unclassified

1

-

:

.

大学に言語

Unclassified

#### SECURITY CLAINFICATION OF THIS PAGE (The Date Beleval)

Block 20. Abstract - continued.

. . . :-

various helicopters are presented. An evolving microwave tube technology promises significant improvements in cost, efficiency, weight, and power drain while providing higher microwave powers and consequently shorter shed times.

It is demonstrated that a combination erosion shield/surface waveguide constructed from ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) fortified with a layer of polyurethane near the tip did not deteriorate the mean time between unscheduled maintenance (MTBUM) of the blade below that of the polyurethane erosion shield by itself. When the erosion shield/surface waveguide reaches the MTBUM it can be replaced without discarding the blade.

L

Unclassified

2

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

### PREFACE

This report presents the findings of an investigation of the microwave ice protection concept for helicopter rotor blades performed by Machanics Research, Inc., Electronic Systems Division, under Cantract No. DAAJ02-76-C-0052 for the Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory (USAAMRDL),\* Fort Eustis, Viriginia. The technica? monitor of the project for USAAMRDL was Mr. R. I. Adams. The Mechanics Research, Inc. program was under the direction of Mr. B. Magenheim, Project Manager. Personnel contributing to the program included Mr. K. Atkins.

\*On 1 September 1977, the Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory was redesignated Applied Technology Laboratory, U.S. Army Research and Technology Laboratories (AVRADCOM).

### SUMMARY

The purpose of this program was to demonstrate the microwave ice protection concept for helicopter rotor blades and to estimate the characteristics and penalties of the concept. The work performed was based on the Feasibility Analysis (Reference 1) performed previously for the Eustis Directorate.

### Ice Shed Tests

Scale model microwave deicers successfully shed ice samples at blade surface temperature of -20°C.

Scale model tests run at 2.45 GHz and scaled to 22 GHz indicate a significant improvement in performance at 22 GHz for the same amount of power used at 2.45 GHz, due primarily to the higher power concentration that can be achieved in the ice layer at the higher frequency.

### Verification of Analytical Techniques

Analytical techniques for predicting surface waveguide deicer performance have been verified experimentally and can be reliably used to predict surface waveguide performance at any frequency.

### Launch Efficiency

Launch efficiencies between 50% and 70% were obtained. The goal of this program was to achieve a sufficient launch efficiency to demonstrate deicer performance, not to optimize launchers. Data indicates that launch efficiencies in excess of 90% are achievable.

Magenheim, Bertram and Hains, Frank, Feasibility Analysis for a Microwave Deicer for Helicopter R<sup>-</sup> tor Blades, Mechanics Research Inc., USAAMRDL-TR-76-18, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia, May 1977.

### Dielectric Properties of Rotor Ice

A technique for measuring the dielectric loss properties of rotor ice was established and used to measure the loss tangents of the various ice samples used in the ice shed tests.

### Cost, Weight and Power

Preliminary cost, weight and power estimated for UH-1, AH-1, CH-47, OH-58, UTTAS, AAH and ASH helicopters were made and are presented in Section 8. Costs range from approximately \$9.3K for the UH-1 to \$26K for the CH-47.

### Reliability and Maintainability

The mean time between unscheduled maintainance (MTBUM) for a microwave deicer boot composed of ultrahigh molecular weight polyethelene (UHMWPE) out to 70% span and UHMWPE covered with a 25-mil polyurethane erosion coat for the remainder of the span was shown not to deteriorate the MTBUM obtainable with a polyurethane erosion shield over the full span of the blade.

### Passive Countermeasures

It is shown in section 6 that the vulnerability of Army helicopters due to the possible detection of microwave dejcer leakage radiation is negligable.

### Ice Detection

Techniques have been identified that can be used to detect the presence of ice and measure its thickness and accretion rate. One technique is inherent in the deicer and consists of monitoring signals returning from the deicer boot. Other techniques would utilize separate and independent devices that are nonmechanical having no moving parts and conform to the contour of the surface being monitored. The readout is essentially instantaneous, giving ice presence, ice thickness and ice accretion rate as a function of time. The devices are small, lightweight and rugged so that they can be placed almost anywhere on the skin of the aircraft, including the rotor blade and in the engine inlet ducts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- **1**, 1, 1

A STATE AND A STAT

したかないないないないないないないのにないない

and in a second second

		<u><u> </u></u>	age
PREFACE	• •		3
SUMMARY	••	••••••••	4
LIST OF	ILLI	JSTRATIONS	9
LIST OF	TAB	LES	12
SECTION	1 -	STATIC ICE SHED TESTS	13
		Physical Removal of Ice by Microwave Deicers	13
		Discussion of Ice Shed Data	15
		Multilayer Model Surface Waveguide	23
		Comparative Shedding Effectiveness of the $TE_1$ and $TM_0$ Modes	27
		Shedding Time as a Function of Temperature	29
		Experimental Procedures	29
SECTION	2 -	VERIFICATION OF SURFACE WAVEGUIDE THEORY	34
		Experimental Procedures	43
SECTION	3 -	WAVE LAUNCHERS	54
		Measurement of Coupling Efficiency of the TE $_{l}$ Mode $\ .$ .	58
SECTION	4 -	LOSS TANGENT OF ROTOR ICE	62
		Loss Tangent Measurements	63
SECTION	5 -	ICE DETECTORS	66
SECTION	6 -	PASSIVE COUNTERMEASURES AND IMPACT ON COMPOSITE	
		BLÁDES	69
		Radar Reflectivity	70
		Detectability	72
SECTION	7 -	RELIABILITY AND MAINTAINABILITY STUDIES	78
SECTION	8 -	POWER, WEIGHT, COST	82
		System Power	82
		System Weight	85
		Weight of Tube and Power Supply	85
		System Cost	

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

37

ANERS SIL CARDENS FOR THE STATE

-

P.1. P.

The start and th

- 5

Page
SECTION 9 - CONCLUSIONS
SECTION 10 - RECOMMENDATIONS
LIST OF SYMBOLS
LIST OF REFERENCES
APPENDIXES A - SUMMARY OF STATIC ICE SHED, TESTS
B - DERIVATIONS OF LOSS TANGENT EQUATIONS
C - RECOMMENDED WHIRLING ARM TESTS - UH-1 TAIL ROTOR EQUIPPED WITH MICROWAVE ICE PROTECTION IN SIMULATED ICING ENVIRONMENT
Simulated Icing Environment
Microwave Ice Detectors
Basic Concepts
E - POWER DRAIN OF A MICROWAVE DEICER AT 33.7 GHz USING AN EXPERIMENTAL GYROTORN

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

 مەلەپ ۋەتەر (سەيىرەت مەر Nelson.

general energy and the second and the second and the second and the second of the seco

Fig	ure Page
1	Shed Time Versus Power In Surface Wave, $-17^{\circ}C < \tilde{\chi}_{a} < -20^{\circ}C$ 2.45 GHz
2	Attenuation Constant Versus Ice Thickness For TE, mode, 2.45 GHz, $\epsilon_1 = 2.119$
3	Attenuation Constant Versus Ice Thickness for $TE_1$ mode, 22 GHz, $\epsilon_1 = 2.220$
4	Shed Time Versus Power In Surface Wave, $T_{\omega} = -20^{\circ}C$ , 22 GHz
5	TE1 Mode Power Density Versus Distance From Surface, 2.45 GHz and 22 GHz
6	Two and Three Layer Models
7	Attenuation Constant Versus Ice Thickness at 2.45 GHz 28
8	Effect of Blade Temperature on Shed Time
9	Test Equipment for Performing Static Ice Shed Tests 31
10	Comparison of Computed With Heasured Guide Wavelength for TE <sub>1</sub> Mode
11	Comparison of Computed and Measured Guide Wavelength TM <sub>C</sub> Mode
12	Comparison of Theoretical With Measured External Eigenvalue, TM <sub>O</sub> Mode
13	Comparison of Theoretical With Measured Internal Eigenvalue, TM <sub>O</sub> Mode
14	Comparison of Theoretical With Measured External Eigenvalue, TE <sub>l</sub> Mode
15	Comparison of Theoretical With Measured Internal Eigenvalue, TE <sub>l</sub> Mode
:6	Attenuation as a Function of Distance Above Surface

Ē

STRUCT OF

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>r</u>	rage
1	7 Attenuation as a Function of Distance Above Surface
1	8 TE <sub>1</sub> Mode Test Model No. 1
1	9 TM <sub>O</sub> Mode Test Model No. 1
2	0 Test Equipment for Measuring Surface Waveguide Performance - Low Power Configuration for Bench or Cold Chamber 50
2	1 Experimental Set-Up for Measuring Surface Waveguide Performance
2	2 Experimental Set-Up for Measuring Surface Waveguide Performance
2	Coupling Performance (a) Measured Coupling Efficiency Versus Frequency 55 (b) Voltage Standing Wave Ratio Versus Frequency for TE <sub>1</sub> Mode, Test Model No. 1, $d_1 = 1.6^{"}$ , $\epsilon_1 = 2.255$
2	4 Coupling Efficiency Versus Frequency, TM <sub>O</sub> Mode 56
2	5 Comparison of Theoretical Values of Coupling Efficiency With Measured Values
2	6 Measured Tuning Characteristics of Resonant TM <sub>O</sub> Mode Waveguide as a Function of Ice Thickness 67
Ż	7 Measured Tuning Characteristics of Resonant TE <sub>1</sub> Mode Surface Waveguide as a Function of Ice Thickness
2	Power Density at 22 GHz for the TE <sub>1</sub> Mode for Dielectric Slabs and Image Lines
2	Power Denisty at 22 GHz for the TM <sub>0</sub> Modes for Dielectric Slabs and Image Lines
3	0 Mean lime Between Unscheduled Maintenance (MTBUM) Versus Percent Exposure to Sand and Rain Erosion 81

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

L'and grade as the mariles " all which we want the second state and

souther the state of the second

N.S.

<u>Figur</u>	<u>'e</u>	Page
C-1	Artists Conception of Whirling Arm Test Stand	123
C-2	Schematic Diagram Whirling Arm Test Stand	124
C-3	Perspective View UH-1 Tail Rotor Equipped With Microwave Deicer Boot and Wave Launcher	125
C-4	Crossection - Deicer Boot and Wave Launcher	126
E-1	The Dependence of the Efficiency (n), Cavity Losses (P <sub>c</sub> ) and Gryotron Output Power P on the Beam Current I in Continuous Mode of Operation: U <sub>c</sub> ≈ 19 kV	132
E-2	Outline Drawing of Soviet Gyrotron Prototype	132

日本を見たすねとしたためののにはないたが、ためにおけたのかでした。ないためになったたたかであるとないたが、

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Measured and Scaled Shed Performance Data	18
2	Measured Loss Tangents of Various Samples of Ice (Model 1 75 <sub>1</sub> Mode)	64
3	Helicopter Power Drain 400 Watts Per Blade • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	83
4	Time to Shed Ice Layer (Measured at Midspan Stagnation Point) Teflon Model, Ice Density = 0.9, Computed From eq B - 14 and 17 Reference 1 (lower ice density will result in shorter shed time)	. 84
5	System Incremental Weight and Cost	. 86

### <u>SECTION 1</u> STATIC ICE SHED TESTS

### PHYSICAL REMOVAL OF ICE BY MICROWAVE DEICERS

the stability of the second stability of the second stability of the second stability of the second stability of

The physical removal of laboratory-grown ice from test articles equipped with experimental microwave ice protection devices was performed under various ambient temperatures and with various ice densities and compusitions.

Test data are summarized in Figure 1, representing data from 70 tests at an ambient temperature of -20 degrees centigrade. Complete test data are given in Appendix A. Experiments were performed on scale model surface waveguides propagating the Transverse Electric (TE) and Transverse Magnetic (TM) modes,\*designed for operation at a frequency of 2.45 GHz. These models represent scaled up versions of models for operation at 5.85 and 22 GHz.

Ice samples were approximately 3 inches in length and had a weight coupled mechanically to simulate the centrigugal force encountered by a 3-inch element of ice at approximately 100 inches from the rotor hub as shown in Figure 9. The wave launched into the surface waveguide first passed through the ice sample, which extracted a small fraction of the energy from the passing wave, sufficient to cause it to shed. Then, the energy remaining in the wave continued down the surface waveguide to be dissipated in the electrical load terminating it and simulating the remaining ice on the rotor blade. The amount of power extracted by the ice sample is dependent upon lossiness or the median loss tangent of the ice (tan  $\delta$ ).

\*Modes are defined in Appendix A Reference 1



1

2 , . , ,

Ŀ Ξ.



Shed Time Versus Power In Surface Wave, -17°C <  $T_{\infty}$  < -20°C, 2.45 GHz Figure l.

360

Tests were performed on ice of the following compositions which may be considered to encompass the full range of tan  $\delta$  to be expected in natural icing.

- Salt water ice, 0.6% concentration, density 0.9 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>
- 2. Salt water ice, 0.3% concentration, density 0.9  $gm/cm^3$
- 3. Salt water ice, 0.15% concentration, density 0.9  $\text{gm/cm/}^3$
- 4. Tap water ice, density 0.9  $gm/cm^3$
- 5. Tap water ice, dens'+y 0.75 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>
- 6. Distilled water ice, density 0.9  $gm/cm^3$
- 7. Distilled water ice, density 0.75  $gm/cm^3$
- 8. CRREL<sup>\*</sup> snow, dansity 0.35 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>
- 9. CRREL<sup>\*</sup> snow, density 0.25 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>

### DISCUSSION OF ICE SHED DATA

The data of Figure 1 demonstrate the shedding of ice elements from 2.45 GHz scale model surface waveguide deicers. The first and most obvious trend noted is the hyperbolic shape of the shed-time curves, that were fit to the data, of the form:

$$p_{s}t_{s} = k_{e}$$
(1)  
where:  $t_{s}$  = Shed time (sec)  

$$p_{s}$$
 = Power in the surface wave, (watts)  

$$k_{e}$$
 = Energy, (watt-sec)

\*Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL)

High-loss ice samples, such as that with 0.6% salt, shed rapidly; whereas low-loss samples, such as distilled water ice, took longer and required more power to shed. The shed time of the low-loss ice was reduced considerably by the use of a lossier third layer (Figure 6): such as a polyurethane erosion strip. Values of  $k_{\varepsilon}$  for different ice samples are presented in Table 1.

The power in the surface wave,  $p_s$ , is much larger than the power dissipated in the ice sample; most of the energy in the wave passes through the ice and is dissipated in the load terminating the guide (as evidenced by the sharp rise in temperature of the termination). The energy that must be dissipated in the ice sample to cause it to shed is determined from:

$$p_d t_s = \frac{mc\Delta T}{0.2389} = k_s$$
 (2)

where:

p<sub>d</sub> = Power dissipated in the ice sample (watts)
t<sub>s</sub> = Shed time (sec)
m = Mass of ice sample (gms)
c = Specific heat of ice

 $\Delta T$  = Temperature rise required to shed (°C)

k<sub>c</sub> = Energy required to shed (watt-sec)

The ratio of Equation 1 to Equation 2 establishes the ratio of the power in the surface wave,  $p_s$ , to the power dissipated in the ice sample,  $p_d$ , since the shed times cancel.

$$\frac{p_d t_s}{p_s t_s} = \frac{mc\Delta T}{k_{\epsilon}} \quad (0.2389)$$

$$\frac{p_d}{p_s} = \frac{mc\Delta\tilde{i}}{k_e(0.2389)}$$
(3)

)

where: 0.2389 is the factor required to convert mc $\Delta$ T in calories to watt-sec. Values of  $p_d/p_s$  computed from Equation 3 are presented in Table 1.

Knowing the power lost in the ice sample and the power input, the attenuation constant,  $\alpha$ , in db/in can be computed and is presented in Table 1 for each of the ice sample types used in the test.

### Extension of Shed Data to 22 GHz

Figures 2 and 3 show curves of the theoretically computed attenuation constant for the TE<sub>1</sub> mode as a function of ice thickness for operating frequencies of 2.45 and 22 GHz respectively. Computations are based upon techniques presented in Appendix A of Reference 1 which are well recognized techniques for computation of the attenuation constant of waveguides as substantiated by the experimental work of Cohn, Reference 2 and 3, King and Schlesinger Reference 4 and 5 and the standard textbooks - Ramo and

4 D.D. King and S.P. Schlesinger, "Losses in Dielectric Image Lines," <u>IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and</u> <u>Techniques</u>, January 1957.

or

<sup>2</sup> M. Cohn, "Propagation in Dielectric-Loaded Parallel Plane Waveguide," <u>IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory</u> <u>and Techniques</u>, pp. 202-208, April 1959.

<sup>3</sup> M. Cohn, "TE Modes of Dielectric Loaded Trough Line," <u>IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques</u>, pp. 449-454, July 1960

TABLE 1. MEASURED AND SCALED SHED PERFORMANCE DATA

Not on Factor Station

a torne

ļ

1

í

ŧ

		2.45 GHz Measured Data			FROM FIGURE 2	FROM TABLE 2	22 GHz Scaled Data		
0L	DESCRIPTION		P <sub>d</sub> /P <sub>s</sub>	a	tanő	tanó	k¦ Walt-	P (/P ' d's	α'
SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION	watt- sec x10 <sup>3</sup>	-	db/in	-		sec x10 <sup>3</sup>	-	db/in
•	TE1 MODE 0.6% SALT ICE 2 LAYER MODEL	7	.094	. 143	. 15		0.663	.995	7.8
D	THO NODE 0.6% SALT ICE 2 LAYER MODEL	18.9	.035	.051	NA	NA	NA	NA	M <sup>1</sup>
0	TE <sub>1</sub> HODE DISTILLED ICE 2 LAYER MODEL	162.5	.0041	.0059	.004	.007	3.25	.203	.32
Δ	TAP ICE 2 LAYER MODEL	74.3	.009	.0129	.013	.015	1.4525	.406	.75
•	TE <sub>1</sub> MODE DISTILLED ICE - 3 LAYERS POLYURETHANE EROSION STRIP	36	.018	.œ67	.015	NA	. 325	.799	2.3
٥	TE <sub>1</sub> MODE TAP ICE - 3 LAYERS MYLAR RESISTIVE STRIP	10	.066	.0988	. 10	NA	. 575	.977	5.4



2

Figure 2. Attenuation Constant Versus Ice Thickness TE, Mode, 2.45 GHz,  $\epsilon_1 = 2.1$ 

ないため、ためになっていたのである。



Ş

- M

5

ž

246

T

de la constante

 1.600

Figure 3. Attenuation Constant Versus Ice Thickness for TE, Mode, 22 GHz,  $\epsilon_1 = 2.2$ 

Whinnery Reference 7 and R. Collin Reference 6. The attenuation constants that were measured at a frequency of 2.45 GHz for the various ice samples are plotted on Figure 2. The loss tangents for each ice sample can be read off of Figure 2 and are presented in Table 1. (This method of establishing tan  $\delta$  yields measurements that agree with measurements made separately in Section 4, which are also presented in Table 1). Using these values of tan  $\delta$ and ice samples of the same thicknesses as those used in the 2.45 GHz tests, points on Figure 3 for 22 GHz can be plotted which correspond to the points plotted in Figure 2 for 2.45 GHz, permitting the attenuation constant  $\alpha$ ,  $p_d/p_s$ and  $k_{\rm c}$  to be determined at 22 GHz (these are denoted by  $\alpha'_{F} p_{d}'/p_{\chi}'$  and  $k_{E}'$ ). Having determined  $k_{E}'$  the curves of shed time versus surface wave power,  $p_s' = t_s'$  can be established for 22 GHz and are plotted in Figure 4. Figure 4 snows a significant reduction in shed time at 22 GHz for the same surface wave power and ice samples. This reduction in shed time obviates the need for three layer models to enhance lossiness. Three-layer models may still be required, however, to improve sand and rain erosion.

5 S.P. Schlesinger and D.D. King, "Dielectric Image Lines," <u>IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and</u> <u>Techniques</u>, July 1958.

- 6 R. Collin, <u>Field Theory of Guided Waves</u>, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1960.
- 7 Ramo, Whinnery and Van Duzer, <u>Fields and Waves in</u> <u>Communications Electronics</u>, New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1965.



Ì

Figure 4.

Martin Martin Martin Construction

VALUE CANAS TA

13.1

At 22 GHz, improved performance is obtained primarily by the higher concentration of energy that can be achieved in the ice layer and the consequently higher attenuation constant. A comparison of  $TE_1$  mode power density at 2.45 GHz (where all data was taken) with that at 22 GHz is presented in Figure 5 for the same incident power and the same ice layer. The area under each curve represents the incident power (power launched into wave guide) and is the same for all curves. Figure 5 illustrates that the power density :, in the ice layer at 22 GHz is approximately nine times that achieved at 2.45 GHz. This is the reason for the order of magnitude increase in the attenuation constants illustrated by Figures 2 and 3. Figure 5 also illustrates the rapid increase in power density as a function of ice thickness and the migraticn of the peak power density into the ice layer. This shows up as a rapid increase of the attenuction constant as a function of ice thickness illustrated in Figure 3.

### MULTILAYER MODEL SURFACE WAVEGUIDE

or you all the set of the set of

Static ice shed tests were run on a variety of two-layer and three-layer surface waveguide models These model types are shown in Figure 6. (Tests 50 to 59, 78 to 112 and 127 to 149 are three-layer tests, remaining tests were all two-layer.) The third layer was introduced to provide enhanced sand and rain erosion resistance and increased lossiness to peed up the shedding of lowloss ice. It is visualized that surface waveguide deicers or anti-icers may be constructed from two or three layers or combinations thereof.

Tests were performed on two types of lossy third layers:



2.20



ALL PHILLIPPIC



Þ

こうできるというないでいていていますのう

ちきしんん こうちゃんきょう

そうちの花

Survey and the second

Figure 6. Two- and Three-Layer Models

になったいないというというというと

SLAP COLO

anter ante

S. S. A. Martin Links

- Polyurethane erosion strips, 0.025-in. thick, which also show promise of high sand and rain erosion resistance.
- 2) Resistive-strip loss layers whose loss characteristics could be readily adjusted for experimental purposes to establish the effect: of the variable loss on ice shed characteristics, these strips would be embedded below dielectric erosion strips for protection from sand and rain erosion.

### Polyurethane Third Layers Tests

Test on three-layer surface waveguide models using 0.025-in. polyurethane erosion strips were conducted, (tests 140 to 149, Appendix A), the results of these tests are summarized in Figure 1 at  $-2^{-1}$ C, illustrating shed time as a function of surface wave power for distilled water ice. The polyurethane erosion strips came with their own adhesive. However, the adhesive proved to be far more lossy at 2.45 GHz than the polyurethane itself and began to melt during the initial tests (tests 140 to 143, Appendix A). The data of Figure 1 for polyurethane erosion strips were obtained with the adhesive removed and the polyurethane taped in place (tests 144 and 149). Thus for microwave deicer service, a low-loss adhesive must be used or the polyurethane erosion coat must be applied as a liquid or a paint.

### Variable-Resistivity Third Layer Tests

Third layer tests were run utilizing strips of variable resistivity. Variable resistivity strips, when used under a thin, low-loss erosion strip, can be used to control the surface guide's attenuation constant, offering the possibility of tailoring the attenuation constant to any desirable value. These strips are available commercially in a wide variety of standard resistivities, ranging from 25 ohms per square to 1000 ohms per square. Each consists of a vapor-deposited, molecular-thin pure-metal film on a 5-mil MYLAR (Polyethylene) or Kapton substrate. The metal film can be deposited directly underneath a polyethylene or polyurythane erosion strip to control the attenuation constant of the deicer as a function of blade radius.

Tests 127 to 139 were performed utilizing 300-chm-persquare mylar strips in which attenuation constants 0.08 to 0.07 db/inch were obtained. These tapes were held in place by ten-mil low-loss tape and the ice samples on top. Exceptionally clean and rapid ice shedding (tests 127 to 139, Appendix A) were obtained and results were summarized in Figure 1, where they are compared to the polyurythane shedding tests.

# COMPARISON OF THE SHEDDING EFFECTIVENESS OF THE TE<sub>1</sub> AND THE TM<sub>0</sub> Modes

Figure 1 shows shed time data for the  $TE_1$  and the  $TM_0$  modes (for identical 0.6% salt samples) that shows that the  $TE_1$  mode is more effective since it takes less time to shed for the same incident power. These data further support theoretical predictions of shedding effectiveness based upon the calculated values of the attenuation constants for the  $TE_1$  and the  $TM_0$  modes. The  $TE_1$  mode attenuation is always larger than the  $TM_0$  mode, as illustrated in Figure 7.

The  $TM_0$  mode is of practical interest, however, since it allows use of thinner surface waveguides than will the TE, mode at the same operating frequencies. Even though

L'ALAN MANALESCAN

方法がは形式においたから、目前にたいため、「「「「「」」



1.



the TE<sub>1</sub> mode is more effective, the TM<sub>0</sub> mode still produces clean shedding, and in situations where waveguide thickness becomes the limiting consideration, the TM<sub>0</sub> mode might be considered for deicing purposes.

### SHEDDING TIME AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE

While helicopter specifications require deicer performance down to an ambient temperature of -20°C the aerriyanmic heating of the blade will cause its temperature to be higher.

Shed data illustrated in Figure 1 was taken at blade temperatures between  $-17^{\circ}C$  and  $-20^{\circ}C$ . The effects of increased blade temperature due to aerodynamic heating or any other reason are illustrated in Figure 8, which was plotted from data obtained in tests 78 to 112, Appendix A. As shown, shed time is drastically reduced for the same incident power as the ambient temperature is increased, or alternately, the power required is reduced for the same shed time.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

ne of the second of the second sec

Figure 9 is a schematic of the setup for performing the ice shed tests. Figure 9 also shows the ice loading to simulate various centrifugal force fields. It became clear early in the tests that this method of simulating the centrifugal force field was only satisfactory for small ice elements, not exceeding about 3 inches in length and 2 inches in width. This limited most of the tests to samples not exceeding 3 inches.

When longer samples were used, those portions of the ice closest to the wave launcher (which are the first



Service Solution

いるないないないないという

がいていたちかかなかがったいと

ALC: NO. 1

and the second the second second second

がらなどのないないためでいたとうというと

Figure 8. Effect of Blade Temperature on Shed Time



Sector Secto

Ser. 1 . 1. 2. 2. 1

<u>بر جو</u>



to be subjected to microwave heating and the first to be elevated to the shed temperature) were not removed from the surface waveguide by the simulated centrifugal load because this load was held in place by ice elements further down the waveguide that had not yet reached their shed temperature, thus preventing the shed force from traveling to the early elements of ice. This obviously is a poor simulation of conditions on a real rotor blade where all elements of the ice are simultaneously subjected to the centrifugal shear force.

Two types of microwave loads were used to terminate the surface waveguide: a totally reflecting plate and a reflectionless load. The force from the ice loading mechanism was transferred to the ice sample through the microwave termination (which was free to slide) and a dielectric pusher placed between the termination and the ice sample, as shown in Figure 9. The dielectric pusher, having approximately the same thickness and dielectric properties as the ice sample, is also a simulation of an additional length of the ice. The reflectionless load was replaced in some tests by the totally reflecting plate, which reflects essentially all energy, giving the wave an opportunity to make two passes through the ice sample. Under these conditions, the only thing supporting the weight of the loading mechanism was the adhesion strength of the bond between the ice and the surface waveguide. When this bond is broken by microwave energy the weight is free to fail, indicating that the ice has shed. The shed time was then measured as the time between the application of microwave energy and the instant that the weight fell.

The weight simulating the centrifugal force field was adjusted to be equivalent to that encountered by a similar ice sample at approximately 100 inches from the rotor hub. The simulated centrifugal forces used are tabulated in Appendix A.

The low power reflectionless load used for all low power tests consisted of a long taper (10-inches) of very lossy dielectric material which was placed on top of the surface waveguide and absorbed all incident microwave power in the surface wave, converting it to heat. For high power shed tests a water load was constructed which consisted of a long (10-inch) tapered block of low-loss polyethelene into which was drilled two intersecting round channels forming a long V, with the point of the V facing in the direction of the incident surface wave. Tap water was allowed to flow continuously through the V shaped channel absorbing most of the microwave energy in the surface wave, converting it to heat which was then carried off by the water flow.
# SECTION 2 VERIFICATION OF SURFACE WAVEGUIDE THEORY

-76° #79

The theory of surface waveguides for use in microwave ice protection and significant parameters describing their performance were presented in the feasibility analysis. Reference 1. The performance parameters are listed below:

1.	Guide Wavelength	٨g
2.	Phase Constant	β
3.	Attenuation Constant	α
4.	External Eigenvalue	Kx
5.	Internal Eigenvalue	k <sub>x</sub>

Techniques for their prediction and measurement, given the surface waveguide dimensions and the operating frequency, were established in the feasibility analysis, Reference 1, Appendix A. In the present program, these parameters were measured and compared to theoretical values, for the purpose of verifying the theory. A summary of all machined and theoretical values of the parameters listed above appear in Figures 10 through 17. These data clearly verify theoretical values.

The significance of these comparisons is that surface waves, propagating in either the  $TE_1$  o the  $TM_0$ mode, behave essentially in the manner predicted in the feasibility analysis and that surface waves can be guided by dielectric materials such as ultra high molecular weight polyethelene (UHMWPE), that have been demonstrated to also

# THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE FROM COPY FURMISHED TO DDC

1



Figure 10. Comparison of Computed With Measured Guide Wavelength for TE<sub>1</sub> Mode



1977 IV

A STATE AND A PARTY A

Life inv

いたのであると、人口の

Figure 11. Comparison of Computed and Measured Guide Wavelength, TM<sub>O</sub> Mode



FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICARI

Figure 12. Comparison of Theoretical With Measured External Eigenvalue, TM<sub>O</sub> Mode



A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC

and the state of the

Figure 13. Comparison of Theoretical With Measured Internal Eigenvalue, TM<sub>O</sub> Mode



にいいの目にし

こので、なからしているのでのないないのでので、「ないのでの」のできることであるのである

のないをおんたいというないとうとう

States and the second states of the

Figure 14. Comparison of Theoretical With Measured External Sigenvalue, TE<sub>1</sub> Mode



mustres to the states

Figure 15. Comparison of Theoretical With Measured Internal Eigenvalue, TE<sub>1</sub> Mode





Figure 17. Attenuation as a Function of Distance Above Surface

offer high resistances to sand and rain erosion (Reference 8). It has been demonstrated that microwave energy exists in the air region immediately adjacent to the rotor blade and in the dielectric coating (surface waveguide) lining the blade, in a wave that propagates along the length of the blade. The power density being carried by the wave, as a function of distance above the blade, is numerically established by substituting the internal and external eignvalues,  $k_x$  and  $K_x$  (Figures 12 to 15), into equations A-72,74-88 and 90 of Reference 1. From this it can be seen that power density in the air region decays exponentially with distance from the surface.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Experiments were carried out on six scale-model surface wavequides in the 2- to 4-GHz band. Three of the models of varying thicknesses were used to verify the performance of the  $TE_1$  mode (Figure 18), while the remaining three were used to verify performance of the  ${\rm TM}_{\rm O}$  mode (Figure 19). After verification of the theory, these same models were used in the static ice shed tests discussed in Section 1. The theory presented in Reference 1, can be used to predict surface waveguide performance at any frequency; however, three frequencies were identified in Reference 1 for use in microwave ice protection. These are 2.45 GHz, 5.85 GHz, and 22.125 GHz. Measurements in this program were carried out in the 2 to 4 GHz bands. While

Head, Robert E., Erosion Protection for the AH-1G Low Radar Cross-Section Main Rotor Blade, Volume I - Sand Rain Erosion Evaluation, Hughes Helicopters, USAAMRDL-TR-76-40A, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia, January 1977, AD A035961.





the experimental surface waveguides are dimensioned for operation and measurement in the 2 to 4 GHz band, they also represent scale models for the same devices at 5.85 and 22.125 GHz, scaled in proportion to wavelength using the following scaling equations:

 $\frac{d}{\lambda} = \frac{d'}{\lambda'}$ (4)

where:

d = Physical dimension of test article on which measurements are performed

- $\lambda$  = Measurement wavelength
- d' = Design dimension
- $\lambda^{\prime}$  = Design wavelength

Equation 4 may be written for convenience as

$$\frac{d}{d^{+}} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{+}} = \frac{f^{+}}{f^{-}}$$
(5)

where:

f = Measurement frequency

f' = Design frequency

Using these equations the following dimensional ratios were established:

Measurement Frequency MHz	2450	2450	2450
Design Frequency MHz	2450	585C	22,125
Scaling Ratio <mark>d</mark> , =	1.00	2.388	9.031

This means that a 1-inch dimension at 2.45 GHz is equivalent to a (0.418) -inch dimension at 5.85 GHz and (0.111 -inch dimension at 22.125 GHz, (in any direction).

Thus, the actual test articles, operated at 2.45 GHz, appear much thicker than their true size at the design frequency.

Equivalent thicknesses at the scaled frequencies are given below:

## EQUIVALENT SURFACE WAVEGUIDE THICKNESSES FOR THE TM<sub>O</sub> MODE WITH MEASUREMENTS PERFORMED AT 2.45 GHz

THICKNESS AT MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY 2.45 GHz	EQUIVALENT THICKNESS AT DESIGN FREQUENCY 5.85 GHz	EQUIVALENT THICKNESS AT DESIGN FREQUENCY 22.125 GHz
<u>(in.)</u>	<u>(in.)</u>	(in.)
0.1 0.2 0.4 0.8 1.0	0.041 0.0837 0.1670 0.335 0.418	0.0111 0.0221 0.0442 0.0886 0.1110

The TE<sub>1</sub> mode must have a minimum thickness surface guide to permit propagation. This cutoff thickness is established from Equation 6 and is further explained in Appendix A, Reference 1.

fc	=	Nc	(6)
		$2(2d)\sqrt{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon}$	

f<sub>c</sub> = cutoff frequency

where:  $N = 0, 2, 4, 6, \ldots$  for even modes

N = 1, 3, 5, 7, ... for odd modes



Surface guides of various thicknesses were fabricated for the  $TE_1$  mode. Equivalent thicknesses at the scaled frequencies are given below.

## EQUIVALENT SURFACE WAVEGUIDE THICKNESSES FOR THE TE<sub>1</sub> MODE WITH

MEASUREMENTS PERFORMED AT 2.45 GHz  $\varepsilon_1$  = 2 2 (UHMWPE)

THICKNESS AT MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY 2.45 GHz inches	EQUIVALENT THICKNESS AT DESIGN FREQUENCY 5.85 GHz inches	EQUIVALENT THICKNESS AT DESIGN FREQUENCY 22.125 GHz inches
	*	
1.099*	.4605	.1217
1.100	.4606	.122
1.200	.503	.133
1.400	. 586	.155
1.600	.670	.177
2.000	.8375	.2214

cutoff thickness

A schematic of the experimental setup used for measuring surface waveguide performance is shown in Figure 20. Photographs of the test set up are presented in Figures 21 and 22.

The x-z positioning mechanism (Figure 20) was specially designed and fabricated for this program. It permitted probing the electric field intensity in the air region immediately adjacent to the surface waveguide. It is used to measure all significant performance parameters required.

The test equipment was all commercially available equipment capable of operating in the 2-to 4-GHz band.

# Measurement of External Eigenvalue, $K_{\chi}$

Eigenvalues were measured by the technique outlined in Reference 1, Appendix D, Figure D-2. The electric field intensity was measured as a function of distance x above the surface waveguide with the x-z positioning mechanism and probe. Graphs of these measurements in decibels relative to the intensity value near the surface are shown in Figures 16 and 17 for the  $TE_1$  and the  $TM_0$  modes respectively. These graphs should be straight lines (indicating exponential decay), the slopes of which are the desired eigenvalues.

For the  $TE_1$  mode attenuation is linear with only a minor periodic error as shown in Figure 17. The  $TM_0$  mode produces a much larger periodic error from a straight line, but nevertheless, the linear trend is evident as shown in Figure 16. The periodic errors are due to interference patterns created between the desired mode and the undesired radiation component of the wave launcher. The externa! fields of the  $TM_0$  mode are weaker than the corresponding fields for the  $TE_1$  mode so that the unwanted interferring field has a relatively larger effect. Models used in the measurements were only 30 inches long. Consequent<sup>1</sup>, measurement could only be made in the vicinity of the launcher. The interferring effects of the radiated components will



Same and the second second

1997 N 1998

2. 12

7. A. A.

and the second second

と見たいたいかした

1-

Performance - Low-Power Configuration for Bench or Cold Test Equipment for Measuring Surface Waveguide Cliamber Figure 20.

;

and a provide the second s



יינים ובנים ונות המידים המידים המידים היינים ובין היינים וביות היינים ביות היינים היינים וביות היינים היינים ה

CAUSED IN CONTRACTOR



and the second second second second second

a service service a service ser



decrease if longer models are used.

The straight lines of Figures 16 and 17 were established using the linear least-square method of approximation and were used to determine the external eigenvalues  $(K_x)$ .

align an bearw

The external eigenvalues were also determined indirectly by computing them from measured values of guide wavelength  $(\lambda_q)$ .

HELSEA SCALLE

## SECTION 3 WAVE LAUNCHERS

The goal of this effort was to achieve wave launcher (coupling) efficiency necessary to demonstrate the microwave iceprotection concept. Coupling efficiencies as low as 25% would have been sufficient for this purpose. During this effort peak coupling efficiency was measured to be 68%, as illustrated in Figures 23 and 24.

Ccupling techniques were studied in detail during the feasibility analysis. Two techniques emerged which appeared particularly attractive because of their simplicity and high coupling efficiency. These are the methods of Cohn, Reference 9, for the  $TE_1$  mode and DuHamel and Duncan, Reference 10, for the  $TM_0$  mode.

The design of the breadboard model  $TE_1$  mode coupler is based upon experiments performed by Cohn, Reference 9, and is illustrated in Figure 18. The breadboard  $TM_0$  mode launcher is based upon experiments performed by DuHamel and Duncan, Reference 10, and is illustrated in Figure 19. No attempt was ade to optimize the coupling efficiency in this program; however, the relative ease in obtaining high coupling efficiency without a major effort lends confidence to the ability to design couplers with efficiencies in excess of 90%, as claimed by Cohn for the  $TE_1$  mode.

If the measured data is plotted on Cohns theoretical coupling curves, Figure 25, it can be seen that while the shape of the curve is roughly in agreement with theory the magnitude of peak coupling falls short of maximum coupling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Cohn, Cassedy and Kott, "TE Mode Excitation on Dielectric Loaded Parallel Plane and Trough Waveguides," <u>IRE Transactions on Micro-</u> wave Theory and Techniques, pp. 545-552, September 1960.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> DuHamel, R.H. and Duncan, J.W., "Launching Efficiency of Wires and Slots for a Dielectric Rod Waveguide," <u>IRE Transactions of Microwave</u> <u>Theory and Techniques</u>, July 1958.



Y .....

1

Chinese Chine

CAURT CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR



(b) Voltage Standing Wave Ratio Versus Frequency for TE, Mode, Test Model No. 1,  $d_1 = 1.6$ ",  $\epsilon_1 = 2.2$ 

Figure 23. Coupling Performance



----

Figure 24. Coupling Efficiency Versus Frequency,  $TM_0$  Mode





Five coupling techniques were identified in Reference 1, from which only the two described here were tried in this program. Other promising techniques, such as that of Reference 11, can be used to couple to the surface waveguide from underneath the dielectric without disturbing the critical surfaces of the rotor. The technique of Reference 11 could be used to launch the surface wave from the tip or any intermediate point along the blade and could simplify the possibility of zoning the rotor blade in a manner similar to conventional electro-thermal devices, should this prove necessary.

115

### MEASUREMENT OF THE COUPLING EFFICIENCY OF THE TE, MODE

The coupling efficiency of this mode was measured with two techniques: direct measurement with high power and indirectly with the techniques of Cohn, Reference 9, at low power. Both measurements are included in Figure 23a.

In Cohn's measurement, the following equations were used with a reflecting plate terminating the surface waveguide:

$$n = \frac{(r_{max} - 1)(r_{min} - 1)}{(r_{max}r_{min} - 1)}$$
(7)

where =

「日本シンホークションションション」の時になった。

r<sub>max</sub> = Maximum voltage standing wave ratio
r<sub>min</sub> = Minimum voltage standing wave ratio
n = Coupling efficiency

The frequency of operation was varied as a means of changing the electrical length of the surface guide so that the voltage standing wave ratio (vswr) would cycle through its maximum and minimum values. This was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Frost, A.D., McGeoch, C.R., and Mingins, C.R., "The Excitation of Surface Waveguides" and Radiating Slots by Strip-Circuit Transmission Lines," <u>IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques</u>, October 1956.

done in lieu of varying the physical length of the line at a single frequency, which is impractical with dielectric lines, requiring that the dielectric be successively, physically cut.

The vswr ( $r_{max}$  and  $r_{min}$ ) was actually determined by measuring minimum and maximum return losses and plotting these versus frequency, as illustrated in Figure 23a. The maximum and minimum return losses are then converted to maximum and minimum vswr with the following equation:

$$r = \frac{1 + [r]}{1 - [r]} = \frac{1 + [\log^{-1} \frac{R_{L}}{10}]^{1/2}}{1 - [\log^{-1} \frac{R_{L}}{10}]^{1/2}}$$
(8)

where:

r = Reflection coefficient
R<sub>1</sub> = Return loss

= vswr

The above procedure is valid provided that, when the surface guide is terminated in a reflectionless load, there is no mismatch at the input to the wave launcher. To insure this condition, the input vswr to the launcher was measured as a function of frequency, with the line terminated, and the data is plotted in Figure 23b, where it can be seen that the mismatch is less than 1.05 over most of the frequency band and no greater than 1.2. Actually, Equation 7 will give conservative estimates of launching efficiency. The true coupling efficiency is higher than given by Equation 7 and is given by Equation 9:

$$n_{\text{true}} = \frac{n_{\text{measured}}}{r_{\text{s}}}$$
(9)

where  $\Gamma_s$  = reflection coefficient of the reflecting plate.

If the reflecting plate is totally reflecting,  $r_s = 1$  $n_{true} = n_{measured}$ . If the reflecting plate is less than totally reflecting, which is very likely in this case,  $r_s < 1$  and  $n_{true} > n_{measured}$ .  $r_{\rm s}$  cannot be readily measured practically so that  $n_{\rm measured}$  must be considered only a lower bound, the true coupling efficiency being somewhat higher.

Although outside the scope of this program a direct measurement of the coupling efficiency was attempted to establish a rough order of magnitude correlation of coupling efficiency. This measurement was made possible by the availability of the high-power source at 2.45 GHz and a water load consisting of 3 rectangular polyethylene tubs placed end to end and terminating the waveguide, each containing 50 gms of water. The temperature rise of the water in each tub due to the absorbtion of energy in the surface wave, which was applied for 15 seconds, was measured. Data for an incident wave of 600 and 1200 watts is recorded below.

Tub	Water		P <sub>in</sub> = 6	00 watts		P <sub>in</sub> = 1:	200 watts
	Mass gms	∆T °C	Power Absorbed Watts	Pr = Power Reflected Watts	∆T °C	Power Absorbed Watts	Pr = Power Reflected Watts
1 2 3	50 50 .50	17.5 2.8 1.3	244.17 39.06 18.13	20	36.1 4.2 3.5	503.69 58.60 48.83	40
Total	Power 1	D = a	301.3			611.12	
Effic	iency =	$P_a = \frac{P_a}{10^{-10}}$	51.9%			52.6%	

This measurement is also considered conservative. Coupling efficiency would be greater than measured because many sources of power loss exist with this method.

### MEASUREMENT OF THE COUPLING EFFICIENCY OF THE TM, MODE

The measured coupling efficiency for the  $TM_0$  mode is presented in Figure 24 as a function of frequency. Peak coupling efficiency, achieved at 2.7 GHz, is about 66%. Measurements were made by measuring the return loss,  $R_1$ , first with a termination on the surface guide and then with a short circuit. The launcher used was a lossy, four-terminal network with a one-way loss equal to one-half the measured return loss when the surface guide is terminated in a short circuit. The coupling efficiency in decibels is given by:

$$n = \frac{1}{2} R_{L}$$
(11)

In order to achieve the measured coupling efficiency, launcher reflections were reduced by using a coaxial double-slug tuner while the surface guide was terminated with a reflectionless tapered termination.

# SECTION 4 LOSS TANGENT OF ROTOR ICE

The loss properties of rotor ice (glaze, rime, and any other form) are characterized electrically by their "loss tangent" (tan  $\varepsilon$ ), for the purposes of establishing the effectiveness of the microwave ice protection concept.\* A knowledge of the loss tangent permits the prediction of the performances of surface waveguide deicers in terms of attenuation constant (power dissipation per unit length of rotor blade), total power requirements and time to shed through the use of analytical techniques established in Reference 1.

As pointed out in Reference 1, the greatest unknown at the present time is loss tangent of ice that would form on rotor blades. Although the effects of various factors are not known, loss tangent may vary over a wide range depending upon:

1) Environment

i kai Perseva

- (a) Sea (salt content)
- (b) Land
- (c) Altitude
- 2) Unfrozen or free water content
- 3) Microwave frequency
- 4) Liquid water content of the icing cloud
- 5) Droplet diameter
- 6) Ambient temperature
- 7) Rate of freezing
- 8) Ice crystal structure
- 9) Surface Cleanliness
- 10) Hydrocarbon content (engine exhaust)
- 11) Air Content of ice
- 12) Other impurities

<sup>\*</sup> A definition of the loss tangent was presented in the feasibility analysis, Reference 1, as being the ratio of the real to the imaginary part of the complex dielectric constant of the ice.

There is a sufficient number of variables that the loss tangent of rotor ice should be treated as a statistical variable and be expressed by its median value; (median tan  $\delta$ ). For this reason, a wide enough range of ice composition was chosen for testing here and in the static ice shed tests, Section 1, to fully encompass the range of loss tangents to be encountered in natural icing. The extreme values of salt water ice and distilled water ice are assumed to be the worst conditions, and the rationale for their choices was that if these samples could be shed in the laboratory, then median values could be shed in a natural icing environment.

Construction and the second second

1

It is expected that the most significant variable of those listed above is the "free water content of the ice", because the ice of interest to helicopter deicirg is in the growth stage and there must exist an ice-water interface during ice growth. (Reference 12)

The second most significant variable is expected to be sait (NaCl) content. Salt water ice exhibits a cellular structure consisting of evenly spaced ice cells, the salt being present in liquid inclusions trapped between the cells (Reference 12).

It is generally accepted also that the loss tangent of pure ice increases with frequency in the microwave band, and therefore, an increase in modian tan  $\delta$  of rotor ice is expected in going to higher operating frequencies. This trend is evident in Figure 22 of Reference 1.

#### LOSS TANGENT MEASUREMENTS

1

Using techniques described below, the values of loss tangent for various samples of ice were measured and are presented in Table 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Lofgren, Gary and Weeks, W.F., "Effect of Growth Parameters on Substructure Spacing in NaCl Ice Crystals", <u>Journal of Glaciology</u>, Vol. 8 No. 52, 1969.

TRANSMITTER . MELTING				RANSMITTER	•			MELTING	-	-	
ICE TYPE	DATE	THICK! in	C E	POWER (WATTS)	1. (°C)	I CE DEM (cm)	insions (	TIME (sec)	ד (d8/ir	. TAN .	TAN & AV
015	2/4/77	9/32	.714	2000	- 7.3	.714 × 4.4	45 × 7.6	40	.014	1600. 3	
	11/112	3/16	238	2000	-11.0	.476 × 4.4	45 × 7.6 45 × 7.6	130 80	.005	5 00055 5 0062	.007
TAP	2/1/11	9/32	.714	2000	4.2	.714 x 4.4	45 × 7.6	30	.023	3 .0152	
TAP	2/7-77	3/16 3/32	. 476 238	2000	10.8	.48 x 4.5 .24 x 4.5	x 7.6 x 7.6	35	-0164 -0095	4 0.45 5 1 .0154	.0150
6 0 SALT/LITER	1114/2-	66/6	214	400	9.6	71 4 4 5	× 7.6	ü			
	2/8/77	3/16	. 476	400	- 8.4	.48 x 4.5	× 7.6	8	5020.	9 . 0667	.0953
2	2/8/1/	3/32	238	400	-10.2	24 × 4.5	× 7.6	25		7	
3 9 SALT/LITER	2/8/17	9/32	214	400	- 9.9	./] × 4.5	x 7.6	50	.0753	3 .0500	
: :	2/8/17	3/16	.476	400	- 9.4	.48 x 4.5	x 7.5	50 35	.047;	7 0446	.0515
CRREL	11/8/7	75/5	- (83	400	- 2.0	C. 4 X 4.2	4. V	<u>در</u>		16cn.	
SNOW SAMPLE 9	2/9/77		06. Ve	2000	- 10.0	9 × 3.4	× 17	160	.002	2100. 8	.0014
SPRAY ICE DIS	2/10/12		.23	2000	0.6 -	.23 × 4.45	5 x 7.6	40	.0053	3 0070	
-	2/10/77		. 14	2000	- 7.5	. 14 x 4.4	5 x 7.6	36		c800. C	600.
•	2/10/77		.31	2000	- 9.7	.31 × 4.4	5 × 7.6	30	1600.	5110. 1	
		Sur	face Gu	ide Parameti	er for Val	"Ious Thick	(Nefs 0f .(	ຍາ			
	L	CE THICK	NESS		* *	×	*	×°	P	[	
	<u> </u>	(u1	(cm)	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm-1)	(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) (	(in) (cm	2	
		//32	. 238	.5856	. 4855	.2815	.7616	.5135	1.6 4.0	)64 	
		/ 16	.714	.6048	. 4581	3263	. 7616	دداد. 135.	1.6 4.0	164	

27.	
÷.	
Thickness	
Various	
ç	
Surface Guide Parameter for Various Thickness of .	
Guide	
Surface	

			Г					ſ
CKNESS · K	×* .	××		к х	۲,	×°		-
(cm) (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	~	(cm <sup>-</sup> )		(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(in)	(cm)
		. 4855		. 2815	.7616	. 5135	1.6	4.064
. 476 . 5974 . 4774		.4774		3053	. 7616	.5135	1.6	4.064
		. 4581		.3263	. 7616	.5135	1.6	4.064

Because of the nature of rotor ice and variations in composition with time and temperature, it would be difficult, if not impossible, to simulate it in microwave test fixtures commonly used in the microwave industry for the measurement of the loss tangent of materials. A more meaningful approach would be to assess the effects of simulated rotor ice on actual test surface waveguides under a variety of icing environments.

Consider and the second states and

Harriston"

This latter approach was taken in this effort and two different measurement techniques were attempted. The first method, based upon Reference 13, did not prove successful and was abandones. This method relied on the perturbation of the resonant frequency of a resonant surface waveguide by the introduction of the ice sample on top of it. Attempts to perform this experiment indicate that the introduction of the ice sample into the resonant surface waveguide coupled small amounts of energy to the surrounding environment as well as dissipating energy in the sample. Since energy was lost in the surrounding environment as well as in the ice, computations of tan  $\delta$  of the ice based on losses yielded unreasonably high values and this technique was abandoned as unreliable. The second method described below proved more successful and yieldeu reasonable values of tan  $\delta$  which are listed in Table 2.

Basically the measurement concept is simple. In the feasibility analysis, Reference 1, analytical techniques had been established that could predict the time required to raise the temperature of a given ice sample from ambient to 0°C, the loss tangent could be computed by solving the equations for loss tangent in terms of time needed to raise the temperature to  $0^{\circ}C$ . Mathematical details are presented in Appendix B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Rueggebert, Werner, "Determination of Complex Permittivity of Arbitrarily Dimensioned Dielectric Modules at Microwave Frequencies", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory & Techniques, Vol. MTT-19, No. 6, pp. 517-521, June 1971.

## SECTION 5 ICE DETECTORS

The detection of the presence of ice on a surface waveguide is possible because of the change in the reflection coefficient (or return loss) of the short-circuited surface waveguide. Utilizing the TM<sub>0</sub> mode at 2.45 GHz at low power (surface waveguide length = 30 inches), the following data was recorded:

<u>Ice Thickness (in)</u>	<u>Return Loss db</u>	<u> </u>
0	-4.5	0.596
1/8	-6.0	0.501
1/4	-8.0	0.398

Observation of the reflected power during the high-power shed tests indicated that reflected power would cycle drastically between minimum and maximum values just prior to shedding. This was used throughout the shed tests as an indication of imminent ice sh\_lding.

It was also observed that when the surface waveguide was made resonant, by intentionally mismatching the input wave launch r, the presence of small quantities of ice would make significant changes in the resonant frequency of the surface waveguide as illustrated in measurements taken on the TM<sub>0</sub> and TE, mode surface waveguide, Figures 26 and 27.

These measurements can be formalized into microwave ice detectors capable of measuring:

- Ice Presence
- Ice Thickness
- Ice Accretion Rate

These detectors would be nonmechanical, have no movino narts, and conform to the concour of the surface being monitored. Readouts would be essentially instantaneous. A more detailed description of the technology of these detectors is presented in Appendix D. 「日本の大学が日本のである」のである。 あいまたはまでのないののないないないです。 これたたなのない





ų



Measured Tuning Characteristics of Resonant TE $_{\rm I}$  Mode Surface Waveguide as a Function of Ice Thickness Figure 27.

#### SECTION 6

State States South and the

### PASSIVE COUNTERMEASURES AND IMPACT ON COMPOSITE BLADES

It is shown in this section that the vulnerability of Army helicopters due to possible enemy detection of microwave deicer leakage radiation is negligible. The vulnerability due to detection by radar, infrared and acoustic radiation is very high. The helicopter is a radar, infrared and acoustic target 100% of the time, in all kinds of weather and climate. Such equipment, presently in the enemy inventory in large numbers, will not require special development, has a high utilization factor against all kinds of airborne weapons not just helicopters, has a long range detection capability, is highly sophisticated, yields a high probability of detection and kill.

By comparison, specialized reconnaissance equipment developed to detect microwave deicer leakage radiation, not now in existence, would be utilized only a small fraction of the time during weather conditions conducive to ice formation and then only against helicopters. Even if this equipment were developed and deployed by the enemy, calculations (see below) show that it would have a range of no more than about 7 miles when liquid water content of the cloud was  $1 \text{ gm/m}^3$ but drops to less than about 3 miles when the liquid water content of the icing cloud is  $2 \text{ gm/m}^3$ . The enemy would then have to procure this equipment in sufficient quantity to deploy at least one unit to every 5 square miles of battle area and be prepared to maintain it over long periods of non-use, summer, and winter when there is no possibility of ice formation.

Additionally as shown below, the use of microwave deicer on helicopters will permit the reduction in vulnerability to enemy radar by reduction in rotor blade radar cross-section. This reduction in radar vulnerability far outweighs the negligible increase in vulnerability due to leakage radiation.
At ranges of about 5 miles probability of detection by the unaided ear, radar, infrared and visual sighting is far greater than the probability of detection of deicer leakage radiation. The low countermeasure pay-off of equipment designed specifically to detect microwave deicer leakage radiation when compared to the high pay-off of radar, infrared and acoustics makes it extremely unlikely that such countermeasure equipment will appear in the enemy inventory.

# RADAR REFLECTIVITY

The use of composite rotor blades offers reduced radar reflectivity because they are constructed without any (or a minimum of) metallic components. This advantage is lost, however, if conventional rctor blade deicers are required that incorporate metallic wires or mesh just below the surface of the leading edge. The microwave deicer offers the possibility of constructing rotor blade deicers completely out of nonmetallic materials that will not deteriorate the radar reflectivity of composite blades.

Techniques for accomplishing this were briefly discussed in the feasibility analysis, Reference 1. The experimental emphasis in the present program was placed primarily on demonstrating the microwave ice protection concept and experimental devices utilized metallic ground planes representing the metallic rotor blade. However, the ground plane is not basic to the propagation of surface waves: these waves can propagate in dielectric slabs, and the microwave ice protection concept, verified in this program, can be readily extended to dielectric-slab waveguides. The general theory of surface waveguides (including dielectric slabs) was presented in Reference 1.

Figure 28 shows how the power density varies in the dielectric slabs and the surface waveguide used in our experiments. The significant thing is that the dielectric slabs, Figure 28b, require twice the thickness of dielectric as required by the surface waveguide,



a she was a second a

1.8.84

50

大はいちのないでいいで、またののないという

なるいではなんな

いたいとうないないであるとうからなるというない

Stole Carto

49.44.1.24.6.4.3

restation and an interest in the o

and the second

Sec. 6.4

Figure 28. Power Density at 22 GHz for the TE<sub>1</sub> Mode for Dielectric Slabs and Image Lines (ordinate in watts/inch<sup>2</sup> abscissa in inches)

Figure 28a. For  $TE_1$  modes, this an be reduced to one-third by the use of higher dielectric constant materials, such as alumina,  $\epsilon \approx 9$ , Figure 28c and d. More significant perhaps is that, if the dielectric thickness becomes the limiting consideration, the  $TM_0$  mode may be resorted to which offer thinner dielectrics at perhaps some penalty in shedding performance. Here also, as illustrated in Figure 29, the use of higher dielectric constant materials will also reduce the slab thickness significantly.

### D. TECTABILITY

at the all the state of the second second

# Specific Attenuation Effects of Atmospheric Constituants

The principal attenuating medium in the atmosphere for the microwave region is:

- 1) Gaseous media (oxygen and water vapor)
- 2) Rainfall
- 3) Water cloud
- 4) Frozen phases of water

The effects of the gaseous media (oxygen and water vapor) were discussed in the feasibility analysis, Reference 1. Attenuation peaks around the 22-GHz absorption line of water molecules. Water vapor absorption exceeds molecular oxygen absorption. A graph of attenuation due to oxygen and water vapor was presented in the feasibility analysis, Figure 71, Reference 1.

Additional protection is provided by attenuation due to the liquid water content of the icing cloud. Clouds containing high liquid water content, supercooled water or rain (not discussed in Reference 1) produces the highest level of microwave attenuation. Clouds containing high levels of supercooled liquid water content are the primary cause of rotor blade icing and therefore it is expected that any leakage radiation of the microwave deicer will be heavily attenuated. Values



ALL AND SEC

and the second data with the state of the second second second second second second second second second second

A SALADIN

このようにあった

14.19

24

at ward to be the state of the

3

and the state of the state

Cont A With State

i

٧ć

Figure 29. Power Density at 22 GHz for the TM<sub>O</sub> Modes for Dielectric Slabs and Image Lines (ordinate in watts/inch<sup>2</sup> abscissa in inches)

of attenuation constant due to liquid wate: content may be established from the following measurements at  $18^{\circ}$ C made by Gunn and East, Reference 14 and Medhurst, Reference 15 for rainfall.

Frequency (GHz) Attenuation Constant  $\alpha_r$  (db/km)

9.35 $0.0074 \ R^{1.31}$ 16.7 $0.045 \ R^{1.14}$ 24.2 $0.12 \ R^{1.05}$ 33.3 $0.22 \ R^{1.0}$ 

The attenuation constant is represented in general form by:

the second part of the second s

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{aR}^{\mathbf{L}} \tag{12}$$

where a and b are constants which can be obtained from the above table and R is the rain rate in mm/hr. The liquid water content (LWC) is related to the rain rate R by the following equation:

$$LWC = AR^{B}$$
(13)

which is commonly used in radar meteorology. Marshall and Palmer (Reference 16) as reported by Werner (Reference 17) give A = .09 and B = .84 for freezing rain and drizzle. Using these values the total

- <sup>16</sup> Marshall, J. S. and Palmer, W., THE DISTRIBUTION OF RAINDROPS WITH SIZE, <u>J. Meteor</u>, 1948, pp. 165 - 166.
- Werner, J.B., The Development of an Advanced Anti-Icing/Deicing Capability for U.J. Army Helicopters, Volume I - Design Criteria and Technology Considerations, Lockheed-California Company, USAAMRDL-TR-75-34A, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia, November 1975, AD A019044

<sup>14</sup> Gunn, L.L.S., and T.W.R. East: The Microwave Properties of Precipitation Particles, Quart, J. Roy, Meteorol. Soc., Vol. 80, pp. 522-545, October-December 1954.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Medhurst, R.G., "Rainfall Attenuation of Centimeter Waves: Comparison of Theory and Measurement". IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation - March 1965.

		ومرومين والمتحدين المتعدية		TOTAL ATTENUATION AT					
LWC	R	<sup>a</sup> r	2.5 mi	5 mi	10 mi				
gms/m <sup>3</sup>	MW /r	db/km	db	db	db				
.132		.098	0.35	0.7	1.5				
.915	i.	1.167	4.50	9	18.7				
1.970	25	3.129	12.50	25	50.3				
3.537	50	6.59	26.50	53	106				
6.332	100	13.906	56.00	112	223				
8,900	150	21.51	86.50	173	346				

attenuation at 2.5 mi, 5 mi and 10 mi due to liquid water have been computed as a function of liquid water content and rain rate.

These values of attenuation will be that realized over and above that caused by gaseous water vaper discussed in Reference 1 and above.

### Detectable Range

The maximum range at which the microwave deicer leakage radiation can be detected by an enemy reconnaissance receiver is established by calculating the range at which the received signal to noise ratio approaches 0 db. (This assumes that a scan search and target aquisition has been successfully accomplished and the target is within the beam of the reconnaissance receiver) This calculation requires the assumption of performance parameters of a reconnaissance receiver that the enemy can reasonably be expected to deploy in a battlefield environment. This receiver must be truck transportable, reasonably light weight and moderate in size, antenna diameter no greater than about 3 feet, noise temperature approximately 2500°K at 22 GHz, band width no greater than about 200 MHz.

If the microwave deicer leakage radiation is assumed to be no more than 100 watts (coupling efficiency greater than 90%) the

signal to noise ratio as a function of range between the reconnaissance receiver and helicopter can be computed by the following equation:

$$\frac{S}{N} = C_{t} + G_{t} - L + G_{r} - k - T - B - L_{s}$$
(14)

where

NATIONAL STREET, STREE

JALEN CALLERY LEAST SHELD WAL

の正にないた。わらいというためというないたとなくいたち

C <sub>t</sub> = leakage radiation	= 20 dbw
G <sub>t</sub> = transmitter gain, isotropic	= 0 db
G <sub>r</sub> = reconnaissance receiver gain	= 35 db
k - boltzman constant	= 228.6 db
T = receiver moise temperature	
= 2500 <sup>°</sup> K	= 34 db
B = receiver band width 200 MHz	= 83 db
$L = path loss = L_f + L_w + L_v$	
L <sub>f</sub> = free space loss	
$L_{w}$ = loss due to liquid water	
$L_v = 1$ oss due to water vapor	
L = loss in power due to sweeping deicer generator	
± 500 MHz	= 7 db

The signal to noise ratio as a function of range computed with the above equation is given in the following table where the maximum range (if acquired) is between 3 to 7 mi depending on the LWC.

Range	L <sub>f</sub>	Lv	L <sub>W</sub>	Lw	S N	S N	
			$L'wc = \frac{1 gm}{m^3}$	Lwc =. <u>2 gm</u> m <sup>3</sup>	Lwc = $\frac{1 \text{ gm}}{\text{m}^3}$	LWC = <u>2 gm</u> m <sup>3</sup>	
mi	db	db	db	db	db	db	
2.5	131.8	1	4.5	12.5	21.2	13.2	
5.0	137.4	2	9	25	10.2	-5.8	
10.0	143.4	4	18.7	50.3	-7.5	-39.1	
20.0	149.4	8	18.7	50.3	-17.5	-49.1	
40.0	155.4	16	18.7	50.3	-31.5	-63.1	

The beam width of a 3-foot-diameter antenna at 22 GHz is on the order of  $1^{\circ}$  making it very difficult for the enemy of scan search, acquire, lock-on, launch and guide a missile at the intermittant leakage radiation of the microwave deicer that must fall within the 3 to 7 mile range. By comparison, as mentioned above, as a radar target the helicopter is on 100% of the time providing a high probability o. aquisition, lock-on, launch and guidance of the missile to the target at ranges up to 80 miles.

# SECTION 7 RELIABILITY AND MAINTAINABILITY STUDIES

In Reference 8 samples of ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE), polyurethane and a section of the OH-6A helicopter hard-anodized rotor blade were subjected to the same sand and rain erosion tests, and the relative resistance to erosion of each material was experimentally established. With the known mean time between unscheduled maintainance (MTBUM) for the OH-6A aluminum blade, based upon field measurements, the MTBUMs of blades using UHMWPE and polyurethane were established.

Equations for the MTBUM, developed in Reference 8, are as follows:

	MTBUM =	$\frac{LUV}{XY} \left[ \frac{P(Y-X) + X}{P(V-U) + U} \right]$	(13)
where:	MTBUM	= Mean time between unscheduled maintenance	
	L	= MTBUM of the OH-6A blade based on field data	
	X	<pre>= OH-6A blade test time before failure in SAND environment</pre>	
	Y	= OH-6A blade test time before failure in <u>CAIN</u> environment	
	U	= Elastomeric material test time before failure SAND environment	e in
	۷	= Elastomeric material test time before failure <u>RAIN</u> environment	e in
	P*	= Percentage of time in sand environment	
	p=(1-P)	*= Percentage of time in rain environment	

Ę

It is assumed that the rotor flies in clear air most of the time, with no erosion taking place, and the remainder is spent in either a sand or a rain environment. P is the fractional portion of the remainder spent in a sand environment, whereas l-P=p is the percentage spent in a rain environment.

It is clear that the erosion experienced is a function of the speed of the rotor and the angle of impingment of the sand or rain particles. The leading edge at the tip of a blade is subject to the most severe erosion due to its speed whereas areas of the rotor near the root are in a much less severe erosion environment.

As °eference 8 points out, while "an all-metal blade, such as that of the OH-6A, would be scrapped at the end of its MTBUM erosion period, the use of elastomeric erosion strips would allow the basic blade to be used indefinitely by stripping off the worn-out material and replacing it with new". It might further be added that, since the tip is the area that erodes most rapidly, the best erosion strips could be applied in areas near the tip while poorer (but good enough) erosion strips might be used on areas nearer the root providing the MTBUM of all the erosion material is not reduced.

With this concept it becomes possible to think of rotor blades incorporating two materials for the erosion strip: highly erosion-resistant material, such as polyurethane, for areas near the tip and a slightly poorer erosion-resistent material, such as UHMWPE, for all other areas. The MTBUM of such a compound erosion strip is established with Equation 13, by adding a term describing the effects of speed or rotor radius. In accordance with Reference 8, the MTBUM varies inversely with the square of the blade speed (i.e., erosion increases as the square of the speed) so that Equation (s) will appear as follows:

$$MTBUM = \frac{LUV}{X\overline{Y}} \begin{bmatrix} P(Y-X) + X \\ F(V-L) + U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S(r_t) \\ S(r) \end{bmatrix}^2$$
(4)

$$= \frac{LUv}{XY} \begin{bmatrix} P(Y-X) + X \\ P(V-U) + U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r \end{bmatrix}^{r}$$

where:

S(r) = Speed of erosion strip which is a function of r $<math>S(r_t) = Speed of erosion strip at tip r = r_t = tip radius$ r = -r Radius of blade at segment of erosion = trip The MTBUMs of the tip and at 0.75 span for UHMWPE and polyurethane have been plotted in Figure 30 (corresponding to Figure 40 in Reference 8 for the tip only). The significant thing is that at anoroximately 0.75 span the MTBUM of UHMWPE is the same as the MTBUM of polyurethane used at the tip, so the use of UHMPE put to about 0.7 span and polyurethane from 0.7 to the tip will not deteriorate the MTBUM of the blade.

One of the <u>possible</u> failure modes of the microwave deicer is erosion of the surface waveguide by sand and rain. This analysis shows that compound surface waveguides composed of UHMWPE out to about 70. span fortified by a layer of polyurethane near the tip will go a long way in reducing this mode of failure and essentially increase the life of the microwave deicer.

A COURT ALL ALLAND A COURT & ALLAND A REAL ALLAND AND ALLAND A ALLAND A ALLAND A ALLAND A ALLAND A ALLAND A AL



1.25

1

1

A ANAL

Figure 30. Mean Time Between Unscheduled Maintenance (MTBUM) Versus Percent Exposure to Sand and Rain \_rosion

# SECTION 8 POWER, WEIGHT, COST

# SYSTEM POWER

The power drain and shed times for each helicopter studied is presented in Table 3 for ambient temperatures of  $15^{\circ}$ C and  $-20^{\circ}$ C. The model used, described in Reference 1, is conservative yielding calculated shed times greater than actual shed times (surface wave power versus shed time was established from Table 4a and b). It should be recognized that power tube efficiency will improve with time (as discussed below) so the power drain for efficiencies of 30, 45, and 60% have been included in Table 3. Current, mature 2.45-GHz tubes have efficiencies in excess of 60% while current 22-GHz tubes offer only a maximum of 30%. Tubes being developed in the laboratory now have achieved 10 Kw 43% efficiency at 35 GHz, with theoretical predictions as high as 70% (see Appendix E).

# Tube Technology - 22 GHz

As of 1977, the extended interaction oscillator (EIO) offers 1.2-Kw continuous wave (cw) power at 22 GHz with efficiencies between 20 and 30%. Higher efficiency is reasonable to expect in the future.

On the horizon, to be available in 2 to 3 years, is the Gy, stron, a new type of tube capable of extremely high continuous wave power and high efficiency. This tube stems from a mature technology developed in the Soviet Union within the last decade, where tubes like this are available today. The Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) has an outstanding contract to develop a 200-Kw continuous wave Gyrotron at 28 GHz with a maximum efficiency of 44%. The projected efficiency of this type of tube is as high as 70%. The internal structure of the Gyrotron is simpler than the EIO, which leads the developers to believe that the tube will eventually be less expensive than the EIO and provide the modest 1.2 Kw at the high efficiency required. (See Appendix E). TABLE 3. HELICOPTER POWER DRAIN 400 WATTS PER BLADE (CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE)

19.24 . W

The second of

TIME TO SHED 0.250 in ICE (MEASURED AT MIDSPAN STAGNATION POINT)	:Y AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 60% -20°C -15°C	N sec sec	59.6 29.1 1.6 69.1 33.75 27 13.20	90.5     44.2       3.3     87.6     42.8       63.1     30.9	5.0 69.1 33.75
AIN FR	- IEN	Χ			
POWER DRAIN PER HELICOPTER	EFFIC]	¥	2.2	4.4	6.6
HEL	TUBE 30%	ΚW	3.3	6.6	10
ICOPTER Kw TUBES	PER HEL NO OF 1		-	2	3
CY OF 80% TRIBUTION QUIRED/TUBE	SIQ TA	KW	1.0	2.0	3.0
LICOPTER WER REQUIRED		Ϋ́	0.8	1.6	, 4
	HELICO		UН-1 ХН-1 ОН-58	UTTAS AAH ASH	CH-47

-

375-58

ŝ

TABLE 4.	Time to Shed Ice Layer (Measured at Mid pan
	Stagnation Point) Teflon Model, Ice Density = 0.9,
	Computed From eq B - 14 and 17 Reference 1 (lower
	ice density will result in shorter shed time)

HELICOPTER	100 watts	200 watts	400 watts	800 watts	1600 watts
	sec	sec	sec	sec	sec
UH-1	116.42	58.21	29.1	14.5	7.27
AH-1	135.0	67.5	33.75	16.87	8.43
CH-47	136.0	67.5	33.75	16.87	8.43
OH-58	52.86	26.4	13.2	6.6	3.30
UTTAS	176.9	88.45	44.2	22.1	11.0
ААН	171.13	85.6	42.8	21.4	10.7
ASH	123,40	61.7	30.9	15.4	7.7

PEAK	POWER	AVAILABLE	Τ_	*	-15°C
------	-------	-----------	----	---	-------

Note: Entries are time to shed (sec)

# PEAK POWER AVAILABLE $T_{s} = -20^{\circ}C$

HELICOPTER	100 watts	200 watts	400 watts	800 waits	1600 watts
	sec	sec	sec	sec	sec
JH-1	238.3	119.1	59.6	29.8	14.9
AH1	276.4	138.2	69.1	34.5	17.3
CH-47	276.4	138.2	69.1	34.5	17.3
)H-58	108.2	54.1	27.0	13.5	6.8
JTTAS	362.2	181.1	90.5	45.3	22.6
¥н	350.3	175.1	87.6	43.8	21.9
ASH	252.6	126.3	63.1	31.6	15.8

Note: Entries are time to shed (sec)

À.

WARDA COM

й,

### Technology for 2.45- and 5.85-GHz Tubes

The technology for 2.45- and 5.85-GHz tubes, studied in Reference 1, is very mature, stemming primarily from World War II. Power out of 2.5 kw cw tubes is common with efficiencies up to 70%.

#### SYSTEM WEIGHT

The estimated system weight for each helicopter studied is presented in Table 5. The significant weight is the "incremental weight" which is the weight added to the helicopter by the inclusion of the microwave deicer.

Many of the devices making up the microwave deicer are constructed from materials and shapes similar to those used in the helicopter structure itself and can, by careful design, be integrated into the rtructure with only minimal increase in weight or loss of mechanica integrity of the original structure. Take for example, the rotor shaft and the feeder waveguide. The feeder waveguide is a hollow circularmetallic cylinder concentric with the rotor shaft. By careful design, it might be possible to construct combination shaft/feeders with no or only a minimal increase in weight. Similarly and more important, the deicer boot, constructed from dielectric materials, polyurethane, and polyethelene, may provide a net decrease in weight of nrw blades by eliminating the metallic erosion shield required for electro-thermal deicers and maintaining an all composite blade.

### WEIGHT OF TUBE AND POWER SUPPLY

The major contributors to the system's weight are the power tube and its power supply. For the most part, these devices cannot be readily integrated into the helicopter structure. However, it should be recognized that tube efficiencies will improve with time, and tube and power supply weights will reduce accordingly. The power tube and the power supply weights used in Table 5 represent absolute weights of these devices (not incrementa!) assuming 30% efficiency of

				UH-1 AH-1 OK-58			UT TAS AAH ASH			CH-47	
			REQ'D	созт	INCRE- MENTAL METGHT	REQ'D	соѕт	MENTAL WEIGHT	REQ'D	COST	INCRE- MENTAL WEIGHT
			NO.	\$	lbs	£ ₹	s	lbs	NO.	s	1bs
	BOOT		2	400	0	4	800	0	6	1200	0
	LAUNCH	ER	2	200	2	4	400	4	6	600	6
OTCR		DIVIDER & IBUTOR	1	3000	4	1	3000	4	2	6000	8
MAIN ROTOR	FEEDER		1	600	3	1	600	3	2	1200	6
X	ROTARY	JOINT	1	650	2	1	600	2	2	1300	4
	BOOT		2	100	0	4	400	0	0	0	0
SR 0	LAUNCH	ER	2	200	2	4	400	4	0	0	0
TAIL ROTOR	ROTARY	JOINT	1	650	2	1	650	2	υ	0	0
TAI	FEEDER		1	600	2	1	600	2	0	0	0
	SWITCH		i	100	1	1	100	1	0	0	0
PMENT	CONTRO	L PANEL	1	400	4	1	400	4	1	400	4
EQUIF	TUBE P	TUBE POWER SUPPLY		900	17	1	1350	34	1	1800	51
COMMON EQUIPMENT	POWER TUBE	2.45 GHz 5.85 GHz 22 GHz	1	1500 1500 4500	4.5 18 18	2	3000 3000 9000	9 36 36	3	<500 4500 13500	13.5 54 54
TOT	ALS	2.45 GHz 5.85 GHz 22 GHz		\$9.3K \$9.3K \$12.3K	43.5 57 57		112.3K 112.3K 118.35K	96		\$17K \$17K \$17K \$17K	53 133 133

# TABLE 5. SYSTEM INCREMENTAL WEIGHT AND COST

1.1.23

ŝ

4.65.57

ξ.

ί.,

i i i

۲

26.53

anžszr

and the second second

die and

the tube. A rise in efficiency to 45% or 60% will produce a 25 to 50% drop in tube and power supply weight.

# SYSTEM COST

いたいとうないたいになった。たいとこうななというななどになったいないではないです。

System cost estimates for each helicopter type at 2.45, 5.85 and 22 GHz are presented in Table 5. The most significant cost differentials are between 22-GHz tubes and 5.85- and 2.45-GHz tubes. Cost estimates for the tube and power supply made in Reference 1 are used here.

# SECTION 9

# CONCI.USIONS

- Surface waveguide deicers can be used to shed ice when operating at 2.45 GHz.
- Shed performance is improved by operating deicers at 22 GHz.
- Analytical techniques for predicting surface waveguide performance have been verified experimentally.
- Maximum launch efficity of 68% was obtained experimentally.
- Launch efficiencies in excess of 90% appear reasonable in optimized launchers.
- Dielectric loss properties of simulated rotor ice were measured.

- Cost, weight and power estimates of various helicopter types are presented.
- A compound surface waveguide composed of ultra high molecular weight polyethylene out to about 75% span fortified with a layer of polyurethane at the tip will increase the life of the microwave deicer.
- The vulnerability of Army helicopters due to possible detection of microwave deicer leakage radiation is negligible.
- Microwave deicers permit construction of rotor blades completely out of nonmetallic materials thereby reducing its radar cross-section.
- At an operating frequency of 22 GHz leakage radiation is attenuated significantly by the liquid water content of the icing cloud and water vapor absorption.
- Monitoring the power reflected from the microwave deicer boot provides an inherent means of detecting ice formation.
- Techniques for measuring ice thickness and ice accretion rate using resonant surface waveguides have been experimentally demonstrated. These devices are nonmechanical, have no moving parts, are essentially instantaneous and conform to the contour of the surface being monitored.

# SECTION 10

### RECOMMENDATIONS

- A comprehensive ongoing program should be initiated to develop microwave ice protection technology.
- Equip a UH-1 tail rotor with a microwave deicer. Install it on a whirlstand and test its performance in an icing tunnel. (See Appendix C).

いいたいろうでい こうかんないがいたいとうちょうかん たちのいい ひょうへき

্ব বিষয়ে এয়ে মাহ মহাবিদ্যালয়ে পিয়াই বিশিষ্ঠ বিশিষ্ঠ সময় হয়। যি বিশিক্ষ মানে কেন্দ্রাল সময় যে বিদ্যালয় যে এন দেশ নে এন দেশ নে

- Develop a prototype system for the UH-1 helicopter and extend to other belicopters later.
- Research high-power, high-efficiency, low-weight microwave tubes specifically designed for microwave deicer service.
- Research dielectric materials further to find low-loss, high-dielectric constant materials with high resistances to sand and rain erosion for deicer service.
- Optimize retor blade wave launchers that can be used at the tip of the blade or any intermediate point.
- Optimize radar cross-section reduction techniques for microwave deicers.
- Optimize wave launcher efficiency.

A STATISTICS AND A STAT

なないというないである。

Develop microwave ice detection technology (See Appendix D).

LIST OF SYMBOLS	SYMBOLS
-----------------	---------

いた大学が出版的ななどと見てい

ie Generation

22.57

		LIST OF SYMBOLS	UNITS
с	=	Specific heat	cal/gm - °C
ď۱	=	Thickness of surface waveguide	Cm
d <sub>2</sub>	=	Thickness of ice layer	CD
f	=	Cut off frequency	Hz
kε	=	Energy	watt-sec
ks	=	Energy required to shed the ice sample	watt-sec
к <sub>х</sub>	=	Internal eigenvalue	rad/cm
к <sub>x</sub>	=	External eigenvalue	rad/cm
L	=	MTBUM of the OH-6A blade based on field data	Hrs
MTBUM	=	Mean time between unscheduled maintenance	Hrs
m	=	Mass of ice sample	gms
Р	=	Percentage of time in sand environment	•
p=(1-P)	)=	Percentage of time in rain environment	•
Pd	=	Power dissipated in the ice sample	watts
р s	=	Power in surface wave	watts
r	=	Voltage standing wave ratio	numeric
r <sub>i</sub>	=	Radius of blade at segment of erosion strip	СМ
RL	Ξ	Return loss	db
S(r)	=	Speed of erosion strip which is a function of r	cm/sec
t_=	=	Shed time	sec
U	=	Elastomeric material test time before failure in <u>SAND</u> environment	Hrs
۷	=	Elastomeric material test time before failure in <u>RAIN</u> environment	Hrs
Y	=	OH-6A blade test time before fialure in RAIN environment	Hrs
ß	=	Propogation constant	rad/cm
φ	=	Water flow rate	cc/sec
°i		Relative dielectric constant of material i	numeric
Г	=	Reflection coefficient	numeric
j	=	√ <u>-1</u>	

AFTER THE STATE AND COMPANY

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

A CANA

ALC: NOT

ć

# UNITS

η	= Launch efficiency	numeric
ρ	= Power density	watts/cm <sup>2</sup>
٥ï	= Temperature rise	°C
$\lambda_{\mathbf{q}}$	= Guide wavelength	Cm

# REFERENCES

- Magenheim, Bertram and Hains, Frank, Feasibility Analysis for a Microwave Deicer for Helicopter Rotor Blades, Mechanics Research Inc., USAAMRDL-TR-76-18, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia, May 1977.
- 2. M. Cohn, "Propagation in Di lectric-Loaded Parallel Plane Waveguide, "IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, pp. 202-208, April 1959.
- M. Cohn, "TE Modes of Dielectric Loaded Trough Line," <u>IRE</u> <u>Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques</u>, pp. 449-454, July 1960.

- D.D. King and S.P. Schlesinger, "Losses in Dielectric Image Lines, "IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, January 1967.
- 5. S.P. Schlesinger and D.D. King, "Dielectric Image Lines, "IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, July 1968.
- 6. R. Collin, Field Theory of Guided Waves, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1960.
- 7. Ramo, Whinnery and Van Duzer, Fields and Waves in Communications Electronics, New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1965.
- Head, Robert E., Erosion Protection for the AH-1G Low Radar Cross-Section Main Rotor Blade, Volume I - Sand Rair Erosion Evaluation. Hughes Helicopters, USAAMRDL-TR-76-40A, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, V<sup>2</sup> minia, January 1977, AD A035961.
- 9. Cohn, Cassedy and Kott, "TE Mode Excitation on Dielectric Loaded Parallel Plane and Trough Waveguides, "IRE Transactions and Microwave Theory and Techniques, pp. 545-552, September 1960.
- DuHamel, R.H. and Duncan, J.W., "Launching Efficiency of Wires and Slots for a Dielectric Rod Waveguide, "IRE Transactions of Microwave Theory and Techniques, July 1958.

 Frost, A.D. McGeoch, C.R., and Mingins, C.R., "The Excitation of Surface Waveguides and Radiating Slogs by Strip-Circuit Transmission Lines, "IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, October 1956.

### REFERENCES

A CONTRACTOR DESIGNATION OF THE OWNER.

High the state of the second state of the seco

- Lofgren, Gary and Weeks, W.F., "Effect of Growth Parameters on Sub-Structure Spacing in NaCl Ice Crystals", Journal of Glaciology, Vol. 8, No. 52, 1969.
- Rueggebert, Werner, 'Determination of Complex Permittivity of Arbitrarily Dimensioned Dielectric Modules at Microwave Frequencies, "IEEE Transactions or Microwave Theory & Techniques, Vol. MTT-19, No. 6, pp. 517-521, June 1971.

-----

CALLER STREET STREET, SALE STREET, ST

- Gunn, L.L.S., and T.W.R., East: The Microwave Properties of Percipitation Particles, Quart, J. Roy, Meteorol. Soc. 101, 199 pp. 522-545, October-December 1954.
- Medhurst, R.G., "Rainfall Attenuation of Centimeter Waves Comparison of Theory and Measurement". IEEE Transactions in Antennas and Propagation - March 1965.
- Marshall, J.S., and Palmer, W., THE DISTRIBUTION OF KAINDROPS WITH SIZE, J. Meteor., 1948, pp. 165-166.
- THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ADVANCED ANTI-ICING DELLING TAFALL ITY FOR U.S. ARMY HELICOPTERS, Volume 1 - Design initiation and Technology Considerations, J.B. Werner - November 1975. SAAMPUL-TR-75-34A
- Kisel, D.V., rorablev, G.S., Navel Jev, V.S., Fereitt, M.I., and Tsimming, Su. (e., 'An Experimental Study of a Gynotron, Operating at the Second Harmonic of the Cyclotron Frequency, with Optimized Distribution of the High-Frequency Field, Radio Engineering and Electronic Physics, Vol. 19 pp. 95-99, April 1974.

# APPENDIX A

# Static Ice Shed Test Data

The following is a summary of test data accumulated during the static ice shed tests described in Section 1. The first 12 tests are considered unreliable because sufficient time was not allowed between tests to assure temperature restabilization of the surface waveguide to ambient.

In a few tests, as indicated, an ice water spray was used in an attempt to better simulate natural icing due to supercooled clouds.

In a few tests, as indicated, an air gun was utilized in an attempt to blow away stagnent water produced by melting some of the ice thereby simulating to some extent the moving air stream around the rotor blade.

In a few tests, as indicated, the surface waveguide was tilted to permit drainage of stagnent water produced by melting some of the ice.

				<u> </u>						
	REHARKS		CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	SELF SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	
	SHED TIME	SEC	7	7	I I	6	15	12	4	
٦V	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG LOAD	LB LB	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	
	C3TJIT 3NIJ	er YES								
	NUD 91A	ខទ								
	YARYS RETER SYRAY	ន ៦								
8013	TERMINATION L - LOAD R = REFLE	<b>ہ</b> د	-	L		-	-	ب	-	
	PONER ON TIME	SEC								
	REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
	сольгео вомев	HATTS WATTS	220	220	220	220	220	220	660	
	ABHOG ABTTIMENAAT	NATTS	400	400	400	400	400	400	1200	;
	TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	° C	-10*	+01-	-10*	-20*	-20*	-20*	-20*	1
	ALISNOO 301	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	
щ	× ніоін Ісе гекеін	i.	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3×1.6	3x1.6	1
ICE SAMPLE	ICE THICKNESS	in	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	,
ICI	ICE COMPOSITION		SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.63	ı
	31AO 1231		12-23-76 SALT 0.6%	12-23-76 SALT 0.6%	12-23-76	12-23-76	12-23-76	12-23-76	12-23-76	
	TEST NO.		-	~	e	4	S	6	7	

energier in Andrew and and the second and a second and the second and the second and the second second second s

71.

TEST RESULTS

TEST CONDITIONS - 2450 MHz

we die

30

\*Temperature Measurements Uncertain in Tests 1 to 12 Nodel #2+TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Mode

108974.5

~~~		r		<u> </u>				1	<del>6</del> 1	<del></del>	1
TEST, RESULTS		REMARKS		SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	
TEST		SHED LIWE	SEC	23	ß	15	17	ъ	80	=	
	٩٢	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG Load	LB L	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	
		LINE TILTED	YES   r								
		NUD 91A	8 P								
		YAAAR ABTAHBDI	N9								
	яотэ	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLE	r k	ECC0	بر	_ ر	، ا د			ب	
		POWER ON TIME	SEC								
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS	01	70	70	70	170	170	170	
50 MHz		сольгео рожев	WATTS WATTS	220	220	220	220	660	660	660	
TEST CONDITIONS - 2450 MHz		ABHOR ABTTIMZWART	NATTS	400	400	400	400	1200	1200	1200	
10171CI		TEMPERATURE 30100 30A7AUS	°.	-20*	-20*	-20*	-20*	-20*	-20	-20	
ST CON		ICE DENSILA	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	
ľ	щ	× MIDIH ICE FENGIH	<u>д</u> ,	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x).6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	
	ICE SAMPLE	ICE THICKNESS	ŗ	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	
	ICI	ICE COMPOSITION		SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	
	- <b>-</b>	3740 7231		12-28-76	12-28-76	12-28-76	12-28-76	12-28-76	12-29-76	12-29-76	
		1EST NO.		ø	6	9	=	12	13	14	

N. W. Carl

į

ţ

5

\*Eccosorb Model #2-TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

1.

• • •											·······
TEST RESULTS		REHARKS		CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	SOME- MELTING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	v	ۍ	9	4	15	ى س	13	6
	٦٧	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG LOAD	LB	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4
		USTLIT SNLL	r r								
		NUD AIA	8 5 I								
		ICEMATER SPRAY	ы Ро Го								
	90TC	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLE	ъг	Ecco L	ب	ш			ب	ب	-
		POHZR ON TIME	SEC								
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS	170	170	150	150	200	200	I	001
2450 MHz		COUPLED POWER	WATTS	660	660	660	660	660	660	440	440
<u>۱</u> .		ABHO9 ABTTIM2MART	WATTS	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	800	800
TEST CONDITIONS		TEMPERATURE SULUS 30478US	° C	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20
ST CO		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
II II	щ	× AIDTH ICE LENGTH	i,	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6
	ICE SAMPLE	ІСЕ ТНІСКНЕЗЗ	in	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16
	ICI	ICE COMPOSITION		SALT 0.6%	SALĨ 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%
	<del>نہ</del> ۔۔۔	3TAO 1231		12-29-75	12-29-76	12-29-76	12-29-76	12-29-76	12-30-76	12-30-76	12-30-76
		1E3T NO.		15	16	17	18	61	50	21	22

, . . . . . . . -

;

\*Eccosorb Model #2-TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

. . .

RESULTS		REHARKS		CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	NO SHEDDING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	18	13	31	١٤	I	ø	8	æ
	זי	SIMULATED CENTRIFUC	ГВ	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4
		רואב זורובס	Să P								
		NUD 91A	ន ៦								
		ICENVICE 2558X	821								
	8013	L = LOND R = REFLE	ᅴᅭ	ب	بر	بہ	۔ 		بر	بر	بر 
		SONER ON TIME	SEC	i	1	1	1	10	1	1	1
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS	<u>0</u>	001	1	, I	1	'	1	1
2450 MHz		сольгео вожек	UATTS WATTS	440	440	220	220	660	660	066	066
۱.		RANSMITTER POHER	UATTS	800	800	400	400	2400	2400	1800	1800
VD1110		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	з,	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20
TEST CONDITIONS		AT 120 301	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Ĩ	ш	× אוסוא זכב רבאפזא	in	3/16 3×1.6	3 <b>λ</b> 1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3/16 3×1.6	3×1.6	3/16 3×1.6
	E SAMPLE	<b>ІСЕ ТНІСКИЕ</b> SS	ŗ	3/16	3/ 16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	
	ICE	ICE COMPOSITION		SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.62	SALT 0.6%	SAL 7 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0, 6%	SALT 0.6%
		JTAO 1231		12-30-76	12-30-76	1-3-77	1-3-77	1-3-77	1-3-77	1-4-77	30 1-4-7?
		.0N T23T		52	24	25	56 26	27	28	29	R

٠.;

1

Remarks: Moviel #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

-

ì

ŧ

TEST RESULTS		REHARKS		CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	MELTING	MELTING	NU SHEDDING IN 70 SEC SOME MELTING	NO SHEDDING IN 70 SEC - MELTING
TEST		3MIT O3HS	SEC	01	25	14	<u>х</u>	30	4	I	I
	ער	DATRIFUG DADD DADD	L8	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4
		CINE TILTED	YES or								
		NUD AIA	8 P								
		TCENATER SPRAY	821								
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLEC	ч г	_ ۲	L		ر		-	-	
		POHER ON TIME	SEC							70	20
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
2450 MHz		COUPLED POWER	HATTS WATTS	440	440	440	440	440	440	440	440
1.		ABHO9 ABTTIMZNAAT	HATTS	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800
TEST CONDITIONS		TEMPERATURE 30105 30AFAUS	ပိ	-20	-20	-20	-20	-130	-20	-20	-20
ST CO		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
1	ш	x 4101H 1CE LENGTH	ŕ	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3×1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6
	E SAMPLE	ICE THICKHESS	÷	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16
	ICE	ICE CONDOLLION		SALT 0.3%	SALT 9.3%	SALT 0.3%	SALT 0.3%	SALT 0.3%	SALT 0.3%	SALT 0.15%	SALT 0.15%
		3TAO T23T		1-11-77	1-11-77	1-11-77	1-11-77	22-II-i	1-12-77	1-12-77	1-12-77
		.ON T231		3	32	33	34	35	36	37	38

•

¢

.

. ·

Remarks: Model #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

1

4 .

RESULIS		REHARKS		NOST ICENELT- ED ATTER I NIN -ALL ICENELT- ED IM 2 MIN	1 MIN-MO MELTING 2 MIN-DMIN	PONED IN FONED IN ICE 3 MIK-1/2 ICE	IN PIN-ALL ICE		T MIN-2/3 ICE MELTED 2 MIN-ALL ICE MELTED	I MIN-2/2 ICE MELTED 2 MEN-ALL ICE MELTED	
TEST	_	SHED LINE	SEC	ł							
	ער	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG LAOD	۲B								
Ī		LINE TILTED	l e 'ES	YES	YES				YES	YES	
Ī		NUD AIA	ខ្លួរ								
		ICEMATER SPRAY	ភ ខ	OFF	<b>335 (</b>	9 X313	NO G	TURNI	OFF	CFF	
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLE		~	я				×	٣	
		PONER ON TIME	SEC	120	240						
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS	1							
2450 MHz		CONFLED POWER		440	440				1320	1320	
·		TEANSMITTER POHER	WATTS WATTS	88	800				2400	2400	
CONDITIONS		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ပ့	-20	-20				-20	-20	
TEST CON		ICE DENZLIA	pm/cc	6.0	0.9				0.9	0.9	
E	щ.	X AIDTH ICE LENGTH	5	15x1.9	15x1.9				15x1.9	15×1.9	
	E SAMPLE	ICE THICKHESS	÷	.25	.25				, 25	.25	
	ICE	ICE CONEOSITION		SALT 0.15%	TAP				TAP	DIST	
	L	3TAO T23T		1-13-77	1-13-77				1-13-77	1-13-77	
Γ		TEST NO.		33		6			4	42	

3

÷.

- - - - -

• • •

ş

......

ē

Remarks: Model #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

in the

しょ しまて きし

;

1

ł

T RESULTS 1		REMARKS		NO SHEDDING IN LESS THAN 60 SEC	IV-3 ICE MELTED IN LESS THAN	MLL TCE MELTED IN LESS THANK	ALMOST ALL ICE NELTED IN LESS THAN 12 SEC	ALL ICE MELTED AFTER 240 SEC	STARTED TO MELT AFTER 190 SEC	1/2 ICE MELTED	
TEST		SHED LIWE	SEC	1		Ř	12	240			
	-	LOAD LOAD LOAD	2	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	
		LINE TILTED	S P								
		NUD AIA	No Io, I								
		YAARS ABTAHBDI	891	OFF	NO	NO	NO	OFF	OFF	₹	
	801	L = LOAD R = REFLEC		L.		~	æ	æ	٣		
		POHLE ON TIME	SEC	60	60	30	12	240	19C	20	
2		REFLECTED POWER	KATTS MATTS	I	100		I	1	1	1	
2450 MHz		COUPLED POWER	WATTS	440	440	1320	1320	1320	1320	1320	
		TRANSMITTER POHER	WATTS	800	908	2400	2400	2400	2400	2400	
NDITIC		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ပိ	-20	-20	61-	-13	-15	-20	-20	
TEST CONDITIONS		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.73	0.9	0.90	0.75	
	Ľ	X HIDIH ICE FENGIH	'n	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3xi.6	371.6	3×1.6	
	ICE SAMPLE	ICE THICKHESS	in	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	
	ĭ	ICE COMBOSILION		DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	
		31AQ 1231		1-17-77	1-18-77	1-18-77	1-18-77	1-18-77	1-19-77	1-19-77	
		'EST NO.		43	44	45	46	47	48	49	

.

×

Ŧ

er strattere

\*\* \* \* \*

Ĵ

• ,.

Remarks: Model #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

_							K.				
TEST RESULTS		REHARKS		3rd LAYER-0.65 SALT 1/2 TOE NELTED	AND LAYER-DIST MATER 1/2 ICE WELTED	3rd LAYER=DIST MATER 1/2 ICE MELTED	កណដំម័	2	3rd LAYER-5 NIL FILMENT TAPE ALL ICE NETED	3rd LAYER+8 NIL FILANENT TAPE-ALL ICE	
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	20	15	40	40	50	75	8	
	ל	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG LOAD	LB								
		LINE TILTED	VES or								
		NUD ATA	8 P								
		YARAC SPRAY	ខ្មុខ								
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLEC	» د	æ	~	~	æ	8	æ	~	
		PORT 'N TIME	SEC	20	15	40	40	50	75	8	
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
- 2450 MHz	 •	сольгео божев	WATTS WATTS WATTS	880	1320	1320	1320	1320	1320	1320	
NS - 2		TRANSMITTER POHER	WATTS	1600	2400	2400	2400	2400	2400	2400	
TEST CONDITIONS		TEMPERATURE SUIVE GUIVE	ပိ	-20	-13	-13	-13	-13.7	-15.1	-11	
ST CO		ATTEN DENISTY	gm∕cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	
F	, u	× אוסוא וכב רבאפוא	'n	3x1.6	3×1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	
	ICE SAMPLE	ICE THICKHESS		3/16	¢،'6	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	
	10	ICE COMPOSITION		DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	
		31A0 1231		1-20-77	1-20-77	1-20-77	1-20-77	1-21-77	1-21-77	1-21-77	
		.ON 1231		50	51	52	53	54	55	56	

はないたため目的にないない。

Sama mandateration administrative second administrative

Section of the sectio

are the second second second

Remarks: Model #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode Variou: Types of 3rd Layer

7-1	<u> </u>										
TEST RESULTS		REHARKS		POWDERED HIGH LOSS LENNITE BE-	TWEEN TWO 8 Mil Layers Of Tape -	MELTING	SAME 3rd LAYER 1/3 MELTED	SAME 3rd LAYER - ALL ICE NELTED			
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	25			50	170			
		SIMULATED CENTRIFUG LOAD	LB	8.4			8.4	8.4			
		<b>LINE TILTED</b>	YES 								
		NUD AIA	891								
		YAAAS AATAMADI	<u>ร</u> ีร								
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLE	- ~	ъ			æ	٣			
		PONER ON TIME	SEC	25			20	170			
		REFLECTED POWER	MATTS								
50 MHz		COUPLED POWER	NATTS WATTS WATTS	1320			1320	1320			
TEST CONDITIONS - 2450 MHz		A3H09 A3TTIM2MAAT	WATTS	2400			2400	2400			
NDI T 101	 	TEMPERATURE SUIDE 3010E	°c	- 12			-13.7 2400	-20			
ST COI		ICE DENSILA	Bm/cc	6.0			0.9	0.9			
F	1	× אוסוא וכב רגוופוא	in	3x1.6			3x1.6	3x1.6			
	ICE SAMPLE	ICE THICKNESS	in	3/16			3/16	3/16			
	10	ICE COMPOSITION		GIST			DIST	DIST			
		3140 1231		1-21-77			1-21-77	1-21-77			
		TEST NO.		57		<u> </u>	82	59	L		

·\$ :

<u>, E</u>

-

-

----

K STO

3

.

Service.

Sinn

THE P.S.

÷.

9. P. C.

\*\* \*\* \*\*\* \* \*\*\*

\* \* \* \*

-----

- 4

A 12

١

ŝ

Va Tec

.

٢.

7

Rcmarks: Model #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mous 3rd Layer Model

~~								 	 
TEST RESULTS		REHARKS		CRRELSAMPLES MERE TOO SO.T TO LOAD	MITH THE LOADING MECHANISM	SAMPLES WERE PLACED ON LINE AND	START MELT- START MELT- ING HAS		
TEST		CMIT COHR	SEC	55	8	55			
	٦¥	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG LOAD	LB						
		רואב דורדבס	:ES   or						
		NUD 91A	হ হ ।			N			
		VARAZ AJTAHJJI	ខ៑៦						
	8013	NOITANIMATI TERMINADI R = REFLE L = 2000 R = REFLE	א ר	R	R	æ			
		POHER ON TIME	SEC	120	120	120			
2		REFLECTED PONER	WATTS					 	
2450 MHz		COUPLED PONER	WATTS HATTS HATTS	1320	1320	1320			
.		ASHOR SATTLER POHER	VATTS	2400	2400	2400			
TEST CONDITIONS		TEMPERATURE 30105 3047802	ç	- 18	-20	- 15		 	 
ST COL		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	0.3	0.3	0.3		 	 
<u>1</u>	{	× 4101H 1CE FERRIF	'n		15x 1.63	15x1 £3			
	ICE SAMPLE	ICE THICKNESS	ë	APPROX 1/4	.35	.35			
	ICI	1CE COMPOSITION		CRREL	CRREL SNON	CRREL SNON			
		31A0 T231		1-25-77	1-25-77	1-25-77			
		.01: 1231		60	61	62			

ç

Remarks: Model #2 IE<sub>1</sub> Mode Shed lests Using CKKEL Snow Samples

n Tuesde

1.1

C THE STATE

.

Ĉŧ
• •											
TEST RESULTS		REFLARKS		HALF ICE Shed	NO SHECTERAG Sample Helt- Ed Amay	MELTED (SMALLER ICE SAMPLE)	MELTED	MELTED	NONE JOR MELTING	5 MIN All Ire Melted	ALL ICE MELTED
TEST		3HED TIME	SEC	155	1	50	160	55	NONE	360	360
	٦¥	SIMULATED כבא אורטם DAD	LB	3.4	8.4	m	ъ			-	
		רואנ זורונס	YES I ° I								
		NUD 91A	551	ĸ	OFF	OFF	OFF	GFF	N	0FF	UFF
		YAAAZ AJTAHJJI	3°1								
	8010	L = LOAD R = REFLE	- x	LOAD LOAD	LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	NATER LOAD L
		POWER ON TIME	SEC								
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
- 2450 MHz		язкот отляноэ	WATTS WATTS WATTS	,30	30.4	86()	430	430	430	430	430
ts - 24		RANSMITTER PONER	HATTS	1000	0C8	2000	1000	1000	0001	1000	1000
10 I 1 I 01		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ာ့	-12.4	-17.4	-12.7	-18.4	-11.3	11	61-	-13
TEST CONDITIONS	$\prod$	ALTSN30 301	gm/cc	6.	6.	6.	5.	،	6.	°:	6.
10	u l	× H101H ICE FENGIN	in	3x1.75	3x1.75	.98x 1.75	× 35 1.75	. <u>98</u> x 1.75	1.5x 1.55	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75
	E SAMPLE	ICE THICKNESS	'n	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	, 189	. 189	, 189
	ICE	ICE COMPOSITION		TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	· TAP
	لي د «عدر ال	31A0 T231	{	2-15-77	2-16-7/	2-16-77	2-16-77	2-16-77	2-11-2	2-17-77	2-17-77
		.0N 1831		20	٦	* 72	73	7	75	76	ñ

and an interest in the property in the second states and the second states and the second states and the second

A TRACTOR

ъң Г

a Andreas and a construction of the constructi

\*\*

ALTER CALLER

ICE SAMPLE					
SAMPLE CONDITIONS - 270				TEST	DESULTS
	вот				
PONER UN TIME           PONER UN TIME           REFLECTED POWER           COUPLED POWER           TERMERATURE           SURFACE GUIDE           TCE LENGTH           TCE LENGTH           TCE LENGTH           TCE LENGTH           TCE CONPLED POWER           TCE CONPLED POWER           TCE LENGTH           TCE CONPLED POWER           TCE CONFLED POWER </td <td>TERMINATION L = LCAD R = REFLEC</td> <td>ATR GUN</td> <td>LOAD CENTRIFUGA</td> <td>SHED TIME</td> <td>REHARKS</td>	TERMINATION L = LCAD R = REFLEC	ATR GUN	LOAD CENTRIFUGA	SHED TIME	REHARKS
in in gm/cc		ND C	<u>۳</u>	SEC	
9  x1.75 .9 -11.8 1000 430	WATER LOAD	ĸ	.75	30	clean shed
2-17-77 TAP .189 1x1.75 .9 -11.8 1000 430	LOAD	OFF	<i>ي</i> ن 	30	CLEAN SHSD
80 2-18-77 TAP .189 1x1.75 .9 -13.6 1000 430	WATER LOAD	OFF	ع	8	CLEAN SHED
81 2-18-77 TAP .189 1x1.75 .9 -17 1000 430	LOAD LOAD	OFF	·.	8	MELTED DISREGARD TEST
82 2-18-77 TAP .189 1x1.75 .9 -19.1 1000 430	WATER	NO	· · ·	70	CLEAN SHED
03 2-187 TAP .189 1x1.75 .9 -17.7 2000 860	WATER LOAD	NO	<u>ч</u> .	20	CLEAN SHED
64 2-18-77 TAP .189 1x1.75 .9 -18.4 2000 860	WATER LOAD L	ON	ŝ.	40	CLEAN SHED

TEST CONDITIONS - 2450 MLS           TEST CONDITION													
ТЕБ: СОНОТТОИХ - 2450 МА:         СОПОТТОИХ - 2450 МА:         СОПОТТОИХ - 2450 МА:           1СЕ SAMPLF         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	RESULTS		REMÁRKS				NO SHEDDING	NO SHEDDING	CLEAN SHED		CLEAN SHED		
ТЕБТ СОНОТТОИХ         2450 МА2           1СЕ SAMPLE         1         1         3         3         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1 <t< td=""><td>TEST</td><td></td><td><b>3KIT 03H2</b></td><td>SEC</td><td>40</td><td>50</td><td>NO Shed</td><td>NO Shed</td><td>30</td><td>25</td><td>35</td><td>7</td><td>[</td></t<>	TEST		<b>3KIT 03H2</b>	SEC	40	50	NO Shed	NO Shed	30	25	35	7	[
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP		JA		LB	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP		÷	CINE TILTEO	YES or	L								
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP			NUD 91A	N 2	¥	NO	NO	NO	CFF	0FF	OFF	OFF	
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP			ICEMATCK SPRAY								_		
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP		кото		- ~	LOAD LOAD	MATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	LOAD LOAD	WATER LOAD L	KATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	•
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP			POWER ON TIME	SEC	I	1	E Contraction of the second se		ł	I	ł	i	•
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP	~		REFLECTED POWER	MATTS									1
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP	450 MH		COUPLED POWER	HATTS	860	860	215						
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP	•		A3H09 A3TTIM2NAAT	WATTS	2000	2000			1000	1000			
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP	4017101		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	° °	-18	61-	-17.7	-17.0	- 10	-10.1	-11.4	-10.4	. :
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP	ST CON	Π	ICE DENSILA	gm/cc	1 .	1 .	1 ·		ł		1	1 1	 
ICE SAMI           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE COMPOSITION           ICE SAMI           IAP	12 	L.		Ļ.	1×1.75	1×1.75	1x1.75	1×1.75	1×1.7	1×1.7	1×1.7	ז.ואו	
2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-77 2			асе титскиезя	Ē	. 189	. 189	. 189	189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	
2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-23-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-223-77 2-77 2		IC	ICE COMPOSITION		TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	
2 3 8 8 8 8 1EST NO.			3TAO T23T		2-21-77	2-21-77	2-21-77	2-21-77	2-23-77	2-23-77	2-23-77	2-23-77	
			.ON TEST		85	86	87	88	89	6	16	92	

and the second secon

· · ·

1.

ł

4

i

: |\_ in star

\*\*\*\*\*

Remarks: Model #3 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 3 Layer Model Cellulose Acetate Tape Acrylate Adhesive 0.025"

.

\* • • •

ţ

;;

Sec.

Adhesive
Acrylate
Tape
Acetate
025 MIL Cellulose
MIL (
.025 MIL
Model
Layer
#3 TE <sub>1</sub> Mode 3
I TE
1 #3
Model
Remarks:

a financia de la com

ş

	-										
TEST RESULTS		REHARKS		السمما	LAYER LAYER CLEAN SHED		.025" 3rd LAYER CLEAN SHED				
TEST		SHED LIWE	SEC	10	20	01	20	80	60	30	8
	٦٧	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG LOAD	LB L	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
		רואב דורדבס	YES or								
		NUD ATA	۰ N	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF
		YANAS NƏTAMƏDI	8 P								
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLE	ש ר	WATER LOAD L	HATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER (.0AD L	WATER LOAD L
		PONER ON TIME	SEC								
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
- 2450 MHz		COUPLED POWER	WATTS	860	860	860	860	215	215	215	215
NS - 24		A3HO9 A3TTIM2NAAT	WATTS	2000	2000	2000	2000	500	500	500	500
TEST CONDITIONS		TEMPERATURE SUIDE 301DE	ပ္	<u><u> </u></u>	-20	-12.2	-10.3	-12.1	-9.5	-10.8	-12
EST CO		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	<u>.</u>	6.	6.	<u>.</u>	6.	<u>و</u>	<u>ه</u> .	<u>б</u> .
F	LΕ	× КІОІН Ісе гекецн	i	.98x 1.75	.98x	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	, 98x 1.75	.98x 1.75
	ICE SAMPLE	ІСЕ ІНІСКИЕ22	Ë	. 189	. 189	. 189	.189	.189	. 189	. 189	.189
	Ĩ	ICE COMBOSILIUM		TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP
		<b>JTAO T2JT</b>		2-24-77	2-24-77	2-25-77	2-25-77	2-25-77	2-25-77	2-25-77	2-28-77
		1631 NO.		93	94	95	96	97	86	66	ê

ann - the constraints of the second second

.

1.00

4

1214

SULTS		REMARKS		VERY CI.EAN Sked	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED
TEST RESULTS		SHED TIME	SEC	10 VE SK	35 50	35 S0	40 S9	65 SC	60 S(	40 6	20 20
	71	SIMULATED CENTRIFUCA Load	LB LB	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
		רואנ דורדנס	YES er								
		NUD AIA	No 9								
		YANGE NETAHEDI	891								
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFILE	یہ ب	WATER LOAD L	HATER LOAD L	MATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L
		DONER ON TIME	SEC								
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
2450 MHz		сольгео божев	HATTS WATTS	860	860	860	860	430	430	430	430
<u>۱</u>		RANSHITTER POHER	WATTS	2000	2000	2000	2000	0001	1000	1000	1000
TEST CONCITIONS	 	TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ပ္	-13.8	-14	-15.9	-14.6	-15	-14	- 14	- 14.5
EST CO		ICE DEV2114	gm/cc	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	<u>б.</u>	6.	6.
	LE	× HI01H ICE FERCIH	ŗ.	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	:98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75
	ICE SAMPLE	ICE THICKNESS	i	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189
	IC	ICE COMBLEILION		TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP
		31AQ 1231		101 2-28-77	102 2-28-77	103 3-1-77	104 3-1-77	105 3-2-77	3-2-77	107 3-2-77	3-2-77
		.0N TEST NO.		101	102	103	104	105	106	10	108

Ì

İ\_

Remærks: Model #3 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 3 Layer Model .025 Cellulose Acetate Tape Âcrylate Adhesive

TEST RESULTS		REHARKS		SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING			Ĭ
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	85	150	80	011			
	71	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG	LB LB	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		 	
		LINE TILTED	YES   a						 	
		NUD AIA	8 P							
		ICEMATER SPRAY	8 e						 	
	8013	NOITANIMRAT L = LOAD R = REFLEC		MATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATEP. LOAD L	WATER LOAD L		 	
	 	POWER ON TIME	SEC						 	
~		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS						 	
2450 MHz		COUPLED POWER	WATTS WATTS	215	215	215	215	 	 	
NS - 2		REANSMITTER POHER	WATTS	500	500	500	200		 	
TEST CONDITIONS -	 	TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ې ب	-14	-15	-14.7			 	 ł
EST CO		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	6.	6.	6.	<u>б</u> .		 	
	ΓE	X ALDIH ICE LENGTH	'n	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75			
	ICE SAMPLE	ICE LHICKNEZZ	ä	.189	. 189	. 189	.189			 ļ
	11	ICE COMPOSITION		TAP	ТАР	TAP	TAP			
		31AO 1231		3-2-77	3-3-77	3-3-77	3-3-77			
		.0N T23T		109	סוו	Ξ	112			

'ዋ እና 2

Remarks: Model #3 TE<sub>1</sub> Model 3 Layer Model .025 Cellulose Acetate Tape Acrylate Adhesive

•••••

; , ,

a source into

15

×

•• 1

· .

T										0	
N.TS		REMARKS		CLEAN SHED	IN SHED	N SHED	N SHED	W SHED	clean shed	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN S.IED
TEST, RESULTS		æ		CLEA	CLEAN	CLEAN	CLEAN	CLEAN	CLEA	CLEJ	CLE
<b>TEST</b>		SHED TIME	SEC	30	50	2C	25	50	18	40	55
	٦٧	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG. ייט', '	۲ß	4	4	4	*	4	\$	4	4
		CINE TILTED	YES   °								
		NUD 91A	8 P								
		ICEMATER SPRAY	공 눈								
	8013	TER™INATION L = LOAD R = REFLE	א ר	WATER LOAD L	WATEX LOAD L	WATER 1 OAD L	WATER LOAD L	MATER LOAD L	NATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	LOAD LOAD
		POWER ON TIME	SEC								
		REFLECTED PONER	WATTS								
2450 MHz		сопрісо рожек	NATTS NATTS	648	648	648	648	972	972	324	324
11		TRANSMITTER POHER	WATTS	1200	1200	1200	1200	1800	00P;	600	600
TEST CONDITIONS		TEMPERATURE 30100 33A7RUS	ç	-18.6	-18.7	-18.0	-17.0	-18.0	13,6	-19.0	-18.4
21 CO		ICE DENZILA-	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
LE LE	u.	× H101H ICE LENGTH	ů	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x].6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6
	E SAMPLE	ICE INICKNEZZ	'n	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32
	ICE	ICE CONPOSITION		SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%
		31AO 1231		3-4-77	3-4-77	3-4-77	3-4-77	3-7-77	3-7-77	3-7-77	3-7-77
		.0N 1831		113	114	115	116	117	118	611	120

Remarks: Model #2 TM<sub>0</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

TEST. RESULTS		REMARKS		CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	DNIDDAHS CN	NO SHEDDING	MELTED	
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	70	À0	45	I	1	160	
	ור	SIMULATED CENTRIFUC	LB LB	4	শ	4	4	4	4	
		CINE TILTED	YCS   or							
		ALR GUN	응.6							
		ICEMATER SPRAY	용							
	801:	НЕКМІМАТІОN L = LOAD R = REFLEC	<u>ہ</u> د	HATER LOAD	LOAD LOAD	MATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	LOAD	WATER LOAD	
		POHER ON TIME	SEC				420			
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS							
50 MHz		сольгер рожек	WATTS WATTS	324	648	648	648	1296	1296	
TEST CONDITIONS - 2450 MHz		ABHOR ABITIMENANT	HATTS	600	1200	1200	1200	2400	2400	
NDI 710		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ç	-17.0	-19.2	-17.4	-18.0	-13.0	-10.0	
ST CO		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	 
F	w	× КІДТН Ісе Генотн	÷	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	
	E SAMPLE	ICE THICKNESS	ů.	3/32	3/16	3/16	3/32	3/32	3/32	
	ICE	ICE CONPOSITION		SALT 0.6T	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	TAP	TAP	TAP	
		3TAQ T23T		3-7-77	3-8-77	3-8-77	3-8-77	3-8-77	3-8-77	
		.0N TEST NO.		121	122	123	124	125	126	

いかりましていたとうかみたとう

K

Reaction descriptions from the reaction of the formation of the state 
1. . . H

Service States and

でいたかないというようなないというない

Remarks: Model #2 TM<sub>0</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

Ś

<b>.</b>						<b></b>	·	·····		<del> </del>		1
TEST RESULTS		REMARKS		CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	clean shed	CLEAN SHED	
TEST		34ED TIME	SEC	5	15	e	=	11	19	19	20	
	1	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG	LB	e	e	e	m	е	£	ĸ	e	
		CINE TILTED	YES or									5
		NUD AIA	8 P									ah an
		TCEMATER SPRAY	동 )									
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLEC	<b>ہ</b> د	WATER LOAD	HATER LOAD	WATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD	WATER LOAD	WATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	Worde) #] IF Worde Locev Laver Consisted of Besistive Material and AB 48/10
		POHER ON TIME	SEC									
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS									Dete
2450 MHz		CONFLED POWER	WATTS	440	440	1320	1320	660	660	660	440	ted of
1.		ARANSMITTER POHER	HATTS	800	800	2400	2400	1200	1200	1200	800	Concie
TEST CONDITIONS		TEMPERATURE SUIDE BUIDE	ູ	-18.6	-18.0	0.61-	-18.4	-18.0	-19.0	-18.3	-17.4	
ST CO!		ICE DENSILA-	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	ō. 0	0.9	
Ĩ	ш,	× HIDTH ICE LENGTH	Ę.	3×1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	7 1/2 x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	- opor
	ICE SAMPLE	ICE THICKNESS	in	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	Ĭ
	10	ICE CONDOZITION		DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	i toby
	·	3TAO 1237		3-10-77	3-10-77	2-11-£	3-11-77	3-14-77	3-14-77	3-14-77	3-14-77	Damarke . K
		.0N 1831		127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	G

~~~ · • • •

.

\*\*

٠

.

,

`•...

· \$ ^ .

ļ ł 1 1

÷

Į

Remarks: Model #1 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode Lossy Layer Consisted of Resistive Material  $\alpha^{a}$ 0.08 dB/1n

ł

-----

\*

.

. ...

| m               |            |                                    |             |               | r             |                    |                    | · · · · · ·        | <br>r | <br>т |
|-----------------|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| TEST. RESULTS   |            | REMARKS                            |             | CLEAN SHED    | CLEAN SHED    | CLEAN SHED         | CLEAN SHED         | CLEAN SHEP         |       |       |
| TEST            |            | SHED TIME                          | SEC         | 20            | 52            | 58                 | ъ                  | 30                 |       |       |
|                 | ור         | SIMULATED CENTRIFUG                | LB          | 3             | 3             | £                  | e                  | в                  |       |       |
|                 |            |                                    | YES<br>or   |               |               |                    | <br>               |                    |       | ] :   |
|                 |            | NUD AIA                            | 8 P         |               |               |                    |                    |                    |       |       |
|                 |            | TCENATER SPRAY                     | 891         |               |               |                    |                    |                    |       | ]     |
|                 | 801:       | TERMINATION<br>L = LOAD R = PEFLEC | ש ר         | WATER<br>LOAD | WATER<br>LOAD | WATER<br>LOAD<br>L | WATER<br>LOAD<br>L | WATER<br>LOAD<br>L |       |       |
|                 |            | POWER ON TIME                      | SEC         |               |               |                    |                    |                    |       | ]     |
|                 |            | REFLECTED POWER                    | WATTS       |               |               |                    |                    |                    |       |       |
| 2450 MHz        |            | COUPLED POWER                      | HATTS HATTS | 440           | 220           | 220                | 560                | 440                |       |       |
| ۰.              |            | ABHC9 ABTTIMZNAAT                  | WATTS       | 800           | 400           | 400                | 1200               | 800                |       |       |
| TEST CONDITIONS |            | TEMPERATURE<br>SUTAPACE GUIDE      | ာ           | -17.6         | -18.9         | -18.0              | - 18.4             | -18.3              | <br>  |       |
| ST CO           |            | ICE DENSITY.                       | gm/cc       | 0.9           | 0.9           | 0.9                | 0.9                | 0.9                | <br>  |       |
| F               | ш          | × MIDTH<br>ICE LENGTH              | ŗ.          | 3x1.6         | 3x1.6         | 3x1.E              | 3x1.6              | 3×1.6              |       |       |
|                 | ICE SAMPLE | ICE THICKHESS                      | in          | 3/32          | 3/32          | 3/32               | 3/32               | 3/32               |       |       |
|                 | 2          | ICE CONPOSITION                    |             | DIST          | DIST          | DIST               | DIST               | DIST               |       |       |
|                 |            | 3740 7237                          |             | 3-14-77       | 3-15-77       | 3-15-77            | 3-15-77            | 3-15-77            |       |       |
|                 |            | .ON TEST                           |             | 135           | 136           | 137                | 138                | 139                |       | ]     |

Sanding and a " we' which is state .

s ifter where the sales

؞ڐ؞ؾ*؞ڂ؇*ڟؗؠٚڡٳ

¥¶∰.

an desired

ł

0 5

x lles

1.295.23

and the second states and

is since

Carlos Mar

and and a start and a start and a start of the start and a start and a start a start a start a start a start a

and a second 
وب مو د پاده ۲۰ ۱ . a. 867

\*\*\*\*\*

ŗ

.

Remarks: Model #1 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 3 Layer Model Lossy Layer Consisted of Resistive Material α=0.08 dB/in

| TEST RESULTS    |           | REHARKS                           |             | 2 LAYERS<br>CLEAN SHED | 2 LAYERS<br>CLEAN SHED | 2 LAYERS<br>Polyurethane<br>Melted in | SPOTS | 1 LAYER<br>SPOT MELTING<br>IN | POLYURETHANE |      |   |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|--------------|------|---|
| TEST            |           | SHED TIME                         | SEC         | 45                     | 40                     | 60                                    |       |                               |              |      |   |
|                 | 71        | SIMULATED CENTRIFUG               | LB          | 3                      | £                      | я                                     |       | в                             |              |      |   |
|                 |           | רואב דורדבס                       | YES<br>or   |                        |                        |                                       |       |                               |              |      |   |
|                 |           | NUD AIA                           | N 9         |                        |                        |                                       |       |                               |              |      |   |
|                 |           | ICEMATER SPRAY                    | 8 P         |                        |                        |                                       |       |                               |              |      | ] |
|                 | 8013      | TERMINATION<br>L = LOAD R = REFLE | » د         | WATER<br>LOAD<br>L     | WATER<br>LOAD<br>L     | WATER<br>LOAD<br>L                    |       | HATER<br>LOAD                 |              |      |   |
|                 |           | POHER ON TIME                     | SEC         |                        |                        |                                       |       | 120                           |              |      |   |
|                 |           | REFLECTED POWER                   | HATTS       |                        |                        |                                       |       |                               |              |      |   |
| 2450 MHz        |           | COUPLED POWER                     | HATTS HATTS | 660                    | 660                    | 660                                   |       | 660                           |              |      |   |
| 11              |           | TRANSMITTER POHER                 | WATTS       | 1200                   | 1200                   | 1200                                  |       | 1200                          |              |      |   |
| TEST CONDITIONS |           | TEMPERATURE<br>30105 30105        | Ĵ           | -18.6 1200             | -18.9 1200             | -18.6                                 |       | -19.3                         |              | <br> |   |
| EST CO          |           | ICE 0607114                       | bm/cc       | 0.9                    | 0.9                    | 0.9                                   |       | 0.9                           |              | <br> |   |
| I               | E         | × HIDTH<br>ICE LENGTH             | in          | 3x1.6                  | 3x1.6                  | 3x1.6                                 |       | 3x1.6                         |              |      | ļ |
|                 | E SAMPLE  | ICE ТНІСКНЕSS                     | in          | 3/32                   | 3/32                   | 3/32                                  |       | 3/32                          |              |      |   |
|                 | ICE       | ICE CONDO21110N                   |             | DIST                   | DIST                   | DIST                                  |       | DIST                          |              |      |   |
|                 | • • • • • | 31A0 1231                         |             | 3-22-77                | 3-22-77                | 3-22-77                               |       | 3-22-77                       |              |      |   |
|                 |           | .0N T23T                          |             | 140                    | 141                    | 142                                   |       | 143                           |              |      | l |

Remarks: Model #1 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 3 Layer Model 1 or 2 Layers of Polyurethane Each 0.024 in. thick

ļ

X

| RESULTS 1       |                                       | REHARKS                      |             | MINOR              | MINOR<br>MELTING | MINOR         | MINOR<br>MELTING   | CLEAN SHED    | CLEAN SHED         |      |   |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|------|---|
| TEST            |                                       | SHED TIME                    | SEC         | 45                 | 60               | 100           | 130                | 20            | 28                 | <br> |   |
|                 | SIMULATED CENTRIFUGAL<br>DAD          |                              | L8<br>L     | 3                  | e                | C             | m                  | m             | ъ                  |      |   |
|                 | CINE TILTED                           |                              | YES<br>or   |                    |                  |               |                    |               |                    |      |   |
|                 | NUƏ SIA                               |                              | 8 P         |                    |                  |               |                    |               |                    |      |   |
|                 | ICEMATER SPRAY                        |                              | 8 P         |                    |                  |               |                    |               |                    |      | ļ |
|                 | TERMINATION<br>L = LOAD R = REFLECTOR |                              | - x         | WATER<br>LOAD<br>L | WATER<br>LOAD    | WATER<br>LOAD | HATER<br>LOAD<br>L | ANTER<br>LOAD | WATER<br>LOAD<br>L |      |   |
|                 | рожев он тіме                         |                              | SEC         |                    |                  |               |                    |               |                    |      |   |
|                 | REFLECTED POWER                       |                              | WATTS       |                    |                  |               |                    |               |                    |      |   |
| 2450 MHz        |                                       | сольгер рожев                | WATTS WATTS | 880                | 880              | 440           | 440                | 1320          | 1320               |      |   |
| 1.              | IKVN2WI LIEK SONEK                    |                              | WATTS       | 1600               | 1600             | 80            | 800                | 2400          | 2400               |      |   |
| TEST CONDITIONS | TEMPRICATURE<br>201125 20125          |                              | ູ           | -18.6              | -17.9            | 6.71-         | -18.5              | -18.0         | -18.5              |      | ļ |
| ST COL          | I CE SAMPI, E                         | ALISNRO BOI                  | gm/cc       | 0.9                | 9.6              | 0.9           | 0.9                | 0.3           | 0.9                | <br> |   |
| F.              |                                       | × <b>HIDIH</b><br>ICE FENGTH | <u>5</u>    | 3x1.6              | 3x1.6            | 3x1.6         | 3x1.6              | 3x1.6         | 3x1.6              |      |   |
|                 |                                       | ІСЕ ІНІСКИЕЗЗ                | 'n          | 3/32               | 3/32             | 3/32          | 3/32               | 3/32          | 3/32               |      |   |
|                 |                                       | ICE COMPOSITION              |             | DIST               | DIST             | DIST          | DIST               | DIST          | DIST               |      |   |
|                 | 3740 7237                             |                              |             | 3-24-77            | 3-24-77          | 3-24-77       | 3-24-77            | 3-24-77       | 3-24-77            |      |   |
|                 | ~ <u> </u>                            | .0N 1231                     |             | 144                | 145              | 146           | 147                | 148           | 149                |      | ] |

₩?

and a start of the 
2));

1

Remarks: Model #1 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode Model 1 Layer Polyurethane 0.025" Thick Adhesive Removed

L'Escare de la relation de ġ,

Sec. Sec.

# APPENDIX B DERIVATIONS OF LOSS TANGENT EQUATIONS

4-3-4 SO

The attenuation constant of a surface waveguide is defined by

$$\alpha_{t} = \frac{W_{D}}{2W_{T}}$$
(B-1)

 $\alpha_t$  = Attenuation constant

 $W_{\rm D}$  = The power dissipated

where:

ļ

 $W_{\overline{1}}$  = Total power transferred

The power dissipated per unit length is due to dielectric heating of the surface waveguide and any ice layer. The totai attenuation constant is thus:

 $\frac{\omega \varepsilon_1 \ell \tan \delta_1}{2} \int_0^{d_1} |E_y|^2 dx + \frac{\omega \varepsilon_1 \ell \tan \delta_1}{2} \int_0^{d_2} |E_y|^2 dx \quad (B-2)$ α<sub>t</sub> 24-= Radian frequency ω = Dielectric constant (dielectric and ice) ε<sub>1</sub> = Width of surface guide Q.  $\tan \delta_i$  = Loss tangent of ice  $\tan \delta_1$  = Loss tangent of dielectric Ev = Transverse electric field intensity = Thickness of dielectric d = Thickness of dielectric plus ice layer d<sub>2</sub> = Coordinate axis normal to surface x  $E_y = \frac{j\omega\epsilon E}{k_x}$  sin  $k_x X$ (B-3)

In B-2, the simplifying assumption is made that the real part of the dielectric constant of ice,  $\varepsilon_2$ , is approximately the same as the dielectric layer.

The total power transfer,  $W_T$ , is:

$$W_{T} = \frac{\beta \omega \varepsilon E^{2} \ell}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_{\chi}^{2}} S(d_{2}) + \frac{\cos^{2} k_{\chi} d_{1}}{2K_{\chi}^{3}} \right]$$
(B-4)

where:

ETA AMATCOM

$$S(d) = \frac{d}{2} - \left[\frac{\sin 2k_x d}{4k_x}\right]$$
(B-5)

Substituting Equations B-3 and B-4 into Equation B-2 gives:

$$\alpha_t = A[\tan \varepsilon_1 S(d_1) + \tan \varepsilon_1 (S(d_2) - S(d_1))]$$
 (B-6)

where:

$$A = \frac{k_1^2}{2k_x^2 \beta \left(\frac{1}{k_x^2} S(d_2) + \frac{\cos^2 k_x d_2}{2K_x^3}\right)}$$
(B-7)

Equation B-6 may now be solved to yield the desired tan  $\delta_i$ :

$$\tan \delta_{i} = \frac{\frac{\alpha_{t}}{A} - \tan \delta_{1} S(d_{1})}{S(d_{2}) - S(d_{1})}$$
(B-8)

To use B-8, the attenuation of the ice loaded line,  $\alpha_t$ , must be determined all the other terms are known.

Theoretically,  $\alpha_t$  could be measured by classical attenuation techniques but it is so small for short line lengths that this method is impractical.

It is instead determined by measuring the power dissipated in the ice sample,  $W_i$  and calculating the power dissipated in the line,  $W_1$  under the ice sample as follows.

The attenuation constant of the ice loads line is:

$$\alpha_{t} = \frac{-10 \log \left[\frac{W_{T} - W_{1} - W_{L}}{W_{T}}\right]}{L} db/unit length$$

$$W_{T} = Power incident on the iced section$$

$$W_{1} = Power dissipated in the line$$

$$W_{2} = Power dissipated in the line$$

where:

The power lost in the base dielectric  $W_{i}$  is calculated from Equation B-9 bv first assuming the loss in the ice is zero or  $W_{i} = 0$ (real part of the dielectric constant of ice is the same as the dielectric) or

= Length of iced section

$$\alpha_{1} = \alpha_{t} = \frac{-10 \log \left[1 - \frac{W_{1}}{W_{T}}\right]}{L}$$
(B-10)

where:  $\alpha_1 = \Lambda$  ttenuation constant due to losses in the dielectric assuming no losses in the ice.

Equation B-1C is solved for the argument of the log function to give:

$$1 - \frac{W_1}{W_7} = 10^{-\alpha} 1^{L/10}$$
 (B-11)

and  $\alpha_1$  is obtained from Equation B-6 by assuming tan  $\delta_1 = 0$ .

The power lost in the ice is determined by measuring the time required to leat the ice sample from ambient temperature to 0°C or until the ice just begins to melt. Then:

$$W_i = \frac{mc(0 - T_{\infty})}{t_1}$$
 (4.186) watts (B-12)

C. Martine

ST: 20

where:

いたいないためのかけたが見る大臣をあたがたいたいたかない

NUMBER OF STREET

日本のないのないのないないのでしたとう

m = Mass of the ice

c = Specific heat of ice

 ${\bf t_1}$  = Time required to raise the ice from 0°C to  ${\bf T}_{_{\rm CO}}$ 

T<sub>w</sub> = Ambient temperature

Substituting Equation B-11 into Equation B-9 yields  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t}$ 

$$\alpha_{t} = \frac{-10 \log \left[ 10^{-\alpha_{1}L/10} \frac{W_{i}}{-W_{T}} \right]}{L}$$
(B-13)

 $\alpha_1$  is obtained from Equation B-6 assuming tan  $\delta_i = 0$ 

 $W_i$  is obtained from Equation B-12

 $\alpha_t$  is then substituted into Equation 8 to obtain tan  $\delta_i,$  the loss tangent of the ice.

#### APPENDIX C

## WHIRLING ARM METHOD OF TESTING MICROWAVE ICE PROTECTION CONCEPT

#### SIMULATED ICING ENVIRONMENT

These tests will demonstrate the performance of a microwave deiccr, mounted on the tail rotor of a UH-1 helicopter, in a simulated rotor blade icing environment. An artists conception of the recommended whirling-arm apparatus is illustrated in Figure C-1 and a schematic diagram in Figure C-2. A perspective view of the tail rotor deicer boot and wave launcher are illustrated in Figures C-2 and C-4, respectively.

The recommended tests will consist of three major tasks:

- 1) Design, fabricate and test the microwave deicer for the UH-1 Tail Rotor in accordance with concepts demonstrated experimentally in this program.
- 2) Design, fabricate and test the whirling-arm apparatus.
- 3) Perform deicing and anti-icing tests in a simulated icing environment such as the NASA Lewis Icing Research Tunnel.

#### Deicing Performance Tc ....

In this test, droplet impact ice simulating light, moderate and heavy icing will be permitted to accrete on the deicer boot as the tail rotor is rotated at various angular velocities. The microwave energy required to shed the ice is then measured as a function of the parameters listed below:

- 1. Degree of Icing (Liquid Water Content LWC)
  - a. Light 0.12 < LWC < .5 gm/m
  - b. Moderate  $0.5 < LWC < 1.0 \text{ gm/m}^3$
  - c. Heavy 1.0 < LWC gm/m<sup>3</sup>
- 2. Ambient Temperature 0 to -20°C
- 3. Droplet Size
- 4. Blade Temperature
- 5. Air Speed





FIGURE C-2. SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM WHIRLING ARM TEST STAND

İ.





ドラン

Party & Charles and the start

いたかで、ためのいいでのないないであるためになったのである

FIGURE C-4. CROSSECTION - DEICER BOOT AND WAVE LAUNCHER

- 6. Angular Velocity (Centrifugal Force)
- 7. Ice Thickness (Time in Icing)
- 8. Time to Shed

The shedding process will be recorded with the time lapse stop-action camera and stroboscope synchronized to the blade rotation. The camera record will establish the shed time, the degree of shedding and the size and the shape of the shed fragments.

#### Anti-Icing Performance Tests

In this test, the microwave energy, at various levels, is turned on pricr to any ice accumulation on the blade and the power required to keep the rotor blade just ice free is measured as a function of the following parameters:

- 1. Degree of Icing
  - a. Light 0.12 < LWC < 0.5 gm/m
  - b. Moderate 0.5 < LWC < 1.0 gm/m
  - c. Heavy 1.0 < LWC gm/m
- 2. Ambient Temperature
- 3. Blade Temperature
- 4. Air Speed

5. Angular Velocity

The condition of the blade is recorded with time lapse, stop action stroboscopic photography.

### APPENDIX D MICROWAVE ICE DETECTORS

Breadboard microwave ice detectors, thickness gauges and accretion rate meters can be developed based upon the experimental findings made in Section 5.

These devices will be nonmechanical, have no moving parts, and conform to the contour of the surface being monitored. The readout will be essentially instantaneous, giving ice presence, ice thickness and ice accretion rate as functions of time. The devices will be small, lightweight and rugged so that they can be placed almost anywhere on the skin of the aircra. `, including the rotor blade and engine inlet ducts.

#### Ice Detection Background

An ice detector is a necessary component of any icing protection system for a helicopter, but at present no completely satisfactory instrument for this application exists. Helicopter rotor blades are dangerously sensitive to small amounts of ice, and the pilot, at present, receives no direct evidence of its formation. Present day icing forecasts, based upon prior statistics, are frequently inaccurate and subjective and offer no sure way for the pilot to become aware of the presence of ice. The only absolutely certain way to become aware of a dangerous icing condition is to detect the ice and measure its severity with suitable, rapidly responding ice detectors. This must be done in sufficient time for the pilot to determine if the icing severity is within the capability of ice protection system or permit timely evasive manuevers.

#### BASIC CONCEPTS

というというないというというないであるとないないないないない

The basic concept that would be used in an ice detector is that ice is a good dielectric and that its dimensions, e.g., thickness and accretion rate, will affect the performance of surface waveguides on which it is permitted to accumulate. Two physical phenomena demonstrated experimentally in this program are utilized. These are:

- (a) Tuning or shifting of the resonant frequency of surface waveguides on which ice is allowed to accumulate (Figures 26 and 27).
- (b) Decreasing of the cut-off frequency of surface waveguide on which ice is allowed to accumulate.

Several ice detectors are described which are based upon phenomena a and b; all will produce simplified signals for cockpit readout of ice presence, ice thickness and ice accretion rate. These are:

- 1) A resonant surface waveguide, phased locked-loop ice detector
- 2) A resonant surface waveguide, quadrature hybrid ice detector
- 3) A cut-off, go no-go Ice Presence Indicator
- 4) Cut-off Swept Frequency Ice Thickness and Accretion Rate Meter

#### Resonant Frequency Ice Detectors (Types 1 and 2)

These ice detectors make use of the shift in the resonant frequencies of surface waveguides on which ice is allowed to accumulate, as illustrated in Figures 26 and 27. The degree of shift of the resonance and its time rate of change are proportional to the ice thickness and accretion rate respectively. Both devices (1 and 2 above) convert the frequency shift to proportional dc voltages, which can be read on conveniently located cockpit readout meters and calibrated in ice thickness and ice accretion rate. The readouts are continuous and instantaneous, there being no moving parts or inertia to contend with.

#### <u>Cut-Off Ice Detectors (Types 3 and 4)</u>

These ice detectors make use of the decrease in the cut-off frequency of the  $TE_1$  mode surface waveguide caused by the thickening of the waveguide by the accumulation of ice.

In the simple go/no-go ice presence indicator, the microwave oscillator is set just below cut-off of the surface waveguide so that no energy may propagate down the guide and be detected. As the ice accumulates, effectively thickening the surface waveguide, the cut-off frequency is lowered below the frequency of the oscillator; at which time, energy can propagate and be detected, indicating the presence of ice.

A more sophisticated device utilizing the cut-off principle is the swept-frequency device. This device will meter the ice thickness and accretion rate. In this device, the microwave oscillator repetitively sweeps from a frequency below cut-off to a frequency above cutoff, propagation through the guide occurring at the instant its cut-off frequency is reached. As ice accumulates on the surface guide, reducing its cut-off frequency, propagation occurs earlier and earlier during the sweep. The time this occurs is an indication of ice thickness. Instrumentation that measures this instant in the form of a voltage pulse or other means can be used to provide a convenient cockpit readout.

#### APPENDIX E

# POWER DRAIN OF A MICROWAVE DEICER AT 33.7 GHz USING AN EXPERIMENTAL GYROTRON

In the gyrotron, constructed in the Soviet Union, Reference 18 an output power of 10 kw at an efficiency of 40% was obtained. An outline drawing of this tube is presented in Figure E-1. Power output, efficiency and power lost are plotted versus beam current in Figure E-2. The results of using this tube to design a microwave deicer, the power drain and the time to shed, assuming an ambient temperature of -20°C and 800 watts power per blade, for a microwave deicer using this tube, are presented in Table E-1. The higher frequency reduces the surface waveguide thickness to 0.1 inch.

<sup>18</sup> Kisel, D.V., Korablev, G.S., Navel'yev, V.G., Petelin, M.I., and Tsimring, Sh. Ye., "An Experimental Study of a Gyrotron, Operating at the Second Harmonic of the Cyclotron Frequency, With Optimized Distribution of the High-Frequency Field, "Radio Engineering and Electronic Physics, Vol. 19 pp. 95-99, April 1974.



5000000

A CARA STATISTICS AND A CARACTER AND A C

それたいたちが日本になったいが、たいながってきたや

i verse vertike kar de

الموجدة المتشجم فيترته

The a first the second state of the second states and

FIGURE E-1. OUTLINE DRAWING OF SOVIET GYROTRON PROTOTYPE. 1-CATHODE; 2-EMITTING STRIP; 3-FIRST ANODE; 4-SECOND ANODE; 5-CAVITY; 6-OUTPUT COUPLING APERTURE; 7-BEAM COLLECTOR; 8-OUTPUT WINDOW; 9-OUTPUT WAVEGUIDE; 10 and 12-WATER JACKETS; 11-MAIN SOLENOID; 13-ELECTRON GUN SOLENOID; 14-INSULATORS. OVERALL LENGTH OF THIS DEVICE IS IS APPROXIMATELY 20 cm.

MARY A PROPERTY AND

Excerpted from Reference 18



FIGURE E-2. THE DEPENDENCE OF THE EFFICIENCY (n), CAVITY LOSSES (P<sub>c</sub>) AND GYROTRON OUTPUT POWER P ON THE BEAM CURRENT I IN THE CON-TINUOUS MODE OF OPERATION:

$$U_{c} = 19 \, \text{kV}$$
.

Excerpted from Reference 18

# TABLE E-1. HELICOPTER POWER DRAIN, USING GYROTPON AT 35 GHz T $_{\infty}$ = -20°C, 800 WATTS PER BLADE

はんしていたいのでした。

0.17.1

| HELICOP. ER TYPE      | TOTAL POWER<br>REQUIRED | POWER REQ'D/TUBE<br>© DISTRIBUTION<br>EFFICIENCY OF<br>80% | NO. OF TURES<br>PER HELICOPTER | POWEP. DRAIN<br>PER HELICOPTER | TUBE EFFICIENCY | SHED TIME               |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|
| HEI                   | kw                      | kw   | kw                             |                                | η               | sec                     |  |
| UH-1<br>AH-1<br>OH-58 | 1.0                     | 2.0  | 1                              | 5.7                            | 35%             | 29.8<br>34.55<br>13.5   |  |
| UTTAS<br>AAH<br>ASH   | 3.2                     | 4.0  | 1                              | 10.4                           | 38%             | 45.25<br>43.80<br>31.55 |  |
| CH-47                 | 4.8                     | 6.0  | 1                              | 15.2                           | 39%             | 34 55                   |  |

187-78