U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Technical Information Sorvice

35

AD/A-055 824

DEMONSTRATION OF THE MICROWAVE ICE PROTECTIC CONCEPT

BERTRAM MAGENHEIM

MECHANICS RESEARCH, INCORPORATED MCLEAN, VIRGINIA

MAY 1978

efa t

1

No all

j

e realized

Q USAAMRDL-TR-77-34



C C DEMONSTRATION OF THE MICROWAVE ICE PROTECTION CONCEPT C

17.14

۰. .

Bertram Magenheim Mechanics Rosearch, Inc. 7929 Westpark Drive AcLean, Va. 22101

May 1978

Final Report for Period June 1976 - June 1977

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

> REPRODUCED BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CUMMERCE SPRINGFIELD, 74 22161

Prepared for

APPLIED TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY U. S. ARMY RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES (AVRADCOM) Fort Eustis, Vg. 23604

#### APPLIED TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY PUSITION STATEMENT

٧ŧ

 $\mathfrak{D}$ 

A PARTY AND A P

The primary purpose of this R&C effort was to verify the applicability of microwave surface guide theory as a method of helicopter rotor blade ice protection, and to estimate the performance characteristics and penalties imposed by the system to provide a sound basis for further development or abandonment of the concept. Primary conceptual concerns were: accuracy of analytical prediction techniques for surface wave guide performance, system complexity and weight, and detectability of the microwave energy during use of the ice protection system. Also of significance in determining feasibility for further development are features such as system cost, reliability, maintainability, electrical power requirements, and aircraft performance penalties. This effort has confirmed the applicability of surface wave guide theory and has produced estimates of microwave rotor blade ice protection features.

This Directorate concurs in the findings presented in this report and recommends use of the information contained herein for further R&D planning purposes. The information contained herein should not be used for production design purposes since complete test verification has not been accomplished and absolute confidence of estimated characteristics does not exist. Continued investigations are needed to gain further knowledge regarding methodology of concept implementation for use as an ice protection device for helicopter rotor blades, to demonstrate full-scale system function, and to refine the estimated design features.

The Project Engineer for this effort was Mr. Richard 1. Adams of the Systems Support Division.

#### DISCLAIMERS

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission, to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Trade names cited in this report do not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial hardware or software.

#### DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator,

	OFT DOCIDENTATION	AGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT HIMLER		L GOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
USAAMBDL TR-	7-34		
4. TITLE (and Subilito)		(Q	S. TYPE OF REPORTA PERIOD COVERED
DEMONSTRATIC	N OF THE MICROWAVE	ICE /	Final Alepert #
PROTECTION CO	INCEPT .	<u> </u>	Same and a state and a state of the
		- <u> </u>	9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER()
Destanding the			AT DAALING TO O detrolled
Bertram/Magenne:	m	(15	DAAJ2-76-C-9452
. PERFORMING ORGA	NIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. ATTANA ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Mechanics Research	sh, Inc.•		62209A11L262209AH76
McLean, Virginia	22101		00 172 EK
11. CONTROLLING OF	FICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE 17 And
Technology Labor	gy Laboratory, U. S. Arm atories (AVRADCOM)	iv Hesearch and 1	13. NUMBER OF PAGE
Fort Eustis, Virgi	nia 23604		133 (12) 134p
14. WONITORING AGE	ICY HANE & ADDRESS(II dilloront	trom Controlling Utilice)	Unclassified
			154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
			SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION ST	TEMENT (of this Report)		
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	IIC release; distribution ur	nlimited. In Black 20, 11 different f	ron Report)
The DISTRIBUTION ST	IIC release; distribution ur	nlimited. In Block 20, 11 dillerent f	ron Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	NOTES	nlimited. In Block 20, If different f	rom Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST	IIC release; distribution un	nlimited. In Block 20, 11 dillerent f	ron Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Cont) Feasibility	IIC release; distribution ur ATEMENT (of the abstract entered i NOTES	ilimited. In Block 20, 11 dillerent f didentity by block numb	rom Report) rr)
17. DISTRIBUTION ST 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Confil Feasibility Helicopters	IIC release; distribution un ATEMENT (of the obstract entered i NOTES ICe Adhesion	n Block 20, If different f d identify by block numb Dissipan Cost	ron Report) rr) iOn
19. KEY WORDS (Contin Feasibility Helicopters Rotors	IIC release; distribution un ATEMENT (of the obstract entered i NOTES NOTES ICE Adhesion Surface Waveguides Distance waveguides	d identily by block numb Dissipar Cost Weight	rom Report) rr) ion
17. DISTRIBUTION ST. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Contil Feasibility Helicopters Rotors Blades Microwaves	IIC release; distribution un ATEMENT (of the obstract entered i NOTES ICE Adhesion Surface Waveguides Dielectrics Heat	limited. In Block 20, It different t d identify by block numb Dissipat Cost ; Weight Power Experin	rom Report) rr) iOn
17. DISTRIBUTION ST. 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Confil Feasibility Helicopters Rotors Blades Microwayes 20. ABSTRACT (Confil	Ilic release; distribution un ATEMENT (of the obstract entered i NOTES Ice Adhesion Surface Waveguides Dielectrics Heat	d identify by block numb Dissipar Cost Weight Power Experin I identify by block numbe	rom Report) rr) ion nental Verification
19. KEY WORDS (Confi 19. KEY WORDS (Confi Feasibility Helicopters Rotors Blades Microwaves 20. ABSTRACT (Confi blades is preser.te wave ice protection by operating at h the same microwa protection system section of compo	Ice Adhesion Surface Waveguides Dielectrics Heat Notes Adhesion Surface Waveguides Dielectrics Heat New on reverse side If necessary and demonstration of the micro d. By shedding ice sample on theory was verified. In igher microwave frequenci the power. The microwave s totally out of nonmatal site blades. Incremental site	d Identify by block numb Dissipar Cost Weight Power Experin I Identify by block number cost is Weight Power Experint t Identify by block number cowave ice protect les from experimen noreased power de les, resulting in sig e concept offers t lic materials that y veight, cost and p	ron Report) rr) ion mental Verification r) ion concept for helicopter rotor ntal ice protection devices, micro nsity in the ice layer is achieved nificantly shorter shed times for he possibility of constructing ice will not deteriorate the radar cross ower estimates for equipping
17. DISTRIBUTION ST 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Confi Feasibility Helicopters Rotors Blades Microwaves 20. ABSTRACT (Confi An experimental blades is preserter wave ice protection by cperating at h the same microwa protection system section of compo- DD 100M 1473	NOTES NO	d Identify by block numb Dissipat Cost Weight Power Experind I Identify by block numb cost Weight Power Experind I Identify by block number rowave ice protect es from experimen noreased power de es, resulting in sig re concept offers t lic materials that weight, cost and p	rom Report) ion mental Verification on concept for helicopter rotor nal ice protection devices, micro nsity in the ice layer is achieved nificantly shorter shed times for he possibility of constructing ice will not deteriorate the radar cross ower estimates for equipping Unclassified LASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (From Date End

1

-

:

.

大学に言語

Unclassified

#### SECURITY CLAINFICATION OF THIS PAGE (The Date Beleval)

Block 20. Abstract - continued.

. . . :-

various helicopters are presented. An evolving microwave tube technology promises significant improvements in cost, efficiency, weight, and power drain while providing higher microwave powers and consequently shorter shed times.

It is demonstrated that a combination erosion shield/surface waveguide constructed from ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) fortified with a layer of polyurethane near the tip did not deteriorate the mean time between unscheduled maintenance (MTBUM) of the blade below that of the polyurethane erosion shield by itself. When the erosion shield/surface waveguide reaches the MTBUM it can be replaced without discarding the blade.

L

Unclassified

2

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

### PREFACE

This report presents the findings of an investigation of the microwave ice protection concept for helicopter rotor blades performed by Machanics Research, Inc., Electronic Systems Division, under Cantract No. DAAJ02-76-C-0052 for the Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory (USAAMRDL),\* Fort Eustis, Viriginia. The technica? monitor of the project for USAAMRDL was Mr. R. I. Adams. The Mechanics Research, Inc. program was under the direction of Mr. B. Magenheim, Project Manager. Personnel contributing to the program included Mr. K. Atkins.

\*On 1 September 1977, the Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory was redesignated Applied Technology Laboratory, U.S. Army Research and Technology Laboratories (AVRADCOM).

### SUMMARY

The purpose of this program was to demonstrate the microwave ice protection concept for helicopter rotor blades and to estimate the characteristics and penalties of the concept. The work performed was based on the Feasibility Analysis (Reference 1) performed previously for the Eustis Directorate.

### Ice Shed Tests

Scale model microwave deicers successfully shed ice samples at blade surface temperature of -20°C.

Scale model tests run at 2.45 GHz and scaled to 22 GHz indicate a significant improvement in performance at 22 GHz for the same amount of power used at 2.45 GHz, due primarily to the higher power concentration that can be achieved in the ice layer at the higher frequency.

### Verification of Analytical Techniques

Analytical techniques for predicting surface waveguide deicer performance have been verified experimentally and can be reliably used to predict surface waveguide performance at any frequency.

### Launch Efficiency

Launch efficiencies between 50% and 70% were obtained. The goal of this program was to achieve a sufficient launch efficiency to demonstrate deicer performance, not to optimize launchers. Data indicates that launch efficiencies in excess of 90% are achievable.

Magenheim, Bertram and Hains, Frank, Feasibility Analysis for a Microwave Deicer for Helicopter R<sup>-</sup> tor Blades, Mechanics Research Inc., USAAMRDL-TR-76-18, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia, May 1977.

## Dielectric Properties of Rotor Ice

A technique for measuring the dielectric loss properties of rotor ice was established and used to measure the loss tangents of the various ice samples used in the ice shed tests.

### Cost, Weight and Power

Preliminary cost, weight and power estimated for UH-1, AH-1, CH-47, OH-58, UTTAS, AAH and ASH helicopters were made and are presented in Section 8. Costs range from approximately \$9.3K for the UH-1 to \$26K for the CH-47.

### Reliability and Maintainability

The mean time between unscheduled maintainance (MTBUM) for a microwave deicer boot composed of ultrahigh molecular weight polyethelene (UHMWPE) out to 70% span and UHMWPE covered with a 25-mil polyurethane erosion coat for the remainder of the span was shown not to deteriorate the MTBUM obtainable with a polyurethane erosion shield over the full span of the blade.

### Passive Countermeasures

It is shown in section 6 that the vulnerability of Army helicopters due to the possible detection of microwave dejcer leakage radiation is negligable.

### Ice Detection

Techniques have been identified that can be used to detect the presence of ice and measure its thickness and accretion rate. One technique is inherent in the deicer and consists of monitoring signals returning from the deicer boot. Other techniques would utilize separate and independent devices that are nonmechanical having no moving parts and conform to the contour of the surface being monitored. The readout is essentially instantaneous, giving ice presence, ice thickness and ice accretion rate as a function of time. The devices are small, lightweight and rugged so that they can be placed almost anywhere on the skin of the aircraft, including the rotor blade and in the engine inlet ducts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- **1**, 1, 1

A STATE AND A STAT

したかないないないないないないないのにないない

and in a second second

		<u><u> </u></u>	age
PREFACE	• •		3
SUMMARY	••	•••••••••	4
LIST OF	ILLI	JSTRATIONS	9
LIST OF	TAB	LES	12
SECTION	1 -	STATIC ICE SHED TESTS	13
		Physical Removal of Ice by Microwave Deicers	13
		Discussion of Ice Shed Data	15
		Multilayer Model Surface Waveguide	23
		Comparative Shedding Effectiveness of the TE <sub>1</sub> and TM <sub>0</sub> Modes	27
		Shedding Time as a Function of Temperature	29
		Experimental Procedures	29
SECTION	2 -	VERIFICATION OF SURFACE WAVEGUIDE THEORY	34
		Experimental Procedures	43
SECTION	3 -	WAVE LAUNCHERS	54
		Measurement of Coupling Efficiency of the TE $_{l}$ Mode $\ .$ .	58
SECTION	4 -	LOSS TANGENT OF ROTOR ICE	62
		Loss Tangent Measurements	63
SECTION	5 -	ICE DETECTORS	66
SECTION	6 -	PASSIVE COUNTERMEASURES AND IMPACT ON COMPOSITE	
		BLÁDES	69
		Radar Reflectivity	70
		Detectability	72
SECTION	7 -	RELIABILITY AND MAINTAINABILITY STUDIES	78
SECTION	8 -	POWER, WEIGHT, COST	82
		System Power	82
		System Weight	85
		Weight of Tube and Power Supply	85
		System Cost	87

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

37

ANERS SIL CARDENS FOR THE STATE

-

P.1. P.

The start and th

- 5

Page
SECTION 9 - CONCLUSIONS
SECTION 10 - RECOMMENDATIONS
LIST OF SYMBOLS
LIST OF REFERENCES
APPENDIXES A - SUMMARY OF STATIC ICE SHED, TESTS
B - DERIVATIONS OF LOSS TANGENT EQUATIONS
C - RECOMMENDED WHIRLING ARM TESTS - UH-1 TAIL ROTOR EQUIPPED WITH MICROWAVE ICE PROTECTION IN SIMULATED ICING ENVIRONMENT
Simulated Icing Environment
Microwave Ice Detectors
Basic Concepts
E - POWER DRAIN OF A MICROWAVE DEICER AT 33.7 GHz USING AN EXPERIMENTAL GYROTORN

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

 مەربىي دىر Nelson.

general energy and the second and the second and the second and the second of the seco

Fig	ure Page
1	Shed Time Versus Power In Surface Wave, $-17^{\circ}C < \tilde{\chi}_{a} < -20^{\circ}C$ 2.45 GHz
2	Attenuation Constant Versus Ice Thickness For TE, mode, 2.45 GHz, $\epsilon_1 = 2.119$
3	Attenuation Constant Versus Ice Thickness for $TE_1$ mode, 22 GHz, $\epsilon_1 = 2.220$
4	Shed Time Versus Power In Surface Wave, $T_{\omega} = -20^{\circ}C$ , 22 GHz
5	TE1 Mode Power Density Versus Distance From Surface, 2.45 GHz and 22 GHz
6	Two and Three Layer Models
7	Attenuation Constant Versus Ice Thickness at 2.45 GHz 28
8	Effect of Blade Temperature on Shed Time
9	Test Equipment for Performing Static Ice Shed Tests 31
10	Comparison of Computed With Heasured Guide Wavelength for TE <sub>1</sub> Mode
11	Comparison of Computed and Measured Guide Wavelength TM <sub>C</sub> Mode
12	Comparison of Theoretical With Measured External Eigenvalue, TM <sub>O</sub> Mode
13	Comparison of Theoretical With Measured Internal Eigenvalue, TM <sub>O</sub> Mode
14	Comparison of Theoretical With Measured External Eigenvalue, TE <sub>l</sub> Mode
15	Comparison of Theoretical With Measured Internal Eigenvalue, TE <sub>l</sub> Mode
<b>!</b> 6	Attenuation as a Function of Distance Above Surface

Ē

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>r</u>	rage
1	7 Attenuation as a Function of Distance Above Surface
1	8 TE <sub>1</sub> Mode Test Model No. 1
1	9 TM <sub>O</sub> Mode Test Model No. 1
2	0 Test Equipment for Measuring Surface Waveguide Performance - Low Power Configuration for Bench or Cold Chamber 50
2	1 Experimental Set-Up for Measuring Surface Waveguide Performance
2	2 Experimental Set-Up for Measuring Surface Waveguide Performance
2	Coupling Performance (a) Measured Coupling Efficiency Versus Frequency 55 (b) Voltage Standing Wave Ratio Versus Frequency for TE <sub>1</sub> Mode, Test Model No. 1, $d_1 = 1.6^{"}$ , $\epsilon_1 = 2.255$
2	4 Coupling Efficiency Versus Frequency, TM <sub>O</sub> Mode 56
2	5 Comparison of Theoretical Values of Coupling Efficiency With Measured Values
2	6 Measured Tuning Characteristics of Resonant TM <sub>O</sub> Mode Waveguide as a Function of Ice Thickness 67
Ż	7 Measured Tuning Characteristics of Resonant TE <sub>1</sub> Mode Surface Waveguide as a Function of Ice Thickness
2	Power Density at 22 GHz for the TE <sub>1</sub> Mode for Dielectric Slabs and Image Lines
2	Power Denisty at 22 GHz for the TM <sub>0</sub> Modes for Dielectric Slabs and Image Lines
3	0 Mean lime Between Unscheduled Maintenance (MTBUM) Versus Percent Exposure to Sand and Rain Erosion 81

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

L'and grade as the mariles " all which and the second states and

souther the state of the second

N.S.

<u>Figur</u>	<u>'e</u>	Page
C-1	Artists Conception of Whirling Arm Test Stand	123
C-2	Schematic Diagram Whirling Arm Test Stand	124
C-3	Perspective View UH-1 Tail Rotor Equipped With Microwave Deicer Boot and Wave Launcher	125
C-4	Crossection - Deicer Boot and Wave Launcher	126
E-1	The Dependence of the Efficiency (n), Cavity Losses (P <sub>c</sub> ) and Gryotron Output Power P on the Beam Current I in Continuous Mode of Operation: U <sub>c</sub> ≈ 19 kV	132
E-2	Outline Drawing of Soviet Gyrotron Prototype	132

日本を見たすねとしたためののにはないたが、ためにおいたのかでした。ないためになったたたかであるとないたが、

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Measured and Scaled Shed Performance Data	18
2	Measured Loss Tangents of Various Samples of Ice (Model 1 75 <sub>1</sub> Mode)	64
3	Helicopter Power Drain 400 Watts Per Blade • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	83
4	Time to Shed Ice Layer (Measured at Midspan Stagnation Point) Teflon Model, Ice Density = 0.9, Computed From eq B - 14 and 17 Reference 1 (lower ice density will result in shorter shed time)	. 84
5	System Incremental Weight and Cost	. 86

## <u>SECTION 1</u> STATIC ICE SHED TESTS

### PHYSICAL REMOVAL OF ICE BY MICROWAVE DEICERS

the stability of the state of the

The physical removal of laboratory-grown ice from test articles equipped with experimental microwave ice protection devices was performed under various ambient temperatures and with various ice densities and compusitions.

Test data are summarized in Figure 1, representing data from 70 tests at an ambient temperature of -20 degrees centigrade. Complete test data are given in Appendix A. Experiments were performed on scale model surface waveguides propagating the Transverse Electric (TE) and Transverse Magnetic (TM) modes,\*designed for operation at a frequency of 2.45 GHz. These models represent scaled up versions of models for operation at 5.85 and 22 GHz.

Ice samples were approximately 3 inches in length and had a weight coupled mechanically to simulate the centrigugal force encountered by a 3-inch element of ice at approximately 100 inches from the rotor hub as shown in Figure 9. The wave launched into the surface waveguide first passed through the ice sample, which extracted a small fraction of the energy from the passing wave, sufficient to cause it to shed. Then, the energy remaining in the wave continued down the surface waveguide to be dissipated in the electrical load terminating it and simulating the remaining ice on the rotor blade. The amount of power extracted by the ice sample is dependent upon lossiness or the median loss tangent of the ice (tan  $\delta$ ).

\*Modes are defined in Appendix A Reference 1



1

2 . . . . . . .

Ŀ Ξ.



Shed Time Versus Power In Surface Wave, -17°C <  $T_{\infty}$  < -20°C, 2.45 GHz Figure l.

360

Tests were performed on ice of the following compositions which may be considered to encompass the full range of tan  $\delta$  to be expected in natural icing.

- Salt water ice, 0.6% concentration, density 0.9 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>
- 2. Salt water ice, 0.3% concentration, density 0.9  $\text{gm/cm}^3$
- 3. Salt water ice, 0.15% concentration, density 0.9  $\text{gm/cm/}^3$
- 4. Tap water ice, density 0.9  $gm/cm^3$
- 5. Tap water ice, dens'+y 0.75 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>
- 6. Distilled water ice, density 0.9  $gm/cm^3$
- 7. Distilled water ice, density 0.75  $gm/cm^3$
- 8. CRREL<sup>\*</sup> snow, dansity 0.35 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>
- 9. CRREL<sup>\*</sup> snow, density 0.25 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>

### DISCUSSION OF ICE SHED DATA

- Halbin Britshy. Diracheshi Alarin di marabartika oran si unangan ti binan menangan na menangka kana dara dara

The data of Figure 1 demonstrate the shedding of ice elements from 2.45 GHz scale model surface waveguide deicers. The first and most obvious trend noted is the hyperbolic shape of the shed-time curves, that were fit to the data, of the form:

 $p_{s}t_{s} = k_{\varepsilon}$ (1) where:  $t_{s}$  = Shed time (sec)  $p_{s}$  = Power in the surface wave, (watts)  $k_{\varepsilon}$  = Energy, (watt-sec)

Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL)

High-loss ice samples, such as that with 0.6% salt, shed rapidly; whereas low-loss samples, such as distilled water ice, took longer and required more power to shed. The shed time of the low-loss ice was reduced considerably by the use of a lossier third layer (Figure 6): such as a polyurethane erosion strip. Values of  $k_{\varepsilon}$  for different ice samples are presented in Table 1.

The power in the surface wave,  $p_s$ , is much larger than the power dissipated in the ice sample; most of the energy in the wave passes through the ice and is dissipated in the load terminating the guide (as evidenced by the sharp rise in temperature of the termination). The energy that must be dissipated in the ice sample to cause it to shed is determined from:

$$p_{d}t_{s} = \frac{mc\Delta T}{0.2389} = k_{s}$$
 (2)

where:

p<sub>d</sub> = Power dissipated in the ice sample (watts)
t<sub>s</sub> = Shed time (sec)
m = Mass of ice sample (gms)
c = Specific heat of ice

 $\Delta T$  = Temperature rise required to shed (°C)

k<sub>c</sub> = Energy required to shed (watt-sec)

The ratio of Equation 1 to Equation 2 establishes the ratio of the power in the surface wave,  $p_s$ , to the power dissipated in the ice sample,  $p_d$ , since the shed times cancel.

$$\frac{p_d t_s}{p_s t_s} = \frac{mc\Delta T}{k_{\epsilon}} \quad (0.2389)$$

$$\frac{p_d}{p_s} = \frac{mc\Delta\tilde{i}}{k_e(0.2389)}$$
(3)

)

where: 0.2389 is the factor required to convert mc $\Delta$ T in calories to watt-sec. Values of  $p_d/p_s$  computed from Equation 3 are presented in Table 1.

Knowing the power lost in the ice sample and the power input, the attenuation constant,  $\alpha$ , in db/in can be computed and is presented in Table 1 for each of the ice sample types used in the test.

### Extension of Shed Data to 22 GHz

Figures 2 and 3 show curves of the theoretically computed attenuation constant for the TE<sub>1</sub> mode as a function of ice thickness for operating frequencies of 2.45 and 22 GHz respectively. Computations are based upon techniques presented in Appendix A of Reference 1 which are well recognized techniques for computation of the attenuation constant of waveguides as substantiated by the experimental work of Cohn, Reference 2 and 3, King and Schlesinger Reference 4 and 5 and the standard textbooks - Ramo and

4 D.D. King and S.P. Schlesinger, "Losses in Dielectric Image Lines," <u>IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and</u> <u>Techniques</u>, January 1957.

or

<sup>2</sup> M. Cohn, "Propagation in Dielectric-Loaded Parallel Plane Waveguide," <u>IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory</u> <u>and Techniques</u>, pp. 202-208, April 1959.

<sup>3</sup> M. Cohn, "TE Modes of Dielectric Loaded Trough Line," <u>IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques</u>, pp. 449-454, July 1960

TABLE 1. MEASURED AND SCALED SHED PERFORMANCE DATA

Not on Factor Station

a torne

ļ

1

í

ŧ

		2.45 GHz Measured Data			FROM FIGURE 2	FROM TABLE 2	22 GHz SCALED DATA		
SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION	k <sub>c</sub> watt- sec v103	P <sub>d</sub> /P <sub>s</sub>	a db/in	tanó -	tanó -	k' walt- sec x10 <sup>3</sup>	P 1/P 5 d'-	∝' db∕in
•	TE <sub>1</sub> MODE 0.6% SALT ICE 2 LAYER MODEL	7	.094	. 143	. 15	.095	0.663	.995	7.8
٥	THO MODE 0.6% SALT ICE 2 LAYER MODEL	18.9	.035	.051	NA	NA	NA	NA	<b>N</b> .1
0	TE <sub>1</sub> HODE DISTILLED ICE 2 LAYER MODEL	162.5	.0041	.0059	.004	.007	3.25	.203	.32
Δ	TE 1 HODE TAP ICE 2 LAYER MODEL	74.3	.009	.0129	.013	.015	1.625	.406	.75
•	TE <sub>1</sub> MODE DISTILLED ICE - 3 LAYERS POLYURETHANE EROSION STRIP	36	.018	.@67	.015	NA	. 325	.799	2.3
٥	TE <sub>1</sub> MODE TAP ICE - 3 LAYERS MYLAR RESISTIVE STRIP	10	.066	.0988	. 10	NA	. 575	.977	5.4



2

Figure 2. Attenuation Constant Versus Ice Thickness TE, Mode, 2.45 GHz,  $\epsilon_1 = 2.1$ 

ないため、ためになっていたのである。



Ş

- M

5

ž

246

7.

de la constante

100

 1.600

Figure 3. Attenuation Constant Versus Ice Thickness for TE, Mode, 22 GHz,  $\epsilon_1 = 2.2$ 

Whinnery Reference 7 and R. Collin Reference 6. The attenuation constants that were measured at a frequency of 2.45 GHz for the various ice samples are plotted on Figure 2. The loss tangents for each ice sample can be read off of Figure 2 and are presented in Table 1. (This method of establishing tan  $\delta$  yields measurements that agree with measurements made separately in Section 4, which are also presented in Table 1). Using these values of tan  $\delta$ and ice samples of the same thicknesses as those used in the 2.45 GHz tests, points on Figure 3 for 22 GHz can be plotted which correspond to the points plotted in Figure 2 for 2.45 GHz, permitting the attenuation constant  $\alpha$ ,  $p_d/p_s$ and  $k_{\rm c}$  to be determined at 22 GHz (these are denoted by  $\alpha'_{F} p_{d}'/p_{\chi}'$  and  $k_{E}'$ ). Having determined  $k_{E}'$  the curves of shed time versus surface wave power,  $p_s' = t_s'$  can be established for 22 GHz and are plotted in Figure 4. Figure 4 snows a significant reduction in shed time at 22 GHz for the same surface wave power and ice samples. This reduction in shed time obviates the need for three layer models to enhance lossiness. Three-layer models may still be required, however, to improve sand and rain erosion.

5 S.P. Schlesinger and D.D. King, "Dielectric Image Lines," <u>IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and</u> <u>Techniques</u>, July 1958.

- 6 R. Collin, <u>Field Theory of Guided Waves</u>, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1960.
- 7 Ramo, Whinnery and Van Duzer, <u>Fields and Waves in</u> <u>Communications Electronics</u>, New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1965.



Ì

Figure 4.

Martin Martin Martin Construction

VALUE CANAS TA

13.1

At 22 GHz, improved performance is obtained primarily by the higher concentration of energy that can be achieved in the ice layer and the consequently higher attenuation constant. A comparison of  $TE_1$  mode power density at 2.45 GHz (where all data was taken) with that at 22 GHz is presented in Figure 5 for the same incident power and the same ice layer. The area under each curve represents the incident power (power launched into wave guide) and is the same for all curves. Figure 5 illustrates that the power density :, in the ice layer at 22 GHz is approximately nine times that achieved at 2.45 GHz. This is the reason for the order of magnitude increase in the attenuation constants illustrated by Figures 2 and 3. Figure 5 also illustrates the rapid increase in power density as a function of ice thickness and the migraticn of the peak power density into the ice layer. This shows up as a rapid increase of the attenuction constant as a function of ice thickness illustrated in Figure 3.

#### MULTILAYER MODEL SURFACE WAVEGUIDE

or you all the set of the set of

Static ice shed tests were run on a variety of two-layer and three-layer surface waveguide models These model types are shown in Figure 6. (Tests 50 to 59, 78 to 112 and 127 to 149 are three-layer tests, remaining tests were all two-layer.) The third layer was introduced to provide enhanced sand and rain erosion resistance and increased lossiness to peed up the shedding of lowloss ice. It is visualized that surface waveguide deicers or anti-icers may be constructed from two or three layers or combinations thereof.

Tests were performed on two types of lossy third layers:



9.99



ALL PHILLIPPIC



Þ

こうできるというないでいたいであるいう

ちちつんん こうちゃんちょう

そうちの花

Survey and the second

Figure 6. Two- and Three-Layer Models

になったいないというというというと

SLAP COLO

anterest and and a second

S. S. A. Martin Links

- Polyurethane erosion strips, 0.025-in. thick, which also show promise of high sand and rain erosion resistance.
- 2) Resistive-strip loss layers whose loss characteristics could be readily adjusted for experimental purposes to establish the effect: of the variable loss on ice shed characteristics, these strips would be embedded below dielectric erosion strips for protection from sand and rain erosion.

### Polyurethane Third Layers Tests

Test on three-layer surface waveguide models using 0.025-in. polyurethane erosion strips were conducted, (tests 140 to 149, Appendix A), the results of these tests are summarized in Figure 1 at  $-2^{-1}$ C, illustrating shed time as a function of surface wave power for distilled water ice. The polyurethane erosion strips came with their own adhesive. However, the adhesive proved to be far more lossy at 2.45 GHz than the polyurethane itself and began to melt during the initial tests (tests 140 to 143, Appendix A). The data of Figure 1 for polyurethane erosion strips were obtained with the adhesive removed and the polyurethane taped in place (tests 144 and 149). Thus for microwave deicer service, a low-loss adhesive must be used or the polyurethane erosion coat must be applied as a liquid or a paint.

### Variable-Resistivity Third Layer Tests

Third layer tests were run utilizing strips of variable resistivity. Variable resistivity strips, when used under a thin, low-loss erosion strip, can be used to control the surface guide's attenuation constant, offering the possibility of tailoring the attenuation constant to any desirable value. These strips are available commercially in a wide variety of standard resistivities, ranging from 25 ohms per square to 1000 ohms per square. Each consists of a vapor-deposited, molecular-thin pure-metal film on a 5-mil MYLAR (Polyethylene) or Kapton substrate. The metal film can be deposited directly underneath a polyethylene or polyurythane erosion strip to control the attenuation constant of the deicer as a function of blade radius.

Tests 127 to 139 were performed utilizing 300-ohm-persquare mylar strips in which attenuation constants 0.08 to 0.07 db/inch were obtained. These tapes were held in place by ten-mil low-loss tape and the ice samples on top. Exceptionally clean and rapid ice shedding (tests 127 to 139, Appendix A) were obtained and results were summarized in Figure 1, where they are compared to the polyurythane shedding tests.

# COMPARISON OF THE SHEDDING EFFECTIVENESS OF THE TE<sub>1</sub> AND THE TM<sub>0</sub> Modes

Figure 1 shows shed time data for the  $TE_1$  and the  $TM_0$  modes (for identical 0.6% salt samples) that shows that the  $TE_1$  mode is more effective since it takes less time to shed for the same incident power. These data further support theoretical predictions of shedding effectiveness based upon the calculated values of the attenuation constants for the  $TE_1$  and the  $TM_0$  modes. The  $TE_1$  mode attenuation is always larger than the  $TM_0$  mode, as illustrated in Figure 7.

The  $TM_0$  mode is of practical interest, however, since it allows use of thinner surface waveguides than will the TE, mode at the same operating frequencies. Even though

L'ALAN MANALESCAN

ためたいとうなどのないため、目的にたいとうないないというない。

ALL BRIDE AND ALL STREET AND



1.



the TE<sub>1</sub> mode is more effective, the TM<sub>0</sub> mode still produces clean shedding, and in situations where waveguide thickness becomes the limiting consideration, the TM<sub>0</sub> mode might be considered for deicing purposes.

### SHEDDING TIME AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE

While helicopter specifications require deicer performance down to an ambient temperature of -20°C the aerriyanmic heating of the blade will cause its temperature to be higher.

Shed data illustrated in Figure 1 was taken at blade temperatures between  $-17^{\circ}$ C and  $-20^{\circ}$ C. The effects of increased blade temperature due to aerodynamic heating or any other reason are illustrated in Figure 8, which was plotted from data obtained in tests 78 to 112, Appendix A. As shown, shed time is drastically reduced for the same incident power as the ambient temperature is increased, or alternately, the power required is reduced for the same shed time.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

ne of the second of the second sec

Figure 9 is a schematic of the setup for performing the ice shed tests. Figure 9 also shows the ice loading to simulate various centrifugal force fields. It became clear early in the tests that this method of simulating the centrifugal force field was only satisfactory for small ice elements, not exceeding about 3 inches in length and 2 inches in width. This limited most of the tests to samples not exceeding 3 inches.

When longer samples were used, those portions of the ice closest to the wave launcher (which are the first



Service Solution

いるないないないないというないと

がいていたちかかなかがったいと

ALC: NO. 1

The set of the set of

がらなどのないないためでいたとうというと

Figure 8. Effect of Blade Temperature on Shed Time



Sector Secto

Ser. 1 . 1. 2. ....

<u>بر جو</u>



to be subjected to microwave heating and the first to be elevated to the shed temperature) were not removed from the surface waveguide by the simulated centrifugal load because this load was held in place by ice elements further down the waveguide that had not yet reached their shed temperature, thus preventing the shed force from traveling to the early elements of ice. This obviously is a poor simulation of conditions on a real rotor blade where all elements of the ice are simultaneously subjected to the centrifugal shear force.

Two types of microwave loads were used to terminate the surface waveguide: a totally reflecting plate and a reflectionless load. The force from the ice loading mechanism was transferred to the ice sample through the microwave termination (which was free to slide) and a dielectric pusher placed between the termination and the ice sample, as shown in Figure 9. The dielectric pusher, having approximately the same thickness and dielectric properties as the ice sample, is also a simulation of an additional length of the ice. The reflectionless load was replaced in some tests by the totally reflecting plate, which reflects essentially all energy, giving the wave an opportunity to make two passes through the ice sample. Under these conditions, the only thing supporting the weight of the loading mechanism was the adhesion strength of the bond between the ice and the surface waveguide. When this bond is broken by microwave energy the weight is free to fail, indicating that the ice has shed. The shed time was then measured as the time between the application of microwave energy and the instant that the weight fell.

The weight simulating the centrifugal force field was adjusted to be equivalent to that encountered by a similar ice sample at approximately 100 inches from the rotor hub. The simulated centrifugal forces used are tabulated in Appendix A.

The low power reflectionless load used for all low power tests consisted of a long taper (10-inches) of very lossy dielectric material which was placed on top of the surface waveguide and absorbed all incident microwave power in the surface wave, converting it to heat. For high power shed tests a water load was constructed which consisted of a long (10-inch) tapered block of low-loss polyethelene into which was drilled two intersecting round channels forming a long V, with the point of the V facing in the direction of the incident surface wave. Tap water was allowed to flow continuously through the V shaped channel absorbing most of the microwave energy in the surface wave, converting it to heat which was then carried off by the water flow.
# SECTION 2 VERIFICATION OF SURFACE WAVEGUIDE THEORY

-767 T.F

The theory of surface waveguides for use in microwave ice protection and significant parameters describing their performance were presented in the feasibility analysis. Reference 1. The performance parameters are listed below:

1.	Guide Wavelength	λa
2.	Phase Constant	β
3.	Attenuation Constant	α
4.	External Eigenvalue	K <sub>x</sub>
5.	Internal Eigenvalue	k <sub>x</sub>

Techniques for their prediction and measurement, given the surface waveguide dimensions and the operating frequency, were established in the feasibility analysis, Reference 1, Appendix A. In the present program, these parameters were measured and compared to theoretical values, for the purpose of verifying the theory. A summary of all measured and theoretical values of the parameters listed above appear in Figures 10 through 17. These data clearly verify theoretical values.

The significance of these comparisons is that surface waves, propagating in either the  $TE_1$  o the  $TM_0$ mode, behave essentially in the manner predicted in the feasibility analysis and that surface waves can be guided by dielectric materials such as ultra high molecular weight polyethelene (UHMWPE), that have been demonstrated to also

# THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE FROM COPY FURMISHED TO DDC

1



Figure 10. Comparison of Computed With Measured Guide Wavelength for TE<sub>1</sub> Mode



1977 IV

A STATE AND A PARTY A

Life inv

いたのであると、人生な

Figure 11. Comparison of Computed and Measured Guide Wavelength, TM<sub>O</sub> Mode



FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICARI

Figure 12. Comparison of Theoretical With Measured External Eigenvalue, TM<sub>O</sub> Mode



A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC

and the state of the

Figure 13. Comparison of Theoretical With Measured Internal Eigenvalue, TM<sub>O</sub> Mode



にいいの目にし

こので、なたい」というないのでものでものできたのであるというのであると

のないをおんたいというないとうとう

States and the second states of the

Figure 14. Comparison of Theoretical With Measured External Eigenvalue, TE<sub>1</sub> Mode



mustres to the states

Figure 15. Comparison of Theoretical With Measured Internal Eigenvalue, TE<sub>1</sub> Mode





Figure 17. Attenuation as a Function of Distance Above Surface

offer high resistances to sand and rain erosion (Reference 8). It has been demonstrated that microwave energy exists in the air region immediately adjacent to the rotor blade and in the dielectric coating (surface waveguide) lining the blade, in a wave that propagates along the length of the blade. The power density being carried by the wave, as a function of distance above the blade, is numerically established by substituting the internal and external eignvalues,  $k_y$  and  $K_x$  (Figures 12 to 15), into equations A-72,74-88 and 90 of Reference 1. From this it can be seen that power density in the air region decays exponentially with distance from the surface.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Experiments were carried out on six scale-model surface wavequides in the 2- to 4-GHz band. Three of the models of varying thicknesses were used to verify the performance of the  $TE_1$  mode (Figure 18), while the remaining three were used to verify performance of the  ${\rm TM}_{\rm O}$  mode (Figure 19). After verification of the theory, these same models were used in the static ice shed tests discussed in Section 1. The theory presented in Reference 1, can be used to predict surface waveguide performance at any frequency; however, three frequencies were identified in Reference 1 for use in microwave ice protection. These are 2.45 GHz, 5.85 GHz, and 22.125 GHz. Measurements in this program were carried out in the 2 to 4 GHz bands. While

Head, Robert E., Erosion Protection for the AH-1G Low Radar Cross-Section Main Rotor Blade, Volume I - Sand Rain Erosion Evaluation, Hughes Helicopters, USAAMRDL-TR-76-40A, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia, January 1977, AD A035961.





the experimental surface waveguides are dimensioned for operation and measurement in the 2 to 4 GHz band, they also represent scale models for the same devices at 5.85 and 22.125 GHz, scaled in proportion to wavelength using the following scaling equations:

 $\frac{d}{\lambda} = \frac{d'}{\lambda'}$ (4)

where:

d = Physical dimension of test article on which measurements are performed

- $\lambda$  = Measurement wavelength
- d' = Design dimension
- $\lambda^{\prime}$  = Design wavelength

Equation 4 may be written for convenience as

$$\frac{d}{d^{+}} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{+}} = \frac{f^{+}}{f^{-}}$$
(5)

where:

f = Measurement frequency

f' = Design frequency

Using these equations the following dimensional ratios were established:

Measurement Frequency MHz	2450	2450	2450
Design Frequency MHz	2450	585C	22,125
Scaling Ratio <mark>d</mark> , =	1.00	2.388	9.031

This means that a 1-inch dimension at 2.45 GHz is equivalent to a (0.418) -inch dimension at 5.85 GHz and (0.111 -inch dimension at 22.125 GHz, (in any direction).

Thus, the actual test articles, operated at 2.45 GHz, appear much thicker than their true size at the design frequency.

Equivalent thicknesses at the scaled frequencies are given below:

## EQUIVALENT SURFACE WAVEGUIDE THICKNESSES FOR THE TM<sub>O</sub> MODE WITH MEASUREMENTS PERFORMED AT 2.45 GHz

THICKNESS AT MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY 2.45 GHz	EQUIVALENT THICKNESS AT DESIGN FREQUENCY 5.85 GHz	EQUIVALENT THICKNESS AT DESIGN FREQUENCY 22.125 GHz
<u>(in.)</u>	<u>(in.)</u>	<u>(in.)</u>
0.1	0.041 0.0837	0.0111 0.0221
0.4	0.1670	0.0442
1.0	0.418	0.1110

The TE<sub>1</sub> mode must have a minimum thickness surface guide to permit propagation. This cutoff thickness is established from Equation 6 and is further explained in Appendix A, Reference 1.

fc	-	Nc	(6)
		$2(2d)\sqrt{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon}$	

f<sub>c</sub> = cutoff frequency

where:  $N = 0, 2, 4, 6, \ldots$  for even modes

N = 1, 3, 5, 7, ... for odd modes



Surface guides of various thicknesses were fabricated for the  $TE_1$  mode. Equivalent thicknesses at the scaled frequencies are given below.

## EQUIVALENT SURFACE WAVEGUIDE THICKNESSES FOR THE TE<sub>1</sub> MODE WITH

MEASUREMENTS PERFORMED AT 2.45 GHz  $\varepsilon_1$  = 2 2 (UHMWPE)

THICKNESS AT MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY 2.45 GHz inches	EQUIVALENT THICKNESS AT DESIGN FREQUENCY 5.85 GHz inches	EQUIVALENT THICKNESS AT DESIGN FREQUENCY 22.125 GHz inches
1.099 1.100 1.200 1.400 1.600 2.000	.4605 <sup>*</sup> .4606 .503 .586 .670 .8375	.1217 <sup>*</sup> .122 .133 .155 .177 .2214

cutoff thickness

A schematic of the experimental setup used for measuring surface waveguide performance is shown in Figure 20. Photographs of the test set up are presented in Figures 21 and 22.

The x-z positioning mechanism (Figure 20) was specially designed and fabricated for this program. It permitted probing the electric field intensity in the air region immediately adjacent to the surface waveguide. It is used to measure all significant performance parameters required.

The test equipment was all commercially available equipment capable of operating in the 2-to 4-GHz band.

# Measurement of External Eigenvalue, $K_{\chi}$

Eigenvalues were measured by the technique outlined in Reference 1, Appendix D, Figure D-2. The electric field intensity was measured as a function of distance x above the surface waveguide with the x-z positioning mechanism and probe. Graphs of these measurements in decibels relative to the intensity value near the surface are shown in Figures 16 and 17 for the  $TE_1$  and the  $TM_0$  modes respectively. These graphs should be straight lines (indicating exponential decay), the slopes of which are the desired eigenvalues.

For the  $TE_1$  mode attenuation is linear with only a minor periodic error as shown in Figure 17. The  $TM_0$  mode produces a much larger periodic error from a straight line, but nevertheless, the linear trend is evident as shown in Figure 16. The periodic errors are due to interference patterns created between the desired mode and the undesired radiation component of the wave launcher. The externa! fields of the  $TM_0$  mode are weaker than the corresponding fields for the  $TE_1$  mode so that the unwanted interferring field has a relatively larger effect. Models used in the measurements were only 30 inches long. Consequent<sup>1</sup>, measurement could only be made in the vicinity of the launcher. The interferring effects of the radiated components will



1997 N 1998

2. 12

₹.•^

and the second second

と見たいたいかした

1-

Performance - Low-Power Configuration for Bench or Cold Test Equipment for Measuring Surface Waveguide Cliamber Figure 20.

;

and a provide the second s



יינים ובנים ונות המידים המידים המידים היינים ובין היינים וביות היינים ביות היינים היינים וביות היינים היינים ה

CAUSED IN CONTRACTOR



and the second second second second second

a service service a service ser



decrease if longer models are used.

The straight lines of Figures 16 and 17 were established using the linear least-square method of approximation and were used to determine the external eigenvalues  $(K_x)$ .

align an bearw

The external eigenvalues were also determined indirectly by computing them from measured values of guide wavelength  $(\lambda_q)$ .

HELSEA SCALLE

## SECTION 3 WAVE LAUNCHERS

The goal of this effort was to achieve wave launcher (coupling) efficiency necessary to demonstrate the microwave iceprotection concept. Coupling efficiencies as low as 25% would have been sufficient for this purpose. During this effort peak coupling efficiency was measured to be 68%, as illustrated in Figures 23 and 24.

Ccupling techniques were studied in detail during the feasibility analysis. Two techniques emerged which appeared particularly attractive because of their simplicity and high coupling efficiency. These are the methods of Cohn, Reference 9, for the  $TE_1$  mode and DuHamel and Duncan, Reference 10, for the  $TM_0$  mode.

The design of the breadboard model  $TE_1$  mode coupler is based upon experiments performed by Cohn, Reference 9, and is illustrated in Figure 18. The breadboard  $TM_0$  mode launcher is based upon experiments performed by DuHamel and Duncan, Reference 10, and is illustrated in Figure 19. No attempt was ade to optimize the coupling efficiency in this program; however, the relative ease in obtaining high coupling efficiency without a major effort lends confidence to the ability to design couplers with efficiencies in excess of 90%, as claimed by Cohn for the  $TE_1$  mode.

If the measured data is plotted on Cohns theoretical coupling curves, Figure 25, it can be seen that while the shape of the curve is roughly in agreement with theory the magnitude of peak coupling falls short of maximum coupling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Cohn, Cassedy and Kott, "TE Mode Excitation on Dielectric Loaded Parallel Plane and Trough Waveguides," <u>IRE Transactions on Micro-</u> wave Theory and Techniques, pp. 545-552, September 1960.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> DuHamel, R.H. and Duncan, J.W., "Launching Efficiency of Wires and Slots for a Dielectric Rod Waveguide," <u>IRE Transactions of Microwave</u> <u>Theory and Techniques</u>, July 1958.



Y .....

1

Chinese Chine

CAURT CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR



(b) Voltage Standing Wave Ratio Versus Frequency for TE, Mode, Test Model No. 1,  $d_1 = 1.6$ ",  $\epsilon_1 = 2.2$ 

Figure 23. Coupling Performance



----

Figure 24. Coupling Efficiency Versus Frequency,  $TM_0$  Mode





Five coupling techniques were identified in Reference 1, from which only the two described here were tried in this program. Other promising techniques, such as that of Reference 11, can be used to couple to the surface waveguide from underneath the dielectric without disturbing the critical surfaces of the rotor. The technique of Reference 11 could be used to launch the surface wave from the tip or any intermediate point along the blade and could simplify the possibility of zoning the rotor blade in a manner similar to conventional electro-thermal devices, should this prove necessary.

115

### MEASUREMENT OF THE COUPLING EFFICIENCY OF THE TE, MODE

The coupling efficiency of this mode was measured with two techniques: direct measurement with high power and indirectly with the techniques of Cohn, Reference 9, at low power. Both measurements are included in Figure 23a.

In Cohn's measurement, the following equations were used with a reflecting plate terminating the surface waveguide:

$$n = \frac{(r_{max} - 1)(r_{min} - 1)}{(r_{max}r_{min} - 1)}$$
(7)

where =

「日本シンホークションションション」の時になった。

r<sub>max</sub> = Maximum voltage standing wave ratio
r<sub>min</sub> = Minimum voltage standing wave ratio
n = Coupling efficiency

The frequency of operation was varied as a means of changing the electrical length of the surface guide so that the voltage standing wave ratio (vswr) would cycle through its maximum and minimum values. This was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Frost, A.D., McGeoch, C.R., and Mingins, C.R., "The Excitation of Surface Waveguides" and Radiating Slots by Strip-Circuit Transmission Lines," <u>IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques</u>, October 1956.

done in lieu of varying the physical length of the line at a single frequency, which is impractical with dielectric lines, requiring that the dielectric be successively, physically cut.

The vswr ( $r_{max}$  and  $r_{min}$ ) was actually determined by measuring minimum and maximum return losses and plotting these versus frequency, as illustrated in Figure 23a. The maximum and minimum return losses are then converted to maximum and minimum vswr with the following equation:

$$r = \frac{1 + [r]}{1 - [r]} = \frac{1 + [\log^{-1} \frac{R_{L}}{10}]^{1/2}}{1 - [\log^{-1} \frac{R_{L}}{10}]^{1/2}}$$
(8)

where:

Γ = Reflection coefficient
 R<sub>1</sub> = Return loss

= vswr

The above procedure is valid provided that, when the surface guide is terminated in a reflectionless load, there is no mismatch at the input to the wave launcher. To insure this condition, the input vswr to the launcher was measured as a function of frequency, with the line terminated, and the data is plotted in Figure 23b, where it can be seen that the mismatch is less than 1.05 over most of the frequency band and no greater than 1.2. Actually, Equation 7 will give conservative estimates of launching efficiency. The true coupling efficiency is higher than given by Equation 7 and is given by Equation 9:

$$n_{\text{true}} = \frac{n_{\text{measured}}}{r_{\text{s}}}$$
(9)

where  $\Gamma_s$  = reflection coefficient of the reflecting plate.

If the reflecting plate is totally reflecting,  $\Gamma_s = 1$  $n_{true} = n_{measured}$ . If the reflecting plate is less than totally reflecting, which is very likely in this case,  $\Gamma_s < 1$  and  $n_{true} > n_{measured}$ .  $r_{\rm s}$  cannot be readily measured practically so that  $n_{\rm measured}$  must be considered only a lower bound, the true coupling efficiency being somewhat higher.

Although outside the scope of this program a direct measurement of the coupling efficiency was attempted to establish a rough order of magnitude correlation of coupling efficiency. This measurement was made possible by the availability of the high-power source at 2.45 GHz and a water load consisting of 3 rectangular polyethylene tubs placed end to end and terminating the waveguide, each containing 50 gms of water. The temperature rise of the water in each tub due to the absorbtion of energy in the surface wave, which was applied for 15 seconds, was measured. Data for an incident wave of 600 and 1200 watts is recorded below.

Tub Water Mass gms	Water		P <sub>in</sub> = 600 watts		P <sub>in</sub> = 1200 watts		
	Mass gms	∆T °C	Power Absorbed Watts	Pr = Power Reflected Watts	∆T °C	Power Absorbed Watts	Pr = Power Reflected Watts
1 2 3	50 50 .50	17.5 2.8 1.3	244.17 39.06 18.13	20	36.1 4.2 3.5	503.69 58.60 48.83	40
Total Power P <sub>a</sub> = 301.3			301.3			611.12	
Efficiency $= \frac{P_a}{P_{in} - P_{in}} = 5$			51.9%			52.6%	

This measurement is also considered conservative. Coupling efficiency would be greater than measured because many sources of power loss exist with this method.

### MEASUREMENT OF THE COUPLING EFFICIENCY OF THE TM, MODE

The measured coupling efficiency for the  $TM_0$  mode is presented in Figure 24 as a function of frequency. Peak coupling efficiency, achieved at 2.7 GHz, is about 66%. Measurements were made by measuring the return loss,  $R_1$ , first with a termination on the surface guide and then with a short circuit. The launcher used was a lossy, four-terminal network with a one-way loss equal to one-half the measured return loss when the surface guide is terminated in a short circuit. The coupling efficiency in decibels is given by:

$$n = \frac{1}{2} R_{L}$$
(11)

In order to achieve the measured coupling efficiency, launcher reflections were reduced by using a coaxial double-slug tuner while the surface guide was terminated with a reflectionless tapered termination.

# SECTION 4 LOSS TANGENT OF ROTOR ICE

The loss properties of rotor ice (glaze, rime, and any other form) are characterized electrically by their "loss tangent" (tan  $\varepsilon$ ), for the purposes of establishing the effectiveness of the microwave ice protection concept.\* A knowledge of the loss tangent permits the prediction of the performances of surface waveguide deicers in terms of attenuation constant (power dissipation per unit length of rotor blade), total power requirements and time to shed through the use of analytical techniques established in Reference 1.

As pointed out in Reference 1, the greatest unknown at the present time is loss tangent of ice that would form on rotor blades. Although the effects of various factors are not known, loss tangent may vary over a wide range depending upon:

1) Environment

i kai Perseva

- (a) Sea (salt content)
- (b) Land
- (c) Altitude
- 2) Unfrozen or free water content
- 3) Microwave frequency
- 4) Liquid water content of the icing cloud
- 5) Droplet diameter
- 6) Ambient temperature
- 7) Rate of freezing
- 8) Ice crystal structure
- 9) Surface Cleanliness
- 10) Hydrocarbon content (engine exhaust)
- 11) Air Content of ice
- 12) Other impurities

<sup>\*</sup> A definition of the loss tangent was presented in the feasibility analysis, Reference 1, as being the ratio of the real to the imaginary part of the complex dielectric constant of the ice.

There is a sufficient number of variables that the loss tangent of rotor ice should be treated as a statistical variable and be expressed by its median value; (median tan  $\delta$ ). For this reason, a wide enough range of ice composition was chosen for testing here and in the static ice shed tests, Section 1, to fully encompass the range of loss tangents to be encountered in natural icing. The extreme values of salt water ice and distilled water ice are assumed to be the worst conditions, and the rationale for their choices was that if these samples could be shed in the laboratory, then median values could be shed in a natural icing environment.

Contraction and the second second

1

It is expected that the most significant variable of those listed above is the "free water content of the ice", because the ice of interest to helicopter deicirg is in the growth stage and there must exist an ice-water interface during ice growth. (Reference 12)

The second most significant variable is expected to be sait (NaCl) content. Salt water ice exhibits a cellular structure consisting of evenly spaced ice cells, the salt being present in liquid inclusions trapped between the cells (Reference 12).

It is generally accepted also that the loss tangent of pure ice increases with frequency in the microwave band, and therefore, an increase in modian tan  $\delta$  of rotor ice is expected in going to higher operating frequencies. This trend is evident in Figure 22 of Reference 1.

#### LOSS TANGENT MEASUREMENTS

1

Using techniques described below, the values of loss tangent for various samples of ice were measured and are presented in Table 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Lofgren, Gary and Weeks, W.F., "Effect of Growth Parameters on Substructure Spacing in NaCl Ice Crystals", <u>Journal of Glaciology</u>, Vol. 8 No. 52, 1969.

TYPE         DATE         THANSMITTER         T.         TEC DEMINSIONS         MELTING         TANSMITTER           TYPE         DATE         TMICKNESS         POMER         TANSMITTER         TANSMITTER         TAN         TAN           Z/7/77         3/16         478         Z/777         3/16         478         Z/777         3/16         2000         -7         3         14 × 45 × 7.6         130         0.055         0.059         0.09           Z/777         3/18         2700         -71         2000         -11.0         445 × 7.6         30         0.055         0.056         0.055         0.055 <t< th=""></t<>
TYPE         DATE         TRANSNITTER         T.         TEC         THE         TO         TO         TAN         TO         TO <thto< th=""> <thto< th=""> <thto< th=""></thto<></thto<></thto<>
TYPE         THLCKRES         TRANSHITTER         T.         ICE         THL
TYPE         Inicxness         TRANSHITTER         T.         ICE         MELTING           TYPE         DATE         Inicxness         POMER         (°C)         ICE         (em)         Nicclose           2/4/77         3/16         476         2000         -7.3         7/14         4.45         7.6         10           2/1/77         3/16         476         2000         -11.0         (.45 × 7.6         10           2/1/77         3/16         476         2000         -11.0         (.45 × 7.6         10           2/1/77         3/16         476         2000         -10.2         2.714         4.45 × 7.6         30           2/1/77         3/16         476         2000         -10.2         2.74 ± 5 × 7.6         30           2/17.17         3/16         2000         10.2         24         4.45 × 7.6         30           2/17.1         3/16         2000         10.2         24         4.45 × 7.6         30           2/11         2/28/77         3/18         2000         10.2         24         4.45 × 7.6         30           2/11         2/16/77         3/14         400         -8.4         4.5 × 7.6         30<
TYPE         THICKRESS         TRANSHITER         T.         ICC
Type         THICKNESS         TRANSMITTER         T.         ICC
TYPE         THICKNESS         TRANSMITTER         T. $2/4/77$ $9/32$ $7/14$ $2000$ $7.3$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $2000$ $-7.3$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $2000$ $-11.0$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $2000$ $-11.0$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $2000$ $-11.0$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $2000$ $-11.0$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $2000$ $-11.0$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $2000$ $-11.0$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $2000$ $-10.6$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $2000$ $-10.0$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $400$ $-9.6$ $2/8/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $400$ $-9.6$ $2/7/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $400$ $-9.6$ $2/8/77$ $3/16$ $476$ $400$ </td
TYPE     DATE     In Cm     TRANSHITTER       TYPE     DATE     in     Cm     (MATS)       2/4/77     3/16     476     2000       2/7/77     3/16     476     2000       2/7/77     3/16     476     2000       2/7/77     3/16     476     2000       2/7/77     3/16     476     2000       2/7/77     3/16     476     2000       2/7/77     3/16     476     2000       2/7/77     3/16     476     2000       2/7/77     3/16     476     2000       2/7/77     3/16     476     400       2/7/77     3/16     476     400       2/8/77     3/16     476     400       2/8/77     3/16     476     400       2/8/77     3/16     476     400       2/8/77     3/16     476     400       2/8/77     3/16     476     400       2/8/77     3/16     476     400       2/8/77     3/16     476     400       2/8/77     3/16     476     400       2/8/77     3/16     2/10/77     200       2/8/77     3/16     2/10/77     200
TYPE DATE IN CMESS TYPE DATE IN Cm 2/4/77 9/32 714 2/7/77 9/32 714 2/7/77 9/32 714 2/7/77 9/32 238 2/7/77 3/16 476 2/7/77 3/16 476 2/8/77 3/16 2/8 714 2/10/77 3/16 2/8 714 2/10/77 3/16 2/8 714 2/10/77 3/16 2/8 3/16 476 2/10/77 3/16 2/8 2/10/77 2/10/77 2/14 2/10/77 2/16 2/10/77 2/14 2/10/77 2/14 2/14 2/10/77 2/14 2/14 2/10/77 2/14 2/1
TYPE DATE IN TYPE DATE IN 2/4/77 9/32 2/4/77 9/32 2/7/77 9/32 2/7/77 9/32 2/7/77 9/32 2/1/77 9/32 2/1/77 9/32 SALT/LITER 2/8/77 9/32 SALT/LITER 2/8/77 9/32 SALT/LITER 2/8/77 9/32 SALT/LITER 2/8/77 9/32 SALT/LITER 2/8/77 3/16 SALT/LITER 2/8/77 3/16 SALT/C SALT/C SALT
TYPE DATE 2/4/77 2/1/77 2/1/77 2/1/77 2/1/77 SALT/LITER 2/8/77 SALT/LITER 2/8/77 SALT/LITER 2/8/77 SALT/LITER 2/8/77 AV (CE DIS 2/10/77 AV (CE DIS 2/10/77 3.3.3 3.3.3
TYPE SALT/LITER SALT/LITER SALT/LITER
CCR 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

e,	
;; .,	
Thicknee	
Various	
for	
Parameter	
Gunde	
Surface	

<b></b> ;				
	(E)	4.064	4.064	4.064
	(in)	1.6	1.6	1.6
k k	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	. 5135	.5135	.5135
k, ا	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	.7616	. 7616	. 7616
××	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	. 2815	3053	.3263
k,	(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	. 4855	.4724	. 4581
	(cm <sup>-</sup> )	.5856	.5974	.6048
CKNESS	( cm)	.238	. 476	.714
ICE THI	(ul)	3/32	3/16	4/32

Because of the nature of rotor ice and variations in composition with time and temperature, it would be difficult, if not impossible, to simulate it in microwave test fixtures commonly used in the microwave industry for the measurement of the loss tangent of materials. A more meaningful approach would be to assess the effects of simulated rotor ice on actual test surface waveguides under a variety of icing environments.

Consider and the second states and

Harriston"

This latter approach was taken in this effort and two different measurement techniques were attempted. The first method, based upon Reference 13, did not prove successful and was abandones. This method relied on the perturbation of the resonant frequency of a resonant surface waveguide by the introduction of the ice sample on top of it. Attempts to perform this experiment indicate that the introduction of the ice sample into the resonant surface waveguide coupled small amounts of energy to the surrounding environment as well as dissipating energy in the sample. Since energy was lost in the surrounding environment as well as in the ice, computations of tan  $\delta$  of the ice based on losses yielded unreasonably high values and this technique was abandoned as unreliable. The second method described below proved more successful and yieldeu reasonable values of tan  $\delta$  which are listed in Table 2.

Basically the measurement concept is simple. In the feasibility analysis, Reference 1, analytical techniques had been established that could predict the time required to raise the temperature of a given ice sample from ambient to 0°C, the loss tangent could be computed by solving the equations for loss tangent in terms of time needed to raise the temperature to  $0^{\circ}C$ . Mathematical details are presented in Appendix B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Rueggebert, Werner, "Determination of Complex Permittivity of Arbitrarily Dimensioned Dielectric Modules at Microwave Frequencies", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory & Techniques, Vol. MTT-19, No. 6, pp. 517-521, June 1971.

## SECTION 5 ICE DETECTORS

The detection of the presence of ice on a surface waveguide is possible because of the change in the reflection coefficient (or return loss) of the short-circuited surface waveguide. Utilizing the TM<sub>0</sub> mode at 2.45 GHz at low power (surface waveguide length = 30 inches), the following data was recorded:

<u>Ice Thickness (in)</u>	<u>Return Loss db</u>	<u> </u>	
0	-4.5	0.596	
1/8	-6.0	0.501	
1/4	-8.0	0.398	

Observation of the reflected power during the high-power shed tests indicated that reflected power would cycle drastically between minimum and maximum values just prior to shedding. This was used throughout the shed tests as an indication of imminent ice sh\_lding.

It was also observed that when the surface waveguide was made resonant, by intentionally mismatching the input wave launch r, the presence of small quantities of ice would make significant changes in the resonant frequency of the surface waveguide as illustrated in measurements taken on the TM<sub>0</sub> and TE, mode surface waveguide, Figures 26 and 27.

These measurements can be formalized into microwave ice detectors capable of measuring:

- Ice Presence
- Ice Thickness
- Ice Accretion Rate

These detectors would be nonmechanical, have no movino narts, and conform to the concour of the surface being monitored. Readouts would be essentially instantaneous. A more detailed description of the technology of these detectors is presented in Appendix D.





ų



Measured Tuning Characteristics of Resonant TE $_{\rm I}$  Mode Surface Waveguide as a Function of Ice Thickness Figure 27.

#### SECTION 6

State States Sugar States

### PASSIVE COUNTERMEASURES AND IMPACT ON COMPOSITE BLADES

It is shown in this section that the vulnerability of Army helicopters due to possible enemy detection of microwave deicer leakage radiation is negligible. The vulnerability due to detection by radar, infrared and acoustic radiation is very high. The helicopter is a radar, infrared and acoustic target 100% of the time, in all kinds of weather and climate. Such equipment, presently in the enemy inventory in large numbers, will not require special development, has a high utilization factor against all kinds of airborne weapons not just helicopters, has a long range detection capability, is highly sophisticated, yields a high probability of detection and kill.

By comparison, specialized reconnaissance equipment developed to detect microwave deicer leakage radiation, not now in existence, would be utilized only a small fraction of the time during weather conditions conducive to ice formation and then only against helicopters. Even if this equipment were developed and deployed by the enemy, calculations (see below) show that it would have a range of no more than about 7 miles when liquid water content of the cloud was  $1 \text{ gm/m}^3$ but drops to less than about 3 miles when the liquid water content of the icing cloud is  $2 \text{ gm/m}^3$ . The enemy would then have to procure this equipment in sufficient quantity to deploy at least one unit to every 5 square miles of battle area and be prepared to maintain it over long periods of non-use, summer, and winter when there is no possibility of ice formation.

Additionally as shown below, the use of microwave deicer on helicopters will permit the reduction in vulnerability to enemy radar by reduction in rotor blade radar cross-section. This reduction in radar vulnerability far outweighs the negligible increase in vulnerability due to leakage radiation.
At ranges of about 5 miles probability of detection by the unaided ear, radar, infrared and visual sighting is far greater than the probability of detection of deicer leakage radiation. The low countermeasure pay-off of equipment designed specifically to detect microwave deicer leakage radiation when compared to the high pay-off of radar, infrared and acoustics makes it extremely unlikely that such countermeasure equipment will appear in the enemy inventory.

# RADAR REFLECTIVITY

The use of composite rotor blades offers reduced radar reflectivity because they are constructed without any (or a minimum of) metallic components. This advantage is lost, however, if conventional rctor blade deicers are required that incorporate metallic wires or mesh just below the surface of the leading edge. The microwave deicer offers the possibility of constructing rotor blade deicers completely out of nonmetallic materials that will not deteriorate the radar reflectivity of composite blades.

Techniques for accomplishing this were briefly discussed in the feasibility analysis, Reference 1. The experimental emphasis in the present program was placed primarily on demonstrating the microwave ice protection concept and experimental devices utilized metallic ground planes representing the metallic rotor blade. However, the ground plane is not basic to the propagation of surface waves: these waves can propagate in dielectric slabs, and the microwave ice protection concept, verified in this program, can be readily extended to dielectric-slab waveguides. The general theory of surface waveguides (including dielectric slabs) was presented in Reference 1.

Figure 28 shows how the power density varies in the dielectric slabs and the surface waveguide used in our experiments. The significant thing is that the dielectric slabs, Figure 28b, require twice the thickness of dielectric as required by the surface waveguide,



a she was a second a

1.8.84

50

大はいちのないというと、またののないという

なるいではなった

いたいとうないないであるとうからなるというない

Stole Carto

49.44.1.24.6.4.3

restation and an interest in the o

and the second

Sec. 6.4

Figure 28. Power Density at 22 GHz for the TE<sub>1</sub> Mode for Dielectric Slabs and Image Lines (ordinate in watts/inch<sup>2</sup> abscissa in inches)

Figure 28a. For  $TE_1$  modes, this an be reduced to one-third by the use of higher dielectric constant materials, such as alumina,  $\epsilon \approx 9$ , Figure 28c and d. More significant perhaps is that, if the dielectric thickness becomes the limiting consideration, the  $TM_0$  mode may be resorted to which offer thinner dielectrics at perhaps some penalty in shedding performance. Here also, as illustrated in Figure 29, the use of higher dielectric constant materials will also reduce the slab thickness significantly.

### D. TECTABILITY

at the all the state of the second lines

# Specific Attenuation Effects of Atmospheric Constituants

The principal attenuating medium in the atmosphere for the microwave region is:

- 1) Gaseous media (oxygen and water vapor)
- 2) Rainfall
- 3) Water cloud
- 4) Frozen phases of water

The effects of the gaseous media (oxygen and water vapor) were discussed in the feasibility analysis, Reference 1. Attenuation peaks around the 22-GHz absorption line of water molecules. Water vapor absorption exceeds molecular oxygen absorption. A graph of attenuation due to oxygen and water vapor was presented in the feasibility analysis, Figure 71, Reference 1.

Additional protection is provided by attenuation due to the liquid water content of the icing cloud. Clouds containing high liquid water content, supercooled water or rain (not discussed in Reference 1) produces the highest level of microwave attenuation. Clouds containing high levels of supercooled liquid water content are the primary cause of rotor blade icing and therefore it is expected that any leakage radiation of the microwave deicer will be heavily attenuated. Values



ALL AND SEC

and the second data with the state of the second second second second second second second second second second

A SALADIN

このようにあった

14.19

24

at ward to be the state of the

3

and the state of the state

Cont A With State

i

Ň

Figure 29. Power Density at 22 GHz for the TM<sub>O</sub> Modes for Dielectric Slabs and Image Lines (ordinate in watts/inch<sup>2</sup> abscissa in inches)

of attenuation constant due to liquid wate: content may be established from the following measurements at  $18^{\circ}$ C made by Gunn and East, Reference 14 and Medhurst, Reference 15 for rainfall.

Frequency (GHz) Attenuation Constant  $\alpha_r$  (db/km)

9.35 $0.0074 \ R^{1.31}$ 16.7 $0.045 \ R^{1.14}$ 24.2 $0.12 \ R^{1.05}$ 33.3 $0.22 \ R^{1.0}$ 

The attenuation constant is represented in general form by:

the second part of the second s

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{aR}^{\mathbf{D}} \tag{12}$$

where a and b are constants which can be obtained from the above table and R is the rain rate in mm/hr. The liquid water content (LWC) is related to the rain rate R by the following equation:

$$LWC = AR^{B}$$
(13)

which is commonly used in radar meteorology. Marshall and Palmer (Reference 16) as reported by Werner (Reference 17) give A = .09 and B = .84 for freezing rain and drizzle. Using these values the total

- <sup>16</sup> Marshall, J. S. and Palmer, W., THE DISTRIBUTION OF RAINDROPS WITH SIZE, <u>J. Meteor</u>, 1948, pp. 165 - 166.
- Werner, J.B., The Development of an Advanced Anti-Icing/Deicing Capability for U.J. Army Helicopters, Volume I - Design Criteria and Technology Considerations, Lockheed-California Company, USAAMRDL-TR-75-34A, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia, November 1975, AD A019044

<sup>14</sup> Gunn, L.L.S., and T.W.R. East: The Microwave Properties of Precipitation Particles, Quart, J. Roy, Meteorol. Soc., Vol. 80, pp. 522-545, October-December 1954.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Medhurst, R.G., "Rainfall Attenuation of Centimeter Waves: Comparison of Theory and Measurement". IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation - March 1965.

				TOTAL ATTENUATION AT					
LWC	R	<sup>a</sup> r	2.5 mi	5 mi	10 mi				
gms/m <sup>3</sup>	∭ր / ւ	db/km	db	db	db				
.132		.098	0.35	0.7	1.5				
.915	Ī.	1.167	4.50	9	18.7				
1.970	25	3.129	12.50	25	50.3				
3.537	50	6.59	26.50	53	10%				
6.332	100	13.906	56.00	112	223				
8,900	150	21.51	86.50	173	346				

attenuation at 2.5 mi, 5 mi and 10 mi due to liquid water have been computed as a function of liquid water content and rain rate.

These values of attenuation will be that realized over and above that caused by gaseous water vaper discussed in Reference 1 and above.

## Detectable Range

The maximum range at which the microwave deicer leakage radiation can be detected by an enemy reconnaissance receiver is established by calculating the range at which the received signal to noise ratio approaches 0 db. (This assumes that a scan search and target aquisition has been successfully accomplished and the target is within the beam of the reconnaissance receiver) This calculation requires the assumption of performance parameters of a reconnaissance receiver that the enemy can reasonably be expected to deploy in a battlefield environment. This receiver must be truck transportable, reasonably light weight and moderate in size, antenna diameter no greater than about 3 feet, noise temperature approximately 2500°K at 22 GHz, band width no greater than about 200 MHz.

If the microwave deicer leakage radiation is assumed to be no more than 100 watts (coupling efficiency greater than 90%) the

signal to noise ratio as a function of range between the reconnaissance receiver and helicopter can be computed by the following equation:

$$\frac{S}{N} = C_{t} + G_{t} - L + G_{r} - k - T - B - L_{s}$$
(14)

where

NATIONAL STREET, STREE

JALEN CHARLEN STREET STREET STREET

の正にない、わらいというためしたいたいにないたち

C <sub>t</sub>	=	leakage radiation	=	20	dbv	۷
Gt	=	transmitter gain, isotropic	=	0	db	
Gr	=	reconnaissance receiver gain	=	35	đb	
k	÷	boltzman constant	=	228	3.6	db
T	=	receiver moise temperature				
		= 2500 <sup>°</sup> K	=	34	db	
В	=	receiver band width 200 MHz	=	83	db	
L	=	path loss = $L_f + L_w + L_v$				
Lf	=	free space loss				
L	=	loss due to liquid water				
L	8	loss due to water vapor				
Ls	=	loss in power due to sweeping deicer generator				
		± 500 MHz	=	7 (	db	

The signal to noise ratio as a function of range computed with the above equation is given in the following table where the maximum range (if acquired) is between 3 to 7 mi depending on the LWC.

Range	L <sub>f</sub>	٤v	Lw	Ľw	S N	S N
			$L'wc = \frac{1 gm}{m^3}$	Lwc =. <u>2 gm</u> m <sup>3</sup>	$Lwc = \frac{1 \text{ gm}}{\text{m}^3}$	LWC = <u>2 gm</u> m <sup>3</sup>
mi	db	db	db	db	db	db
2.5	131.8	1	4.5	12.5	21.2	13.2
5.0	137.4	2	9	25	10.2	-5.8
10.0	143.4	4	18.7	50.3	-7.5	-39.1
20.0	149.4	8	18.7	50.3	-17.5	-49.1
40.0	155.4	16	18.7	50.3	-31.5	-63.1

The beam width of a 3-foot-diameter antenna at 22 GHz is on the order of  $1^{\circ}$  making it very difficult for the enemy of scan search, acquire, lock-on, launch and guide a missile at the intermittant leakage radiation of the microwave deicer that must fall within the 3 to 7 mile range. By comparison, as mentioned above, as a radar target the helicopter is on 100% of the time providing a high probability o. aquisition, lock-on, launch and guidance of the missile to the target at ranges up to 80 miles.

# SECTION 7 RELIABILITY AND MAINTAINABILITY STUDIES

In Reference 8 samples of ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE), polyurethane and a section of the OH-6A helicopter hard-anodized rotor blade were subjected to the same sand and rain erosion tests, and the relative resistance to erosion of each material was experimentally established. With the known mean time between unscheduled maintainance (MTBUM) for the OH-6A aluminum blade, based upon field measurements, the MTBUMs of blades using UHMWPE and polyurethane were established.

Equations for the MTBUM, developed in Reference 8, are as follows:

	MTBUM =	$\frac{LUV}{XY} \left[ \frac{P(Y-X) + X}{P(V-U) + U} \right]$	(13)
where:	MTBUM	= Mean time between unscheduled maintenance	
	L	= MTBUM of the OH-6A blade based on field data	
	X	<pre>= OH-6A blade test time before failure in SAND environment</pre>	
	Y	= OH-6A blade test time before failure in <u>CAIN</u> environment	
	U	= Elastomeric material test time before failure <u>SAND</u> environment	in
	۷	= Elastomeric material test time before failure <u>RAIN</u> environment	in
	P*	= Percentage of time in sand environment	
	p=(1-P)*	= Percentage of time in rain environment	

Ę

It is assumed that the rotor flies in clear air most of the time, with no erosion taking place, and the remainder is spent in either a sand or a rain environment. P is the fractional portion of the remainder spent in a sand environment, whereas l-P=p is the percentage spent in a rain environment.

It is clear that the erosion experienced is a function of the speed of the rotor and the angle of impingment of the sand or rain particles. The leading edge at the tip of a blade is subject to the most severe erosion due to its speed whereas areas of the rotor near the root are in a much less severe erosion environment.

As °eference 8 points out, while "an all-metal blade, such as that of the OH-6A, would be scrapped at the end of its MTBUM erosion period, the use of elastomeric erosion strips would allow the basic blade to be used indefinitely by stripping off the worn-out material and replacing it with new". It might further be added that, since the tip is the area that erodes most rapidly, the best erosion strips could be applied in areas near the tip while poorer (but good enough) erosion strips might be used on areas nearer the root providing the MTBUM of all the erosion material is not reduced.

With this concept it becomes possible to think of rotor blades incorporating two materials for the erosion strip: highly erosion-resistant material, such as polyurethane, for areas near the tip and a slightly poorer erosion-resistent material, such as UHMWPE, for all other areas. The MTBUM of such a compound erosion strip is established with Equation 13, by adding a term describing the effects of speed or rotor radius. In accordance with Reference 8, the MTBUM varies inversely with the square of the blade speed (i.e., erosion increases as the square of the speed) so that Equation (s) will appear as follows:

$$MTBUM = \frac{LUV}{X\overline{Y}} \begin{bmatrix} P(Y-X) + X \\ F(V-L) + U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S(r_t) \\ S(r) \end{bmatrix}^2$$
14)

$$= \frac{LUv}{XY} \begin{bmatrix} P(Y-X) + X \\ P(V-U) + U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r \end{bmatrix}^{t}$$

where:

S(r) = Speed of erosion strip which is a function of r $<math>S(r_t) = Speed of erosion strip at tip r = r_t = tip radius$ r = -r Radius of blade at segment of erosion = trip The MTBUMs of the tip and at 0.75 span for UHMWPE and polyurethane have been plotted in Figure 30 (corresponding to Figure 40 in Reference 8 for the tip only). The significant thing is that at anoroximately 0.75 span the MTBUM of UHMWPE is the same as the MTBUM of polyurethane used at the tip, so the use of UHMPE put to about 0.7 span and polyurethane from 0.7 to the tip will not deteriorate the MTBUM of the blade.

One of the <u>possible</u> failure modes of the microwave deicer is erosion of the surface waveguide by sand and rain. This analysis shows that compound surface waveguides composed of UHMWPE out to about 70. span fortified by a layer of polyurethane near the tip will go a long way in reducing this mode of failure and essentially increase the life of the microwave deicer.

A COURT ALL ALLAND A COURT & ALLAND A REAL ALLAND AND ALLAND A ALLAND A ALLAND A ALLAND A ALLAND A ALLAND A ALL



1.23

1

1

A ANAL

Figure 30. Mean Time Between Unscheduled Maintenance (MTBUM) Versus Percent Exposure to Sand and Rain \_rosion

# SECTION 8 POWER, WEIGHT, COST

# SYSTEM POWER

The power drain and shed times for each helicopter studied is presented in Table 3 for ambient temperatures of  $15^{\circ}$ C and  $-20^{\circ}$ C. The model used, described in Reference 1, is conservative yielding calculated shed times greater than actual shed times (surface wave power versus shed time was established from Table 4a and b). It should be recognized that power tube efficiency will improve with time (as discussed below) so the power drain for efficiencies of 30, 45, and 60% have been included in Table 3. Current, mature 2.45-GHz tubes have efficiencies in excess of 60% while current 22-GHz tubes offer only a maximum of 30%. Tubes being developed in the laboratory now have achieved 10 Kw 43% efficiency at 35 GHz, with theoretical predictions as high as 70% (see Appendix E).

# Tube Technology - 22 GHz

As of 1977, the extended interaction oscillator (EIO) offers 1.2-Kw continuous wave (cw) power at 22 GHz with efficiencies between 20 and 30%. Higher efficiency is reasonable to expect in the future.

On the horizon, to be available in 2 to 3 years, is the Gy, stron, a new type of tube capable of extremely high continuous wave power and high efficiency. This tube stems from a mature technology developed in the Soviet Union within the last decade, where tubes like this are available today. The Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) has an outstanding contract to develop a 200-Kw continuous wave Gyrotron at 28 GHz with a maximum efficiency of 44%. The projected efficiency of this type of tube is as high as 70%. The internal structure of the Gyrotron is simpler than the EIO, which leads the developers to believe that the tube will eventually be less expensive than the EIO and provide the modest 1.2 Kw at the high efficiency required. (See Appendix E). TABLE 3. HELICOPTER POWER DRAIN 400 WATTS PER BLADE (CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE)

19.24 ... W

The second of

	НЕГІСОЬТЕЯ РОМЕВ ВЕQUIRED	LENCY OF 80% REQUIREDTION REQUIRED/TUBE	HELICOPTER 1 Kw TUBES	POWE	ER DRAIN PER (COPTER		TIME TO SH ICE (MEASU MIDSPAN ST POINT)	ED 0.250 in RED AT AGNATION
	JATOT 839	POWER AT C EFFICI	РЕЯ I ИО ОF	1UBE 30%	EFFICIEN 45%	60%	AMBIENT TE -20 <sup>0</sup> C	MPERATURE -15°C
<u> </u>	Kw	Kw		Κw	ΨX	Kw	sec	sec
	0.8	1.0	~	3.3	2.2	1.6	59.6 69.1 27	29.1 33.75 13.20
1	1.6	2.0	5	6.6	4.4	3.3	90.5 87.6 63.1	44.2 42.8 30.9
1	۰ 4	3.0	ю	10	6.6	5.0	69.1	33.75

-

375-58

ŝ

TABLE 4.	Time to Shed Ice Layer (Measured at Mid pan
	Stagnation Point) Teflon Model, Ice Density = 0.9,
	Computed From eq B - 14 and 17 Reference 1 (lower
	ice density will result in shorter shed time)

HEL ICOPTER	100 watts	200 watts	400 watts	800 watts	1600 watts
	sec	sec	sec	sec	sec
UH-1	116.42	58.21	29.1	14.5	7.27
AH-1	135.0	67.5	33.75	16.87	8.43
CH-47	136.0	67.5	33.75	16.87	8.43
0H-58	52.86	26.4	13.2	6.6	3.30
UTTAS	176.9	88.45	44.2	22.1	11.0
ААН	171.13	85.6	42.8	21.4	10.7
ASH	123,40	61.7	30.9	15.4	7.7

PEAK	POWER	AVAILABLE	Τ_	*	-15°C
------	-------	-----------	----	---	-------

Note: Entries are time to shed (sec)

# PEAK POWER AVAILABLE $T_{s} = -20^{\circ}C$

HELICOPTER	100 watts	200 watts	400 watts	800 waits	1600 watts
	sec	sec	sec	sec	sec
UH-1	238.3	119.1	59.6	29.8	14.9
AH1	276.4	138.2	69.1	34.5	17.3
CH-47	276.4	138.2	69.1	34.5	17.3
OH-58	108.2	54.1	27.0	13.5	6.8
UTTAS	362.2	181.1	90.5	45.3	22.6
A# H	350.3	175.1	87.6	43.8	21.9
ASH	252.6	126.3	63.1	31.6	15.8

Note: Entries are time to shed (sec)

À.

WARDA COM

й,

### Technology for 2.45- and 5.85-GHz Tubes

The technology for 2.45- and 5.85-GHz tubes, studied in Reference 1, is very mature, stemming primarily from World War II. Power out of 2.5 kw cw tubes is common with efficiencies up to 70%.

#### SYSTEM WEIGHT

The estimated system weight for each helicopter studied is presented in Table 5. The significant weight is the "incremental weight" which is the weight added to the helicopter by the inclusion of the microwave deicer.

Many of the devices making up the microwave deicer are constructed from materials and shapes similar to those used in the helicopter structure itself and can, by careful design, be integrated into the rtructure with only minimal increase in weight or loss of mechanica integrity of the original structure. Take for example, the rotor shaft and the feeder waveguide. The feeder waveguide is a hollow circularmetallic cylinder concentric with the rotor shaft. By careful design, it might be possible to construct combination shaft/feeders with no or only a minimal increase in weight. Similarly and more important, the deicer boot, constructed from dielectric materials, polyurethane, and polyethelene, may provide a net decrease in weight of nrw blades by eliminating the metallic erosion shield required for electro-thermal deicers and maintaining an all composite blade.

## WEIGHT OF TUBE AND POWER SUPPLY

The major contributors to the system's weight are the power tube and its power supply. For the most part, these devices cannot be readily integrated into the helicopter structure. However, it should be recognized that tube efficiencies will improve with time, and tube and power supply weights will reduce accordingly. The power tube and the power supply weights used in Table 5 represent absolute weights of these devices (not incrementa!) assuming 30% efficiency of

				UH-1 AH-1 OK-58			UT TAS AAH ASH			CH-47	
			REQ'D	COST	INCRE - MENTAL MEIGHT	REQ'D	COST	MENTAL WEIGHT	REQ'D	COST	INCRE- MENTAL WEIGHT
			NO.	\$	lbs	ю.	s	lbs	NO.	s	lbs
	BOOT		2	400	0	4	800	0	6	1200	0
	LAUNCH	ER	2	200	2	4	400	4	6	600	6
ROTCR	POWER DISTR	DIVIDER &	1	3000	4	1	3000	4	2	6000	8
AIN F	FEEDER		1	600	3	1	600	3	2	1200	6
Σ	ROTARY	JOINT	1	650	2	1	600	2	2	1300	4
	BOOT		2	100	0	4	400	0	0	0	0
TOR	LAUNCH	ER	2	209	2	4	400	4	0	0	0
IL RO	ROTARY	JOINT	1	650	2	1	650	2	U	0	0
TA	FEEDER		1	600	2	1	600	2	0	0	0
	SWITCH		ì	100	1	1	100	1	0	0	0
PMENT	CONTRO	L PANEL	1	400	4	1	400	4	1	400	4
EQUI	TUBE P	OWER SUPPLY	1	900	17	1	1350	34	1	1800	51
COMMON	POWER TUBE	2.45 GHz 5.85 GHz 22 GHz	1	1500 1500 4500	4.5 18 18	2	3000 3000 9000	9 36 36	3	¢500 4500 13500	13.5 54 54
TOT	ALS	2.45 GHz 5.85 GHz 22 GHz		\$9.3K \$9.3K \$12.3K	43.5 57 57		12.3K 12.3K 18.35K	69 96 96		\$17K \$17K \$17K \$17K	\$3 133 133

# TABLE 5. SYSTEM INCREMENTAL WEIGHT AND COST

1.1.23

ŝ

4.65.57

ξ.

ί.,

N.S.

۲

26.53

anžszr

and the second second

die and

the tube. A rise in efficiency to 45% or 60% will produce a 25 to 50% drop in tube and power supply weight.

# SYSTEM COST

いたいとうないたいになった。たいとこうななというななどになったいないではないです。

System cost estimates for each helicopter type at 2.45, 5.85 and 22 GHz are presented in Table 5. The most significant cost differentials are between 22-GHz tubes and 5.85- and 2.45-GHz tubes. Cost estimates for the tube and power supply made in Reference 1 are used here.

# SECTION 9

# CONCI.USIONS

- Surface waveguide deicers can be used to shed ice when operating at 2.45 GHz.
- Shed performance is improved by operating deicers at 22 GHz.
- Analytical techniques for predicting surface waveguide performance have been verified experimentally.
- Maximum launch efficity of 68% was obtained experimentally.
- Launch efficiencies in excess of 90% appear reasonable in optimized launchers.
- Dielectric loss properties of simulated rotor ice were measured.

- Cost, weight and power estimates of various helicopter types are presented.
- A compound surface waveguide composed of ultra high molecular weight polyethylene out to about 75% span fortified with a layer of polyurethane at the tip will increase the life of the microwave deicer.
- The vulnerability of Army helicopters due to possible detection of microwave deicer leakage radiation is negligible.
- Microwave deicers permit construction of rotor blades completely out of nonmetallic materials thereby reducing its radar cross-section.
- At an operating frequency of 22 GHz leakage radiation is attenuated significantly by the liquid water content of the icing cloud and water vapor absorption.
- Monitoring the power reflected from the microwave deicer boot provides an inherent means of detecting ice formation.
- Techniques for measuring ice thickness and ice accretion rate using resonant surface waveguides have been experimentally demonstrated. These devices are nonmechanical, have no moving parts, are essentially instantaneous and conform to the contour of the surface being monitored.

# SECTION 10

### RECOMMENDATIONS

- A comprehensive ongoing program should be initiated to develop microwave ice protection technology.
- Equip a UH-1 tail rotor with a microwave deicer. Install it on a whirlstand and test its performance in an icing tunnel. (See Appendix C).

こうちょうちょう ちょうかんないないちょうちょうちょう かっていちょう ちょうちょう

「とうとないろう」とない、とうちゃうななないというないのでもともとないいろいとしていたい

্রা বর্ষা ধরতে এরের মহাবাদের বিধ্যায় বিশ্বামির্বারী বিশ্বায়িক এবং প্রথমিত ব্যক্তি বিশ্বাসি বিশ্বাসি বিদ্যালয় এবং দেশ বিদ্যালয় বি

- Develop a prototype system for the UH-1 helicopter and extend to other belicopters later.
- Research high-power, high-efficiency, low-weight microwave tubes specifically designed for microwave deicer service.
- Research dielectric materials further to find low-loss, high-dielectric constant materials with high resistances to sand and rain erosion for deicer service.
- Optimize retor blade wave launchers that can be used at the tip of the blade or any intermediate point.
- Optimize radar cross-section reduction techniques for microwave deicers.
- Optimize wave launcher efficiency.

A STATISTICS AND A STAT

になったいではないできたのであっていた。

Develop microwave ice detection technology (See Appendix D).

<b>FI21 OF 21WROF2</b>
------------------------

No.

いた大学が名の外になどの言語で

ie Generation

12.10

		LIST OF SYMBOLS	UNITS
с	=	Specific heat	cal/gm - °C
dı	=	Thickness of surface waveguide	Cm
d <sub>2</sub>	=	Thickness of ice layer	CD
f	=	Cut off frequency	Hz
k	=	Energy	watt-sec
ĸ	=	Energy required to shed the ice sample	watt-sec
k	=	Internal eigenvalue	rad/cm
к <sub>x</sub>	=	External eigenvalue	rad/cm
L	=	MTBUM of the OH-6A blade based on field data	Hrs
MTBUM	=	Mean time between unscheduled maintenance	Hrs
m	=	Mass of ice sample	gms
Р	=	Percentage of time in sand environment	•
p=(1-P)	)=	Percentage of time in rain environment	•
Pd	=	Power dissipated in the ice sample	watts
Ps	=	Power in surface wave	watts
r	=	Voltage standing wave ratio	numeric
r <sub>i</sub>	=	Radius of blade at segment of erosion strip	СМ
RL	Ξ	Return loss	db
S(r)	=	Speed of erosion strip which is a function of r	cm/sec
t_=	=	Shed time	sec
U	=	Elastomeric material test time before failure in <u>SAND</u> environment	Hrs
۷	=	Elastomeric material test time before failure in <u>RAIN</u> environment	Hrs
Y	=	OH-6A blade test time before fialure in RAIN environment	Hrs
ß	=	Propogation constant	rad/cm
φ	=	Water flow rate	cc/sec
°i		Relative dielectric constant of material i	numeric
Г.	=	Reflection coefficient	numeric
j	=	√ <u>-1</u>	

AFTER THE STATE AND COMPANY

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

A CANA

ALC: NO

è

# UNITS

η	= Launch efficiency	numeric
ρ	= Power density	watts/cm <sup>2</sup>
۵T	= Temperature rise	°C
λg	= Guide wavelength	CM

# REFERENCES

- Magenheim, Bertram and Hains, Frank, Feasibility Analysis for a Microwave Deicer for Helicopter Rotor Blades, Mechanics Research Inc., USAAMRDL-TR-76-18, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia, May 1977.
- 2. M. Cohn, "Propagation in Di lectric-Loaded Parallel Plane Waveguide, "IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, pp. 202-208, April 1959.
- M. Cohn, "TE Modes of Dielectric Loaded Trough Line," <u>IRE</u> <u>Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques</u>, pp. 449-454, July 1960.

- D.D. King and S.P. Schlesinger, "Losses in Dielectric Image Lines, "IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, January 1967.
- 5. S.P. Schlesinger and D.D. King, "Dielectric Image Lines, "IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, July 1968.
- 6. R. Collin, Field Theory of Guided Waves, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1960.
- 7. Ramo, Whinnery and Van Duzer, <u>Fields and Waves in Communications</u> Electronics, New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1965.
- Head, Robert E., Erosion Protection for the AH-1G Low Radar Cross-Section Main Rotor Blade, Volume I - Sand Rair Erosion Evaluation. Hughes Helicopters, USAAMRDL-TR-76-40A, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, V<sup>2</sup> minia, January 1977, AD A035961.
- 9. Cohn, Cassedy and Kott, "TE Mode Excitation on Dielectric Loaded Parallel Plane and Trough Waveguides, "IRE Transactions and Microwave Theory and Techniques, pp. 545-552, September 1960.
- DuHamel, R.H. and Duncan, J.W., "Launching Efficiency of Wires and Slots for a Dielectric Rod Waveguide, "IRE Transactions of Microwave Theory and Techniques, July 1958.

 Frost, A.D. McGeoch, C.R., and Mingins, C.R., "The Excitation of Surface Waveguides and Radiating Slogs by Strip-Circuit Transmission Lines, "IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, October 1956.

## REFERENCES

A STATE OF A

High the state of the second state of the seco

- Lofgren, Gary and Weeks, W.F., "Effect of Growth Parameters on Sub-Structure Spacing in NaCl Ice Crystals", Journal of Glaciology, Vol. 8, No. 52, 1969.
- Rueggebert, Werner, 'Determination of Complex Permittivity of Arbitrarily Dimensioned Dielectric Modules at Microwave Frequencies, "IEEE Transactions or Microwave Theory & Techniques, Vol. MTT-19, No. 6, pp. 517-521, June 1971.

-----

CALLER STREET STREET, SALES STREET

- Gunn, L.L.S., and T.W.R., East: The Microwave Properties of Percipitation Particles, Quart, J. Roy, Meteorol. Soc. 101, 199 pp. 522-545, October-December 1954.
- Medhurst, R.G., "Rainfall Attenuation of Centimeter Waves Comparison of Theory and Measurement". IEEE Transactions in Antennas and Propagation - March 1965.
- Marshall, J.S., and Palmer, W., THE DISTRIBUTION OF KAINDROPS WITH SIZE, J. Meteor., 1948, pp. 165-166.
- THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ADVANCED ANTI-ICING DELLING TAFALL ITY FOR U.S. ARMY HELICOPTERS, Volume 1 - Design initiation and Technology Considerations, J.B. Werner - November 1975. SAAMPUL-TR-75-34A
- Kisel, D.V., rorablev, G.S., Navel Jev, V.S., Fereitt, M.I., and Tsimming, Su. (e., 'An Experimental Study of a Gynotron, Operating at the Second Harmonic of the Cyclotron Frequency, with Optimized Distribution of the High-Frequency Field, Radio Engineering and Electronic Physics, Vol. 19 pp. 95-99, April 1974.

# APPENDIX A

# Static Ice Shed Test Data

The following is a summary of test data accumulated during the static ice shed tests described in Section 1. The first 12 tests are considered unreliable because sufficient time was not allowed between tests to assure temperature restabilization of the surface waveguide to ambient.

In a few tests, as indicated, an ice water spray was used in an attempt to better simulate natural icing due to supercooled clouds.

In a few tests, as indicated, an air gun was utilized in an attempt to blow away stagnent water produced by melting some of the ice thereby simulating to some extent the moving air stream around the rotor blade.

In a few tests, as indicated, the surface waveguide was tilted to permit drainage of stagnent water produced by melting some of the ice.

				_						
	REHARKS		CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	SELF SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	
	SHED TIME	SEC	7	7	ı	6	15	12	4	_
٦V	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG LOAD	81	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	
	CINE TILTED	YES   a							_	
	<b>KUD AIA</b>	ខទ								
	YARA'S RETENSI	85								
8013	TERMINATION L - LOAD R = REFLE	ש ר	L	L	L	L		Ļ		
	POWER ON TIME	SEC								
	REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
	CONFLED POWER	WATTS	220	220	220	220	220	220	660	
	ABHO9 ABTTIMZWAAT	WATTS	400	400	400	400	400	400	1200	;
	TEMPERATURE SUIDE GUIDE	ى °	-10*	×01-	-10*	-20*	-20*	-20+	-20*	1
	ICE DENSILA	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	
ш.	× MIDIH ICE FERGTH	'n	3x1.6	3x1.6	3xl.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	1
e sampl	ICE THICKNESS	in	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	1
IC	ICE COMPOSITION		SALT 0.6%	1						
	31AO 1231		12-23-76	12-23-76	12-23-76	12-23-76	12-23-76	12-23-76	12-23-76	
	TEST NO.		-	2	e	4	S	6	2	

energier in Andrew and and the second and a second and the second and the second and the second second second s

71.

TEST RESULTS

TEST CONDITIONS - 2450 MHz

we die

30

\*Temperature Measurements Uncertain in Tests 1 to 12 Nodel #2+TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Mode

108974.5

KESULIS		REHARKS		SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	
		SHED LIWE	SEC	23	ß	15	17	S	ø	=	
	٦V	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG Load	81	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	
		LINE TILTED	YES or								
		ATR. GUN	요 오								
		YAAAR AJAAAJA	ន ៦								
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLE	ی د	L L L	ي ا	ب	ٰ ا د	بر	ر 	د ـ	
		POWER ON TIME	SEC								
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS	67	70	70	70	170	170	170	
50 MHz		сольгео рожев	WATTS	220	220	220	220	660	660	660	
4S - 24		TRANSMITTER POHER	NATTS	400	400	400	400	1200	1200	1200	
101110		TEMPERATURE 30105 3010E	°.	-20*	-20*	-20*	-20*	-20*	-20	-20	
ST COM		ICE DENSILA	Bm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	
۳	щ.	× AIDIH ICE FENGIH	<u> </u>	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x).6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	
	E SAMPI	ICE THICKNESS	ŗ	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	
	IC	ICE COMPOSITION		SALT 0.6%							
		37AQ T23T		12-28-76	12-28-76	12-28-76	12-28-76	12-28-76	12-29-76	12-29-76	
		1631 NO.		ø	6	2	=	12	13	14	

No market

į

ţ

5

\*Eccosorb Model #2-TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

1.

RESULIS		REHARKS		CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	SOME. MELTING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	v	ഗ	9	4	15	n	13	σ
	٦٧	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG Load	LB	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4
		CINE TILTED	YES   ar								
		NUD ATA	8 5 I								
		YANGE SPRAY	ਲ 5								
	8010	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLE	ч ч	Ecco	ب	<u>н</u>	ب	ب.	ب	ب	بـ
		POHZR ON TIME	SEC								
N		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS	170	170	150	150	200	200	1	ğ
450 MH		COUPLED POWER	WATTS	660	660	660	660	660	660	440	440
NS - 2		TRANSMITTER POHER	WATTS	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	800	800
NDI TIO		TEMPERATURE SUIUD 30478US	γ	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-5
ST CO		ICE DENZILA	Bm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
3	щ	× AIDTH ICE LENGTH	in	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6
	E SAMPI	исе тніскнеза	'n	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16
	IC	ICE COMPOSITION		SALT 0.6%	SALĨ 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%
		31A0 1231		12-29-75	12-29-76	12-29-76	12-29-76	12-29-76	12-30-76	12-30-76	12-30-76
		163T NO.		15	°	=	18	<u>٩</u>	50	21	22

, . . . . . . . -

;

\*Eccosorb Model #2-TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

. . .

RESULTS		REHARKS		CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	NO SHEDDING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	18	13	31	31	I	ω	8	ω
	٦t	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG	LB LB	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4
		רואנ זורזנס	YES   or								
		NUD 91A	8 P								
		YARRE ALTAUDI	821								
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLE	ᅴᆇ			ىر	ا د		بر	بر	بر 
		SONER ON TIME	SEC	ı	I	1	I	2	ı	ı	1
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS	100	<u>0</u>	i	1	1	1	I	1
150 MHz		сольгео вонек	UATTS	440	440	220	220	660	660	066	066
NS - 24		ASHOR SATTIMENAST	NATTS	800	800	400	400	2400	2400	1800	1800
VD1110		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	з°	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20
ST COP		AT 120 301	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Ë	u.	х 410тн 1се Length	in	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3×1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6
	e sampi	ІСС ІНІСКНЕЗЗ	in	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16
	10	ICE COMPOSITION		SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.62	SALT 0.6%	SAL 7 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0, 62	SALT 0.6%
		1231 DATE		12-30-76	12-30-76	1-3-77	1-3-77	1-3-77	1-3-77	1-4-77	1-4-77
		.0N T23T		22	24	25	26 26	27	28	29	90

٠.;

1

Remarks: Moviel #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

-

ì

ŧ

RESULTS		REHARKS		CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	MELTING	MELTING	NU SHEDUING IN 70 SEC SOME MELTING	NO SHEDDING IN 70 SEC - MELTING
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	2	25	14	20	30	4	I	I
	ער	DATRIFUG LOAD LOAD	L8	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4
		CINE TILTED	YES   r								
		NUD AIA	ន ៦								
		ICEMATER SPRAY	85								
	8013	ТЕРМІКАТІОН L = LOAD R = REFLEC	L R	_ د	L	t.	r	Ļ	٦	ب	ب_
		POHER ON TIME	SEC							70	70
		SEFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
ESO MHZ		сольгер вомев	WATTS	440	440	440	440	440	440	440	440
45 - 24		TRANSMITTER POHER	HATTS	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800
101110		TEMPERATURE 30100 30A7ARC	ပိ	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20
ST CON	$\square$	ICE DENSILA	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
11	ш	× 4101н 1се генетн	'n	3x1.6	3x1.6						
	E SAMPI	ICE THICKHESS	'n	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16
	IC	ICE CONSORTION		SALT 0.3%	SALT 9.3%	SALT 0.3%	SALT 0.3%	SALT 0.3%	SALT 0.3%	SALT 0.15%	SALT 0.15%
		3TAO T23T		1-11-77	1-11-77	1-11-77	1-11-77	22-11-!	1-12-77	1-12-77	1-12-77
Γ		TEST NO.		31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38

•

¢

.

. ·

Remarks: Model #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

1

4 .

RESULTS		REMARKS		NOST ICE NELT- ED ATTER I MIN -MLL ICE NELT- ED IN 2 MIN	1 MIN-HO MELTING 2 MUR-DAAIN	FORKED IN ICE 3 MIM-1/2 ICE	NELTED 1. PIN-ALL ICE 1. PIN-ALL ICE		1 MIN-2/3 ICE MELTED 2 MIN-ALL ICE 3 MIN-ALL ICE	T MIN-2/2 THE MELTED 2 MIN-ALL LOE	
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	ł							
	יע	DAD CENTRIFUG LOAD	۲B								
		LINE TILTED	re l	YES	YES				YES	YES	
		NUD AIA	ខ ខ								
		ICEMATER SPRAY	ខេទ	OFF	DI SEC	FTER 6	V NO G	TURNI	OFF	CFF	
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLE		~	a				~	۲	
		POWER ON TIME	SEC	120	240						
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS	1							
150 MHz		CONFLED POWER	WATTS	440	440				1320	1320	
HS - 24		TEANSMITTER POHER	WATTS	80	800				2400	2400	
NDITION		TEMPERATURE 30100 30A7RUS	ပ	-20	-20				-20	-20	
ST C0		ICE DENZILA	pm/cc	6.0	0.9				0.9	6.0	
ľ	u,	х чіотн Ісе Гембтн	÷	15x1.9	15×1.9				15x1.	15×1.	
	E SAMPI	ICE ТНІСКНЕSS	÷	.25	. 25				. 25	.25	
	101	ICE CONFOSITION		SALT 0.15%	TAP WATER				TAP	DIST	
		3TAO 1231		1-13-77	1-13-77				1-13-77	1-13-77	
Γ		<b>TEST NO.</b>		39		6			14	42	

3

÷.

- - - - -

• • •

ş

......

ē

Remarks: Model #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

and the

しょ しまて きし

;

1

ł

T DESNITS		REHARKS		IN SHEDDING IN LESS THAN 60 SEC	I/S ICE MELTED IN LESS THAN	MAL TCE MELTED IN LESS THAN LESS THAN	ALMOST ALL ICE NELTED IN LESS THAN 12 SEC	ALL ICE MELTED AFTER 240 SEC	STARTED TO NELT AFTER 190 SEC	1/2 ICE MELTED	
1 in the second se	<u>}</u>	SHED TIME	SEC	1		R	12	240			
	<u> </u>	LOAD LOAD	2	8,4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	
		LINE TILTED	S r l								
		NUS AIA	≷ રુ								
		YARAZ REVATER SPRAY	<u>इ</u> १ ।	OFF	NO	NO	NO	OFF	OFF	¥	
	801	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLEC	א ר-		_	2	æ	æ	æ		
		POHLE ON TIME	SEC	60	60	30	12	240	19C	20	
N		REFLECTED POWER	ATTS	I	100		1	1	ı	1	
450 MH		COUPLED POWER	WATTS	440	440	1320	1320	1320	1320	1320	
NS - 2		TRANSMITTER POHER	WATTS	800	908	2400	2400	2400	2400	2400	
NDI T I O		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	° C	-20	-20	۶٬-	-13	-15	-20	-20	
EST CO		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.73	0.9	0.90	0.75	
-	LE	X HIDIH ICE FENCIH	Ë	3x1.6	3x1.6	3xl.6	3x1.6	3xi.6	371.6	3×1.6	
	E SAMP	ICE THICKHESS	ë	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	
	IC	ICE COMBOSILION		DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	
		31AO 1231		1-17-77	1-18-77	1-18-77	1-18-77	1-18-77	1-19-77	1-19-77	
		TEST NO.		43	44	45	46	47	48	49	

.

×

Ŧ

er strattere

\*\* \* \* \*

Ĵ

• ,.

Remarks: Model #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

_							~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
RESULTS		REHARKS		3-4 LAYER-0.63	3rd Layer-DIST MATER 1/2 ICE NELTED	ANTER-DIST WATER 1/2 ICE NELTED	JEA LAYER-10 NIL CELULOSE ACETATE TAPE-	3rd LAYER= 8 MIL FILMENT TAPE	AND LATER-5 MIL FILMENT TAPE ALL ICE	JTA LATER-8 NIL FILANENT TAPE-ALL ICE	
TEST		34ED TIME	SEC	20	15	4 <u>i</u> 0	40	20	75	8	
	ר∀	SUMILATED CENTRIFUG DAOJ	L8								
		LINE TILTED	VES   r								
	L	AIR GUN	র ১ ।								
		ICENATER SPRAY	ខ្ធរ								
	8013	NOITAMIMAJI L = LOAO R = REFLE	یہ بہ	R	~	æ	æ	ĸ	æ	æ	
		PONT 'N TIME	SEC	20	15	40	40	50	75	80	
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
450 MH2	 •	СОЛЬГЕО БОНЕВ	WATTS	880	1320	1320	1320	1320	1320	1320	
RS - 2		TRANSMITTER POHER	HATTS	1600	2400	2400	2400	2400	2400	2400	
<pre>&lt;01110</pre>		TEMPERATURE SUIUS 30ATRUE	پ پ	-20	-13	-13	-13	-13.7	-15.1	-17	
ST CO		ALISN30 301	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	6.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	
Ë		× אוסוא וכב רבאפוא	Ë	3x1.6	3×1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3×1.6	
	E SAMPI	ICE THICKNESS	Ë	3/16	3,16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	3/16	
	10	ICE COMPOSITION		DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	
		3TAO 123T		1-20-77	1-20-77	1-20-77	1-20-77	1-21-77	1-21-77	1-21-77	
		TEST NO.		50	51	52	53	54	55	56	

はないたため目的にないない。

Sama mandateration administrative set Subscretching which brackers

Section of the sectio

are the second second second

Remarks: Model #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode Variou: Types of 3rd Layer

	<u> </u>								 	
RESULTS		REHARKS		PONDERED HIGH LOSS LENNITE BE-	THEEN TWO 8 MIL LAYERS OF TAPE -	MELTING	SAME 3rd LAYER 1/3 MELTED	SAME 3rd LAYER - ALL ICE MELTED		
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	25			50	170	 	
	74	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG DAD	LB	8.4			8.4	8.4		
		LINE TILTED	YES or							
		NUD 91A	8 5 I							
		YAAAS ASTAMSDI	อี ๖							
	я0т.)	TERMINATION L = LORU R = REFLE	ש ר	k			æ	æ		
		PONER ON TIME	SEC	25			50	170		
		REFLECTED POWER	MATTS							
50 MHz		сольгео вонев	WATTS	1320			1320	1320		
NS - 24		A3H09 A3TTIM2NAAT	NATTS	2400			2400	2400		
NDI T 10	 	TEMPERATURE SUIDE 337APUS	°c	- 12			-13.7	-20	 	
EST CO		ICE DENSILA	Bm/cc	6.0		 	0.9	0.9	 	
I	E E	X HIDTH ICE LENGTH	÷	3x1.6			3x1.6	3x1.6		
	E SAMPI	ICE THICKNESS	Ë	3/16			3/16	3/16		
	IC	ICE COMPOSITION		GIST			DIST	DIST		
		3140 1231		1-21-77			1-21-77	1-21-77		
		TEST NO.		57		<u> </u>	58	59		

·\$ :

<u>, E</u>

-

-

----

K STO

3

.

Service.

Sinn

THE P.S.

÷.

9.99 Q

, ,

\*\* \*\* \*\*\* \* \*\*\*

\* \* \* \*

-----

- 4

A 12

١

ŝ

Va Tec

.

٢.

7

Rcmarks: Model #2 TE<sub>1</sub> Mous 3rd Layer Model

RESULTS		REHARKS		CRRELSAMPLES NERE TOO SOLT TO LOAD	WITH THE LOADING MECHANISM	SAMPLES WERE PLACED ON LINE AND	ITTLE IO START MELT- ING HAS			
TEST		CMIT OBH2	SEC	55	70	55				
	٦V	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG LOAD	۲B							
		רואנ גורונס	/ES 							
		NUD AIA	821			NO				
		VANGE ABTAMBDI	ខ្លួន							
	9013	TERMINATION L = 20AD R = REFLE	» د	R	æ	æ				
		POHER ON TIME	SEC	120	120	120				
2		REFLECTED PONER	WATTS							
450 MH		COUPLED PONER	HATTS	1320	1320	1320				
IS - 2		TRANSMITTER POHER	<b>VATTS</b>	2400	2400	2400				
1011101		TEMPERATURE 30105 3047802	ç	- 18	-20	- 15			 	
ST CON		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	0.3	0.3	0.3		 	 	
15	u.	× лірін Ісе генеть	r,	1	15x1.6	g 151 b			 	
	E SAMPL	ICE THICKNESS	ë	APPRO 1/4	.35	.35				
	10	NOITI209MDO 301		CRREL	CRREL SNON	CRREL SNON				
		3140 1231		1-25-77	1-25-77	1-25-77				
		.01: 1231		60	61	62				

ç

Remarks: Model #2 IE, Mode Shed lests Using CKKEL Snow Samples

n Tuesde

1.1

C THE STATE

.

Ĉŧ

		АРРАТ Алр сия Line Tilted Load Shed Time 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201	IN ON YES	UFF 8.4 90 WELTED 14 TO ELEM	ON 8.4 85 WELTED METLETED MALTE	ON 8.4 100 LINE REFLECTED		ON 8.4 80 EVIDENCE OF MAIT SPOTS	OFF 8.4 75 INNET OF ICE SHEDDING	ON 8.4 120 PONTION OF DECEMBED	OFF 8.4 240 CLEM SUEDOING
	801	POHER ON TIME TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLEC		MATER	WATER	HATER		WATER	WATER	WATER I.	WATER
		REFLECTED POWER	ATTS S			STABLE		TABLE		STABLE	
SO MH-		сольгер рожев	ATTS	860	860	860		860 5	860	860	430
24	·	A3IIO9 A3TTIM2NAAT	WATTS 1	2000	2000	2000		2000	2000	2000	1000
		1644545045 30105 3037805 30105 3037805	U	-20	- 14.1	4.71-		- 16.4	-20.1	-19.	-17.3
		ALISH30 301	thm/cc	6.	6.	6.		6	б.	6.	6.
4	:  	× 4101H 1CE FENGIK	5	3x1.75	3x1.75	3x1 75		3×1.75	3×1.75	3x1.75	3x I . 75
	E SAMPI	ICE LHICKHE22	5	. 189	. 189	189		89	. 095	.095	. 189
	10	1СЕ СОНЬО21110%		TAP	TAP	TAP		TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP
		31AO 1231		2-11-77	2-11-2	2-11-77		2 11-77	2-14-77	2-15-77	2-15-77
		-ON 1531	1	8	64	65		66	6	88	6
RESULTS		REHARKS		HALF ICE Shed	NO SHERTERIG SAMPLE HELT- ED AMAY	MELTED (SMALLER ICE SAMPLE)	MELTED	MELTED	NO SHEDDING DR MELTING DURING 1st	<u>a MIN</u> ALL ICE MELTED	ALL ¿CE MELTED
-------------	---------	---	--------------	--------------------	---	-----------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	---	-----------------------------------	--------------------
I TEST		3HED 11WE	SEC	155	1	50	160	55	NONE	360	360
	٦¥	אורטפי אורטפ מאטראדנס כנא אורטפ מאס	81	8.4	8.4	ñ	£				
		רואנ זורונס	YES I 9 I								
1		XUD 91A	ธีอไ	NC	OFF	OFF	0FF	ÛFF	NO	0FF	UFF
		YAAAR AATAMADI	8 P								
	8010	L = LOAD R = REFLE	<b>ہ</b> د	HATER LOAD L	LOAD LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	NATER LOAD L	NATER LOAD L
		POWER ON TIME	SEC								
1		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
		язкот отляноэ	HATTS	,30	30.4	86()	430	430	430	430	430
12 - 5t		RANSMITTER POWER	HATTS	1000	900	2000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
10 I 1 I GN		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	°c	-12.4	-17.4	-12.7	-18.4	-11.3	-15 -15	61-	-13
ST C0		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	<u>б.</u>	<u>.</u>	6.	5.	٢	6.	٥ <u>:</u>	<u>б</u> .
Ë	u l	× MIDIH ICE FENGIH	ŗ	3x1.75	3x1.75	.98x 1.75	× <del>35</del> 1.75	× <sup>98</sup> × 1.75	1.5x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75
	E SAMPI	ICE THICKNESS	in	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	, 189
	IC	ICE COMPOSITION		TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	ŢAP	TAP	TAP	· TAP
		31A0 1231	{	2-15-77	2-16-7/	2-16-77	2-16-77	2-16-77	2-11-77	2-17-77	2-17-77
		.0N T23T		70	7	72	73	74	75	76	2

and an interest in the property in the second states and the second states and the second states and the second

A TRACT SAME

ъң Г

a Andreas and a construction of the constructi

\*\*

Remarks: Model #3 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode Tests 72 to 77 Use A 1" Ice Sample

ALTER CALLER

	RESULTS		REMARKS		CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHSD	CLEAN SHED	MELTED DISREGARD TEST	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	hesive	
•	TEST		SHED TIME	3EC	30	30	80	80	70	20	40	 ate Ad	
		٦٩	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG	81	.75	ۍ.	.5	.5	.5	.5	5.	 ı Acryl	
			031711 SNIT	2 2 2 2 1								je with	
			NUĐ XIA	851	N	OFF	OFF	OFF	NO	NO	ON	 ite Tap	
		·	TCEMATER SPRAY	82								 e Aceta	
		8010	TERMINATION L = LCAD R = REFLEC	<u>ہ ب</u>	WATER LOAD	LOAD LOAD	WATER LOAD L	LOAD	WATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	 llulose	
			POAER UN TIME	SEC								 )25 Ce	
	Hz		REFLECTED POWEY	MATTS								 e a 0.(	
	2450 H		COUPLED POWER	S WAT'S	430	430	430	430	430	9 860	0 86 <b>0</b>	 ltilize	
	- SNO		TRANSMITTER POHER	WATT	1000	8 1000	6 1000	1000	1000	7 200	4 200	 112 1	
	IT IUNO		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ري بر	<b>-</b>	-11-	.е́г-	-12	-19.	-17.	- 18.	 78 to	
	TEST (		11CE DENZILLA	o/wb	75 .9	7.5	75 .9	75 .9	75 .9	. 75 .9	. 75 . 9	 Tests	
		APLE	ICE LENGTH	≓. 	1×1.	1×1.	39  1×1.	1×1 68	39 1×1.	89 l×1.	89 1×1.	 1 Mode	
		ICE SA	ICE LHICKHESS		ļ	<u>⊨ <sup>≈</sup>.</u>		<u> </u>			<i>.</i>	 #3 TE	
vîrme viter			NOILISO4:50 301		TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	, TAP	 Model	
			3TAO 1231		-17-77	2-17-77	2-18-77	2-18-77	2-18-77	2-187	2-18-7	arks:	
			165T NO.		<u> </u>	62	e e	18	82	3	8¢	Ren	
					1	07							

KI-JUC I		REMÁRKS				NO SHEDDING	NO SHEDDING	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	
E'S		3KIT Q3H2	SEC	40	50	NO Shed	NO Shed	30	25	35	7	
	JA	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG Lodd	81	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
		LINE TILTED	YES or									
		NUD 91A	8 e	¥	NO	NO	NO	OFF	0°F	OFF	OFF	
		ICEMATCK SPRAY	891									
	яото	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLE	- ~	LOAD LOAD	MATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	LOAD LOAD	WATER LOAD L	KATLA LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	
		РОМЕЯ ОМ ТІМЕ	SEC	1	1	S MIN	6 MIN	ł	I	I	I	
		REFLECTED POWER	MATTS									
		COUPLED POWER	NATTS	860	860	215	215	430	430	430	860	
- S		A3H09 A3TTIM2NAAT	WATTS	2000	2000	500	500	1000	1000	1000	2000	
101110		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ပိ	-18	61-	-17.7	-17.0	- 10	- 10. 1	-11.4	-10.4	
ST CON		ICE DENSILA	gm/cc	<u>ة</u>	6.	<u> </u>	<u>б.</u>	<u>б</u> .	6.	<u>6</u> .	6.	
Ë	L.	X HIDTH X HIDTH	'n	1×1.75	1×1.75	iz.lxl	1×1.7!	1×1.7	1×1.7	1×1.7	ז.ואו	
	e sampi	ICE THICKNESS	Ē	. 189	. 189	.189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	
	IC	ICE COMPOSITION		TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	
=		31AO 1231		2-21-77	2-21-77	2-21-77	2-21-77	2-23-77	2-23-77	2-23-77	2-23-77	
		TEST NO.		85	86	87	88	89	6	16	92	

and the second secon

· · ·

1.

ł

4

i

: |\_ in star

\*\*\*\*\*

Remarks: Model #3 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 3 Layer Model Cellulose Acetate Tape Acrylate Adhesive 0.025"

.

\* • • •

ţ

;

Sec.

Adhesive
Acrylate
Tape
Acetate
ellulose)
S MIL (
.02
Model
l Layer
Mode 3
ТЕ,
1 #3
Mode
Remarks:

and the second second

ţ

	-										
RESULTS		REHARKS		.025" 3rd LAYER CLEAN SHED	LAYER LAYER CLEAN SHED	.025" 3rd LAYER - CLEAN SHED	.025" 3rd Layer Clean Shed				
TEST		SHED LIWE	SEC	10	20	10	20	80	60	30	100
	٦٧	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG	LB	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
		רואב דורדבס	YES   or		_						
		NUD AIA	Ϋ́́Ρ	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF
		YAAAR AATAMADI	3 P		-						
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLEC	- ~	WATER LOAD L	LOAD LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER	WATER LOAD L
		POWER ON TIME	SEC								
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
120 MH2		COUPLED POWER	WATTS	860	860	860	860	215	215	215	215
NS - 2		ABHO9 ABTTIM2NAAT	WATTS	2000	2000	2000	2000	200	500	500	500
NDITION		TEMPERATURE Surface Guide	ပွ	-10	-20	-12.2	-10.3	-12.1	-9.5	-10.8	-12
EST CO		ICE DENZILA	ບ ດີຟ/ເຕ	٥.	6.	<u>б.</u>	<u>ة.</u>	6.	<u>ه</u> .	6.	6.
=	ΓE	× КІОІН Ісе гемеци	'n	.98x 1.75	.98x  1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	, 98x 1, 75	.98x 1.75
	E SAMP	ІСЕ ІНІСКИЕ22	ŗ	. 189	.189	. 189	. 189	. 189	.189	. 189	.189
	ũ	ICE COMPOSITION		TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP
		3TAQ 123T		2-24-77	2-24-77	2-25-77	2-25-77	2-25-77	2-25-77	2-25-77	2-28-77
Γ		165T NO.		93	94	95	96	97	98	66	00[

ann - the constraints of the second second

.

1.00

4

1214

RESULTS		REMARKS		VERY CI.EAN Sked	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED
TEST F	<u> </u>	SHED TIME	SEC	10	35	35	40	65	60	40	20
	٦V	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG LOAD	LB	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
		LINE TILTED	YES   r								
		AIR GUN	8 P								
		ICENATER SPRAY	891								
	90TO	NOITANIMATTON L = LOAD R = RÈFI.E	א ר	WATER LOAD L	HATER LOAD L	MATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	LOAD LOAD
		POHLR ON TIME	SEC								
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS								
120 MHZ		CONFLED FONER	WATTS	860	860	860	860	430	430	430	430
NS - 24		RANSMITTER POHER	WATTS	2000	2000	2000	2000	1000	1000	1000	1000
NCITIO		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ې ب	-13.8	-14	-15.9	-14.6	-15	-14	- 14	- 14.5
EST CO		ICE DE#2114	gm/cc	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	°.	6.
T	5	× 41014 ICE FENGIH	ŗ.	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1./5	:98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75
	E SAMPI	ICE THICKNESS	in	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189	. 189
	2	ICE COMPCSITION		TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP	TAP
		31AD 1231		2-28-77	2-28-77	3-1-77	3-1-77	3-2-77	3-2-77	3-2-77	3-2-77
		.0N TEST NO.		101	102	103	104	105	106	107	801

Ì

İ\_

Remærks: Model #3 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 3 Layer Model .025 Cellulose Acetate Tape Âcrylate Adhesive

T RESULTS		REMARKS		SOME MELTING	SOME MELTING	CLEAN SHED	SOME MELTING	 		
TES		3MIT O3H2	SEC	85	150	8	0(1	 		
	٦¥	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG	ГВ	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5			
		רואנ זורונס	YES I e l							
		NUD AIA	8 P							
		TCEMATER SPRAY	응 눈							
	яота	NOITANIMRƏT L = LOAO R = REFLEC	<b>ب</b> ه	WATER Load L	MATER Load L	WATEP: LOAD L	WATER LOAD L			
		POWER ON TIME	SEC							
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS							
the Ost		COUPLED POWER	WATTS	215	215	215	215			
NS - 2/		TRANSMITTER POHER	WATTS	500	500	500	500			
NDI T IO		TEMPERATURE Surface Guide	° C	-14	-15	-14.7				
ST CO		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	6.	6.	6.	<u>б.</u>	 		
1	ω	× MIDIH ICE FENGTH	i	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75	.98x 1.75			
	E SAMPI	ICE THICKNESS	in	.189	. 189	. 189	. 189			
 	IC	ICE COMPOSITION		TAP	ТАР	TAP	TAP		ļ	
		3TAO T23T		3-2-77	3-3-77	3-3-77	3-3-77			
		.0N TEST		109	011		112			

'ዋ እና 2

Remarks: Model #3 TE<sub>1</sub> Model 3 Layer Model .025 Cellulose Acetate Tape Acrylate Adhesive

•••••

; , ,

a source into

15

×

•• 1

· .

					_						
RESULTS		REMARKS		CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	clean shed	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN S.IED
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	30	50	2C	25	20	ม	40	55
	זע	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG. ' איט ייט	Lb	4	4	4	*	4	ş	4	4
		CINE TILTED	YES								
1		NUD 91A	8 P								
ļ		TCEMATER SPRAY	8 일								
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLEC	ے د	WATER LOAD L	WATEK LOAD L	WATER 1 OAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	NATER LOAD L	LOAD	WATER LOAD L
		POWER ON TIME	SEC								
		REFLECTED PONER	WATTS								
50 MHz		COUPLED POWER	WATTS	648	648	648	648	972	972	324	324
NS - 24		78/104 7311188 PONER	HATTS	1200	1200	1200	1200	1800	00°;	600	600
101110		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ç	-18.6	-18.7	-18.0	-17.0	-18.0	-18.6	-19.0	-18.4
ST CO		ICE DENSIIX-	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
μ	u,	ICE LENGTH X HIDTH	in	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6
	e sampi	ICE THICKNESS	in	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32
	10	ICE CONPOSITION		SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%
		31AQ 1231		3-4-77	3-4-77	3-4-77	3-4-77	3-7-77	3-7-77	3-7-77	3-7-77
		.01 1231		13	114	115	911	117	118	611	120

Remarks: Model #2 TM<sub>0</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

TEST RESULTS		SHED TIME	sec	70 CLEAN SHED	ÀO SOME MELTING	45 SOME MELTING	DNIDDAHS CN -	- NO SHEDDING	160 MELTED	
	ור	AIMULATED CENTRIFUG Ladd	LB	4	খ	4	4	4	4	 
		רואב דורדבם	rcs   a							
		VI& CUN	9.9							
		ICEHATER SPRAY	원 위							
	90T:	L = LOAD R = REFLEC	- «	HATER LOAD L	LOAD	MATER LOAD L	LOAD LOAD	HATER LOAD	KATER LOAD	
		POHER ON TIME	SEC				420			
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS							
ISO MHZ		сольгео вожев	WATTS	324	648	648	648	1296	1296	
NS - 24		ABHOG ABITIMENANT	HATTS	600	1200	1200	1200	2400	2400	
NDI TIO		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	ွ	-17.0	-19.2	-17.4	-18.0	-13.0	-10.0	
ST CO		ICE DENZILA	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	6.0	0.9	 
Ĩ	u U	× КІДТН Ісе Генбтн	'n	3x1.6	3×1.6	3×1.6	3x1.6	3×1.6	3x1.6	
	E SAMPI	ICE THICKNESS	in	3/32	3/16	3/16	3/32	3/32	3/32	
	IC	ICE CONPOSITION		SALT 0.6T	SALT 0.6%	SALT 0.6%	TAP	TAP	TAP	
		3TAQ T23T		3-7-77	3-8-77	3-8-77	3-8-77	3-8-77	3-8-77	
Γ		.0% TEST		121	122	123	124	125	126	

ためで、そころともとうかみたとい

K

Reaction descriptions from the reaction of the formation of the state 
ふくたい日

Service States and

でいたかないというようのないとない。

Remarks: Model #2 TM<sub>0</sub> Mode 2 Layer Model

Ś

RESULTS		REMARKS		CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	5	15	ĸ	u	11	19	19	20	
	71	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG	LB	e	3	e	3	e	ε	e	e	
		031711 3NIT	YES   or									44
		NUD AIA	র ৮।									α 20 00
		ICENATER SPRAY	요 위									022 14
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLEC	<b>ہ</b> د	WATER LOAD	LOAD	WATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	LOAD LOAD	WATEF LOAD LOAD	LOAU	
		DONER ON TIME	SEC									0. j + .
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS									000
50 MHz		CONFLED POWER	WATTS	440	440	1320	1320	660	660	660	440	tod of
NS - 24		ABHO9 ABTTIM2NAAT	HATTS	800	800	2400	2400	1200	1200	1200	800	Concie
NDITION		TEMPERATURE SUIDE GUIDE	°.	-18.6	-18.0	-19.0	-18.4	-18.0	-19.0	-18.3	-17.0	Nove
EST COI		ICE DENSILY-	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.g	0.9	
F	ų	× ИОТН ИСЕ ГЕИСТН	÷	3×1.6	3×1.6	3×1.6	7 1/2 x1.6	3x1.6	3×1.6	3×1.6	3×1.6	- aport
	E SAMPI	ICE THICKNESS	÷	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	
	27	ICE CONDOSITION		DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	a Coby
	<b>!</b>	31A0 1231		3-10-77	3-10-77	2-11-2	3-11-77	3-14-77	3-14-77	3-14-77	3-14-77	auto.
		.UN 1231		121	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	d

-......

.

34.

٠

,

٠...

Ŋ,

ļ ł 1 .

÷

Į

^. \* ·

Kemarks: Mode! #1 1E1 Mode Lossy Layer Consisted of Resistive Materia! a=U.U8 dB/11

------Ì

-----

\*

.

-	_	···-							 	
RESULTS		REMARKS		CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHEP		
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	20	52	58	2	30		
	ור	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG	LB	e	3	3	3	ε	 	
		LINE TILTED	YES or 							
		NUD AIA	8 P							
		YAAAS AJTAMJOI	8 5							
	801:	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = PEFLEC	א ר	WATER LOAD	WATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L		
ļ		DONER ON TIME	SEC							
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS							
50 Mz		COUPLED PONER	WATTS	440	220	?20	560	440		
NS - 24		ABHC9 ABTTIM2NAAT	WATTS	800	400	400	1200	800		
NDI T10		TEMPERATURE SURFACE GUIDE	° °	-17.6	-18.9	-18.0	-18.4	-18.3	 	
ST C0		ICE DENSITY.	gm/cc	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	 	
3	щ	× MIDIH ICE LENGTH	in	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.E	3x1.6	3×1.6		
	e sampi	ICE THICKHESS	in	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32		
	10	ICE CONPOSITION		DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST		
		31AQ 1231		3-14-77	3-15-77	3-15-77	3-15-77	3-15-77		
		TEST NO.		135	136	137	138	139		

Sanding and a " we' which is state .

s ifter where the sales

؞ڐ؞ؾ*؞ڂ؇*ڟؗؠٚڡٳ

¥¶∰.

an desired

ł

0 5

x lles

1.200.20

CONTRACTOR DE LA DESIDA

(School)

Carlos Mar

and and a start and a start and a start of the start and a start and a start a start a start a start a start a

and a second 
ene e e ene 1 1 . a. 867

\*\*\*\*\*

ŗ

.

Remarks: Model #1 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 3 Layer Model Lossy Layer Consisted of Resistive Material α=0.08 dB/in

									_	 
RESULTS		REMARKS		2 LAYERS Clean Shed	2 LAYERS CLEAN SHED	2 LAYERS POLYURETHANE MELTED IN	SPOTS	1 LAYER SPOT MELTING IN	POLYURETHANE	
TEST		SHED TIME	SEC	45	40	60				
	٦٢	SIMULATED CENTRIFUG	LB	3	3	3		3		
		רואנ דורדנס	YES or							
		NUD 91A	N 9							
		TAAPS ASTANS	8 S							
	8013	TERMINATION L = LOAD R = REFLEC	<b>ہ</b> د	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L		HATER LOAD		
		POHER ON TIME	SEC					120		
		REFLECTED POWER	WATTS							
50 MHz		COUPLED POWER	WATTS	660	660	660		660		
NS - 24		A3H09 A3TTIM2NAAT	WATTS	1200	1200	1200		1200		
NDI 1 101		TEMPERATURE 30105 30105	ູ່	-18.6	-18.9	-18.6		-19.3		
ST CO		AT120 301	gm/cc	6.0	0.9	0.9		6.0		 
7	ш	× HIDIH ICE FERGIH	in	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6		3x1.6		 
	E SAMPI	ІСЕ ІНІСКИЕЗЗ	in	3/32	3/32	3/32		3/32		
	10	ICE CONDO211100		DIST	DIST	DIST		DIST		
		3140 1231		3-22-77	3-22-77	3-22-77		3-22-77		
		.0N 1231		140	141	142		143		

Remarks: Model #1 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode 3 Layer Model 1 or 2 Layers of Polyurethane Each 0.024 in. thick

ļ

X

-								_		 
RESULTS 7	REHARKS			MINOR MELTING	MINOR MELTING	MINOR MELTING	MINOR MELTING	CLEAN SHED	CLEAN SHED	
TEST	SHED TIME		SEC	45	60	100	130	20	28	
755T CONDITIONS - 2450 MHz	SIMULATED CENTRIFUGAL DADD		LB	3	3	n	3	3	ĸ	
	CINE TILTED		YES or							
	ИСЭ ЯТА		891							 
	ICEMATER SPRAY		8 P				<u> </u>			
	NOITANIM9T NOITANIM93T REFLECTOR		ے م ا	NATER LOAD	MATER LOAD	WATER LOAD L	HATER LOAD L	RATER LOAD L	WATER LOAD L	 
	POWER ON TIME		SEC							
	REFLECTED POWER		WATTS							
		COUPLED POWER	WATTS	880	880	440	440	1320	1320	
		ASHOS ASTTIMZHART	WATTS	1600	1600	800	800	2400	2400	
	TEMPERATURE 2CIUD 3DATRU2		υ°	-18.6	-17.9	-17.9	-18.5	-18.0	-18.5	 
	ICE SAMPI.E	ALISNRO BOI	gm/cc	0.9	9.6	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.9	
		× ИІОІН Ісе гелетн	in	3x1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	3×1.6	3x1.6	3x1.6	
		асе тніскиезя	in	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	3/32	
		ICE COMPOSITION		DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	DIST	
		3140 1231		3-24-77	3-24-77	3-24-77	3-24-77	3-24-77	3-24-77	
.0N 1831				144	145	146	147	148	149	

₩?

and a start of the 
2));

1

Remarks: Model #1 TE<sub>1</sub> Mode Model 1 Layer Polyurethane 0.025" Thick Adhesive Removed

L'Escare de la relation de ġ,

Sec. Sec.

# APPENDIX B DERIVATIONS OF LOSS TANGENT EQUATIONS

4-3-4-5O

The attenuation constant of a surface waveguide is defined by

$$\alpha_{t} = \frac{W_{D}}{2W_{T}}$$
(B-1)

 $\alpha_t$  = Attenuation constant

 $W_{\rm D}$  = The power dissipated

where:

ļ

 $W_{\overline{1}}$  = Total power transferred

The power dissipated per unit length is due to dielectric heating of the surface waveguide and any ice layer. The totai attenuation constant is thus:

 $\frac{\omega \varepsilon_1 \ell \tan \delta_1}{2} \int_0^{d_1} |E_y|^2 dx + \frac{\omega \varepsilon_1 \ell \tan \delta_1}{2} \int_0^{d_2} |E_y|^2 dx \quad (B-2)$ α<sub>t</sub> 24-= Radian frequency ω = Dielectric constant (dielectric and ice) ε<sub>1</sub> = Width of surface guide Q.  $\tan \delta_i$  = Loss tangent of ice  $\tan \delta_1$  = Loss tangent of dielectric Ev = Transverse electric field intensity = Thickness of dielectric d = Thickness of dielectric plus ice layer d<sub>2</sub> = Coordinate axis normal to surface x  $E_y = \frac{j\omega\epsilon E}{k_x}$  sin  $k_x X$ (B-3)

In B-2, the simplifying assumption is made that the real part of the dielectric constant of ice,  $\epsilon_2$ , is approximately the same as the dielectric layer.

The total power transfer,  $W_T$ , is:

$$W_{T} = \frac{\beta \omega \varepsilon E^{2} \ell}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{k_{x}^{2}} S(d_{2}) + \frac{\cos^{2} k_{x} d_{1}}{2K_{x}^{3}} \right]$$
(B-4)

where:

ETA AMATCOM

$$S(d) = \frac{d}{2} - \left[\frac{\sin 2k_x d}{4k_x}\right]$$
(B-5)

Substituting Equations B-3 and B-4 into Equation B-2 gives:

$$\alpha_t = A[\tan \varepsilon_1 S(d_1) + \tan \varepsilon_1 (S(d_2) - S(d_1))]$$
 (B-6)

where:

$$A = \frac{k_1^2}{2k_x^2 \beta \left(\frac{1}{k_x^2} S(d_2) + \frac{\cos^2 k_x d_2}{2K_x^3}\right)}$$
(B-7)

Equation B-6 may now be solved to yield the desired tan  $\delta_i$ :

$$\tan \delta_{i} = \frac{\frac{\alpha_{t}}{A} - \tan \delta_{1} S(d_{1})}{S(d_{2}) - S(d_{1})}$$
(B-8)

To use B-8, the attenuation of the ice loaded line,  $\alpha_t$ , must be determined all the other terms are known.

Theoretically,  $\alpha_t$  could be measured by classical attenuation techniques but it is so small for short line lengths that this method is impractical.

It is instead determined by measuring the power dissipated in the ice sample,  $W_i$  and calculating the power dissipated in the line,  $W_1$  under the ice sample as follows.

The attenuation constant of the ice loads line is:

$$\alpha_{t} = \frac{-10 \log \left[\frac{W_{T} - W_{1} - W_{L}}{W_{T}}\right]}{L} db/unit length$$

$$W_{T} = Power incident on the iced section$$

$$W_{1} = Power dissipated in the line$$

$$W_{2} = Power dissipated in the line$$

where:

L = Length of iced section The power lost in the base dielectric  $W_1$  is calculated from Equation B-9 bv first assuming the loss in the ice is zero or  $W_1 = 0$ (real part of the dielectric constant of ice is the same as the

dielectric) or

$$\alpha_{1} = \alpha_{t} = \frac{-10 \log \left[1 - \frac{W_{1}}{W_{T}}\right]}{L}$$
(B-10)

where:  $\alpha_1 = \Lambda$  ttenuation constant due to losses in the dielectric assuming no losses in the ice.

Equation B-10 is solved for the argument of the log function to give:

$$1 - \frac{W_1}{W_2} = 10^{-\alpha} 1^{L/10}$$
 (B-11)

and  $\alpha_1$  is obtained from Equation B-6 by assuming tan  $\delta_1 = 0$ .

The power lost in the ice is determined by measuring the time required to leat the ice sample from ambient temperature to 0°C or until the ice just begins to melt. Then:

$$W_i = \frac{mc(0 - T_{\infty})}{t_1}$$
 (4.186) watts (B-12)

C. Martine

ST: 20

where:

いたのないためのかけのできる大田子をあしたたちにしただいかん

NUMBER OF STREET

日本のないのないのないないのでしたとう

m = Mass of the ice

c = Specific heat of ice

 ${\bf t_1}$  = Time required to raise the ice from 0°C to  ${\bf T}_{_{\rm CD}}$ 

T<sub>w</sub> = Ambient temperature

Substituting Equation B-11 into Equation B-9 yields  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t}$ 

$$\alpha_{t} = \frac{-10 \log \left[ 10^{-\alpha_{1}L/10} \frac{W_{i}}{-W_{T}} \right]}{L}$$
(B-13)

 $\alpha_1$  is obtained from Equation B-6 assuming tan  $\delta_i = 0$ 

 $W_i$  is obtained from Equation B-12

 $\alpha_t$  is then substituted into Equation 8 to obtain tan  $\delta_i,$  the loss tangent of the ice.

#### APPENDIX C

## WHIRLING ARM METHOD OF TESTING MICROWAVE ICE PROTECTION CONCEPT

#### SIMULATED ICING ENVIRONMENT

These tests will demonstrate the performance of a microwave deiccr, mounted on the tail rotor of a UH-1 helicopter, in a simulated rotor blade icing environment. An artists conception of the recommended whirling-arm apparatus is illustrated in Figure C-1 and a schematic diagram in Figure C-2. A perspective view of the tail rotor deicer boot and wave launcher are illustrated in Figures C-2 and C-4, respectively.

The recommended tests will consist of three major tasks:

- 1) Design, fabricate and test the microwave deicer for the UH-1 Tail Rotor in accordance with concepts demonstrated experimentally in this program.
- 2) Design, fabricate and test the whirling-arm apparatus.
- 3) Perform deicing and anti-icing tests in a simulated icing environment such as the NASA Lewis Icing Research Tunnel.

#### Deicing Performance Tc ....

In this test, droplet impact ice simulating light, moderate and heavy icing will be permitted to accrete on the deicer boot as the tail rotor is rotated at various angular velocities. The microwave energy required to shed the ice is then measured as a function of the parameters listed below:

- 1. Degree of Icing (Liquid Water Content LWC)
  - a. Light 0.12 < LWC < .5 gm/m
  - b. Moderate  $0.5 < LWC < 1.0 \text{ gm/m}^3$
  - c. Heavy 1.0 < LWC gm/m<sup>3</sup>
- 2. Ambient Temperature 0 to -20°C
- 3. Droplet Size
- 4. Blade Temperature
- 5. Air Speed





FIGURE C-2. SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM WHIRLING ARM TEST STAND

İ.





ドラン

Party & Charles and the start

アイテルードは、このというないというというないというないとう

FIGURE C-4. CROSSECTION - DEICER BOOT AND WAVE LAUNCHER

- 6. Angular Velocity (Centrifugal Force)
- 7. Ice Thickness (Time in Icing)
- 8. Time to Shed

The shedding process will be recorded with the time lapse stop-action camera and stroboscope synchronized to the blade rotation. The camera record will establish the shed time, the degree of shedding and the size and the shape of the shed fragments.

#### Anti-Icing Performance Tests

In this test, the microwave energy, at various levels, is turned on pricr to any ice accumulation on the blade and the power required to keep the rotor blade just ice free is measured as a function of the following parameters:

- 1. Degree of Icing
  - a. Light 0.12 < LWC < 0.5 gm/m
  - b. Moderate 0.5 < LWC < 1.0 gm/m
  - c. Heavy 1.0 < LWC gm/m
- 2. Ambient Temperature
- 3. Blade Temperature
- 4. Air Speed

5. Angular Velocity

The condition of the blade is recorded with time lapse, stop action stroboscopic photography.

### APPENDIX D MICROWAVE ICE DETECTORS

Breadboard microwave ice detectors, thickness gauges and accretion rate meters can be developed based upon the experimental findings made in Section 5.

These devices will be nonmechanical, have no moving parts, and conform to the contour of the surface being monitored. The readout will be essentially instantaneous, giving ice presence, ice thickness and ice accretion rate as functions of time. The devices will be small, lightweight and rugged so that they can be placed almost anywhere on the skin of the aircra. `, including the rotor blade and engine inlet ducts.

#### Ice Detection Background

An ice detector is a necessary component of any icing protection system for a helicopter, but at present no completely satisfactory instrument for this application exists. Helicopter rotor blades are dangerously sensitive to small amounts of ice, and the pilot, at present, receives no direct evidence of its formation. Present day icing forecasts, based upon prior statistics, are frequently inaccurate and subjective and offer no sure way for the pilot to become aware of the presence of ice. The only absolutely certain way to become aware of a dangerous icing condition is to detect the ice and measure its severity with suitable, rapidly responding ice detectors. This must be done in sufficient time for the pilot to determine if the icing severity is within the capability of ice protection system or permit timely evasive manuevers.

#### BASIC CONCEPTS

というというないというというないであるとないないないないない

The basic concept that would be used in an ice detector is that ice is a good dielectric and that its dimensions, e.g., thickness and accretion rate, will affect the performance of surface waveguides on which it is permitted to accumulate. Two physical phenomena demonstrated experimentally in this program are utilized. These are:

- (a) Tuning or shifting of the resonant frequency of surface waveguides on which ice is allowed to accumulate (Figures 26 and 27).
- (b) Decreasing of the cut-off frequency of surface waveguide on which ice is allowed to accumulate.

Several ice detectors are described which are based upon phenomena a and b; all will produce simplified signals for cockpit readout of ice presence, ice thickness and ice accretion rate. These are:

- 1) A resonant surface waveguide, phased locked-loop ice detector
- 2) A resonant surface waveguide, quadrature hybrid ice detector
- 3) A cut-off, go no-go Ice Presence Indicator
- 4) Cut-off Swept Frequency Ice Thickness and Accretion Rate Meter

#### Resonant Frequency Ice Detectors (Types 1 and 2)

These ice detectors make use of the shift in the resonant frequencies of surface waveguides on which ice is allowed to accumulate, as illustrated in Figures 26 and 27. The degree of shift of the resonance and its time rate of change are proportional to the ice thickness and accretion rate respectively. Both devices (1 and 2 above) convert the frequency shift to proportional dc voltages, which can be read on conveniently located cockpit readout meters and calibrated in ice thickness and ice accretion rate. The readouts are continuous and instantaneous, there being no moving parts or inertia to contend with.

#### <u>Cut-Off Ice Detectors (Types 3 and 4)</u>

These ice detectors make use of the decrease in the cut-off frequency of the  $TE_1$  mode surface waveguide caused by the thickening of the waveguide by the accumulation of ice.

In the simple go/no-go ice presence indicator, the microwave oscillator is set just below cut-off of the surface waveguide so that no energy may propagate down the guide and be detected. As the ice accumulates, effectively thickening the surface waveguide, the cut-off frequency is lowered below the frequency of the oscillator; at which time, energy can propagate and be detected, indicating the presence of ice.

A more sophisticated device utilizing the cut-off principle is the swept-frequency device. This device will meter the ice thickness and accretion rate. In this device, the microwave oscillator repetitively sweeps from a frequency below cut-off to a frequency above cutoff, propagation through the guide occurring at the instant its cut-off frequency is reached. As ice accumulates on the surface guide, reducing its cut-off frequency, propagation occurs earlier and earlier during the sweep. The time this occurs is an indication of ice thickness. Instrumentation that measures this instant in the form of a voltage pulse or other means can be used to provide a convenient cockpit readout.

#### APPENDIX E

# POWER DRAIN OF A MICROWAVE DEICER AT 33.7 GHz USING AN EXPERIMENTAL GYROTRON

In the gyrotron, constructed in the Soviet Union, Reference 18 an output power of 10 kw at an efficiency of 40% was obtained. An outline drawing of this tube is presented in Figure E-1. Power output, efficiency and power lost are plotted versus beam current in Figure E-2. The results of using this tube to design a microwave deicer, the power drain and the time to shed, assuming an ambient temperature of -20°C and 800 watts power per blade, for a microwave deicer using this tube, are presented in Table E-1. The higher frequency reduces the surface waveguide thickness to 0.1 inch.

<sup>18</sup> Kisel, D.V., Korablev, G.S., Navel'yev, V.G., Petelin, M.I., and Tsimring, Sh. Ye., "An Experimental Study of a Gyrotron, Operating at the Second Harmonic of the Cyclotron Frequency, With Optimized Distribution of the High-Frequency Field, "Radio Engineering and Electronic Physics, Vol. 19 pp. 95-99, April 1974.



5000000

A CARA STATISTICS AND A CARACTER AND A C

それたいたちが日本になったいが、たいながってきたや

The a first the second state of the second states and

FIGURE E-1. OUTLINE DRAWING OF SOVIET GYROTRON PROTOTYPE. 1-CATHODE; 2-EMITTING STRIP; 3-FIRST ANODE; 4-SECOND ANODE; 5-CAVITY; 6-OUTPUT COUPLING APERTURE; 7-BEAM COLLECTOR; 8-OUTPUT WINDOW; 9-OUTPUT WAVEGUIDE; 10 and 12-WATER JACKETS; 11-MAIN SOLENOID; 13-ELECTRON GUN SOLENOID; 14-INSULATORS. OVERALL LENGTH OF THIS DEVICE IS IS APPROXIMATELY 20 cm.

Excerpted from Reference 18



i verse verste fan de state operationer ander

FIGURE E-2. THE DEPENDENCE OF THE EFFICIENCY (n), CAVITY LOSSES (P<sub>c</sub>) AND GYROTRON OUTPUT POWER P ON THE BEAM CURRENT I IN THE CON-TINUOUS MODE OF OPERATION:

$$U_{c} = 19 \, \text{kV}$$
.

Excerpted from Reference 18

# TABLE E-1. HELICOPTER POWER DRAIN, USING GYROTPON AT 35 GHz T $_{\infty}$ = -20°C, 800 WATTS PER BLADE

はんしていたいのでした。

0.17.1

LICOPTER TYPE	TOTAL POWER REQUIRED	POWER REQ'D/TUBE © DISTRIBUTION EFFICIENCY OF 80%	NO. OF TURES PER HELICOPTER	POWEP. DRAIN PER HELICOPTER	TUBE EFFICIENCY	SHED TIME
HEI	kw	kw		kw	ŋ	sec
UH- 1 AH- 1 OH-58	1.0	2.0	1	5.7	35%	29.8 34.55 13.5
UTTAS AAH ASH	FTAS AH 3.2 SH		1	10.4	38%	45.25 43.80 31.55
CH-47	4.8	6.0	1	15.2	39%	34 55

187-78