

AD-A055 653

FLORIDA UNIV GAINESVILLE DEPT OF CHEMISTRY

F/G 7/4

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE FIRST ROW TRANSITION-METAL FLUORIDE MOL--ETC(U)

1978 T C DEVORE, R J ZEE, W WELTNER

AFOSR-76-2906

AFOSR-TR-78-1067

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

| OF |

AD
A055653



END

DATE
FILMED

8 -78

DDC

2

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

AD A 055653

AD No.

FILE COPY

19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER 18 AFOSR/TR-78-1067	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) AN INVESTIGATION OF THE FIRST ROW TRANSITION-METAL FLUORIDE MOLECULES USING ESR SPECTROSCOPY.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 9 Interim Report	
6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		7. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
10 T.C./DeVore, R.J. Van/Zee and W./Weltner, Jr		15 AFOSR-76-2906	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of Florida Department of Chemistry Gainesville, Florida 32611		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61102F 2303/B1 17 B1	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS A.F. Office of Scientific Research (NC) Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332		12. REPORT DATE 11 1978	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 12 13p	
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		DDC JUN 22 1978 RESOLVED E	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Proc. Symp. on High Temperature Metal Halide Chemistry v78-1 p187-198 1978			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) molecular structure, electron-spin-resonance, electronic structure, transition-metal fluoride molecules, matrix-isolation.			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The ground state electronic configuration and magnetic parameters have been determined for several first row transition metal mono-, di-, and tri-fluoride molecules from their ESR spectra at 4°K. The molecules studied have high spin electronic configurations with less than 5% of the free electron spin density residing on the fluorine(s), indicative of highly ionic bonding. Theory and experiment have been correlated to establish or predict their ground electronic states and geometries.			

400 478

78 06 10 062
Unclassified

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DDC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

AFOSR-TR-

Proc. 106 of High Temperature
Metal Halide Chemistry (Edited by
D.L. Hildenbrand and D.D.
Cubicciotti) Proceedings Vol. 78-1
pages 187-198 (The Electrochem.
Soc. Inc., 1978).

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE FIRST ROW TRANSITION-METAL FLUORIDE MOLECULES
USING ESR SPECTROSCOPY

T.C. DeVore,† R.J. Van Zee, and W. Weltner, Jr.


†Department of Chemistry, James Madison University, Harrisonburg,
VA. 22801

Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL. 32611

ABSTRACT

The ground state electronic configuration and magnetic parameters have been determined for several first row transition metal mono-, di-, and tri-fluoride molecules from their ESR spectra at 4°K. The molecules studied have high spin electronic configurations with less than 5% of the free electron spin density residing on the fluorine(s), indicative of highly ionic bonding. Theory and experiment have been correlated to establish or predict their ground electronic states and geometries.

Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION.....	
BY.....	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	

78 067 19 062

Introduction

The bond energy relationships for the metal fluoride molecules have been discussed by Hildenbrand,¹ and references are also to be found there to mass spectrometric studies of the species vaporizing from the solid fluorides, or from the solid fluorides plus the elemental metals. Although many transition-metal mono-, di-, and trifluorides have been observed in some spectroscopic manner, an understanding of their electronic properties is far from complete. Most of the monofluorides have been observed spectroscopically and characterized in the gas-phase,² but the lowest state has often not been ascertained (e.g., TiF, VF, NiF). Hastie, *et al.*,^{3,4} have measured the IR spectra of the difluorides in matrices, assigned vibrational frequencies, and deduced or estimated bond angles. However, other than TiF₂⁵ and CuF₂,⁶ which have been observed via ESR, the ground states of the difluorides are unknown. Those authors have also observed ScF₃⁷ and TiF₃⁸ in the IR and concluded that ScF₃ is planar (D_{3h}) but that the planarity of TiF₃ was less certain.

Relevant data on transition-metal di- and tri-halides other than fluorides has been given by Thompson and Carlson⁹ and discussed in a review by Gruen.¹⁰

Theory has lagged considerably behind experiment. Besnainou and Whitten have recently calculated the ground state of NiF₂ to have a bond angle of 162° and the Ni-F bond to be slightly covalent.¹¹ Yates and Pitzer have recently made an *ab initio* study of the trifluorides.¹² All are planar high-spin molecules except CrF₃ which is 10° out-of-plane. MnF₃ is found to be ⁵E' and should therefore exhibit Jahn-Teller instability.

Much of the ESR work that we discuss here is incomplete in that more thorough studies in both neon and argon matrices are needed. Also, we have not hesitated to predict the ground state properties of species on the basis of the known properties of the transition-metal ions in these highly ionic fluorides or from the known properties of isoelectronic molecules.

Molecular Ground States

Figure 1 is a summary of the present state of knowledge of the ground states of the first-row transition-metal mono-, di-, and trifluorides. The states underlined are uncertain or predicted. The trifluoride data in row four are taken from the recent calculations of Yates and Pitzer.¹²

ScF_n. ScF has been thoroughly studied experimentally^{2,13} and theoretically.¹⁴ Optical spectroscopy in matrices at 4°K¹³ proved that its ground state is (σ²)¹Σ rather than (σδ)³Δ as in isoelectronic TiO.¹⁵ The IR spectrum of ScF₂ indicates that it is bent with an estimated bond angle of 135°; its electronic ground state is not

78 06 19 062

known. Knight and Wise, in very recent unpublished work,¹⁶ have seen the ESR spectrum of ScF_2 which appears near $g = 2$ as an octet of triplets. The octet splitting, about 80G arises from ^{45}Sc ($I = 7/2$) and the triplets, 10-12 G splitting, are due to the two equivalent fluorine atoms. Further analysis is needed to establish whether it is linear or bent. Theory¹² predicts that ScF_3 is planar with a $^1A_1'$ ground state. The planar structure is in agreement with the IR results of Hastie, et al.⁷

TiF_n . TiF is isoelectronic with VO and is therefore expected to have a $(\sigma\delta^2)^4\Sigma$ ground state,^{17,2} and the observed optical transitions in the gas phase do involve a lower $^4\Sigma$ state.¹⁸ However, in recent ESR work at 4°K in our laboratory, the spectrum of TiF was not observed, suggesting either that TiF was not being produced and trapped at 4°K or that the ground state is not $^4\Sigma$. Since many experiments were run, possibly producing TiF in several ways, it is probable that the latter is the case, so that the most likely alternative ground state, $(\sigma\delta^2)^2\Delta$, is indicated in Fig. 1.

TiF_2 has been established to be bent by both IR⁴ and ESR⁵ studies. The latter have shown it to have a 3B_1 ground state with the unpaired electrons in orbitals perpendicular to the plane of the molecule. These spins do not produce any observable fluorine hyperfine splitting so that it is inferred that $A_\perp(\text{F})$ and $A_\parallel(\text{F})$ are < 25 MHz.

TiF_3 is planar with the one unpaired electron in a $4s + 3d_{z^2}$ hybrid orbital perpendicular to the plane of the molecule.⁵ IR spectra⁸ are in accord with this. The fluorine hyperfine splittings are observed as $|A_\parallel| = 12$ and $|A_\perp| = 48$ MHz.

VF_n . Investigation of these molecules is in progress, and only the ESR lines shown in Fig. 2 have been observed after the vaporization of solid VF_3 and trapping in argon at 4°K. A strong perpendicular line at $g = 3.92$ is observed split by ^{51}V ($I = 7/2$) hyperfine interaction. Each line can be resolved into a 1:2:1 triplet arising from the hyperfine splitting of two equivalent fluorine atoms as shown in the lower part of Fig. 2. Although one cannot be positive, the absence of further structure indicates that the molecule is linear. We cannot, however, definitely rule out the angle of 150° estimated from IR spectra.⁴ If linear, the molecule has a $^4\Sigma$ ground state, as does VO (if bent, the ground state would probably be $d^3(b_1^2\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2)^4B_1$). The measured magnetic parameters are, assuming $g_\perp = 2.00$, $A_\perp(^{51}\text{V}) = 581(3)$ MHz, $A_\parallel(^{51}\text{V}) = 205(20)$ MHz, $A_\perp(\text{F}) = 28.6(9)$ MHz, $|D| > 0.48$ cm^{-1} .

VF , isoelectronic to CrO , is indicated to have a $(\sigma\delta^2\pi)^5\Pi$ ground state, which could then not be observed in matrix ESR spectra. VF_3 should be a planar $^3A_2'$ molecule according to Yates and Pitzer,¹² and this should be easily proved if and when it is matrix-isolated.

CrF_n. The top of Fig. 3 shows a perpendicular ESR line at about $g = 6$ attributed to CrF indicating that it has a ${}^6\Sigma$ ground state, which is compatible with the gas-phase optical spectrum.¹⁹ As Fig. 3 shows, the shape of the line in neon and argon matrices is quite different. The molecule appears to be in more than one site in argon and is partially oriented in both matrices.^{6,20} ${}^{53}\text{Cr}$ ($I = 3/2$, 9.5% natural abundance) hfs may be observable on the neon line where it yields $|A_1(\text{Cr})| = 36$ MHz. The fluorine hfs is again smaller than the line-width, i.e. < 20 MHz. A high field xy line should also appear if the molecule is ${}^6\Sigma$, and in argon one is observed at 5203 G. From the 1144 and 5203 G lines in argon, assuming $g_H = 2.002$, one can calculate $g_L = 1.989$ and $|D| = 0.56 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, where the uncertainty may be 10%.

CrF₂ has not been clearly identified in these spectra. A line at 2648 G in argon is also observed in neon and can be attributed to a ${}^5\Sigma$ molecule; however, it does not appear to be reproducible. The absence of a CrF₂ ESR spectrum can be accounted for by assuming that it is linear and has an orbitally-degenerate ground state, such as ${}^5\Pi_g$.

CrF₃ has been clearly identified by the xy line near $g = 4$ shown at the bottom of Fig. 3. Three equivalent fluorine atoms give four hf lines, in this case 35 MHz apart. It is not possible to definitely say whether the molecule is planar so that we cannot establish whether the slightly pyramidal 4A_2 ground state proposed by Yates and Pitzer¹² is correct. From the line position at $g^e = 3.950$, assuming that $g_L \leq g_e$, one finds that $|D| \geq 0.59 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

MnF_n. Recently completed ESR work on MnF and MnF₂ shows unequivocally that their ground states are ${}^7\Sigma$ and ${}^6\Sigma_g$, respectively.²¹ Small but resolved fluorine hfs is observed for both molecules in addition to the Mn ($I = 5/2$) splittings. The zero-field splitting is quite different in the two molecules: $D = -0.0107 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in MnF and $\pm 0.37 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in MnF₂. MnF₃ has not been observed but is predicted to be planar with X^5E' .¹²

FeF_n. Investigation of these molecules is incomplete at present. FeF₂ and FeF₃ appear to have been observed in the ESR. Two weak xy lines, each with a possible hf triplet splitting of 38 G, are observed at 1531 and 2445 G. They indicate that FeF₂ is probably linear (in agreement with the IR spectrum⁴) with a ${}^5\Sigma_g$ ground state. The FeF₃ line is very clear as a quartet at $g \approx 6$, as shown in Fig. 4 where it is compared with the CrF₃ line. The F hfs is about twice that in the chromium trifluoride. The lack of additional splittings indicates that FeF₃ is planar and therefore has a ${}^6A_1'$ ground state, in agreement with theory.¹² From the effective $g^e = 5.960$, assuming $g_L \leq g_e$, one finds $|D| \geq 0.84 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

FeF has not been observed in the ESR implying either that it has not been isolated or that its ground state is not ${}^6\Sigma$.

CoF_n and NiF_n. Only nickel fluoride has been attempted using both photolysis and vaporization of solid NiF₂. In neither case was a reproducible spectrum observed. Since NiF₂ molecules should have been trapped from the vaporized solid,²² it suggests that the molecule is linear but with a degenerate ground state. However, since this result apparently disagrees with the structure deduced from IR spectra⁴ ($\theta = 165 \pm 8^\circ$) and computed using non-empirical SCF calculations,¹¹ more work is needed to establish the (audaciously) assigned $^3\Pi$ state given in Fig. 1.

CuF_n. CuF has been observed in the gas-phase²³ and the $^1\Sigma$ ground state appears to be well established. The ESR spectrum of CuF₂ shows clearly that it has a $^2\Sigma$ ground state.⁶ The g tensor components depart considerably from g_e and the fluorine hfs is larger than any of the other transition-metal fluorides: $A_\perp = 206$, $A_\parallel = 308$ MHz. CuF₃ has not been detected spectroscopically.

Discussion

A general observation for all of the fluoride molecules studied via ESR is that the fluorine hyperfine splitting is very small and not resolved in some cases. This, coupled with the fact that the ^{19}F nucleus has a large magnetic moment, indicates that the unpaired spins in these molecules are essentially confined to the metal atoms. This means that they are in metal non-bonding orbitals even when a $3d\sigma$ or $4s\sigma$ orbital is available for bonding to the ligands. The implication is that the molecules are all highly ionic and are best considered as M^+F^- , $\text{M}^{2+}(\text{F}^-)_2$, and $\text{M}^{3+}(\text{F}^-)_3$. It is then to be expected, and is observed as shown in Fig. 5, that as one proceeds across the Periodic Table the F hfs will increase, since the ionization potentials of the metals increase. ($A_\perp(\text{F})$ is plotted there since it was the only splitting observed for most fluorides. Generally $A_\parallel(\text{F})$ will be larger than $A_\perp(\text{F})$.)

Monofluorides.

If these molecules are looked upon as M^+F^- then their ground states and optical spectra should reflect the properties of the M^+ ion. The ground-state configuration of the gas-phase M^+ ions are given in Fig. 1 and indicate that in Ti^+ , Mn^+ , and Fe^+ the $4s$ level is low enough to be occupied. Then we will speculate on two approximate rules for forming the configurations and ground states of these fluorides:

Let $\sigma(4s + \lambda 3d_{z^2}) = \sigma$ and $\sigma(3d_{z^2} - \lambda' 4s) = \sigma'$, where λ and λ' are constants less than unity,

1. If $\text{M}^+(\text{gas})$ has a ground-state configuration involving $4s$ then σ is effectively stabilized in the fluoride molecule and σ^2 can occur.

2. d orbitals in the molecules fill up in the order δd , πd , $\sigma d (= \sigma')$ with the highest spin possible.

These rules work well for ScF through CrF. For both MnF and FeF, where $3d^n 4s$ is the lowest configuration of the metal ion, it is assumed that the $\sigma' \approx 3d_{z^2}$ orbital is also lower and is occupied to give the highest possible spin. In CoF, NiF and CuF where the 4s orbital lies increasingly higher, we assume the σ' orbital also lies higher. (Note that the ground states chosen here for CoF and NiF differ from the less ionic CoH and NiH which are $\sigma^2 \delta^3 \pi^3$, $^3\phi$ and $\sigma^2 \delta^3 \pi^4$, $^2\Delta$, respectively.²)

These two rules then account for our choice of ground states for MF molecules in Fig. 1, and it remains to be seen whether we have chosen correctly. It is clear from a comparison of these states with the closely related MO molecules that in almost all cases low-lying excited states occur which are probably almost as important thermodynamically as the ground state.

Unfortunately only CrF and MnF have been observed in the ESR. The most striking difference between them is their zero-field-splitting parameters which are ± 0.56 and -0.01 cm^{-1} , respectively. (The value for MnF is essentially the same in sign and magnitude as MnH.²⁴) Theory must be applied to explain that difference.²⁵

Difluorides

The infrared work of Hastie, *et al*⁴ indicates that ScF₂ and TiF₂ are strongly bent, CrF₂ and FeF₂ are linear, and NiF₂ and CuF₂ are bent at an angle of $165 \pm 8^\circ$. VF₂, MnF₂, and CoF₂ are estimated to have angles of 150° , 180° , and 170° , respectively. We will propose here that all molecules to the right of VF₂ are linear and that VF₂ is uncertain but may be slightly bent. Since the larger angles ($>165^\circ$) determined by Hastie, *et al*, are the most uncertain then this proposal does not really depart significantly from their findings.

If the metal atoms in these molecules may be considered as essentially M^{+2} ions then their lowest states involve 3d and 4s orbitals (not 4p). The Walsh diagram for BAB molecules must then be altered to consider predominantly d electrons on A rather than p electrons. This was done earlier by Hayes²⁶ and Weltner and McLeod²⁷ and has been discussed in a recent review.²⁸ 16 of the 18 valence electrons of TiF₂ fill up levels favoring a bent molecule and the remaining two go essentially into non-bonding d orbitals on titanium perpendicular to the plane of the molecule, resulting in a triplet ground state (see Fig. 1).⁵ However, addition of further electrons to give high spin states places them in higher-lying antibonding levels, as Hayes has indicated in explaining the linearity of MnF₂.²¹ Although VF₂ may still be bent, it seems likely that the remainder of these difluorides are linear, particularly when it is known that MnF₂^{21,26,4,28} and CuF₂^{6,4} are linear.

NiF₂ is somewhat controversial. Buchler, *et al.*,²⁹ from molecular beam experiments, found no dipole moment and therefore supported a linear structure. Milligan, *et al.*,³⁰ Hastie, *et al.*,⁴ and Van Leirsburg and DeKock,³¹ from IR matrix studies, place the angle at 180°, 165 ± 8°, and 152°, respectively. A recent Hartree-Fock SCF calculation¹¹ yields an angle of 162°. However, from the reasoning leading to the assignments in Figure 1, a linear ³Π, or possibly ³Φ, ground state seems most likely.

There may be a trend in the zero-field-splitting parameters, |D|, in going across the Periodic Table. Ti, V, Mn, and Fe difluorides have |D| = 0.0782, > 0.48, 0.37, and 0.16 cm⁻¹, respectively, in approximate accord with increasing and then decreasing multiplicities.

Trifluorides

There appears to be complete agreement between Yates and Pitzer's¹² calculated ground states and those of the three molecules observed via ESR, within the rather restricted information that the experiments supply. The multiplicities agree and all molecules appear to be planar (D_{3h}) or the departure from planarity is small. The predicted small non-planarity of CrF₃¹² cannot be resolved by its ESR spectrum (Figs. 2 and 3).

The theory indicates very small spin densities on the F atoms, as observed. In the one case where complete data could be obtained from the ESR, i.e. TiF₃, there is a discrepancy between calculated and observed spin distributions on Ti. Theory indicates about 94% 3d_{z²} character whereas experiment indicates only about 30%. While part of this discrepancy may also reside in the approximate procedure used in the derivation of the experimental value from the ESR data, it is likely that the theoretical calculation tends to underestimate the 4s contribution to the wavefunction.

Conclusion

Although many of the molecular states given in Fig. 1 are uncertain, the general scheme is supported by ESR data and is not in grave opposition to other experimental work. The molecules are clearly very ionic and the spins are almost entirely localized on the metal ion.

Among the diatomics, even though the ground states of isoelectronic species are not the same, it is clear that the same low-lying states will occur in each (e.g., ScF and TiO) and will be the thermodynamically important ones in both cases.

A transition-metal difluoride and its corresponding oxide often have the same ground state and can be expected to have the same group of low-lying states. A good example is FeO and FeF₂ where the low-lying states in both cases are presumably ⁷Σ, ⁵Σ, and ⁵Δ. The ground

state of FeO has only recently been settled to be $^5\Delta_{3/2}$ and for some time it was thought to be $^7\Sigma$ or $^5\Sigma$.^{33,34} Then FeF₂ is similar but with some transposition of the lower states.

Yates and Pitzer's¹² calculations for the trifluorides have provided a good basis for understanding this series, and experiment seems to support their theory.

There are many gaps to be filled in Fig. 1 and a need to push on to the second and third rows of the Periodic Table in making correlations.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. T.C.S. Chen for his help with some of the experimental work. They are also grateful to the Air Force for support under Grant AFOSR 76-2906 and to NSF for support under Grant CHE 76-17564.

Addenda:

Dr. G. Rosenblatt has pointed out a reference to ScF₃ that we missed: E.W. Kaiser, W.E. Falconer, and W. Klemperer, J. Chem. Phys. **56**, 5393 (1972). Those authors show by electric deflection measurements that ScF₃ is pyramidal, in contrast with the theory and IR results mentioned above.

Figure 1 shows VF₂ as bent, but the latest spectrum in Fig. 2 indicates that it is probably linear.

References

1. D.L. Hildenbrand, *Adv. High Temp. Chem.* 1, 193 (1967).
2. Spectroscopic Data Relative to Diatomic Molecules, edited by B. Rosen (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1970).
3. J.W. Hastie, R.H. Hauge, and J.L. Margrave, *High Temp. Science*, 1, 76 (1969).
4. J.W. Hastie, R.H. Hauge, and J.L. Margrave, *Chem. Comm.*, 1452 (1969).
5. T.C. DeVore and W. Weltner, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 99, 4700 (1977).
6. P.H. Kasai, E.B. Whipple, and W. Weltner, Jr., *J. Chem. Phys.* 44, 2581 (1966).
7. R.H. Hauge, J.W. Hastie, and J.L. Margrave, *J. Less-Common Metals*, 23, 359 (1971).
8. J.W. Hastie, R.H. Hauge, and J.L. Margrave, *J. Chem. Phys.* 51, 2648 (1969).
9. K.R. Thompson and K.D. Carlson, *J. Chem. Phys.* 49, 4379 (1968).
10. D.M. Gruen, *Progr. Inorg. Chem.* 14, 119 (1971).
11. S. Besnainou and J.L. Whitten, paper given in 32nd Symposium on Molecular Spectroscopy, Columbus, Ohio, TH 1, June 14, 1977.
12. J.H. Yates and R.M. Pitzer, *J. Chem. Phys.* (to be published).
13. D. McLeod, Jr. and W. Weltner, Jr., *J. Phys. Chem.* 70, 3293 (1966).
14. P.R. Scott and W.G. Richards, *Specialist Periodical Reports (The Chemical Society, London), Molecular Spectroscopy* 4, 70 (1976).
15. W. Weltner, Jr. and D. McLeod, Jr., *J. Phys. Chem.* 69, 3488 (1965).
16. L.B. Knight, Jr. and M. Wise (private communication).
17. P. Kasai, *J. Chem. Phys.* 49, 4979 (1968).
18. R.L. Diebner and J.G. Kay, *J. Chem. Phys.* 51, 3547 (1969).
19. B. Kleman and U. Uhler, *Can. J. Phys.* 37, 537 (1959).
20. W. Weltner, Jr., *Adv. High Temp. Chem.* 2, 85 (1969).
21. T.C. DeVore, R.J. Van Zee, and W. Weltner, Jr. (to be published).
22. T.C. Ehlert, R.A. Kent, and J.L. Margrave, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 86, 5093 (1964).
23. R. Ritschl, *Z. Phys.* 42, 172 (1927); L.H. Woods, *Phys. Rev.* 64, 259 (1943).

24. See W. Hayes, P.D. McCarvill and T.E. Nevin, Proc. Phys. Soc. A70, 904 (1957 and references given there).
25. See A. Carrington and A.D. McLachlan, Introduction to Magnetic Resonance (Harper and Row, N.Y., 1967) pages 158-162.
26. E.F. Hayes, J. Phys. Chem. 70, 3740 (1966).
27. W. Weltner, Jr. and D. McLeod, Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 42, 882 (1965).
28. W. Weltner, Jr., submitted to Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft.
29. A. Büchler, J.L. Stauffer, and W. Klemperer, J. Chem. Phys. 40, 3471 (1964).
30. D.E. Milligan, M.E. Jacox, and J.D. McKinley, J. Chem. Phys. 42, 902 (1965).
31. D.A. Van Leirsburg and C.W. DeKock, J. Phys. Chem. 78, 134 (1974).
32. P.C. Engelking and W.C. Lineberger, J. Chem. Phys. 66, 5054 (1977).
33. R.F. Barrow and M. Senior, Nature (London) 223, 1359 (1969); J.B. West and H.P. Broida, J. Chem. Phys. 62, 2566 (1975).
34. P.S. Bagus and J.H.T. Preston, J. Chem. Phys. 59, 2986 (1973).

Fig. 1

GROUND STATES (ESTABLISHED AND PREDICTED) OF TRANSITION-METAL FLUORIDES									
M ⁺ ION	3d ⁴ s	3d ⁴ s	3d ⁴	3d ³	3d ³ 4s	3d ³ 4s	3d ³	3d ²	3d ¹
MF	ScF <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	TiF <u>σ²</u> <u>1Δ</u>	VF <u>σ²</u> <u>1Π</u>	CrF <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	MnF <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	FeF <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	CoF <u>σ²</u> <u>1Π</u>	NiF <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	CuF <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>
MO (ISOELECTR TO MF)	TiO <u>σ²</u> <u>1Δ</u>	VO <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	CrO <u>σ²</u> <u>1Π</u>	MnO <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	FeO <u>σ²</u> <u>1Δ</u>	CoO <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	NiO <u>σ²</u> <u>1Π</u>	CuO <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	ZnO <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>
MF ₂	ScF ₂ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	TiF ₂ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	VF ₂ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	CrF ₂ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	MnF ₂ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	FeF ₂ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	CoF ₂ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	NiF ₂ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	CuF ₂ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>
MF ₃	ScF ₃ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	TiF ₃ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	VF ₃ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	CrF ₃ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	MnF ₃ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	FeF ₃ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	CoF ₃ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	NiF ₃ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>	CuF ₃ <u>σ²</u> <u>1Σ</u>

Underlined States Are Predicted




Fig. 2. ESR spectrum of VF₂ molecule trapped in an argon matrix.




Fig. 3. ESR spectra of CrF molecule in argon and neon matrices and CrF₃ molecule in an argon matrix.




Fig. 4. ESR spectra of FeF_3 and Cr_3 molecules trapped in argon matrices.




Fig. 5