

Bell Aerospace Company P.O. Box One Buffalo, New York 14240

75

NOY OF

ľ

III

167-927009

SURF TESTS OF A 1/7.5 SCALE MODEL







Prepared for

U.S. ARMY MOBILITY EQUIPMENT RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER R&D PROCUREMENT OFFICE Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060



This document has been approved for public relocas and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

gue

\$54 ddø

| CONT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. SURF TESTS OF A 1/7.5 SCALE MODEL OF THE LACY-30 HOR(*) BELL AEROSPACE COMPANY FORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Bell Aerospace CoDiv. of Textron P. O. Box One Buffalo, N. Y. 14240 NEOLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Center R&D Procurement Office NITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dilferent from Controlling Office) Fort Belvoir, Virginia STRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract oniered in Block 20, II dilferent from STRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract oniered in Block 20, II dilferent from | RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER TYPE OF REPORT A PERIOD COV Final Y J September 1975 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUME 7467-927009 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(P) DAAK 02-75-C-0149 OAK 02-75-C-0149 OAK 02-75-C-0149 OC PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS OC tober 1975 NUMBER OF PAGES 29 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified Schedule |
|---|--|
| SURF TESTS OF A 1/7.5 SCALE MODEL OF THE LACY-30. THOR(*) BELL AEROSPACE COMPANY ************************************ | TYPE OF REPORT A PERIOD COVI Final Y - J September 1975 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUME 7467-927009 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) DAAK 02-75-C-0149 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) DAAK 02-75-C-0149 PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS |
| HOR(*) BELL AEROSPACE COMPANY PORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Bell Aerospace CoDiv. of Textron P. O. Box One Buffalo, N. Y. 14240 TROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Center R&D Procurement Office NITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(<i>it different from Controlling Office</i>) Fort Belvoir, Virginia TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different from | 7467-927009 CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(*) DAAK 02-75-C-0149 DAAK 02-75-C-0149 PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 2. REPORT DATE Oc tober 1975 3. NUMBER OF PAGES 29 5. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE |
| HOR(*) BELL AEROSPACE COMPANY FORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Bell Aerospace CoDiv. of Textron P. O. Box One Buffalo, N. Y. 14240 NIFOLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Center R&D Procurement Office NITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) Fort Belvoir, Virginia TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, II different from | DAAK 02-75-C-0149 DAAK 02-75-C-0149 PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS REPORT DATE Oc tober 1975 NUMBER OF PAGES 29 S. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified Unclassified Security CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified |
| FORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Bell Aerospace CoDiv. of Textron P. O. Box One Buffalo, N. Y. 14240 TROCLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Center R&D Procurement Office NITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) Fort Belvoir, Virginia TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, 11 different from | PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 2. REPORT DATE October 1975 3. NUMBER OF PAGES 29 5. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 5. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE |
| TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different from | 2. REPORT DATE October 1975 3. NUMBER OF PAGES 29 5. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 5. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE |
| NITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) Fort Belvoir, Virginia TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different from | 5. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 5. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE |
| Fort Belvoir, Virginia TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from | Unclassified |
| TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) TRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, 11 different from | O Stall |
| | Report) |
| PLEMENTARY NOTES Y WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) | |
| U. S. Army Logistic Voyageur Air Cushic Model Test - Surf Traverse | n Vehicle |
| phibious op = : | |
| STRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block number) Surf tests were performed to determine LACV-30 to perform in 6 and 8 foot plun. The test program demonstrated that the satisfactorily in all conditions tested | the capability of the ging surf conditions LACV-30 model performed |

I

I

Π

Π

.[]

Π

Π

Π

Π

E

[

Π

SUMMARY

Surf tests were performed to determine the capability of the <u>LACV-30</u> to perform in 6 and 8 foot plunging surf conditions. The testing period was one week. The table below is a summary of the configuration and tests performed for the two surf conditions.

TEST PROGRAM SUMMARY

| Condition | Configuration | Weight lbs. | Surf Height ft. | No. of Runs |
|-----------|--|----------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Landward | Vehicle with surf fence and MILVANs | 115,000 | 6 | 11 |
| Seaward | Vehicle with surf fence | 84,375 | 6 | 5 |
| Landward | Vehicle with surf fence and MILVANs | 115,000 | 8 | 9 |
| Landward | Vehicle with surf fence, MILVANs and crane | 115,000 | 8 | 7 |
| Seaward | Vehicle with surf fence, MILVANs and crane | 115,000 | 8 | 5 |
| Seaward | Vehicle with surf fence, MILVANs and crane | 84,375 | 8 | 1 |

The test program demonstrated that the LACV-30 model performed satisfactorily in all conditions tested. When operating landward, the vehicle speed should equal or exceed the surf speed to reduce impact of the waves on the stern of the vehicle. Seaward operations will experience frequent wave impact on the bow of the vehicle. The surf fence is effective in minimizing the wash over the deck. Best performance appears to result for surf entry speeds just below hump speed, 20.5 miles per hour.

i



PREFACE

This report describes surf tests performed under Contract No. DAAK 02-75-C-0149, a program to deliver two Pilot Model Air Cushion Vehicles designated LACV-30. The contracting agency is the U. S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Center in Fort Belvoir, Virginia.

The work reported herein was performed by Bell Aerospace Company, a Division of Textron, Inc., P. O. Box One, Buffalo, New York 14240. The testing was performed at the ACV Laboratory at the Bell facility in Wheatfield, New York. The report covers the one week testing period ending 26 September 1975.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

0

I

0

E

| | Ī | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| SUMMAR | RY | i |
| PREFAC | CE | ii |
| I. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II. | INVESTIGATION | 2 |
| | Test Facility Model Description Test Program Data Acquisition | 2234 |
| III. | DISCUSSION | 5 |
| IV. | CONCLUSIONS | 7 |
| v. | RECOMMENDATIONS | 8 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | | Page |
|--------------|--|------|
| I | Surf Test Program Schedule | 9 |
| II | Speeds Entering and Leaving Surf-Model and Full Scale | 10 |

U

0

D

1

1

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Ó

[]

0

0

0

1

| Figure No. | | Page |
|---------------|---|------|
| l | Surf Facility | 12 |
| 2 | View of Beach Ramp Under Construction | 13 · |
| 3 | Sequence Shots of 8 Foot Surf Buildup | 14 |
| 4 | Enlarged Photograph of Sequence Number 57 | 15 |
| 5 | LACV-30 Model | 16 |
| 6 | Sequence Camera Pictures for Run Number 22 | 17 |
| 7 | Wave Sensor Data Recorded During Run Number 22 | 19 |
| 8 | Sequence Camera Pictures for Run Number 24 | 20 |
| 9 | Wave Sensor Data Recorded During Run Number 24 | 22 |

I. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the surf testing of the 1/7.5 scale model of the LACV-30 configuration. These tests were performed under Contract No. DAAK02-75-C-0149.

The purpose of these tests was to obtain a qualitative prediction of the behavior of the LACV-30 in surf, using a 1/7.5 scale model of the vehicle.

The conditions tested included the following:

- a) Scaled surf heights of six and eight foot plunging surf.
- b) Vehicle with and without payload, with and without swing crane.
- c) Both seaward (into surf) and landward (with surf) approaches.
- d) Wave sensors were placed in the water tank ahead of the beach installation to provide continuous monitory of wave height to produce the resulting surf height at the beach.

The principal data taken during the tests are in the form of motion pictures and videotape recordings of each test run. This coverage was provided by the Government and is not included as a part of this report.

Brief discussions of the craft behavior in negotiating surf are included in Section III.

II. INVESTIGATION

1. Test Facility

The tests were conducted in the Bell Aerospace ACV Laboratory located in Wheatfield, N. Y. The water tank facility is 100 feet square, with two sides forming beaches. A water depth of approximately three and one-half feet was used. To generate surf, a hydraulically driven wave maker is installed in the northwest corner parallel to the west end of the tank such that waves could be generated toward the east beach, figure 1. A beach extension was installed in the northeast corner with a 1 in 10 slope in the surf area. The beach is now a permanent installation in the facility. A view of the beach under construction is shown in figure 2.

The model was attached to a boom, 37.5 feet long, which in turn was attached to a pole on the beach, midway across the tank as shown in figure 1. All power lines required for lift and propulsion motors were attached to the boom and relayed to the center pole through slip rings. The model was attached to the boom through a universal joint which enables the model to be free in pitch, roll and heave, but restricted in yaw to a small angle. During initial tests, excessive freedom in the joint permitted relatively large yaw motion.

Surf was generated to provide either 6 or 8 foot (full scale) peak to trough plunging surf at the beach, as measured against a calibrated pole just before breaking. A selected sequence of pictures are presented in figure 3 to show the progression of wave and a resulting surf height of 8 feet. In each of the pictures is visible a black and white grid which enables the test operator to measure the deep water wave height and adjust it as required to produce a 8 foot surf at the beach. Wave sensors were placed ahead of the grid to provide continuous monitoring of the wave height at this location. The effect of the grid can best be seen in figure 4 which is an enlargement of sequence number 57 shown in figure 3. Here it can be seen that the deep water height is approximately 14 inches, corresponding to 8.75 feet, when the surf height at the beach is 12.8 inches, corresponding to 8 feet.

2. Model Description

The model used in the surf test program is a 1/7.5 scale of the LACV-30 configuration. The model shown in figure 5, includes surf fence, swing crane, inlet air management system and MILVAN containers on the deck representative of the full scale LACV-30 at a design gross weight of 115,000 pounds. The hovering condition shown is for a 2% aft center of gravity location and lift fan speed of 945 RPM, both of which were held constant throughout the surf test. The aft c.g. position was obtained through the placement of weight fore and aft on the model.

The configuration shown in figure 5 includes the modified stern seal arrangement which reduces the cushion flow leakage at the stern of the vehicle.

Propulsion power for the model is provided by two 7.5 horsepower electric motors mounted ahead of the modeled power module. Thrust is obtained using two six inch diameter four bladed model propellers mounted at the rear of the modeled power module. The thrust has been calibrated as a function of prpeller RPM and forward speed, and is capable of exceeding that available on the LACV-30. Lift fan power is provided by two 1.4 horsepower electric motors.

3. Test Program

The surf test program for the LACV-30 model is presented in Table I. The number of each run was prominently displayed on the model (see figure 6 from run number 22). A total of 38 runs were made during the one week test period ending 26 September 1975. Twelve of the runs were repeats, made to enable the photographer supplied by the government to take selected color movies. Two passes through the surf were made for all runs.

The first five test runs were landward with a configuration which included surf fence and MILVANs but no crane. The full scale gross weight was the design maximum value of 115,000 pounds, lift fan speed was 945 rpm, surf height was 6 feet and propeller RPM was varied between runs to provide a range of speeds. Run number 1 was repeated to provide increased coverage. Excessive model yaw was noticed during the early runs. The universal boom attachment to the model as well as the boom itself were corrected to reduce the yaw.

Runs 6 through 9 were seaward tests with MILVANS removed, at the minimum achievable model weight corresponding to a full scale gross weight of 84,375 pounds. In order to achieve the 84,375 pounds gross weight, a bungee arrangement was employed to support some of the model weight on the boom. This was due to the fact that the minimum weight for the 2% aft center of gravity was substantially higher, approximately 105,000 pounds. Run number 6 was re-run because the vehicle was initially out of trim. Runs 10 through 16 were landward with a surf height of 8 feet. The configuration included surf fence and MILVANS for a gross weight of 115,000 pounds. The next series of tests in 8 foot surf were performed with a configuration which included surf fence, crane and MILVANS. Runs 17 through 21 were landward and runs 22 through 24 were seaward. The full scale gross weight was 115,000 pounds and lift fan speed of 945 RPM. The final series of tests were to be for the light-weight condition, 84,375 pounds full scale and seaward into an 8 foot surf. On the first pass, the boom fractured at a point approximately 20 feet from the model. Testing was thus concluded.

4. Data Acquisition

Data recorded during the test program was vehicle speed and wave height midway between the wavemaker and the beach. Data was recorded using a Minneapolis Honeywell Visicorder which gives instant data.

The basic data gathering was in the form of motion pictures to show the craft behavior and water impact in attempting to negotiate the surf both landward and seaward. The speeds for entering and leaving the surf were recorded for each test run and are presented in Table II in model speed and corresponding full scale speed. Sequence camera shots were taken for a few test runs by a Bell Aerospace photographer and will be discussed where applicable.

A wave height sensor was continually monitored to assure that the specified wave height was being generated. Samples of the recorded wave heights are presented in figures 7 and 9 for runs number 22 and 24 respectively. The scale factor used is 8 feet of wave height (full scale) equivalent to 6 inches peak to trough height on the tracings shown in figures 7 and 9.

III. DISCUSSION

The primary data from the test program complete pictures and videotape recordings obtained dimension government. The coverage is not presented as part report. However, a brief discussion of each is presented here. The discussion of the using full scale craft characteristics for such gross weight, lift fan speed and surf height.

The first series of tests, runs 1 through performed with a configuration representing LACV-30 proceeding from ship to shore through In all five tests the model negotiated the surproblem. It appeared that the most satisfactor going from ship to shore was to match the speed with water speed and reduce the impact of surstern of the vehicle.

During the first test series, a large number of the surf with respect to impingenent the aft deck and propeller.

During the initial three runs, a large and noticed as the vehicle swung into the waves. the boom and model resulted in the strengthening and changing the connection between model and boom condition was corrected in later tests.

The next series of tests were seaward and at the lightweight condition of 84,375 pounds and swing crane. This series involved surf from 14 to 34 mph, with surf departure speeds 9 mph slower than entry, (but widely variable). entry speeds above hump (20.5 mph) resulted in with considerable spray, while the lower entry cause the vehicle to spend excessive time in The best test performance was achieved at entry below hump.

However, two factors should be noted when seaward test results. First, considerable run ability was noted. This probably results from differences as the vehicle crosses the breaker the test procedure involves setting a fixed thru starting the model at a fixed distance from the the tests at lower entry speeds are at lower thru and conversely. It is quite possible that a thrust level high enough to maintain a speed through a surf of say 10 to 15 mph combined with initial entry at about that speed may provide better seaward behavior than any of the cases tested.

The remaining tests were performed in an 8 foot surf. Runs 10 through 16 were landward for a configuration with surf fence and MILVANs at a design gross weight of 115,000 pounds. Runs 10 and 11 were demonstration runs to insure proper monitoring of deep water wave height and plunging surf at the beach. Runs 12 and 13 were performed at very slow speeds. In both tests, the surf wave, caught up to the stern and impinged upon the aft deck and propeller. The remaining three runs were performed at higher speeds and the vehicle traversed the surf nicely with no impact on the stern. Again, it appears that vehicle speeds matching or exceeding surf speed produces good behavior in the landward direction.

The next series of tests were both landward and seaward with a configuration which included surf fence, MILVANs and swing crane at a gross weight of 115,000 pounds. Again matching craft speed with surf speed was desirable for ship to shore traverses. Seaward runs produced heavier impacts on the bow and surf fence than experienced in 6 foot surf.

Figure 6 presents selected sequence pictures from run number 22, showing the model entering the surf at 24.8 mph, riding through and leaving the surf at a speed of 7.3 mph. The photographs show the impact of the waves on the bow. The recorded deep water wave heights are presented in figure 7. The maximum height, peak to trough, is equivalent to 8.8 feet which results in an 8 foot plunging surf at the beach.

Selected sequence pictures of run number 24 are shown in figure 8. The speed entering the surf is 16.6 mph and the speed leaving the surf is 7.7 mph. The photographs show the impact of the bow and wave to be not as severe as the high speed run shown in figure 6. The craft appeared to ride the deep water waves smoothly.

The last series of tests were to be made for the same configuration but at a gross weight of 84,375 pounds. On the first pass, it appeared that the lower part of the boom made contact with the water and resulted in the boom breaking. It appeared that the vehicle speed was too slow and resulted in the model struggling through the 8 foot surf.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions of the surf test program using the 1/7.5 scale model are as follows:

- A. Landward Operations
 - a. Landward operation appears to be very satisfactory in both 6 foot and 8 foot surf.
 - b. Propeller and stern immersion is avoided if speed is equal to or greater than the wave speed.
- B. Seaward Operations
 - a. The vehicle is capable of traversing both 6 and 8 foot surf.
 - b. The head-on wave encounter situation tested involves substantial wave impact, accompanied by considerable spray and deceleration.
 - c. The surf fence is effective in minimizing the wash over the deck.
 - d. Best performance appears to result for surf entry speeds just below hump speed (20.5 mph).

Because the model was fixed in yaw, broaching tendencies were not evaluated.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

Verify the model test results with a full scale test program using the LACV-30 vehicle. The program should include a range of surf entry speeds both landward and seaward for the purpose of determining vehicle behavior and its comparison to the films taken of the model.

*Designation corresponds to identification numbers attached

| | Comments | | | | the set of the to 3 | Dear of Hun No. 3 | ultional color coverage w appeared large going to surf | peat of Run No. 1 | ern shot - attempt to | om strengthed, yav | peat of Run No. 2 | peat of Run No. 3 | r speed -Vehicle | peat of Run No. 6 - | hicle trimmed. | | | | monstration run - surf t quite high enough. | • | | | | | peat of Run No. 13 | peat of Run No. 15 | | | | • | Meat of Run No. 18 | peat of Run No. 20 | | | | peat of Run No. 24 | peat of Run No. 23 | hicle negotiated surf en boom collapsed, ding testing. |
|----------|------------------|----------------------|--------|------|---------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|------|---|---------|--|------|------|------|------|----------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|------|------|------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|------|------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| | 100 | × | H. | × | * * | X Ke | X | x Rei | x Ste | × | x Rec | x Rei | × | x Rel | Ve | * * | - | × | × | × | × | * * | < N | × | x Re | x Re | × | × | × | × | X | Re | , | * * | * | Rej | Rei | x Vel |
| | overage | | | | , | | × | * | × | | × | | × | × | | | | | | | - | | | | × | × | | | | | | | | - | | × | × | |
| | tape Co | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| | Video | <u> </u> | - | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | | | | | * | - | _ | | | | | | | | | - |
| | Ight-Ft. | v . | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | 9 | 8- | • | æ - | | | -00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | •œ |
| | del R | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | - | - | -80 | - 10- | - | 10. | | | 10. | | _ | | | _ | | | | | | | | _ | 10. |
| | No M | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | - | ~ | | - | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 116 | Prop Spee RPM | 6000 | 2000 | 8000 | 8000 | 0000 | 8 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 2000 | 8000 | 5000 | 5000 | 6000 | 2000 | 2 | 8000 | 5000 | 7000 | 5000 | 6000 | 8000 | 0006 | 6000 | 8000 | 5000 | 6000 | 2000 | 8000 | 0006 | 8000 | COUL | 6000 | 5000 | 5000 | 6000 | 2000 |
| AM SCHED | J-RPM Scale | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PROGR | n Spee | ¥- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | 545 | | | | | | | | | _ | | | _ | - | 5#6 |
| URF TEST | Lift Fa Model | 2614 | | | ALAC | +102 | | | | | | 2630 | 2630 | | | | - | 2630 | 2630 | | | | | 2630 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | - | 2630 |
| 01 | b. Scale | 835.0 | | | • | | | | | | | 835.0 | 375.0 | | | | + | 375.0 | 835.0 | | | | | 835.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | _ • | 835.0 | 375.0 |
| | ss Wt-I | 411 | | | | | | | | | | 114 | 8 | | | | ; | æ | 111 | | | | | h11 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 114 | 5 |
| | Gros Mode. | 272.3 | | | - | | | | | | | 272.2 | 200.0 | | | | - | 200.0 | 272.3 | | | | | 272.3 | | | | - | | | | - | - | - | | | 272.2 | 200.0 |
| | | ence | | | | | | | | | | ence | ence | | | | | ence | ence | | | | | ence | | | ence, | | | | | | | | | | | , ande |
| ş | ption | surf f | | | | | | | | | | surf : | surf f | | | | | surf f | surf C | | | | | surf f | | | surf f | | | | | | | | | | | surf fe |
| each r | onfigu Descri | W1 th | | | | _ | | | | | | with | with | | | | - | with | w1 th | | | | | with | | | with and c | - | | | | | - | | | | | with and c |
| thin for | 0 | Vehicle and MIL | | | | | | | | | | Vehicle | Vehicle | | | | | Vehicle | Vehicle and MIL | | | | | Vehicle | TTW DUD | | Vehicle | | | | | | | | | | | Vehicle MILVANS |
| odel ca | Run* | 1 | N | e . | * * | ~ | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 9 | , | - a | 0 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 13- | 15- | 11 | 18 | 19 | 8 8 | 18- | 102 | 50 | 3 | 54 | 1 772 | 162 | 25 |
| to | Condition | Landward (Ship to | Shore) | _ | | | | | | | | Landward | Seaward | Ship) | | | - | Seaward | Landward | | | | | Landward | | | | | | | | Landward | Cesusard | | - | | | Seaward |

| <u> </u> | Run | Speed Ent | ering Surf | Speed Leav | ving Surf |
|-----------|-----|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Condition | No. | Model-Ft/Sec | Full Scale-MPH | Model Ft/Sec | Full Scale-MPH |
| Landward | 1 | 8.3 11.3 | 15.5 21.1 | 5.1 9.0 | 9.5 16.8 |
| | 2 | 10.4 11.6 | 19.4 21.7 | 9.2 9.4 | 17.2 17.6 |
| | 3 | 13.1 13.4 | 24.5 25.0 | 11.1 12.0 | 20.7 22.4 |
| | 4 | 11.4 12.3 | 21.3 23.0 | 9.8 9.7 | 18.3 18.1 |
| | 3 | No Record | | | |
| | 3 | 13.0 12.85 | 24.3 24.0 | 10.7 10.2 | 20.0 19.0 |
| | 1 | 7.1 | 13.3 | 4.7 | 8.8 |
| | ı | No Record | | | |
| | 1 | 10.7 9.3 9.3 | 20.0 17.4 17.4 | 7.1 6.3 7.9 | 13.3 11.8 14.8 |
| | 1 | 13.7 13.6 | 25.6 25.4 | 10.3 10.4 | 19.2 19.4 |
| Landward | 5 | 16.5 14.3 | 30.8 26.7 | 13.6 12.2 | 25.4 22.8 |
| Seaward | 6 | 8.4 7.6 | 15.7 14.2 | 4.0 2.6 | 7.5 4.9 |
| | 6 | 8.6 9.8 | 16.1 18.3 | 4.3 7.0 | 8.0 13.1 |
| | 7 | 11.1 11.6 | 20.7 21.7 | 8.3 6.8 | 15.5 12.7 |
| | 8 | 8.3 15.3 | 15.5 28.6 | 4.7 8.6 | 8.8 16.1 |
| Seaward | 9 | 11.7 18.0 | 21.8 33.6 | 6.8 5.4 | 12.7 10.1 |
| Landward | 10 | No Record | | | |
| Landward | 11 | 3.6 3.4 | 6.7 6.3 | 2.3 | 4.3 4.5 |

TABLE II SPEEDS ENTERING AND LEAVING SURF-MODEL AND FULL SCALE

| Condition | Run No. | Speed Ent Model-Ft/Sec | ering Surf Full Scale-MPH | Speed Leav Model Ft/Sec | ving Surf Full Scale-MPH |
|----------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Landward | 12 | 2.7 2.7 | 5.0 5.0 | 1.4 0.4 | 2.6 0.7 |
| | 13 | 5.6 7.6 | 10.5 14.2 | 3.4 6.4 | 6.3 12.0 |
| | 14 | 11.8 11.4 | 22.0 21.3 | 6.8 5.3 | 12.7 9.9 |
| | 15 | 11.8 12.3 | 22.0 23.0 | 12.4 9.3 | 23.2 17.4 |
| | 16 | 16.3 15.4 | 30.4 28.8 | 13.3 12.6 | 24.8 23.5 |
| | 13 | 6.9 8.4 | 12.9 15.7 | 4.1 6.4 | 7.7 12.0 |
| | 15 | 14.1 13.5 | 26.3 25.2 | 10.6 12.7 | 19.8 23.7 |
| | 17 | 2.9 1.8 | 5.4 3.4 | 1.3 0.5 | 2.4 0.9 |
| | 18 | 5.9 3.4 | 11.0 6.3 | 5.1 4.7 | 9.5 8.8 |
| | 19 | 7.8 7.3 | 14.6 13.6 | 3.9 4.1 | 7.3 7.7 |
| | 20 | 11.0 | 20.5 21.3 | 12.1 10.6 | 22.6 19.8 |
| | 21 | 12.7 13.6 | 23.7 25.4 | 9.8 11.6 | 18.3 21.7 |
| | 18 | 2.3 2.1 | 4.3 3.9 | 1.5 2.6 | 2. 8 4.9 |
| ↓ Landward | 20 | 9.9 12.1 | 18.5 22.6 | 9.2 11.1 | 17.2 20.7 |
| Seaward | 22 | 13.3 | 24.8 | 3.9 | 7.3 |
| | 23 | 10.3 | 19.2 | 3.9 | 7.3 |
| | 24 | 8.9 10.0 | 16.6 18.7 | 4.1 3.9 | 7.7 7.3 |
| | 24 | No Record | | | |
| | 23 | 10.2 11.4 | 19.0 21.3 | 4.1 5.3 | 7.7 9.9 |

the local design of the second se

-

-

[]





FIGURE 2. VIEW OF BEACH RAMP UNDER CONSTRUCTION

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL



FIGURE 3. SEQUENCE SHOTS OF 8 FOOT SURF BUILDUP



FIGURE 4. ENLARGED PHOTOGRAPH OF SEQUENCE NUMBER 57





T

[]







Seaward Test $W_G = 114,835$ lbs. $N_F = 945$ RPM $L_{CG} = 2\%$ aft Surf Height - 8 ft. V = 24.8 MPH (entering surf) V = 7.3 MPH (entering deep water) Camera Speed is 10 frames per second





FIGURE 6. SEQUENCE CAMERA PICTURES FOR RUN NUMBER 22























FIGURE 6. (Continued) SEQUENCE CAMERA PICTURES FOR RUN NUMBER 22









9

FIGURE 8. SEQUENCE CAMERA PICTURES FOR RUN



PICTURES FOR RUN NUMBER 24







FI



FIGURE 9. WAVE SENSOR DATA RECORDED DURING RUN NUMBER 24